

Moduli of Galois representations and generalized class groups

James Newton

Abstract. This lecture describes some recent progress on establishing the conjectural relationships between Galois representations and automorphic forms, together with the number-theoretic motivation for these results. This includes equidistribution theorems like the Sato–Tate conjecture concerning the number of points on reductions of elliptic curves modulo primes. The connection to these equidistribution statements goes back to Dirichlet’s work on primes in arithmetic progressions, in which the class number formula for real quadratic fields plays an important role (at least from one point of view). We discuss how results on ‘generalized class groups’ defined using Galois cohomology have been crucial to more recent developments.

1. Dirichlet’s theorem

1.1. Primes in arithmetic progressions

A seminal result in number theory is Dirichlet’s theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions.

Theorem 1.1 ([9]). *There are infinitely many primes of the form $a + kN$, with a and N fixed coprime integers and k varying over $\mathbf{Z}$.*

This theorem (and its refinement, the Chebotarev density theorem) tells us about the distribution of the images of the primes in the multiplicative group $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times$. Dirichlet’s proof relied on using the character theory of the group $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times$.

Indeed, suppose we have a homomorphism $\chi : (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ (as is standard, we extend by zero to view χ as a function on $\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}$ when convenient). Orthogonality of characters tells us that we can express the indicator function of the subset $\{a\} \subset (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times$ as a linear combination of characters:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{a\}} = \frac{1}{\phi(N)} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(a) \chi.$$

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 11F55.

Keywords: Galois representations, automorphic forms, Langlands program.

Informally, to show that the primes distribute evenly over $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times$, it suffices to show that the expectation $\mathbf{E}(\chi(p)) = 0$ for every non-trivial character χ , which gives $\mathbf{P}(p \equiv a \bmod N) = \frac{1}{\phi(N)}$.

More precisely, if one shows that

$$\frac{\sum_{p \leq x} \chi(p)}{\#\{p \leq x\}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

for every non-trivial χ , then one deduces the equidistribution statement

$$\frac{\#\{p \leq x : p \equiv a \bmod N\}}{\#\{p \leq x\}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\phi(N)} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

In fact, Dirichlet proved something a little weaker than this, showing that the infinite sum over primes

$$\sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}$$

(which converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$) remains bounded as s approaches 1 from above. In contrast, the sum

$$\sum_p \frac{1}{p^s}$$

diverges as s approaches 1.

The behaviour of these sums is closely related to, respectively, the behaviour of the Dirichlet L -function $L(\chi, s)$ and the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$. Recall that

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1}$$

(again, these expressions converge absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$).

A formal manipulation shows that $\sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}$ contributes to the principal branch of the logarithm of $L(\chi, s)$:

$$\log L(\chi, s) = \sum_p \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\chi(p)^m}{mp^{ms}},$$

and the key input to Dirichlet's theorem is to show that $\log L(\chi, s)$ remains bounded as s approaches 1. This comes down to showing that $L(\chi, 1) \neq 0$ for our non-trivial character χ . Next, we will relate the non-vanishing of this L -value to one of the fundamental objects in algebraic number theory, the ideal class group of a number field.

1.2. Class groups and Dirichlet L -functions

We let $\zeta_N = e^{2i\pi/N}$. We may identify the multiplicative group $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times$ with the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbf{Q})$ using the cyclotomic character

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_N : \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbf{Q}) &\rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times, \\ \sigma &\mapsto i, \quad \text{where } \sigma(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^i.\end{aligned}$$

From this point of view, the characters $\chi : (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ are *Artin representations*, i.e., complex representations of a Galois group. A special case of the Artin formalism for L -functions of Artin representations gives the product formula¹

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)}(s) = \prod_{\chi} L(\chi, s),$$

where the product is over all characters χ of $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times$ and

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)}(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_N]} \frac{1}{\text{Nm}(\mathfrak{n})^s}$$

is the Dedekind zeta function of the number field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)$.

The analytic class number formula of Dedekind gives a precise formula for the residue $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1+} (s-1)\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)}(s)$ in terms of the size of the class group of $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_N]$ (and other arithmetic data). In particular, this residue is non-zero, so $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)}(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$.² We can deduce immediately from this that the $L(\chi, s)$ are non-vanishing at $s = 1$ for non-trivial χ , since the trivial character already contributes a simple pole.

2. Distribution of Frobenius elements

We can re-interpret Dirichlet's theorem, or more precisely, the equidistribution result (1.1), as a statement about the distribution of certain elements in the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbf{Q})$.

¹Strictly speaking, the product formula is only correct up to some simple factors indexed by primes dividing N . This can be fixed by replacing each χ with its associated *primitive* character: a character of $(\mathbf{Z}/M\mathbf{Z})^\times$ for the smallest divisor M of N such that χ factors through the quotient $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/M\mathbf{Z})^\times$.

²To make sense of this, we can rely on the fact that our L - and ζ -functions extend to meromorphic functions for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, although the argument can be rephrased to avoid this.

2.1. Frobenius elements

Let L/F be a Galois extension of number fields and suppose $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ which is unramified in $\mathcal{O}_L$ (i.e., $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L$ factors as a product of distinct prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}_L$). Then for each prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L$, there is a unique element $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Gal}(L/F)$ satisfying

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv x^{\text{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})} \pmod{\mathfrak{q}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_L.$$

The conjugacy class of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}}$ depends only on $\mathfrak{p}$, so we often denote one of these elements by $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

In our cyclotomic example $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbf{Q})$, the unramified primes p are those coprime to N , and the Frobenius element σ_p corresponds under the cyclotomic character to the class of p in $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times$. So the equidistribution statement (1.1) says that the σ_p distribute uniformly over the $\phi(N)$ elements of $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbf{Q})$ as p varies.

2.2. Sato–Tate conjecture

We now move on to a more complicated equidistribution result, which is similar in spirit. Suppose we have an elliptic curve E defined over $\mathbf{Q}$, given by the projective planar curve with (affine) equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B \tag{2.1}$$

for some coefficients $A, B \in \mathbf{Z}$ satisfying $4A^3 + 27B^2 \neq 0$ (so the curve is nonsingular). Consider odd primes p with $p \nmid 4A^3 + 27B^2$ so that the reduction of equation (2.1) defines an elliptic curve over $\mathbf{F}_p$.³

The Sato–Tate conjecture describes the distribution of the integers

$$a_p(E) = p - \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{F}_p^2 : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B\} = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$$

or, more precisely, the real numbers

$$\frac{a_p(E)}{2\sqrt{p}}$$

which lie in the interval $[-1, 1]$ by a theorem of Hasse.

See [22] for examples of histograms generated from the values $\frac{a_p(E)}{2\sqrt{p}}$ for many primes p .

We state the following conjecture for the generic case of elliptic curves E with no complex multiplication.

³From this point on, we will ignore the finitely many primes p dividing the discriminant $4A^3 + 27B^2$. Omitting these primes does not affect the subsequent analysis.

Conjecture 2.1. *For any subinterval $[a, b] \subset [-1, 1]$, the limit*

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \leq X : \frac{a_p(E)}{2\sqrt{p}} \in [a, b]\}}{\#\{p \leq X\}}$$

exists and is given by the integral

$$\int_a^b \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1-t^2} dt.$$

This says that a histogram plotting the values of $\frac{a_p(E)}{2\sqrt{p}}$ for primes p up to X will approach a (rescaled) semicircle as X gets large.

This conjecture was proved in a series of works published between 2008 and 2011, using *automorphic forms* [3, 7, 11, 23]. The strategy is modelled on Dirichlet's, as we will now describe.

2.3. Sato–Tate and Frobenius elements

As in the case of Dirichlet's theorem, we can rephrase the Sato–Tate conjecture as a question about the distribution of Frobenius elements.

For each p , the integer $a_p(E)$ can be interpreted as the trace of a 2×2 matrix with determinant p . One way to construct this matrix is by l -adic approximation for a prime $l \neq p$. Firstly, we consider the reduction mod l . The l -torsion points $E[l]$ of E form a two-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_l$ -vector space with an action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$. One can show that the trace of the Frobenius element σ_p under this representation is equal to $a_p(E) \bmod l$ [21, Thm. V.2.3.1]. Extending this to the l^n -torsion points $E[l^n]$ for each $n \geq 1$ and taking the limit identifies $a_p(E)$ with the trace of σ_p under a Galois representation

$$\rho_{E,l} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l).$$

The Hasse bound implies that

$$x^2 - \frac{a_p(E)}{\sqrt{p}}x + 1$$

is the characteristic polynomial of a matrix $u_p(E) \in \text{SU}(2)$, which is well defined up to conjugation.

A reformulation of Conjecture 2.1 is that the conjugacy classes of the $u_p(E)$ are equidistributed with respect to the Haar (i.e., translation-invariant) measure on the Lie group $\text{SU}(2)$.

3. Symmetric power L -functions and functoriality

The proof of Dirichlet's theorem on primes relied on a non-vanishing result for certain (Dirichlet) L -functions. The same is true for the proof of the Sato–Tate conjecture. To prove the equidistribution of the conjugacy classes of the $u_p(E)$ in $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, we must show that

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p \leq X} f(u_p(E))}{\#\{p \leq X\}} = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} f(u) du,$$

with du the Haar probability measure on $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, for any continuous class function f on $\mathrm{SU}(2)$.

The Peter–Weyl theorem implies that it suffices to check this equality for f running over the characters of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. These irreducible representations are given by $V_n = \mathrm{Sym}^n \mathbf{C}^2$ for $n \geq 0$, where the action of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ on $\mathbf{C}^2$ is the standard action by matrix multiplication. Orthogonality of characters tells us that integrating the character χ_n of V_n over $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ gives 0 if $n \geq 1$ and 1 if $n = 0$.

We are left needing to prove that

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p \leq X} \chi_n(u_p(E))}{\#\{p \leq X\}} = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

for $n \geq 1$.

As in Section 1.1, the sum $\sum_p \frac{\chi_n(u_p(E))}{p^s}$ contributes the main term to the log of an L -function, the n th symmetric power L -function, defined by

$$L(E, \chi_n, s) := \prod_p \det(1 - u_p(E) p^{-s} \mid V_n).$$

To show (3.1), it suffices to prove that, for each $n \geq 1$, $L(E, \chi_n, s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on an open neighbourhood of the region $\mathrm{Re}(s) \geq 1$ and is non-zero on this half-plane. See, for example, [20, Appendix to Ch. I] for a proof using the Wiener–Ikehara theorem.

Example 3.1. The first symmetric power L -function we need to understand is for $n = 1$. In this case, $L(E, \chi_1, s)$ is essentially the Hasse–Weil L -function of the elliptic curve E . Its non-vanishing for $\mathrm{Re}(s) \geq 1$ implies that (informally) the expected value $E\left(\frac{a_p(E)}{2\sqrt{p}}\right)$ is zero.

The proof of this non-vanishing combines the modularity of elliptic curves with a non-vanishing result for Hecke L -functions of modular forms.

Theorem 3.2 ([4, 24, 28]). *There is a modular form f (a cuspidal Hecke eigenform of weight 2) with*

$$L(E, \chi_1, s) = L\left(f, s + \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

The Hecke L -function $L(f, s)$ can be identified (up to some explicit factors) with the Mellin transform $\int_0^\infty f(iy)y^{s-1}dy$.

Theorem 3.3 ([18, 19]). *Suppose f is a cuspidal Hecke eigenform of weight 2. Then $L(f, s) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 3/2$.*

3.1. Symmetric power functoriality

In order to prove the Sato–Tate conjecture, the natural way to proceed is to generalize Example 3.1 to handle the higher ($n > 1$) symmetric power L -functions. The generalization of the modularity theorem follows from special cases of Langlands’s functoriality conjectures for automorphic forms. They are formulated in terms of the modular form f and predict the existence of a related automorphic form $\operatorname{Sym}^n f$ (a special function on $\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{R})$). We were able to establish this existence in joint work with Thorne.

Theorem 3.4 ([14, 15, 17]). *For each $n \geq 1$, there exists an automorphic form $\operatorname{Sym}^n f$ with L -function $L(E, \chi_n, s)$.*

Importantly, whilst the L -function $L(E, \chi_n, s)$ is very difficult to analyse directly, the automorphic L -function $L(\operatorname{Sym}^n f, s)$ has an integral representation, and the appropriate generalization of Theorem 3.3 was proved by Jacquet and Shalika [12].

With these ingredients, we can deduce the Sato–Tate conjecture. The original proof of the Sato–Tate conjecture instead proved something weaker about the L -functions $L(E, \chi_n, s)$: they can be represented as an alternating product of automorphic L -functions. The more precise statement of Theorem 3.4 can be used to prove an effective enhancement of the Sato–Tate conjecture [26].

4. Hints at proofs of symmetric power functoriality

We have given two different proofs of symmetric power functoriality for modular forms f . The second [17] applies more generally to Hilbert modular forms. The two proofs follow different strategies, but both work with the Galois representations

$$\operatorname{Sym}^n \rho_{E,l} : \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}_l)$$

given by composing the n th symmetric power of the standard representation of GL_2 with $\rho_{E,l}$. From this point of view, we want to show that these Galois representations are *automorphic*, which amounts to constructing the associated automorphic form $\operatorname{Sym}^n f$.

Both strategies also build on the *modularity lifting theorems* introduced by Wiles in the proof of Fermat's last theorem, which propagate automorphy via congruences between Galois representations. However, the basic structure of the two strategies differs:

- In our first proof [14, 15], a key ingredient is a result due to Buzzard and Kilford on 2-adic modular forms [5]. It is closely related to the observation of Tate that if a Galois representation

$$\bar{\rho} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_2)$$

is unramified at every odd prime, then its semisimplification is trivial. The proof of our main theorem is an induction on the level of the modular form (equivalently, on the conductor of the elliptic curve or associated Galois representation).

- Our later work [17] follows a strategy due to Clozel and Thorne [8] which relies on reducibility of the representation $\text{Sym}^n(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_l^2)$ of GL_2 in characteristic $l \leq n$. That enables an induction on n , since the irreducible constituents of $\text{Sym}^n(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_l^2)$ involve smaller symmetric powers.

On the other hand, a key ingredient in both proofs is to show that we do not have too many Galois representations.

Example 4.1. Let $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a number field. There are finitely many Galois extensions L/F (in $\mathbf{C}$) such that

- $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ is Abelian,
- all primes of $\mathcal{O}_F$ are unramified in L .

In fact, the maximal such L is the Hilbert class field, whose Galois group over F is isomorphic to the ideal class group of $\mathcal{O}_F$.

Conjecture 4.2 ([10]). *There are finitely many isomorphism classes of representations $\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_l)$ with bounded ramification.*⁴

We prove a weak form of this finiteness conjecture in [16], which is a crucial input to the methods of [14, 17]. This weaker statement is best interpreted in terms of a moduli space of Galois representations, so we will end the lecture by giving this interpretation.

⁴Describing the ramification condition at l involves p -adic Hodge theory.

5. Geometry of group representations

To give an idea of how to think about these moduli spaces of Galois representations, we introduce the representation and character variety of a finitely presented group

$$G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle / \langle r_1, \dots, r_k \rangle.$$

To specify a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$, we need to give a d -tuple of matrices $A_1, \dots, A_d \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ satisfying the relations $r_1, \dots, r_k$. These can be identified with the $\mathbf{C}$ -points of an algebraic variety $R_{G,n}$, a closed subset of $(\mathrm{GL}_n)^d$. This is called the *representation variety*, whilst the quotient $A_{G,n} = R_{G,n}/\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ by the adjoint action is the *character variety*, whose points parameterize isomorphism classes of semisimple representations of G .

If we fix an irreducible representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$, the tangent space $T_\rho A_{G,n}$ can be identified with a cohomology group $H^1(G, M_n(\mathbf{C}))$, where G acts on $M_n(\mathbf{C})$ by conjugation via ρ .

Constructing an analogue $A_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}),n}^l$ of $A_{G,n}$ parameterizing l -adic Galois representations with bounded ramification is more involved, but this has been done by Wake and Wang-Erickson [27] building on the work of Mazur [13] and Chenevier [6].

The tangent space at an irreducible representation $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_l)$ is a subspace of $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}), M_n(\mathbf{Q}_l))$, where the group action on the coefficient space is again conjugation via ρ . The subspace corresponds to the ramification conditions. If Conjecture 4.2 holds, the Galois moduli space is just a finite set of points, and so the tangent spaces would vanish.⁵ Our weak finiteness result is the vanishing of these tangent spaces.

Theorem 5.1 ([1, 2, 16, 25]). *Under some technical assumptions, the tangent spaces to $A_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}),n}^l$ at points corresponding to automorphic Galois representations vanish.*

We view this vanishing result as finiteness of (the l -primary part of) a generalized class group. In fact, for $n = 1$, it follows from finiteness of the usual class group.

Acknowledgements. Many thanks to the organizers and participants at the 2024 ECM in Sevilla for a very stimulating conference.

Funding. This work was supported by a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship, grant MR/V021931/1.

⁵More precisely, finiteness implies that the underlying reduced subspace of the Galois moduli space is a finite set of points, so we are implicitly enhancing the finiteness conjecture to include reducedness of the moduli space.

References

- [1] L. A'Campo, [Rigidity of automorphic Galois representations over CM fields](#). *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2024** (2024), no. 6, 4541–4623 Zbl 1562.11085 MR 4721650
- [2] P. B. Allen, [Deformations of polarized automorphic Galois representations and adjoint Selmer groups](#). *Duke Math. J.* **165** (2016), no. 13, 2407–2460 Zbl 1417.11093 MR 3546966
- [3] T. Barnet-Lamb, D. Geraghty, M. Harris, and R. Taylor, [A family of Calabi–Yau varieties and potential automorphy. II](#). *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **47** (2011), no. 1, 29–98 Zbl 1264.11044 MR 2827723
- [4] C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond, and R. Taylor, [On the modularity of elliptic curves over \$\mathbb{Q}\$: wild 3-adic exercises](#). *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (2001), no. 4, 843–939 Zbl 0982.11033 MR 1839918
- [5] K. Buzzard and L. J. P. Kilford, [The 2-adic eigencurve at the boundary of weight space](#). *Compos. Math.* **141** (2005), no. 3, 605–619 Zbl 1187.11020 MR 2135280
- [6] G. Chenevier, [The \$p\$ -adic analytic space of pseudocharacters of a profinite group and pseudorepresentations over arbitrary rings](#). In F. Diamond, P. L. Kassaei, and M. Kim (eds.), *Automorphic forms and Galois representations, Volume 1*, pp. 221–285, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 414, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014 Zbl 1350.11063 MR 3444227
- [7] L. Clozel, M. Harris, and R. Taylor, [Automorphy for some \$l\$ -adic lifts of automorphic mod \$l\$ Galois representations](#). *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **108** (2008), 1–181 Zbl 1169.11020 MR 2470687
- [8] L. Clozel and J. A. Thorne, [Level-raising and symmetric power functoriality. I](#). *Compos. Math.* **150** (2014), no. 5, 729–748 Zbl 1304.11040 MR 3209793
- [9] J. P. G. L. Dirichlet, Beweis des Satzes, dass jede unbegrenzte arithmetische Progression, deren erstes Glied und Differenz ganze Zahlen ohne gemeinschaftlichen Factor sind, unendlich viele Primzahlen enthält. In *Abhandlungen der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften von 1837*, pp. 45–81, Königlich Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1839
- [10] J.-M. Fontaine and B. Mazur, Geometric Galois representations. In J. Coates and S.-T. Yau (eds.), *Elliptic curves, modular forms & Fermat's last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993)*, pp. 41–78, Ser. Number Theory I, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995 Zbl 0839.14011 MR 1363495
- [11] M. Harris, N. Shepherd-Barron, and R. Taylor, [A family of Calabi–Yau varieties and potential automorphy](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **171** (2010), no. 2, 779–813 Zbl 1263.11061 MR 2630056
- [12] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika, [A non-vanishing theorem for zeta functions of \$GL_n\$](#) . *Invent. Math.* **38** (1976), no. 1, 1–16 Zbl 0349.12006 MR 0432596
- [13] B. Mazur, [Deforming Galois representations](#). In Y. Ihara, K. Ribet, and J.-P. Serre (eds.), *Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}$* (Berkeley, CA, 1987), pp. 385–437, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 16, Springer, New York, 1989 Zbl 0714.11076 MR 1012172

- [14] J. Newton and J. A. Thorne, [Symmetric power functoriality for holomorphic modular forms](#). *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **134** (2021), 1–116 Zbl 1503.11085 MR 4349240
- [15] J. Newton and J. A. Thorne, [Symmetric power functoriality for holomorphic modular forms. II](#). *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **134** (2021), 117–152 Zbl 1503.11086 MR 4349241
- [16] J. Newton and J. A. Thorne, [Adjoint Selmer groups of automorphic Galois representations of unitary type](#). *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **25** (2023), no. 5, 1919–1967 Zbl 1536.11082 MR 4592862
- [17] J. Newton and J. A. Thorne, [Symmetric power functoriality for Hilbert modular forms](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **203** (2026), no. 1, 283–347 MR 5008554
- [18] A. P. Ogg, [On a convolution of \$L\$ -series](#). *Invent. Math.* **7** (1969), no. 4, 297–312 Zbl 0205.50902 MR 0246819
- [19] R. A. Rankin, [Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan’s function \$\tau\(n\)\$ and similar arithmetical functions. I. The zeros of the function \$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau\(n\)}{n^s}\$ on the line \$\Re s = \frac{13}{2}\$](#) . *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **35** (1939), no. 3, 351–356 Zbl 0021.39201 MR 0000411
- [20] J.-P. Serre, [Abelian \$l\$ -adic representations and elliptic curves](#). Res. Notes Math. 7, A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1998, 180 pp. Zbl 0902.14016 MR 1484415
- [21] J. H. Silverman, [The arithmetic of elliptic curves](#). 2nd edn., Grad. Texts in Math. 106, Springer, New York, NY, 2009, 513 pp. Zbl 1194.11005 MR 2514094
- [22] A. V. Sutherland, [Sato–Tate distributions in genus 1](#). <https://math.mit.edu/~drew/g1SatoTateDistributions.html> visited on 17 March 2026
- [23] R. Taylor, [Automorphy for some \$l\$ -adic lifts of automorphic mod \$l\$ Galois representations. II](#). *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **108** (2008), 183–239 Zbl 1169.11021 MR 2470688
- [24] R. Taylor and A. Wiles, [Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **141** (1995), no. 3, 553–572 Zbl 0823.11030 MR 1333036
- [25] J. A. Thorne, [On the vanishing of adjoint Bloch–Kato Selmer groups of irreducible automorphic Galois representations](#). *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **18** (2022), no. 5, 2159–2202 Zbl 1511.11052 MR 4538044
- [26] J. Thorner, [Effective forms of the Sato–Tate conjecture](#). *Res. Math. Sci.* **8** (2021), no. 1, article no. 4, 21 pp. Zbl 1465.11140 MR 4198758
- [27] P. Wake and C. Wang-Erickson, [Deformation conditions for pseudorepresentations](#). *Forum Math. Sigma* **7** (2019), article no. e20, 44 pp. Zbl 1470.11143 MR 3987305
- [28] A. Wiles, [Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **141** (1995), no. 3, 443–551 Zbl 0823.11029 MR 1333035

James Newton

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK;
james.newton@maths.ox.ac.uk