### Chapter 5

# Cocycles for positive energy representations

Having classified all the possible 2-cocycles on  $\Gamma_c(M, \mathcal{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ , we now address the restrictions that are imposed on these cocycles by the Cauchy–Schwarz estimates from Section [3.4.](#page--1-0)

In Section [5.1](#page-0-0) we derive a local normal form of the cocycle  $\omega$  in a flow box around a point  $m \in M$ , where  $\mathbf{v}_M \in \mathcal{V}(M)$  does not vanish. In Section [5.2,](#page-4-0) we use this to derive a global normal form for  $\omega$ , provided that  $v_M$  is nowhere vanishing. It turns out that  $\omega$  is characterized by a *measure*  $\mu$  on the covering space  $\hat{M}$ . In Section [5.3,](#page-6-0) we plug this information back into the Cauchy–Schwarz estimate. This yields the basic estimates needed for the continuity results in Chapter [6.](#page--1-1)

The setting of this chapter is as follows. As before,  $\pi: \mathcal{K} \to M$  is a bundle of Lie groups with semisimple fibers, and  $\mathcal{R} \to M$  is the corresponding bundle of Lie algebras. We consider positive energy representations of  $\hat{G}$ , where  $G = \Gamma_c(M, \mathcal{K})$  is the compactly supported gauge group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \Gamma_c(M,\mathfrak{K})$ . In fact, we will work mainly at the Lie algebra level, so our results continue to hold for the slightly more general case that  $G = \tilde{\Gamma}_c(M,\mathcal{K})_0$  is the simply connected cover of the identity component. Using Section [4.2,](#page--1-2) we identify  $q = \Gamma_c(M, \mathcal{R})$  with  $q = \Gamma_c(\hat{M}, \hat{\mathcal{R}})$ , where  $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \to \hat{M}$  is a Lie algebra bundle with simple fibers over a covering space  $\hat{M}$  of M. We assume that the 1-parameter group of automorphisms is of geometric type in the sense of Definition [4.6.](#page--1-3) The analogs of the generators  $v_M \in V(M)$  and  $v \in \Gamma(M, \alpha(\mathbb{R}))$  for  $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}$  are denoted by  $\pi_*\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}(\hat{M})$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \Gamma(\hat{M}, \mathfrak{a}(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}))$ .

# <span id="page-0-0"></span>5.1 Local gauge algebras

The following simple lemma will be used extensively throughout the rest of the memoir. It gives a normal form for the pair  $(\Gamma_c(M,\mathcal{R}), v)$  in the neighborhood of a point  $m \in M$  where the vector field  $v_M$  does not vanish.

<span id="page-0-1"></span>**Definition 5.1** (Good flowbox). A *good flowbox* is a **v**-equivariant, local trivialization  $(I \times U_0) \times K \to \mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal K$  over an open neighborhood  $U \subseteq M$  that is equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $I \times U_0$ . Here  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded open interval, and  $U_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  is open. Note that for  $n = 1$ , we may take  $U_0 = \{0\}$ .

In particular, we have coordinates  $t := x_0$  for I and  $\vec{x} := (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$  for  $U_0$ such that  $\mathbf{v}_M \in \mathcal{V}(U)$  corresponds to  $\partial_t \in \mathcal{V}(I \times U_0)$ .

<span id="page-0-2"></span>**Lemma 5.2.** *For any point*  $m \in M$  *with*  $v_M(m) \neq 0$ *, there exists a good flowbox*  $U \simeq I \times U_0$  containing m. Under the trivialization  $U \times \mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{K}|_U$ , the induced

*isomorphism*  $C_c^{\infty}(U, \mathfrak{k}) \simeq \Gamma_c(U, \mathfrak{K})$  yields an inclusion

$$
I_U: C_c^{\infty}(U, \mathfrak{k}) \rtimes_{\partial_t} \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_c(M, \mathfrak{K}) \rtimes_D \mathbb{R}.
$$

*Proof.* Since  $v_M(m) \neq 0$ , we can find a neighborhood  $U \subseteq M$  of m and local coordinates  $t, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  such that the vector field  $\mathbf{v}_M$  on U is of the form  $\partial_t$ . We may assume that  $U \simeq I \times U_0$  where  $U_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  corresponds to  $t = 0$  and  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  corresponds to  $\vec{x} = 0$ . We choose  $U_0$  sufficiently small for there to exist a trivialization  $\Phi: U_0 \times K \to \mathcal{K}|_{U_0}$ , which we then extend to a trivialization  $U \times K \simeq \mathcal{K}|_U$  over U by  $(t, x, k) \mapsto \gamma(-t) \Phi(x, k)$ . As  $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \gamma(-t) = \mathbf{v}$ , the vector field  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{K})$  is horizontal in this trivialization.  $\blacksquare$ 

We consider  $g_U := C_c^{\infty}(U, \mathfrak{k})$  as a subalgebra of  $g = \Gamma_c(M, \mathfrak{K})$  and wish to study the restriction  $d\rho_U$  of the representation  $d\rho$  to the subalgebra

<span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_U := \mathbb{R} \oplus_{\omega} (\mathfrak{g}_U \rtimes_{\partial_t} \mathbb{R}).
$$

Note that the subalgebra  $\hat{g}_U \hookrightarrow \hat{g}$  does not correspond to a Lie subgroup of  $\hat{G}$  unless U is  $\gamma$ -invariant, so we cannot work at the level of Lie groups.

If  $A \in \Omega^1(U, \mathfrak{k})$  is the local connection 1-form corresponding to the Lie connection  $\nabla$ , then up to coboundaries, by [\(4.3\)](#page--1-4) and [\(4.4\)](#page--1-5) the restriction  $\omega_U$  of  $\omega$  to  $g_U \rtimes_{\partial_t} \mathbb{R}$ takes the form

$$
\omega_U(fX, gY) \simeq \lambda_U(\kappa(fX, dg \cdot Y + g[A, Y])) \tag{5.1}
$$

$$
\omega_U(\partial_t, fX) \simeq \lambda_U(\kappa(\partial_t A, fX)),\tag{5.2}
$$

for some  $\lambda_U \in \Omega_c^1(U, V(\mathfrak{F}))'$ , where  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$  and  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $m \in M$  be a point with  $\mathbf{v}_M(m) \neq 0$  and let  $U \simeq I \times U_0$  be *a good flowbox (cf. Definition* [5.1](#page-0-1)). Let  $\iota: U_0 \hookrightarrow M$  *be the corresponding inclusion.* Then, the map  $\Omega_c^1(U, V(\mathfrak{k})) \to \Gamma_c(U_0, \iota^*T^*M \otimes V(\mathfrak{k})), \beta \mapsto \overline{\beta}$ , defined by the inte*gration*

$$
\overline{\beta}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\beta(t,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})dt,
$$

*yields a split exact sequence*

$$
0 \to L_{\partial_t} \Omega^1_c(U, V(\mathfrak{k})) \hookrightarrow \Omega^1_c(U, V(\mathfrak{k})) \to \Gamma_c(U_0, \iota^* T^* M \otimes V(\mathfrak{k})) \to 0
$$

of locally convex spaces. In particular,  $\lambda_U \colon \Omega^1_c(U,V(\mathfrak{k})) \to \mathbb{R}$  factors through a con $t$ *inuous linear map*  $\overline{\lambda}_{U_0}: \Gamma_c(U_0, \iota^*T^*M \otimes V(\mathfrak{k})) \to \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* The second statement follows from the first because

$$
\lambda_U(L_{\partial_t} \Omega_c^1(U, V(\mathfrak{k}))) = \{0\}
$$

by Theorem [4.16.](#page--1-6) The kernel of  $\beta \mapsto \overline{\beta}$  is precisely  $L_{\partial_t} \Omega_c^1(U, V(\mathfrak{k}))$  by the fundamental theorem of calculus. A bump function  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(I,\mathbb{R})$  of integral 1 yields the required continuous right inverse  $\Gamma_c(U_0, t^*T^*M \otimes V(\mathfrak{k})) \to \Omega_c^1(U, V(\mathfrak{k}))$  for the integration map by sending  $\vec{x} \mapsto \beta(\vec{x})$  to  $(t, \vec{x}) \mapsto \varphi(t)\beta(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$ 

For  $X = Y$  we obtain with [\(5.1\)](#page-1-0) the relation

$$
\omega(\partial_t f X, f X) = \lambda_U(\partial_t f \cdot d f \cdot \kappa(X, X)).
$$

Unlike [\(5.1\)](#page-1-0), which holds only modulo coboundaries, this equation is exact because  $(\partial_t f)X$  and  $fX$  commute. Lemma [3.10](#page--1-7) (the Cauchy–Schwarz estimate) then yields

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
-\lambda_U(\partial_t f \cdot d f \cdot \kappa(X, X)) \ge 0.
$$
\n(5.3)

This allows us to characterize  $\lambda_U$  as follows.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Proposition 5.4.** Let  $m \in M$  be a point with  $\mathbf{v}_M(m) \neq 0$ . Then, there exists an *open neighborhood*  $U \subseteq M$  *of m such that, for each*  $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ *, there exists a unique -invariant positive locally finite regular Borel measure* $\mu$ **<sub>***U***</sub>***x**on**U**such that the* functional  $\lambda_{U,X}\in \Omega^1_c(U,\mathbb{R})'$  defined by  $\lambda_{U,X}(\beta):=-\lambda_U(\beta\cdot \kappa(X,X))$  takes the form

$$
\lambda_{U,X}(\beta) = \int_U (i_{v_M}\beta) d\mu_{U,X}(m).
$$

*Proof.* Introduce coordinates  $x_0 := t$  and  $\vec{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  on  $U \simeq I \times U_0$  as in Definition [5.1.](#page-0-1) Define  $\lambda_{U,i} \in C_c^{\infty}(U,\mathbb{R})'$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ , by  $\lambda_{U,i}(f) := \lambda_{U,X}(f \, dx_i)$ and let  $\lambda_i \in C_c^{\infty}(U_0, \mathbb{R})'$  be the corresponding distribution on  $U_0$  (cf. Proposition [5.3\)](#page-1-1), so

$$
\lambda_{U,i}(f) = \lambda_i(\bar{f})
$$

with

$$
\bar{f}(\vec{x}) := \int_I f(t, \vec{x}) dt.
$$

Then,

$$
\lambda_{U,X}(f\,\mathrm{d} g)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\lambda_i(\overline{f\,\partial_i g})\quad\text{for all }f,g\in C_c^\infty(U,\mathbb{R}).
$$

Equation [\(5.3\)](#page-2-0) then yields

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\lambda_0(\overline{(\partial_t f)^2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i(\overline{\partial_t f \partial_i f}) \ge 0.
$$
 (5.4)

First, we show that  $\lambda_0(h^2) \ge 0$  for any h in  $C_c^{\infty}(U_0, \mathbb{R})$ . Note that every element *B* of  $C_c^{\infty}(I,\mathbb{R})$  satisfies

$$
\int_I B\partial_t B dt = 0.
$$

We choose  $B \neq 0$ , normalize it by

$$
\int_I (\partial_t B)^2 dt = 1
$$

and define

$$
f(t,\vec{x}) := B(t)h(\vec{x}).
$$

We then have

$$
\overline{(\partial_t f)^2} = h^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\partial_t f \partial_i f} = h \partial_i h \int_I B \partial_t B dt = 0 \quad \text{for } i \ge 1.
$$

Therefore, [\(5.4\)](#page-2-1) yields  $\lambda_0(h^2) \ge 0$  as required.

Since  $\lambda_0$  extends<sup>[1](#page-3-0)</sup> to a positive linear functional on  $C_c(U_0,\mathbb{R})$ , Riesz' representation theorem [\[96,](#page--1-8) Theorems 2.14 and 2.18] yields a unique locally finite regular Borel measure  $\mu_0$  on  $U_0$  such that  $\lambda_0(f) = \int_{U_0} f d\mu_0(x)$ . This implies

$$
\lambda_{U,0}(f) = \int_U f(u) d\mu_{U,X}(u),
$$

with  $\mu_{U,X}$  the product of  $\mu_0$  with the Lebesgue measure on I.

To finish the proof, we now prove that  $\lambda_i = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . It suffices to show that  $\lambda_i(h^2) = 0$  for all  $h \in C_c^\infty(U_0, \mathbb{R})$ . Choose  $B_C, B_S \in C_c^\infty(I, \mathbb{R})$  so that

$$
\int_I B_S(t)B'_C(t)dt=1,
$$

choose  $C, S \in C_c^\infty(U_0, \mathbb{R})$  so that

$$
C(x) = \cos\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i x^i\right) \text{ and } S(x) = \sin\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i x^i\right)
$$

for  $x \in \text{supp}(h)$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and set

$$
f(t, \vec{x}) := h(\vec{x}) \big( B_C(t) C(\vec{x}) + B_S(t) S(\vec{x}) \big).
$$

Then, with

$$
E := \int_I (|B'_C(t)| + |B'_S(t)|)^2 dt,
$$

we have

$$
0 \leq \overline{(\partial_t f)^2} = h^2(\vec{x}) \int_I \left( B_C'(t) C(\vec{x}) + B_S'(t) S(\vec{x}) \right)^2 dt \leq Eh^2(x).
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For every compact  $S \subseteq U_0$ , there exists a  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(U_0, \mathbb{R})$  with  $\varphi|_S > 1$ . With  $L_S =$  $\lambda_0(\varphi^2)$ , it then follows from the inequality  $\lambda_0(\|f\|_{\infty}\varphi^2 \pm f) \ge 0$  that  $|\lambda_0(f)| \le L_s \|f\|_{\infty}$ for all  $f$  with support in  $S$ .

Making repeated use of

$$
\int_I F(t, \vec{x}) \partial_t F(t, \vec{x}) dt = 0 \text{ and } \int_I B'_C B_S + B'_S B_C dt = 0,
$$

we find, for  $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ ,

$$
\overline{\partial_t f \partial_i f} = k_i h^2.
$$

Equation [\(5.4\)](#page-2-1) then yields

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\lambda_0\big(\overline{(\partial_t f)^2}\big) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i \lambda_i(h^2) \ge 0 \quad \text{for all } k_i \in \mathbb{Z},
$$
 (5.5)

where the function f depends on the  $k_i$ . As  $\lambda_0(\overline{(\partial_t f)^2}) \leq E \lambda_0(h^2)$ , the non-negative term  $\lambda_0((\partial_t f)^2)$  is bounded by a number that does not depend on  $k_i$ . It therefore follows from inequality [\(5.5\)](#page-4-1) that  $\lambda_i(h^2) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ , as was to be proven.

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>5.2 Infinitesimally free R-actions

In Section [4.2,](#page--1-2) we saw that  $\Gamma_c(M,\mathfrak{K})$  is isomorphic to the gauge algebra  $\Gamma_c(\hat{M},\hat{\mathfrak{K}})$ , where  $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \to \hat{M}$  is a Lie algebra bundle with *simple* fibers over a cover  $\hat{M} \to M$ . The decomposition  $\hat{M} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{r} \widehat{M_i}$  in connected components therefore gives rise to a direct sum decomposition

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\Gamma_c(M,\mathfrak{K}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Gamma_c(\hat{M}_i, \hat{\mathfrak{K}}),\tag{5.6}
$$

where  $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \to \hat{M}_i$  is a Lie algebra bundle with simple fibers isomorphic to  $\hat{r}_i$ .

#### 5.2.1 Reduction to compact simple structure algebras

If  $v_M$  is non-vanishing, then we can restrict attention to the terms in [\(5.6\)](#page-4-2) where  $f_i$  is a compact simple Lie algebra.

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Corollary 5.5.** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{k}_i$  is not compact, and let  $m \in \hat{M}_i$  be a point such that  $\pi_* \hat{v}_m \neq 0$ . Let  $U \subseteq \hat{M}_i$  be as in Proposition [5.4](#page-2-2) and let  $\lambda_U \in \Omega_c^1(U, V(\mathfrak{k}_i))'$  be as in  $(5.1)$  and  $(5.2)$ . Then,  $\lambda_{\underline{U}}$ :  $\Omega_c^1(U, V(\hat{\mathfrak{k}})) \to \mathbb{R}$  is zero. Consequently,  $\omega_U$  is cohomol*ogous to zero on*  $\Gamma_c(U, \hat{\hat{\mathcal{R}}})$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\mu_{U,X} = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{F}_i$ . If  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{F}_i$  with  $\kappa(X, X) =$  $-\kappa(Y, Y)$ , then  $\mu_{U,X} = -\mu_{U,Y}$  implies  $\mu_{U,X} = \mu_{U,Y} = 0$ . If  $\mathfrak{k}_i$  is a complex Lie algebra, i.e.,  $V(\mathfrak{F}_i) \simeq \mathbb{C}$  (cf. Section [4.3.1\)](#page--1-9), then the previous argument with  $Y = iX$ yields  $\mu_{U,X} = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{F}_i$ . If  $V(\mathfrak{F}_i) \simeq \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  is noncompact if and only if  $\{\kappa(X, X); X \in \mathfrak{F}_i\} = \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, the same reasoning applies.

**Corollary 5.6.** If  $\rho$  is a positive energy representation of  $\hat{G}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_M$  has no zeros, *then*  $\omega$  is cohomologous to a cocycle that vanishes on the subalgebras  $\Gamma_c(\hat{M}_i, \hat{\hat{\mathbf{x}}})$ , where  $f_i$  is noncompact.

*Proof.* By Theorem [4.16](#page--1-6) applied to  $\Gamma_c(\hat{M}, \hat{\mathcal{R}})$ , the class  $[\omega] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_D \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is uniquely determined by a V-valued current  $\lambda: \Omega_c(\hat{M}, V) \to \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $v_M$  is everywhere non-zero, the same holds for  $\pi_*\hat{v}$ . If  $\hat{r}_i$  is noncompact, by Corollary [5.5,](#page-4-3)  $\hat{M}_i$ can be covered with open sets  $U_{ij}$  such that  $\lambda$  vanishes on  $\Omega_c(U_{ij}, V)$ . As every element of  $\Omega_c(\hat{M}_i, V)$  can be written as a finite sum of elements of  $\Omega_c(U_{ij}, V)$ , the current  $\lambda$  vanishes on  $\Omega_c(\hat{M}_i, V)$ .

#### 5.2.2 Reduction of currents to measures

Let  $\rho: \hat{G} \to U(\mathcal{H})$  be a positive energy representation, where  $G = \tilde{\Gamma}_c(M, \mathcal{K})_0$  is the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $g = \Gamma_c(M, \mathcal{R})$ , which covers the identity component of the compactly supported gauge group. This gives rise to a Lie algebra cocycle  $\omega$  on  $\alpha \rtimes_D \mathbb{R}$ . Using the results of Section [4.2,](#page--1-2) we identify the gauge Lie algebra  $q = \Gamma_c(M, \hat{X})$  with  $q = \Gamma_c(M, \hat{\hat{X}})$ , where  $\hat{\hat{X}} \to \hat{M}$  is a Lie algebra bundle with simple fibers. The cocycle  $\omega$  can then be represented by a *measure* on  $\hat{M}$ .

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Theorem 5.7.** *Suppose that*  $v_M$  *has no zeros, and that*  $\omega$  *is a 2-cocycle on*  $g \rtimes_D$  $\mathbb R$  *induced by a positive energy representation*  $\rho: \hat{G} \to U(\mathcal{H})$ *. Then, there exists a positive, regular, locally finite Borel measure*  $\mu$  *on*  $\hat{M}$  *invariant under the flow*  $\gamma_{\hat{M}}$  *on*  $\hat{M}$  *induced by*  $\gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ *, such that*  $\omega$  *is cohomologous to the 2-cocycle*  $\omega_{\mu,\nabla}$ *, given by* 

<span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\omega_{\mu,\nabla}(\xi,\eta) = -\int_{\widehat{M}} \kappa(\xi,\nabla_{\widehat{v_M}} \eta) d\mu(m),\tag{5.7}
$$

$$
\omega_{\mu,\nabla}(D,\xi) = -\int_{\widehat{M}} \kappa(i_{\widehat{v_M}}(L_{\widehat{v}}\nabla),\xi) d\mu(m) \quad \text{for } \xi, \eta \in \Gamma_c(\widehat{M},\widehat{\mathcal{R}}). \tag{5.8}
$$

*The support of*  $\mu$  *is contained in the union of the connected components*  $\hat{M}_i$  *where the fibers of*  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$  *are compact simple Lie algebras. In* [\(5.7\)](#page-5-0) *and* [\(5.8\)](#page-5-1)*, we identify*  $\kappa$  *with the positive definite invariant bilinear form normalized as in* [\(4.2\)](#page--1-10)*.*

*Proof.* As  $v_M$  is nowhere zero, we can cover  $\hat{M}$  by good flowboxes  $U \subseteq \hat{M}$  in the sense of Definition [5.1.](#page-0-1) In the corresponding local trivialization  $\Gamma_c(U, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \simeq$  $C_c^{\infty}(U, \mathfrak{k})$  (cf. Lemma [5.2\)](#page-0-2), we may assume that  $\mathfrak{k}$  is compact by Corollary [5.5.](#page-4-3) We normalize  $\kappa$  as in [\(4.2\)](#page--1-10) and define  $\mu_U$  as  $\mu_{U,X}$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{k}$  with  $\kappa(X, X) = 1$ . If U and U' are two such open sets, then the measures  $\mu_U$  and  $\mu_{U'}$  from Proposition [5.4](#page-2-2)

coincide on the intersection  $U \cap U'$ , as both measures are uniquely determined by the cocycle  $\omega$ . The measures  $\mu_U$  thus splice together to form a positive regular locally finite Borel measure on  $\hat{M}$ . Equations [\(5.7\)](#page-5-0) and [\(5.8\)](#page-5-1) then follow immediately from [\(4.3\)](#page--1-4), [\(4.4\)](#page--1-5) in Section [4.3.3,](#page--1-11) and [\(5.1\)](#page-1-0), [\(5.2\)](#page-1-2).

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Remark 5.8.** As the cohomology class  $[\omega_{\lambda}, \nabla]$  is independent of the choice of the Lie connection, we are free to choose  $\nabla$  so that  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$  is horizontal. In that case, we have

$$
i_{\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{M}}(L_{\widehat{\mathbf{v}}}\nabla) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\widehat{\mathbf{v}}}\xi = \nabla_{\pi_{\ast}\widehat{\mathbf{v}}}\xi
$$

(cf. Remark [4.17\)](#page--1-12). Equation [\(5.8\)](#page-5-1) then becomes

$$
\omega_{\mu,\nabla}(D,\xi)=0.
$$

From Examples [4.11](#page--1-6)[-4.14](#page--1-13) in Section [4.2,](#page--1-2) we obtain the following.

**Example 5.9.** If  $\mathbb{R} \to M$  has simple fibers, then  $\hat{M} = M$ . The class  $[\omega_{\mu}, \nabla]$  then corresponds to a measure  $\mu$  on M. It vanishes on the connected components of M where the fibers of  $\mathcal{R} \to M$  are noncompact.

**Example 5.10.** Suppose that M is connected, and that the typical fiber  $\mathbf{f} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbf{f}_i$ is the direct sum of r mutually non-isomorphic simple ideals  $f_i$ . Then,  $\widetilde{M}$  is the disjoint union of r copies of M. The class  $[\omega_{\mu}, \nabla]$  is then given by r measures  $\mu_i$  on M, one for each simple ideal. The same holds if  $\mathcal{R} = M \times \mathcal{F}$  is trivial, and the  $\mathcal{F}_i$  are not necessarily non-isomorphic.

Example 5.11 (Frame bundles of 4-manifolds). (cf. Examples [4.14\)](#page--1-13). Suppose that M is a Riemannian 4-manifold, and  $\mathcal{R} = ad(OF(M))$  is the adjoint bundle of its orthogonal frame bundle. If M is orientable, then  $\omega_{\mu} = \omega_{\mu} + \omega_{\mu}$  is the sum of two cocycles with measures  $\mu_L$  and  $\mu_R$  on M corresponding to the simple factors  $\mathfrak{su}_L(2,\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{su}_R(2,\mathbb{C})$  of  $\mathfrak{so}(4,\mathbb{R})$ . If M is not orientable, then  $\omega_\mu$  is described by a single measure  $\mu$  on the orientable cover  $\hat{M} \to M$ .

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>5.3 Cauchy–Schwarz estimates revisited

Using the explicit form of the cocycles determined in Theorem [5.7,](#page-5-2) we revisit the Cauchy–Schwarz estimates of Section [3.4.](#page--1-0) In this section, we assume that  $\mathcal{R} \to M$ has semisimple fibers, and that the vector field  $v_M$  on M is nowhere vanishing. As before, we identify  $\Gamma_c(M, \mathcal{R})$  with  $\Gamma_c(M, \hat{\mathcal{R}})$ , where  $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \to \hat{M}$  has simple fibers.

Define the positive semidefinite symmetric bilinear form on  $g = \Gamma_c(\hat{M}, \hat{\mathcal{R}})$  by

$$
\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mu} := \int_{\widehat{M}} \kappa(\xi, \eta) d\mu(m). \tag{5.9}
$$

Using Theorem [5.7](#page-5-2) and Remark [5.8,](#page-6-1) we may replace  $\omega$  by  $\omega_{\mu,\nabla}$  for a Lie connection  $\nabla$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$  that makes  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$  horizontal. In that case, we have  $i\hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{w}}(L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\nabla)) = 0$  and  $L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \xi =$  $\nabla_{\pi_{*}\hat{\mathbf{v}}\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$  (cf. Remark [4.17\)](#page--1-12). We may thus assume, without loss of generality, that the cocycle associated to a positive energy representation takes the form

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\omega(\xi, \eta) = -\langle \xi, L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}\eta \rangle_{\mu} = \langle L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mu}, \quad \omega(D, \xi) = 0. \tag{5.10}
$$

The Cauchy–Schwarz estimate (Lemma [3.10\)](#page--1-7) can now be reformulated as follows.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>Lemma 5.12 (Cauchy–Schwarz Estimate). *Let be a positive energy representation of*  $\hat{G}$ , where  $G = \tilde{\Gamma}_c(M, \mathcal{K})_0$  is the simply connected gauge group. If the vector field  $v_M$  *on* M has no zeros, then, after replacing the linear lift  $d\rho$ :  $q \to \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$  of the *projective representation*  $\overline{d\rho}$  *of*  $g$  *by*  $d\rho + i \chi$ **1** *for some continuous linear functional*  $\chi: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$ *, we have* 

$$
\langle i \, d\rho(L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \xi) \rangle_{\psi}^2 \le 2 \langle H \rangle_{\psi} \| L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \xi \|_{\mu}^2 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ with } [L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \xi, \xi] = 0 \tag{5.11}
$$

*and every unit vector*  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* First we observe that the passage from  $\omega$  to an equivalent cocycle corresponds to replacing the subspace  $g \subseteq \hat{g}$  by the subspace  $\chi(\xi)C + \xi, \xi \in g$ , where  $\chi: g \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous linear functional. For the representation  $d\rho$  this changes the value of  $d\rho(\xi)$  by adding  $i\gamma(\xi)$ , so that we can achieve a cocycle of the form [\(5.10\)](#page-7-0) by Theorem [5.7.](#page-5-2) Now we apply Lemma [3.10](#page--1-7) with  $i_D \omega_{\mu,\nabla} = 0$  and  $\omega_{\mu,\nabla}(\xi, D\xi) =$  $||L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \xi||^2_{\mu}$ .

In the same vein, the refined Cauchy–Schwarz estimate, Lemma [3.12,](#page--1-14) can be reformulated as follows.

Lemma 5.13. *Under the assumptions of Lemma* [5.12](#page-7-1)*, we have*

$$
\left( \langle i \, d\rho (e^{-s \, \text{ad}_{\eta}} (L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \xi)) \rangle_{\psi} - \left\langle \frac{e^{-s \, \text{ad}_{\eta}} - 1}{\text{ad}_{\eta}} (L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \xi), L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \eta \right\rangle_{\mu} \right)^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2 \| L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \xi \|_{\mu}^{2} \left( \langle H \rangle_{\psi} + s \langle i \, d\rho (L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \eta) \rangle_{\psi} + \frac{s^{2}}{2} \| L_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \eta \|_{\mu}^{2} \right) \tag{5.12}
$$

*for all*  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ *, and for all*  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma_c(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\mathcal{R}})$  *such that*  $[\xi, L_{\widehat{V}}\xi] = 0$  *and*  $[\eta, L_{\widehat{V}}\eta] = 0$ .