

# Lefschetz fbrations, open books, and symplectic fllings of contact 3-manifolds

Burak Ozbagci

Abstract. Ever since Donaldson showed that every closed symplectic 4-manifold admits a Lefschetz pencil and Giroux proved that every closed contact 3-manifold admits an adapted open book decomposition, Lefschetz fbrations and open books have been used fruitfully to obtain signifcant results about the topology of symplectic 4-manifolds and contact 3-manifolds. In this expository article, we present the highlights of our contribution to the subject at hand based on joint work with several coauthors during the past twenty years.

## 1. Introduction

At the turn of the century, two groundbreaking results have surfaced which had a long-lasting impact on the study of global topology of symplectic 4-manifolds and contact 3-manifolds. These results respectively are Donaldson's existence theorem [\[19\]](#page-14-0) about Lefschetz pencils on closed symplectic 4-manifolds and Giroux's corre-spondence [\[30\]](#page-15-0) between open books and contact structures on closed 3-manifolds.

In the frst half of this short expository article, we briefy review the results of Donaldson and Giroux. In the last half, we frst present an analogous result on Stein domains of complex dimension two, with an eye towards some applications to the study of the topology of symplectic fllings of contact 3-manifolds. Then we demonstrate how Lefschetz fbrations and open books interact with the classical theory of complex surface singularities as well as trisections of arbitrary smooth 4-manifolds, which were relatively recently discovered by Gay and Kirby [\[25\]](#page-15-1).

*<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classifcation.* Primary 57K33; Secondary 57K43, 32Q28, 32S25, 32S30, 32S55, 14D05.

*Keywords.* Lefschetz fbration, open book decomposition, contact 3-manifold, symplectic 4-manifold, Stein surface, singularity link, trisection.

### 2. Topological characterization of symplectic 4-manifolds

Suppose that X and  $\Sigma$  are compact, oriented, and smooth manifolds of dimensions four and two, respectively, possibly with *nonempty* boundaries.

**Definition 2.1.** A *Lefschetz fibration*  $\pi: X \to \Sigma$  is a submersion except for finitely many points  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$  in the interior of X, such that around each  $p_i$  and  $\pi(p_i)$ , there are orientation-preserving complex charts, on which  $\pi$  is of the form  $\pi(z_1, z_2)$  $z_1^2 + z_2^2$ .

The topology of Lefschetz fbrations is well understood with multiple points of view. We advise the reader to turn to the book [\[33\]](#page-15-2) of Gompf and Stipsicz for an excellent introduction to the subject.

Lefschetz critical points can be viewed as complex analogs of Morse critical points, and they correspond to 2-handles. As a result, one obtains a handle decomposition of the 4-manifold  $X$ . Since a Lefschetz fibration is locally trivial in the complement of fnitely many singular fbers, it can also be described combinatorially by means of its *monodromy*. Locally, the fiber of the map  $(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow z_1^2 + z_2^2$ above  $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{C}$  is smooth (topologically an annulus), while the fiber above the origin has a transverse double point (aka nodal singularity) and is obtained from the nearby fbers by collapsing an embedded simple closed curve called the *vanishing cycle*, as illustrated in Figure [1.](#page-2-0)

Let  $\pi: X \to \Sigma$  be a Lefschetz fibration and let  $\gamma$  be a loop in  $\Sigma$  enclosing a single critical value, whose critical fiber has a single node. Then  $\pi$  restricts to surface fibration over  $\gamma$ , whose monodromy (a diffeomorphism of the fiber) is given by the right-handed Dehn twist about the vanishing cycle, as depicted in Figure [2.](#page-2-0)

For the purposes of this article, we assume that each singular fber carries a *unique singularity* and there are *no homotopically trivial* vanishing cycles. Moreover, we restrict our attention to the following two cases.

*First case,*  $\Sigma = S^2$ ,  $\partial X = \emptyset$ , and hence the fibers are closed surfaces. Suppose that  $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in D^2 \subset S^2$  are the critical values of a genus g Lefschetz fibration  $\pi \colon X \to Y$  $S^2$ . Let  $q_0 \in D^2$  be a regular value and for each  $1 \le i \le k$ , let  $\gamma_i \subset D^2$  be a loop based at  $q_0$  enclosing a single critical value  $q_i$  as shown in Figure [3.](#page-2-0) By the discussion above, the monodromy of the fibration over each  $\gamma_i$  is a positive Dehn twist along the corresponding vanishing cycle.

Since the fibration  $\pi$  is trivial over the complement  $S^2 \setminus D^2$ , the product of positive Dehn twists along the vanishing cycles is isotopic to the identity. The upshot is that a Lefschetz fibration  $\pi: X \to S^2$  is characterized by a positive Dehn twist factorization of the identity element in  $\text{Map}_g$ , the mapping class group of an oriented closed surface of genus g.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. A nodal singularity.



Figure 2. The right-handed (positive) Dehn twist.



Figure 3. Loops in the base disk.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 4. Fibers in a Lefschetz fibration.



Figure 5. Blowing up the base-locus of a Lefschetz pencil.

*Second case,*  $\Sigma = D^2$ , the fibers have nonempty boundary and hence  $\partial X \neq \emptyset$ . In this case, the global monodromy over the boundary of the base disk  $D^2$  is a product of positive Dehn twists in Map<sub>g,r</sub> (the mapping class group of an oriented genus g surface with  $r > 0$  boundary components), *with no other constraints* (see Figure [4\)](#page-3-0). Moreover, @X inherits a natural *open book decomposition*, which we will discuss in details later in Section [3.](#page-4-0)

**Definition 2.2.** A *Lefschetz pencil* on a closed and oriented 4-manifold X is a map  $\pi: X - \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\} \to S^2$ , submersive except for a finite set  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ , conforming to local models

- (i)  $(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow z_1/z_2$  near each  $b_i$  and
- (ii)  $(z_1, z_2) \to z_1^2 + z_2^2$  near each  $p_j$ .

By blowing up X at the base-locus  $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ , we obtain a Lefschetz fibration

$$
X \nparallel n \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2} \to S^2
$$

with  $n$  disjoint sections, which are the exceptional spheres in the blow-up, as illustrated in Figure [5.](#page-3-0)

In the early twentieth century, Lefschetz showed that every *algebraic surface* (4 manifold arising as the zero-locus of a collection of homogeneous polynomials in  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ ) admits "Lefschetz" pencils, which enabled him to study the topology of algebraic surfaces. This result was extended by Donaldson, to the case of the much larger class of symplectic 4-manifolds (i.e., those admitting closed non-degenerate 2-forms).

### <span id="page-4-1"></span>Theorem 2.3 (Donaldson [\[19\]](#page-14-0)). *Any closed symplectic* 4*-manifold admits a Lefschetz pencil.*

For a sketch of the proof of Theorem [2.3](#page-4-1) (other than Donaldson's original papers [\[18,](#page-14-1)[19\]](#page-14-0)), the interested reader may consult the lecture notes [\[6\]](#page-13-0) of Auroux and Smith, which is a wide-ranging survey, touching on the uses of Donaldon's theory of Lefschetz pencils and their relatives in 4-dimensional topology and mirror symmetry.

Conversely, generalizing a similar result of Thurston [\[58\]](#page-17-0) on surface bundles over surfaces, Gompf [\[33\]](#page-15-2) showed that if  $\pi : X \to \Sigma$  is a Lefschetz fibration for which the fiber represents a non-torsion homology class,  $\frac{1}{1}$  $\frac{1}{1}$  $\frac{1}{1}$  then X admits a symplectic structure with symplectic fbers. As a corollary, he showed that any closed 4-manifold which admits a Lefschetz pencil, is symplectic.

Combining the results of Donaldson and Gompf, we obtain a *topological characterization* of symplectic 4-manifolds which has lead to a renewed interest in Lefschetz pencils/fbrations and hundreds of papers have been devoted to the study of various aspects and generalizations of Lefschetz fbrations, over the past twenty years. Here is one of the earlier results.

<span id="page-4-4"></span>Theorem 2.4 (Ozbagci and Stipsicz [\[47\]](#page-16-0)). *There are infnitely many pairwise nonhomeomorphic closed* 4*-manifolds, each of which admits a genus two Lefschetz fbration over* S <sup>2</sup> *but does not carry complex structure with either orientation.*[2](#page-4-3)

The examples in Theorem [2.4](#page-4-4) are obtained by fber sums of genus two Lefschetz fibrations  $S^2 \times T^2 \# 4 \mathbb{CP}^2 \to S^2$  of Matsumoto [\[39\]](#page-15-3), which also shows that fiber sums of *holomorphic* Lefschetz fbrations are *not necessarily holomorphic*.

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>3. Topological characterization of contact 3-manifolds

Defnition 3.1. An *open book decomposition* of a closed and oriented 3-manifold Y is a pair  $(B, \pi)$  consisting of an oriented link  $B \subset Y$ , and a locally trivial fibration  $\pi: Y - B \to S^1$  such that B has a trivial tubular neighborhood  $B \times D^2$  in which  $\pi$  is

<span id="page-4-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This hypothesis is automatically satisfied if the fiber genus is not equal to one.

<span id="page-4-3"></span><sup>2</sup>This result was independently observed by Ivan Smith.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



**Figure 6.** I am an open book! **Figure 7.** (2.3)-torus knot (*the trefoil*).

given by the angular coordinate in the  $D^2$ -factor (see Figure [6\)](#page-5-0). Here B is called the *binding* and the closure of each fiber of  $\pi$ , which is a Seifert surface for B, is called a *page*.

**Example 3.2** (Milnor's fibration). Consider the polynomial  $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  given by  $f(z_1, z_2) = z_1^p + z_2^q$  $_2^q$ , where  $p, q \ge 2$  are relatively prime. Then  $B = f^{-1}(0) \cap S^3$ is the  $(p, q)$ -torus knot in  $S^3$  whose complement fibers over  $S^1$ :

$$
\pi: S^3 - B \to S^1 := \frac{f(z_1, z_2)}{|f(z_1, z_2)|}.
$$

Hence  $(B, \pi)$  is an open book for  $S^3$  with connected binding. The torus knot for the case  $p = 2$  and  $q = 3$  is depicted in Figure [7.](#page-5-0)

For any given open book, one can choose a vector feld which is transverse to the pages and meridional near the binding. Then the isotopy class of the frst return map on a fxed page is called the *monodromy* of the open book. The topology of an open book is determined by the topology of its page and its monodromy.

Suppose that  $\pi : X \to D^2$  is a Lefschetz fibration such that the regular fiber F has nonempty boundary  $\partial F$ . Then  $\partial X$  is the union of two pieces:

- the horizontal boundary,  $\partial F \times D^2$  (see Figure [8\)](#page-6-0) and
- the vertical boundary,  $\pi^{-1}(\partial D^2)$  (see Figure [9\)](#page-6-0),

glued together along the tori  $\partial F \times \partial D^2$ . It follows that  $\partial X$  inherits a natural open book, whose page is the fiber  $F$  and whose monodromy coincides with the monodromy of the Lefschetz fibration  $\pi : X \to D^2$ .

A differential 1-form  $\alpha$  on a 3-manifold Y is called a *contact form* if  $\alpha \wedge d\alpha$  is a volume form. A 2-dimensional distribution  $\xi$  in TY is called a contact structure if it can be given as the kernel of a contact form  $\alpha$ . The pair  $(Y, \xi)$  is called a *contact* 3*-manifold*.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 8.** The vertical boundary:  $\pi^{-1}(\partial D^2)$ . **Figure 9.** The horizontal boundary:  $\partial F \times D^2$ .

There are no local invariants of contact structures by *Darboux's theorem*, which says that any point in a contact 3-manifold has a neighborhood isomorphic to a neighborhood of the origin in the standard contact structure  $\xi = \ker(dz + xdy)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which is depicted in Figure [10.](#page-7-0)

We advise the reader to turn to the book [\[28\]](#page-15-4) of Geiges, for a thorough introduction to contact topology in general dimensions and to the book [\[49\]](#page-16-1) of Stipsicz and the author for a rapid course in dimension 3.

A classical theorem of Alexander [\[5\]](#page-13-1) says that every closed oriented 3-manifold admits an open book decomposition and Martinet [\[38\]](#page-15-5) showed that every closed oriented 3-manifold carries a contact structure. In 1975, Thurston and Winkelnkemper [\[59\]](#page-17-1) presented an alternate proof of Martinet's theorem by constructing contact forms on closed 3-manifolds using open books.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Definition 3.3.** A contact structure  $\xi$  on a 3-manifold Y is said to be supported by an open book  $(B, \pi)$  if  $\xi$  can be given by a contact form  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha(B) > 0$  and  $d\alpha > 0$  on every page.

In view of Defnition [3.3,](#page-6-1) the result of Thurston and Winkelnkemper can be rephrased as follows: every open book on a closed oriented 3-manifold supports a contact structure.

The converse (i.e., every contact structure on a closed oriented 3-manifold is supported by an open book) was proven by Giroux. In fact, he proved the following theorem, which is known as *Giroux's correspondence*.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>Theorem 3.4 (Giroux [\[30\]](#page-15-0)). *On a closed oriented* 3*-manifold, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of isotopy classes of contact structures and open books up to positive stabilization.*

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 10. The standard contact structure  $\xi = \ker(dz + xdy)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

For a detailed sketch of the proof of Theorem [3.4,](#page-6-2) we refer to Etnyre's lecture notes [\[21\]](#page-14-2).

## 4. Topological characterization of Stein domains of complex dimension two

Defnition 4.1. A *Stein manifold* is an affne complex manifold, i.e., a complex manifold that admits a proper holomorphic embedding into some  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .

Suppose that  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function on a complex manifold  $(X, J)$ . Let  $\omega_{\phi}$  denote the 2-form  $-d(d\phi \circ J)$ . Then the map  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is called *J*-convex (aka *strictly plurisubharmonic*) if  $\omega_{\phi}(u, Ju) > 0$  for all nonzero vectors  $u \in TX$ . It follows that  $\omega_{\phi}$  is an exact symplectic form on X.

**Grauert's characterization.** A complex manifold  $(X, J)$  is Stein if and only if it admits a proper J-convex function  $\phi: X \to [0,\infty)$ .

We advise the reader to turn to the book [\[17\]](#page-14-3) of Eliashberg and Cieliebak, for a meticulous treatment of Stein (and Weinstein) manifolds. For the purposes of this article, we now restrict our attention to *Stein surfaces* (of complex dimension two), for which the reader may consult [\[32\]](#page-15-6) for an elaborate discussion.

Suppose that  $(X, J)$  is a Stein surface. For any proper J-convex *Morse* function  $\phi: X \to [0, \infty)$ , each regular level set Y of  $\phi$  is a contact 3-manifold, where the contact structure is given by the kernel of  $\alpha_{\phi} = -d\phi \circ J$  or, equivalently, by the *complex tangencies*  $TY \cap JTY$ . For any regular value c of  $\phi$ , the sublevel set  $W =$  $\phi^{-1}([0, c])$  is called a *Stein domain*. We also say that the compact 4-manifold  $(W, J)$ is a *Stein filling* of its contact boundary  $(\partial W, \ker \alpha_{\phi})$ .

By the work of Eliashberg [\[20\]](#page-14-4) and Gompf [\[32\]](#page-15-6) a handle decomposition of a Stein domain  $(W, J)$  is well understood: it consists of a 0-handle, some 1-handles, and some 2-handles attached along Legendrian knots (those tangent to the contact planes) with framing  $-1$  relative to the contact planes.

The following theorem, whose proof is based on the handle decomposition above, is somewhat analogous to Donaldson's theorem on the existence of Lefschetz pencils on closed symplectic manifolds.

Theorem 4.2 (Akbulut and Ozbagci [\[1\]](#page-13-2) and Loi and Piergallini [\[36\]](#page-15-7)). *A Stein domain admits an allowable*[3](#page-8-0) *Lefschetz fbration over* D<sup>2</sup> *and, conversely, any allowable Lefschetz fbration over* D<sup>2</sup> *admits a Stein structure.*

Moreover, by modifying the proof of Akbulut and the author, Plamenevskaya [\[52\]](#page-16-2) showed that the contact structure induced on the boundary of the Stein domain is supported by the open book inherited by the Lefschetz fbration. As a result we have the diagram



which gives a *criterion for Stein fllability: a contact* 3*-manifold is Stein fllable if and only if it admits a supporting open book whose monodromy can be factorized into positive Dehn twists*. [4](#page-8-1)

<span id="page-8-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The vanishing cycles are homologically non-trivial.

<span id="page-8-1"></span><sup>4</sup>This was independently proved by Giroux.

**Definition 4.3.** A compact symplectic 4-manifold  $(X, \omega)$  is a (strong) *symplectic filling* of a contact 3-manifold  $(Y, \xi)$  if  $\partial X = Y$  (as oriented manifolds),  $\omega$  is exact near the boundary, and its primitive  $\alpha$  can be chosen so that ker $(\alpha|_Y) = \xi$ . A symplectic flling is called *minimal* if it does not contain any symplectically embedded sphere of  $self-intersection -1$ 

An active line of research in symplectic/contact topology is to classify *all Stein fllings* or more generally *all minimal symplectic fllings* of a given contact 3-manifold, up to diffeomorphism. It is clear by defnition that every Stein flling is a minimal symplectic flling. The converse, however, is *not true* as shown by Ghiggini [\[29\]](#page-15-8), using the celebrated Ozsváth–Szabó contact invariants [\[50\]](#page-16-3).

The classifcation of Stein or more generally minimal symplectic fllings of a given contact 3-manifold is diffcult in general. Nevertheless, this problem has been solved for many contact 3-manifolds, each of which has fnitely many fllings. See the author's survey article [\[46\]](#page-16-4) for the state of affairs until 2015.

The existence of a contact 3-manifold which admits infnitely many distinct Stein fillings was discovered by Stipsicz and the author. Let  $Y_g$  denote the closed 3-manifold, which is the total space of the open book whose page is a genus  $g$  surface with connected boundary and whose monodromy is the square of the boundary Dehn twist. Let  $\xi_g$  denote the contact structure on  $Y_g$  supported by this open book.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Theorem 4.4** (Ozbagci and Stipsicz [\[48\]](#page-16-5)). *For each odd integer*  $g \geq 3$ , the contact 3-manifold  $(Y_g, \xi_g)$  admits infinitely many pairwise non-homeomorphic Stein fillings.

**Outline of proof.** A positive word in Map<sub>g</sub>, for  $g \ge 3$  (generalizing Matsumoto's genus two word [\[39\]](#page-15-3)), was discovered independently by Cadavid [\[12\]](#page-14-5) and Korkmaz [\[34\]](#page-15-9). For g odd, the word is  $(c_0c_1c_2 \cdots c_ga^2b^2)^2 = 1$ , where, by an abuse of notation, each letter represents the right-handed Dehn twist along the curve decorated with the same letter, depicted in Figure [11.](#page-10-0) For each odd integer  $g > 3$ , there is a Lefschetz fibration over  $S^2$ , which corresponds to the aforementioned word. First we take (twisted) fiber sums of two copies of this Lefschetz fibration over  $S<sup>2</sup>$  and then remove a regular neighborhood of the union of a section and a regular fber to get Stein fllings of the common contact boundary. The Stein fllings are distinguished by the torsion in their frst homology groups, coming from the twistings in the fber sums.

**Remark 4.5.** For a fixed odd integer  $g \ge 3$ , all the Stein fillings mentioned in Theorem [4.4](#page-9-0) have the same Euler characteristic and the signature. In contrast, Baykur and Van Horn-Morris [\[8\]](#page-14-6) showed that there are vast families of contact 3-manifolds each member of which admits infnitely many Stein fllings with arbitrarily large Euler characteristics and arbitrarily small signatures.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 11. Curves on a genus  $g$  surface, for odd  $g$ .

## 5. Canonical contact structures on the links of isolated complex surface singularities

A fruitful source of Stein fllable contact 3-manifolds is given by the links of isolated complex surface singularities. Let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be an isolated complex surface singularity. Then for a sufficiently small sphere  $S_{\varepsilon}^{2N-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  centered at the origin,  $Y = X \cap S_{\varepsilon}^{2N-1}$  is a closed, oriented, and smooth 3-dimensional manifold, which is called *the link of the singularity*.

If  $J$  denotes the complex structure on  $X$ , then the plane field given by the complex tangencies  $\xi := TY \cap JTY$  is a contact structure on Y—called the canonical (aka *Milnor fllable*) contact structure on the singularity link. The contact 3-manifold  $(Y, \xi)$  is called the *contact singularity link*. Note that  $\xi$  is determined uniquely, up to isomorphism, by a theorem of Caubel, Némethi, and Popescu-Pampu [\[14\]](#page-14-7).

We advise the reader to turn to the comprehensive lecture notes [\[54\]](#page-16-6) of Popescu-Pampu for an introduction to complex singularity theory and its relation to contact topology.

The *minimal resolution* of an isolated complex surface singularity provides a Stein filling of its contact singularity link  $(Y, \xi)$ , by the work of Bogomolov and de Oliveira [\[11\]](#page-14-8). Moreover, if the singularity is smoothable, the general fiber  $X$  of a smoothing is called a *Milnor fber*, which is a compact smooth 4-manifold such that  $\partial X = Y$ . Furthermore, X has a natural Stein structure so that it provides a Stein (hence minimal symplectic) filling of  $(Y, \xi)$ . Therefore, a natural question arises as follows (see, for example, [\[41\]](#page-16-7)): Does there exist a contact singularity link which admits Stein (or minimal symplectic) fllings other than the Milnor fbers (and the minimal resolution)?

The answer is negative for simple and simple elliptic singularities as shown by Ohta and Ono [\[43–](#page-16-8)[45\]](#page-16-9). The answer is negative for cyclic quotient singularities as shown by the culmination of the work of several people: McDuff [\[40\]](#page-15-10), Christophersen [\[16\]](#page-14-9), Stevens [\[56\]](#page-16-10), Lisca [\[35\]](#page-15-11), and Némethi and Popescu-Pampu [\[42\]](#page-16-11). The answer is negative for non-cyclic quotient singularities as well by the work of Stevens [\[57\]](#page-16-12), Bhupal and Ono [\[9\]](#page-14-10), and H. Park, J. Park, Shin, and Urzúa [\[51\]](#page-16-13).

The frst examples where the answer is affrmative were discovered by Akhmedov and the author.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>Theorem 5.1 (Akhmedov and Ozbagci [\[3\]](#page-13-3)). *There exists an infnite family of Seifert fbered contact singularity links such that each member of this family admits infnitely many exotic*[5](#page-11-0) *Stein fllings. Moreover, none of these Stein fllings are homeomorphic to Milnor fbers.*

The exotic fllings mentioned in Theorem [5.1](#page-11-1) are not simply connected. The frst examples of infnitely many exotic simply-connected Stein fllings were discovered by Akhmedov, Etnyre, Mark, and Smith [\[2\]](#page-13-4).

Moreover, Plamenevskaya and Starkston [\[53\]](#page-16-14) recently showed that many *rational singularities* admit simply-connected Stein fllings that are not diffeomorphic to any Milnor fbers.

<span id="page-11-2"></span>Theorem 5.2 (Akhmedov and Ozbagci [\[4\]](#page-13-5)). *For any fnitely presented group* G*, there exists a contact singularity link which admits infnitely many exotic Stein fllings such that the fundamental group of each flling is* G*.*

Some key ingredients in the proofs of Theorem [5.1](#page-11-1) and Theorem [5.2](#page-11-2) are Luttinger surgery [\[37\]](#page-15-12), symplectic sum [\[31\]](#page-15-13), Fintushel–Stern knot surgery [\[24\]](#page-15-14), and the Seiberg–Witten invariants [\[61\]](#page-17-2).

We now turn our attention to Lefschetz fibrations on minimal symplectic fillings of lens spaces. Let  $\xi$  denote the canonical contact structure on the lens space  $L(p, q)$ , which is the link of a *cyclic quotient surface singularity*. The minimal symplectic fllings of  $(L(p,q), \xi)$  have been classified by Lisca [\[35\]](#page-15-11), generalizing the classification by McDuff [\[40\]](#page-15-10) for  $(L(p, 1), \xi)$ .

<span id="page-11-4"></span>Theorem 5.3 (Bhupal and Ozbagci [\[10\]](#page-14-11)). *There is an algorithm to describe any minimal symplectic filling of*  $(L(p, q), \xi)$  *as an explicit genus-zero allowable Lefschetz* fibration over  $D^2$ . Moreover, any minimal symplectic filling of  $(L(p,q),\xi)$  is obtained *by a sequence of rational blowdowns*[6](#page-11-3) *starting from the minimal resolution of the corresponding cyclic quotient singularity.*

Theorem [5.3](#page-11-4) was recently extended to the case of non-cyclic quotient singularities by H. Choi and J. Park  $[15]$ .

<span id="page-11-3"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span><sup>5</sup>Homeomorphic but pairwise not diffeomorphic.

 $6Rational blow-down$  is a surgery operation discovered by Fintushel and Stern [\[23\]](#page-15-15), where a negative defnite linear plumbing submanifold is replaced by a rational 4-ball.

**Remark 5.4.** Since  $(L(p, q), \xi)$  is known to be planar [\[55\]](#page-16-15), i.e., it admits a planar open book that supports  $\xi$ , it also follows by a theorem of Wendl [\[60\]](#page-17-3), that each minimal symplectic filling of  $(L(p, q), \xi)$  is *deformation equivalent* to a genus-zero allowable Lefschetz fibration over  $D^2$ , although we have not relied on Wendl's theorem in our proof of Theorem [5.3.](#page-11-4)

### 6. Lefschetz fbrations and trisections

A handlebody is a compact manifold admitting a handle decomposition with a single 0-handle and some 1-handles. A *trisection* of a closed 4-manifold X is a decomposition of  $X$  into three 4D-handlebodies, whose pairwise intersections are 3D-handlebodies and whose triple intersection is a closed embedded surface.

A trisection of a 4-manifold is analogous to a Heegaard splitting of a closed 3 manifold, which is a decomposition into two 3D-handlebodies whose intersection is an embedded surface. Moreover, trisections can be presented by *trisection diagrams*, similar to the Heegaard diagrams. We refer to Gay's lecture notes [\[27\]](#page-15-16) for a gentle introduction to trisections of 4-manifolds.

<span id="page-12-1"></span>Theorem 6.1 (Gay and Kirby [\[25\]](#page-15-1)). *Every closed oriented* 4*-manifold admits a trisection.*

Based on a splitting of an arbitrary closed 4-manifold into two *achiral*[7](#page-12-0) Lefschetz fibrations over  $D^2$  due to Etnyre and Fuller [\[22\]](#page-14-13) and a gluing technique for *relative* trisections for 4-manifolds with boundary, Castro and the author [\[13\]](#page-14-14) obtained an alternate proof of Theorem [6.1](#page-12-1) using *Lefschetz fbrations* and *contact geometry*, instead of *Cerf theory* as utilized by Gay and Kirby. The following result is an application of this alternate proof.

<span id="page-12-2"></span>Theorem 6.2 (Castro and Ozbagci [\[13\]](#page-14-14)). *Suppose that* X *is a closed, oriented* 4 *manifold which admits a Lefschetz fibration over*  $S^2$  *with a section of square*  $-1$ *. Then, an explicit trisection of* X *can be described by a corresponding trisection diagram, which is determined by the vanishing cycles of the Lefschetz fbration.*

We would like to point out that Gay [\[26\]](#page-15-17) also constructed a trisection of any 4manifold which admits a Lefschetz pencil, turning one type of decomposition into another, but without describing an *explicit* trisection diagram.

Remark 6.3. Baykur and Saeki [\[7\]](#page-14-15) obtained yet another proof of Theorem [6.1,](#page-12-1) setting up a correspondence between *broken* Lefschetz fbrations and trisections, using

<span id="page-12-0"></span><sup>7</sup>Possibly including nodes with opposite orientation.

<span id="page-13-6"></span>

Figure 12. A trisection diagram for the Horikawa surface  $H'(1)$ .

a method which is very different from ours. They also proved a stronger version of Theorem [6.2.](#page-12-2)

**Example 6.4** ([\[13\]](#page-14-14)). The Horikawa surface  $H'(1)$ , a simply-connected complex surface of general type, admits a genus two Lefschetz fibration over  $S<sup>2</sup>$  with a section of square  $-1$ . The trisection diagram obtained by applying Theorem [6.2](#page-12-2) is depicted in Figure [12.](#page-13-6) Notice that  $H'(1)$  is an *exotic copy* of  $5 \mathbb{CP}^2 \# 29 \mathbb{CP}^2$ .

## **References**

- <span id="page-13-2"></span>[1] S. Akbulut and B. Ozbagci, Lefschetz fbrations on compact Stein surfaces. *Geom. Topol.* 5 (2001), 319–334 Zbl [1002.57062](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1002.57062&format=complete) MR [1825664](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1825664)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[2] A. Akhmedov, J. B. Etnyre, T. E. Mark, and I. Smith, A note on Stein fllings of contact manifolds. *Math. Res. Lett.* 15 (2008), no. 6, 1127–1132 Zbl [1156.57019](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1156.57019&format=complete) MR [2470389](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2470389)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[3] A. Akhmedov and B. Ozbagci, Singularity links with exotic Stein fllings. *J. Singul.* 8 (2014), 39–49 Zbl [1300.57025](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1300.57025&format=complete) MR [3213526](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3213526)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[4] A. Akhmedov and B. Ozbagci, Exotic Stein fllings with arbitrary fundamental group. *Geom. Dedicata* 195 (2018), 265–281 Zbl [1397.57045](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1397.57045&format=complete) MR [3820506](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3820506)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>[5] J. W. Alexander, A lemma on systems of knotted curves. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 9 (1923), 93–95 Zbl [49.0408.03](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:49.0408.03&format=complete)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>[6] D. Auroux and I. Smith, Lefschetz pencils, branched covers and symplectic invariants. In *Symplectic* 4*-Manifolds and Algebraic Surfaces*, pp. 1–53, Lecture Notes in Math. 1938, Springer, Berlin, 2008 Zbl [1142.14008](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1142.14008&format=complete) MR [2441411](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2441411)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>[7] R. I. Baykur and O. Saeki, Simplifed broken Lefschetz fbrations and trisections of 4 manifolds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 115 (2018), no. 43, 10894–10900 Zbl [1421.57026](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1421.57026&format=complete) MR [3871793](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3871793)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>[8] R. I. Baykur and J. Van Horn-Morris, Families of contact 3-manifolds with arbitrarily large Stein fllings. With an appendix by Samuel Lisi and Chris Wendl. *J. Differential Geom.* 101 (2015), no. 3, 423–465 Zbl [1348.57036](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1348.57036&format=complete) MR [3415768](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3415768)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>[9] M. Bhupal and K. Ono, Symplectic fllings of links of quotient surface singularities. *Nagoya Math. J.* 207 (2012), 1–45 Zbl [1258.53088](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1258.53088&format=complete) MR [2957141](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2957141)
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>[10] M. Bhupal and B. Ozbagci, Symplectic fllings of lens spaces as Lefschetz fbrations. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 18 (2016), no. 7, 1515–1535 Zbl [1348.57037](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1348.57037&format=complete) MR [3506606](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3506606)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>[11] F. A. Bogomolov and B. de Oliveira, Stein small deformations of strictly pseudoconvex surfaces. In *Birational Algebraic Geometry (Baltimore, MD, 1996)*, pp. 25–41, Contemp. Math. 207, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997 Zbl [0889.32021](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0889.32021&format=complete) MR [1462922](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1462922)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>[12] C. A. Cadavid, *On a remarkable set of words in the mapping class group*. Ph.D. thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, 1998
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>[13] N. A. Castro and B. Ozbagci, Trisections of 4-manifolds via Lefschetz fbrations. *Math. Res. Lett.* 26 (2019), no. 2, 383–420 Zbl [1427.57013](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1427.57013&format=complete) MR [3999550](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3999550)
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>[14] C. Caubel, A. Némethi, and P. Popescu-Pampu, Milnor open books and Milnor fllable contact 3-manifolds. *Topology* 45 (2006), no. 3, 673–689 Zbl [1098.53064](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1098.53064&format=complete) MR [2218761](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2218761)
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>[15] H. Choi and J. Park, A Lefschetz fbration on minimal symplectic fllings of a quotient surface singularity. *Math. Z.* 295 (2020), no. 3-4, 1183–1204 Zbl [1446.57025](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1446.57025&format=complete) MR [4125685](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4125685)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>[16] J. A. Christophersen, On the components and discriminant of the versal base space of cyclic quotient singularities. In *Singularity Theory and its Applications, Part I (Coventry, 1988/1989)*, pp. 81–92, Lecture Notes in Math. 1462, Springer, Berlin, 1991 Zbl [0735.14002](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0735.14002&format=complete) MR [1129026](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1129026)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>[17] K. Cieliebak and Y. Eliashberg, *From Stein to Weinstein and Back. Symplectic Geometry of Affne Complex Manifolds*. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 59, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012 Zbl [1262.32026](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1262.32026&format=complete) MR [3012475](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3012475)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>[18] S. K. Donaldson, Lefschetz fbrations in symplectic geometry. *Doc. Math.* Extra Vol. (1998), 309–314 Zbl [0909.53018](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0909.53018&format=complete) MR [1648081](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1648081)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>[19] S. K. Donaldson, Lefschetz pencils on symplectic manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* 53 (1999), no. 2, 205–236 Zbl [1040.53094](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1040.53094&format=complete) MR [1802722](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1802722)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>[20] Y. Eliashberg, Topological characterization of Stein manifolds of dimension > 2. *Internat. J. Math.* 1 (1990), no. 1, 29–46 Zbl [0699.58002](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0699.58002&format=complete) MR [1044658](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1044658)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>[21] J. B. Etnyre, Lectures on open book decompositions and contact structures. In *Floer Homology, Gauge Theory, and Low-Dimensional Topology*, pp. 103–141, Clay Math. Proc. 5, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006 Zbl [1108.53050](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1108.53050&format=complete) MR [2249250](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2249250)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>[22] J. B. Etnyre and T. Fuller, Realizing 4-manifolds as achiral Lefschetz fbrations. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2006 (2006), Art. ID 70272 Zbl [1118.57019](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1118.57019&format=complete) MR [2219214](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2219214)
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>[23] R. Fintushel and R. J. Stern, Rational blowdowns of smooth 4-manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* 46 (1997), no. 2, 181–235 Zbl [0896.57022](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0896.57022&format=complete) MR [1484044](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1484044)
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>[24] R. Fintushel and R. J. Stern, Knots, links, and 4-manifolds. *Invent. Math.* 134 (1998), no. 2, 363–400 Zbl [0914.57015](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0914.57015&format=complete) MR [1650308](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1650308)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>[25] D. Gay and R. Kirby, Trisecting 4-manifolds. *Geom. Topol.* 20 (2016), no. 6, 3097–3132 Zbl [1372.57033](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1372.57033&format=complete) MR [3590351](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3590351)
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>[26] D. T. Gay, Trisections of Lefschetz pencils. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 16 (2016), no. 6, 3523– 3531 Zbl [1375.57023](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1375.57023&format=complete) MR [3584265](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3584265)
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>[27] D. T. Gay, From Heegaard splittings to trisections; porting 3-dimensional ideas to dimension 4. *Winter Braids Lect. Notes* 5 (2018), Exp. No. 4 Zbl [1444.57014](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1444.57014&format=complete) MR [4157116](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4157116)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>[28] H. Geiges, *An Introduction to Contact Topology*. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 109, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008 Zbl [1153.53002](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1153.53002&format=complete) MR [2397738](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2397738)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>[29] P. Ghiggini, Strongly fllable contact 3-manifolds without Stein fllings. *Geom. Topol.* 9 (2005), 1677–1687 Zbl [1091.57018](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1091.57018&format=complete) MR [2175155](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2175155)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>[30] E. Giroux, Géométrie de contact: de la dimension trois vers les dimensions supérieures. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002)*, pp. 405–414, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002 Zbl [1015.53049](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1015.53049&format=complete) MR [1957051](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1957051)
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>[31] R. E. Gompf, A new construction of symplectic manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 142 (1995), no. 3, 527–595 Zbl [0849.53027](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0849.53027&format=complete) MR [1356781](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1356781)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>[32] R. E. Gompf, Handlebody construction of Stein surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 148 (1998), no. 2, 619–693 Zbl [0919.57012](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0919.57012&format=complete) MR [1668563](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1668563)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>[33] R. E. Gompf and A. I. Stipsicz, 4*-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*. Grad. Stud. Math. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999 Zbl [0933.57020](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0933.57020&format=complete) MR [1707327](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1707327)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>[34] M. Korkmaz, Noncomplex smooth 4-manifolds with Lefschetz fbrations. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2001 (2001), no. 3, 115–128 Zbl [0977.57020](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0977.57020&format=complete) MR [1810689](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1810689)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>[35] P. Lisca, On symplectic fllings of lens spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360 (2008), no. 2, 765–799 Zbl [1137.57026](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1137.57026&format=complete) MR [2346471](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2346471)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>[36] A. Loi and R. Piergallini, Compact Stein surfaces with boundary as branched covers of B 4 . *Invent. Math.* 143 (2001), no. 2, 325–348 Zbl [0983.32027](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0983.32027&format=complete) MR [1835390](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1835390)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>[37] K. M. Luttinger, Lagrangian tori in R 4 . *J. Differential Geom.* 42 (1995), no. 2, 220–228 Zbl [0861.53029](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0861.53029&format=complete) MR [1366546](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1366546)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>[38] J. Martinet, Formes de contact sur les variétés de dimension 3. In *Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities Symposium, II (1969/1970)*, pp. 142–163, Lecture Notes in Math. 209, 1971 Zbl [0215.23003](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0215.23003&format=complete) MR [0350771](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0350771)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>[39] Y. Matsumoto, Lefschetz fbrations of genus two—a topological approach. In *Topology and Teichmüller Spaces (Katinkulta, 1995)*, pp. 123–148, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1996 Zbl [0921.57006](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0921.57006&format=complete) MR [1659687](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1659687)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>[40] D. McDuff, The structure of rational and ruled symplectic 4-manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3 (1990), no. 3, 679–712 Zbl [0723.53019](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0723.53019&format=complete) MR [1049697](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1049697)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>[41] A. Némethi, Some meeting points of singularity theory and low dimensional topology. In *Deformations of Surface Singularities*, pp. 109–162, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. 23, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2013 Zbl [1325.32001](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1325.32001&format=complete) MR [3203577](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3203577)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>[42] A. Némethi and P. Popescu-Pampu, On the Milnor fbres of cyclic quotient singularities. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* 101 (2010), no. 2, 554–588 Zbl [1204.32020](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1204.32020&format=complete) MR [2679701](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2679701)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>[43] H. Ohta and K. Ono, Simple singularities and topology of symplectically flling 4 manifold. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 74 (1999), no. 4, 575–590 Zbl [0957.57022](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0957.57022&format=complete) MR [1730658](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1730658)
- [44] H. Ohta and K. Ono, Symplectic fllings of the link of simple elliptic singularities. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 565 (2003), 183–205 Zbl [1044.57008](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1044.57008&format=complete) MR [2024651](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2024651)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>[45] H. Ohta and K. Ono, Simple singularities and symplectic fllings. *J. Differential Geom.* 69 (2005), no. 1, 1–42 Zbl [1085.53079](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1085.53079&format=complete) MR [2169581](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2169581)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>[46] B. Ozbagci, On the topology of fllings of contact 3-manifolds. In *Interactions Between Low-Dimensional Topology and Mapping Class Groups*, pp. 73–123, Geom. Topol. Monogr. 19, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2015 Zbl [1332.57026](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1332.57026&format=complete) MR [3609904](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3609904)
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>[47] B. Ozbagci and A. I. Stipsicz, Noncomplex smooth 4-manifolds with genus-2 Lefschetz fbrations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (2000), no. 10, 3125–3128 Zbl [0951.57015](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0951.57015&format=complete) MR [1670411](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1670411)
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>[48] B. Ozbagci and A. I. Stipsicz, Contact 3-manifolds with infnitely many Stein fllings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), no. 5, 1549–1558 Zbl [1045.57014](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1045.57014&format=complete) MR [2053364](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2053364)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>[49] B. Ozbagci and A. I. Stipsicz, *Surgery on Contact* 3*-Manifolds and Stein Surfaces*. Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. 13, Springer, Berlin, 2004 Zbl [1067.57024](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1067.57024&format=complete) MR [2114165](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2114165)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>[50] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, Heegaard Floer homology and contact structures. *Duke Math. J.* 129 (2005), no. 1, 39–61 Zbl [1083.57042](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1083.57042&format=complete) MR [2153455](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2153455)
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>[51] H. Park, J. Park, D. Shin, and G. Urzúa, Milnor fbers and symplectic fllings of quotient surface singularities. *Adv. Math.* 329 (2018), 1156–1230 Zbl [1390.14018](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1390.14018&format=complete) MR [3783436](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3783436)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>[52] O. Plamenevskaya, Contact structures with distinct Heegaard Floer invariants. *Math. Res. Lett.* 11 (2004), no. 4, 547–561 Zbl [1064.57031](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1064.57031&format=complete) MR [2092907](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2092907)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>[53] O. Plamenevskaya and L. Starkston, Unexpected Stein fllings, rational surface singularities, and plane curve arrangements. *Geom. Topol.* (to appear); arXiv[:2006.06631](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.06631)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>[54] P. Popescu-Pampu, Complex singularities and contact topology. *Winter Braids Lect. Notes* 3 (2016), Exp. No. 3 Zbl [1430.53002](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1430.53002&format=complete) MR [3707744](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3707744)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>[55] S. Schönenberger, Determining symplectic fllings from planar open books. *J. Symplectic Geom.* 5 (2007), no. 1, 19–41 Zbl [1136.53062](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1136.53062&format=complete) MR [2371183](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2371183)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>[56] J. Stevens, On the versal deformation of cyclic quotient singularities. In *Singularity Theory and its Applications, Part I (Coventry, 1988/1989)*, pp. 302–319, Lecture Notes in Math. 1462, Springer, Berlin, 1991 Zbl [0747.14002](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0747.14002&format=complete) MR [1129040](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1129040)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>[57] J. Stevens, Partial resolutions of quotient singularities. *Manuscripta Math.* 79 (1993), no. 1, 7–11 Zbl [0791.14005](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0791.14005&format=complete) MR [1213355](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1213355)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>[58] W. P. Thurston, Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1976), no. 2, 467–468 Zbl [0324.53031](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0324.53031&format=complete) MR [402764](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=402764)
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>[59] W. P. Thurston and H. E. Winkelnkemper, On the existence of contact forms. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 52 (1975), 345–347 Zbl [0312.53028](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0312.53028&format=complete) MR [375366](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=375366)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>[60] C. Wendl, Strongly fllable contact manifolds and J -holomorphic foliations. *Duke Math. J.* 151 (2010), no. 3, 337–384 Zbl [1207.32022](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1207.32022&format=complete) MR [2605865](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2605865)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>[61] E. Witten, Monopoles and four-manifolds. *Math. Res. Lett.* 1 (1994), no. 6, 769–796 Zbl [0867.57029](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0867.57029&format=complete) MR [1306021](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1306021)

#### Burak Ozbagci

Department of Mathematics, Koç University, Rumelifeneri Yolu, 34450 Istanbul, Turkey; [bozbagci@ku.edu.tr](mailto:bozbagci@ku.edu.tr)