# **CATEGORIFICATION** and applications

Peng Shan

# **ABSTRACT**

We survey some new developments for categorification of quantum groups and their applications in representation theory.

# Mathematics Subject Classification 2020

Primary 20C08; Secondary 18N25, 20G42

# **KEYWORDS**

Categorification, quiver Hecke algebra, quantum group, quantized loop algebra, center, cohomology



© 2022 International Mathematical Union Proc. Int. Cong. Math. 2022, Vol. 4, pp. 3038–3060 DOI 10.4171/ICM2022/93 and licensed under a [CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license

Published by EMS Press

### 1. Introduction

Categorification, in the broad sense, refers to the realization of a mathematical object as the Grothendieck group of certain category. Lie theory is a domain with many interesting examples of categorifications. An important family among them consists of categorification of quantum groups and their representations.

Let g be a Kac–Moody Lie algebra,  $U_v(g)$  be its quantized enveloping algebra, and  $U_v^+$  be the positive part. The first categorification of  $U_v^+$  was constructed by Lusztig [\[41,](#page-21-0)[42\]](#page-21-1) using perverse sheaves on the moduli stack of quiver representations. It reveals some deep structures of  $U_v^+$ , including the existence of a remarkable basis called the canonical basis. It is defined as the classes of intersection complexes in the Grothendieck group. Kashiwara [\[29\]](#page-20-0) also gave a construction of this basis using a different method.

A (naive) categorical q-action on an exact category  $\mathcal C$  consists of pairs of exact adjoint endofunctors  $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{F}_i$  on  $\mathcal C$  such that the Grothendieck group of  $\mathcal C$  is a g-representation with the Chevalley generators in g acting by the operators induced by  $\mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . A famous example is the categorical action of the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_p$  on the category of representations over a field of characteristic  $p$  of the symmetric groups of all ranks. The functors  $\varepsilon_i$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_i$  are given by some *i*-restriction and *i*-induction functors. Categorifical actions also had remarkable applications to representation theory of affine Hecke algebras and their cyclotomic quotients. Ariki [\[1\]](#page-19-0) proved that the category of modules over affine Hecke algebras of type A at an eth root of unity categorifies the positive half of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ , and the category of modules over cyclotomic Hecke algebras categorifies an integrable irreducible  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ -representation, with the classes of simple modules corresponding to the dual canonical basis. This result confirms a conjecture by Lascoux–Leclerc–Thibon [\[39\]](#page-21-2) and provides character formulae for simple modules over cyclotomic Hecke algebras.

In 2008, a seminal work of Chuang–Rouquier [\[17\]](#page-19-1) brought some new perspectives on categorifications. They introduced an enhanced notion of  $\sharp I_2$ -categorical action, whose input requires not only exact adjoint endofunctors  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$  as above, but also some natural transformations  $x \in$  End $(\mathcal{E}), \tau \in$  End $(\mathcal{E}^2)$  satisfying the defining relations for nil-affine Hecke algebras. They showed that many previously known examples of categorifications in Lie theory can be enhanced. The enhancement has two important advantages among others. First, it guarantees that the categorification of a simple integrable  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -representation is unique. Second, it provides derived self-equivalences between different blocks, categorifying the Weyl group action on the underlying representation. As an application, Broué's abelian defect group conjecture for symmetric groups was proved.

To extend this powerful theory from  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  to arbitrary Kac–Moody Lie algebra g, one needs correct substitutions for the nil-affine Hecke algebras. This is provided by a new family of Z-graded algebras introduced by Khovanov–Lauda [\[33\]](#page-20-1) and independently by Rouquier [\[53\]](#page-21-3), called quiver Hecke algebras (also known as KLR algebras). The category of graded projective modules over these algebras gives a categorification of  $U_v^+$  in purely algebraic terms. Moreover, by work of Rouquier [\[55\]](#page-21-4) and Varagnolo–Vasserot [\[65\]](#page-22-0), it is equivalent to Lusztig's categorification. Integrable simple g-representations also admit categorifications

by representations of cyclotomic quotients of quiver Hecke algebras by Kang–Kashiwara [\[25\]](#page-20-2). Moreover, Rouquier [\[53\]](#page-21-3) proved the unicity of categorifications for these simple representations.

Quiver Hecke algebras have captured a tremendous amount of interest in the last decade and many important progress have been made. We do not intend to give a complete survey of the subject. Instead, we will focus on some new equivalences of categorifications and some recent applications to representation theory. Here is an outline of this report.

In Section [2](#page-2-0) we review Lusztig's categorification of  $U_v^+$ , quiver Hecke algebra, its realization as extension algebra of some  $\ell$ -adic complexes, the theory of standard modules for quiver Hecke algebras and their relations to PBW basis. We also mention the monoidal categorification of the quantum cluster algebra structure on the quantum coordinate ring  $\mathbf{A}_v^+$ by Kang–Kashiwara–Kim–Oh [\[27\]](#page-20-3).

In Section [3](#page-12-0) we discuss categorifications of quantized loop algebras. By the theory of K-theoretical Hall algebras, there is a natural categorification of the positive part of quantized loop algebras in terms of coherent sheaves on the cotangent dg-stacks of quiver representations. For a quiver of finite type, since the loop algebra is part of the corresponding affine Lie algebra, it can also be categorified using representations of quiver Hecke algebras. It is natural to ask whether these two categorifications are equivalent. An equivalence of this kind was given for  $\leq 1_2$  in [\[61\]](#page-22-1). It also gives an interesting comparison between two monoidal categorifications of the quantum cluster algebra structure on a quantum unipotent coordinate ring for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , one in terms of quiver Hecke algebras as mentioned above and the other in terms of perverse coherent sheaves on affine Grassmannians, constructed by Cautis–Williams [\[15\]](#page-19-2).

In Section [4](#page-15-0) we discuss some recent applications of categorical actions to representation theory, including those of rational double affine Hecke algebras and those of finite reductive groups of classical types. We also discuss how to use categorical actions to construct representations of current algebras on the center of the underlying categories. As an application, we obtain an isomorphism between the center of cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras and the singular cohomology of Nakajima quiver varieties in finite types. In a parallel context, we get an explicit computation of the cohomology of Gieseker moduli spaces.

### 2. Quiver Hecke algebras

### **2.1. Notation**

<span id="page-2-0"></span>For an exact (resp. triangulated) category  $\mathcal{C}$ , its Grothendieck group  $[\mathcal{C}]$  is the quotient of the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module spanned by the isomorphism classes of objects in  $\mathfrak{C}$  by the relations  $[M] = [M'] + [M'']$  whenever there is a short exact sequence  $M' \to M \to M''$  (resp. distinguished triangle). Abelian categories are naturally exact categories. Additive categories can be viewed as exact categories with short exact sequences being the split ones.

An exact category  $\mathcal C$  is graded if it is equipped with an exact autoequivalence  $\langle 1 \rangle : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ . For such a category, the Grothendieck group  $[\mathcal{C}]$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -module with  $v[M] = [M(1)]$ . Here v is a formal variable. In particular, for an object  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  and

$$
a(v) = \sum_r a_r v^r \in \mathbb{N}[v^{\pm 1}], \text{ we write } a(v)M = \bigoplus_r M \langle r \rangle^{\oplus a_r}. \text{ We set}
$$

$$
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(-, -) = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, -\langle r \rangle).
$$

A standard example of graded category is the category of graded vector spaces over a field k with  $(M\langle 1 \rangle)_n = M_{n+1}$ . Its Grothendieck group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ , with the class of  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  mapping to its graded dimension gdim $(M) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M_n) v^n$ .

An exact category  $\mathcal C$  is monoidal if it is equipped with an exact bifunctor  $\mathcal C\times \mathcal C\to \mathcal C$ satisfying certain associativity constraints. Such a bifunctor yields a ring structure on the abelian group [C]. If C is graded monoidal, then [C] becomes a  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra.

Let k be a field. For a graded k-algebra A, let  $Mod(A)$  be the category of graded A-modules. Let  $mod(A)$ ,  $proj(A)$ ,  $fmod(A)$  be respectively the full subcategories consisting of finitely generated graded A-modules, finitely generated graded projective A-modules, and graded A-modules which are finite dimensional over k.

### **2.2. The quantized enveloping algebra**

Let  $I$  be a finite set. Fix a Cartan datum with a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ , a set of simple roots  $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ , a weight lattice P, and a symmetric bilinear form  $P \times P \to \mathbb{Q}, (\lambda, \mu) \mapsto \lambda \cdot \mu$  such that  $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j = a_{ij}$  and  $\omega_i \cdot \alpha_j = \delta_{ij}$ , where  $\{\omega_i \mid i \in I\}$ are the fundamental weights. Let  $g = g_A$  be the associated Kac–Moody Lie algebra. Let  $Q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$  be the root lattice. Set  $Q^+ = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{N} \alpha_i$  and  $P^+ = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{N} \omega_i$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the set of roots, and  $\Phi^+$  the set of positive roots. Let  $\pi \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie subalgebra spanned by positive root spaces.

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq n$ , define the following quantum integers in  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ :

$$
[n] = \frac{v^n - v^{-n}}{v - v^{-1}}, \quad [n]! = \prod_{r=1}^n [r], \quad \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ l \end{matrix}\right] = \frac{[n]!}{[l]![n-l]!}.
$$

The positive part  $'U^+_v$  of the quantized enveloping algebra is the unital  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by  $E_i$  for  $i \in I$ , subject to defining relations

$$
\sum_{s+r=1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s E_i^{(s)} E_j E_i^{(r)} = 0, \text{ for } i \neq j \in I,
$$

where  $E_i^{(n)} = E_i^n / [n]^!$ . It is a  $Q^+$ -graded algebra with  $deg(E_i) = \alpha_i$ . There is a coproduct

$$
\Delta: 'U_v^+ \to 'U_v^+ \otimes 'U_v^+, \quad E_i \mapsto E_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes E_i, \quad \forall i \in I,
$$

such that  $'U_v^+$  is a twisted<sup>[1](#page-3-0)</sup> bialgebra. There is a unique nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_v$  on ' $\mathbf{U}_v^+$  determined by  $(1, 1)_v = 1$ ,  $(E_i, E_j)_v = \delta_{i,j}/(1 - v^2)$ , and  $(ab, c)_v =$  $(a \otimes b, \Delta(c))_v$  for  $a, b, c \in \mathbf{U}_v^+$ , where  $(a \otimes b, c \otimes d)_v = (a, c)_v (b, d)_v$  on  $\mathbf{U}_v^+ \otimes \mathbf{U}_v^+$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**<sup>1</sup>** Here and below, twisted means the multiplication on  $'U_v^+ \otimes U_v^+$  is  $(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) =$  $v^{-\deg(b)\deg(c)}$ *ac*  $\otimes bd$  for homogeneous elements *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*  $\in$  '**U**<sup> $+$ </sup><sub>*v*</sub>.

Let  $\mathbf{U}_v^+$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -subalgebra of  $'\mathbf{U}_v^+$  generated by  $E_i^{(n)}$  $i^{(n)}$  for  $i \in I, n \ge 1$ . It is a  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -form for ' $\mathbf{U}_v^+$ , and its specialization at  $v = 1$  is an integral form for the universal enveloping algebra of n. The dual form

$$
\mathbf{A}_v^+ = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{U}_v^+ \mid (x, y)_v \in \mathbb{Z} \left[ v^{\pm 1} \right], \ \forall y \in \mathbf{U}_v^+ \right\}
$$

is called the *quantum unipotent coordinate ring*. Its specialization at  $v = 1$  is an integral form for the coordinate ring of the unipotent group associated with n.

### **2.3. Quivers and Ringel's Hall algebra**

A quiver  $\Gamma = (I, H)$  is an oriented graph with vertices set I and arrows set H. For  $h : i \to j \in H$ , we write  $h' = i$ ,  $h'' = j$ . Let  $h_{ij}$  be the total number of arrows from i to j. Assume that  $\Gamma$  has no edge loops, then it determines a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  given by  $a_{ii} = 2$  and  $a_{ij} = -h_{ij} - h_{ji}$  for  $i \neq j$ . Write  $g_{\Gamma} = g_A$ . We say  $\Gamma$  is of finite type if  $q_{\Gamma}$  is finite dimensional.

Fix a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A  $\Gamma$ -representation over  $\mathbb{F}$  is a pair  $(x, V)$ , where  $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$  is an *I*-graded F-vector space, and  $x = (x_h)_{h \in H}$  is a collection of linear maps  $x_h: V_{h'} \to V_{h''}$ . Its dimension is the element  $\sum_{i \in I} \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(V_i) \alpha_i$  in  $Q^+$ . A morphism of representations  $(x, V) \rightarrow (x', V')$  is a family of linear maps  $a_i : V_i \rightarrow V'_i$  $a'_i$  such that  $a_{h''}x_h = x'_h$  $\int_h' a_{h'}$ for all  $h \in H$ . Fix  $\beta = \sum_{i \in I} d_i \alpha_i \in Q^+$ , the space of  $\Gamma$ -representations of dimension  $\beta$  is

$$
X_{\beta} = \bigoplus_{h \in H} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^{d_{h'}}, \mathbb{F}^{d_{h''}}).
$$

The group  $G_{\beta} = \prod_{i \in I} GL_{d_i}$  acts on  $X_{\beta}$  by  $(gx)_h = g_{h''}x_h g_{h'}^{-1}$  for all  $h \in H$ ,  $g = (g_i) \in G_{\beta}$ . Two representations are isomorphic if and only if they are in the same  $G_\beta$ -orbits. So the quotient stack  $\mathcal{X}_{\beta} = [X_{\beta}/G_{\beta}]$  parametrizes the isomorphism classes of  $\Gamma$ -representations. For each  $i \in I$ , there is a unique simple  $\Gamma$ -representation  $S_i$  of dimension  $\alpha_i$  with  $x = 0$ . All simple  $\Gamma$ -representations are of this form. For a sequence  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m)$  of elements in  $Q^+$  whose entries sum up to  $\beta$ , let  $\tilde{X}_v$  be the moduli stack of flags of  $\Gamma$ -representations  $\phi_{\bullet} = (\phi_1 \subset \cdots \subset \phi_m)$  such that  $\phi_r/\phi_{r-1} \in \mathcal{X}_{\nu_r}$  for  $1 \le r \le m$ . We have a proper morphism

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
f_{\nu}: \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\nu} \to \mathcal{X}_{\beta}, \quad \phi_{\bullet} \mapsto \phi_{m}. \tag{2.1}
$$

Let  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{nil}}_\beta$  be the union of the image of  $f_\nu$  for all possible  $\nu$ . Representations in  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{nil}}_\beta$  are called nilpotent. If  $\Gamma$  has no oriented cycles, then  $\mathcal{X}_{\beta} = \mathcal{X}_{\beta}^{\text{nil}}$  for all  $\beta$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field. The space  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{X}_\beta^{\text{nil}}]$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued functions on the finite set  $\mathcal{X}_{\beta}^{\text{nil}}$  is spanned by the characteristic functions  $1_{\phi}$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{\beta}^{\text{nil}}$ . The Hall algebra  $H(\Gamma, q) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{X}_\beta^{\text{nil}}]$  is an associative algebra with the multiplication given by

$$
1_{\phi_1} * 1_{\phi_2} = \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{K}_{\beta+\gamma}} c_{\nu} |f_{\nu}^{-1}(\phi) \cap p_{\nu}^{-1}(\phi_1, \phi_2)| 1_{\phi},
$$

for  $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{X}_{\beta}, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{X}_{\gamma}$ . Here  $\nu = (\beta, \gamma)$ ,  $c_{\nu}$  is some structural constant and  $p_{\nu}$  is the morphism

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
p_{\nu}: \tilde{X}_{\nu} \to X_{\beta} \times X_{\gamma}, \quad (\phi' \subset \phi) \mapsto (\phi', \phi/\phi'). \tag{2.2}
$$

**Theorem 2.1** ([\[52\]](#page-21-5)). *The assignments*  $E_i \mapsto 1_{S_i}$  *for*  $i \in I$  *defines a*  $Q^+$ -graded algebra *embedding*

$$
'\mathbf{U}_{v}^{+}|_{v=q^{-1/2}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}(\Gamma, q).
$$

*It is an isomorphism when*  $\Gamma$  *is a quiver of finite type.* 

We recall some properties of  $\mathcal{X}_{\beta}$  in two special examples which will be used later.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Example 2.2.** Assume  $\Gamma$  is a quiver of finite type. Let  $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ . By a theorem of Gabriel, there is a bijection between  $\Phi^+$  and the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $\Gamma$ -representations, sending  $\alpha$  to  $M_{\alpha}$ . There exists a total order on  $\Phi^+$  such that  $\alpha' \prec \alpha$ if Hom<sub> $\Gamma(M_{\alpha}, M_{\alpha'}) = 0$ . Fix such an order, then  $G_{\beta}$ -orbits on  $X_{\beta}$  are in bijection with</sub> descending sequences of elements in  $\Phi^+$  whose entries sum up to  $\beta$ . Such sequences are called *Kostant partitions*. We denote by  $\Pi_{\beta}$  the set of all Kostant partitions of  $\beta$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Example 2.3.** Let  $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ . Consider the quiver  $\hat{\Gamma} = (0 \implies 1)$  associated with the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ . The path algebra of  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  is isomorphic to the self-extension algebra of the tilting bundle  $\mathscr{T} = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$  on the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Thus there is an equivalence of derived categories

$$
\text{Ext}^{\bullet}(\mathscr{T},-): D^b \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^1) \simeq D^b \text{Rep}(\hat{\Gamma}).
$$

It sends the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)$  for  $k \geq 0$  to the indecomposable preprojective  $\hat{\Gamma}$ -representation of dimension  $\alpha_1 + k\delta$ . Since any vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles, the isomorphism classes of rank  $r$  vector bundles are in bijection with the decreasing sequences in  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ . For  $\beta = r\alpha_1 + n\delta$ , let

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\Lambda_{\beta} = \{ (\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \mid \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r = n \}.
$$
 (2.3)

Let Bun<sup>+</sup> be the stack of vector bundles parametrized by  $\Lambda_{\beta}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y}_{\beta}$  be the open substack of  $\mathcal{X}_{\beta}$  consisting of preprojective representations. Then the derived equivalence above yields an isomorphism of stacks  $\text{Bun}_{\beta}^+ \simeq \mathcal{Y}_{\beta}$ .

### **2.4. Lusztig's categorification**

Based on Ringel's construction and Grothendieck's sheaf–function correspondence, Lusztig constructed the following categorification of  $\mathbf{U}_v^+$ . Let  $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  and  $\mathbb{k} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ . Let  $D_c^b(\mathcal{X}_\beta)$  be the bounded derived category of constructible  $\ell$ -adic sheaves on  $\mathcal{X}_\beta$ . The category

$$
D_c^b(\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{\beta} D_c^b(\mathcal{X}_{\beta})
$$

admits a monoidal structure given by the convolution product

$$
\mathscr{F}_1 * \mathscr{F}_2 = f_{\nu * p_{\nu}^*}(\mathscr{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathscr{F}_2)[- \beta \cdot \gamma], \quad \text{for } \mathscr{F}_1 \in D_c^b(\mathfrak{X}_{\beta}), \mathscr{F}_2 \in D_c^b(\mathfrak{X}_{\gamma}),
$$

where  $\nu = (\beta, \gamma)$  and  $p_{\nu}$ ,  $f_{\nu}$  are the morphisms defined in [\(2.1\)](#page-4-0) and [\(2.2\)](#page-4-1). For  $i \in I$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathbb{k} \chi_{\alpha_i}[-1]$  be the (shifted) constant sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha_i}$ . For  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in I^n$ , we have

$$
\mathscr{L}_{\nu_1} * \cdots * \mathscr{L}_{\nu_n} \simeq f_{\nu} * (\mathbb{K}_{\tilde{X}_{\nu}}[\dim(\tilde{X}_{\nu})]).
$$

Denote this complex by  $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}$ . Note that by the decomposition theorem, it is a direct sum of intersection complexes on  $\mathcal{X}_{\beta}$  up to some shifts. Let  $I^{\beta}$  be the subset of  $I^{n}$  consisting of sequences  $\nu$  whose entries sum up to  $\beta$ . Set  $\mathscr{L}_{\beta} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in I^{\beta}} \mathscr{L}_{\nu}$ .

Lusztig defined a full additive subcategory  $u_\beta^+$  of  $D_c^b(\mathcal{X}_\beta)$  which is generated by the indecomposable summands of  $\mathcal{L}_{\beta}$  and closed under the shifts by [1]. The sum  $\mathcal{U}^+$  =  $\bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} \mathcal{U}^+_{\beta}$  is stable under convolution. Hence it is a graded monoidal subcategory of  $D_c^b(\tilde{X})$ . We have an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -algebras

$$
[\mathcal{U}^+]\simeq U_v^+
$$

with  $[\mathcal{L}_i] \mapsto E_i$  for  $i \in I$  and  $[1] \mapsto v$ .

A basis of  $[\mathcal{U}^+]$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -module is given by the isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{U}^+$  modulo shifts. Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\beta}$  be the set of isomorphism classes of intersection complexes which appear as direct summands of  $\mathscr{L}_{\beta}$  up to some shift. Then  $\mathbf{B} = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q}^+} \mathbf{B}_{\beta}$  is a basis of  $[\mathcal{U}^+]$ , called the *canonical basis*.

### **2.5. Quiver Hecke algebras**

Let k be a field. For  $i, j \in I$ , set  $Q_{ii}(u, v) = (-1)^{h_{ij}} (u - v)^{-a_{ij}}$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $Q_{ii} = 0$ . For  $\beta \in Q^+$  of height n, the symmetric group  $S_n$  acts on the set  $I^n$  by permutation. The subset  $I^{\beta}$  is stable under this action. Write  $s_k = (k, k + 1) \in S_n$  for  $1 \le k \le n - 1$ .

**Definition 2.4** ([\[33,](#page-20-1)[53\]](#page-21-3)). For  $\beta \in Q^+$  of height *n*, the quiver Hecke algebra  $R_\beta$  is the unital k-algebra generated by  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n-1}$  and  $e_\nu$  for  $\nu \in I^\beta$ , subject to the following defining relations:

$$
x_k x_l = x_l x_k, \quad x_k e_v = e_v x_k, \quad e_v e_{v'} = \delta_{v,v'} e_v, \quad \sum_{v \in I^\beta} e_v = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
\tau_l e_v = e_{s_l(v)} \tau_l, \quad \tau_k \tau_l = \tau_l \tau_k \quad \text{if } |k - l| > 1, \quad \tau_k^2 e_v = Q_{v_k, v_{k+1}} (x_k, x_{k+1}) e_v,
$$
  
\n
$$
(\tau_{k+1} \tau_k \tau_{k+1} - \tau_k \tau_{k+1} \tau_k) e_v = \delta_{v_k, v_{k+2}} \frac{Q_{v_k, v_{k+1}} (x_k, x_{k+1}) - Q_{v_{k+2}, v_{k+1}} (x_{k+2}, x_{k+1})}{x_k - x_{k+2}} e_v,
$$
  
\n
$$
(\tau_k x_l - x_{s_k(l)} \tau_k) e_v = \begin{cases} -e_v, & \text{if } l = k, v_k = v_{k+1}, \\ e_v, & \text{if } l = k + 1, v_k = v_{k+1}, \\ e_v, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
$$

It admits a Z-grading with  $deg(e_v) = 0$ ,  $deg(x_k) = 2$ , and  $deg(\tau_l e_v) = -a_{v_l, v_{l+1}}$ .

**Remark 2.5.** Quiver Hecke algebras are also defined for symmetrizable Cartan datum and for more general choices of parameters  $Q_{ij}$ . These generalizations are important as they provide new categorification results beyond those with geometrical origins. But to simplify exposition, we will not discuss them in this survey.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Example 2.6.** For any  $i \in I$ , the algebra  $R_{n\alpha_i}$  is isomorphic to the nil-affine Hecke algebra NH<sub>n</sub>. Consider the polynomial ring Pol<sub>n</sub> =  $\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ . Let  $Z_n$  be the subring of symmetric polynomials. Recall that Pol<sub>n</sub> is a free graded  $Z_n$ -module of rank  $v^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}[n]$ . We have an algebra isomorphism  $\rho : NH_n \to \text{End}_{Z_n}(\text{Pol}_n)$  such that  $\rho(x_k)$  is the multiplication

by  $x_k$  and  $\rho(\tau_l) = \frac{s_l - 1}{x_l - x_{l-1}}$  $\frac{s_l-1}{x_l-x_{l+1}}$  is the Demazure operator. So NH<sub>n</sub> is a matrix algebra over  $Z_n$ . It has a unique indecomposable self-dual grade projective module  $P_n = v^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$  Pol<sub>n</sub>, and  $NH_n \simeq [n]^! P_n$  as graded NH<sub>n</sub>-modules.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $H_{n,q}$  be the affine Hecke algebra of type A. It is generated by  $X_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, X_n^{\pm 1}, T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1}$  subject to usual defining relations. Assume  $q \neq 1$ . Fix a finite subset I in  $\mathbb{k}^{\times}$ , define a quiver  $\Gamma_q$  with vertices set I and arrows  $i \to qi$ . Its connected components are either of type A or of affine type A. Let  $Mod(\mathbf{H}_{n,q})$  be the category of  $\mathbf{H}_{n,q}$ -modules over which  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  acts locally finitely with eigenvalues in *I*. Brundan– Kleshchev [\[12\]](#page-19-3) and Rouquier [\[53\]](#page-21-3) proved that  $Mod(\mathbf{H}_{n,q})$  is equivalent to the category of  $R_n$ -modules over which  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  act nilpotently, where  $R_n = \bigoplus_{|\beta|=n} R_{\beta}$  is the quiver Hecke algebra for  $\Gamma_q$ .

For  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in Q^+$ , the element  $e_{\beta,\gamma} = \sum_{\nu \in I^{\beta}, \nu' \in I^{\gamma}} e_{\nu \nu'}$  is an idempotent in  $R_{\beta+\gamma}$ . There is a natural algebra embedding  $R_\beta \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} R_\gamma \to e_{\beta,\gamma} R_{\beta+\gamma} e_{\beta,\gamma}$ . For  $M \in Mod(R_\beta)$ ,  $N \in Mod(R_v)$ , the induction

$$
M\circ N=R_{\beta+\gamma}e_{\beta,\gamma}\otimes_{R_{\beta}\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}R_{\gamma}}(M\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}N).
$$

yields a monoidal structure on  $Mod(R) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} Mod(R_\beta)$ . The restriction  $Res_{\beta,\gamma}(-) =$  $e_{\beta,\nu}(-)$  is right adjoint to the induction. Both functors are exact and preserve the subcategories mod $(R)$ , proj $(R)$ , and fmod $(R)$ . So the Grothendieck groups of these categories become twisted bialgebras.

There are also duality functors  $\otimes$  and  $\sharp$  on fmod $(R_\beta)$  and proj $(R_\beta)$ , given respectively by  $M^{\circledast} = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{k}(M, \mathbb{k}), P^\sharp = \text{Hom}_{R_\beta}(P, R_\beta)$ , both viewed as left  $R_\beta$ -modules via the unique antiinvolution on  $R_\beta$  fixing the generators. They induce involutions on  $\text{[fmod}(R)\text{]}$ and on [proj $(R)$ ] such that  $v \mapsto v^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 2.8 ([\[33\]](#page-20-1)).** *There are unique isomorphisms of twisted*  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -bialgebras

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\big[\text{proj}(R)\big] & \simeq & \mathbf{U}_v^+, \quad [R_{\alpha_i}] \mapsto E_i, \quad i \in I, \\
\big[\text{fmod}(R)\big] & \simeq & \mathbf{A}_v^+, \n\end{array}
$$

such that  $([P],[N])_v = \text{gdim Hom}_R(P^{\sharp}, N)$  for  $P \in \text{proj}(R)$  and  $N \in \text{fmod}(R)$ *.* 

The following theorem shows that quiver Hecke algebras provide a purely algebraic description of Lusztig's category  $\mathcal{U}^+$ .

**Theorem 2.9** ([\[55,](#page-21-4)[65\]](#page-22-0)). *There is an isomorphism of graded algebras*

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
R_{\beta} \simeq \text{Ext}^{\bullet}_{D_c^b(\mathcal{K}_{\beta})}(\mathcal{L}_{\beta}, \mathcal{L}_{\beta})^{\text{op}}.
$$
 (2.4)

The functor  $\oplus_\beta\mathop{\mathrm{Ext}}\nolimits^\bullet_{D^b_c(X_\beta)}(\mathscr{L}_\beta,-)$  yields an equivalence of graded monoidal categories

$$
\mathcal{U}^+\simeq \text{proj}(R),
$$

*which sends* **B** *to the classes of indecomposable self-dual projective modules.*

**Remark 2.10.** This theorem was extended to the setting  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and k a field of positive characteristic by Maksimau [\[44\]](#page-21-6).

**Remark 2.11.** Kang–Kashiwara–Park [\[28\]](#page-20-4) introduced quiver Hecke algebras for quivers with edge loops and established the analog of isomorphism  $(2.4)$  in this setting.

### **2.6. Representations of geometric extension algebras**

Consider an arbitrary algebraic variety X over  $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  equipped with an action of a reductive group G and a G-equivariant proper morphism  $f : \tilde{X} \to X$  from a smooth variety  $\tilde{X}$ . Assume that  $X$ ,  $G$ ,  $f$  are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = [\tilde{X}/G]$ . Let  $\Bbbk = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ . The push-forward of constant sheaf  $\mathscr{L}_f = f_* \Bbbk_{\tilde X}[\dim(\tilde X)]$  is a self-dual semisimple complex in  $D_c^b(\mathcal{X})$ . The main player of this section is the Yoneda algebra

$$
A_f = \mathrm{Ext}^{\bullet} _{D_{c}^{b}(\mathcal{X})}(\mathcal{L}_f, \mathcal{L}_f)^{\mathrm{op}}.
$$

Many interesting algebras in representation theory arise in this way. We have just seen that quiver Hecke algebras are of this kind. Historically, Lusztig first gave such a realization for degenerate affine Hecke algebras using the Springer resolutions. There are many other examples, including Schur algebras, degenerate double affine Hecke algebras, and the mathematical definition of Coulomb branch by Braverman–Finkelberg–Nakajima.

In [\[32\]](#page-20-5), Kato studied homological properties of  $A_f$ . Assume G acts on X with finitely many orbits  $\{O_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Xi}$ , and every point in X has a connected stabilizer in G. Then each orbit supports a unique G-equivariant simple perverse sheaf  $IC_{\lambda}$  given by the intermediate extension of  $\mathbb{k}_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}[\dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda]$  to X. By the decomposition theorem, we have

$$
\mathscr{L}_f = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Xi_f} \mathrm{IC}_{\lambda} \otimes L_{\lambda},
$$

where  $L_{\lambda}$  are self-dual graded vector spaces and  $\Xi_f = {\lambda \in \Xi \mid L_{\lambda} \neq 0}$ . The set  ${L_{\lambda}}_{\lambda \in \Xi_f}$ is a complete collection of nonisomorphic self-dual simple graded  $A_f$ -modules. For each  $\lambda$ , the  $A_f$ -module  $P_\lambda = \text{Ext}^{\bullet}_{D_c^b(\mathcal{X})}(\mathcal{L}_f, IC_\lambda)$  is a projective cover of  $L_\lambda$ . Let  $j_\lambda : O_\lambda \to X$  be the natural embedding, define the standard module as

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\Delta_{\lambda} = \text{Ext}^{\bullet}_{D_c^b(\mathcal{X})}(\mathcal{L}_f, j_{\lambda \ast}(\mathbb{k}_{O_{\lambda}}[\dim O_{\lambda}])) \tag{2.5}
$$

We equip  $\Xi$  with the partial order  $\prec$  given by the closure relations on the orbits.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Theorem 2.12** ([\[32\]](#page-20-5)). Assume that  $\Xi_f = \Xi$  and that

- (1) *the algebra*  $A_f$  *is pure of weight zero,*
- (2) *the complex*  $\text{IC}_\lambda$  *is pointwise pure for every*  $\lambda \in \Xi$ *.*

*Then the category*  $(mod(A_f), \{\Delta_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Xi}, \prec)$  *is a polynomial highest weight category.* 

The notion of polynomial highest weight category was introduced by Kleshchev [\[36\]](#page-20-6). Being of *polynomial highest weight* means that in  $mod(A_f)$  the projective module  $P_{\lambda}$  is filtered by standard modules  $\Delta_{\mu}$  with  $\mu \geq \lambda$  and  $\Delta_{\lambda}$  appears only once as a quotient, and

that  $\text{End}_{A_f}^{\bullet}(\Delta_{\lambda})$  is a polynomial ring over which  $\Delta_{\lambda}$  is a finitely generated free module, for all  $\lambda \in \Xi$ . In this case, the algebra  $A_f$  has finite global dimension and the following generalized BGG reciprocity holds:

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
[P_{\lambda} : \Delta_{\mu}]_{v} = [\overline{\Delta}_{\mu} : L_{\lambda}]_{v}, \qquad (2.6)
$$

where  $\overline{\Delta}_{\mu} = \Delta_{\mu} \otimes_{\text{End}_{A_f}^{\bullet}} (\Delta_{\mu})$  k is called a *proper standard module*, and  $[-:-]_v$  stands for the graded multiplicities.

**Remark 2.13.** A version of Theorem [2.12](#page-8-0) for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and k a field of positive characteristic was established by McNamara [\[48\]](#page-21-7), where purity conditions are replaced by parity conditions.

The theorem is applicable to quiver Hecke algebras when  $\Gamma$  is of finite type. Thus  $mod(R<sub>\beta</sub>)$  is a polynomial highest weight category in these cases. In fact, for any quiver, the stabilizer of a point in  $X_{\beta}$  is connected, and the purity assumption (1) is satisfied. However, the finite type assumption is crucial to guarantee that  $\Xi_f = \Xi$  is finite. The purity assumption (2) is proved by Lusztig [\[42\]](#page-21-1) for finite type quiver, but unknown in general. In the affine case, one needs to modify mod $(R_{\beta})$  to get a similar result. Here is an example.

<span id="page-9-2"></span>**Example 2.14** ([\[61\]](#page-22-1)). Let  $\hat{\Gamma}$  be the Kronecker quiver. Let  $\mathcal{Y}_{\beta}$  be the open substack of preprojective representations in  $\mathcal{X}_{\beta}$  defined in Example [2.3.](#page-5-0) Recall that the points in  $\mathcal{Y}_{\beta}$  are indexed by the finite set  $\Lambda_{\beta}$ . Let  $j_{\beta}: \mathcal{Y}_{\beta} \to \mathcal{X}_{\beta}$  be the natural embedding. Set

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
S_{\beta} = \text{Ext}^{\bullet}_{D_{c}^{b}(\mathcal{Y}_{\beta})}(j_{\beta}^{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\beta}), j_{\beta}^{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\beta}))^{\text{op}}.
$$
 (2.7)

Then the category  $mod(S_\beta)$  is polynomial highest weight. In this case, the purity assumption (2) is proved using the isomorphism  $y_\beta \simeq {\sf Bun}_\beta^+$  and the fact that  ${\sf Bun}_\beta^+$  admits an affine paving.

### **2.7. Standard modules and PBW bases**

There is also an algebraic approach for standard modules over quiver Hecke algebras, which works for symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrices as well.

Assume that  $q_T$  is of finite type. A convex order on  $\Phi^+$  is a total order  $\prec$  such that if  $\alpha \le \beta$  and  $\alpha + \beta$  is a root, then  $\alpha \le \alpha + \beta \le \beta$ . For each positive root  $\alpha$ , and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a finitely generated  $R_{n\alpha}$ -module L is called *semicuspidal* if  $\text{Res}_{\lambda,\mu}(L) \neq 0$  implies  $\lambda$  is a sum of roots  $\leq \alpha$  and  $\mu$  is a sum of roots  $\geq \alpha$ . Semicuspidal modules form an abelian subcategory in mod $(R_{n\alpha})$  which is equivalent to mod(NH<sub>n</sub>) in Example [2.6.](#page-6-0) In particular, it contains a unique self-dual simple module  $L_{n\alpha}$ . Let  $\Delta_{n\alpha}$  be its projective cover inside this subcategory of semicuspidal modules. Then  $\Delta_{\alpha}^{\circ n} = [n]^{1} \Delta_{n\alpha}$  and  $L_{n\alpha} = v^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} L_{\alpha}^{\circ n}$ . Recall that a Kostant partition  $\pi$  is of the form  $(\beta_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \beta_k^{m_k})$  with  $\beta_1 \succ \cdots \succ \beta_k$ . Set

$$
\Delta_{\pi} = \Delta_{m_1\beta_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{m_k\beta_k}, \quad \overline{\Delta}_{\pi} = L_{m_1\beta_1} \circ \cdots \circ L_{m_k\beta_k}.
$$

Let  $\Pi_{\beta}$  be the set of Kostant partitions of  $\beta$ , and equip it with the bilexicographic order.

**Theorem 2.15** ([\[13\]](#page-19-4)). Assume that  $q_{\Gamma}$  is of finite type.

- (1) *The category* mod( $R_\beta$ ) is polynomial highest weight with  $\{\Delta_\pi\}_{\pi \in \Pi_\beta}$  being the *standard modules, and*  $\{\overline{\Delta}_{\pi}\}_{\pi \in \Pi_{\beta}}$  *being the proper standard modules.*
- (2) *For each*  $\pi$ *, the module*  $\overline{\Delta}_{\pi}$  *has a unique simple quotient*  $L_{\pi}$ *. The set*  $\{L_{\pi}\}_{{\pi}\in\Pi_{\beta}}$ *is a complete collection of nonisomorphic self-dual simple graded*  $R_\beta$ -modules.

Note that if the convex order on  $\Phi^+$  satisfies the property given in Example [2.2,](#page-5-1) then the standard module  $\Delta_{\pi}$  here coincide with the geometrical one in [\(2.5\)](#page-8-1).

**Remark 2.16.** Part (2) gives a new parametrization of simple  $R_\beta$ -modules. It generalizes Zelevinsky's parametrization of simple modules for affine Hecke algebras of type A in terms of multi-segments.

For each choice of a reduced expression for the longest element  $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$  in the Weyl group, we have a convex order  $\alpha_1 \succ \cdots \succ \alpha_N$  on  $\Phi^+$  with  $\alpha_k = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}} (\alpha_{i_k}).$ Lusztig  $[43]$  defined the PBW basis for  $U_v^+$  as follows. The root vectors are  $E_{\alpha_k} := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(E_{i_k})$  in  $\mathbf{U}_{v}^+$ , where  $T_i$  are certain braid group operators. The dual root vectors are  $E^*_{\alpha} = (1 - v^2)E_{\alpha} \in \mathbf{A}_v^+$ . The PBW basis is  $\{E_{\pi} = E_{\beta_1}^{(m_1)}\}$  $\beta_1^{(m_1)} \cdots E_{\beta_k}^{(m_k)}$  $\begin{array}{c} (m_k) \\ \beta_k \end{array}$  $\pi \in \Pi$ , and the dual PBW basis for  $A_v^+$  is  $\{E_\pi^* = v^{s_\pi} E_{\beta_1}^{*m_1}\}$  ${}_{\beta_1}^{*m_1} \cdots E_{\beta_k}^{*m_k}$  $\mathcal{E}_{\beta_k}^{*m_k} \mid \pi \in \Pi$ , where  $s_{\pi} = \sum_{r=1}^{k} m_r (m_r - 1)/2$  and  $\Pi = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in Q^+} \Pi_{\beta}$ . Under the isomorphisms  $[proj(R)] \simeq U_v^+$ and  $[\text{fmod}(R)] \simeq \mathbf{A}_v^+$ , we have  $[\Delta_\pi] = E_\pi$ ,  $[\overline{\Delta}_\pi] = E_\pi^*$ . In particular, the homological property [\(2.6\)](#page-9-0) implies that the transfer matrix between the PBW basis and the canonical basis is unitriangular with off-diagonal entries belong to  $v \mathbb{N}[v]$ . This confirms a conjecture of Lusztig.

This theory has been extended to symmetric affine type by McNamara [\[49\]](#page-21-9) and Kleshchev–Muth [\[37\]](#page-21-10). For a real positive root  $\alpha$ , the category of semicuspidal  $R_{n\alpha}$ -modules is again equivalent to mod( $NH_n$ ). The new ingredient is a classification of semicuspidal representations for the imaginary roots. Once these representations are constructed, one can proceed as above to define  $\Delta_{\pi}$ ,  $\overline{\Delta}_{\pi}$  indexed by (generalized) Kostant partitions. They give categorifications for the PBW basis and the dual PBW basis defined by Beck [\[3\]](#page-19-5). There is a similar positivity on the coefficients of the transfer matrix.

An important difference in the affine case is that the category  $mod(R_\beta)$  is no more polynomial highest weight, and its global dimension may be infinite. However, it has an interesting monoidal subcategory  $\mathcal{D}_{\beta}$  with nice properties. Namely, let  $\hat{g}$  be the affine Lie algebra associated with a finite Lie algebra g. Let  $\hat{\Phi}^{++}$  be the subset of real roots  $\alpha + k\delta$ such that  $\alpha \in \Phi^+, k \ge 0$ . We can choose a preorder on the set  $\hat{\Phi}$  of affine roots such that  $\hat{\Phi}^{++} \subset \hat{\Phi}_{\prec \delta}$ . Let  $\Pi_{\beta}^+$  be the set of Kostant partitions of  $\beta$  which are supported on  $\hat{\Phi}^{++}$ . Then the subcategory  $\mathcal{D}_{\beta}$  of mod $(R_{\beta})$  generated by  $L_{\pi}$  for  $\pi \in \Pi_{\beta}^+$  is a polynomial highest weight category. In particular, it has finite global dimension. So the category of projective objects in  $\mathcal{D}_{\beta}$  and the derived category  $D^{b}(\mathcal{D}_{\beta})$  have the same Grothendieck group. Put

 $\mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q}^+} \mathcal{D}_{\beta}$ . We have

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
[D^{b}(\mathfrak{D})] \simeq \mathbf{U}_{v}(\mathfrak{n}[z]). \qquad (2.8)
$$

<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Example 2.17.** For the Kronecker quiver in Example [2.3,](#page-5-0) the closure relation on the orbits in  $\mathcal{Y}_{\beta}$  is compatible with the convex preorder  $\alpha_0 \succ \alpha_0 + \delta \succ \cdots \succ \mathbb{Z}\delta \succ \cdots \succ \alpha_1 + \delta \succ \alpha_1$ . We have an equivalence of categories  $\mathcal{D}_{\beta} \simeq \text{mod}(S_{\beta})$ , where  $S_{\beta}$  is the algebra in [\(2.7\)](#page-9-1). We have seen in Example [2.14](#page-9-2) that this category is polynomial highest weight. The algebraic standard modules again coincide with the geometric ones.

**Remark 2.18.** The algebra  $S_\beta$  is a semicuspidal algebra for the category  $\mathcal{D}_\beta$ . The semicuspidal algebra for the imaginary part was studied by Klechshev–Muth [\[37\]](#page-21-10) and Maksimau– Minets [\[45\]](#page-21-11).

#### **2.8. Monoidal categorification of quantum cluster algebras**

For a symmetric Kac–Moody algebra  $g$  and any element  $w$  in its Weyl group, Geiß– Leclerc–Schröer [\[23\]](#page-20-7) showed that the quantum unipotent coordinate ring  $\mathbf{A}_v(n(w))$  is a quantum cluster algebra, where  $\pi(w) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \cap w^{-1}(\Phi^-)} \pi_\alpha$ . A cluster algebra is a subring of the fraction field of a quantum torus, with some special elements called cluster variables, which are grouped into some overlapping subsets called clusters. The clusters are obtained from an initial one by a combinatorial procedure called mutations. A product of elements inside the same cluster is called a cluster monomial. It was conjectured that the cluster monomials in  $A_v(\mathfrak{n}(w))$  all belong to the dual canonical basis, see Kimura [\[35\]](#page-20-8). This conjecture was proved by Kang–Kashiwara–Kim–Oh [\[27\]](#page-20-3) using a monoidal categorification of  $\mathbf{A}_v(\mathfrak{n}(w))$  by modules over quiver Hecke algebras, see Kashiwara's ICM talk [\[30\]](#page-20-9) for a nice survey on this subject.

A key ingredient in this construction is the study of products of real simple objects. A simple  $R_\beta$ -module L is called *real* if  $L \circ L$  is simple. It was shown in [\[26,](#page-20-10) [27\]](#page-20-3) that if either M or N is a real simple object, then  $\text{Hom}_R(M \circ N, N \circ M) = \mathbb{k}$ **r**, where  $\mathbf{r}$   $: M \circ N \to N \circ M$  is a nonzero map given by a construction called *renormalized r*-matrix. Moreover, the image of **r** is simple, isomorphic to the head of  $M \circ N$ , and the socle of  $N \circ M$  (with grading ignored). In [\[27\]](#page-20-3) it was shown that given the presence of a quantum cluster structure on the Grothendieck ring of a monoidal category, how renormalized r-matrices reduce the existence of iterated mutations to the existence of one step mutation.

Renormalized r-matrices naturally show up in other contexts, including finitedimensional representations of quantum affine algebras (which was studied before quiver Hecke algebras), as well as in representations of p-adic groups, see, e.g., [\[38\]](#page-21-12). Recently, Cautis–Williams [\[15\]](#page-19-2) constructed renormalized  $r$ -matrices for perverse coherent sheaves on affine Grassmannians, and used them to construct a monoidal categorification of a quantum coordinate ring for  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ .

# 3. Coherent categorification of quantized loop algebras

## **3.1.** K**-theoretical Hall algebra**

<span id="page-12-0"></span>We have explained the categorifications of  $U_v^+$  by perverse sheaves on the stack of quiver representations, and its algebraic counterpart by modules over quiver Hecke algebras. Now, we discuss a categorification of the quantized loop algebra via coherent sheaves.

Let  $\Gamma = (I, H)$  be a quiver,  $g = g_{\Gamma}$  and let n be the positive part in g. The loop algebra  $\pi[z^{\pm 1}]$  (with z being a formal variable) is a Lie algebra with bracket  $[xz^m, yz^n] =$  $[x, y]z^{m+n}$  for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}$ .

**Definition 3.1** (Drinfeld). The quantized enveloping algebra  $\tilde{U}_v^+$  for  $\pi[z^{\pm 1}]$  is the  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by  $E_{i,n}$  with  $i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , subject to the following defining relations:

- (1) for  $i, j \in I$ , we have  $(v^{a_{ij}}z w)E_i(z)E_j(w) = (z v^{a_{ij}}w)E_j(z)E_i(w)$ ,
- (2) for  $i \neq j$ , put  $l = 1 a_{ij}$ , we have the Serre relation

$$
\mathrm{Sym}_{z} \sum_{r=0}^{l} (-1)^{r} \left[ {1 \atop r} \right] E_{i}(z_{1}) \cdots E_{i}(z_{r}) E_{j}(w) E_{i}(z_{r+1}) \cdots E_{i}(z_{l}) = 0.
$$

Here z, w, z<sub>1</sub>, ..., z<sub>l</sub> are variables,  $E_i(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i,n} z^{-n}$ , the operator Sym<sub>z</sub> is averaging with respect to the commutator  $[a, b]_z = ab - zba$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^+$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -subalgebra of  $'\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+$  generated by the quantum divided powers  $E_{i,n}^{(r)}$  with  $i \in I$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r \geq 1$ . We explain now its relationship with K-theoretical Hall algebra.

Let  $\overline{\Gamma}$  be the quiver obtained from  $\Gamma$  by adding an arrow  $\overline{h}: h'' \to h'$  for each  $h \in H$ . For  $\beta \in Q^+$ , let  $\bar{X}_{\beta}$  be the space of representations of  $\bar{\Gamma}$  of dimension  $\beta$ . Then we have a natural isomorphism  $\bar{X}_{\beta} \simeq T^*X_{\beta}$ . The action of  $G_{\beta}$  on  $\bar{X}_{\beta}$  is Hamiltonian with the moment map given by

$$
\mu_{\beta} : \bar{X}_{\beta} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}, \quad (x_h, x_{\bar{h}})_{h \in H} \mapsto \sum_{h \in H} [x_h, x_{\bar{h}}].
$$

We impose  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -actions on  $\overline{X}_{\beta}$  and on  $g_{\beta}$  by dilations of weight 1 and weight 2, respectively. Set  $G_{\beta}^c = G_{\beta} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ . Then  $\mu_{\beta}$  is  $G_{\beta}^c$ -equivariant. The cotangent dg-stack of  $\mathcal{X}_{\beta}$  is the quotient stack

$$
T^{\ast}\mathcal{X}_{\beta} = \left[\bar{X}_{\beta} \times_{\mathfrak{g}_{\beta}}^{R} \{0\}/G_{\beta}^{c}\right].
$$

Here  $\bar{X}_{\beta} \times_{\beta_{\beta}}^R \{0\}$  is the derived fiber of  $\mu_{\beta}$  at zero. In concrete terms,  $\bar{X}_{\beta} \times_{\beta_{\beta}}^R \{0\} =$  $Spec(\mathbf{A}_{\beta})$ , where  $\mathbf{A}_{\beta} = S(\bar{X}_{\beta}) \otimes S(g_{\beta}[1](2))$  is a graded dg-algebra with the differential given by the contraction by  $\mu_{\beta} \in S^2(\bar{X}_{\beta}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}^*$  $\frac{k}{\beta}$  $\langle -2 \rangle$ . Here  $\langle 1 \rangle$  is the degree shift for the internal grading induced by the  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -action.

Let  $D^b$  Coh $(T^*\mathcal{X}_\beta)$  be the derived category of coherent sheaves on the dg-stack  $T^*X_\beta$ . Equivalently, it is the derived category of graded  $A_\beta \rtimes G_\beta$ -modules whose cohomology is finitely generated over  $H^0(\mathbf{A}_{\beta})$ . There is a convolution product on

$$
D^{b}\operatorname{Coh}(T^{*}\mathfrak{X})=\bigoplus_{\beta\in Q^{+}}D^{b}\operatorname{Coh}(T^{*}\mathfrak{X}_{\beta}),
$$

so that it becomes a graded monoidal triangulated category. The  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra  $[D^b \text{Coh}(T^*\mathcal{X})]$  is called the *K-theoretical Hall algebra*. We also consider a triangulated monoidal subcategory  $D^b$  Coh $(T^*\mathcal{X})_{\text{nil}}$  consisting of complexes with cohomology supported on a closed substack of nilpotent elements.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Example 3.2.** Consider  $\Gamma = \bullet$ , the quiver for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{n}[z^{\pm 1}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}]$ . Write  $Q^+ = \mathbb{N}\alpha$ . Since  $\Gamma$  has no arrow, we have  $X_{r\alpha} = \bar{X}_{r\alpha} = \{0\}$  and  $T^* \mathcal{X}_{r\alpha} = [\{0\} \times_{\mathfrak{gl}_r}^R \{0\} / \mathrm{GL}_r^c]$ . Hence  $\mathbf{A}_{r\alpha}$  is the exterior algebra  $S(g\mathfrak{l}_r[1]\langle 2\rangle)$  with zero differential. By Koszul duality, we have

$$
D^{b}\operatorname{Coh}(T^{*}\mathcal{K}_{r\alpha})\simeq D^{b}\operatorname{Coh}([\mathfrak{gl}_{r}/\operatorname{GL}_{r}^{c}]).
$$

For each irreducible GL<sub>r</sub>-representation  $V(\lambda)$  of highest weight  $\lambda$ , set  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)_{r\alpha} =$  $\mathscr{O}_{\mathfrak{gl}_r} \otimes V(\lambda)$ . Let  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r$  be the fundamental weights. Then  $\mathscr{O}(n\omega_r)_{r\alpha}$  with  $r \geq 1$ and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  generate  $\bigoplus_{r \geq 0} D^b \text{Coh}([qI_r/\text{GL}_r^c])$  as a monoidal triangulated category. We have an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -algebras

$$
\bigoplus_{r\geq 0}[D^b\operatorname{Coh}([\mathfrak{gl}_r/\operatorname{GL}_r^c])]\simeq \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^+,\quad [\mathscr{O}(n\omega_r)_{r\alpha}]\mapsto E_{\alpha,n}^{(r)}.
$$

Now, assume that  $\Gamma$  is an arbitrary quiver with no edge loop. Then for each  $i \in I$ , we have  $\mathcal{X}_{r\alpha_i} = [\{0\}/GL_r]$  and the vector bundles  $\mathcal{O}(n\omega_r)_{r\alpha_i} \in \text{Coh}(T^*\mathcal{X}_{r\alpha_i})$  as defined above. We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3 ([\[66\]](#page-22-2))**. *There is a unique surjective*  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra homomorphism

$$
\phi: \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^+ \to \big[ D^b \operatorname{Coh}(T^*\mathfrak{X})_{\text{nil}} \big], \quad E_{i,n}^{(r)} \mapsto \big[ \mathcal{O}(n\omega_r)_{r\alpha_i} \big].
$$

*Moreover,*  $\phi$  is an isomorphism if  $\Gamma$  is of finite or affine type except  $A_1^{(1)}$ . In particular,  $D^b \text{Coh}(T^*\mathcal{X})_{\text{nil}}$  *gives a categorification of*  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^+$ .

**Remark 3.4.** K-theoretical Hall algebras are constructed more generally for quivers with potential by Padurariu [\[51\]](#page-21-13) using a category of singularities. Conjecturally, they are isomorphic to the positive part of Okounkov–Smirnov quantum affine algebras.

### **3.2. Equivalence of constructible and coherent categorifications**

If g is of finite type, its affine Lie algebra  $\hat{q}$  is a central extension of the loop algebra  $\mathfrak{g}[z^{\pm 1}]$ . The Kac–Moody positive part  $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}$  and the loop algebra  $\mathfrak{n}[z^{\pm 1}]$  shares a common Lie subalgebra, which is  $\pi[z]$ .

Recall that for quiver Hecke algebras  $R<sub>\beta</sub>$  of type  $\hat{q}$ , we have introduced the category  $\mathcal{D}$  which categorifies  $\mathbf{U}_v(\text{tr}[z])$ , see [\(2.8\)](#page-11-0). On the other side,  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^+$  is categorified by coherent sheaves on  $T^*X$ , and  $U_v(\text{tr}[z])$  is the subalgebra generated by divided powers  $E_{i,n}^{(r)}$  for  $i \in I$ ,  $n \geq 0, r \geq 1$ . Let  $D^b \text{Coh}(T^*\mathcal{X})_+$  be the triangulated subcategory of  $D^b \text{Coh}(T^*\mathcal{X})$  generated by  $\mathcal{O}(n\omega_r)_{r\alpha_i}$  for  $i \in I, n \geq 0, r \geq 1$ . It also categorifies  $U_v(n[z])$ . It is natural to ask whether these two categorifications are equivalent.

**Question 3.5.** Is there an equivalence of triangulated graded monoidal categories

$$
D^b(\mathfrak{D}) \simeq D^b \operatorname{Coh}(T^*\mathfrak{X})_+
$$

which induces the identity on the Grothendieck group?

In [\[61\]](#page-22-1), a version of such an equivalence was given for  $g = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ . On the quiver Hecke side, we consider the category  $\mathcal{D}_{\beta}$  attached to the Kronecker quiver in Example [2.17.](#page-11-1) The simple objects in this category are parametrized by the finite set  $\Lambda_{\beta}$  in [\(2.3\)](#page-5-2). For  $\beta =$  $r\alpha_1 + n\delta$ , let  $D^b$  Coh([gl<sub>r</sub>/GL<sup>c</sup>]) $_\beta$  be the triangulated subcategory of  $D^b$  Coh([gl<sub>r</sub>/GL<sup>c</sup>]) generated by  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)_{r\alpha}$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\beta}$ , see Example [3.2.](#page-13-0) We conjecture that in this case there is an equivalence of graded monoidal categories

$$
D^{b}(\mathcal{D}_{\beta}) \simeq D^{b} \operatorname{Coh}([\mathfrak{gl}_{r}/\operatorname{GL}_{r}^{c}])_{\beta}.
$$

Note that both categories can be viewed as categories over  $gL_r$  GL<sub>r</sub>. The fiber at zero on the coherent side is  $D^b\text{Coh}([\mathcal{N}_r/\text{GL}_r])_\beta$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_r\subset \mathfrak{gl}_r$  is the nilpotent cone. It has a perverse coherent t-structure defined by Arinkin–Berzukavnikov [\[2\]](#page-19-6), whose heart  $\text{PCoh}([\mathcal{N}_r/\text{GL}_r^c])_\beta$ is the category of equivariant perverse coherent sheaves on  $\mathcal{N}_r$ . The fiber at zero on the quiver Hecke side is a subcategory  $\mathcal{D}_{\beta}^{\sharp}$  $^{\#}_{\beta}$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{\beta}$  with the same simple objects as in  $\mathcal{D}_{\beta}$ .

**Theorem 3.6** ([\[61\]](#page-22-1)). *For*  $\beta = r\alpha_1 + n\delta$  with  $r \ge 1$ , there is an equivalence of graded trian*gulated categories*[2](#page-14-0)

$$
D^{\text{perf}}(\mathfrak{D}_{\beta}^{\sharp}) \simeq D^{\text{perf}} \text{Coh}([\mathcal{N}_r/\text{ GL}_r^c])_{\beta},
$$

*which induces an equivalence of graded abelian categories*

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\beta}^{\sharp} \simeq \mathrm{PCoh}([\mathcal{N}_r/\mathrm{GL}_r^c])_{\beta}.
$$

*Further, this equivalence is compatible with the proper stratified structures on both sides.*

The proof of this theorem uses a derived equivalence between  $\mathcal{D}_{\beta}$  and the category of constructible sheaves on the stack of preprojective representations  $\mathcal{Y}_\beta \simeq \text{Bun}_\beta^+$  in Exam-ple [2.3,](#page-5-0) the derived geometric Satake equivalence between  $D^b$  Coh([ $\mathfrak{gl}_r / \operatorname{GL}_r^c$ ]) and the equivariant derived category of constructible sheaves on the affine Grassmannian for  $GL_r$ established by Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg [\[8\]](#page-19-7), and a version of Radon transform between Bun $^+_\beta$  and the affine Grassmannian.

**Remark 3.7.** This theorem has a similar flavor as the equivalence between two categorifications of affine Hecke algebras established by Bezrukavnikov [\[6\]](#page-19-8).

**Remark 3.8.** For  $w = (s_0 s_1)^N$  in the affine Weyl group of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ , by [\[27\]](#page-20-3) the quantum cluster algebra  $\mathbf{A}_v(w)$  has a monoidal categorification by a subcategory in D. Cautis–Williams [\[15\]](#page-19-2) constructed another monoidal categorification using equivariant perverse coherent sheaves on the affine Grassmannian for  $GL_N$ . The theorem above combined with a functor of Finkelberg–Fujita [\[22\]](#page-20-11) yields a faithful functor between these two categorifications, which is expected to be an equivalence.

<span id="page-14-0"></span><sup>2</sup> Here "perf" refers to the subcategory of perfect complexes.

### 4. Categorical representations and applications

### **4.1. Categorical representations**

<span id="page-15-0"></span>The categorified quantum group is a monoidal k-linear 2-category  $\mathcal U$  with objects being elements in the weight lattice P, the set of 1-morphisms generated by  $\mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_i$  for  $i \in I$ , and 2-morphisms generated by  $x \in End(\mathcal{E}_i)$ ,  $\tau \in End(\mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{E}_j)$ ,  $\eta_i : 1 \to \mathcal{F}_i \mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $\varepsilon_i : \mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{F}_i \to 1$ , subject to a list of relations. Khovanov–Lauda [\[34\]](#page-20-12) and Rouquier [\[53\]](#page-21-3) independently introduced a definition of  $\mathcal{U}$ , with different sets of generators and relations for 2-morphisms. Brundan [\[11\]](#page-19-9) proved that they are equivalent.

A categorical  $\mathcal{U}$ -representation is a 2-functor from  $\mathcal{U}$  to the 2-category of k-linear categories. In concrete terms, it consists of a collection of k-linear categories  $\{\mathcal{C}_{\mu}\}_{{\mu \in \mathbb{P}}}$ equipped with adjoint functors  $\mathcal{E}_i$  :  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mu + \alpha_i}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_i$  :  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu + \alpha_i} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ , and natural transformations x,  $\tau$ ,  $\varepsilon_i$ ,  $\eta_i$  satisfying the defining relations in U. In this case, we also say that  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} \mathcal{C}_{\mu}$  carries a categorical g-action.

For g of type A or affine type A, a *categorical* g*-action* on an abelian and Artinian category  $\mathcal C$  is equivalent to the following data (see [\[53\]](#page-21-3)):

- a decomposition  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} \mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ ,
- a pair of biadjoint endofunctors  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{C},$
- natural transformations  $X \in \text{End}(\mathcal{E}), T \in \text{End}(\mathcal{E}^2)$ ,

such that X acts on  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$  with eigenvalues in I, the generalized eigenfunctors  $\mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_i$  for  $i \in I$  yield a g-action on the Grothendieck group  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_u$  is the  $\mu$ -weight space, and X, T satisfy defining relations for affine Hecke algebras.

Many representation categories carrie such actions, including those of symmetric groups, cyclotomic Hecke algebras, the category  $\Theta$  for  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ , etc. By Chuang–Rouquier [\[17\]](#page-19-1), the existence of such a categorical action implies that the categories  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$  for  $\mu$  lying in the same Weyl group orbit are derived equivalent. They also constructed a crystal structure on the set of simple objets in  $\mathcal C$ . In [\[58\]](#page-22-3), it is proved that the classes of these simple objects form a perfect basis in the Grothendieck group, which has nice unicity properties.

### **4.2. Minimal categorification**

<span id="page-15-1"></span>Let g be any symmetrizable Kac–Moody algebra. For a dominant weight  $\lambda \in P^+$ , the irreducible g-representation of highest weight  $\lambda$  has an integral form  $\mathbf{V}_v(\lambda)$ , which is a quotient of  $\mathbf{U}_v^+$ . Let  $\mathbf{V}_v^*(\lambda)$  be the dual form.

**Definition 4.1.** The *cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra*  $R^{\lambda}_{\beta}$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra defined as the quotient of  $R_\beta$  by the two-sided ideal generated by  $\sum_{v \in I^\beta} x_1^{\lambda \cdot \alpha_{v_1}} e_v$ .

Kang–Kashiwara [\[25\]](#page-20-2) proved that  $V_v(\lambda)$  is categorified by  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu} = \text{proj}(R_{\beta}^{\lambda})$  for  $\mu = \lambda - \beta$ , with  $\mathcal{F}_i : \text{proj}(R_\beta^\lambda) \to \text{proj}(R_{\beta + \alpha_i}^\lambda)$  given by  $R_{\beta + \alpha_i}^\lambda e_{\beta,i} \otimes_{R_\beta^\lambda} -$ , and the adjoint functor given by  $\mathcal{E}_i(-) = e_{\beta,i}(-)$  viewed as left  $R^{\lambda}_{\beta}$ -modules. The 2-morphisms x,  $\tau$  are given by multiplying with the same named generators in  $R_{\beta}$ . The representation  $V_v^*(\lambda)$  is

categorified by  $\bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} \text{fmod}(R_\beta^\lambda)$ . This result generalizes Ariki's [\[1\]](#page-19-0) categorification theo-rem for cyclotomic Hecke algebras. Rouquier [\[53\]](#page-21-3) proved that the categorification of  $V_v(\lambda)$ by k-linear additive categories is unique.

### **4.3. Applications to representations of rational double affine Hecke algebras**

Cyclotomic rational double affine Hecke algebra  $(=$ CRDAHA) is a special family of symplectic reflection algebras introduced by Etingof–Ginzburg [\[21\]](#page-20-13). They are associated with complex reflection groups  $G(l, 1, n)$  and some parameters. They have a category  $\mathcal{O}$ , which is a highest weight cover of the category of finitely generated modules over cyclotomic Hecke algebras. This representation category can be viewed as a generalization of the  $q$ -Schur algebra, and provides an important example of category  $\Theta$  associated with quantization of symplectic resolutions. The Grothendieck group of these category  $\Theta$  (summed over *n*) can be naturally identified with the *Fock space*  $\mathbf{F}_v$  of level *l*. The latter is a combinatorial model which gives a concrete realization of integrable  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ -representations. Rouquier [\[54\]](#page-21-14) conjectured that the classes of simple modules in  $\Theta$  correspond to the dual canonical basis in  $\mathbf{F}_v$ . This yields character formulae for these simple modules in terms of affine Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials.

In [\[58\]](#page-22-3), a categorical  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ -action on  $\Theta$  was constructed using the induction and restriction functors defined by Bezukavnikov–Etingof [\[7\]](#page-19-10). Varagnolo–Vasserot [\[64\]](#page-22-4) constructed a categorical  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ -action on an affine type A parabolic category  $\mathcal O$ , and conjectured it should be equivalent to that for CRDAHA. This conjecture was proved independently by Losev [\[40\]](#page-21-15) and Rouquier–Shan–Varagnolo–Vasserot [\[56\]](#page-21-16). As a consequence, Rouquier's conjecture was confirmed. Further, by  $[59]$ , the parabolic affine category  $\varnothing$  admits a Koszul grading. By the equivalence above, this transfers to a Koszul grading on the category  $\varnothing$  of CRDAHA. Moreover, its Koszul dual is the category  $\varnothing$  of another CRDAHA. This confirms a conjecture of Chuang–Miyachi [\[16\]](#page-19-11). The Koszul duality categorifies the level–rank duality on the Fock space.

On the Fock space, there is also an interesting Heisenberg algebra action. A categorification of this action was constructed in [\[62\]](#page-22-6) and it was used to prove a conjecture of Etingof [\[20\]](#page-20-14) on the number of finite dimensional representations of these CRDAHA.

### **4.4. Applications to representations of finite reductive groups**

Categorical actions are also constructed on the category of unipotent representations of classical finite algebraic groups, over a field of characteristic  $\ell$  different from the defining characteristic. For  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , this was done by Chuang–Rouquier [\[17\]](#page-19-1). For finite unitary groups and finite classical groups of type  $B, C$ , it was constructed by Dudas– Varagnolo–Vasserot [\[18,](#page-19-12) [19\]](#page-20-15). In all these cases, the functors  $\mathcal E$  and  $\mathcal F$  are given by Harish-Chandra restriction and induction functors. The underlying Grothendieck group is a level one Fock space in the case of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , and some explicit level 2 Fock spaces for the other classical types. As a consequence, Broué's abelian defect group conjecture is proved for unipotent  $\ell$ -blocks of these groups at linear prime  $\ell$ .

### **4.5. Applications to the study of center and cohomology**

Let C be a graded k-linear category. Denote the identity functor by  $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ . The center of  $\mathcal C$  is the graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra  $Z^\bullet(\mathcal C) = \mathrm{End}(1_\mathcal C)$ . Given a pair of biadjoint endofunctors  $\mathcal E$ ,  $\mathcal F$ and  $x \in$  End $(\mathcal{E})$ , Bernstein [\[5\]](#page-19-13) introduced the following operator:

$$
Z_{\mathcal{E}}(x) : Z^{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}) \to Z^{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}),
$$
  

$$
z \mapsto (1_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{F} 1_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F} z_X} \mathcal{F} 1_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} 1_{\mathcal{C}}),
$$

where  $\eta$  and  $\varepsilon'$  are the unit and counit in the biadjunction.

When  $\mathfrak C$  carries a categorical q-action, it is equipped with a family of biadjoint functors  $\mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and an endomorphism  $x \in \text{End}(\mathcal{E}_i) \simeq \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_i)^{\text{op}}$ . So we get a family of operators  $x_{i,r}^+ = Z_{\mathcal{F}_i}(x^r), x_{i,r}^- = Z_{\mathcal{E}_i}(x^r)$  for  $i \in I, r \ge 0$ . By Beliakova–Habiro–Lauda–Webster [\[4\]](#page-19-14) and Shan–Varagnolo–Vasserot [\[60\]](#page-22-7), these operators define an action of the current algebra Lg on  $Z^{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ . If g is of type ADE, then  $Lg = g[z]$  and the operators  $x_{i,r}^+, x_{i,r}^-$  correspond to  $E_i \otimes z^r$ ,  $F_i \otimes z^r$ , respectively.

This construction applied to the minimal categorification in Section [4.2](#page-15-1) allows to establish an isomorphism between the center of cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras and the singular cohomology of quiver varieties. Quiver varieties are a family of complex symplectic varieties  $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\beta$  introduced by Nakajima [\[50\]](#page-21-17). Here  $\lambda \in P^+, \beta \in Q^+$ . Nakajima defined a gaction on the sum over  $\beta$  of the middle cohomology of  $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\beta$  with coefficient in  $\Bbbk$ . Varagnolo [\[63\]](#page-22-8) extended this to an  $L\mathfrak{g}$ -action on the total cohomology  $\oplus_{\beta} H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{M}_{\beta}^{\lambda})$ .

**Theorem 4.2** ([\[4,](#page-19-14)[60\]](#page-22-7)). Assume g is of type ADE. Fix  $\lambda \in P^+$ . There is an isomorphism of Lg*-modules*

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q}^+} Z^{\bullet}(R^{\lambda}_{\beta}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q}^+} \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}_{\beta}),\tag{4.1}
$$

*which respects*  $Q^+$ -grading and intertwines the product on the center and the cup product *on the cohomology.*

This isomorphism is canonical in the following sense. It is not hard to show that the center of  $R_\beta$  is canonically isomorphic to  $H^{\bullet}([pt/G_\beta])$ . The quotient map  $R_\beta \to R_\beta^{\lambda}$ induces a map on the center  $\kappa_a: Z^{\bullet}(R_\beta) \to Z^{\bullet}(R_\beta^{\lambda})$ , which may not be surjective in general. On the geometrical side, the quiver variety  $\mathfrak{M}_{\beta}^{\lambda}$  admits an open embedding into [pt /G<sub> $\beta$ </sub>]. The pull-back gives the so-called *Kirwan map*  $\kappa_g : H^{\bullet}([pt/G_\beta]) \to H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}_\beta)$ . McGerty and Nevins [\[47\]](#page-21-18) proved that  $\kappa_g$  is surjective for any quiver, including those with edge loops. The isomorphism [\(4.1\)](#page-17-0) fits into the following diagram:



**Remark 4.3.** Quiver varieties carry a symplectic  $G_{\lambda}$ -action and an additional  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -action rescaling the symplectic form. The theorem admits a  $G_{\lambda}$ -equivariant version by considering cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras defined over the ring  $H_{G_\lambda}^{\bullet}(\text{pt})$ . However, adding

 $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -equivariance on the geometrical side changes the  $Lg$ -action to a Yangian action. It is not known how to realize the Yangian action on the center side.

**Remark 4.4.** There is also a similar isomorphism between the cocenter  $\text{Tr}^{\bullet}(R^{\lambda}_{\beta})$  of  $R^{\lambda}_{\beta}$  and the Borel–Moore homology of a Langrangian subvariety in  $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\beta$  . Moreover, in [\[4\]](#page-19-14) it is proved that for g of type ADE, the cocenter of the 2-category  $\mathcal U$  is an idempotent version of  $L\mathfrak{g}$ . For general type of Kac–Moody algebra g, it is proved in [\[60\]](#page-22-7) that  $\text{Tr}^{\bullet}(R^{\lambda}) = \bigoplus_{\beta} \text{Tr}^{\bullet}(R^{\lambda}_{\beta})$ is always a cyclic  $q[z]$ -module.

There is an interesting variation of this result for the Jordan quiver  $\bullet$ .

In this case, the quiver variety  $\mathfrak{M}_n^r$  is the Gieseker moduli space parametrizing framed rank r torsion-free sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with the second Chern class equal to n. It carries an action of  $GL_r \times GL_2$ , where  $GL_r$  acts on the framing and  $GL_2$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Let  $G_r = GL_r \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ , with  $\mathbb{C}^\times = \text{diag}(t, t^{-1})$  in  $GL_2$ . Let  $\mathbf{k} = H_{G_r}(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{k}[h][y_1, \dots, y_r]^{\mathfrak{S}_r}$  and k<sup>'</sup> be its fraction field. Maulik–Okounkov [\[46\]](#page-21-19) and Schiffmann–Vasserot [\[57\]](#page-22-9) independently proved that for fixed r, there is an affine W-algebra action on the (localized) equivariant cohomology  $\mathbb{M}_r = \bigoplus_n \mathrm{H}_G^{\bullet}$  $\mathbf{E}_{G_r}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{M}_n^r) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}'$ , confirming a version of the AGT conjecture concerning pure  $N = 2$  gauge theory for the group SU<sub>r</sub>. The quiver Hecke algebra  $R_n$  associated with the Jordan quiver is the degenerate affine Hecke algebra over the ring **k**. Define its cyclotomic quotient  $R_n^r = R_n/(x_1 - y_1) \cdots (x_1 - y_r)$ . The quotient map induces a morphism  $\kappa_n^r : Z^{\bullet}(R_n) \to Z^{\bullet}(R_n^r)$ , which is only surjective after localization.

**Theorem 4.5** ([\[60\]](#page-22-7)). *Fix*  $r \ge 1$ *. There is an action of the affine W-algebra on* 

$$
\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}Z^{\bullet}(R_n^r)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{k}'
$$

*constructed using Bernstein operators. The module obtained is isomorphic to*  $\mathbb{M}_r$ *. Moreover, there is a ring isomorphism*

$$
\operatorname{im}(\kappa_n^r) \simeq \operatorname{H}^{\bullet}_{G_r}(\mathfrak{M}_n^r), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

In particular, since the ring  $\text{im}(\kappa_n^r)$  has a presentation by generators and relations given by Brundan [\[10\]](#page-19-15), this theorem gives an explicit description for the ring structure on  $H_c^{\bullet}$  $\mathcal{C}_G$  ( $\mathfrak{M}_n^r$ ). It also generalizes the results of Göttsche–Soergel [\[24\]](#page-20-16) and Vasserot [\[67\]](#page-22-10) for Hilbert scheme of *n* points on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , which is  $\mathfrak{M}_n^1$ .

**Remark 4.6.** A similar description for the equivariant cohomology of Calogero–Moser spaces was established in [\[9\]](#page-19-16).

**Remark 4.7.** S. Cautis, A. Lauda, A. Licata, and J. Sussan [\[14\]](#page-19-17) showed that the cocenter of Khovanov's Heisenberg category is a quotient of the W -algebra above.

### Acknowledgments

I benefited greatly from discussions with G. Bellamy, R. Bezrukavnikov, C. Bonnafé, P. Etingof, I. Gordon, B. Leclerc, P. McNamara, S. Riche, R. Rouquier, O. Schiffmann, M. Varagnolo, and E. Vasserot over the years. I would like to thank them for their help.

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[1] S. Ariki, On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of  $G(m, 1, n)$ . *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **36** (1996), no. 4, 789–808.
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>[2] D. Arinkin and R. Bezrukavnikov, Perverse coherent sheaves. *Mosc. Math. J.* **10** (2010), no. 1, 3–29.
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>[3] J. Beck, Convex bases of PBW type for quantum affine algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **165** (1994), no. 1, 193–199.
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>[4] A. Beliakova, K. Habiro, A. Lauda, and B. Webster, Current algebras and categorified quantum groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **95** (2017), no. 1, 248–276.
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>[5] J. Bernstein, Traces in categories. In *Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invariant theory (Paris, 1989)*, pp. 417–423, Progr. Math. 92, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990.
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>[6] R. Bezrukavnikov, On two geometric realizations of an affine Hecke algebra. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **123** (2016), 1–67.
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>[7] R. Bezrukavnikov and P. Etingof, Parabolic induction and restriction functors for rational Cherednik algebras. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **14** (2009), no. 3–4, 397–425.
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>[8] R. Bezrukavnikov and M. Finkelberg, Equivariant Satake category and Kostant– Whittaker reduction. *Mosc. Math. J.* **8** (2008), no. 1, 39–72.
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>[9] C. Bonnafé and P. Shan, On the cohomology of Calogero–Moser spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **4** (2020), 1091–1111.
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>[10] J. Brundan, Centers of degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebras and parabolic category O. *Represent. Theory* **12** (2008), 236–259.
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>[11] J. Brundan, On the definition of Kac–Moody 2-category. *Math. Ann.* **364** (2016), no. 1–2, 353–372.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>[12] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov–Lauda algebras. *Invent. Math.* **178** (2009), no. 3, 451–484.
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>[13] J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, and P. J. McNamara, Homological properties of finitetype Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **163** (2014), no. 7, 1353–1404.
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>[14] S. Cautis, A. Lauda, A. Licata, and J. Sussan, W -Algebras from Heisenberg categories. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **17** (2018), no. 5, 981–1017.
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>[15] S. Cautis and H. Williams, Cluster theory of the coherent Satake category. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **32** (2019), no. 3, 709–778.
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>[16] J. Chuang and H. Miyachi, Hidden Hecke algebras and Koszul dualities. Preprint, 2011. [http://www.math.nagoya-u.ac.jp/~miyachi/preprints/lrkszl21.pdf.](http://www.math.nagoya-u.ac.jp/~miyachi/preprints/lrkszl21.pdf)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[17] J. Chuang and R. Rouquier, Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and  $\sharp$ <sub>2</sub>categorification. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **167** (2008), no. 1, 245–298.
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>[18] O. Dudas, M. Varagnolo, and E. Vasserot, Categorical actions on unipotent representations of finite classical groups. In *Categorification and higher representation theory*, pp. 41–104, Contemp. Math. 683, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>[19] O. Dudas, M. Varagnolo, and E. Vasserot, Categorical actions on unipotent representations of finite unitary groups. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **129** (2019), 129–197.
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>[20] P. Etingof, Symplectic reflection algebras and affine Lie algebras. *Mosc. Math. J.* **12** (2012), no. 3, 543–565.
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>[21] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg, Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero–Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism. *Invent. Math.* **147** (2002), no. 2, 243–348.
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>[22] M. Finkelberg and R. Fujita, Coherent IC-sheaves on type  $A_n$  affine Grassmannians and dual canonical basis of affine type A1. *Represent. Theory* **25** (2021), 67–89.
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>[23] C. Geiß, B. Leclerc, and J. Schröer, Cluster structures on quantum coordinate rings. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **19** (2013), no. 2, 337–97.
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>[24] L. Göttsche and W. Soergel, Perverse sheaves and the cohomology of Hilbert schemes of smooth algebraic surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **296** (1993), no. 2, 235–245.
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[25] S.-J. Kang and M. Kashiwara, Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebras. *Invent. Math.* **190** (2012), no. 3, 699–742.
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>[26] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, and S.-J. Oh, Simplicity of heads and socles of tensor products. *Compos. Math.* **151** (2015), no. 2, 377–396.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[27] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, and S.-J. Oh, Monoidal categorification of cluster algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** (2018), no. 2, 349–426.
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[28] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, and E. Park, Geometric realization of Khovanov– Lauda–Rouquier algebras associated with Borcherds–Cartan data. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **107** (2013), no. 4, 907–931.
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[29] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases of the Q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **63** (1991), no. 2, 465–516.
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>[30] M. Kashiwara, Crystal bases and categorifications – Chern Medal lecture. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians – Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. I. Plenary lectures*, pp. 249–258, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [31] S. Kato, Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt bases and Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **163** (2014), no. 3, 619–663.
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>[32] S. Kato, An algebraic study of extension algebras. *Amer. J. Math.* **139** (2017), no. 3, 567–615.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[33] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups. I. *Represent. Theory* **13** (2009), 309–347.
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>[34] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, A categorification of quantum  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ . *Quantum Topol.* **1** (2010), no. 1, 1–92.
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>[35] Y. Kimura, Quantum unipotent subgroup and dual canonical basis. *Kyoto J. Math.* **52** (2012), no. 2, 277–331.
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>[36] A. Kleshchev, Affine highest weight categories and affine quasihereditary algebras. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **110** (2015), no. 4, 841–882.
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>[37] A. Kleshchev and R. Muth, Stratifying KLR algebras of affine ADE types. *J. Algebra* **475** (2017), 133–170.
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>[38] E. Lapid and A. Mínguez, Conjectures and results about parabolic induction of representations of  $GL_n(F)$ . *Invent. Math.* **222** (2020), no. 3, 695–747.
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>[39] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, and J.-Y. Thibon, Hecke algebras at roots of unity and crystal bases of quantum affine algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **181** (1996), no. 1, 205–263.
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>[40] I. Losev, Proof of Varagnolo–Vasserot conjecture on cyclotomic categories O. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **22** (2016), no. 2, 631–668.
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>[41] G. Lusztig, Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3** (1990), no. 2, 447–498.
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[42] G. Lusztig, Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1991), no. 2, 365–421.
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>[43] G. Lusztig, Introduction to quantized enveloping algebras. In *New developments in Lie theory and their applications (Córdoba, 1989)*, pp. 49–65, Progr. Math. 105, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1992.
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>[44] R. Maksimau, Canonical basis, KLR algebras and parity sheaves. *J. Algebra* **422** (2015), 563–610.
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>[45] R. Maksimau and A. Minets, KLR and Schur algebras for curves and semicuspidal representations. 2020, arXiv[:2010.01419.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.01419)
- <span id="page-21-19"></span>[46] D. Maulik and A. Okounkov, Quantum groups and quantum cohomology. *Astérisque* **408** (2019), ix+209 pp.
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>[47] K. McGerty and T. Nevins, Kirwan surjectivity for quiver varieties. *Invent. Math.* **212** (2018), no. 1, 161–187.
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>[48] P. J. McNamara, Representation theory of geometric extension algebras. 2017, arXiv[:1701.07949.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.07949)
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>[49] P. J. McNamara, Representations of Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebras III: symmetric affine type. *Math. Z.* **287** (2017), no. 1–2, 243–286.
- <span id="page-21-17"></span>[50] H. Nakajima, Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac–Moody algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **76** (1994), no. 2, 365–416.
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>[51] T. Padurariu, *K-theoretic hall algebras for quivers with potential*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2020.
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>[52] C. Ringel, Hall algebras and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.* **101** (1990), no. 3, 583–591.
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>[53] R. Rouquier, 2-Kac–Moody algebras, 2008. arXiv[:0812.5023.](https://arxiv.org/abs/0812.5023)
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>[54] R. Rouquier, q-Schur algebras and complex reflection groups. *Mosc. Math. J.* **8** (2008), no. 1, 119–158.
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>[55] R. Rouquier, Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras. *Algebra Colloq.* **19** (2012), no. 2, 359–410.
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>[56] R. Rouquier, P. Shan, M. Varagnolo, and E. Vasserot, Categorifications and cyclotomic rational double affine Hecke algebras. *Invent. Math.* **204** (2016), no. 3, 671–786.
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>[57] O. Schiffmannand and E. Vasserot, Cherednik algebras, W-algebras and the equivariant cohomology of the moduli space of instantons on  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **118** (2013), 213–342.
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>[58] P. Shan, Crystals of Fock spaces and cyclotomic rational double affine Hecke algebras. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **44** (2011), no. 1, 147–182.
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>[59] P. Shan, M. Varagnolo, and E. Vasserot, Koszul duality of affine Kac–Moody algebras and cyclotomic rational double affine Hecke algebras. *Adv. Math.* **262** (2014), 370–435.
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>[60] P. Shan, M. Varagnolo, and E. Vasserot, On the center of quiver Hecke algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **166** (2017), no. 6, 1005–1101.
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[61] P. Shan, M. Varagnolo, and E. Vasserot, Coherent categorification of quantum loop algebras: the  $SL(2)$  case. 2019, arXiv[:1912.03325.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.03325)
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>[62] P. Shan and E. Vasserot, Heisenberg algebras and rational double affine Hecke algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (2012), no. 4, 959–1031.
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>[63] M. Varagnolo, Quiver varieties and Yangians. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **53** (2000), no. 4, 273–283.
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>[64] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, Cyclotomic double affine Hecke algebras and affine parabolic category O. *Adv. Math.* **225** (2010), no. 3, 1523–1588.
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[65] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, Canonical bases and KLR-algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **659** (2011), 67–100.
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>[66] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, K-Theoretic Hall algebras, quantum groups and super quantum groups. 2021, arXiv[:2011.01203.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.01203)
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>[67] E. Vasserot, Sur l'anneau de cohomologie du schéma de Hilbert de  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **332** (2001), no. 1, 7–12.

### Peng Shan

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Yau Mathematical Sciences Centre, Tsinghua University, 100084, Beijing, China, [pengshan@tsinghua.edu.cn](mailto:pengshan@tsinghua.edu.cn)