

SPECIALIZATION OF THE p -ADIC POLYLOGARITHM TO
 p -TH POWER ROOTS OF UNITY

DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR KAZUYA KATO
FOR HIS FIFTIETH BIRTHDAY

KENICHI BANNAI

Received: November 11, 2002

Revised: April 28, 2003

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to calculate the restriction of the p -adic polylogarithm sheaf to p -th power torsion points.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14F30, 14G20

Keywords and Phrases: p -adic polylogarithm, syntomic cohomology, rigid cohomology

1 INTRODUCTION

Fix a rational prime p . The classical polylogarithm sheaf, constructed by Beilinson and Deligne, is a variation of mixed Hodge structures on the projective line minus three points. The p -adic polylogarithm sheaf is its p -adic analogue, and is expected to be the p -adic realization of the motivic polylogarithm sheaf. In our previous paper [Ban1], we explicitly calculated the p -adic polylogarithm sheaf on the projective line minus three points, and calculated its specializations to the d -th roots of unity for d prime to p . The purpose of this paper is to extend this calculation to the d -th roots of unity for d divisible by p . In particular, we prove that the specialization of the p -adic polylogarithm sheaf to d -th roots of unity is again related to special values of the p -adic polylogarithm function defined by Coleman [Col].

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_d)$, with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . Let $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K[t, t^{-1}]$ be the multiplicative group over \mathcal{O}_K . Denote by $S(\mathbb{G}_m)$ the category of *syntomic coefficients* on \mathbb{G}_m . This category is a rough p -adic analogue of the category of variation of mixed Hodge structures. Since p is in general ramified in K , we

will use the definition in [Ban2], which is a generalization of the definition in [Ban1] to the case when p is ramified in K .

In order to describe the polylogarithm sheaf, it is first necessary to introduce the logarithmic sheaf $\mathcal{L}og$, which is a pro-object in $S(\mathbb{G}_m)$. The first property we prove for this sheaf is that it satisfies the *splitting principle*, even at roots of unity whose order is divisible by p .

PROPOSITION (= PROPOSITION 5.1) *Let $z \neq 1$ be a d -th root of unity in K , and let $i_z : \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ be the closed immersion defined by $t \mapsto z$. Then*

$$i_z^* \mathcal{L}og = \prod_{j \geq 0} K(j).$$

Let $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{G}_m \setminus \{1\}$. In our previous paper, following the method of [HW1] Definition III 2.2, we constructed the polylogarithm extension

$$\text{pol} \in \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{syn}}(\mathbb{U})}^1(K(0), \mathcal{L}og).$$

We first consider the case when z is a d -th root of unity, where d is an integer of the form $d = Np^r$ with $(N, p) = 1$ and $N > 1$. In this case, we have a natural map $i_z : \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$. Let $i_z^* \text{pol}$ be the image of pol in

$$\text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), i_z^* \mathcal{L}og) = \prod_{j \geq 0} \text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j))$$

with respect to the pull-back map

$$\text{Ext}_{S(\mathbb{U})}^1(K(0), \mathcal{L}og) \xrightarrow{i_z^*} \text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), i_z^* \mathcal{L}og).$$

Our main result is concerned with the explicit shape of $i_z^* \text{pol}$.

For integers $j \geq 1$, let $\text{Li}_j(t)$ be the p -adic polylogarithm function defined by Coleman ([Col] VI, the function denoted $\ell_j(t)$). It is a locally analytic function defined on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus \{1, \infty\}$ satisfying $\text{Li}_j(0) = 0$. On the open unit disc $\{z \in \mathbb{C}_p \mid |z|_p < 1\}$, the function is given by the usual power series

$$\text{Li}_j(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n^j}.$$

To deal with the specialization at points in the open unit disc around one, we also consider the locally analytic function

$$\text{Li}_{j,c}(t) = \text{Li}_j(t) - c^{1-j} \text{Li}_j(t^c),$$

where c is an integer > 1 .

Our main theorem may be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1 (= THEOREM 7.3) *Let z be a d -th root of unity, where d is an integer of the form $d = Np^r$ with $(N, p) = 1$ and $N > 1$. Then we have*

$$i_z^* \text{pol} = \left((-1)^j \text{Li}_j(z) \right)_{j \geq 1} \in \prod_{j \geq 0} \text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j)),$$

where we view $(-1)^j \text{Li}_j(z)$ as elements of $\text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j))$ through the isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j)) \cong K. \tag{1}$$

REMARK 1 *The above is compatible with the results of Somekawa [So] and also Besser-de Jeu [BdJ] on the calculation of the syntomic regulator.*

REMARK 2 *In [Ban1], we proved that when d is prime to p ,*

$$i_z^* \text{pol} = \left((-1)^j \ell_j^{(p)}(z) \right)_{j \geq 1},$$

where $\ell_j^{(p)}(t)$ is a locally analytic function on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus \{1, \infty\}$, whose expansion on the open unit disc around 0 is given by

$$\ell_j^{(p)}(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1, (n,p)=1} \frac{t^n}{n^j}.$$

The difference between this formula and the formula of the previous theorem comes from the choice of the isomorphism (1). (See Remark 7.2 for details.)

For the case when z is a p^r -th root of unity, let $c > 1$ be an integer and let $[c] : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ be the multiplication by c map induced from $t \mapsto t^c$. We denote by $[c]^*$ the pull back morphism of syntomic coefficients. We define the modified polylogarithm to be

$$\text{pol}_c = \text{pol} - [c]^* \text{pol},$$

which we prove to be an element in $\text{Ext}_{S_{\text{syn}}(\mathbb{U}_c)}^1(K(0), \mathcal{L}og)$ for

$$\mathbb{U}_c = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K \left[t, \frac{t-1}{t^c-1} \right].$$

We note that this modification, which removes the singularity around one, is standard in Iwasawa theory.

Our theorem in this case is:

THEOREM 2 (= THEOREM 8.3) *Let z be a p^r -th root of unity. Then we have*

$$i_z^* \text{pol}_c = \left((-1)^j \text{Li}_{j,c}(z) \right)_{j \geq 1} \in \prod_{j \geq 0} \text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j)),$$

where i_z^* is the pull back of syntomic coefficient by the natural inclusion $i_z : \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_c$. Again, we view $\text{Li}_{j,c}(z)$ as an element of $\text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j))$ through the isomorphism (1).

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	73
2	REVIEW OF THE p -ADIC POLYLOGARITHM FUNCTION	76
3	THE CATEGORY OF SYNTOMIC COEFFICIENTS	78
4	MORPHISMS OF SYNTOMIC DATA	82
5	THE SPLITTING PRINCIPLE	84
6	THE SPECIALIZATION OF pol TO TORSION POINTS	88
7	THE MAIN RESULT (CASE $N > 1$)	91
8	THE MAIN RESULT (CASE $N = 1$)	94

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I would like to wish Professor Kazuya Kato a happy fiftieth birthday, and to thank him for all that he has contributed to mathematics. I would also like to thank the referee for carefully reading this paper, and for giving helpful comments.

NOTATION Let p be a rational prime. In this paper, we let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K and residue field k . We denote by π a generator of the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_K . We let K_0 the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p in K , and W its ring of integers. We denote by σ the Frobenius morphism on K_0 and W .

2 REVIEW OF THE p -ADIC POLYLOGARITHM FUNCTION

In this section, we will review the theory of p -adic polylogarithm functions due to Coleman [Col]. Since we will mainly deal with the value of the p -adic polylogarithm function at units in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$, we will not need the full theory of Coleman integration.

As in [Col], we call any locally analytic homomorphism $\log : \mathbb{C}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^+$, such that $\frac{d}{dz} \log(1) = 1$, a branch of the logarithm. Throughout this paper, we fix once and for all a branch of the logarithm. Since we will only deal with the values of p -adic analytic functions at points outside the open unit disc where the functions have logarithmic poles, the results of this paper is *independent* of the choice of the branch.

We define the p -adic polylogarithm function $\ell_j^{(p)}(t)$ for $|t| < 1$ by

$$\ell_j^{(p)}(t) = \sum_{(n,p)=1} \frac{t^n}{n^j} \quad (j \geq 1).$$

By [Col] Proposition 6.2, this function extends to a rigid analytic function on $\mathbb{C}_p \setminus \{z; |z-1|_p < p^{(p-1)^{-1}}\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.1 ([COL] SECTION VI) *The p -adic polylogarithm function $\text{Li}_j(t)$ (Denoted $\ell_j(t)$ in [Col]) is a locally analytic function on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus \{1, \infty\}$ satisfying*

$$(i) \text{Li}_0(t) = t/(1-t)$$

$$(ii) \frac{d}{dt} \text{Li}_{j+1}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \text{Li}_j(t) \quad (j \geq 0).$$

$$(iii) \ell_j^{(p)}(t) = \text{Li}_j(t) - p^{-j} \text{Li}_j(t^p) \quad (j \geq 1).$$

DEFINITION 2.2 (i) *For any integer j , we define the function $u_j(t)$ by*

$$u_j(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{j!} \log^j(t) & (j \geq 0) \\ 0 & (j < 0). \end{cases}$$

Note that if z is a root of unity in \mathbb{C}_p , then $u_j(z) = 0$ ($j \neq 0$).

(ii) *For any integer $n \geq 1$, we define the function $D_n(t)$ by*

$$D_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \text{Li}_{n-j}(t) u_j(t).$$

If z is a root of unity in \mathbb{C}_p , then $D_n(z) = \text{Li}_n(z)$.

To deal with the torsion points of p -th power order, we need modified versions of the above functions.

DEFINITION 2.3 *Let $c > 1$ be an integer prime to p . We let:*

$$(i) \ell_{j,c}^{(p)}(z) = \ell_j^{(p)}(z) - c^{1-n} \ell_j^{(p)}(z^c) \quad (j \geq 1).$$

$$(ii) \text{Li}_{j,c}(z) = \text{Li}_j(z) - c^{1-n} \text{Li}_j(z^c) \quad (j \geq 1).$$

(iii)

$$D_{n,c}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \text{Li}_{n-j,c}(z) u_j(z).$$

The above functions are locally analytic on the open unit disc around one.

3 THE CATEGORY OF SYNTOMIC COEFFICIENTS

In this section, we will review the construction of the category of syntomic coefficients given in [Ban2] §4. Note that since we need to deal with the case when the prime p is ramified in K , the theory of [Ban1] is not sufficient.

DEFINITION 3.1 *A syntomic datum $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \overline{X}, j, \mathcal{P}_X, \phi_X, \iota)$ consists of the following:*

- (i) *A proper smooth scheme \overline{X} , separated and of finite type over \mathcal{O}_K , and an open immersion $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, such that the complement D is a relative simple normal crossing divisor over \mathcal{O}_K .*
- (ii) *A formal scheme \mathcal{P}_X over W .*
- (iii) *For the formal completion $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ of \overline{X} with respect to the special fiber, a closed immersion $\iota : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_W \mathcal{O}_K$, such that both \mathcal{P}_X and the morphism ι are smooth in a neighborhood of X_k .*
- (iv) *A Frobenius map $\phi_X : \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X$, which fits into the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \overline{X}_k & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{P}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spf} W \\
 F \downarrow & & \phi_X \downarrow & & \sigma^* \downarrow \\
 \overline{X}_k & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{P}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spf} W,
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where F is the absolute Frobenius of \overline{X}_k .

We will often omit j and ι from the notation and write

$$\mathfrak{X} = (X, \overline{X}, \mathcal{P}_X, \phi_X).$$

EXAMPLE 3.2 1. *Let \mathbb{P}^1 be the projective line over W with coordinate t , and let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_K$. We let \mathbb{G}_m be the syntomic datum given by*

$$\mathbb{G}_m = \left(\mathbb{G}_{m\mathcal{O}_K}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^1, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^1, \phi \right),$$

where

- (a) $\mathbb{G}_{m\mathcal{O}_K}$ is the multiplicative group over \mathcal{O}_K , with natural inclusion $j : \mathbb{G}_{m\mathcal{O}_K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^1$.
- (b) $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^1$ is the p -adic formal completion of \mathbb{P}^1 .
- (c) $\iota : \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_K$ is the identity.
- (d) ϕ is the Frobenius given by $\phi(t) = t^p$ for the coordinate t on $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^1$.

2. We let \mathbb{U} be the syntomic datum given by

$$\mathbb{U} = \left(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{O}_K}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^1, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^1, \phi \right),$$

where $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{O}_K} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, with the natural inclusion $j : \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^1$.

3. We let \mathcal{O}_K be the syntomic datum given by

$$\mathcal{O}_K = (\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K, \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K, \text{Spf } W, \sigma),$$

where j and ι are the identity.

Throughout this section, we fix a syntomic datum \mathfrak{X} . We will next review the definition of the category of *syntomic coefficients* $S(\mathfrak{X})$ on \mathfrak{X} . We will first define the categories $S_{\text{dR}}(\mathfrak{X})$, $S_{\text{rig}}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $S_{\text{vec}}(\mathfrak{X})$. Let $X_K = X \otimes K$ and $\overline{X}_K = \overline{X} \otimes K$.

DEFINITION 3.3 We define the category $S_{\text{dR}}(\mathfrak{X})$ to be the category consisting of objects the triple $M_{\text{dR}} := (M_{\text{dR}}, \nabla_{\text{dR}}, F^\bullet)$, where:

- (i) M_{dR} is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_K}$ module.
- (ii) $\nabla_{\text{dR}} : M_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow M_{\text{dR}} \otimes \Omega^1(\log D_K)$ is an integrable connection on M_{dR} with logarithmic poles along $D_K = D \otimes K$.
- (iii) F^\bullet is the Hodge filtration, which is a descending exhaustive separated filtration on M_{dR} by coherent sub- $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_K}$ modules satisfying

$$\nabla_{\text{dR}}(F^m M_{\text{dR}}) \subset F^{m-1} M_{\text{dR}} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}_K}^1(\log D_K).$$

Let $X_k = X \otimes k$ be the special fiber of X and \mathcal{X} the formal completion of X with respect to the special fiber. We denote by \mathcal{X}_K the rigid analytic space over K associated to \mathcal{X} ([Ber1] Proposition (0.2.3)) and by X_K^{an} the rigid analytic space over K associated to X_K (loc. cit. Proposition (0.3.3)). We will use the same notations for \overline{X} .

DEFINITION 3.4 We say that a set $V \subset \overline{X}_K$ is a strict neighborhood of \mathcal{X}_K in X_K^{an} , if $V \cup (X_K^{\text{an}} \setminus \mathcal{X}_K)$ is a covering of X_K^{an} for the Grothendieck topology.

For any abelian sheaf M on X_K^{an} , we let

$$j^\dagger M := \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V*} \alpha_V^* M,$$

where the limit is taken with respect to strict neighborhoods V of \mathcal{X}_K in X_K^{an} with inclusion $\alpha_V : V \hookrightarrow \overline{X}_K$. If M has a structure of a $\mathcal{O}_{X_K^{\text{an}}}$ -module, then $j^\dagger M$ has a structure of a $j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{X_K^{\text{an}}}$ -module.

DEFINITION 3.5 We define the category $S_{\text{vec}}(\mathfrak{X})$ to be the category consisting of objects the pair $M_{\text{vec}} := (M_{\text{vec}}, \nabla_{\text{vec}})$, where:

- (i) M_{vec} is a coherent $j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{X_K^{\text{an}}}$ module.
- (ii) $\nabla_{\text{vec}} : M_{\text{vec}} \rightarrow M_{\text{vec}} \otimes \Omega_{X_K^{\text{an}}}^1$ is an integrable connection on M_{vec} .

Let $p_{\text{dR}} : X_K^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \overline{X}_K$ be the natural map.

DEFINITION 3.6 We define the functor

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{dR}} : S_{\text{dR}}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow S_{\text{vec}}(\mathfrak{X})$$

by associating to $M_{\text{dR}} := (M_{\text{dR}}, \nabla_{\text{dR}}, F^\bullet)$ the module $j^\dagger(p_{\text{dR}}^* M_{\text{dR}})$ with the connection induced from ∇_{dR} . The functor \mathbf{F}_{dR} is exact, since it is a composition of exact functors ([Ber1] Proposition 2.1.3 (iii)).

Let \mathcal{P}_{K_0} be the rigid analytic space over K_0 associated to \mathcal{P}_X ([Ber1] (0.2.2)). As in loc. cit. Définitions (1.1.2)(i), we define the *tubular neighborhood* of \overline{X}_k (resp. X_k) in \mathcal{P}_{K_0} by

$$]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}} := \text{sp}^{-1}(\overline{X}_k) \quad (\text{resp. }]X_k[_{\mathcal{P}} := \text{sp}^{-1}(X_k)),$$

where $\text{sp} : \mathcal{P}_{K_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X$ is the *spécialization* [Ber1] (0.2.2.1). The tubular neighborhoods are rigid analytic spaces over K_0 with structures induced from that of \mathcal{P}_{K_0} .

DEFINITION 3.7 We say that a set $V \subset]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a *strict neighborhood* of $]X_k[_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}}$, if

$$V \cup (]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}} \setminus]X_k[_{\mathcal{P}})$$

is a covering of $]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}}$ for the Grothendieck topology.

For any abelian sheaf M on $]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}}$, we let

$$j^\dagger M := \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V*} \alpha_V^* M,$$

where the limit is taken with respect to strict neighborhoods V of $]X_k[_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}}$ with inclusion $\alpha_V : V \hookrightarrow]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}}$. If M has a structure of a $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}}}$ -module, then $j^\dagger M$ has a structure of a $j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}}}$ -module.

The Frobenius map $\phi_X : \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X$ induces a natural morphism of rigid analytic spaces $\phi_X :]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}}$.

DEFINITION 3.8 We define the category $S_{\text{rig}}(\mathfrak{X})$ to be the category consisting of objects the triple $M_{\text{rig}} := (M_{\text{rig}}, \nabla_{\text{rig}}, \Phi_M)$, where:

- (i) M_{rig} is a coherent $j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}_k[_{\mathcal{P}}}$ -module.

- (ii) $\nabla_{\text{rig}} : M_{\text{rig}} \rightarrow M_{\text{rig}} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}_k[\mathcal{P}]}^1$ is an integrable connection on M_{rig} .
- (iii) Φ_M is the Frobenius morphism, which is an isomorphism

$$\Phi_M : \phi_X^* M_{\text{rig}} \xrightarrow{\cong} M_{\text{rig}}$$

of $j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_k[\mathcal{P}]}$ -modules compatible with the connection.

The map $\iota : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_W \mathcal{O}_K$ induces a map of rigid analytic spaces

$$p_{\text{rig}} : X_K^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \overline{X}_k[\mathcal{P}]. \tag{3}$$

DEFINITION 3.9 We define the functor

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}} : S_{\text{rig}}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow S_{\text{vec}}(\mathfrak{X})$$

by associating to the object $M_{\text{rig}} := (M_{\text{rig}}, \nabla_{\text{rig}}, \Phi_M)$ the object

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}}(M_{\text{rig}}) := (p_{\text{rig}}^* M_{\text{rig}}, p_{\text{rig}}^* \nabla_{\text{rig}})$$

in $S_{\text{vec}}(\mathfrak{X})$. This functor is exact by definition.

DEFINITION 3.10 We define the category of syntomic coefficients to be the category $S(\mathfrak{X})$ such that:

- (i) The objects of $S(\mathfrak{X})$ consists of the triple $\mathcal{M} := (M_{\text{dR}}, M_{\text{rig}}, \mathbf{p})$, where:
 - (a) M_{typ} is an object in $S_{\text{typ}}(\mathfrak{X})$ for $\text{typ} \in \{\text{dR}, \text{rig}\}$.
 - (b) \mathbf{p} is an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{F}_{\text{dR}}(M_{\text{dR}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}}(M_{\text{rig}})$$

in $S_{\text{vec}}(\mathfrak{X})$.

- (ii) A morphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ in $S(\mathfrak{X})$ is given by a pair $(f_{\text{dR}}, f_{\text{rig}})$, where $f_{\text{typ}} : M_{\text{typ}} \rightarrow N_{\text{typ}}$ are morphisms in $S_{\text{typ}}(\mathfrak{X})$ for $\text{typ} \in \{\text{dR}, \text{rig}\}$ compatible with the comparison isomorphism \mathbf{p} .

EXAMPLE 3.11 For each integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the Tate object $K(n)$ in $S(\mathfrak{X})$ to be the set $K(n) := (K(n)_{\text{dR}}, K(n)_{\text{rig}}, \mathbf{p})$, where:

- (i) $K(n)_{\text{dR}}$ in $S_{\text{dR}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is given by the rank one free $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_K}$ -module generated by $e_{n,\text{dR}}$, with connection $\nabla_{\text{dR}}(e_{n,\text{dR}}) = 0$ and Hodge filtration

$$\begin{cases} F^m K(n)_{\text{dR}} = K(n)_{\text{dR}} & m \leq -n \\ F^m K(n)_{\text{dR}} = 0 & m > -n. \end{cases}$$

- (ii) $K(n)_{\text{rig}}$ in $S_{\text{rig}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is given by the rank one free $j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_k[\mathbb{P}]}$ -module generated by $e_{n,\text{rig}}$, with connection $\nabla_{\text{rig}}(e_{n,\text{rig}}) = 0$ and Frobenius

$$\Phi(e_{n,\text{rig}}) := p^{-n} e_{n,\text{rig}}.$$

- (iii) \mathbf{p} is the isomorphism given by $\mathbf{p}(e_{n,\text{dR}}) = e_{n,\text{rig}}$.

EXAMPLE 3.12 (SEE [BAN1] DEFINITION 5.1) *We define the logarithmic sheaf*

$$\mathcal{L}og^{(n)} := (L_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}, L_{\text{rig}}^{(n)}, \mathbf{p})$$

in $S(\mathbb{G}_m)$ by:

- (i) $L_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}$ in $S_{\text{dR}}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is given by the rank n free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1}$ -module

$$L_{\text{dR}}^{(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1} e_{j,\text{dR}},$$

with connection $\nabla_{\text{dR}}(e_{j,\text{dR}}) = e_{j+1,\text{dR}} \otimes d \log t$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $\nabla(e_{n,\text{dR}}) = 0$, and Hodge filtration given by

$$F^{-m} L_{\text{dR}}^{(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1} e_{j,\text{dR}}.$$

- (ii) $L_{\text{rig}}^{(n)}$ in $S_{\text{rig}}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is given by the rank n free $j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1[\mathbb{F}_1]}$ -module

$$L_{\text{rig}}^{(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1[\mathbb{F}_1]} e_{j,\text{rig}},$$

with connection $\nabla_{\text{rig}}(e_{j,\text{rig}}) = e_{j+1,\text{rig}} \otimes d \log t$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $\nabla(e_{n,\text{rig}}) = 0$, and Frobenius

$$\Phi(e_{j,\text{rig}}) := p^{-j} e_{j,\text{rig}}.$$

- (iii) \mathbf{p} is the isomorphism given by $\mathbf{p}(e_{j,\text{dR}}) = e_{j,\text{rig}}$.

4 MORPHISMS OF SYNTOMIC DATA

DEFINITION 4.1 *Define a morphism between syntomic data $u : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ to be a pair $(u_{\text{dR}}, u_{\text{rig}})$ such that:*

- (i) $u_{\text{dR}} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$ is a morphism of schemes over \mathcal{O}_K .

(ii) $u_{\text{rig}} : \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_Y$ is a morphism of formal schemes over W compatible with the Frobenius, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X} \otimes k & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{P}_X \otimes k \\ u_{\text{dR}} \downarrow & & u_{\text{rig}} \downarrow \\ \overline{Y} \otimes k & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{P}_Y \otimes k \end{array} \quad (4)$$

is commutative.

REMARK 4.2 Notice that in (4), contrary to [Ban2] Definition 4.2 (iii), we do not impose the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{P}_X \\ u_{\text{dR}} \downarrow & & u_{\text{rig}} \downarrow \\ \overline{Y} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{P}_Y. \end{array} \quad (5)$$

EXAMPLE 4.3 Let z be an element in \mathcal{O}_K^\times , and let \mathbb{G}_m be the syntomic datum defined in Example 3.2.1. We denote by z_0 the Teichmüller representative of z . In other words, z_0 is a root of unity in W such that $z \equiv z_0 \pmod{\pi}$. Then

$$i_z = (i_{\text{dR}}, i_{\text{rig}}) : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

is a morphism of syntomic data, where $i_{\text{dR}} : \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ and $i_{\text{rig}} : \text{Spf } \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_W^1$ are morphisms defined respectively by $t \mapsto z$ and $t \mapsto z_0$.

Let $u = (u_{\text{dR}}, u_{\text{rig}}) : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be a morphism of syntomic data. By [Ber1] (2.2.16), we have a functor $u_{\text{rig}}^* : S_{\text{rig}}(\mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow S_{\text{rig}}(\mathfrak{X})$.

LEMMA 4.4 Let $u : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be a morphism of syntomic data, and let $\mathcal{M} := (M_{\text{dR}}, M_{\text{rig}}, \mathbf{p})$ be an object in $S(\mathfrak{Y})$. Then there exists a canonical and functorial isomorphism

$$u^*(\mathbf{p}) : \mathbf{F}_{\text{dR}}(u_{\text{dR}}^* M_{\text{dR}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}}(u_{\text{rig}}^* M_{\text{rig}})$$

in $S_{\text{vec}}(\mathfrak{X})$.

The above lemma is trivial if we assume the commutativity of (5).

Proof. Let $u_{\text{vec}} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$ be the morphism of formal schemes induced from u_{dR} , and denote again by u_{vec} the map induced on the associated rigid analytic space. Then we have

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{dR}}(u_{\text{dR}}^* M_{\text{dR}}) = u_{\text{vec}}^* \mathbf{F}_{\text{dR}}(M_{\text{dR}}).$$

Let $u_1 := \iota \circ u_{\text{vec}}$ and $u_2 := (u_{\text{rig}} \otimes 1) \circ \iota$ be maps of formal schemes

$$u_1, u_2 : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_K.$$

Then $u_{\text{vec}}^* \mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}}(M_{\text{rig}}) = u_{1K}^*(M_{\text{rig}} \otimes K)$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}}(u_{\text{rig}}^* M_{\text{rig}}) = u_{2K}^*(M_{\text{rig}} \otimes K)$. Since (4) is commutative, u_1 and u_2 coincide on \overline{X}_k . Hence by [Ber1] Proposition (2.2.17), we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\epsilon_{1,2} : u_{1K}^*(M_{\text{rig}} \otimes K) \xrightarrow{\cong} u_{2K}^*(M_{\text{rig}} \otimes K). \quad (6)$$

The isomorphism of the lemma is the composition of the isomorphism

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{dR}}(u_{\text{dR}}^* M_{\text{dR}}) = u_{\text{vec}}^* \mathbf{F}_{\text{dR}}(M_{\text{dR}}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p} \cong} u_{\text{vec}}^* \mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}}(M_{\text{rig}}).$$

with $\epsilon_{1,2}$.

DEFINITION 4.5 *Let $u : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be a morphism of syntomic data. Then*

$$u^* : S(\mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{X})$$

is the functor defined by associating to any object $\mathcal{M} := (M_{\text{dR}}, M_{\text{rig}}, \mathbf{p})$ the object

$$u^* \mathcal{M} = (u_{\text{dR}}^* M_{\text{dR}}, u_{\text{rig}}^* M_{\text{rig}}, u^*(\mathbf{p}))$$

in $S(\mathfrak{X})$.

5 THE SPLITTING PRINCIPLE

Let $\mathcal{L}og^{(n)}$ be the logarithmic sheaf defined in Example 3.12. In this section, we will extend the splitting principle of [Ban1] Proposition 5.2 to the points defined in Example 4.3.

PROPOSITION 5.1 (SPLITTING PRINCIPLE) *Let d be a positive integer, and let $z = \zeta_d$ be a primitive d -th root of unity in K . Let*

$$i_z = (i_{\text{dR}}, i_{\text{rig}}) : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

be the morphism of syntomic data of Example 4.3 corresponding to z . Then we have an isomorphism

$$i_z^* \mathcal{L}og^{(n)} \cong \prod_{j=0}^n K(j)$$

in $S(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

The proof of the proposition will be given at the end of this section. In order to prove the proposition, it is necessary to explicitly calculate the map $i_z^*(\mathbf{p})$ of Lemma 4.4. For this purpose, we first review the Monsky-Washnitzer interpretation of overconvergent isocrystals and the explicit description of $\epsilon_{1,2}$ of (6) (See [Ber1] §2 and [T] §2 for details).

We assume for now that z is an arbitrary element in \mathcal{O}_K^\times . We denote by z_0 the root of unity in W such that $z \equiv z_0 \pmod{\pi}$. Let $A = \Gamma(\mathbb{G}_{m\mathcal{O}_K}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_{m\mathcal{O}_K}}) = \mathcal{O}_K[t, t^{-1}]$. We fix a presentation

$$\mathcal{O}_K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I \cong A$$

over \mathcal{O}_K , which defines a closed immersion

$$\mathbb{G}_{m\mathcal{O}_K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^n.$$

Then the intersections U_λ of $\mathbb{G}_{mK}^{\text{an}}$ with the ball $B(0, \lambda^+) \subset \mathbb{A}_K^{n, \text{an}}$ for $\lambda \rightarrow 1^+$ form a system of strict neighborhoods (Definition 3.4) of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{mK}$ in $\mathbb{G}_{mK}^{\text{an}}$. For $\lambda > 1$, we let $A_\lambda = \Gamma(U_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{U_\lambda})$. Then $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1^+} A_\lambda = A^\dagger \otimes K$, where A^\dagger is the weak completion of A .

Let $M_{\text{vec}} = (M_{\text{vec}}, \nabla_{\text{vec}})$ be an object in $S_{\text{vec}}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. By [Ber1] Proposition 2.2.3, M_{vec} is of the form $j^\dagger(M_0, \nabla_0)$, where M_0 is a coherent module with integrable connection ∇_0 on a strict neighborhood U_λ . Let $M_\lambda = \Gamma(U_\lambda, M_0)$. Then for $\lambda' < \lambda$, the section $\Gamma(U_{\lambda'}, M_0)$ is given by $M_{\lambda'} = M_\lambda \otimes_{A_\lambda} A_{\lambda'}$, and

$$M := \Gamma(\mathbb{G}_{mK}^{\text{an}}, M_{\text{vec}}) = \varinjlim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1^+} M_\lambda. \tag{7}$$

M is a projective $A^\dagger \otimes K$ -module with integrable connection $\nabla : M \rightarrow M \otimes \Omega_{A^\dagger \otimes K}^1$ induced from ∇_0 .

Suppose the connection ∇_{vec} is *overconvergent*. By [Ber1] Proposition 2.2.13, for any $\eta < 1$, there exists $\lambda > 1$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{i!} \nabla_\lambda(\partial_t^i)(m) \right\| \eta^i \rightarrow 0 \quad (i \rightarrow \infty) \tag{8}$$

for any $m \in M_\lambda$. Here, $\nabla_\lambda : M_\lambda \rightarrow M_\lambda \otimes \Omega_{A_\lambda/K}^1$ is the connection induced from ∇_0 , ∂_t is the derivation by t , and $\| - \|$ is a Banach norm on M_λ .

Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_{\text{dR}}, M_{\text{rig}}, \mathbf{p})$ be an object in $S(\mathbb{G}_m)$. Then

$$M_{\text{vec}} := \mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}}(M_{\text{rig}}) = (M_{\text{rig}} \otimes_{K_0} K, \nabla_{\text{rig}} \otimes_{K_0} K)$$

is an object in $S_{\text{vec}}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. We have

$$i_{\text{vec}}^* \mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}}(M_{\text{rig}}) = M \otimes_{i_{\text{vec}}} K, \quad \mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}}(i_{\text{rig}}^* M_{\text{rig}}) = M \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} K,$$

where M is as in (7), and $i_{\text{vec}}, i_{\text{rig}} : A^\dagger \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K \rightarrow K$ are ring homomorphisms given respectively by $t \mapsto z$ and $t \mapsto z_0$. By [Ber1] 2.2.17 Remarque,

$$\epsilon_{1,2} : M \otimes_{i_{\text{vec}}} K \xrightarrow{\cong} M \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} K$$

of (6) is given explicitly by the Taylor series

$$\epsilon_{1,2}(m \otimes_{i_{\text{vec}}} 1) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \nabla(\partial_t^i)(m) \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} (z - z_0)^i. \tag{9}$$

The existence of the Frobenius Φ_M on M_{rig} insures that the connection ∇_{rig} (hence ∇_{vec}) is overconvergent ([Ber1] Theorem 2.5.7). Since $|z - z_0| < 1$, the above series converges by (8).

Next, let

$$\mathcal{L}og^{(n)} := (L_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}, L_{\text{rig}}^{(n)}, \mathbf{p})$$

be the logarithmic sheaf of Example 3.12. As in (7), we $L = \Gamma(\mathbb{G}_{mK}^{\text{an}}, L_{\text{vec}}^{(n)})$ for $L_{\text{vec}}^{(n)} = L_{\text{rig}}^{(n)} \otimes_{K_0} K$. Then

$$L = \prod_{j=0}^n (A^\dagger \otimes K) e_j$$

for the basis $e_j = e_{j,\text{rig}} \otimes 1$, and the connection is given by

$$\nabla(e_j) = e_{j+1} \otimes \frac{dt}{t} \quad (0 \leq j \leq n-1). \quad (10)$$

Let $u_j(t)$ be the function defined in Definition 2.2.

PROPOSITION 5.2 *For integers $i, m \geq 0$, let $a_m^{(i)}$ be elements in A_K^\dagger such that*

$$\nabla(\partial_t^i)(e_0) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^{(i)} e_j.$$

Then

$$\partial_t^i(u_m) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^{(i)} u_{m-j}.$$

In particular, we have

$$a_m^{(i)}(z_0) = \partial_t^i(u_m)(z_0). \quad (11)$$

REMARK 5.3 *The definition of $a_j^{(i)}$ implies*

$$\nabla(\partial_t^i)(e_m) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} a_j^{(i)} e_{m+j}.$$

Proof. We will give the proof by induction on $i \geq 0$. Since $a_0^{(0)} = 1$, the statement is true for $i = 0$. Suppose for an integer $i \geq 0$, we have

$$\partial_t^i(u_m) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^{(i)} u_{m-j}. \quad (12)$$

By comparing the definition of $a_j^{(i+1)}$ with the equality

$$\nabla(\partial_t^{i+1})(e_0) = \nabla(\partial_t) \circ \nabla(\partial_t^i)(e_0) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left((\partial_t a_j^{(i)}) e_j + t^{-1} a_j^{(i)} e_{j+1} \right),$$

we obtain the equality

$$a_j^{(i+1)} = \partial_t a_j^{(i)} + t^{-1} a_{j-1}^{(i)}. \tag{13}$$

Similarly, from the hypothesis (12) and $\partial_t u_m = t^{-1} u_{m-1}$, we have

$$\partial_t^{i+1}(u_m) = \partial_t \circ \partial_t^i(u_m) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left((\partial_t a_j^{(i)}) u_{m-j} + t^{-1} a_j^{(i)} u_{m-j-1} \right).$$

This together with (13) gives the desired result. (11) follows from the fact that since z_0 is a root of unity, $u_m(z_0) = 0$ unless $m = 0$.

COROLLARY 5.4 *For any integers $i, m \geq 0$, we have*

$$\nabla(\partial_t^i)(e_m) \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} 1 = \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} (e_{m+j} \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} \partial_t^i(u_j)(z_0)).$$

Proof. The assertion follows immediately from Remark 5.3

PROPOSITION 5.5 *We have*

$$\epsilon_{1,2}(e_m \otimes_{i_{\text{vec}}} 1) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} (e_{m+j} \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} u_j(z))$$

for the map $\epsilon_{1,2} : L \otimes_{i_{\text{vec}}} K \rightarrow L \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} K$ of (9) associated to L .

Proof. Since $\log(z_0) = 0$, we have $\partial_t^i(u_j)(z_0) = 0$ for $i < j$. Substituting z to the Taylor expansion of $u_j(t)$ at $t = z_0$ gives the equality

$$u_j(z) = \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \partial_t^i(u_j)(z_0)(z - z_0)^i.$$

The proposition now follows from the definition of $\epsilon_{1,2}$ (9) and Corollary 5.4. Let us now return to the case when $z = \zeta_d$ is a primitive d -th root of unity.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Since the connection is the only structure preventing $L_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}$ and $L_{\text{rig}}^{(n)}$ from splitting, we have

$$i_{\text{dR}}^* L_{\text{dR}}^{(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^n K e_{j,\text{dR}} \quad i_{\text{rig}}^* L_{\text{rig}}^{(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^n K_0 e_{j,\text{rig}}.$$

It is sufficient to prove that the comparison isomorphism $i_z^*(\mathbf{p})$ respects the splitting. The isomorphism

$$\mathbf{p} : i_{\text{dR}}^* L_{\text{dR}}^{(n)} \rightarrow L \otimes_{i_{\text{vec}}} K$$

is given by $e_{j,\text{dR}} \mapsto e_{j,\text{rig}}$. Since z is a torsion point, $u_j(z) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$. Hence by Proposition 5.5,

$$\epsilon_{1,2} : L \otimes_{i_{\text{vec}}} K \rightarrow L \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} K$$

maps $e_{j,\text{rig}} \otimes_{i_{\text{vec}}} 1$ to $e_{j,\text{rig}} \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} 1$. Hence $i_z^*(\mathbf{p}) = \epsilon_{1,2} \circ \mathbf{p}$ respects the splitting. We have

$$i_z^* \mathcal{L}og^{(n)} \cong \prod_{j=0}^n K(j)$$

in $S(\mathcal{O}_K)$ as desired.

REMARK 5.6 *The calculation of Proposition 5.5 shows that if z is an arbitrary element in \mathcal{O}_K^\times , then*

$$i_z^* \mathcal{L}og^{(n)} = (L_{z,\text{dR}}^{(n)}, L_{z,\text{rig}}^{(n)}, \mathbf{p}_z) \in S(\mathcal{O}_K),$$

where

$$L_{z,\text{dR}}^{(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^n K e_{j,\text{dR}}, \quad L_{z,\text{rig}}^{(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^n K_0 e_{j,\text{rig}},$$

and

$$\mathbf{p}_z(e_{m,\text{dR}}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} e_{m+j,\text{rig}} \otimes_{K_0} u_j(z).$$

6 THE SPECIALIZATION OF pol TO TORSION POINTS

In this section, we will first introduce the p -adic polylogarithmic extension pol calculated in [Ban1]. Then we will calculate its restriction to d -th roots of unity, where d is an integer of the form $d = Np^r$ with $(N, p) = 1$ and $N > 1$. The case $N = 1$ will be treated in Section 8.

Let \mathbb{U} be the syntomic datum corresponding to the projective line minus three points, as defined in Definition 3.2. The p -adic polylogarithm sheaf is an extension in $S(\mathbb{U})$ of the trivial object $K(0)$ by the logarithmic sheaf $\mathcal{L}og$ having a certain residue. In our previous paper, we determined the explicit shape of this sheaf.

THEOREM 6.1 ([BAN1] THEOREM 2) *The p -adic polylogarithmic extension $\text{pol}^{(n)}$ is the extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og^{(n)} \rightarrow \text{pol}^{(n)} \rightarrow K(0) \rightarrow 0$$

in $S(\mathbb{U})$, given explicitly by $\text{pol}^{(n)} := (P_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}, P_{\text{rig}}^{(n)}, \mathbf{p})$, where:

(i) $P_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}$ in $S_{\text{dR}}(\mathbb{U})$ is given by

$$P_{\text{dR}}^{(n)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1} e_{\text{dR}} \bigoplus L_{\text{dR}}^{(n)},$$

with connection $\nabla_{\text{dR}}(e_{\text{dR}}) = e_{1,\text{dR}} \otimes d \log(t-1)$ and Hodge filtration given by the direct sum.

(ii) $P_{\text{rig}}^{(n)}$ in $S_{\text{rig}}(\mathbb{U})$ is given by

$$P_{\text{rig}}^{(n)} = j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{U}_k[\bar{p}]} e_{\text{rig}} \bigoplus L_{\text{rig}}^{(n)},$$

with connection $\nabla_{\text{rig}}(e_{\text{rig}}) = e_{1,\text{rig}} \otimes d \log(t-1)$ and Frobenius

$$\Phi(e_{\text{rig}}) := e_{\text{rig}} + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \ell_j^{(p)}(t) e_{j,\text{rig}}. \tag{14}$$

(iii) \mathbf{p} is the isomorphism given by $\mathbf{p}(e_{\text{dR}}) = e_{\text{rig}} \otimes 1$.

REMARK 6.2 In [Ban1] Theorem 2, the Frobenius is written as

$$\Phi(e_{\text{rig}}) := e_{\text{rig}} + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \ell_j^{(p)}(t) e_{j,\text{rig}}.$$

This is due to an error in the calculation of the proof. The correct Frobenius is the one given in (14).

Let z be a d -th root of unity, where d is an integer of the form $d = Np^r$ with $(N, p) = 1$ and $N > 1$, and let $z_0 \in W$ such that $z \equiv z_0 \pmod{\pi}$. The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.3 The specialization of the polylogarithm at z is explicitly given as follows:

(i) $i_z^* P_{\text{dR}}^{(n)} = K e_{\text{dR}} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^n K e_{j,\text{dR}}$ with the natural Hodge filtration.

(ii) $i_z^* P_{\text{rig}}^{(n)} = K_0 e_{\text{rig}} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^n K_0 e_{j,\text{rig}}$ with Frobenius

$$\Phi(e_{\text{rig}}) := e_{\text{rig}} + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \ell_j^{(p)}(z_0) e_{j,\text{rig}}.$$

(iii) \mathbf{p} is the isomorphism given by

$$\mathbf{p}(e_{\text{dR}}) = e_{\text{rig}} \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{j,\text{rig}} \otimes (-1)^j (D_j(z) - D_j(z_0)),$$

where $D_j(t)$ is the function defined in Definition 2.2.

The proof of the theorem will be given at the end of this section. As in the case of $\mathcal{L}og$, we first consider the Monsky-Washnitzer interpretation of $\text{pol}^{(n)}$. Let $B_K^\dagger = \Gamma(\mathbb{U}_K^{\text{an}}, j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{U}_K^{\text{an}}})$,

$$P_{\text{vec}}^{(n)} := \mathbf{F}_{\text{rig}}(M_{\text{rig}}) = (M_{\text{rig}} \otimes_{K_0} K, \nabla_{\text{rig}} \otimes_{K_0} K),$$

and $P^{(n)} = \Gamma(\mathbb{U}_K^{\text{an}}, P_{\text{vec}}^{(n)})$. Then we have

$$P^{(n)} = B_K^\dagger e \bigoplus \prod_{j=0}^n B_K^\dagger e_j$$

where $e = e_{\text{rig}} \otimes 1$ and $e_j = e_{j,\text{rig}} \otimes 1$, with connection $\nabla(e) = e \otimes d \log(1-t)$ and $\nabla(e_j) = e_{j+1} \otimes d \log t$.

PROPOSITION 6.4 *For integers $i, m > 0$, let $b_m^{(i)}$ be elements in B_K^\dagger such that*

$$\nabla(\partial_t^i)(e) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j b_j^{(i)} e_j.$$

Then

$$\partial_t^i(D_m) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{m-j} b_j^{(i)} u_{m-j}.$$

In particular, we have

$$b_m^{(i)}(z_0) = \partial_t^i(D_m)(z_0). \quad (15)$$

Proof. The proof is again by induction on $i > 0$. We first consider the case when $i = 1$. In this case, $b_1^{(1)} = (1-t)^{-1}$. Since $\text{Li}_{m-j}(t)$ and $u_j(t)$ satisfy the differential equations

$$\partial_t(\text{Li}_j(t)) = \frac{1}{t} \text{Li}_{j-1}(t) \quad (j \geq 1) \quad \partial_t(u_j(t)) = \frac{u_{j-1}}{t} \quad (\forall j),$$

the definition of $D_m(t)$ (Definition 2.2) and the fact that $u_j(t) = 0$ for $j < 0$ implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(D_m) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^j \partial_t(\text{Li}_{m-j}(t) u_j(t)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{t} (\text{Li}_{m-j-1}(t) u_j(t) + \text{Li}_{m-j}(t) u_{j-1}(t)) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{t} \text{Li}_0(t) u_{m-1}(t) = (-1)^{m-1} \frac{u_{m-1}(t)}{1-t} \\ &= (-1)^{m-1} b_1^{(1)}(t) u_{m-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the statement is true for $i = 1$. Suppose for an integer $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\partial_t^i(D_m) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{m-j} b_j^{(i)} u_{m-j}. \quad (16)$$

By comparing the definition of $b_j^{(i+1)}$ with the equality

$$\nabla(\partial_t^{i+1})(e_0) = \nabla(\partial_t) \circ \nabla(\partial_t^i)(e_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \left((\partial_t b_j^{(i)}) e_j + t^{-1} b_j^{(i)} e_{j+1} \right),$$

we obtain the equality

$$b_j^{(i+1)} = \partial_t b_j^{(i)} - t^{-1} b_{j-1}^{(i)} \quad (i \geq 1, j > 1). \tag{17}$$

Similarly, from the hypothesis (16) and $\partial_t u_m = t^{-1} u_{m-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^{i+1}(D_m) &= \partial_t \left(\sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^{m-j} b_j^{(i)} u_{m-j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{m-j} \left((\partial_t b_j^{(i)}) u_{m-j} + t^{-1} b_j^{(i)} u_{m-j-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (17) gives the desired result. (15) follows from the fact that since z_0 is a root of unity, $u_m(z_0) = 0$ unless $m = 0$.

PROPOSITION 6.5 *We have*

$$\epsilon_{1,2}(e \otimes_{i_{\text{vec}}} 1) = e \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n (e_j \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} (-1)^j (D_j(z) - D_j(z_0)))$$

for the map $\epsilon_{1,2} : P \otimes_{i_{\text{vec}}} K \rightarrow P \otimes_{i_{\text{rig}}} K$ of (9) associated to P .

Proof. Substituting z to the Taylor expansion of $D_j(t)$ at $t = z_0$ gives the equality

$$D_j(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \partial_t^i(D_j)(z_0)(z - z_0)^i.$$

The proposition now follows from the definition of $\epsilon_{1,2}$ and Proposition 6.4.

7 THE MAIN RESULT (CASE $N > 1$)

The following lemma is well-known.

LEMMA 7.1 *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j)) = K(j)_{\text{dR}} \tag{18}$$

for $j > 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\widetilde{M} = (\widetilde{M}_{\text{dR}}, \widetilde{M}_{\text{rig}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{p}})$ is an extension of $K(0)$ by $K(j)$ in $S(\mathcal{O}_K)$. We have exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow K(j)_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow K(0)_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow K(j)_{\text{rig}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\text{rig}} \rightarrow K(0)_{\text{rig}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $e_{j,\text{dR}}$ and $e_{j,\text{rig}}$ the basis of $K(j)_{\text{dR}}$ and $K(j)_{\text{rig}}$, and let $\widetilde{e}_{0,\text{dR}}$ and $\widetilde{e}_{0,\text{rig}}$ respectively be the liftings of $e_{0,\text{dR}}$ and $e_{0,\text{rig}}$ in $\widetilde{M}_{\text{dR}}$ and $\widetilde{M}_{\text{rig}}$. If we map $\widetilde{e}_{0,\text{dR}}$ to $e_{0,\text{dR}}$, then we have an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{M}_{\text{dR}} \cong K(0)_{\text{dR}} \bigoplus K(j)_{\text{dR}}$$

in $S_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Next, since the quotient of M by $K(j)$ is isomorphic to $K(0)$, the Frobenius and $\widetilde{\mathbf{p}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}(\widetilde{e}_{0,\text{dR}}) &= \widetilde{e}_{0,\text{rig}} \otimes 1 + e_{j,\text{rig}} \otimes a \\ \phi^*(\widetilde{e}_{0,\text{rig}}) &= \widetilde{e}_{0,\text{rig}} + ce_{j,\text{rig}} \end{aligned}$$

for some $a \in K$ and $c \in K_0$. If we take $b \in K_0$ such that $(1 - \sigma/p^j)b = c$, then we have an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{M}_{\text{rig}} \cong K(0)_{\text{rig}} \bigoplus K(j)_{\text{rig}}$$

in $S_{\text{rig}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ given by $\widetilde{e}_{0,\text{rig}} \mapsto e_{0,\text{rig}} - be_{j,\text{rig}}$. The above shows that we have an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{M} \cong \left(K(0)_{\text{dR}} \bigoplus K(j)_{\text{dR}}, K(0)_{\text{rig}} \bigoplus K(j)_{\text{rig}}, \mathbf{p} \right)$$

of extensions of $K(0)$ by $K(j)$ in $S(\mathcal{O}_K)$, where \mathbf{p} is the isomorphism given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}(e_{0,\text{dR}}) &= \widetilde{e}_{0,\text{rig}} \otimes 1 + e_{j,\text{rig}} \otimes a \\ &= e_{0,\text{rig}} \otimes 1 + e_{j,\text{rig}} \otimes (a + b). \end{aligned}$$

The canonical map of the lemma is given by associating to \widetilde{M} the element $(a + b)e_{j,\text{dR}}$ in $K(j)_{\text{dR}}$.

The inverse of this canonical map is constructed by associating to $w e_{j,\text{dR}}$ in $K(j)_{\text{dR}}$ the extension

$$\left(K(0)_{\text{dR}} \bigoplus K(j)_{\text{dR}}, K(0)_{\text{rig}} \bigoplus K(j)_{\text{rig}}, \mathbf{p} \right),$$

where

$$\mathbf{p}(e_{0,\text{dR}}) = e_{0,\text{rig}} \otimes 1 + e_{j,\text{rig}} \otimes w.$$

This construction shows that the canonical map is in fact an isomorphism.

REMARK 7.2 *Suppose $K = K_0$. Then by [Ban1] Theorem 1 and Example 2.8, we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathrm{syn}}^1(\mathcal{O}_K, K(j)) = K(j)_{\mathrm{rig}}. \quad (19)$$

If M is an extension in $S(\mathcal{O}_K)$ corresponding to $ae_{j,\mathrm{dR}}$ in Lemma 7.1, then M maps by (19) to $((1 - p^{-j}\sigma)a)e_{j,\mathrm{rig}}$ in $K(j)_{\mathrm{rig}}$.

The following theorem is Theorem 1 of the introduction.

THEOREM 7.3 *Let z be a torsion point of order $d = Np^r$, where $(N, p) = 1$ and $N > 1$. Then*

$$i_z^* \mathrm{pol}^{(n)} = ((-1)^j \mathrm{Li}_j(z)e_{j,\mathrm{dR}})_{j \geq 1}$$

in

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), i_z^* \mathcal{L}og(1)) = \prod_{j=0}^n \mathrm{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j)),$$

where we view $(-1)^j \mathrm{Li}_j(z)e_{j,\mathrm{dR}}$ as an element in $\mathrm{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j))$ through the isomorphism of lemma 7.1

Proof. By Theorem 6.3, the image of $i_z^* \mathrm{pol}^{(n)}$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j))$ is the extension $\widetilde{M} = (M_{\mathrm{dR}}, \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{p}})$ given as follows: M_{dR} is the direct sum

$$M_{\mathrm{dR}} = K(0)_{\mathrm{dR}} \bigoplus K(j)_{\mathrm{dR}},$$

$\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ is the extension of $K(0)_{\mathrm{rig}}$ by $K(j)_{\mathrm{rig}}$ with the Frobenius given by

$$\Phi(\widetilde{e}_{0,\mathrm{rig}}) = \widetilde{e}_{0,\mathrm{rig}} + (-1)^{j+1} \ell_j^{(p)}(z_0)e_{j,\mathrm{rig}}$$

for the lifting $\widetilde{e}_{0,\mathrm{rig}}$ of $e_{0,\mathrm{rig}}$ in $\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{rig}}$, and $\widetilde{\mathbf{p}}$ is the isomorphism given by

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{p}}(e_{0,\mathrm{dR}}) = \widetilde{e}_{0,\mathrm{rig}} \otimes 1 + e_{j,\mathrm{rig}} \otimes (-1)^j (\mathrm{Li}_j(z) - \mathrm{Li}_j(z_0)).$$

This implies that, in the notation of Lemma 7.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (-1)^j (\mathrm{Li}_j(z) - \mathrm{Li}_j(z_0)) \\ c &= (-1)^{j+1} \ell_j^{(p)}(z_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since z_0 is a root of unity prime to p , the Frobenius acts by $\sigma(z_0) = z_0^p$. Hence the Formula of Proposition 2.1 (iii) gives

$$\ell_j^{(p)}(z_0) = \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{p^j}\right) \mathrm{Li}_j(z_0).$$

Again, in the notation of Lemma 7.1, we have

$$c = (-1)^{j+1} \mathrm{Li}_j(z_0).$$

Since $a + b = (-1)^j \mathrm{Li}_j(z)$, the construction of the canonical map shows that the image of $i_z^* \mathrm{pol}^{(n)}$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j))$ maps to $(-1)^j \mathrm{Li}_j(z)e_{j,\mathrm{dR}}$ in $K(j)_{\mathrm{dR}}$.

8 THE MAIN RESULT (CASE $N = 1$)

In this section, we will consider the specialization of the polylogarithm sheaf to p -th power roots of unity. As mentioned in the introduction, we will consider a slightly modified version of the polylogarithm. Let $c > 1$ be an integer prime to p , and let $\mathbb{U}_{c, \mathcal{O}_K}^0 = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K[t, (1-t^c)^{-1}]$. We denote by \mathbb{U}_c^0 the syntomic data

$$\mathbb{U}_c^0 = (\mathbb{U}_{c, \mathcal{O}_K}^0, \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^1, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^1, \phi).$$

The multiplication by $[c]$ map on $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_K}$ defines a morphism of syntomic datum

$$[c] : \mathbb{U}_c^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{U}.$$

DEFINITION 8.1 *We define the modified p -adic polylogarithmic $\text{pol}_c^{(n)}$ by*

$$\text{pol}_c^{(n)} = \text{pol}^{(n)} - [c]^* \text{pol}^{(n)} \in \text{Ext}_{S(\mathbb{U}_c^0)}^1(K(0), \mathcal{L}og^{(n)}).$$

The explicit shape of $\text{pol}^{(n)}$ given in Theorem 6.1 and the definition of the pull-back $[c]^*$ gives the following proposition. Let

$$\theta_c(t) = \frac{1-t^c}{1-t}.$$

PROPOSITION 8.2 *The modified p -adic polylogarithmic $\text{pol}_c^{(n)}$ is the extension in $S(\mathbb{U}_c^0)$, given explicitly by $\text{pol}_c^{(n)} := (P_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}, P_{\text{rig}}^{(n)}, \mathbf{p})$, where:*

(i) $P_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}$ in $S_{\text{dR}}(\mathbb{U}_c^0)$ is given by

$$P_{\text{dR}}^{(n)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1} e_{\text{dR}} \bigoplus L_{\text{dR}}^{(n)},$$

with connection $\nabla_{c, \text{dR}}(e_{\text{dR}}) = e_{1, \text{dR}} \otimes d \log \theta_c(t)$ and Hodge filtration given by the direct sum.

(ii) $P_{\text{rig}}^{(n)}$ in $S_{\text{rig}}(\mathbb{U}_c^0)$ is given by

$$P_{\text{rig}}^{(n)} = j^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{U}_{c, k}^0 / \mathbb{F}_p} e_{\text{rig}} \bigoplus L_{\text{rig}}^{(n)},$$

with connection $\nabla_{c, \text{rig}}(e_{\text{rig}}) = e_{1, \text{rig}} \otimes d \log \theta_c(t)$ and Frobenius

$$\Phi(e_{\text{rig}}) := e_{\text{rig}} + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \ell_{j, c}^{(p)}(t) e_{j, \text{rig}},$$

(iii) \mathbf{p} is the isomorphism given by $\mathbf{p}(e_{\text{dR}}) = e_{\text{rig}} \otimes 1$.

Let $\mathbb{U}_{c, \mathcal{O}_K} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K[t, \theta_c(t)^{-1}]$, and denote by \mathbb{U}_c the syntomic data

$$\mathbb{U}_c = (\mathbb{U}_{c, \mathcal{O}_K}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^1, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^1, \phi).$$

The explicit shape of $\text{pol}_c^{(n)}$ given in the previous proposition shows that $\text{pol}_c^{(n)}$ is in fact an object in $S(\mathbb{U}_c)$. In particular, we can specialize $\text{pol}_c^{(n)}$ at points on the open unit disc around one.

Similar calculations as that of Theorem 6.3 with $\ell_j^{(p)}$, $D_j^{(p)}$ and D_j replaced by $\ell_{j,c}^{(p)}$, $D_{j,c}^{(p)}$ and $D_{j,c}$ gives the following theorem, which is Theorem 2 of the introduction.

THEOREM 8.3 *Let z be a p^r -th root of unity, and let $z_0 = 1$. Then the specialization of the modified polylogarithm at z is explicitly given as follows:*

$$(i) \ i_z^* P_{\text{dR}}^{(n)} = Ke_{\text{dR}} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^n Ke_{j,\text{dR}} \text{ with the natural Hodge filtration.}$$

$$(ii) \ i_z^* P_{\text{rig}}^{(n)} = Ke_{\text{rig}} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^n Ke_{j,\text{rig}} \text{ with Frobenius}$$

$$\Phi(e_{\text{rig}}) := e_{\text{rig}} + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \ell_{j,c}^{(p)}(z_0) e_{j,\text{rig}}.$$

(iii) \mathbf{p}_c is the isomorphism given by

$$\mathbf{p}_c(e_{\text{dR}}) = e_{\text{rig}} \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{j,\text{rig}} \otimes (-1)^j (D_{j,c}(z) - D_{j,c}(z_0)).$$

As a corollary, we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 8.4 *Let z be a torsion point of order p^r . Then*

$$i_z^* \text{pol}_c^{(n)} = ((-1)^j \text{Li}_j(z) e_{j,\text{dR}})_{j \geq 1}$$

in

$$\text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), i_z^* \mathcal{L}og(1)) = \prod_{j=0}^n \text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j)),$$

where we view $(-1)^j \text{Li}_{j,c}(z) e_{j,\text{dR}}$ as an element in $\text{Ext}_{S(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(K(0), K(j))$ through the isomorphism of lemma 7.1

REFERENCES

[BC] F. Baldassarri and B. Chiarellotto, Algebraic versus rigid cohomology with logarithmic coefficients. In: *V. Cristante, W. Messing (eds.), Barsotti Symposium in Algebraic Geometry*, Perspectives in Math. 15, Academic Press (1994).

- [Ban1] K. Bannai, Rigid syntomic cohomology and p -adic polylogarithms, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 529 (2000), 205-237.
- [Ban2] K. Bannai, Syntomic cohomology as a p -adic absolute Hodge cohomology, *Math. Z.* 242 (2002) No. 3, 443-480.
- [Ber1] P. Berthelot, Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à supports propres, Première partie, Prépublication IRMAR 96-03, Université de Rennes (1996).
- [Ber2] P. Berthelot, Finitude et pureté cohomologique en cohomologie rigide, *Invent. math.* 128 (1997), 329-377.
- [Bei] A. A. Beilinson, Polylogarithm and Cyclotomic Elements, typewritten preprint, MIT 1989 or 1990.
- [BD] A. A. Beilinson and P. Deligne, Motivic Polylogarithm and Zagier's conjecture, preprint, 1992.
- [Bes1] A. Besser, Syntomic Regulators and p -adic Integration I: Rigid Syntomic Regulators, *Israel. J. Math.* 120 (2000), 291-334.
- [Bes2] A. Besser, Syntomic Regulators and p -adic Integration II: K_2 of curves, *Israel. J. Math.* 120 (2000), 335-359.
- [BdJ] A. Besser and R. de Jeu, The syntomic regulator for K -theory of fields, preprint 15 December 2001, arXiv:math.AG/0110334v2.
- [BGR] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert, *Non-archimedean analysis*, Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften 261, Springer-Verlag (1984).
- [Ch] B. Chiarellotto, Weights in rigid cohomology Applications to unipotent F -isocrystals, *Ann. Scient. École Norm. Sup.* 31 (1998), 683-715.
- [Col] R. Coleman, Dilogarithms, Regulators and p -adic L -functions, *Inv. math.* 69 (1982), 171-208.
- [C-LS] J. Y. Etesse and B. Le Stum, Fonctions L associées aux F -isocristaux surconvergents. I. Interprétation cohomologique, *Math. Ann.* 296 (1993), 557-576.
- [D] P. Deligne, Le Groups Fondamental de la Droite Projective Moins Trois Points, In: *Galois Groups over \mathbb{Q}* , Proceedings of a workshop held March 23-27, 1987 at the MSRI, Berkeley, California, Springer 1989, 79-297.
- [Fon1] J.-M. Fontaine, Modules Galoisien, modules filtrés et anneaux de Barsotti-Tate, *Astérisque* 65 (1979), 3-80.
- [Fon2] J.-M. Fontaine, Exposé III: Représentations p -adiques semi-stables, *Astérisque* 223 (1994), 113-184.
- [GK] M. Gros, Régulateurs syntomiques et valeurs de fonctions L p -adiques I (avec un appendice par Masato Kurihara), *Invent. Math.* 99 (1990), 293-320.
- [G] M. Gros, Régulateurs syntomiques et valeurs de fonctions L p -adiques II, *Invent. Math.* 115 (1994), 61-79.
- [HW1] A. Huber and J. Wildeshaus, The Classical Polylogarithm, Abstract of a series of lectures given at a workshop on polylogs in Essen, May 1-4 (1997).

- [HW2] A. Huber and J. Wildeshaus, Classical Motivic Polylogarithm according to Beilinson and Deligne, *Doc. Math.* 3 (1998), 27-133.
- [MW] P. Monsky and G. Washnitzer, Formal Cohomology: I, *Annals of Math* 88 (1968), 181-217.
- [Ni] W. Nizioł, Cohomology of crystalline smooth sheaves, *Comp. Math.* 129 (2001), 123-147.
- [So] M. Somekawa, Log syntomic regulators and p -adic polylogarithms, *K-Theory* 17 (1999), 256-294.
- [Su] S. Sugimoto, Filtered modules and p -adic polylogarithms, Ph. D. thesis, University of Tokyo (1992).
- [T] N. Tsuzuki, On the Gysin isomorphism of rigid cohomology, *Hiroshima Math. J.* 29 no. 3 (1999), 479-527.
- [W] J. Wildeshaus, Realization of Polylogarithms, SLN 1650 (1997).

Kenichi Bannai
Graduate School of Mathematics
Nagoya University
Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602
Japan
bannai@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

