

Chapter 5

J -function and quantum Lefschetz theorem

5.1 J -function and master functions

Definition 5.1.1. The J -function of X is the $H^\bullet(X, \Lambda_X)[[\hbar^{-1}]]$ -valued function of $\tau \in H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$J_X(\tau) := 1 + \sum_{\alpha, \lambda=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{-(n+1)} \langle\langle \tau_n T_\alpha, 1 \rangle\rangle_0 \eta^{\alpha\lambda} T_\lambda.$$

The following result will be crucial for us. For its proof see Appendix A.

Theorem 5.1.2. Let $\alpha = 0, \dots, N$ and $\delta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. The $(1, \alpha)$ -entry of the matrix $\eta Z_{\text{top}}(z, \delta)$ equals

$$z^{\frac{\dim X}{2}} \int_X T_\alpha \cup J_X(\delta + \log z \cdot c_1(X)) \Big|_{\substack{\mathbf{Q}=1 \\ \hbar=1}}.$$

Corollary 5.1.3. Let $\delta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. The components of the function

$$J(\delta + \log z \cdot c_1(X)) \Big|_{\hbar=1},$$

with respect to any basis of $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$, span the space of master functions $\mathcal{S}_\delta(X)$.

Proof. The functions $z^{-\frac{\dim X}{2}} [\eta Z_{\text{top}}(z, \delta)]_\alpha^1$ define a generating set of the space of master functions $\mathcal{S}_\delta(X)$. The claim follows by Theorem 5.1.2. \blacksquare

In the notations of Section 3.1, set

$$\delta = \sum_{i=1}^r t^i T_i.$$

Any formal differential operator $P \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t^1}, \dots, \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t^r}, e^{t^1}, \dots, e^{t^r}, \hbar]]$ such that

$$PJ_X(\delta) = 0$$

is called a *quantum differential operator*. The equation $PY = 0$ is called a *quantum differential equation*, see e.g. [27, Section 10.3]. By Corollary 5.1.3, the master differential equation, defined as in Section 2.7 at a point δ of the complement of the \mathcal{A}_Λ -stratum of $QH^\bullet(X)$, is equivalent to a differential equation for master functions

$$\tilde{P}_\delta(\vartheta, z)\Phi = 0, \quad \vartheta := z \frac{d}{dz},$$

for a suitable differentiable operator \tilde{P}_δ .

5.2 Twisted Gromov–Witten invariants

Given a holomorphic vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ and an invertible multiplicative¹ characteristic class c , one can introduce a (E, c) -twisted version of the Gromov–Witten theory of X .

Given E , there exists a complex

$$0 \rightarrow E_{g,n,\beta}^0 \rightarrow E_{g,n,\beta}^1 \rightarrow 0$$

of locally free orbi-sheaves on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$ whose cohomology sheaves are

$$R^0 \mathrm{ft}_{n+1,*}(\mathrm{ev}_{n+1}^* E) \quad \text{and} \quad R^1 \mathrm{ft}_{n+1,*}(\mathrm{ev}_{n+1}^* E),$$

respectively. Here, the forgetful and evaluation morphisms ft_{n+1} , ev_{n+1} at the last marked point fit in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}(X, \beta) & \\ \mathrm{ft}_{n+1} \swarrow & & \searrow \mathrm{ev}_{n+1} \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta) & & X. \end{array}$$

Let us introduce an *obstruction K -class*

$$E_{g,n,\beta} \in K^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)),$$

defined as the K -theoretic difference

$$E_{g,n,\beta} := [E_{g,n,\beta}^0] - [E_{g,n,\beta}^1].$$

It is possible to show that such a difference does not depend on the choice of the complex.

Definition 5.2.1. The (E, c) -twisted Gromov–Witten invariants (with descendants) of X are the intersection numbers

$$\langle \tau_1^{d_1} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_n^{d_n} \alpha_n \rangle_{g,n,\beta}^{X,E,c} := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X,\beta)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} c(E_{g,n,\beta}) \cup \prod_{j=1}^n \psi_j^{d_j} \cup \mathrm{ev}_j^*(\alpha_j),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark 5.2.2. If c is the trivial characteristic class, then we recover the untwisted Gromov–Witten invariants of X .

¹A characteristic class c is said to be *multiplicative* if $c(E_1 \oplus E_2) = c(E_1)c(E_2)$. It is *invertible* if $c(E)$ is invertible in $H^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{C})$ for any vector bundle E on a manifold Y .

5.3 Quantum Lefschetz theorem

Introduce a \mathbb{C}^* -action on the total space \bar{E} defined by fiberwise multiplication. Note that the \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant Euler class e is invertible over the field of fractions $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ of $H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^\bullet(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$. Taking $c = e$ we refer to the twisted Gromov–Witten invariants as *Euler-twisted Gromov–Witten invariants*.

Exactly as in the untwisted case, (E, c) -twisted Gromov–Witten invariants can be collected in generating functions. In particular, we can introduce the *Euler-twisted J-function* as the $H^\bullet(X, \Lambda_X[\lambda][[\hbar^{-1}]])$ -valued function on $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$J_{E,e}(\tau) = 1 + \sum_{\alpha,k,n,\beta} \hbar^{-n-1} \frac{\mathbf{Q}^\beta}{k!} \langle \tau_n T_\alpha, 1, \tau, \dots, \tau \rangle_{0,k+2,\beta}^{X,E,e} T^\alpha.$$

Assume now that the vector bundle E is convex,² i.e. $H^1(C, f^*E) = 0$ for all stable maps $f: C \rightarrow X$ with C of genus zero. Let Y be a smooth subvariety of X defined by the zero locus of a regular section of E .

Theorem 5.3.1 ([15, 17]). *The non-equivariant limit $J_{E,e}|_{\lambda=0}$ exists. Moreover, it is related to the function J_Y by the equation*

$$\iota^* J_{E,e}|_{\lambda=0}(\tau) \stackrel{!}{=} J_Y(\iota^* \tau), \quad \tau \in H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C}), \quad (5.3.1)$$

where $\iota: Y \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion.

Remark 5.3.2. The symbol $\stackrel{!}{=}$ means that identity (5.3.1) holds true after application of the morphism $\iota_*: \Lambda_X \rightarrow \Lambda_Y$ defined by $\mathbf{Q}^\beta \mapsto \mathbf{Q}^{\iota_*\beta}$.

Remark 5.3.3. If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X > 3$, then ι^* is an isomorphism, by the hyperplane Lefschetz theorem.

Assume that

$$E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s L_i,$$

where L_i are nef line bundles on X such that $c_1(E) \leq c_1(X)$. In such a case, the quantum Lefschetz theorem prescribes how to compute the non-equivariant limit $J_{E,e}(\delta)|_{\lambda=0}$ at points of the small quantum locus $\delta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$.

Introduce the *hypergeometric modification* $I_{X,Y}$ of the function J_X as follows: write $J_X = \sum_{\beta} J_{\beta} \mathbf{Q}^{\beta}$, and for $\delta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ define

$$I_{X,Y}(\delta) := \sum_{\beta} J_{\beta}(\delta) \mathbf{Q}^{\beta} \prod_{i=1}^s \prod_{m=1}^{(c_1(L_i), \beta)} (c_1(L_i) + m\hbar). \quad (5.3.2)$$

²Globally generated vector bundles and direct sums of nef line bundles are automatically convex.

Theorem 5.3.4 ([17]). *The function $I_{X,Y}$ admits an expansion of the form*

$$I_{X,Y}(\delta) = F(\delta) + \frac{1}{\hbar}G(\delta) + O\left(\frac{1}{\hbar^2}\right), \quad \delta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C}),$$

where F is $H^0(X, \Lambda_X)$ -valued and G takes values in $H^0(X, \Lambda_X) \oplus H^2(X, \Lambda_X)$. Moreover, we have

$$J_{E,e}(\varphi(\delta))|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{I_{X,Y}(\delta)}{F(\delta)}, \quad \varphi(\delta) := \frac{G(\delta)}{F(\delta)}.$$

Proposition 5.3.5 ([16, 17]). *Moreover, if $c_1(X) > c_1(E)$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} F(\delta) &\equiv 1, \\ G(\delta) &= \delta + H(\delta) \cdot 1, \\ H(\delta) &= \sum_{\beta} (w_{\beta} \mathbf{Q}^{\beta} e^{\int_{\beta} \delta}) \cdot \delta_{1, (\beta, c_1(X) - c_1(E))} \end{aligned}$$

for suitable rational coefficients $w_{\beta} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. The function $I_{X,Y}(\delta)$ is homogeneous of degree 0 with respect to the gradings

$$\deg \mathbf{Q}^{\beta} = \int_{\beta} c_1(X) - \int_{\beta} c_1(E),$$

$$\deg \hbar = 1,$$

$$\deg T_{\alpha} = k \quad \text{if } T_{\alpha} \in H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

This is easily seen from the expansion of J_X given in Lemma A.2. Hence, $F(\delta)$ is given from the only contribution of the term $J_0(\delta) = 1 + \frac{\delta}{\hbar} + \dots$ and $H(\delta)$ from the terms for which $\deg \mathbf{Q}^{\beta} = 1$. ■

5.4 Inequality for dimensions of spaces of master functions

Let $Y \subseteq X$ be the zero locus of a regular section of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$, sum of nef line bundles, with $c_1(E) < c_1(X)$. Denote by $\iota: Y \rightarrow X$ the inclusion. We always assume that both X and Y have vanishing odd cohomology.

For a point $\tau \in QH^{\bullet}(X)$, denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\tau}(X) := \mathcal{S}_{\tau}(QH^{\bullet}(X))$ the space of master functions as τ .

Theorem 5.4.1. *Let $\delta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. We have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}_{\iota^* \delta}(Y) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}_{\delta+c}(X), \tag{5.4.1}$$

where $c := c_1(X) - c_1(E)$.

Proof. By the adjunction formula, we have

$$\iota^*c = c_1(Y).$$

The components of the function $J_X(\delta + c \log z)|_{\mathbf{Q}=1, \hbar=1}$, with respect to any basis of $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$, span the space $\mathcal{S}_{\delta+c}(X)$. Analogously, the components of the function $J_Y(\iota^*\delta + c_1(Y) \log z)|_{\mathbf{Q}=1, \hbar=1}$, with respect to any basis of $H^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{C})$, span the space $\mathcal{S}_{\iota^*\delta}(Y)$.

By Theorems 5.3.1, 5.3.4 and Proposition 5.3.5, we have

$$J_Y(\iota^*\delta + c_1(Y) \log z)|_{\mathbf{Q}=1, \hbar=1} = e^{-zH(\delta)} \cdot \iota^* I_{X,Y}(\delta + c \log z)|_{\mathbf{Q}=1, \hbar=1}.$$

The components of the right side are obtained by linear combinations and rescaling of the components of $J_X(\delta + c \log z)|_{\mathbf{Q}=1, \hbar=1}$: such a linear combination is due to the hypergeometric modification (5.3.2), namely the \cup -multiplication by an invertible class. The claim follows. \blacksquare

Theorem 5.4.2. *Let Y be a hyperplane section of X . Assume that $d := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ is odd, and that the following inequalities of Betti numbers hold true:*

$$b_{d-1}(X) < \frac{1}{2}b_{d-1}(Y). \quad (5.4.2)$$

Then $\iota^(H^2(X, \mathbb{C}))$ is contained in the \mathcal{A}_Λ -stratum of the Frobenius manifold $QH^\bullet(Y)$. In particular, along $\iota^*(H^2(X, \mathbb{C}))$ the canonical coordinates of $QH^\bullet(Y)$ coalesce.*

Proof. From the hyperplane Lefschetz theorem we deduce that (5.4.2) holds true if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C}) < \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{C})$. Then for any $\delta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}_{\iota^*\delta}(Y) < \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{C})$, by (5.4.1). Hence, the master differential equation of $QH^\bullet(Y)$ at $\iota^*\delta$ is not of order $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{C})$. This implies that the denominator of $\det \Lambda$ is identically zero at $\iota^*\delta$. The last statement follows from Lemma 2.6.1 and Theorem 2.6.2. \blacksquare