

## Appendix A

# Green function for the Dirichlet spectral fractional Laplacian

Here, we give a proof of a well-known identity for the Green function  $G_D^s(x, y)$  of the Dirichlet spectral fractional Laplacian. The Green function is given by

$$G_D^s(x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} p_D^\Omega(t, x, y) t^{s-1} dt,$$

see also [1]. Before we state the following result, let us recall the notation

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega \text{ s.t. } x \neq y\}.$$

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be bounded, smooth and connected. Then, for each  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}$  it holds that*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} r_D^s(t, x, y) dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} p_D^\Omega(t, x, y) t^{s-1} dt. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ , we let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(x, y) &:= \int_0^{+\infty} r_D^s(t, x, y) dt, \\ \mathcal{J}(x, y) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} p_D^\Omega(t, x, y) t^{s-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $\{\phi_k\}_k$  be an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  made of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions, ordered such that if  $\lambda_k$ 's are the corresponding eigenvalues, then  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$  (see, for instance, [18]). In view of [10, Theorem 5] we know that

$$r_D^s(t, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \phi_k(x) \phi_k(y) \exp(-t \lambda_k^s)$$

for each  $(t, x, y) \in (0, +\infty) \times \Omega \times \Omega$ . In order to prove (A.1), we first show that  $\mathcal{I}(x, y)$  and  $\mathcal{J}(x, y)$  are both continuous in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thanks to Theorem 2.8 we know that

$$\int_0^T r_D^s(t, x, y) dy < +\infty$$

for each  $T \in (0, +\infty)$ ,  $s \in (0, 1]$  and  $x \neq y$ . Moreover, thanks to [11, Proposition 6] we observe that for each  $t > T$  and  $s \in (0, 1]$  it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} r_D^s(t, x, y) &= \exp(-t\lambda_1^s) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \phi_k(x)\phi_k(y) \exp(-t(\lambda_k^s - \lambda_1^s)) \\ &\leq c_{m_0, \Omega, 0} \exp(-t\lambda_1^s) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_k^{2\alpha(m_0)} \exp(-T(\lambda_k^s - \lambda_1^s)) \\ &\leq C_{T, s, \Omega} \exp(-t\lambda_1^s), \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of [11, Lemma 7], and  $C_{T, s, \Omega} > 0$  is a constant depending on  $T > 0$ ,  $s \in (0, 1]$  and  $\Omega$ . The constants  $\alpha(m_0)$  and  $c_{m_0, \Omega, 0}$  have been explicitly defined in [11, Proposition 6]. Therefore, if we call

$$g_D^s(t, x, y) := \begin{cases} r_D^s(t, x, y) & \text{for all } (t, x, y) \in (0, T] \times \mathcal{C}, \\ C_{T, s, \Omega} \exp(-t\lambda_1^s) & \text{for all } (t, x, y) \in (T, +\infty) \times \mathcal{C}, \end{cases}$$

we obtain that

$$g_D^s(t, x, y) \in L^1(0, +\infty)$$

for each  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}$ , and also

$$r_D^s(t, x, y) \leq g_D^s(t, x, y)$$

for each  $(t, x, y) \in (0, +\infty) \times \Omega \times \Omega$  and  $s \in (0, 1]$ . Therefore, thanks to the continuity of the kernel  $r_D^s$  discussed in [10, Lemma 2], we conclude by the dominated convergence theorem that  $\mathcal{I}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Furthermore, thanks to the inequalities in (2.14) and (A.2) we have that if we define

$$f_D(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\right) & \text{for all } (t, x, y) \in (0, T] \times \mathcal{C}, \\ C_{T, 1, \Omega} \exp(-t\lambda_1) & \text{for all } (t, x, y) \in (T, +\infty) \times \mathcal{C}, \end{cases}$$

then we get that

$$f_D(t, x, y)t^{s-1} \in L^1(0, +\infty)$$

for each  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}$ , and also

$$p_D^\Omega(t, x, y)t^{s-1} \leq f_D(t, x, y)t^{s-1}$$

for each  $(t, x, y) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathcal{C}$ . Thanks to the continuity of  $p_D^\Omega$  (see for instance [10, Lemma 2]) and the last observations we can apply the dominated convergence theorem and conclude that  $\mathcal{J}(\cdot, \cdot) \in C(\mathcal{C})$ .

Now, let  $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $f \geq 0$ . Then, for each  $x \in \Omega$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{I}(x, y) f(y) dy &= \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{+\infty} r_D^s(t, x, y) f(y) dt dy \\
 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\Omega} r_D^s(t, x, y) f(y) dy dt \\
 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} f_k \phi_k(x) \exp(-t \lambda_k^s) dt \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{f_k \phi_k(x)}{\lambda_k^s}. \tag{A.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the above computation, we denoted

$$f_k := \int_{\Omega} f(y) \phi_k(y) dy,$$

and the identity between the first and the second line, as well as between the second and the third, are due to [11, Lemma 6]; in addition, the estimates on the coefficients  $f_k$  given in [11, Proposition 7].

Similarly, we also observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{J}(x, y) f(y) dy &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{+\infty} p_D^\Omega(t, x, y) t^{s-1} f(y) dt dy \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} \int_{\Omega} p_D^\Omega(t, x, y) f(y) dy dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} f_k \phi_k(x) \exp(-t \lambda_k) t^{s-1} dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} f_k \phi_k(x) \int_0^{+\infty} \exp(-t \lambda_k) t^{s-1} dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} f_k \phi_k(x) \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\lambda_k^s} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{f_k \phi_k(x)}{\lambda_k^s}. \tag{A.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from equations (A.3) and (A.4) we deduce that for each  $x \in \Omega$  and  $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $f \geq 0$  it holds

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{I}(x, y) - \mathcal{J}(x, y)) f(y) dy = 0.$$

Thanks to this latter identity and the fact that  $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I} \in C(\mathcal{C})$  we conclude the proof of (A.1).  $\blacksquare$