

EQUIVARIANT ORIENTED COHOMOLOGY OF FLAG VARIETIES

Посвящается А.С. Меркурьеву, Ученому и Учителю

BAPTISTE CALMÈS¹, KIRILL ZAINOULLINE², CHANGLONG ZHONG³

Received: September 30, 2014

Revised: March 25, 2015

ABSTRACT. Given an equivariant oriented cohomology theory \mathfrak{h} , a split reductive group G , a maximal torus T in G , and a parabolic subgroup P containing T , we explain how the T -equivariant oriented cohomology ring $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P)$ can be identified with the dual of a coalgebra defined using exclusively the root datum of (G, T) , a set of simple roots defining P and the formal group law of \mathfrak{h} . In two papers [CZZ, CZZ2] we studied the properties of this dual and of some related operators by algebraic and combinatorial methods, without any reference to geometry. The present paper can be viewed as a companion paper, that justifies all the definitions of the algebraic objects and operators by explaining how to match them to equivariant oriented cohomology rings endowed with operators constructed using push-forwards and pull-backs along geometric morphisms. Our main tool is the pull-back to the T -fixed points of G/P which embeds the cohomology ring in question into a direct product of a finite number of copies of the T -equivariant oriented cohomology of a point.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14F43, 14M15, 19L41, 55N22, 57T15, 57R85

¹Supported by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) under reference ANR-12-BL01-0005, as well as by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, through the special semester in homotopy theory, in Essen

²Supported by the NSERC Discovery grant 385795-2010, NSERC DAS grant 396100-2010 and the Early Researcher Award (Ontario)

³Supported by the research grants of the second author, V. Chernousov and S. Gille, by the Fields Institute and PIMS

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| 1. Introduction | 114 |
| 2. Equivariant oriented cohomology theory | 116 |
| 3. Torus-equivariant cohomology of a point | 119 |
| 4. Equivariant cohomology of \mathbb{P}^1 | 121 |
| 5. Algebraic and combinatorial objects | 124 |
| 6. Fixed points of the torus action | 128 |
| 7. Bott-Samelson classes | 130 |
| 8. Pull-back to T -fixed points | 133 |
| 9. Invariant subrings and push-forward pairings | 138 |
| 10. Borel-style presentation | 139 |
| 11. Subgroups of T | 141 |
| References | 141 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Given an equivariant algebraic oriented cohomology theory \mathbf{h} over a base field k , a split reductive group G over k , a maximal torus T in G and a parabolic subgroup P containing T , we explain how, as a ring, $\mathbf{h}_T(G/P)$ can naturally be identified with an algebraic object \mathbf{D}_{Ξ}^* introduced in [CZZ2]. This \mathbf{D}_{Ξ}^* is the dual of a coalgebra defined using exclusively the root datum of (G, T) , a set of simple roots Ξ defining P and the formal group law F of \mathbf{h} . In [CZZ2], we studied the properties of this object and of some related operators by algebraic and combinatorial methods, without any reference to geometry. The present paper is a companion paper to [HMSZ, CZZ, CZZ2] that justifies the definitions of \mathbf{D}_{Ξ}^* and of other related algebraic objects and operators by explaining how to match them to equivariant cohomology rings endowed with operators constructed using push-forwards and pull-backs along geometric morphisms.

The starting point of our approach are celebrated papers by Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand and Demazure [BGG, D74] dedicated to (non-equivariant) Chow groups and K-theory, which then were extended to the respective T -equivariant setting by Arabia [Ar86, Ar89], Brion [Br97], Kostant, Kumar [KK86, KK90] and others. While the equivariant case looks more difficult, its big advantage is that the T -fixed points embedding injects $\mathbf{h}_T(G/P)$ into a very simple ring: a direct product of a finite number of copies of $\mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt})$, where pt is $\text{Spec}(k)$. This important property was already apparent in [Q71, Thm. 4.4] in the topological context (see also [CS74, AB84]). With this observation in hands, the study of the multiplication of Schubert classes (one of the major goals of Schubert calculus) turns into the study of the image of this injection, and then finding a good description of classes of geometric interest in this image, i.e. classes of Schubert varieties, or rather their Bott-Samelson desingularisations.

We would like to point out several places where the case of an oriented cohomology theory with an arbitrary formal group law is significantly more complicated

than the two classical cases of the additive law (Chow groups) and the multiplicative one (K-theory). First of all, in these two classical cases, the formal group law is given by very simple polynomials; it is easy to conceive that the computations increase in complexity with other formal group laws given by powers series with an infinite number of nonzero coefficients. Secondly, in both of these classical cases, the (non-equivariant) cohomology ring of a point is \mathbb{Z} , which is a regular ring, while in general, this base ring can be arbitrary. In the work of Kostant and Kumar, the fraction field of the T -equivariant cohomology ring of the point is used as a crucial tool, but we are forced to invert fewer elements and use a more subtle localization process, for fear of killing everything in some cases (see the definition of Q from S in section 5). Thirdly, an important result by Bressler and Evens [BE90] shows that the additive and the multiplicative formal group laws are the only formal group laws for which the elements X_{I_w} and Y_{I_w} (see after Def. 5.2) are independent of the choice of a reduced decomposition I_w of w . Geometrically, this translates as the fact that for Chow groups or K-theory, the class of a Bott-Samelson desingularization corresponding to the reduced decomposition I_w only depends on w , and actually is the class of the (possibly singular) Schubert variety corresponding to w in Chow groups and the class of its structural sheaf in K-theory. This combinatorial/geometric independence plays a crucial role in the arguments dealing with Chow groups or K-theory: see [D73, Thm. 1] and how it is used in [D74, §4]; see also [KK86, Prop. 4.2] and its corollary Prop. 4.3. For an arbitrary oriented cohomology theory, for example for algebraic cobordism, this is simply not true: different desingularizations of the same Schubert variety give different classes.

Let us mention some of the literature on cohomology theories that go beyond Chow groups or K-theory. Using the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand approach, Bressler and Evens [BE90, BE92] described bases of the (non equivariant) topological complex cobordism ring using Bott-Samelson classes and depending on choosing a reduced decomposition for each Weyl group element. These results were extended later to the algebro-geometric setting independently in [HK] and [CPZ]; in the latter, the approach is algebraic as in [D73, D74] and an efficient algorithm for multiplying Bott-Samelson classes [CPZ, §15] is provided. In [HHH], Harada, Henriques and Holm prove the injectivity of the pull-back to fixed points map and the characterization of its image in the topological context of generalized cohomology theories, under an assumption that certain characteristic classes are prime to each other. Our Theorem 9.2 gives the precise cases when this happens; as all of our statements and proofs, it only relies on algebro-geometric methods, with no input from topology.

In [KiKr, Thm. 5.1], a Borel-style presentation of equivariant algebraic cobordism is obtained after inverting the torsion index. The improvement of our Theorem 10.2 is that it applies to any oriented cohomology theory, and that, even over a field of characteristic zero, over which algebraic cobordism is the universal oriented cohomology theory, it gives a finer result than what one would get by specializing from cobordism, as one can see in the case of K-theory for

which the Borel-style presentation always holds in the simply connected case, without inverting the torsion index.

The techniques developed in the present paper (together with [HMSZ], [CZZ] and [CZZ2]) have been successfully applied to elliptic cohomology: see [LZ14], where the Billey-Graham-Willems formulas for the localization of Schubert classes at torus fixed points were extended to degenerate elliptic cohomology case. In [ZZ14], the authors establish a residue interpretation of the formal affine Hecke algebra \mathbf{H}_F (a deformation of \mathbf{D}_Ξ), which coincides with the residue construction of elliptic affine Hecke algebra of Ginzburg, Kapranov, and Vasserot [GKV97] for an arbitrary elliptic formal group law. They also constructed an isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_F and the equivariant oriented cohomology of the Steinberg variety.

Our main results (Theorems 8.11 and 9.1) identify the ring \mathbf{D}_Ξ^* with the equivariant cohomology $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P)$, within the fixed points ring S_{W/W_Ξ}^* that is a direct product of copies of $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$ and the image of the injective pull-back map $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ (B is a Borel subgroup) as the subring $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)^{W_\Xi}$ of fixed elements under the parabolic Weyl group W_Ξ corresponding to P . In Theorem 10.2 we provide a Borel-style presentation $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})^W} \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ under certain conditions.

Other results are proved along the way: Theorem 9.2 gives an intrinsic characterization of the above mentioned image in the Borel case. Diagram (8.3) describes the push-forward map $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P') \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P)$, induced by the projections $G/P' \rightarrow G/P$ for parabolic subgroups $P' \subseteq P$ of G . Lemma 7.6 describes the algebraic elements corresponding to Bott-Samelson classes, i.e. fundamental classes of desingularized Schubert varieties. Theorem 9.3 proves that the pairing defined by product and push-forward to $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$ is non-degenerate.

The paper is organized as follows. First, we state the properties that we use from equivariant oriented cohomology theories, in section 2. Then, in section 3, we describe $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$ as the formal group ring of [CPZ, Def. 2.4]. In section 4, we compute the case of $\mathfrak{h}_T(\mathbb{P}^1)$ when the action of T on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1 = (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{G}_m$ is induced by a linear action of T on \mathbb{A}^2 . It enables us to compute the pull-back of Bott-Samelson classes ζ_I to $\mathfrak{h}_T((G/B)^T)$ in Lemma 7.6. By localization, some of these classes generate $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ and this lets us prove the Borel case of Theorem 8.11. The parabolic cases are then obtained in the remaining sections, as well as the Borel-style presentation. In the last section, we explain how equivariant groups under subgroups of T (and in particular the trivial group which gives the non-equivariant case) can be recovered out of the equivariant one.

2. EQUIVARIANT ORIENTED COHOMOLOGY THEORY

In the present section we recall the notion of an equivariant algebraic oriented cohomology theory, essentially by compiling definitions and results of [Des09], [EG98], [HM13], [KiKr], [Kr12], [LM07], [Pa09] and [To99]. We present it here in a way convenient for future reference.

In this paper, k is always a fixed base field, and pt denotes $\text{Spec}(k)$. By a variety we mean a reduced separated scheme of finite type over k . Let G be a smooth linear algebraic group over k , abbreviated as *algebraic group*. In this paper we are mostly interested in the case $G = T$. Let $G\text{-Var}$ be the category of smooth quasi-projective varieties over k endowed with an action of G , and with morphisms respecting this action (i.e. G -equivariant morphisms). The tangent sheaf \mathcal{T}_X of any $X \in G\text{-Var}$ is locally free and has a natural G -equivariant structure. The same holds for the (co)normal sheaf of any equivariant regular embedding of a closed subscheme.

An *equivariant oriented cohomology theory* over k is an additive contravariant functor \mathbf{h}_G from the category $G\text{-Var}$ to the category of commutative rings with unit for any algebraic group G (for an equivariant morphism f , the map $\mathbf{h}_G(f)$ is denoted by f^* and is called *pull-back*) together with

- a morphism $f_*: \mathbf{h}_G(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{h}_G(Y)$ of $\mathbf{h}_G(Y)$ -modules (called *push-forward*) for any projective morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $G\text{-Var}$ (here $\mathbf{h}_G(X)$ is an $\mathbf{h}_G(Y)$ -module through f^*). That is, we have the projection formula

$$(2.1) \quad f_*(f^*(y)x) = yf_*(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{h}_G(X), y \in \mathbf{h}_G(Y).$$

- a natural transformation of functors $\text{res}_\phi: \mathbf{h}_H \rightarrow \mathbf{h}_G \circ \text{Res}_\phi$ (called *restriction*) for any morphism of algebraic groups $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ (here $\text{Res}_\phi: H\text{-Var} \rightarrow G\text{-Var}$ simply restricts the action of H to an action of G through ϕ)
- a natural transformation of functors $c^G: K_G \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_G$ (called the *total equivariant characteristic class*), where $K_G(X)$ is the K-group of G -equivariant locally free sheaves over X and $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_G(X)$ is the multiplicative group of the polynomial ring $\mathbf{h}_G(X)[t]$ (the coefficient at t^i is called the i -th equivariant characteristic class in the theory \mathbf{h} and is denoted by c_i^G)

that satisfy the following properties:

A 1 (Compatibility for push-forwards). *The push-forwards respect composition and commute with pull-backs for transversal squares (a transversal square is a fiber product diagram with a nullity condition on Tor-sheaves, stated in [LM07, Def. 1.1.1]; in particular, this condition holds for any fiber product with a flat map).*

A 2 (Compatibility for restriction). *The restriction respects composition of morphisms of groups and commutes with push-forwards.*

A 3 (Localization). *For any smooth closed subvariety $i: Z \rightarrow X$ in $G\text{-Var}$ with open complement $u: U \hookrightarrow X$, the sequence*

$$\mathbf{h}_G(Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathbf{h}_G(X) \xrightarrow{u^*} \mathbf{h}_G(U) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

A 4 (Homotopy Invariance). *Let $p: X \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow X$ be a G -equivariant projection with G acting linearly on \mathbb{A}^n . Then the induced pull-back $\mathbf{h}_G(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{h}_G(X \times \mathbb{A}^n)$ is an isomorphism.*

A 5 (Normalization). *For any regular embedding $i: D \subset X$ of codimension 1 in $G\text{-Var}$ we have $c_1^G(\mathcal{O}(D)) = i_*(1)$ in $\mathfrak{h}_G(X)$, where $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is the line bundle dual to the kernel of the map of G -equivariant sheaves $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D$.*

A 6 (Torsors). *Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be in $G\text{-Var}$ and let H be a closed normal subgroup of G acting trivially on Y such that $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is a H -torsor. Consider the quotient map $\iota: G \rightarrow G/H$. Then the composite $p^* \circ \text{res}_\iota: \mathfrak{h}_{G/H}(Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_G(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

In particular, if $H = G$ we obtain an isomorphism $\mathfrak{h}_{\{1\}}(Y) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_G(X)$ for a G -torsor X over Y .

A 7. *If $G = \{1\}$ is trivial, then $\mathfrak{h}_{\{1\}} = \mathfrak{h}$ defines an algebraic oriented cohomology in the sense of [LM07, Def. 1.1.2] (except that \mathfrak{h} takes values in rings, not in graded rings) with push-forwards and characteristic classes being as in [LM07].*

A 8 (Self-intersection formula). *Let $i: Y \subset X$ be a regular embedding of codimension d in $G\text{-Var}$. Then the normal bundle to Y in X , denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is naturally G -equivariant and there is an equality $i^*i_*(1) = c_d^G(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X})$ in $\mathfrak{h}_G(Y)$.*

A 9 (Quillen’s formula). *If \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are locally free sheaves of rank one, then*

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2) = c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) +_F c_1(\mathcal{L}_2),$$

where F is the formal group law of \mathfrak{h} (here $G = \{1\}$).

As consequences of the projection formula (2.1), we have:

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in $G\text{-Var}$, with a section $s: Y \rightarrow X$. Then for any $u \in \mathfrak{h}_G(Y)$, one has*

- (a) $s^*s_*(u \cdot v) = u \cdot s^*s_*(v)$ if s is projective.
- (b) $p_*(s_*(u)^n) = u \cdot s^*s_*(u)^{n-1}$ for any $n \geq 1$ if furthermore p is projective.

Proof. Part (a) follows from

$$s^*s_*(u \cdot v) = s^*s_*(s^*p^*(u) \cdot v) = s^*(p^*(u) \cdot s_*(v)) = s^*p^*(u) \cdot s^*s_*(v) = u \cdot s^*s_*(v)$$

and part (b) from

$$p_*(s_*(u)^n) = p_*(s_*(u) \cdot s_*(u)^{n-1}) = p_*(s_*(u \cdot s^*(s_*(u)^{n-1}))) = u \cdot s^*s_*(u)^{n-1}.$$

□

This lemma applies in particular when $p: X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ is the structural morphism of X and s is therefore a G -fixed point of X .

For any $X \in G\text{-Var}$ consider the γ -filtration on $\mathfrak{h}_G(X)$, whose i -th term $\gamma^i \mathfrak{h}_G(X)$ is the ideal of $\mathfrak{h}_G(X)$ generated by products of equivariant characteristic classes of total degree at least i . In particular, a G -equivariant locally free sheaf of rank n over pt is the same thing as an n -dimensional k -linear representation of G , so $\gamma^i \mathfrak{h}_G(\text{pt})$ is generated by characteristic classes of such representations. This can lead to concrete computations when the representations of G are well described.

We introduce the following important notion

DEFINITION 2.2. An equivariant oriented algebraic cohomology theory is called *Chern-complete over the point* for G , if the ring $\mathfrak{h}_G(\text{pt})$ is separated and complete with respect to the topology induced by the γ -filtration.

REMARK 2.3. Assume that the ring $\mathfrak{h}_G(\text{pt})$ is separated for all G , and let $\mathfrak{h}_G(\text{pt})^\wedge$ be its completion with respect to the γ -filtration. We can Chern-complete the equivariant cohomology theory by tensoring with $- \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}_G(\text{pt})} \mathfrak{h}_G(\text{pt})^\wedge$. In this way, we obtain a completed version of the cohomology theory, still satisfying the axioms. Note that this completion has no effect on the non-equivariant groups, since in $\mathfrak{h}(\text{pt})$, the characteristic classes are automatically nilpotent by [LM07, Lemma 1.1.3].

Here are three well-known examples of equivariant oriented cohomology theories.

EXAMPLE 2.4. The equivariant Chow ring functor $\mathfrak{h}_G = \text{CH}_G$ was constructed by Edidin and Graham in [EG98], using an inverse limit process of Totaro [To99]. In this case the formal group law is the additive one $F(x, y) = x + y$, the base ring $\text{CH}(\text{pt})$ is \mathbb{Z} , and the theory is Chern-complete over the point for any group G by construction.

EXAMPLE 2.5. Equivariant algebraic K-theory and, in particular, K_0 was constructed by Thomason [Th87] (see also [Me05] for a good survey). The formal group law is multiplicative $F(x, y) = x + y - xy$, the base ring $K_0(\text{pt})$ is \mathbb{Z} , and the theory is *not* Chern complete: for example, $(K_0)_{\mathbb{G}_m}(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ with the γ^i generated by $(1 - t)^i$. Observe that $(K_0)_G(\text{pt})$ consists of classes of k -linear finite dimensional representations of G .

EXAMPLE 2.6 (Algebraic cobordism). Equivariant algebraic cobordism was defined by Deshpande [Des09], Malgón-López and Heller [HM13] and Krishna [Kr12]. The formal group law is the universal one over $\Omega(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{L}$ the Lazard ring. The equivariant theory is Chern complete over the point for any group G by construction.

By Totaro's process one can construct many examples of equivariant theories, such as equivariant connective K-theory, equivariant Morava K-theories, etc. Moreover, in this way one automatically obtains Chern-complete theories.

3. TORUS-EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY OF A POINT

From now on, T is always a split torus. In the present section we show that the completed T -equivariant oriented cohomology ring of a point can be identified with the formal group algebra of the respective group of characters (see Theorem 3.3).

Let Λ be the group of characters of T , which is therefore the Cartier dual of Λ . Let X be a smooth variety over k endowed with a trivial T -action. Consider the pull-back $p^*: \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(X)$ induced by the structure map. Let $\gamma_{\text{pt}}^i \mathfrak{h}_T(X)$

denote the ideal in $\mathbf{h}_T(X)$ generated by elements from the image of $\gamma^i \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt})$ under the pull-back. Since any representation of T decomposes as a direct sum of one dimensional representations, $\gamma^i \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt})$ is generated by products of first characteristic classes $c_1^T(L_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since characteristic classes commute with pull-backs, $\gamma_{\text{pt}}^i \mathbf{h}_T(X)$ is also generated by products of first characteristic classes (of pull-backs p^*L_λ).

Let F be a one-dimensional commutative formal group law over a ring R . We often write $x +_F y$ (formal addition) for the power series $F(x, y)$ defining F . Following [CPZ, §2] consider the formal group algebra $R[[\Lambda]]_F$. It is an R -algebra together with an augmentation map $R[[\Lambda]]_F \rightarrow R$ with kernel denoted by \mathcal{I}_F , and it is complete with respect to the \mathcal{I}_F -adic topology. Thus

$$R[[\Lambda]]_F = \varprojlim_i R[[\Lambda]]_F / \mathcal{I}_F^i,$$

and it is topologically generated by elements of the form x_λ , $\lambda \in \Lambda$, which satisfy $x_{\lambda+\mu} = x_\lambda +_F x_\mu$. By definition (see [CPZ, 2.8]) the algebra $R[[\Lambda]]_F$ is universal among R -algebras with an augmentation ideal I and a morphism of groups $\Lambda \rightarrow (I, +_F)$ that are complete with respect to the I -adic topology. The choice of a basis of Λ defines an isomorphism

$$R[[\Lambda]]_F \simeq R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]],$$

where n is the rank of Λ .

Set $R = \mathbf{h}(X)$. Then $\mathbf{h}_T(X)$ is an R -algebra together with an augmentation map $\mathbf{h}_T(X) \rightarrow R$ via the restrictions induced by $\{1\} \rightarrow T \rightarrow \{1\}$. The assignment $\lambda \in \Lambda \mapsto c_1^T(L_\lambda)$ induces a group homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow (I, +_F)$, where I is the augmentation ideal. Therefore, by the universal property of $R[[\Lambda]]_F$, there is a morphism of R -algebras

$$\phi: R[[\Lambda]]_F / \mathcal{I}_F^i \rightarrow \mathbf{h}_T(X) / \gamma_{\text{pt}}^i \mathbf{h}_T(X).$$

We claim that

LEMMA 3.1. *The morphism ϕ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the rank n of Λ .

For $n = 0$, we have $T = \{1\}$, $R = \mathbf{h}_T(X)$, $\mathcal{I}_F^i = \gamma_{\text{pt}}^i \mathbf{h}_T(X) = \{0\}$ and the map ϕ turns into an identity on R .

For rank $n > 0$ we choose a basis $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ of Λ . Let $\{L_1, \dots, L_n\}$ be the respective one-dimensional representations of T . This gives isomorphisms $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^n$ and \mathbb{G}_m^n acts on L_i by multiplication by the i -th coordinate. Let \mathbb{G}_m^n act on \mathbb{A}^i by multiplication by the last coordinate. Consider the localization sequence (A3)

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n}(X \times \mathbb{A}^i) \longrightarrow \mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n}(X \times (\mathbb{A}^i \setminus \{0\})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

After identifying

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n}(X \times \mathbb{A}^i) \text{ and } \mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n}(X \times (\mathbb{A}^i \setminus \{0\}))$$

via (A8) and (A6), we obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n}(X) \xrightarrow{c_1(L_n)^i} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^{i-1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

where the first map is obtained by applying self-intersection (A5) and homotopy invariance (A4) properties.

By definition, all these maps are R -linear, and the action of \mathbb{G}_m^{n-1} on $X \times \mathbb{P}^{i-1}$ is the trivial one. Since the last map is given by pull-back maps and restrictions (although not all in the same direction), and since equivariant characteristic classes commute with these, one checks that it sends $c_1(L_i)$ to $c_1(L_i)$ for any $i \leq n - 1$ and $c_1(L_n)$ to $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$; this last case holds because $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on \mathbb{P}^{i-1} goes (by restriction and pull-back) to the equivariant line bundle on $\mathbb{A}^i \setminus \{0\}$ with trivial underlying line bundle, but where \mathbb{G}_m^n acts by λ_n on fibers.

By the projective bundle theorem, we have $R' := \mathbf{h}(X \times \mathbb{P}^{i-1}) \simeq R[y]/y^i$ with $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) = y$. By induction, we obtain for any i an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^{i-1})/\gamma_{\text{pt}}^i \simeq R'[\Lambda']_F/(\mathcal{I}'_F)^i,$$

where $\Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ and \mathcal{I}'_F is the augmentation ideal of $R'[\Lambda']_F$. Using the isomorphisms $R[\Lambda]_F \simeq R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $R'[\Lambda']_F \simeq R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ induced by the basis of Λ , we are reduced to checking that

$$\begin{aligned} R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathcal{I}_F^i &\longrightarrow (R[y]/y^i)[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]/\mathcal{J} \\ x_i &\longmapsto \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \leq n - 1 \\ y & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism, when $\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{I}'_F)^i + y \cdot (\mathcal{I}'_F)^{i-1} + \dots + y^i$. The latter then follows by definition. \square

REMARK 3.2. Similar statements can be found in [HM13, 3.2.1] or [Kr12, 6.7], but we gave a full proof for the sake of completeness.

We obtain a natural map of R -algebras

$$\mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_i \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt})/\gamma^i \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt}) \simeq \varprojlim_i R[\Lambda]_F/\mathcal{I}_F^i = R[\Lambda]_F$$

and, therefore, by Lemma 3.1, we have:

THEOREM 3.3. *If \mathbf{h} is (separated and) Chern-complete over the point for T , then the natural map $\mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt}) \rightarrow R[\Lambda]_F$ is an isomorphism. It sends the characteristic class $c_1^T(L_\lambda) \in \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt})$ to $x_\lambda \in R[\Lambda]_F$.*

4. EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY OF \mathbb{P}^1

In the present section we compute equivariant cohomology $\mathbf{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2))$ of a projective line, where a split torus T acts on one-dimensional representations V_1 and V_2 by means of characters λ_1 and λ_2 .

ASSUMPTION 4.1. For the rest of the paper we assume that the equivariant cohomology of the point $\mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt})$ is (separated and) complete for the γ -filtration in the sense of Definition 2.2.

Let X be a smooth T -variety. By section 3, the ring $\mathfrak{h}_T(X)$ can be considered as a ring over $S := R[[\Lambda]]_F$ via the identification $S \simeq \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$ of Theorem 3.3 and the pull-back map $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(X)$. By convention, we'll use the same notation for an element u of S and the element $u \cdot 1 \in \mathfrak{h}_T(X)$, where 1 is the unit of $\mathfrak{h}_T(X)$. Thus, for example, $x_\lambda = c_1^T(L_\lambda)$ in $\mathfrak{h}_T(X)$.

Given a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $T\text{-Var}$, the pull-back map f^* is a morphism of rings over S and the push-forward map f_* (when it exists) is a morphism of S -modules by the projection formula.

REMARK 4.2. Note that we are not claiming that S injects in $\mathfrak{h}_T(X)$ for all $X \in T\text{-Var}$; it will nevertheless hold when X has a k -point that is fixed by T , as most of the schemes considered in this paper have.

We now concentrate on the following setting. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be characters of T , and let V_1 and V_2 be the corresponding one dimensional representations of T , i.e. $t \in T$ acts on $v \in V_i$ by $t \cdot v = \lambda_i(t)v$. Thus, the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$ is endowed with a natural T -action, induced by the action of T on the direct sum of representations $V_1 \oplus V_2$. Furthermore, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ has a natural T -equivariant structure, that can be described in the following way: The geometric points of the total space of $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ are pairs (W, w) where W is a rank one sub-vector space of $V_1 \oplus V_2$ and $w \in W$. The torus T acts by $t \cdot (W, w) = (t(W), t(w))$.

Two obvious embeddings $V_i \subseteq V_1 \oplus V_2$ induce two T -fixed points closed embeddings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \text{pt} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$. The open complement to σ_1 is an affine space isomorphic to $V_1 \otimes V_2^\vee$, with T -action by the character $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. We set $\alpha := \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$. By homotopy invariance (A4) applied to the pull-back induced by the structural morphism of V_1 , we have $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}_T(V_1)$ with inverse given by the pull-back σ_2^* (which actually lands in V_1). The exact localization sequence (A3) can therefore be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_1)_*} \mathfrak{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_2^*} \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Using the structural map $p : \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2) \rightarrow \text{pt}$, we get a splitting p^* of σ_2^* and a retract p_* of $(\sigma_1)_*$. Thus, the exact sequence is in fact injective on the left, and we can decompose $\mathfrak{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2))$ using mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \xrightleftharpoons[\begin{smallmatrix} ((\sigma_1)_*, p^* - (\sigma_1)_* p^* p^*) \end{smallmatrix}]{\begin{smallmatrix} (p_* \\ \sigma_2^*) \end{smallmatrix}} \mathfrak{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2))$$

- LEMMA 4.3. (a) As T -equivariant bundles, we have $\sigma_i^*(\mathcal{O}(-1)) = V_i$.
 (b) We have $(\sigma_1)_*(1) = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes p^*(V_2))$ and $(\sigma_2)_*(1) = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes p^*(V_1))$ in $\mathfrak{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2))$.
 (c) For any $u \in \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$, we have $\sigma_1^*(\sigma_1)_*(u) = x_\alpha u$, $\sigma_2^*(\sigma_2)_*(u) = x_{-\alpha} u$ and $\sigma_1^*(\sigma_2)_*(u) = \sigma_2^*(\sigma_1)_*(u) = 0$.

Proof. The first part is easily checked on the geometric points of total spaces and is left to the reader. The second part follows from (A5), given the exact sequence of T -equivariant sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes p^*(V_2)^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{O}_{σ_1} is the structural sheaf of the closed subscheme given by σ_1 . Again this exact sequence is easy to check and we leave it to the reader. In the third part, the last equality holds by transverse base change through the empty scheme, while the first two follow from Lemma 2.1 and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1^*(\sigma_1)_*(1) &= \sigma_1^*c_1(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes p^*(V_2)) = \\ &= c_1(\sigma_1^*(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes p^*(V_2))) = c_1(V_1^\vee \otimes V_2) = x_{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}. \end{aligned}$$

or a symmetric computation for $\sigma_2^*(\sigma_2)_*(1)$. □

LEMMA 4.4. *If x_α is not a zero divisor in S , then the push-forward*

$$p_* : \mathbf{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)) \rightarrow \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt}) \text{ satisfies } p_*(1) = \frac{1}{x_\alpha} + \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha}}.$$

(Observe that $p_*(1) \in S$ by [CPZ, 3.12], where it is denoted by e_α .)

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha &= c_1(p^*(V_2 \otimes V_1^\vee)) = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes p^*(V_2) \otimes (\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes p^*(V_1))^\vee) \\ &= c_1(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes p^*(V_2)) -_F c_1(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes p^*(V_1)) = (\sigma_1)_*(1) -_F (\sigma_2)_*(1). \end{aligned}$$

By transverse base change, we have $(\sigma_1)_*(1) \cdot (\sigma_2)_*(1) = 0$, and therefore

$$(\sigma_1)_*(1) -_F (\sigma_2)_*(1) = (\sigma_1)_*(1) + (-_F (\sigma_2)_*(1)).$$

Since x_α is not a zero divisor in S , it suffices to prove that

$$x_\alpha \cdot p_*(1) = 1 + \frac{x_\alpha}{x_{-\alpha}},$$

where $\frac{x_\alpha}{x_{-\alpha}} \in S^\times$ is the power series $\frac{-_F(x)}{x}$ applied to $x = x_{-\alpha}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha p_*(1) &= p_*(x_\alpha) = p_*((\sigma_1)_*(1) + (-_F(\sigma_2)_*(1))) \\ &= 1 + p_*(-_F(\sigma_2)_*(1)) = 1 + \frac{x_\alpha}{x_{-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 2.1, part (b). □

Let $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2 : \text{pt} \sqcup \text{pt} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$ be the inclusion of both T -fixed points.

LEMMA 4.5. *If x_α is not a zero divisor in S , the pull-back σ^* is injective, and*

$$\text{im } \sigma^* = \{(u, v) \in \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt}) \mid x_{-\alpha}u + x_\alpha v \in x_\alpha x_{-\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt})\}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt} \sqcup \text{pt}) = \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt})$ identifies σ^* with (σ_1^*, σ_2^*) , it suffices to check that the composition

$$\mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^* \\ \sigma_2^* \end{pmatrix}} \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathbf{h}_T(\text{pt})$$

is injective. Indeed, it is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^*(\sigma_1)_* & \sigma_1^*p^* - \sigma_1^*(\sigma_1)_*p_*p^* \\ \sigma_2^*(\sigma_1)_* & \sigma_2^*p^* - \sigma_2^*(\sigma_1)_*p_*p^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_\alpha & 1 - x_\alpha \cdot p_*(1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_\alpha & -\frac{x_\alpha}{x-x_\alpha} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where in the first equality, we have used $p \circ \sigma_i = \text{id}$, Lemma 4.3 part (c), to get the 1's and the 0, and then the projection formula $p_*p^*(u) = u \cdot p_*(1)$ and Lemma 2.1 to get $\sigma_1^*(\sigma_1)_*p_*p^*(u) = x_\alpha p_*(1) \cdot u$. The last equality holds by Lemma 4.4.

Finally, the image of this matrix is of the expected form. □

Let $S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}]$ be the localization of S at the multiplicative subset generated by x_α . Since $\frac{x_\alpha}{x-x_\alpha}$ is invertible, there is a canonical isomorphism $S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}] \simeq S[\frac{1}{x-x_\alpha}]$. We consider the $S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}]$ -linear operator

$$A: S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}] \oplus S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}] \longrightarrow S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}] \text{ given by } (u, v) \mapsto \frac{u}{x_\alpha} + \frac{v}{x-x_\alpha}.$$

Note that by the previous lemma, it sends the image of σ^* to S inside $S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}]$.

LEMMA 4.6. *If x_α is not a zero divisor in S , the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} S \oplus S \subseteq S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}] \oplus S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}] \\ p_* \downarrow & & \downarrow A \\ \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) & \xleftarrow{\simeq} S \subseteq S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}] \end{array}$$

Proof. It suffices to check the equality of the two maps after precomposition by the isomorphism $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2))$ given in (4.1). Using the matrix already computed in the proof of Lemma 4.5, one obtains that the upper right composition sends (u, v) to u . The lower left composition sends (u, v) to

$$p_*((\sigma_1)_*(u) + p^*(v) - (\sigma_1)_*p_*p^*(v)) = u + p_*p^*(v) - p_*p^*(v) = u. \quad \square$$

5. ALGEBRAIC AND COMBINATORIAL OBJECTS

Let us now introduce the main algebraic objects \mathbf{D}^* , \mathbf{D}_Ξ^* , S_W^* and S_{W/W_Ξ}^* that play the role of algebraic replacements for some equivariant cohomology groups in the remaining of this paper. These objects were discussed in detail in [CZZ] and [CZZ2], and we only give a brief overview here. Their geometric interpretation will be explained in the next sections.

Let $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \Lambda^\vee$, $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\vee$ be a root datum in the sense of [SGA3, Exp. XXI, §1.1]. Thus, Λ is a lattice and Σ is a non-empty finite subset of Λ , called the set of roots. The rank of the root datum is the dimension of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$, and elements in Σ are called roots. The root lattice Λ_r is the subgroup of Λ generated by elements in Σ , and the weight lattice is defined as

$$\Lambda_w = \{\omega \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \mid \alpha^\vee(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Sigma\}.$$

We have $\Lambda_r \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_w$. We always assume that the root datum is *semisimple* (the ranks of Λ , Λ_r , Λ_w are equal and no root is twice any other root). The root datum is called *simply connected* (resp. *adjoint*) if $\Lambda = \Lambda_w$ (resp. $\Lambda = \Lambda_r$) and if it is furthermore irreducible of rank n , we use the notation \mathcal{D}_n^{sc} (resp. \mathcal{D}_n^{ad}) for its Dynkin type, with \mathcal{D} among A, B, C, D, G, F, E .

The Weyl group W of the root datum is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$ generated by simple reflections

$$s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \alpha^\vee(\lambda)\alpha, \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Fixing a set of simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ induces a partition $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \cup \Sigma^-$, where Σ^+ is the set of positive roots and $\Sigma^- = -\Sigma^+$ is the set of negative roots. The Weyl group W is actually generated by $s_i := s_{\alpha_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let F be a one-dimensional commutative formal group law over a commutative ring R . Let $S = R[[\Lambda]]_F$. From now on we always assume that

ASSUMPTION 5.1. The algebra S is Σ -regular, that is, x_α is regular in S for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$ (see [CZZ, Def. 4.4]).

This holds if 2 is regular in R , or if the root datum does not contain an irreducible component of type C_k^{sc} [CZZ, Rem. 4.5].

The action of W on Λ induces an action of W on S , and let S_W be the R -algebra defined as $S \otimes_R R[W]$ as an R -module, and with product given by

$$q\delta_w q' \delta_{w'} = qw(q')\delta_{ww'}, \quad q, q' \in S, w, w' \in W.$$

Let $Q = S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha} | \alpha \in \Sigma]$ and $Q_W = Q \otimes_S S_W$, with ring structure given by the same formula with $q, q' \in Q$. Then $\{\delta_w\}_{w \in W}$ is an S -basis of S_W and a Q -basis of Q_W . There is an action of Q_W on Q , restricting to an action of S_W on S , and given by

$$q\delta_w \cdot q' = qw(q'), \quad q, q' \in Q, w \in W.$$

For each $\alpha \in \Sigma$, we define $\kappa_\alpha = \frac{1}{x_\alpha} + \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha}} \in S$.

DEFINITION 5.2. For any $\alpha \in \Sigma$, let

$$X_\alpha = \frac{1}{x_\alpha} - \frac{1}{x_\alpha} \delta_{s_\alpha}, \quad Y_\alpha = \kappa_\alpha - X_\alpha = \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{x_\alpha} \delta_{s_\alpha},$$

in Q_W , respectively called a *formal Demazure element* and a *formal push-pull element*.

For each sequence (i_1, \dots, i_k) with $1 \leq i_j \leq n$, we define $X_I = X_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots X_{\alpha_{i_k}}$ and $Y_I = Y_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots Y_{\alpha_{i_k}}$.

DEFINITION 5.3. Let \mathbf{D} be the R -subalgebra of Q_W generated by elements from S and the elements X_α , $\alpha \in \Sigma$.

Since $\delta_{s_i} = 1 - x_{\alpha_i} X_{\alpha_i}$, we have $S_W \subseteq \mathbf{D}$. By [CZZ, Prop. 7.7], \mathbf{D} is a free S -module and for any choice of reduced decompositions I_w for every element $w \in W$ the family $\{X_{I_w}\}_{w \in W}$ is an S -basis of \mathbf{D} .

There is a coproduct structure on the Q -module Q_W defined by

$$Q_W \rightarrow Q_W \otimes_Q Q_W, \quad q\delta_w \mapsto q\delta_w \otimes \delta_w,$$

with counit $Q_W \rightarrow Q, q\delta_w \mapsto q$. Here $Q_W \otimes_Q Q_W$ is the tensor product of left Q -modules. By the same formula, one can define a coproduct structure on the S -module S_W . The coproduct on Q_W induces a coproduct structure on \mathbf{D} as a left S -module.

On duals $S_W^* = \text{Hom}_S(S_W, S)$, $\mathbf{D}^* = \text{Hom}_S(\mathbf{D}, S)$ and $Q_W^* = \text{Hom}_Q(Q_W, Q)$ (notice the different stars \star for S -duality and $*$ for Q -duality), the respective coproducts induce products. In S_W^* or Q_W^* , this product is given by the simple formula

$$f_v f_w = \delta_{v,w}^{\text{Kr}} f_v$$

on the dual basis $\{f_v\}_{v \in W}$ to $\{\delta_w\}_{w \in W}$, with $\delta_{v,w}^{\text{Kr}}$ the Kronecker delta. The multiplicative identity is $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{v \in W} f_v$. Let η be the inclusion $S_W \subseteq \mathbf{D}$. It induces an S -algebra map $\eta^* : \mathbf{D}^* \rightarrow S_W^*$, which happens to be injective [CZZ2, Lemma 10.2]. Furthermore, after localization, $\eta_Q : Q_W \rightarrow Q \otimes_S \mathbf{D}^*$ is an isomorphism and by freeness, we have $Q \otimes_S \mathbf{D}^* \simeq \text{Hom}_Q(Q \otimes_S \mathbf{D}, Q)$ and thus $Q \otimes_S \mathbf{D}^* \simeq Q_W^*$, as left Q -rings.

There is a Q -linear action of the R -algebra Q_W on Q_W^* given by

$$(z \bullet f)(z') = f(z'z), \quad z, z' \in Q_W, f \in Q_W^*.$$

as well as S -linear actions of S_W on S_W^* and of \mathbf{D} on \mathbf{D}^* , given by the same formula. With this action, it is proved in [CZZ2, Theorem 10.13] that \mathbf{D}^* is a free \mathbf{D} -module of rank 1 and any $w \in W$ gives a one-element basis $\{x_\Pi \bullet f_w\}$ of it, where $x_\Pi = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^-} x_\alpha$.

The map $c_S : S \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^*$ sending s to $s \bullet \mathbf{1}$ is called the *algebraic (equivariant) characteristic map*, and it is of special importance (see section 10).

We now turn to the setting related to parabolic subgroups. Let $\Xi \subseteq \Pi$ be a subset and let W_Ξ be the subgroup of W generated by the s_i with $\alpha_i \in \Xi$. Let $\Sigma_\Xi = \{\alpha \in \Sigma \mid s_\alpha \in W_\Xi\}$, and define $\Sigma_\Xi^+ = \Sigma^+ \cap \Sigma_\Xi$ and $\Sigma_\Xi^- = \Sigma^- \cap \Sigma_\Xi$. For $\Xi' \subseteq \Xi \subseteq \Pi$, let $\Sigma_{\Xi/\Xi'}^+ = \Sigma_\Xi^+ \setminus \Sigma_{\Xi'}^+$ and $\Sigma_{\Xi/\Xi'}^- = \Sigma_\Xi^- \setminus \Sigma_{\Xi'}^-$. In S , we set

$$x_{\Xi/\Xi'} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{\Xi/\Xi'}^-} x_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad x_\Xi = x_{\Xi/\emptyset}.$$

Let S_{W/W_Ξ} be the free S -module with basis $\{\delta_{\bar{w}}\}_{\bar{w} \in W/W_\Xi}$ and let $Q_{W/W_\Xi} = Q \otimes_S S_{W/W_\Xi}$ be its localization.

As on Q_W , one can define a coproduct structure on Q_{W/W_Ξ} and S_{W/W_Ξ} , by the same diagonal formula. Let

$$S_{W/W_\Xi}^* = \text{Hom}_S(S_{W/W_\Xi}, S) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{W/W_\Xi}^* = \text{Hom}_Q(Q_{W/W_\Xi}, Q)$$

be the respective dual rings of the corings S_{W/W_Ξ} and Q_{W/W_Ξ} . On the basis $\{f_{\bar{v}}\}_{\bar{v} \in W/W_\Xi}$ dual to the basis $\{\delta_{\bar{w}}\}_{\bar{w} \in W/W_\Xi}$, the unit element is $\mathbf{1}_\Xi = \sum_{\bar{v} \in W/W_\Xi} f_{\bar{v}}$, both in S_{W/W_Ξ}^* and in Q_{W/W_Ξ}^* .

Assume $\Xi' \subseteq \Xi$. Let $\bar{w} \in W/W_{\Xi'}$ and let \hat{w} denote its class in W/W_{Ξ} . Consider the projection and the sum over orbits

$$p_{\Xi/\Xi'} : S_{W/W_{\Xi'}} \rightarrow S_{W/W_{\Xi}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\Xi/\Xi'} : S_{W/W_{\Xi}} \rightarrow \sum_{\substack{\bar{v} \in W/W_{\Xi'} \\ \hat{v} = \hat{w}}} \delta_{\bar{v}}$$

with S -dual maps

$$p_{\Xi/\Xi'}^* : S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* \rightarrow S_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\Xi/\Xi'}^* : S_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* \rightarrow S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* .$$

$$f_{\hat{w}} \mapsto \sum_{\substack{\bar{v} \in W/W_{\Xi'} \\ \hat{v} = \hat{w}}} f_{\bar{v}} \quad \quad \quad f_{\bar{w}} \mapsto f_{\hat{w}}$$

Note that $p_{\Xi/\Xi'}$ respects coproducts, so $p_{\Xi/\Xi'}^*$ is a ring map while $d_{\Xi/\Xi'}^*$ isn't.

We set $p_{\Xi} = p_{\Xi/\emptyset}$. Let \mathbf{D}_{Ξ} denote the image of \mathbf{D} via p_{Ξ} . The coproduct structure on $Q_{W/W_{\Xi}}$ induces an S -linear coproduct structure on \mathbf{D}_{Ξ} , so its S -dual \mathbf{D}_{Ξ}^* has a ring structure.

In summary, we have the following diagram followed by its dualization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_{W/W_{\Xi'}} & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\Xi'}} & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi'} & \hookrightarrow & Q_{W/W_{\Xi'}} & & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi'}^* & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\Xi'}^*} & S_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* & \hookrightarrow & Q_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* \\ \downarrow p_{\Xi/\Xi'} & & \downarrow p_{\Xi/\Xi'} & & \downarrow p_{\Xi/\Xi'} & & \uparrow p_{\Xi/\Xi'}^* & & \uparrow p_{\Xi/\Xi'}^* & & \uparrow p_{\Xi/\Xi'}^* \\ S_{W/W_{\Xi}} & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\Xi}} & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi} & \hookrightarrow & Q_{W/W_{\Xi}} & & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi}^* & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\Xi}^*} & S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* & \hookrightarrow & Q_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* \end{array}$$

in which all horizontal maps become isomorphisms after tensoring by Q on the left. It will receive a geometric interpretation as Diagram (8.2). Moreover, by [CZZ2, Lemma 11.7], the image of p_{Ξ}^* in \mathbf{D}^* (or S_W^* , Q_W^*) is the subset of W_{Ξ} -invariant elements.

There is no \bullet -action of $S_{W/W_{\Xi}}$ on $S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^*$ because $S_{W/W_{\Xi}}$ is not a ring. But since $x_{\Pi/\Xi} \in S^{W_{\Xi}}$, the element $x_{\Pi/\Xi} \bullet f$ is well-defined for any $f \in S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^*$ and actually belongs to \mathbf{D}_{Ξ}^* inside $S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^*$, by [CZZ2, Lemma 15.3]. This defines a map $\mathbf{D}_{\Xi}^* \rightarrow S_{W/W_{\Xi}}$, interpreted geometrically in Diagram (8.1).

For a given set of representatives of $W_{\Xi}/W_{\Xi'}$ we define the *push-pull element* by

$$Y_{\Xi/\Xi'} = \sum_{w \in W_{\Xi/\Xi'}} \delta_w \frac{1}{x_{\Xi/\Xi'}} \in Q_W .$$

We set $Y_{\Xi} = Y_{\Xi/\emptyset}$. If $\Xi = \{\alpha_i\}$, then $Y_{\Xi} = Y_{\alpha_i}$. By [CZZ2, Lemma 10.12], $Y_{\Xi} \in \mathbf{D}$.

Let

$$A_{\Xi/\Xi'} : (Q_W^*)^{W_{\Xi'}} \rightarrow (Q_W^*)^{W_{\Xi}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} : Q_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* \rightarrow Q_{W/W_{\Xi}}^*$$

$$f \mapsto Y_{\Xi/\Xi'} \bullet f \quad \quad \quad f \mapsto d_{\Xi/\Xi'}^* \left(\frac{1}{x_{\Xi/\Xi'}} \bullet f \right)$$

and respectively call them *push-pull operator* and *push-forward operator*. The operator $\mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'}$ is actually independent of the choice of representatives [CZZ2,

Lem. 6.5]. We have $A_{\Xi/\Xi'}((\mathbf{D}^*)^{W_{\Xi'}}) = (\mathbf{D}^*)^{W_{\Xi}}$ by [CZZ2, Cor. 14.6] and $\mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'}$ induces a map $\mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} : \mathbf{D}_{\Xi'}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\Xi}^*$ by [CZZ2, Lemma 15.1]. These two operators are related by the commutative diagram on the left below, becoming the one on the right after tensoring by Q .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{D}_{\Xi'}^* & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{p_{\Xi'}^*} & (\mathbf{D}^*)^{W_{\Xi'}} \\
 \mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} \\
 \mathbf{D}_{\Xi}^* & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{p_{\Xi}^*} & (\mathbf{D}^*)^{W_{\Xi}}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 Q_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{p_{\Xi'}^*} & (Q_W^*)^{W_{\Xi'}} \\
 \mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} \\
 Q_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{p_{\Xi}^*} & (Q_W^*)^{W_{\Xi}}
 \end{array}$$

Again, when $\Xi' = \emptyset$, we set $A_{\Xi} = A_{\Xi/\emptyset}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\Xi} = \mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\emptyset}$.

6. FIXED POINTS OF THE TORUS ACTION

We now consider a split semi-simple algebraic group G over k containing T as a maximal torus, with character group Λ . Let W be the Weyl group associated to (G, T) , with roots $\Sigma \subseteq \Lambda$. We choose a Borel subgroup B of G containing T . It defines a set Π of simple roots in W . Given a subset $\Xi \subseteq \Pi$, the subgroup generated by B and representatives in $G(k)$ of reflections with respect to roots in Ξ is a parabolic subgroup, denoted by P_{Ξ} . The map sending Ξ to P_{Ξ} is a bijection between subsets of Π and parabolic subgroups of G containing B . Let W_{Ξ} be the subgroup of W generated by reflections with respect to roots in Ξ . We will abuse the notation by also writing W (or W_{Ξ} , etc.) when referring to the constant finite algebraic group over pt whose set of points over any field is W .

For any parabolic subgroup P , the quotient variety G/P is projective and we consider it in T -Var by letting T act on G by multiplication on the left. After identifying $W \simeq N_G(T)/T$, the Bruhat decomposition says that $G/P = \bigsqcup_{w \in W_{\Xi}} BwP_{\Xi}/P_{\Xi}$, where the union is taken over the set W_{Ξ} of minimal left coset-representatives of W/W_{Ξ} . It induces a bijection between k -points of G/P_{Ξ} that are fixed by T and the set W_{Ξ}^{fix} (or W/W_{Ξ}). In particular, fixed k -points of G/B are in bijection with elements of W .

Let $(G/P_{\Xi})^T = \bigsqcup_{\bar{w} \in W/W_{\Xi}} \text{pt}_{\bar{w}}$ denote the closed subvariety of T -fixed k -points, then by additivity there is an $S = \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\Theta_{\Xi} : \mathfrak{h}_T((G/P_{\Xi})^T) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \prod_{\bar{w} \in W/W_{\Xi}} \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}_{\bar{w}}) = \prod_{\bar{w} \in W/W_{\Xi}} S \cong S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^*.$$

If $\Pi = \emptyset$, we denote $\Theta : \mathfrak{h}_T((G/B)^T) = \mathfrak{h}_T(W) \rightarrow S_W^*$.

Let $\iota_{\Xi} : (G/P_{\Xi})^T \hookrightarrow G/P_{\Xi}$ denote the (closed) embedding of the T -fixed locus, and let $\iota_{\Xi}^{\bar{w}} : \text{pt}_{\bar{w}} \hookrightarrow G/P_{\Xi}$ denote the embedding corresponding to \bar{w} . Given $\Xi' \subseteq \Xi \subseteq \Pi$, we define projections

$$\pi_{\Xi/\Xi'} : G/P_{\Xi'} \rightarrow G/P_{\Xi} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \rho_{\Xi/\Xi'} : W/W_{\Xi'} \rightarrow W/W_{\Xi}$$

(here we view W/W_Ξ as a variety that is a disjoint union of copies of pt indexed by cosets). If $\Xi = \{\alpha\}$ consists of a single simple root α , we omit the brackets in the indices, i.e. we abbreviate $W_{\{\alpha\}}$ as W_α , $P_{\{\alpha\}}$ as P_α , etc. If $\Xi' = \emptyset$, we omit the \emptyset in the notation, i.e. $\pi_{\Xi/\emptyset} = \pi_\Xi$, $\rho_{\Xi/\emptyset} = \rho_\Xi$, etc. By definition, we have

$$(6.1) \quad \Theta_\Xi \circ (\rho_{\Xi/\Xi'})_* = d_{\Xi/\Xi'}^* \circ \Theta_{\Xi'} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{\Xi'} \circ (\rho_{\Xi/\Xi'})^* = p_{\Xi/\Xi'}^* \circ \Theta_\Xi.$$

The following lemma is easy and well-known. We include a proof for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 6.1. *Let $w \in W$ be a representative of $\bar{w} \in W/W_\Xi$. The pull-pack $(i_\Xi^{\bar{w}})^* \mathcal{T}_{G/P_\Xi}$ of the tangent bundle \mathcal{T}_{G/P_Ξ} of G/P_Ξ is the representation of T (the T -equivariant bundle over a point) with weights $\{w(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Sigma_{\Pi/\Xi}^-\}$. (This set is indeed independent of the choice of a representative w , e.g. by [CZZ2, Lemma 5.1].)*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence of T -representations at the neutral element $e \in G$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{P_\Xi, e} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{G, e} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{G/P_\Xi, e} \rightarrow 0$$

(it is exact by local triviality of the right P_Ξ -torsor $G \rightarrow G/P_\Xi$). By definition of the root system associated to (G, T) , the roots Σ are the characters of $\mathcal{T}_{G, e}$. By definition of the parabolic subgroup P_Ξ , the characters of $\mathcal{T}_{P_\Xi, e}$ are $\Sigma^+ \sqcup \Sigma_\Xi^-$. This proves the lemma when $w = e$. For an arbitrary w , we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{pt}_e & \xrightarrow{i_\Xi^e} & G & \xrightarrow{w \cdot} & G \\ & \searrow i_\Xi^e & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & G/P_\Xi & \xrightarrow{w \cdot} & G/P_\Xi \end{array}$$

which is T -equivariant if T acts by multiplication on the left on the right column and through conjugation by w^{-1} and then by multiplication on the left on the left column. Since $i_\Xi^{\bar{w}}$ is the bottom composite from pt_e to G/P_Ξ , the fiber of \mathcal{T}_{G/P_Ξ} at \bar{w} is isomorphic to its fiber at e , but for every character α , the action of T is now by $t(v) = \alpha(\bar{w}^{-1}t\bar{w}) \cdot v = \alpha(w^{-1}(t)) \cdot v = w(\alpha)(t) \cdot v$, in other words by the character $w(\alpha)$. \square

PROPOSITION 6.2. *We have $(i_\Xi^{\bar{w}})^*(i_\Xi^{\bar{w}'})_*(1) = 0$ if $\bar{w} \neq \bar{w}' \in W/W_\Xi$ and*

$$(i_\Xi^{\bar{w}})^*(i_\Xi^{\bar{w}})_*(1) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{\Pi/\Xi}^-} x_{w(\alpha)} = w(x_{\Pi/\Xi}).$$

Proof. The case $\bar{w} \neq \bar{w}'$ holds by transverse base change through the empty scheme. Since the normal bundle to a point in G/P_Ξ is the tangent bundle of G/P_Ξ pulled back to that point, and since any T -representation splits into one-dimensional ones, the case $\bar{w} = \bar{w}'$ follows from (A8) using Lemma 6.1 to identify the characters. \square

REMARK 6.3. Note that in the Borel case, the inclusion of an individual fixed point is local complete intersection as any other morphism between smooth varieties, but not “global” complete intersection, in the sense that it is not the zero locus of transverse sections of a globally defined vector bundle. Otherwise, for Chow groups, such a point would be in the image of the characteristic map as a product of first characteristic classes, and it isn't for types for which the simply connected torsion index isn't 1. Locally, on an open excluding other fixed points, it becomes such a product, as the previous proposition shows.

COROLLARY 6.4. *We have $\Theta_{\Xi}(\iota_{\Xi})^*(\iota_{\Xi})_*(1) = x_{\Pi/\Xi} \bullet \mathbf{1}_{\Xi}$.*

Proof. Since $\iota_{\Xi} = \bigsqcup_{\bar{w} \in W/W_{\Xi}} \iota_{\Xi}^{\bar{w}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\Xi}(\iota_{\Xi})^*(\iota_{\Xi})_*(1) &= \Theta_{\Xi} \left(\sum_{\bar{v}, \bar{w} \in W/W_{\Xi}} (\iota_{\Xi}^{\bar{v}})^*(\iota_{\Xi}^{\bar{w}})_*(1) \right) = \Theta_{\Xi} \left(\sum_{\bar{w} \in W/W_{\Xi}} w(x_{\Pi/\Xi}) \mathbf{1}_{\text{pt}_{\bar{w}}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\bar{w} \in W/W_{\Xi}} w(x_{\Pi/\Xi}) f_{\bar{w}} = x_{\Pi/\Xi} \bullet \mathbf{1}_{\Xi}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

7. BOTT-SAMELSON CLASSES

In the present section we describe the Bott-Samelson classes in the T -equivariant cohomology of G/P_{Ξ} .

Let $\Xi \subseteq \Pi$ as before. For each $\bar{w} \in W/W_{\Xi}$ consider the B -orbit BwP_{Ξ}/P_{Ξ} of the point in G/P_{Ξ} corresponding to \bar{w} . It is isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{l(v)}$ where $v \in W^{\Xi}$ is the representative of \bar{w} of minimal length $l(v)$. Its closure $\overline{BwP_{\Xi}/P_{\Xi}}$ is called the Schubert variety at \bar{w} with respect to Ξ and is denoted by $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{w}}^{\Xi}$. If $\Xi = \emptyset$, we write \mathcal{X}_w for $\mathcal{X}_w^{\emptyset}$. Moreover, by Bruhat decomposition the closed complement of BwP_{Ξ}/P_{Ξ} is the union of Schubert varieties $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{u}}^{\Xi}$ with $\bar{u} < \bar{w}$ for the Bruhat order on W/W_{Ξ} . For any $w \in W$, the projection map $G/B \rightarrow G/P_{\Xi}$ induces a projective map $\mathcal{X}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_w^{\Xi}$. Moreover, if $w \in W^{\Xi}$, then the projection $\mathcal{X}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_w^{\Xi}$ is (projective and) birational.

The variety \mathcal{X}_w^{Ξ} is not smooth in general, but it admits nice desingularizations, that we now recall, following [D74]. Given a sequence of simple reflections $I = (s_1, \dots, s_l)$ corresponding to simple roots $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l)$, the Bott-Samelson desingularization of \mathcal{X}_I is defined as

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}}_I = P_{\alpha_1} \times^B P_{\alpha_2} \times^B \dots \times^B P_{\alpha_l} / B$$

where \times^B means the quotient by the action of B given on points by $b \cdot (x, y) = (xb^{-1}, by)$. By definition, the multiplication of all factors induces a map $q_I: \hat{\mathcal{X}}_I \rightarrow G/B$ which factors through a map $\mu_I: \hat{\mathcal{X}}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{w(I)}$ where

$w(I) = s_1 \cdots s_l$. It is easy to see that if $I' = (s_1, \dots, s_{l-1})$, the diagram

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathcal{X}}_I & \xrightarrow{q_I} & G/B \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{\alpha_l} \\ \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{I'} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\alpha_l} \circ q_{I'}} & G/P_{\alpha_l} \end{array}$$

is cartesian, when p' is projection on the first $l - 1$ factors. By induction on l , the variety $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_I$ is smooth projective and the morphism μ_I is projective. When furthermore I is a reduced decomposition of $w(I)$, meaning that it is of minimal length among the sequences J such that $w(J) = w(I)$, the map μ_I is birational (still by Bruhat decomposition). We can compose this map with the projection to get a map $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\bar{w}}^{\Xi}$ and thus when $w \in W^{\Xi}$, we obtain a (projective birational) desingularization $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\bar{w}}^{\Xi}$. It shows that, G/P_{Ξ} has a cellular decomposition with desingularizations, as considered just before [CPZ, Thm. 8.8], with cells indexed by elements of W/W_{Ξ} .

REMARK 7.1. The flag varieties, the Schubert varieties, their Bott-Samelson desingularizations and the various morphisms between them that we have just introduced are all B -equivariant when B acts on the left, and therefore are T -equivariant.

DEFINITION 7.2. Let $q_I^{\Xi} = \pi_{\Xi} \circ q_I$, let ζ_I^{Ξ} be the push-forward $(q_I^{\Xi})_*(1)$ in $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi})$, and let $\zeta_I = \zeta_I^{\emptyset}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$.

Note that by definition, we have $(\pi_{\Xi})_*(\zeta_I) = \zeta_I^{\Xi}$.

LEMMA 7.3. For any choice of reduced sequences $\{I_w\}_{w \in W^{\Xi}}$, the classes $\zeta_{I_w}^{\Xi}$ generate $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi})$ as an S -module.

Proof. The proof of [CPZ, Theorem 8.8] goes through when \mathfrak{h} is replaced by \mathfrak{h}_T , since all morphisms involved are T -equivariant; it only uses homotopy invariance and localization. \square

Let V_0 (resp. V_{α}) be the 1-dimensional representation of T corresponding to the 0 (resp. α) character. Let σ_0 and σ_{α} be the inclusions of T -fixed points corresponding to V_0 and V_{α} in $\mathbb{P}(V_0 \oplus V_{\alpha})$ as in the setting of Section 4.

Consider the projection $\pi_{\alpha}: G/B \rightarrow G/P_{\alpha}$. Given an element $w \in W$, with image \bar{w} in W/W_{α} and any lifting w' of w in G , the fiber over the fixed point $i_{\alpha}^{\bar{w}}: \text{pt}_{\bar{w}} \rightarrow G/P_{\alpha}$ is $w'P_{\alpha}/B$.

LEMMA 7.4. There is a T -equivariant isomorphism $w'P_{\alpha}/B \simeq \mathbb{P}(V_0 \oplus V_{-w(\alpha)})$, such that the closed fixed point $i^w: \text{pt}_w \rightarrow w'P_{\alpha}/B \hookrightarrow G/B$ (resp. $i^{ws_{\alpha}}$) is sent to $\sigma_0: \text{pt} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_0 \oplus V_{-w(\alpha)})$ (resp. to $\sigma_{-w(\alpha)}$).

Proof. Multiplication on the left by w' defines an isomorphism $P_{\alpha}/B \rightarrow w'P_{\alpha}/B$ and it is T -equivariant if T acts by multiplication on the left on $w'P_{\alpha}/B$ and through conjugation by $(w')^{-1}$ and then by multiplication on

the left on P_α/B . Thus, we can reduce to the case where $w' = e$: the general case follows by replacing the character α by $w(\alpha)$.

First, let us observe that PGL_2 acts on the projective space \mathbb{P}^1 by projective transformations, i.e.

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} t & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}} [x : y] = [tx + by : cx + dy]$$

with its Borel subgroup B_{PGL_2} of upper triangular matrices fixing the point $[1 : 0]$, which therefore gives an identification $\mathrm{PGL}_2/B_{\mathrm{PGL}_2} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. So, its maximal torus \mathbb{G}_m of matrices such that $b = c = 0$ and $d = 1$ acts by $t[x : y] = [tx : y] = [x : t^{-1}y]$. Thus, as a \mathbb{G}_m -variety, this \mathbb{P}^1 is actually $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_0) \simeq \mathbb{P}(V_0 \oplus V_{-1})$. The adjoint semi-simple quotient of P_α is of rank one, so it is isomorphic to PGL_2 . The maximal torus T maps to a maximal torus \mathbb{G}_m and the Borel B to a Borel in this PGL_2 . Up to modification of the isomorphism by a conjugation, we can assume that this Borel of PGL_2 is indeed B_{PGL_2} as above. The map $T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is $\pm\alpha$ (the sign depends on how the maximal torus of PGL_2 is identified with \mathbb{G}_m). Since $P_\alpha/B \simeq \mathrm{PGL}_2/B_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}$, we are done by the PGL_2 case. \square

Recall the notation from section 5.

LEMMA 7.5. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(W) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta} & S_W^* \subseteq Q_W^* \\ \pi_\alpha^*(\pi_\alpha)_* \downarrow & & & & \downarrow A_\alpha \\ \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(W) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta} & S_W^* \subseteq Q_W^* \end{array}$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 7.4, the strategy is to reduce to the case of Lemma 4.6 by restricting to the fiber over one fixed point of G/P_α at a time.

We decompose $Q_W^* = \bigoplus_{w \in W^\alpha} (Q \cdot f_w \oplus Q \cdot f_{ws_\alpha})$ and note that A_α preserves this decomposition since

$$A_\alpha(f_w) = \frac{1}{x_{-w(\alpha)}}(f_w + f_{ws_\alpha}), \quad A_\alpha(f_{ws_\alpha}) = \frac{1}{x_{w(\alpha)}}(f_w + f_{ws_\alpha})$$

and A_α is Q -linear. It therefore suffices to check the commutativity of the diagram after extending both rows on the right by a projection $Q_W^* \rightarrow Q \cdot f_w \oplus Q \cdot f_{ws_\alpha}$, for all $w \in W^\alpha$. But then, the composite horizontal maps $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) \rightarrow Q \cdot f_w \oplus Q \cdot f_{ws_\alpha}$ factor as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(P_\alpha w B/B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(\mathrm{pt}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_T(\mathrm{pt}) \simeq \\ &\simeq S \oplus S \subseteq S\left[\frac{1}{x_{w(\alpha)}}\right] \oplus S\left[\frac{1}{x_{-w(\alpha)}}\right] \subseteq Q \oplus Q. \end{aligned}$$

Using proper base change on the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/B & \longleftarrow & w'P_\alpha/B \\ \pi_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G/P_\alpha & \xleftarrow{\iota_\alpha^{\bar{w}}} & \text{pt} \end{array}$$

and identifying $w'P_\alpha/B$ with $\mathbb{P}(V_0 \oplus V_{-w(\alpha)})$ by Lemma 7.4, we are reduced to proving the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_0 \oplus V_{-w(\alpha)})) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} S \oplus S \subseteq S[\frac{1}{x_{w(\alpha)}}] \oplus S[\frac{1}{x_{w(\alpha)}}] \\ p^* p_* \downarrow & & \downarrow A_\alpha \\ \mathfrak{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_0 \oplus V_{-w(\alpha)})) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} S \oplus S \subseteq S[\frac{1}{x_{w(\alpha)}}] \oplus S[\frac{1}{x_{w(\alpha)}}] \end{array}$$

which immediately reduces to the diagram of Lemma 7.5 followed by an obvious commutative diagram involving pull-backs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{h}_T(\mathbb{P}(V_0 \oplus V_{-w(\alpha)})) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} S \oplus S \subseteq S[\frac{1}{x_{w(\alpha)}}] \oplus S[\frac{1}{x_{w(\alpha)}}] \\ p^* \uparrow & \nearrow \Delta & \uparrow \Delta \\ \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & S \subseteq S[\frac{1}{x_{w(\alpha)}}] \end{array}$$

in which Δ is the diagonal morphism. □

LEMMA 7.6. For any sequence $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$, the Bott-Samelson class $\zeta_I \in \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ maps to

$$\Theta \circ \iota^*(\zeta_I) = A_{I^{\text{rev}}}(x_\Pi \cdot f_e)$$

in S_W^* .

Proof. By induction using diagram (7.1), we have

$$\zeta_I = \pi_{\alpha_{i_l}}^* (\pi_{\alpha_{i_l}})_* \circ \dots \circ \pi_{\alpha_{i_1}}^* (\pi_{\alpha_{i_1}})_* \circ (\iota^e)_*(1).$$

Since $\Theta \iota^*(\iota^e)_*(1) = x_\Pi \cdot f_e$ by Proposition 6.2, the conclusion follows from Lemma 7.5. □

8. PULL-BACK TO T-FIXED POINTS

In the present section we describe the T -equivariant cohomology of an arbitrary split flag variety G/P_Ξ via the pull-back map to the cohomology of T -fixed points.

First, consider the complete flag variety G/B .

PROPOSITION 8.1. For any choice of reduced decompositions $(I_w)_{w \in W}$, the family $(\zeta_{I_w})_{w \in W}$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ over $S = \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$.

Proof. By Lemma 7.6, the element ζ_{I_w} pulls-back to $A_{I^{\text{rev}}}(x_{\Pi} \cdot f_e)$ in S_W^* and these are linearly independent over S by [CZZ2, Theorem 12.4]. They generate $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ by Lemma 7.3. \square

THEOREM 8.2. *The pull-back map to fixed points $\iota^* : \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(W)$ is injective, and the isomorphism $\Theta : \mathfrak{h}_T(W) \simeq S_W^*$, identifies its image to $\mathbf{D}^* \subseteq S_W^*$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 8.1 and the fact that the $A_{I^{\text{rev}}}(x_{\Pi} \cdot f_e)$ form a basis of \mathbf{D}^* as a submodule of S_W^* , still by [CZZ2, Theorem 12.4]. \square

REMARK 8.3. We do not know a direct geometric proof that $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ injects into $\mathfrak{h}_T((G/B)^T)$, which is of course well known for Chow groups or K-theory. To prove injectivity for Chow groups, one usually argues along the following lines:

- (a) the composition $\iota^* \circ \iota_*$ becomes an isomorphism over Q (see Prop. 6.2);
- (b) $\text{CH}_T(G/B)$ is a free $\text{CH}_T(\text{pt})$ -module of rank $|W|$ and so is $\text{CH}_T((G/B)^T)$.
- (c) the pull-back $Q \otimes_S \text{CH}_T(G/B) \rightarrow Q \otimes_S \text{CH}_T((G/B)^T)$ is an isomorphism as any surjection of free modules of the same rank over a noetherian ring (Q is a localization of $\text{CH}_T(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$).

However, in the general case, localization arguments only give generating families so the freeness part of (b) does not follow, and in (c), Q is not noetherian (e.g. the Lazard ring is not noetherian), so we need to look more carefully into the structure of the image as a submodule of $\mathfrak{h}_T((G/B)^T)$. This is done in the algebraic world: the Bott-Samelson classes considered are linearly independent when pulled to S_W^* and the map $\mathbf{D}^* \rightarrow S_W^*$ is indeed an injection by [CZZ2, Lemma 10.2].

COROLLARY 8.4. *The pull-back map $\iota^* : \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(W)$ becomes an isomorphism after localization at the multiplicative subset generated by all x_{α} where α is a root.*

Proof. After localization at this subset, the inclusion $\mathbf{D}^* \subseteq S_W^*$ becomes an isomorphism (see [CZZ2, Lemma 10.2]). \square

LEMMA 8.5. *The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathfrak{h}_T(W) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{h}_T(W) \\
 \simeq \downarrow \Theta & & \simeq \downarrow \Theta & & \simeq \downarrow \Theta \\
 S_W^* & \xrightarrow{x_{\Pi \bullet}(-)} & \mathbf{D}^* & \hookrightarrow & S_W^* \\
 & & \eta^* & &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 6.4 and Theorem 8.2. \square

We now consider an arbitrary flag variety G/P_{Ξ} .

LEMMA 8.6. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(W) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta} & S_W^* & \subseteq & Q_W^* \\ (\pi_\Xi)_* \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \mathcal{A}_\Xi \\ \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi) & \xrightarrow{i_\Xi^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_\Xi) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta_\Xi} & S_{W/W_\Xi}^* & \subseteq & Q_{W/W_\Xi}^* \end{array}$$

Proof. After tensoring the whole diagram with Q over S , the morphism i^* becomes an isomorphism by Corollary 8.4. The family $((i^w)_*(1))_{w \in W}$ is a Q -basis of $Q \otimes_S \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$, since by Proposition 6.2, $\Theta \circ i^* \circ (i^w)_*(1)$ is f_w multiplied by an element that is invertible (in Q). It therefore suffices to check the equality of both compositions in the diagram when applied to all $(i^w)_*(1)$ with $w \in W$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\Xi \circ \Theta \circ i^* \circ (i^w)_*(1) &= \mathcal{A}_\Xi(w(x_\Pi)f_w) = w(x_\Pi)\mathcal{A}_\Xi(f_w) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} w(x_{\Pi/\Xi})f_{\bar{w}} = \Theta_\Xi(i_\Xi)^*(i_{\bar{w}})_*(1) = \Theta_\Xi(i_\Xi)^*(\pi_\Xi)_*(i^w)_*(1) \end{aligned}$$

where equality $(*)$ follows from the definition of \mathcal{A}_Ξ . □

COROLLARY 8.7. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(W) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta} & S_W^* & \subseteq & Q_W^* \\ (\pi_\Xi)^*(\pi_\Xi)_* \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \mathcal{A}_\Xi \\ \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(W) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta} & S_W^* & \subseteq & Q_W^* \end{array}$$

Proof. Using equation (6.1), one easily checks the commutativity of diagram involving pull-backs

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(W) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta} & S_W^* & \subseteq & Q_W^* \\ \pi_\Xi^* \uparrow & & & & & & \uparrow p_\Xi^* \\ \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_\Xi) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta_\Xi} & S_{W/W_\Xi}^* & \subseteq & Q_{W/W_\Xi}^* \end{array}$$

where p_Ξ^* is the sum over orbits: $p_\Xi^*(f_{\bar{w}}) = \sum_{v=\bar{w}} f_v$. The result follows from the combination of this diagram and the one of Lemma 8.6. □

LEMMA 8.8. *For any sequence $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$, the Bott-Samelson class $\zeta_I^\Xi \in \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi)$ maps to*

$$\Theta \circ (i_\Xi)^*(\zeta_I^\Xi) = \mathcal{A}_\Xi \circ A_{I^{\text{rev}}}(x_\Pi f_e)$$

in S_W^* .

Proof. We have

$$\Theta(i_\Xi)^*(\zeta_{I_w}^\Xi) = \Theta(i_\Xi)^*(\pi_\Xi)_*(\zeta_{I_w}) = \mathcal{A}_\Xi \circ \Theta \circ i^*(\zeta_{I_w}) = \mathcal{A}_\Xi \circ A_{I_w^{\text{rev}}}(x_\Pi f_e)$$

using Lemma 8.6 and Lemma 7.6 for the last two equalities. □

PROPOSITION 8.9. *For any choice of reduced decompositions $(I_w)_{w \in W^\Xi}$ for elements minimal in their W_Ξ -cosets, the classes $\zeta_{I_w}^\Xi$ form an S -basis of $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.3, the classes $\zeta_{I_w}^\Xi$ generate $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi)$ as an S -module. We have

$$\Theta i^*(\pi_\Xi)^*(\zeta_{I_w}^\Xi) = \Theta i^*(\pi_\Xi)^*(\pi_\Xi)_*(\zeta_{I_w}) = A_\Xi \Theta i^*(\zeta_{I_w}) = A_\Xi A_{I_w^{\text{rev}}}(x_{\Pi} f_e)$$

and these elements are linearly independent by [CZZ2, Theorem 14.3]. \square

Let $\Xi' \subseteq \Xi \subseteq \Pi$.

COROLLARY 8.10. *The push-forward map $(\pi_{\Xi/\Xi'})_* : \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi'}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi)$ is surjective and the pull-back map $(\pi_{\Xi/\Xi'})^* : \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi'})$ is injective.*

Proof. Surjectivity is obvious from the fact that ζ_{I_w} maps to the basis element $\zeta_{I_w}^\Xi$ for any $w \in W^\Xi$ and injectivity can be seen in the proof of Proposition 8.9: the elements $\zeta_{I_w}^\Xi$ stay independent when pulled back all the way to $\mathfrak{h}_T(W)$ through $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$. \square

THEOREM 8.11. *The pull-back map $i_\Xi^* : \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_\Xi)$ is injective and the isomorphism $\Theta_\Xi : \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_\Xi) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{W/W_\Xi}^*$ identifies its image to $\mathbf{D}_\Xi^* \subseteq S_{W/W_\Xi}^*$.*

Proof. As seen in the proof of Corollary 8.10, pulling back further to $\mathfrak{h}_T(W)$ is injective, so injectivity of i_Ξ^* is clear. By Lemma 8.8, for any $w \in W^\Xi$, the Bott-Samelson class $\zeta_{I_w}^\Xi$ is sent to $A_\Xi A_{I_w^{\text{rev}}}(x_{\Pi/\Xi} f_e)$. These elements form a basis of \mathbf{D}_Ξ^* by [CZZ2, Theorem 14.3 and Lemma 15.1]. \square

COROLLARY 8.12. *The pull-back map $i_\Xi^* : \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_\Xi)$ becomes an isomorphism after localization at the multiplicative subset generated by all x_α where α is a root.*

Proof. After localization at this subset, the inclusion $\mathbf{D}_\Xi^* \subseteq S_{W/W_\Xi}^*$ becomes an isomorphism (see [CZZ2, Lemma 11.5]). \square

As for G/B , we have the following commutative diagram

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_\Xi) & \xrightarrow{(i_\Xi)_*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi) & \xleftarrow{(i_\Xi)^*} & \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_\Xi) \\ \simeq \downarrow \Theta_\Xi & & \simeq \downarrow \Theta_\Xi & & \simeq \downarrow \Theta_\Xi \\ S_{W/W_\Xi}^* & \xrightarrow{x_{\Pi/\Xi} \bullet (-)} & \mathbf{D}_\Xi^* & \xrightarrow{\eta_\Xi^*} & S_{W/W_\Xi}^* \end{array}$$

LEMMA 8.13. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi'}) \xrightarrow{(i_{\Xi'})^*} \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_{\Xi'}) \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Xi'} \simeq} S_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* & \subseteq & Q_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* \\
 (\pi_{\Xi/\Xi'})_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} \\
 \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi}) \xrightarrow{(i_{\Xi})^*} \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_{\Xi}) \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Xi} \simeq} S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* & \subseteq & Q_{W/W_{\Xi}}^*
 \end{array}$$

Proof. By the surjectivity claim in Corollary 8.10, we can precompose the diagram by $\pi_{\Xi'}$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{\Xi} = \mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\Xi'}$, the result follows from Lemma 8.6 applied first to Ξ' and then to Ξ . \square

Summarizing, we have the following commutative diagrams describing the correspondence between the cohomology rings and their algebraic counterparts:

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_{\Xi'}) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Xi'} \simeq} & S_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* \\
 & \nearrow i_{\Xi'}^* & \uparrow & \nearrow & \uparrow \\
 \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi'}) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Xi'} \simeq} & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi'}^* & & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi'}^* \\
 & \searrow (\rho_{\Xi/\Xi'})^* & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow (p_{\Xi/\Xi'})^* \\
 & & \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_{\Xi}) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Xi} \simeq} & S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* \\
 (\pi_{\Xi/\Xi'})_* \uparrow & & \uparrow i_{\Xi}^* & & \uparrow \\
 \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi}) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Xi} \simeq} & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi}^* & & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi}^*
 \end{array}$$

For push-forwards, instead, the morphism $\mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} : Q_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* \rightarrow Q_{W/W_{\Xi}}^*$ induces a map $\mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} : \mathbf{D}_{\Xi'}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\Xi}^*$ by [CZZ2, Lemma 15.1], and we have:

$$(8.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_{\Xi'}) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Xi'} \simeq} & S_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* & \hookrightarrow & Q_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* \\
 & \nearrow i_{\Xi'}^* & \uparrow & \nearrow & \uparrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} \\
 \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi'}) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Xi'} \simeq} & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi'}^* & & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi'}^* & & \\
 & \searrow (\rho_{\Xi/\Xi'})^* & \downarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'} & \searrow & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_{\Xi}) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Xi} \simeq} & S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* & \hookrightarrow & Q_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* \\
 (\pi_{\Xi/\Xi'})_* \downarrow & & \uparrow i_{\Xi}^* & & \uparrow & & \\
 \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi}) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Xi} \simeq} & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi}^* & & \mathbf{D}_{\Xi}^* & &
 \end{array}$$

Notice that on this diagram, there is no map from $\mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_{\Xi'})$ to $\mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_{\Xi})$, nor from $S_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^*$ to $S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^*$ because the operator $\mathcal{A}_{\Xi/\Xi'}$ is not defined at that level.

By (8.1) and the identity $x_{\Pi/\Xi'} = x_{\Pi/\Xi}x_{\Xi/\Xi'}$, we finally have the following. (8.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_{\Xi'}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta_{\Xi'}} & S_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* & \longrightarrow & Q_{W/W_{\Xi'}}^* \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi'}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta_{\Xi'}} & \mathfrak{D}_{\Xi'}^* & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta_{\Xi'}} & S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* & \longrightarrow & Q_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* \\
 \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 (\pi_{\Xi/\Xi'})_* & \mathfrak{h}_T(W/W_{\Xi}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta_{\Xi}} & S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* & \longrightarrow & Q_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* \\
 \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta_{\Xi}} & \mathfrak{D}_{\Xi}^* & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta_{\Xi}} & S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^* & \longrightarrow & Q_{W/W_{\Xi}}^*
 \end{array}$$

9. INVARIANT SUBRINGS AND PUSH-FORWARD PAIRINGS

We now describe how the Weyl group W , as an abstract group, acts on $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$, and how W_{Ξ} -invariant elements of this action are related to $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi})$.

Since the projection $G/T \rightarrow G/B$ is an affine bundle, by homotopy invariance the induced pull-back $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}_T(G/T)$ is an isomorphism. The Weyl group action is easier to describe geometrically on $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/T)$. Since $W \simeq N_G(T)/T$, multiplication on the right by $w \in W$ defines a right action of W on G/T , by T -equivariant morphisms. Action by induced pull-backs, therefore, defines a left action of W on $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/T)$. Similarly, a right action of W on the T -fixed points $(G/T)^T = W$ induces a left action of W on $\mathfrak{h}_T(W)$, and the pull-back $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(W)$ is W -equivariant. Identifying $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/T) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$, we obtain the Weyl group action on $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ with $\iota^*: \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(W)$ being W -equivariant.

One easily checks on S -basis elements f_w that through Θ , this W -action on $\mathfrak{h}_T(W)$ corresponds to the W -action on S_W^* by the Hecke action $w(z) = \delta_w \bullet z$, as described in [CZZ2, §4] (by definition, we have $\delta_w \bullet f_v = f_{vw^{-1}}$).

THEOREM 9.1. *The image of the injective pull-back map $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_{\Xi}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ is $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)^{W_{\Xi}}$.*

Proof. In Diagram (8.2), the upper square is W -equivariant. Since ι^* is both W -equivariant and injective, we are reduced to showing that p_{Ξ}^* identifies $S_{W/W_{\Xi}}^*$ to $(S_W^*)^{W_{\Xi}}$, which follows from [CZZ2, Lemma 11.7]. \square

The following theorem generalizes [Br97, Proposition 6.5.(i)]. According to the irreducible Dynkin types of the group, regularity assumptions on elements of the base ring R (or weaker assumptions on elements in $R[[x]]$) are needed. They are carefully summarized in [CZZ2, Lemma 2.7], but as a first approximation,

regularity in R of 2, 3 and divisors of $|\Lambda_w/\Lambda_r|$ cover all types, except the C_n^{sc} case, in which one needs 2 to be invertible.⁴

THEOREM 9.2. *Under the regularity assumptions of [CZZ2, Lemma 2.7], the image of the injective pull-back $i^* : \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(W) \cong S_W^*$ is the set of element $\sum_{w \in W} q_w f_w$ such that $x_\alpha | (q_w - q_{s_\alpha w})$ for all roots α .*

Proof. If follows from [CZZ2, Theorem 10.7]. □

We now describe the pairing given by multiplication and then push-forward to the point, that we call the *push-forward pairing*. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi) \otimes_S \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi) & \begin{array}{c} \langle -, - \rangle_\Xi \\ \longrightarrow \\ S \end{array} & \\ \xi \otimes \xi' & \longmapsto & \langle \xi, \xi' \rangle_\Xi = (\pi_{\Pi/\Xi})_*(\xi \cdot \xi') \end{array}$$

It is clearly S -bilinear and symmetric. Through the isomorphism Θ , this pairing corresponds to

$$\langle \xi, \xi' \rangle_\Xi = \mathcal{A}_{\Pi/\Xi}(\Theta_\Xi(\xi) \cdot \Theta_\Xi(\xi'))$$

by Diagram (8.3).

THEOREM 9.3. *The push-forward pairing $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi) \otimes_S \mathfrak{h}_T(G/P_\Xi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \simeq S$, sending (ξ, ξ') to $\langle \xi, \xi' \rangle_\Xi$ is non-degenerate.*

Proof. This follows from [CZZ2, Theorem 15.6]. □

REMARK 9.4. Note that in [CZZ2, Theorem 15.5], we describe a basis that is dual to the basis of Bott-Samelson classes for the push-forward pairing on G/B . That dual basis can be very useful for algorithmic computations. However, it is given in combinatorial terms, and we do not have a geometric interpretation of its elements. When the formal group law is additive, this problem disappears since the basis is auto-dual (up to a permutation), see [D74, Prop. 1, p. 69], but for general formal group laws, this is not the case.

10. BOREL-STYLE PRESENTATION

The *geometric (equivariant) characteristic map* $c_g : \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ is defined as the composition

$$\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}_{T \times G}(G) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}_G(G/T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(G/T) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$$

where the first two maps are isomorphisms from Axiom (A6), the third is the restriction to the subgroup T of G and the fourth is the pull-back map, an isomorphism by Axiom (A4) of homotopy invariance. In $\mathfrak{h}_{T \times G}(G)$, the action of $T \times G$ on G is by $(t, g) \cdot g' = gg't^{-1}$, and the other non-trivial actions are by multiplication on the left. Note that c_g is $R = \mathfrak{h}(\text{pt})$ -linear, although

⁴Regarding these assumptions, there is a slight omission in the statement of [Br97, Proposition 6.5.(i)]. One needs to add that no root is divisible in the lattice for the statement to hold integrally. Otherwise, for example, the product of all roots divided by 2 gives a counterexample in the C_2^{sc} case.

not $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$ -linear. By restricting further to $\mathfrak{h}(G/B)$, one obtains the non-equivariant characteristic map $c : \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}(G/B)$. Recall the algebraic characteristic map $c_S : S \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^*$, sending $s \rightarrow s \bullet \mathbf{1}$, defined in section 5.

LEMMA 10.1. *The algebraic and geometric characteristic maps coincide with each other, up to the identifications $S \simeq \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$ of Theorem 3.3 and $\Theta : \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B) \simeq \mathbf{D}^*$ of Theorem 8.2.*

Proof. It suffices to show the equality after embedding in $S_W^* \simeq \mathfrak{h}_T(W)$, which decomposes as copies of S . In other words, it suffices to compare, for every $w \in W$, a map ϕ_w from S to itself, and a map ψ_w from $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$ to itself. Both are continuous R -algebra maps, ψ_w for the topology induced by the γ -filtration and ϕ_w for the \mathcal{I}_F -adic topology, which correspond to each other through $S \simeq \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$. Since S is (topologically) generated by elements x_λ , corresponding to first characteristic classes of line bundles $c_1^T(L_\lambda)$ in $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$, it suffices to compare $\phi_w(x_\lambda)$ and $\psi_w(c_1^T(L_\lambda))$. By definition of c_S , we have $\phi(x_\lambda) = x_{w(\lambda)}$. Since c_g is defined using only pull-back and restriction maps, both commuting with taking characteristic classes, it suffices to verify that when $\mathfrak{h} = K$, the Grothendieck group, we have $\psi_w([L_\lambda]) = [L_{w(\lambda)}]$. This is easily checked by using total spaces of bundles, and the formalism of points. For this purpose, let us consider the following equivariant bundles:

- M_λ , the $T \times G$ -equivariant line bundle over G , whose total space is $L_\lambda \times G$ mapping by the second projection to G , and with action given on points by $(t, g) \cdot (v, g') = (\lambda(t)v, gg't^{-1})$;
- N_λ , the G -equivariant line bundle over G/T , whose total space is $G \times^T L_\lambda$, the quotient of $G \times L_\lambda$ by the relation $(gt, v) = (g, \lambda(t)v)$, mapping to G/T by the first projection, and with G action by $g \cdot (g', v) = (gg', v)$;
- M'_λ , the $T \times G$ -equivariant line bundle over G , whose total space is $G \times_{G/T} G \times^T L_\lambda$, mapping to G by the first projection, with action of $T \times G$ given by $(t, g) \cdot (g_1, g_2, v) = (gg_1t^{-1}, gg_2, v)$.

It is clear that L_λ restricts to $T \times G$ and pulls-back over G to M_λ . Similarly, N_λ restricts and pulls-back to M'_λ . But M_λ maps isomorphically to M'_λ by the map $(v, g) \mapsto (g, g, v)$. Therefore, $[L_\lambda]$ maps to $[N_\lambda]$ by the map $K_T(\text{pt}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{T \times G}(G) \xleftarrow{\sim} K_G(G/T)$. Furthermore, N_λ restricts and pulls-back as a T -equivariant bundle to the fixed point w in G/T (or G/B) as $wT \times^T L_\lambda$ with T -action on the left, isomorphic to $L_{w(\lambda)}$. This completes the proof. \square

Let \mathfrak{t} be the torsion index of the root datum, as defined in [D73, §5]. See also [CPZ, 5.1] for a table giving the values of its prime divisors for each simply connected type. For other types, one just needs to add the prime divisors of $|\Lambda_w/\Lambda|$ by [D73, §5, Prop. 6]. Together with the previous lemma, [CZZ, Thm. 11.4] immediately implies a Borel-style presentation of $\mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$. Let $\pi : G/B \rightarrow \text{pt}$ be the structural map.

THEOREM 10.2. *If $2\mathfrak{t}$ is regular in R , then the map $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})} \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_T(G/B)$ sending $a \otimes b$ to $\pi^*(a)c_g(b)$ is an $\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})$ -linear ring isomorphism if*

and only if the (non-equivariant) characteristic map $c : \mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}(G/B)$ is surjective.

In particular, it will hold for K-theory, since the characteristic map is always surjective for K-theory. It will also hold for any cohomology theory if \mathfrak{t} is invertible in R , as [CPZ, Cor. 13.9] shows that the non-equivariant characteristic map is then surjective.

As mentioned in the introduction, this presentation was obtained in [KiKr] for algebraic cobordism, with the torsion index inverted, and by using comparisons with complex cobordism.

11. SUBGROUPS OF T

Let H be a subgroup of T given by the embedding $h : H \hookrightarrow T$. For example H could be the trivial group, a finite multiplicative group or a subtorus of T . For any $X \in T\text{-Var}$, and thus in $H\text{-Var}$ by restriction, there is a restriction ring map $\text{res}_h : \mathfrak{h}_T(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_H(X)$, in particular if $X = \text{pt}$, which induces a canonical morphism $\mathfrak{h}_H(\text{pt}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})} \mathfrak{h}_T(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_H(X)$ of rings over $\mathfrak{h}_H(\text{pt})$, sending $a \otimes b$ to $a \cdot \text{res}_h(b)$. This “change of coefficients” morphism is compatible with pull-backs and push-forwards.

LEMMA 11.1. *The morphism $\mathfrak{h}_H(\text{pt}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}_T(\text{pt})} \mathfrak{h}_T(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_H(X)$ is an isomorphism when $X = G/P_{\Xi}$ or $X = W/W_{\Xi}$.*

Proof. The case of $X = W/W_{\Xi}$ is obvious, since as a scheme, it is simply a disjoint union of copies of pt . If $X = G/P_{\Xi}$, the left-hand side is free, with a basis of Bott-Samelson classes. So is the right-hand side: it is still generated as an $\mathfrak{h}_H(\text{pt})$ -module by the corresponding Bott-Samelson classes because the proof of Lemma 7.3 works for H as well as for T . Thus, the change of coefficients is surjective. The push-forward pairing is perfect and commutes to the restriction map from T to H , so these classes stay independent in $\mathfrak{h}_H(G/P_{\Xi})$ (they have a dual family). Thus, the change of coefficients is injective. \square

This shows that Diagram (8.2) for H is obtained by change of coefficients, as well as Diagram (8.3) and Diagram (8.4) except their rightmost columns involving Q . Theorem 9.3 on the bilinear pairing stays valid for H instead of T .

REFERENCES

- [Ar86] A. Arabia, *Cycles de Schubert et cohomologie équivariante de K/T* , Invent. Math. 85 (1986), no. 1, 39–52.
- [Ar89] ———, *Cohomologie T -équivariante de la variété de drapeaux d’un groupe de Kac-Moody*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 117, no. 2, 129–165, 1989.
- [AB84] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, *The moment map and equivariant cohomology*, Topology 23, no. 1, 1–28, 1984.

- [BGG] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand and S. I. Gelfand, *Schubert cells and cohomology of the spaces G/P* , Russian Math. Surveys 28, 1–26, 1973.
- [BE90] P. Bressler and S. Evens, *The Schubert calculus, braid relations and generalized cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 317, no.2, 799–811, 1990.
- [BE92] ———, *Schubert calculus in complex cobordisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 331, no.2, 799–813, 1992.
- [Br97] M. Brion, *Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions*, Transform. Groups 2 (3), 225–267, 1997.
- [CPZ] B. Calmès, V. Petrov and K. Zainoulline, *Invariants, torsion indices and oriented cohomology of complete flags*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 46(3), 405–448, 2013.
- [CZZ] B. Calmès, K. Zainoulline and C. Zhong, *A coproduct structure on the formal affine Demazure algebra*, to appear in Math. Zeitschrift, [arXiv:1209.1676v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.1676v2).
- [CZZ2] ———, *Push-pull operators on the formal affine Demazure algebra and its dual*, preprint [arXiv:1312.0019v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.0019v2), 2013.
- [CS74] T. Chang and T. Skjelbred, *The topological Schur lemma and related results*, Ann. of Math. (2) 100 (1974), 307–321.
- [CG10] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Modern Birkhauser Classics. Birkhauser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2010. Reprint of the 1997 edition.
- [D73] M. Demazure, *Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion*, Invent. Math. 21, 287–301, 1973.
- [D74] ———, *Désingularisation des variétés de Schubert généralisées*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 7, 53–88, 1974.
- [SGA3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en groupes*, (SGA 3, vol. I and III), Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962–1964, Documents mathématiques 7 and 8, 2011.
- [Des09] D. Deshpande, *Algebraic Cobordism of Classifying Spaces*, preprint [arXiv:0907.4437v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.4437v1), 2009.
- [EG98] D. Edidin and W. Graham, *Equivariant intersection theory*, Invent. Math., 131(3), 595–634, 1998.
- [GR12] N. Ganter and A. Ram, *Generalized Schubert Calculus*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 28A (Special Issue-2013): 149–190, 2013.
- [GKV97] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, and E. Vasserot, *Residue construction of Hecke algebras*, Adv. Math. 128 (1997), no. 1, 1–19.

- [HHH] M. Harada, A. Henriques and T. Holm, *Computation of generalized equivariant cohomologies of Kac-Moody flag varieties*, Adv. Math. 197, 198–221, 2005.
- [HM13] J. Heller and J. Malagón-López, *Equivariant algebraic cobordism*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 684, 87–112, 2013.
- [HMSZ] A. Hoffnung, J. Malagón-López, A. Savage, and K. Zainoulline, *Formal Hecke algebras and algebraic oriented cohomology theories*, to appear in Selecta Math.
- [HK] J. Hornbostel and V. Kiritchenko. *Schubert calculus for algebraic cobordism*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 656, 59–85, 2011.
- [KiKr] V. Kiritchenko and A. Krishna, *Equivariant cobordism of flag varieties and of symmetric varieties*, Transform. Groups, 18(2), 391–413, 2013.
- [KK86] B. Kostant and S. Kumar, *The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G^** , Advances in Math. 62, 187–237, 1986.
- [KK90] ———, *T -equivariant K -theory of generalized flag varieties*, J. Differential geometry 32, 549–603, 1990.
- [Kr12] A. Krishna, *Equivariant cobordism of schemes*, Doc. Math., 17, 95–134, 2012.
- [Ku02] S. Kumar, *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 204, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2002.
- [LZ14] C. Lenart and K. Zainoulline, *Towards generalized cohomology Schubert calculus via formal root polynomials*, preprint [arXiv:1408.5952](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.5952), 2014.
- [LM07] M. Levine and F. Morel, *Algebraic cobordism*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [Me05] A. S. Merkurjev, *Equivariant K -theory*, in Handbook of K -theory, 925–954, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [Pa09] I. Panin, *Oriented cohomology theories of algebraic varieties. II (After I. Panin and A. Smirnov)*, Homology, Homotopy Appl., 11, 349–405, 2009.
- [Q71] D. Quillen. *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2), 94, 549–572; *ibid.* (2) 94 573–602, 1971.
- [To99] B. Totaro, *The Chow ring of a classifying space*, Algebraic K -theory (Seattle, WA, 1997), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 67, 249–281, 1999.

- [Th87] R. W. Thomason, *Algebraic K-theory of group scheme actions*, in Algebraic topology and algebraic K-theory (Princeton, N.J., 1983), Ann. of Math. Stud. 113, 539–563, 1987.
- [ZZ14] G. Zhao and C. Zhong, *Geometric representations of the formal affine Hecke algebra*, preprint [arXiv:1406.1283](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.1283), 2014.
- [Zh13] C. Zhong, *On the formal affine Hecke algebra*, to appear in J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1474748014000188>.

Baptiste Calmès
Université d'Artois
Laboratoire de Mathématiques
de Lens
France
baptiste.calmes@univ-artois.fr

Kirill Zainoulline
Department of
Mathematics and Statistics
University of Ottawa
Canada
kirill@uottawa.ca

Changlong Zhong
Department of Mathematical
and Statistical Sciences
University of Alberta
Canada
zhongusc@gmail.com