

## Chapter 2

### Notations and basic lemmas

In describing regularities of functions and distributions, we use  $\varepsilon > 0$  to denote a small constant. We usually suppress the dependence on such  $\varepsilon > 0$  in an estimate. For  $a, b > 0$ , we use  $a \lesssim b$  to mean that there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $a \leq Cb$ . By  $a \sim b$ , we mean that  $a \lesssim b$  and  $b \lesssim a$ .

In dealing with space-time functions, we use the following shorthand notation  $L_T^q L_x^r = L^q([0, T]; L^r(\mathbb{T}^3))$ , etc.

#### 2.1 Sobolev and Besov spaces

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . We define the  $L^2$ -based Sobolev space  $H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$  by the norm:

$$\|f\|_{H^s} = \|\langle n \rangle^s \hat{f}(n)\|_{\ell_n^2}.$$

We also define the  $L^p$ -based Sobolev space  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)$  by the norm:

$$\|f\|_{W^{s,p}} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\langle n \rangle^s \hat{f}(n)]\|_{L^p}.$$

When  $p = 2$ , we have  $H^s(\mathbb{T}^d) = W^{s,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ .

Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth bump function supported on  $[-\frac{8}{5}, \frac{8}{5}]$  and  $\phi \equiv 1$  on  $[-\frac{5}{4}, \frac{5}{4}]$ . For  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we set  $\varphi_0(\xi) = \phi(|\xi|)$  and

$$\varphi_j(\xi) = \phi\left(\frac{|\xi|}{2^j}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{|\xi|}{2^{j-1}}\right) \quad (2.1.1)$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we define the Littlewood–Paley projector  $\mathbf{P}_j$  as the Fourier multiplier operator with a symbol  $\varphi_j$ . Note that we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(\xi) = 1$$

for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Thus, we have

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_j f.$$

Let us now recall the definition and basic properties of paraproducts introduced by Bony [7]. See [2, 34] for further details. Given two functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $\mathbb{T}^3$  of

regularities  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , we write the product  $fg$  as

$$\begin{aligned} fg &= f \otimes g + f \ominus g + f \otimes g \\ &:= \sum_{j < k-2} \mathbf{P}_j f \mathbf{P}_k g + \sum_{|j-k| \leq 2} \mathbf{P}_j f \mathbf{P}_k g + \sum_{k < j-2} \mathbf{P}_j f \mathbf{P}_k g. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.2)$$

The first term  $f \otimes g$  (and the third term  $f \otimes g$ ) is called the paraproduct of  $g$  by  $f$  (the paraproduct of  $f$  by  $g$ , respectively) and it is always well defined as a distribution of regularity  $\min(s_2, s_1 + s_2)$ . On the other hand, the resonant product  $f \ominus g$  is well defined in general only if  $s_1 + s_2 > 0$ . See Lemma 2.1.2 below. In the following, we also use the notation  $f \otimes g := f \otimes g + f \ominus g$ . In studying a nonlinear problem, main difficulty usually arises in making sense of a product. Since paraproducts are always well defined, such a problem comes from a resonant product. In particular, when the sum of regularities is negative, we need to impose an extra structure to make sense of a (seemingly) ill-defined resonant product. See Chapter 5 for a further discussion on the paracontrolled approach in this direction.

Next, we recall the basic properties of the Besov spaces  $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$  defined by the norm:

$$\|u\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = \|2^{sj} \|\mathbf{P}_j u\|_{L_x^p}\|_{\ell_j^q(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})}.$$

We denote the Hölder–Besov space by  $\mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{T}^d) = B_{\infty,\infty}^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Note that (i) the parameter  $s$  measures differentiability and  $p$  measures integrability, (ii)  $H^s(\mathbb{T}^d) = B_{2,2}^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , and (iii) for  $s > 0$  and not an integer,  $\mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$  coincides with the classical Hölder spaces  $C^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ; see [31].

We recall the basic estimates in Besov spaces. See [2, 38] for example.

**Lemma 2.1.1.** *The following estimates hold.*

(i) (interpolation) *Let  $s, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p, p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $s = \theta s_1 + (1 - \theta)s_2$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_2}$  for some  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Then, we have*

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}} \lesssim \|u\|_{W^{s_1,p_1}}^\theta \|u\|_{W^{s_2,p_2}}^{1-\theta}. \quad (2.1.3)$$

(ii) (immediate embeddings) *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in [1, \infty]$ . Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p_2,q_2}^{s_2}} \quad \text{for } s_1 \leq s_2, \ p_1 \leq p_2, \ \text{and } q_1 \geq q_2, \\ \|u\|_{B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p_1,\infty}^{s_2}} \quad \text{for } s_1 < s_2, \\ \|u\|_{B_{p_1,\infty}^0} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^{p_1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p_1,1}^0}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.4)$$

(iii) (Besov embedding) *Let  $1 \leq p_2 \leq p_1 \leq \infty, q \in [1, \infty]$ , and  $s_2 \geq s_1 + d(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_1})$ . Then, we have*

$$\|u\|_{B_{p_1,q}^{s_1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p_2,q}^{s_2}}.$$

(iv) (duality) Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p, p', q, q' \in [1, \infty]$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$ . Then, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} uv dx \right| \leq \|u\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \|v\|_{B_{p',q'}^{-s}}, \quad (2.1.5)$$

where  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} uv dx$  denotes the duality pairing between  $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$  and  $B_{p',q'}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ .

(v) (fractional Leibniz rule) Let  $p, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in [1, \infty]$  such that  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4} = \frac{1}{p}$ . Then, for every  $s > 0$ , we have

$$\|uv\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p_1,q}^s} \|v\|_{L^{p_2}} + \|u\|_{L^{p_3}} \|v\|_{B_{p_4,q}^s}. \quad (2.1.6)$$

The interpolation (2.1.3) follows from the Littlewood–Paley characterization of Sobolev norms via the square function and Hölder’s inequality.

**Lemma 2.1.2** (Paraproduct and resonant product estimates). Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq p, p_1, p_2, q \leq \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ . Then, we have

$$\|f \otimes g\|_{B_{p,q}^{s_2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|g\|_{B_{p_2,q}^{s_2}}. \quad (2.1.7)$$

When  $s_1 < 0$ , we have

$$\|f \otimes g\|_{B_{p,q}^{s_1+s_2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p_1,q}^{s_1}} \|g\|_{B_{p_2,q}^{s_2}}. \quad (2.1.8)$$

When  $s_1 + s_2 > 0$ , we have

$$\|f \ominus g\|_{B_{p,q}^{s_1+s_2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p_1,q}^{s_1}} \|g\|_{B_{p_2,q}^{s_2}}. \quad (2.1.9)$$

The product estimates (2.1.7), (2.1.8), and (2.1.9) follow easily from the definition (2.1.2) of the paraproduct and the resonant product. See [2, 48] for details of the proofs in the non-periodic case (which can be easily extended to the current periodic setting).

We also recall the following product estimate from [6, 35].

**Lemma 2.1.3.** Let  $s > 0$ .

(i) Let  $1 < p_j, q_j, r \leq \infty$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  such that  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_j} + \frac{1}{q_j}$ . Then, we have

$$\|\langle \nabla \rangle^s (fg)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^3)} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla \rangle^s f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|g\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \|f\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\langle \nabla \rangle^s g\|_{L^{q_2}(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

(ii) Let  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and  $1 < q, r < \infty$  such that  $s \geq 3(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})$  and  $q, r' \geq p'$ . Then, we have

$$\|\langle \nabla \rangle^{-s} (fg)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^3)} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{-s} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\langle \nabla \rangle^s g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

## 2.2 On discrete convolutions

Next, we recall the following basic lemma on a discrete convolution.

**Lemma 2.2.1.** *Let  $d \geq 1$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy*

$$\alpha + \beta > d \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha < d.$$

*Then, we have*

$$\sum_{n=n_1+n_2} \frac{1}{\langle n_1 \rangle^\alpha \langle n_2 \rangle^\beta} \lesssim \langle n \rangle^{-\alpha+\lambda}$$

*for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , where  $\lambda = \max(d - \beta, 0)$  when  $\beta \neq d$  and  $\lambda = \varepsilon$  when  $\beta = d$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*

Lemma 2.2.1 follows from elementary computations. See, for example, [29, Lemma 4.2] and [49, Lemma 4.1].

## 2.3 Tools from stochastic analysis

We conclude this chapter by recalling useful lemmas from stochastic analysis. See [51, 69] for basic definitions. Let  $(H, B, \mu)$  be an abstract Wiener space. Namely,  $\mu$  is a Gaussian measure on a separable Banach space  $B$  with  $H \subset B$  as its Cameron–Martin space. Given a complete orthonormal system  $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B^*$  of  $H^* = H$ , we define a polynomial chaos of order  $k$  to be an element of the form  $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} H_{k_j}(\langle x, e_j \rangle)$ , where  $x \in B$ ,  $k_j \neq 0$  for only finitely many  $j$ 's,  $k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k_j$ ,  $H_{k_j}$  is the Hermite polynomial of degree  $k_j$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = {}_B \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{B^*}$  denotes the  $B$ - $B^*$  duality pairing. We then denote the closure of polynomial chaoses of order  $k$  under  $L^2(B, \mu)$  by  $\mathcal{H}_k$ . The elements in  $\mathcal{H}_k$  are called homogeneous Wiener chaoses of order  $k$ . We also set

$$\mathcal{H}_{\leq k} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k \mathcal{H}_j$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

As a consequence of the hypercontractivity of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup due to Nelson [50], we have the following Wiener chaos estimate [70, Theorem I.22]. See also [71, Proposition 2.4].

**Lemma 2.3.1.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we have*

$$\|X\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq (p-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} \|X\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

*for any finite  $p \geq 2$  and any  $X \in \mathcal{H}_{\leq k}$ .*

Lastly, we recall the following orthogonality relation for the Hermite polynomials. See [51, Lemma 1.1.1].

**Lemma 2.3.2.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be jointly Gaussian random variables with mean zero and variances  $\sigma_f$  and  $\sigma_g$ . Then, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[H_k(f; \sigma_f)H_\ell(g; \sigma_g)] = \delta_{k\ell}k!\{\mathbb{E}[fg]\}^k,$$

where  $H_k(x, \sigma)$  denotes the Hermite polynomial of degree  $k$  with variance parameter  $\sigma$ .