

## Chapter 5

### Canonical extension over 1-dimensional cusps

In this chapter we recall the partial toroidal compactification over a 1-dimensional cusp and the canonical extension of the automorphic vector bundles over it. This provides a geometric basis for the Siegel operator (Section 6) and the Fourier–Jacobi expansion (Section 7). Except for a few calculations in Sections 5.4 and 5.5, most contents of this chapter are essentially expository. We refer the reader to [2] for the general theory of toroidal compactification, to [21, 33, 35] for its specialization to the case of orthogonal modular varieties (especially for more details on the contents of Sections 5.1–5.3), and to [36] for the general theory of canonical extension. Nevertheless, since this chapter is the basis of many later chapters, we tried to keep the presentation as self-contained, explicit, and coherent as possible.

Throughout this chapter,  $L$  is a lattice of signature  $(2, n)$  with  $n \geq 3$ . We fix a rank 2 primitive isotropic sublattice  $J$  of  $L$ , which corresponds to a 1-dimensional cusp of  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_L$ . We write

$$V(J)_F = (J^\perp/J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$$

for  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ . This is a quadratic space over  $F$ , negative-definite when  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ . We especially abbreviate  $V(J) = V(J)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . We also write  $U(J)_F = \wedge^2 J_F$ . The choice of the component  $\mathcal{D}$  determines an orientation of  $J$  so that the  $\mathbb{R}$ -isomorphism  $(\omega, \cdot): J_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  preserves the orientation for any  $[\omega] \in \mathcal{D}$ . This determines the positive part of  $U(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

For  $2U = U \oplus U$ , where  $U$  is the integral hyperbolic plane, we will denote by  $e_1, f_1$  and  $e_2, f_2$  the standard hyperbolic basis of the first and the second components, respectively. We say that an embedding  $\iota: 2U_F \hookrightarrow L_F$  is compatible with  $J$  if it satisfies  $\iota(\mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2) = J$ . This defines a lift  $V(J)_F \simeq \iota(2U_F)^\perp \cap L_F$  of  $V(J)_F$  in  $J_F^\perp$  and hence a splitting

$$L_F \simeq 2U_F \oplus V(J)_F = (J_F \oplus J_F^\vee) \oplus V(J)_F, \quad (5.1)$$

where we identify  $\iota(\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle)$  with  $J_F^\vee$ . We often choose a rank 1 primitive sublattice  $I$  of  $J$ . We say that  $\iota: 2U_F \hookrightarrow L_F$  is compatible with  $I \subset J$  if  $\iota(\mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2) = J$  and  $\iota(\mathbb{Z}e_1) = I$ .

#### 5.1 Siegel domain realization

In this section we recall the Siegel domain realization of  $\mathcal{D}$  with respect to the  $J$ -cusp and explain its relation with the tube domain realization.

### 5.1.1 Siegel domain realization

The filtration  $J \subset J^\perp \subset L$  on  $L$  determines the two-step linear projection

$$\mathbb{P}L_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathbb{P}(L/J)_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \mathbb{P}(L/J^\perp)_{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (5.2)$$

Via the pairing on  $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ , this is identified with the dual projection

$$\mathbb{P}L_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(J_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp)^\vee \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee.$$

The centre of  $\pi_1$  is  $\mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and the centre of  $\pi_2$  is  $\mathbb{P}V(J)$ . The projection  $\pi_2$  identifies  $\mathbb{P}(L/J)_{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{P}V(J)$  with an affine space bundle over  $\mathbb{P}(L/J^\perp)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . If we choose a lift  $V(J) \hookrightarrow J_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp$  of  $V(J)$ , it defines a splitting  $(L/J)_{\mathbb{C}} = V(J) \oplus (L/J^\perp)_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and so, defines an isomorphism between the affine space bundle  $\mathbb{P}(L/J)_{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{P}V(J)$  with the vector bundle  $V(J) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$  over  $\mathbb{P}(L/J^\perp)_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

We restrict (5.2) to the isotropic quadric  $Q \subset \mathbb{P}L_{\mathbb{C}}$ . The closure of a  $\pi_1$ -fiber is a plane containing  $\mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{C}}$ . When this plane is not contained in  $\mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp$ , it intersects properly with  $Q$  at two distinct lines, one being  $\mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{C}}$ . This shows that

$$\pi_1|_Q : Q - Q \cap \mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(L/J)_{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{P}V(J)$$

is an affine line bundle.

Next we restrict (5.2) further to an enlargement of the domain  $\mathcal{D} \subset Q$ . Let  $\mathbb{H}_J$  be the connected component of  $\mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee - \mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee$  consisting of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps  $\phi: J_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\phi|_{J_{\mathbb{R}}}: J_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an orientation-preserving  $\mathbb{R}$ -isomorphism. By the canonical isomorphism  $\mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee \simeq \mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}_J$  corresponds to the  $J$ -cusp. We put  $\mathcal{V}_J = \pi_2^{-1}(\mathbb{H}_J)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(J) = (\pi_1|_Q)^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_J)$ . Then  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(J)$ . We thus have the extended two-step fibration

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(J) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathcal{V}_J \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \mathbb{H}_J, \quad (5.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{V}_J \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_J$  is an affine space bundle isomorphic to  $V(J) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}_J}(1)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_J$  is an affine line bundle, and  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_J$  is an upper half plane bundle inside  $\mathcal{D}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_J$ . This is the Siegel domain realization of  $\mathcal{D}$  with respect to  $J$ . (Up to this point, canonically determined by  $J$ .)

### 5.1.2 Relation with tube domain realization

We choose a rank 1 primitive sublattice  $I$  of  $J$ . Recall from Section 3.3 that the tube domain realization at the  $I$ -cusp (before choosing a base point) is the canonical embedding

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}(L/I)_{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{P}V(I)$$

induced by the projection  $\mathbb{P}L_{\mathbb{C}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L/I)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{D}(J) \subset \mathcal{D}(I)$ . We can factor the projection  $\pi_1$  in (5.2) as:

$$\mathbb{P}L_{\mathbb{C}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L/I)_{\mathbb{C}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L/J)_{\mathbb{C}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L/J^\perp)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Hence we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{P}(L/I)_{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{P}V(I) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(L/J)_{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{P}(I^{\perp}/J)_{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{P}(I^{\perp}/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(J) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \mathcal{V}_J & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathbb{H}_J.
 \end{array}$$

Here the upper row is projections of affine spaces, the left vertical map is the tube domain realization at  $I$ , and other vertical maps are natural inclusions. The two squares are cartesian, i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_J \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_J$  is the restriction of the upper row over  $\mathbb{H}_J$ . Thus the Siegel domain realization at  $J$  can be given by a decomposition of the tube domain realization at  $I \subset J$ .

Next we choose a rank 1 isotropic sublattice  $I' \subset L$  with  $(I, I') \neq 0$  and accordingly a base point of the affine space  $\mathbb{P}(L/I)_{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{P}V(I)$ . This identifies the upper row of the above diagram with the linear maps

$$U(I)_{\mathbb{C}} = (I^{\perp}/I)_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow (I^{\perp}/J)_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow (I^{\perp}/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

We identify  $U(J)_{\mathbb{C}} = \wedge^2 J_{\mathbb{C}}$  with the isotropic line  $(J/I)_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $U(I)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then this is written as the quotient maps

$$U(I)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow U(I)_{\mathbb{C}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow U(I)_{\mathbb{C}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp}. \quad (5.4)$$

Therefore, after choosing the base point  $I'$ , the above commutative diagram can be rewritten as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 U(I)_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & U(I)_{\mathbb{C}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & U(I)_{\mathbb{C}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(J) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \mathcal{V}_J & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathbb{H}_J
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical embeddings are defined by  $I'$  and the two squares are cartesian. This gives a simpler (but depending on  $I, I'$ ) expression of the Siegel domain realization.

Finally, we introduce coordinates. Let  $v_J$  be the positive generator of  $\wedge^2 J \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ . We choose an isotropic vector  $l_J \in U(I)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $(v_J, l_J) = 1$ . This defines a splitting  $U(I)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq U_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus K_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , where  $K_{\mathbb{Q}} = V(J)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes I_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , which determines a splitting of (5.4). Accordingly, we express a point of  $U(I)_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}l_J \times K_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}v_J$  as

$$Z = (\tau, z, w) = \tau l_J + z + w v_J, \quad z \in K_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau, w \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (5.5)$$

In these coordinates, the  $I$ -directed Siegel domain realization (5.4) is expressed by

$$(\tau, z, w) \mapsto (\tau, z) \mapsto \tau.$$

The  $w$ -component gives coordinates on the  $\pi_1$ -fibers ( $\simeq U(J)_\mathbb{C}$ ), and  $\tau$  gives coordinates on the base  $U(I)_\mathbb{C}/U(J)_\mathbb{C}^\perp \simeq U(J)_\mathbb{C}^\vee$ . The images of the embeddings

$$\mathcal{D}(J) \hookrightarrow U(I)_\mathbb{C}, \quad \mathcal{V}_J \hookrightarrow U(I)_\mathbb{C}/U(J)_\mathbb{C}, \quad \mathbb{H}_J \hookrightarrow U(I)_\mathbb{C}/U(J)_\mathbb{C}^\perp$$

are all defined by the inequality  $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$ , and the tube domain  $\mathcal{D}_I \subset U(I)_\mathbb{C}$  is defined by the inequalities

$$-(\text{Im}(z), \text{Im}(z)) < 2 \text{Im}(\tau) \cdot \text{Im}(w), \quad \text{Im}(\tau) > 0.$$

Thus the choice of  $I, I', l_J$  defines a passage from the canonical presentation (5.3) to a more classical presentation of the Siegel domain realization.

**Remark 5.1.** The choice of  $I'$  and  $l_J$  is almost equivalent to the choice of an embedding  $2U_\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow L_\mathbb{Q}$  compatible with  $I_\mathbb{Q} \subset J_\mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, we choose one of the two generators of  $I \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , say  $v_I$ . Let  $v'_I \in I'_\mathbb{Q}$  be the dual vector of  $v_I$  in  $I'_\mathbb{Q}$ . We can write  $v_J = \tilde{v}_J \otimes v_I$  and  $l_J = \tilde{l}_J \otimes v_I$  for some vectors  $\tilde{v}_J \in (I'_\mathbb{Q})^\perp \cap J_\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\tilde{l}_J \in (I'_\mathbb{Q})^\perp \cap I_\mathbb{Q}^\perp$ . This defines an embedding  $2U_\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow L_\mathbb{Q}$  compatible with  $I_\mathbb{Q} \subset J_\mathbb{Q}$  by sending

$$e_1 \mapsto v_I, \quad f_1 \mapsto v'_I, \quad e_2 \mapsto \tilde{v}_J, \quad f_2 \mapsto \tilde{l}_J.$$

## 5.2 Jacobi group

In this section we describe the rational/real Jacobi group of the  $J$ -cusp and its action on the Siegel domain realization.

Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\Gamma(J)_F$  be the subgroup of the stabilizer of  $J_F$  in  $\text{O}(L_F)$  acting trivially on  $\wedge^2 J_F$  and  $V(J)_F$ . We call  $\Gamma(J)_F$  the *Jacobi group* for  $J$  over  $F$ . (It is certainly useful to take into account the action on  $V(J)_F$ , but here we refrain from doing so for simplicity of exposition.) The Jacobi group has the canonical filtration

$$U(J)_F \subset W(J)_F \subset \Gamma(J)_F$$

defined by

$$W(J)_F = \text{Ker}(\Gamma(J)_F \rightarrow \text{SL}(J_F)),$$

$$U(J)_F = \text{Ker}(\Gamma(J)_F \rightarrow \text{GL}(J_F^\perp)).$$

The group  $U(J)_F$  consists of the Eichler transvections  $E_{l \otimes l'}$  for  $l, l' \in J_F$ . Since  $E_{l' \otimes l} = E_{-l \otimes l'}$ ,  $U(J)_F$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\wedge^2 J_F$ . This justifies our use of the notation  $U(J)_F$ . We also have the canonical isomorphism

$$V(J)_F \otimes J_F \rightarrow W(J)_F/U(J)_F, \quad m \otimes l \mapsto E_{\tilde{m} \otimes l} \mod U(J)_F,$$

where  $\tilde{m} \in J_F^\perp$  is a lift of  $m \in V(J)_F$ . The linear space  $V(J)_F \otimes J_F$  has a canonical  $U(J)_F$ -valued symplectic form as the tensor product of the quadratic form on  $V(J)_F$  and the canonical  $\wedge^2 J_F$ -valued symplectic form on  $J_F$ . We thus have the canonical exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow W(J)_F &\rightarrow \Gamma(J)_F \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(J_F) \rightarrow 1, \\ 0 \rightarrow U(J)_F &\rightarrow W(J)_F \rightarrow V(J)_F \otimes J_F \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

The group  $U(J)_F$  is the centre of  $\Gamma(J)_F$ , and  $W(J)_F$  is the unipotent radical of  $\Gamma(J)_F$ . The first sequence (5.6) splits if we choose an embedding  $2U_F \hookrightarrow L_F$  compatible with  $J_F$  and hence a splitting  $L_F \simeq (J_F \oplus J_F^\vee) \oplus V(J)_F$  as in (5.1):

$$\Gamma(J)_F \simeq \mathrm{SL}(J_F) \ltimes W(J)_F. \quad (5.7)$$

Here the lifted group  $\mathrm{SL}(J_F) \subset \Gamma(J)_F$  acts on the component  $J_F \oplus J_F^\vee$  in the natural way. The adjoint action of  $\mathrm{SL}(J_F)$  on  $W(J)_F/U(J)_F \simeq V(J)_F \otimes J_F$  is the tensor product of the natural action of  $\mathrm{SL}(J_F)$  on  $J_F$  and the trivial action on  $V(J)_F$ . The group  $W(J)_F$  is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group attached to the symplectic space  $V(J)_F \otimes J_F$  with centre  $U(J)_F$ . We call  $W(J)_F$  the *Heisenberg group* for  $J$  over  $F$ .

If  $I$  is a rank 1 primitive sublattice of  $J$ , we have

$$U(J)_F \subset U(I)_F \subset \Gamma(J)_F, \quad (5.8)$$

as can be seen from the definitions. In  $U(I)_F = (I^\perp/I)_F \otimes I_F$ ,  $U(J)_F$  corresponds to the isotropic line  $(J/I)_F \otimes I_F$ . We also have  $W(J)_F \subset \Gamma(I)_F$  and

$$U(I)_F \cap W(J)_F = U(J)_F^\perp = (J^\perp/I)_F \otimes I_F.$$

The image of  $W(J)_F$  in  $\mathrm{O}(V(I)_F)$  is the group of Eichler transvections of  $V(I)_F$  with respect to the isotropic line  $(J/I)_F$ .

The Jacobi group  $\Gamma(J)_F$  preserves the Siegel domain realization (5.3) by definition. The actions of the factors  $U(J)_F$ ,  $W(J)_F/U(J)_F$ ,  $\mathrm{SL}(J_F)$  of  $\Gamma(J)_F$  on the spaces in (5.3) are described as follows.

(1) The group  $U(J)_F$  acts on  $\mathcal{V}_J$  trivially. The projection  $\mathcal{D}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_J$  is a principal  $U(J)_\mathbb{C}$ -bundle, where  $U(J)_\mathbb{C} = \wedge^2 J_\mathbb{C}$  is the group of Eichler transvections  $E_{l \otimes l'}$  with  $l, l' \in J_\mathbb{C}$ .

(2) The Heisenberg group  $W(J)_F$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}_J$  trivially. The quotient  $W(J)_F/U(J)_F$  acts on the fibers of  $\mathcal{V}_J \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_J$  by translation. More precisely, if  $\tau$  is a point of  $\mathbb{H}_J \subset \mathbb{P} J_\mathbb{C}^\vee$  and  $J_\mathbb{C} = J^{1,0} \oplus J^{0,1}$  is the corresponding Hodge decomposition of  $J_\mathbb{C}$  (where  $J^{1,0}$  is the kernel), the fiber of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}_J}(1)$  over  $\tau$  is  $J_\mathbb{C}/J^{1,0}$ . So the fiber  $(\mathcal{V}_J)_\tau$  of  $\mathcal{V}_J$  over  $\tau$  is an affine space for  $V(J) \otimes_\mathbb{C} (J_\mathbb{C}/J^{1,0})$ . On the other hand, we have a natural projection  $V(J)_\mathbb{R} \otimes_\mathbb{R} J_\mathbb{R} \rightarrow V(J) \otimes_\mathbb{C} (J_\mathbb{C}/J^{1,0})$  which is

an  $\mathbb{R}$ -isomorphism. Then the action of an element of  $W(J)_{\mathbb{R}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq V(J)_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} J_{\mathbb{R}}$  on the affine space  $(\mathcal{V}_J)_{\tau}$  is the translation by its projection image in  $V(J) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (J_{\mathbb{C}}/J^{1,0})$ .

(3) To describe the action of  $\mathrm{SL}(J_F)$ , we take an embedding  $2U_F \hookrightarrow L_F$  compatible with  $J_F$ . As explained before, this induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{V}_J \simeq V(J) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}_J}(1)$  and a lift  $\mathrm{SL}(J_F) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(J)_F$ . Then the lifted group  $\mathrm{SL}(J_F)$  acts on  $\mathcal{V}_J$  by its equivariant action on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}_J}(1)$ .

### 5.3 Partial toroidal compactification

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite-index subgroup of  $\mathrm{O}^+(L)$ . We take the intersection of  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $W(J)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $U(J)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $\Gamma$  and denote them by

$$\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \Gamma, \quad W(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} = W(J)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \Gamma, \quad U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} = U(J)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \Gamma.$$

By the orientation on  $J$ , we have a distinguished isomorphism  $U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ . We also denote by  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$  the stabilizer of  $J$  in  $\Gamma$ . The integral Jacobi group  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is of finite index in  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$  because

$$\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}^*/\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}(J^{\perp}/J)$$

and  $\mathrm{O}(J^{\perp}/J)$  is a finite group. If  $\Gamma$  is neat, we have  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}^* = \Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

We put

$$\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \overline{\Gamma(J)}_F = \Gamma(J)_F/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

for  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ . These quotients make sense because  $U(J)_F$  is the centre of  $\Gamma(J)_F$ . By definition we have the canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}/W(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 1,$$

which is canonically embedded in the quotient of (5.6) by  $U(J)_F$ : more specifically,  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}/W(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is embedded in  $\mathrm{SL}(J)$  as a finite-index subgroup, and  $W(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is embedded in  $V(J)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes J_{\mathbb{Q}}$  as a full lattice.

Let  $T(J) = U(J)_{\mathbb{C}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$  be the 1-dimensional torus defined by  $U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . We denote by  $\overline{T}(J) \simeq \mathbb{C}$  the natural partial compactification of  $T(J)$ . We take the quotient of  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(J)$  by  $U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ :

$$\mathcal{X}(J) = \mathcal{D}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \mathcal{T}(J) = \mathcal{D}(J)/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{T}(J)$  is a principal  $T(J)$ -bundle over  $\mathcal{V}_J$ , which contains  $\mathcal{X}(J)$  as a fibration of punctured discs. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(J) = \mathcal{T}(J) \times_{T(J)} \overline{T}(J)$  be the relative torus embedding. This has the structure of a line bundle on  $\mathcal{V}_J$ : the scalar multiplication on each fiber is given

by the action of  $T(J) \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ , and the sum is determined by the scalar multiplication because the fiber is 1-dimensional. The group  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$  acts on  $\mathcal{T}(J)$  naturally, and this extends to an action on  $\overline{\mathcal{T}(J)}$ . The fact that  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$  commutes with  $U(J)_{\mathbb{C}}$  implies that the action of  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{T}(J)}$  is an equivariant action on the line bundle.

Let  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  be the interior of the closure of  $\mathcal{X}(J)$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{T}(J)}$ . We call  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  the *partial toroidal compactification* of  $\mathcal{X}(J)$ . This is a disc bundle over  $\mathcal{V}_J$  obtained by filling the origins in the punctured disc bundle  $\mathcal{X}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_J$ . Let  $\Delta_J$  be the boundary divisor of  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . This is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{V}_J$ . We denote by  $\Theta_J$  the conormal bundle of  $\Delta_J$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . This is a  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant line bundle on  $\Delta_J$ . (Although the subgroup  $U(J)_{\mathbb{R}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  of  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$  acts on  $\Delta_J$  trivially, it acts on the fibers of  $\Theta_J$  by rotations.)

**Lemma 5.2.** *We have a natural  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\Theta^{\vee} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{T}(J)}$  of line bundles on  $\Delta_J$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta_J$  is the zero section of the line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{T}(J)}$ , its normal bundle in  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  is the same as the normal bundle in  $\overline{\mathcal{T}(J)}$ , which is isomorphic to  $\overline{\mathcal{T}(J)}$  itself. ■

The partial compactification  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  already appears in essence in the partial compactifications  $\mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma}$  for  $I \subset J$  considered in Section 3.5.1. Recall that the isotropic ray  $\sigma_J = (U(J)_{\mathbb{R}})_{\geq 0}$  appears in every  $\Gamma(I)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -admissible fan  $\Sigma$  as in Section 3.5.1. Since  $U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset U(I)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we have a natural étale map  $\mathcal{X}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(I)$  which is a free quotient map by  $U(I)_{\mathbb{Z}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *The map  $\mathcal{X}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(I)$  extends to an étale map  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma}$ . The image of  $\Delta_J$  is a Zariski open set of the boundary divisor of  $\mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma}$  associated to the isotropic ray  $\sigma_J$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{D}(J) \subset \mathcal{D}(I)$ , we have the following commutative diagram (cf. Section 5.1.2):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{T}(J) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(I)/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & T(I) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{V}_J & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(I)/U(J)_{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & T(I)/T(J). \end{array}$$

Here the vertical maps are principal  $T(J)$ -bundles, and the two right horizontal maps are free quotients by  $U(I)_{\mathbb{Z}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . The two squares are cartesian: the right is the pullback of a principal  $T(J)$ -bundle to a  $U(I)_{\mathbb{Z}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -cover, and the left is the restriction to an open set. Since the upper row is  $T(J)$ -equivariant, it extends to

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}(J)} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{D}(I)/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{T(J)} \overline{\mathcal{T}(J)} \rightarrow T(I) \times_{T(J)} \overline{\mathcal{T}(J)}.$$

The second map is still a free quotient by  $U(I)_{\mathbb{Z}}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . The image of  $\Delta_J \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}(J)}$  by this map is an open set of the (unique) boundary divisor of  $T(I) \times_{T(J)} \overline{\mathcal{T}(J)}$ .

Since  $T(I) \times_{T(J)} \overline{T(J)}$  is the torus embedding of  $T(I)$  associated to the ray  $\sigma_J$ , it is a Zariski open set of  $T(I)^\Sigma$ . Thus we obtain an étale map  $\overline{\mathcal{T}(J)} \rightarrow T(I)^\Sigma$  which maps  $\Delta_J$  to an open set of the boundary divisor of  $T(I)^\Sigma$  corresponding to  $\sigma_J$ . ■

## 5.4 Canonical extension

In this section, which is the central part of Section 5, we extend the automorphic vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . This is an explicit form of Mumford's canonical extension [36] which is suitable for dealing with the Fourier–Jacobi expansion. We use the same notations  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  for the descends of these vector bundles to  $\mathcal{X}(J)$ . They are  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant vector bundles on  $\mathcal{X}(J)$ .

We choose an adjacent 0-dimensional cusp  $I \subset J$ . Since  $U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \Gamma(I)_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the  $I$ -trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\mathcal{D}$  descends to an isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k} \simeq V(I)_{\lambda,k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  over  $\mathcal{X}(J) = \mathcal{D}/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Thus we still have the  $I$ -trivialization over  $\mathcal{X}(J)$ . This is equivariant with respect to  $(\Gamma(I)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}})/U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . We extend  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  to a vector bundle over  $\mathcal{X}(J)$  (still use the same notation) by requiring that this isomorphism extends to

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k} \simeq V(I)_{\lambda,k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}}.$$

We call it the *canonical extension* of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . This is the pullback of the canonical extension over  $\mathcal{X}(I)^\Sigma$  defined in Section 3.5.3 by the gluing map  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(I)^\Sigma$  in Lemma 5.3. By construction, the frame of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\mathcal{X}(J)$  corresponding to a basis of  $V(I)_{\lambda,k}$  via the  $I$ -trivialization extends to a frame of the extended bundle over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *The canonical extension of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  defined above does not depend on the choice of  $I$ . The action of  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\mathcal{X}(J)$  extends to action on the canonical extension of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ .*

The proof of this proposition amounts to the following assertion.

**Lemma 5.5.** *The factor of automorphy of the  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  with respect to the  $I$ -trivialization is constant on each fiber of  $\pi_1: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_J$ . In particular, if  $I'$  is another  $\mathbb{R}$ -line in  $J_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the difference of the  $I$ -trivialization and the  $I'$ -trivialization at  $[\omega] \in \mathcal{D}$  as the composition map*

$$V(I)_{\lambda,k} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k})_{[\omega]} \rightarrow V(I')_{\lambda,k} \quad (5.9)$$

*is constant on each  $\pi_1$ -fiber.*

*Proof.* Let  $j(\gamma, [\omega])$  be the factor of automorphy in question. This is a  $\mathrm{GL}(V(I)_{\lambda,k})$ -valued function on  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathcal{D}$ . What has to be shown is that  $j(\gamma, [\omega]) = j(\gamma, [\omega'])$  if  $\pi_1([\omega]) = \pi_1([\omega'])$ . We consider the natural extension of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\mathcal{D}(J)$ , on which



the group  $U(J)_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$  acts equivariantly. Note that  $U(J)_{\mathbb{C}}$  commutes with  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . We can write  $[\omega'] = g[\omega]$  for some  $g \in U(J)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Since  $U(J)_{\mathbb{C}}$  acts trivially on  $I_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $V(I)$ , we have  $j(g, \cdot) \equiv \text{id}$ . Therefore

$$j(\gamma, g[\omega]) = j(\gamma g, [\omega]) = j(g\gamma, [\omega]) = j(\gamma, [\omega]).$$

As for the second assertion, we choose  $\gamma \in \Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$  with  $\gamma(I_{\mathbb{R}}) = I'$ . Then (5.9) coincides with the isomorphism

$$\gamma \circ j(\gamma^{-1}, [\omega]) : V(I)_{\lambda, k} \rightarrow V(I)_{\lambda, k} \rightarrow V(I')_{\lambda, k}.$$

Hence the constancy of  $j(\gamma^{-1}, [\omega])$  over  $\pi_1$ -fibers implies that of (5.9).  $\blacksquare$

Now we can prove Proposition 5.4.

*Proof of Proposition 5.4.* Let  $I, I'$  be two rank 1 primitive sublattices of  $J$ . By the second assertion of Lemma 5.5, the difference of the  $I$ -trivialization and the  $I'$ -trivialization

$$V(I)_{\lambda, k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}(J)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, k} \rightarrow V(I')_{\lambda, k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}(J)}, \quad (5.10)$$

viewed as a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -valued holomorphic function on  $\mathcal{X}(J)$  via basis of  $V(I)_{\lambda, k}$  and  $V(I')_{\lambda, k}$ , is constant on each fiber of  $\mathcal{X}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_J$ . Therefore it extends to a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -valued holomorphic function over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . This implies that (5.10) extends to an isomorphism

$$V(I)_{\lambda, k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}} \rightarrow V(I')_{\lambda, k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}}$$

over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . Thus the two extensions agree.

Extendability of the  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, k}$  can be verified as follows. Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The  $\gamma$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, k}$  sends a frame corresponding to a basis of  $V(I)_{\lambda, k}$  via the  $I$ -trivialization to a frame corresponding to a basis of  $V(\gamma I)_{\lambda, k}$  via the  $\gamma I$ -trivialization. By Lemma 5.5 again, the latter extends to a frame over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  also in the  $I$ -trivialization. Thus  $\gamma$  sends an extendable frame to an extendable frame. This means that the  $\gamma$ -action extends over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ .  $\blacksquare$

The fact that the canonical extension comes with an  $I$ -trivialization (but independent of it) enables us to develop the theory of Fourier–Jacobi expansion (Section 7) in an intrinsic but still explicit way. The following property will play a fundamental role in Section 7.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $\pi_1: \overline{\mathcal{X}(J)} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_J \simeq \Delta_J$  be the projection. Then we have a  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, k} \simeq \pi_1^*(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, k}|_{\Delta_J})$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ .*

*Proof.* We fix a rank 1 primitive sublattice  $I \subset J$  and let  $j(\gamma, [\omega])$  be the factor of automorphy of the  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  with respect to the  $I$ -trivialization. By Lemma 5.5, the  $\mathrm{GL}(V(I)_{\lambda,k})$ -valued function  $j(\gamma, [\omega])$  on  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathcal{X}(J)$  descends to a  $\mathrm{GL}(V(I)_{\lambda,k})$ -valued function on  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \Delta_J$ . This gives the factor of automorphy of the  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}|_{\Delta_J}$  with respect to the  $I$ -trivialization

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}|_{\Delta_J} \simeq V(I)_{\lambda,k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_J}.$$

The fact that its pullback agrees with the factor of automorphy of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  implies that the composition

$$\pi_1^*(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}|_{\Delta_J}) \rightarrow \pi_1^*(V(I)_{\lambda,k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_J}) \simeq V(I)_{\lambda,k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$$

gives a  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\pi_1^*(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}|_{\Delta_J}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ , where the first isomorphism is the pullback of the  $I$ -trivialization over  $\Delta_J$ , and the last isomorphism is the  $I$ -trivialization over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . ■

**Remark 5.7.** By the proof, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1^*(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}|_{\Delta_J}) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1^*(V(I)_{\lambda,k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_J}) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & V(I)_{\lambda,k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}}. \end{array}$$

Here the upper arrow is the isomorphism in Proposition 5.6, the vertical arrows are the  $I$ -trivializations, and the lower arrow is the natural isomorphism.

**Remark 5.8.** Although the canonical extension at the level of  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  still has a trivialization (by construction), this no longer holds when passing to the full toroidal compactifications (Section 5.6). Around  $\Delta_J$  we need to further take the quotient by  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , which does not preserve the trivialization.

## 5.5 The Hodge line bundle at the boundary

In this section we study the Hodge line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  relative to the  $J$ -cusp and show that its canonical extension can be understood more directly. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_J = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}_J}(-1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(L/J^\perp)_{\mathbb{C}}}(-1)|_{\mathbb{H}_J}$$

be the Hodge bundle over the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}_J$ . The group  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}_J$  equivariantly via the natural map  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(J_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Let  $\pi = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_J$  be the projection from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathbb{H}_J$ .

**Lemma 5.9.** *We have a  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{L}_J$  over  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $\pi$  is restriction of the projection  $\mathbb{P}L_{\mathbb{C}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Since this is induced by the linear map  $L_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow (L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}}$ , we have a natural isomorphism

$$\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}}}(-1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}L_{\mathbb{C}}}(-1)$$

over  $\mathbb{P}L_{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{P}J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp}$ . Restricting this isomorphism to  $\mathcal{D}$ , we obtain  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{L}_J$ . Since the projection  $L_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow (L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}}$  is  $\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant, so is the isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{L}_J$ .  $\blacksquare$

The fiber of  $\pi^* \mathcal{L}_J$  over  $[\omega] \in \mathcal{D}$  is the image of the projection  $\mathbb{C}\omega \rightarrow (L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and the isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{L}_J$  over  $[\omega]$  is identified with the natural map  $\mathbb{C}\omega \rightarrow \text{Im}(\mathbb{C}\omega \rightarrow (L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}})$ .

The projection  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_J$  descends to  $\mathcal{X}(J) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_J$  and extends to  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_J$  naturally. We denote it again by  $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{X}(J)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_J$ . The isomorphism in Lemma 5.9 descends to a  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{L}_J|_{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  over  $\mathcal{X}(J)$ . We have respective extension of both sides over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ : for  $\mathcal{L}$  the canonical extension constructed in Section 5.4, and for  $\pi^* \mathcal{L}_J|_{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  the natural extension  $\pi^* \mathcal{L}_J$ . It turns out that these two extensions agree, as the following proposition shows.

**Proposition 5.10.** *The isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{L}_J|_{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  over  $\mathcal{X}(J)$  extends to a  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant isomorphism between the canonical extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\pi^* \mathcal{L}_J$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . In particular, we have  $\mathcal{L}|_{\Delta_J} \simeq \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}_J$  over  $\Delta_J$ .*

*Proof.* We choose a rank 1 primitive sublattice  $I \subset J$ . The canonical extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined via the  $I$ -trivialization of  $\mathcal{L}$ , which we denote by  $\iota_I: \mathcal{L} \simeq I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . On the other hand, we also have a trivialization  $\iota'_I: \mathcal{L}_J \simeq I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}_J}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_J = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}_J}(-1)$  over  $\mathbb{H}_J \subset \mathbb{P}(L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}}$  induced by the pairing between  $(L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $I_{\mathbb{C}}$ . The natural extension  $\pi^* \mathcal{L}_J$  of  $\pi^* \mathcal{L}_J|_{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  coincides with the extension via the trivialization

$$\pi^* \mathcal{L}_J|_{\mathcal{X}(J)} \xrightarrow{\pi^* \iota'_I} \pi^*(I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}_J})|_{\mathcal{X}(J)} = I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}(J)}, \quad (5.11)$$

because  $\pi^* \iota'_I$  is defined over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ .

We observe that the composition of (5.11) with the isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{L}_J|_{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  in Lemma 5.9 coincides with the  $I$ -trivialization  $\iota_I$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ : this is just the remark that taking the pairing of a vector  $\omega \in L_{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $I_{\mathbb{C}}$  (this is  $\iota_I$ ) is the same as projecting  $\omega$  to  $(L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}}$  (this is  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{L}_J$ ) and then taking pairing with  $I_{\mathbb{C}}$  (this is  $\pi^* \iota'_I$ ). From this coincidence, we see that the isomorphism in Lemma 5.9 extends to an isomorphism over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  from the extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  via  $\iota_I$  (this is the canonical extension of  $\mathcal{L}$ ) to the extension of  $\pi^* \mathcal{L}_J|_{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  via  $\pi^* \iota'_I$  (this is  $\pi^* \mathcal{L}_J$ ). The  $\overline{\Gamma(J)}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariance holds by continuity.  $\blacksquare$

Thus the canonical extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  defined in Section 5.4 via the  $I$ -trivialization can be understood more directly as the *canonical* (verbatim) extension  $\pi^*\mathcal{L}_J$  of  $\pi^*\mathcal{L}_J|_{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ .

**Remark 5.11.** By the proof of Proposition 5.10,  $\mathcal{L}_J$  is endowed with the  $I$ -trivialization  $I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}_J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_J$  induced by the pairing between  $(L/J^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $I_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and its pullback by  $\pi$  agrees with the  $I$ -trivialization of  $\mathcal{L}$  via the isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \pi^*\mathcal{L}_J$ .

## 5.6 Toroidal compactification

In this section we recall the (full) toroidal compactifications of the modular variety  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma) = \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}$  following [2]. While this provides a background for our geometric approach, logically it will be used only in Section 10 in a rather auxiliary way, so the reader may skip it for the moment.

The data for constructing a toroidal compactification of  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$  is a collection  $\Sigma = (\Sigma_I)$  of  $\Gamma(I)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -admissible rational polyhedral cone decomposition of  $\mathcal{C}_I^+ \subset U(I)_{\mathbb{R}}$  in the sense of Section 3.5.1, one for each  $\Gamma$ -equivalence class of rank 1 primitive isotropic sublattices  $I$  of  $L$ . Two fans  $\Sigma_I, \Sigma_{I'}$  for different  $\Gamma$ -equivalence classes  $I, I'$  are independent, and no choice is required for rank 2 isotropic sublattices  $J$  (it is canonical). Then the toroidal compactification is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{\Sigma} = \left( \mathcal{D} \sqcup \bigsqcup_I \mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma_I} \sqcup \bigsqcup_J \overline{\mathcal{X}(J)} \right) / \sim,$$

where  $I$  (resp.,  $J$ ) run over all primitive isotropic sublattices of  $L$  of rank 1 (resp., rank 2), and  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation generated by the following étale maps.

- (1) The  $\gamma$ -action  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma_I} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\gamma I)^{\Sigma_{\gamma I}}$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}(\gamma J)}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .
- (2) The gluing maps  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma_I}$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma_I}$  for  $I \subset J$  as in Lemma 5.3.

By [2, Section III.5],  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{\Sigma}$  is a compact Moishezon space which contains  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$  as a Zariski open set and has a morphism  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{bb}$  to the Baily–Borel compactification. We have natural maps

$$\mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma_I} / \overline{\Gamma(I)}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{\Sigma}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{X}(J)} / (\Gamma(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}^* / U(J)_{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{\Sigma}. \quad (5.12)$$

These maps are isomorphism in a neighbourhood of the locus of boundary points lying over the  $I$ -cusp and the  $J$ -cusp, respectively (see [2, p. 175]). We may choose  $\Sigma$  so that  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{\Sigma}$  is projective. When  $\Gamma$  is neat and each fan  $\Sigma_I$  is regular, i.e., every cone is generated by a part of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $U(I)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{\Sigma}$  is nonsingular [2, Section III.7].

Next we explain the canonical extension of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^\Sigma$  (cf. [36]). We assume that  $\Gamma$  is neat and  $\Sigma$  is regular. Then not only  $\Gamma$  itself but also the subquotients  $\overline{\Gamma(I)}_\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\Gamma(J)_\mathbb{Z}^*/U(J)_\mathbb{Z} = \overline{\Gamma(J)}_\mathbb{Z}$  are torsion-free, so the quotient map

$$\mathcal{D} \sqcup \bigsqcup_I \mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma_I} \sqcup \bigsqcup_J \overline{\mathcal{X}(J)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^\Sigma$$

is étale. The vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  is initially defined on  $\mathcal{D}$  and hence on

$$\mathcal{D} \sqcup \bigsqcup_I \mathcal{X}(I) \sqcup \bigsqcup_J \mathcal{X}(J).$$

In Sections 3.5.3 and 5.4, we constructed the canonical extension of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma_I}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ , respectively. By construction we have a natural isomorphism

$$p^* \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$$

for a gluing map  $p$  in (2) above. Moreover, we have a natural isomorphism  $\gamma^* \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  for the action of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ : this is evident for  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma_I}$ , while it is assured by Proposition 5.4 for  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . Since these isomorphisms are compatible with each other, the extended vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  on

$$\mathcal{D} \sqcup \bigsqcup_I \mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma_I} \sqcup \bigsqcup_J \overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$$

descends to a vector bundle on  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^\Sigma$ . We denote it again by  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$ . This is the same as extending  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$  over the boundary of  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^\Sigma$  by using the local charts (5.12).

**Proposition 5.12.** *For  $\Gamma$  neat, we have  $M_{\lambda,k}(\Gamma) = H^0(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^\Sigma, \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k})$ .*

*Proof.* We have the natural inclusion

$$H^0(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^\Sigma, \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}) \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma), \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}) = M_{\lambda,k}(\Gamma).$$

It is sufficient to see that this is surjective. Let  $f \in M_{\lambda,k}(\Gamma)$ . As a section of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\mathcal{X}(I)$ ,  $f$  extends holomorphically over  $\mathcal{X}(I)^{\Sigma_I}$  by Lemma 3.11. By the gluing,  $f$  extends holomorphically over  $\overline{\mathcal{X}(J)}$ . Therefore, as a section of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,k}$  over  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ ,  $f$  extends holomorphically over  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^\Sigma$ . ■

Let us remark an immediate consequence of this interpretation. We go back to a general finite-index subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $O^+(L)$ . For a fixed  $\lambda$ , the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_{\lambda,k}(\Gamma)$  is a module over the ring  $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k(\Gamma)$  of scalar-valued modular forms.

**Proposition 5.13.** *For each  $\lambda$ , the module  $\bigoplus_k M_{\lambda,k}(\Gamma)$  is finitely generated over the ring  $\bigoplus_k M_k(\Gamma)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\Gamma$  is neat by replacing the given  $\Gamma$  by its neat subgroup of finite index. We take a smooth toroidal compactification  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^\Sigma$  as above and let  $\pi: \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{bb}$  be the projection to the Baily–Borel compactification. Then  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} = \pi^* \mathcal{O}(1)$  for an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{bb}$  by [36, Proposition 3.4 (b)]. (In fact,  $\mathcal{L}$  itself descends, but we do not need that.) It suffices to show that for each  $0 \leq k_0 < n$ , the module  $\bigoplus_k M_{\lambda, k_0+nk}(\Gamma)$  is finitely generated over  $\bigoplus_k M_{nk}(\Gamma)$ . By Proposition 5.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_{\lambda, k_0+nk}(\Gamma) &= \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^\Sigma, \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, k_0} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(k)) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{bb}, \pi_* \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, k_0} \otimes \mathcal{O}(k)), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from the projection formula for  $\pi$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{bb}$  is projective, the last module is finitely generated over the ring

$$\bigoplus_k H^0(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)^{bb}, \mathcal{O}(k)) = \bigoplus_k M_{nk}(\Gamma)$$

by a general theorem of Serre (see, e.g., [37, p. 128]). ■