



An introduction to representations of p -adic groups

Jessica Fintzen

Abstract. An explicit understanding of the (category of all smooth, complex) representations of p -adic groups provides an important tool not just within representation theory. It also has applications to number theory and other areas, and, in particular, it enables progress on various different forms of the Langlands program. In this write-up of the author's ECM 2024 colloquium-style talk, we will introduce p -adic groups and explain how the category of representations of p -adic groups decomposes into subcategories, called Bernstein blocks. We also provide an overview of what we know about the structure of these Bernstein blocks including a sketch of recent results of the author with Adler, Mishra and Ohara that allow us to reduce a lot of problems about the (category of) representations of p -adic groups to problems about representations of finite groups of Lie type, where answers are often already known or are at least easier to achieve. Moreover, we provide an overview of what is known about the construction of supercuspidal representations, which are the building blocks of all smooth representations and whose construction is also the key to obtaining the above results about the structure of the whole category of smooth representations. We will, in particular, focus on recent advances which include the work of the author mentioned in the EMS prize citation as well as a hint toward her recent joint work with David Schwein.

This article is a written version of the talk that the author gave during the 9th ECM. For more extended and precise survey articles, see [14] (for a general math audience), [15] (for a more specialized audience, including graduate students working in number theory and representation theory) and [16].

1. p -adic numbers and p -adic groups

In order to talk about the representation theory of p -adic groups, we first explain what p -adic groups are, for which we should first explain what “ p -adic” even means.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 22E50 (primary); 11F27, 22E35, 20C08, 20C20 (secondary).

Keywords: smooth representations of p -adic groups, supercuspidal representations, Bernstein blocks, Hecke algebras.

Throughout this article, p will denote a prime number. Once we have chosen our favorite prime number p , we can define the p -adic absolute value of the integers $\mathbb{Z}$ that measures how often an integer is divisible by p .

Definition 1.1. Let r be a non-zero integer coprime to p , and let s be a non-negative integer. The p -adic absolute value $|p^s \cdot r|_p$ of the integer $p^s \cdot r$ is defined to be

$$|p^s \cdot r|_p = \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^s.$$

We observe that the more often an integer is divisible by p , the smaller is its p -adic absolute value.

Definition 1.2. The p -adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_p$ are defined to be the completion of the integers $\mathbb{Z}$ by the p -adic absolute value.

This means that a p -adic integer is of the form

$$a_0 + a_1 \cdot p + a_2 \cdot p^2 + a_3 \cdot p^3 + \cdots \quad \text{for some integers } a_i \ (0 \leq a_i < p),$$

because $|p^n|_p = \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^n$ goes to zero as n goes to infinity.

Definition 1.3. The p -adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ are the fraction field of the p -adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or, equivalently, the completion of the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to p -adic absolute value (that can be extended to the rational numbers).

Similarly to the p -adic integers, a p -adic number can be represented as

$$a_{-n} \cdot p^{-n} + \cdots + a_0 + a_1 \cdot p + a_2 \cdot p^2 + \cdots \quad \text{with } a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}.$$

While the p -adic numbers are, like the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, a completion of the rational numbers, the topological space of the p -adic numbers arising from the p -adic absolute value is very different from the topology on the real numbers. The p -adic numbers turn out to be totally disconnected. To illustrate the topology on the 3-adic integers as an example, note that the integers 0, 1 and 2 all have 3-adic distance 1 from each other. The integers 0, 3, and 6 all have 3-adic distance $\frac{1}{3}$ from each other, and similarly the integers 1, $1 + 3 = 4$, $1 + 2 \cdot 3 = 7$ all have 3-adic distance $\frac{1}{3}$ from each other, while any of the integers 0, 3 or 6 (pictured in the lower left triangle of the middle figure in Figure 1) has 3-adic distance 1 from any of the integers 1, $1 + 3 = 4$, $1 + 2 \cdot 3 = 7$ (pictured in the lower right triangle of the middle figure in Figure 1). Hence it is impossible to embed the integers with their 3-adic distance in the Euclidean plane, but Figure 1 tries to give a visualization of the 3-adic distances of the integers of the form $a_0 + a_1 \cdot 3 + a_2 \cdot 3^2$ with $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \{0, 1\}$




Figure 1. A visualization of the 3-adic integers based on [9].

following [9]. We hope that these figures allow the reader to imagine the fractal-like structure of the 3-adic integers by adding more and more 3-adic “digits” in the presentation $a_0 + a_1 \cdot 3 + a_2 \cdot 3^2 + a_3 3^3 + \cdots$. In particular, the p -adic integers are a compact group whose underlying topological space is totally disconnected.

As mentioned above, the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ and the p -adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ arise both as completions of the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$, but for a different absolute value. Since the real numbers are connected, more precisely, they are a line, while the p -adic numbers are totally disconnected, we have seen that the resulting topological spaces are very different. Another key difference between these fields consists of the following observation: The real numbers contain only one compact subgroup under addition, which is the trivial subgroup consisting only of the element 0. The p -adic numbers, on the other hand, contain infinitely many compact (and open) subgroups under addition, e.g., the p -adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_p$ form a compact, open subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and similarly $p \cdot \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a compact, open subgroup, and more generally $p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$ for any integer n is a compact, open subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. This means in particular that the element 0 in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ has a basis of open neighborhoods consisting of compact, open subgroups. This property translates into similar properties for p -adic groups that shape the flavor of the study of their representation theory.

Before introducing p -adic groups, we would like to mention that the p -adic numbers have a sister field that behaves very similarly to the p -adic numbers: the Laurent series $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ with p elements, elements of which can be written as

$$a_{-n} \cdot t^{-n} + \cdots + a_0 + a_1 \cdot t + a_2 \cdot t^2 + \cdots \quad \text{with } a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p,$$

where t is an abstract variable. There is an obvious similarity between $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ based on the way we presented their elements, and the reader is welcome to choose whichever field they feel more comfortable with when reading this article. The key difference between the two fields is that the field of p -adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ has characteristic zero, i.e., it contains the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subfield, while the field of Laurent series $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ has characteristic p , i.e., it contains the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ with p elements as a subfield in which $p = 0$. From now on, we write F for either of the two fields $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$. While we focus on these two fields in this article, everything covered here also works for finite extensions of these two fields, which are called *non-archimedean local fields*.

In order to introduce p -adic groups, we recall that examples of real Lie groups include:

- the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., $n \times n$ -matrices whose determinant is non-zero with the group action being multiplication,
- the special linear group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, which is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of those matrices whose determinant is 1,

- the special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{R})$, which is the subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of those matrices A satisfying $AA^T = \mathrm{Id}$, where Id denotes the identity matrix and A^T the transpose of A ; in other words, these are the matrices that preserve the standard inner product,
- the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$, which is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ that preserves a symplectic form.

Replacing the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ in the above examples by the field F , we obtain examples of what we call *p -adic groups*: $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, i.e., $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p((t)))$, $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$, $\mathrm{SO}_n(F)$, $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$. This means p -adic groups are nice subgroups of matrix groups with entries in either the field of p -adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or its sister field $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$. Similar to real Lie groups, there are also p -adic groups of type G_2 , F_4 , E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , and these groups are in general classified using combinatorial data (root data) and additional structure related to the absolute Galois group of F . Note that we use the terminology “ p -adic groups” for these nice subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ even though F might be either the p -adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or the Laurent series $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$. For the experts, the results we discuss below hold for groups $\underline{G}(F)$, where $\underline{G}$ is a connected reductive group over F that splits over a tamely ramified field extension of F , but the reader new to this topic is encouraged to just think of the explicit examples mentioned above. For a more detailed introduction to p -adic groups including precise definitions, we refer the interested reader to the survey article [14, Section 2].

From now on, we denote by G a p -adic group.

2. Representations of p -adic groups

Definition 2.1. A *smooth representation* of G is a group homomorphism

$$\pi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$$

for some complex vector space V such that for every $v \in V$, there exists an open subgroup $K_v \subset G$ with $\pi(k)(v) = v \forall k \in K_v$.

The vector space V underlying a smooth representation of G is usually infinite dimensional. If $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then we can take as the K_v appearing in the above definition subgroups of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1+p^m\mathbb{Z}_p & p^m\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^m\mathbb{Z}_p & 1+p^m\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}_{\det=1}$ for some positive integers m .

Smooth representations of p -adic groups have been studied for more than 50 years, with a long-term goal of understanding the whole category of all smooth representations of p -adic groups as explicitly as possible.

Long-term goal. Understand the category of all smooth representations of G .

Before explaining the structure of the category of representations of p -adic groups, we would like to provide the reader with some examples and a procedure to construct many representations that is used to approach the above long-term goal.

2.1. Example of a representation

Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with subgroup $B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q}_p & \mathbb{Q}_p \\ 0 & \mathbb{Q}_p \end{pmatrix}_{\det=1}$.

We set

$$\begin{aligned} V &:= \{f : B \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ locally constant}\} \\ &\simeq \{f : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ locally constant}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is an infinite-dimensional complex vector space, where $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ denotes the projective line over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The map $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ defining our smooth representation is given as follows: For $g \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(g) : V &\longrightarrow V, \\ \pi(g) : x \mapsto f(x) &\longmapsto x \mapsto f(xg). \end{aligned}$$

The requirement that the functions in V are locally constant implies that this is a smooth representation.

2.2. Parabolic induction

We would like to generalize the example of the previous subsection. To do so, we introduce the following class of subgroups of G which will replace the subgroup B above by.

Definition 2.2. A *parabolic subgroup* of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ (or $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$, $\mathrm{SO}_n(F)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$) is a subgroup of the form

$$g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} \star & \star & \star & \star & \star \\ \star & \star & \star & \star & \star \end{smallmatrix}} & & \\ & \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} \star & \star & \star \end{smallmatrix}} & \\ & & \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} \star & \star & \star \\ \star & \star & \star \\ \star & \star & \star \end{smallmatrix}} \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix} \cdot g^{-1}$$

for some choice of number and sizes of blocks (e.g., in the centered expression in this definition, we chose three blocks of sizes 2×2 , 1×1 , 3×3 for $n = 6$ to illustrate the shape of the upper-block-triangular matrices that we consider) and some choice of $g \in G$.

For a more abstract general definition, we refer the interested reader to [14, Section 2].

Any parabolic subgroup admits a decomposition as a semi-direct product of another p -adic group, called a *Levi subgroup* and denoted by M below, corresponding to (the g -conjugate of) block-diagonal matrices, and a normal subgroup, called the *unipotent radical* of the parabolic subgroup and denoted by U below, corresponding to (the g -conjugate of) the strictly-upper-block-triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal:

$$\underbrace{g \begin{pmatrix} \star & \star & \star & \star & \star & \star \\ \star & \star & \star & \star & \star & \star \\ & & \star & \star & \star & \star \\ & & & \star & \star & \star \\ 0 & & & \star & \star & \star \\ & & & \star & \star & \star \end{pmatrix} g^{-1}}_P = \underbrace{g \begin{pmatrix} \star & \star & & & & \\ \star & \star & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & \star & & & \\ & & & \star & \star & \star \\ 0 & & & \star & \star & \star \end{pmatrix} g^{-1}}_M \cdot \underbrace{g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \star & \star & \star & \star \\ 0 & 1 & \star & \star & \star & \star \\ & & 1 & \star & \star & \star \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g^{-1}}_U.$$

If P is a proper parabolic subgroup, i.e., $P \subsetneq G$, then the Levi subgroup M is a smaller p -adic group. We now introduce a construction to build smooth representations of G from smooth representations of M .

Definition 2.3 (Parabolic induction). Let $P = M \ltimes U$ be a parabolic subgroup of G . Let $\sigma : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\sigma})$ be a smooth representation of M . The *parabolic induction* $\text{Ind}_P^G V_{\sigma}$ is the representation of G with vector space

$$\text{Ind}_P^G V_{\sigma} := \{f : G \rightarrow V_{\sigma} \mid f(mug) = \sigma(m)(f(g)) \forall m \in M, u \in U, g \in G; \\ f \text{ locally constant}\},$$

and for all $g \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_P^G \sigma(g) : \text{Ind}_P^G V_{\sigma} &\longrightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G V_{\sigma}, \\ \text{Ind}_P^G \sigma(g) : x \mapsto f(x) &\longmapsto x \mapsto f(xg). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we recover the example discussed in Section 2.1 if we set $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $P = B$ and $V_{\sigma} = \mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma : G \mapsto 1 \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C})$.

2.3. Supercuspidal representations: The building blocks

Definition 2.4. A smooth representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ is called *irreducible* if it has exactly two subrepresentations, i.e., if there exist exactly two subspaces $W \subseteq V$ (which are $\{0\}$ and V) that are preserved under $\pi(g)$ for all $g \in G$.

Definition 2.5. An irreducible smooth representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ is called *supercuspidal* if it is not a subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}_P^G V_\sigma$ for every proper parabolic subgroup $P = M \ltimes U \subsetneq G$ and every irreducible smooth representation $\sigma : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_\sigma)$ of M .

Fact 2.6. Let $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ be an irreducible smooth representation of G . Then there exists a parabolic subgroup $P = M \ltimes U \subseteq G$ and a supercuspidal representation (σ, V_σ) of M such that V is a subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}_P^G V_\sigma$, which we write as

$$V \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G V_\sigma.$$

Thus, the supercuspidal representations form the building blocks of all smooth representations of p -adic groups. Therefore, our long-term goal to understand all representations of p -adic groups can be divided into two steps: constructing all supercuspidal representations and understanding how the whole category of smooth representations is built up from the supercuspidal representations.

Problem 1. Construct all supercuspidal representations.

Solving this first problem of constructing all supercuspidal representations explicitly has plenty of applications within the representation theory of p -adic groups, e.g., when studying how representations of G behave when we restrict them to subgroups, or how they behave under various operations done to representations of p -adic groups. It is also necessary to describe supercuspidal representations explicitly if one wants to construct an explicit local Langlands correspondence, which means attaching to each representation of a p -adic group a number-theoretic parameter (roughly a representation of the absolute Galois group of F) that bridges representation theory and number theory. There are also applications to automorphic forms, which are a vast generalization of modular forms, see [20] for an example, and many more applications beyond the representation theory of p -adic groups itself.

The vague answer to Problem 1 is that we can do this under minor assumptions. We will make this more precise in Section 2.6. For now, we first assume that we can construct supercuspidal representations, and we try to understand how the whole category of smooth representations of G looks.

2.4. The category of smooth representations

By Bernstein [4], the category $\text{Rep}(G)$ of all smooth representations of G decomposes into a product of smaller subcategories as follows:

$$\underbrace{\text{Rep}(G)}_{\text{smooth representations}} = \prod_{(M, \sigma)/\sim} \underbrace{\text{Rep}(G)_{[M, \sigma]}}_{\text{Bernstein block}}. \quad (2.1)$$

The decomposition is indexed by pairs consisting of a Levi subgroup M of (a parabolic subgroup of) G and a supercuspidal representation σ of M , where the pairs (M, σ) are considered up to an equivalence relation that ensures that each Bernstein block appears only once in the product. More precisely, two pairs (M, σ) and (M', σ') are equivalent if there exist $g \in G$ and a one-dimensional representation $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ that is trivial on all compact subgroups of M such that

$$M = gM'g^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(m) = \sigma'(g^{-1}mg) \cdot \phi(m) \quad \text{for } m \in M.$$

Given such a pair (M, σ) , the attached *Bernstein block* $\text{Rep}(G)_{[M, \sigma]}$ consists of all those representations all of whose irreducible subquotients are contained in some $\text{Ind}_P^G \sigma'$ for some parabolic P' with Levi M' and with (M', σ') equivalent to (M, σ) . In particular, the Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(G)_{[M, \sigma]}$ contains the parabolic induction $\text{Ind}_P^G \sigma$ for any parabolic subgroup P with Levi subgroup M , and it can also be characterized as the block that contains $\text{Ind}_P^G \sigma$. Moreover, the Bernstein blocks are indecomposable, i.e., they are not themselves products of smaller non-trivial subcategories, which is why they merit being called *blocks*.

For example, if $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then up to conjugation, we have two choices for M :

$$M = G \quad \text{or} \quad M = T := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \right\}.$$

In the case $M = G$, let $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_\sigma)$ be a supercuspidal representation of G . Then the Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))_{[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p), \sigma]}$ contains as objects arbitrary finite and infinite direct sums of σ , i.e., $\sigma, \sigma \oplus \sigma, \sigma \oplus \sigma \oplus \sigma, \dots$ are objects of this Bernstein block. Since σ is irreducible, by Schur's lemma, the only morphisms between V_σ and V_σ that commute with $\sigma(g)$ for all $g \in G$ are multiplication by a scalar; in other words, $\text{Hom}_G(V_\sigma, V_\sigma) = \mathbb{C}$. From this, one can deduce the structure of the morphisms between all other objects in this Bernstein block.

The Bernstein blocks with more complicated structures arise when one considers $M = T$. As an example, we write triv for the trivial one-dimensional representation of T , i.e., $V_{\text{triv}} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{triv} : T \mapsto 1 \in \mathbb{C}^\times = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\text{triv}})$. The Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))_{[T, \text{triv}]}$ is called the *principal block* and contains by definition $\text{Ind}_B^G \text{triv}$, where $B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q}_p & \mathbb{Q}_p \\ 0 & \mathbb{Q}_p \end{pmatrix}_{\det=1}$ as above. The representation $\text{Ind}_B^G \text{triv}$ contains the trivial one-dimensional representation triv of G as a subrepresentation, and the quotient $\text{Ind}_B^G \text{triv} / \text{triv}$ is another irreducible smooth representation of G that is called the Steinberg representation and is denoted by St . Hence the trivial representation and the Steinberg representation are both also contained in the principal block, and so is their sum $\text{triv} \oplus \text{St}$. However, the sum $\text{triv} \oplus \text{St}$ is not isomorphic to the parabolic induction $\text{Ind}_B^G \text{triv}$, even though both contain the same subquotients, so the principal block exhibits a more complicated structure.

Nevertheless, it turns out that we can describe this structure rather well as follows: The principal block $\text{Rep}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))_{[T, \text{triv}]}$ is equivalent to the category of (unital right) modules over the affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$ that is defined as follows: A basis for the complex vector space underlying the affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$ is given by

$$\{T_w \mid w \in W_{\text{aff}} := \langle s_0, s_1 \mid s_i^2 = 1 \rangle\}.$$

Note that the abstractly defined group W_{aff} is the group of transformations of a plane that is generated by two reflections across two parallel hyperplanes. The relations that describe the multiplication in the affine Hecke algebra are generated by

$$T_{s_i} T_w = \begin{cases} T_{s_i w}, & \ell(s_i w) > \ell(w), \\ p T_{s_i w} + (p-1) T_w, & \ell(s_i w) < \ell(w), \end{cases}$$

where ℓ denotes the length function $W_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ that assigns to an element w in the affine Weyl group W_{aff} the length of the shortest expression $s_0 s_1 s_0 \cdots$ or $s_1 s_0 s_1 \cdots$ that equals w . Finally, the modules of the affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$ are well understood.

By the Bernstein decomposition (2.1), describing the category of smooth representations reduces to the following problem, in addition to Problem 1.

Problem 2. Describe the Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(G)_{[M, \sigma]}$ explicitly.

2.5. Bernstein blocks: Hecke algebras and reduction to depth zero

We sketch an answer to Problem 2 based on our recent preprints [2, 3] that are joint work with Adler, Mishra and Ohara and that were still works in progress during the ECM. For a more detailed description, see the survey [16].

The answer to Problem 2, assuming the prime p is not very small (analogous to the assumptions made for the construction of supercuspidal representations discussed in more detail in Section 2.6 below), which we will assume from now on, consists of two parts. The first result is of independent interest.

Vague Theorem 2.7 (Adler–Fintzen–Mishra–Ohara [2, 3]). *Given a Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(G)_{[M, \sigma]}$, we have an equivalence of Bernstein blocks*

$$\text{Rep}(G)_{[M, \sigma]} \simeq \text{Rep}(G^0)_{[M^0, \sigma_0]}$$

for some subgroup $G^0 \subseteq G$ (which becomes a Levi subgroup over a field extension), some Levi subgroup M^0 of a parabolic subgroup of G^0 and some depth zero supercuspidal representation σ_0 of M^0 .

We will say a few more words about *depth zero* supercuspidal representations below, but the main feature of these representations is that they essentially correspond to representations of finite groups of Lie type. Hence the above result allows us to

reduce a lot of questions in the representation theory of p -adic groups and the explicit and categorical local Langlands program to representations of depth zero, where answers are often either already known or much easier to tackle. Such a result has previously only been available for some special cases of G and in the two “extreme” cases of $M = T$, where T denotes a split maximal torus, which means in terms of Definition 2.2 that all the blocks have size 1×1 , by Roche [32], and $M = G$, by Ohara [30].

The second part to answer Problem 2 is that we already understood the structure of the Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(G^0)_{[M^0, \sigma_0]}$ very explicitly in many cases since the early 1990s, thanks to Morris [25], and the general case is now treated in [3]: We can describe each depth zero Bernstein block for all p -adic groups explicitly as modules over an explicit algebra $\mathcal{H}$ with explicit generators and relations. More precisely, we proved that the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product of an affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$ (generalizing the affine Hecke algebra that we have seen in the example above, allowing more general affine Weyl groups) with a twisted group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\Omega, \mu]$; see [3, Notation 3.10.8] for more precise definitions.

Vague Theorem 2.8 (Morris [25] and Adler–Fintzen–Mishra–Ohara [3]).

$$\text{Rep}(G^0)_{[M^0, \sigma_0]} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\Omega, \mu] \ltimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}} - \text{mod}.$$

Thus, combining Vague Theorems 2.7 and 2.8, we obtain the following result:

$$\text{Rep}(G)_{[M, \sigma]} \simeq \text{Rep}(G^0)_{[M^0, \sigma_0]} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\Omega, \mu] \ltimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}} - \text{mod}.$$

In fact, the first equivalence (Vague Theorem 2.7) is proven via an explicit isomorphism of Hecke algebras. Since modules over affine Hecke algebras and more general modules over the semi-direct products $\mathbb{C}[\Omega, \mu] \ltimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$ are well studied and understood, this allows us to also understand the structure of the previously mysterious Bernstein blocks.

2.6. Construction of supercuspidal representations for general p -adic groups

We return to Problem 1, the construction of (all) supercuspidal representations of p -adic groups.

For about 50 years, mathematicians have tried to construct these supercuspidal representations. In 1979, Carayol [8] gave a construction of supercuspidal representations that provides all supercuspidal representations of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ for n a prime number different from p . In 1986, Moy [27] proved that Howe’s construction [22] from the 1970s exhausts all supercuspidal representations of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ if n is an integer coprime to p . In the early 1990s, Bushnell and Kutzko extended these constructions to obtain all supercuspidal representations of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ for arbitrary n [7]. Similar methods have later been exploited by Stevens [36] to construct all supercuspidal representations of

classical groups, i.e., symplectic, orthogonal and unitary groups, under the assumption that $p \neq 2$, and by Sécherre and Stevens [33] to construct all supercuspidal representations of inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, i.e., $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ for some division algebra D . There has also been a lot of prior work of mathematicians solving special cases; for a few more details, see, e.g., [15].

The picture has been less complete for arbitrary reductive groups. The introduction of the Moy–Prasad filtration in the 1990s spurred remarkable progress. The work of Moy and Prasad built upon the innovative Bruhat–Tits theory introduced in the 1970s/1980s: In [5, 6], Bruhat and Tits defined a building $\mathcal{B}(G, F)$ associated with G on which G acts. For each point y in $\mathcal{B}(G, F)$, they constructed a compact subgroup $G_{y,0}$ of G , called a *parahoric subgroup*, which is (up to finite index) the stabilizer G_y in G of the point y . In [28, 29], Moy and Prasad defined a filtration of these parahoric subgroups by smaller, normal subgroups

$$G_{y,0} \supset G_{y,s_1} \supset G_{y,s_2} \supset G_{y,s_3} \supset \cdots,$$

where $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \cdots$ are real numbers depending on y . These subgroups play a crucial role in the study and construction of supercuspidal representations. In some sense, one can heuristically imagine the subgroups G_{y,s_i} as the stabilizer of all points in the Bruhat–Tits building that are within a distance s_i of y . We set $G_{y,r} := G_{y,s_i}$ for $s_{i-1} < r \leq s_i$. The quotient $G_{y,0}/G_{y,s_1}$ is a finite group of Lie type.

For example, if we take $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then the Bruhat–Tits building is an infinite tree with valency $p + 1$; see Figure 2 (for $p = 2$). Let y be the barycenter of a maximal facet, i.e., the center of an edge of the infinite tree, and let x be a vertex. Then (by choosing an appropriate basis) the associated Moy–Prasad filtrations at the points x and y look like the following:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x,0} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}_{\det=1}, & G_{y,0} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & p\mathbb{Z}_p \\ \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}_{\det=1}, \\ G_{x,1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p & p\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}_{\det=1}, & G_{y,1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p & p^2\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}_{\det=1}, \\ G_{x,2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^2\mathbb{Z}_p & p^2\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^2\mathbb{Z}_p & 1 + p^2\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}_{\det=1}, & G_{y,1.5} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^2\mathbb{Z}_p & p^2\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & 1 + p^2\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}_{\det=1}, \\ & & G_{y,2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^2\mathbb{Z}_p & p^3\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^2\mathbb{Z}_p & 1 + p^2\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}_{\det=1}, \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2. Bruhat–Tits building for $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_2)$.

Based on this filtration, Moy and Prasad introduced in [28, 29] the notion of *depth* of a representation, which measures the first occurrence of a fixed vector in a given representation. More precisely, it is the smallest non-negative rational number r such that the representation contains a vector fixed under $G_{y,r+} := \bigcup_{s>r} G_{y,s}$ for some $y \in \mathcal{B}(G, F)$. In [29], Moy and Prasad gave a classification of depth zero representations, showing, roughly speaking, that they correspond to representations of finite groups of Lie type, the group $G_{y,0}/G_{y,0+}$. A similar result was obtained shortly afterward by Morris [26] using Hecke algebra techniques.

The first construction of positive-depth supercuspidal representations for general p -adic groups¹ was given by Adler [1] in 1998 and generalized by Yu [37] in 2001. Since then, Yu’s construction has been widely used. However, about 20 years later, it was noticed by Spice that Yu’s proof relies on a misprinted (and therefore false) statement in [21], and therefore, it became uncertain for a few years whether the representations constructed by Yu are irreducible and supercuspidal. In [10], we illustrate the impact of this false statement on Yu’s proof by providing a counterexample to Proposition 14.1 and Theorem 14.2 of [37], and at the same time, we offer a different argument for the second half of Yu’s proof that avoids the false statements and show that, nevertheless, Yu’s construction yields supercuspidal representations.

However, Proposition 14.1 and Theorem 14.2 of [37] are the main intertwining results in [37] that not only formed the heart of the proof of supercuspidality but

¹For experts, as mentioned above, the groups considered are reductive groups over non-archimedean local fields that split over a tamely ramified field extension.

are also crucial for other applications. In [17], in joint work with Kaletha and Spice, we therefore construct a quadratic character that allows us to twist Yu’s construction so that Yu’s initial proof and also the intertwining results hold true for Yu’s construction twisted by our quadratic character. This technical result has been the key to calculating Harish-Chandra character formulas [34, 35], constructing a local Langlands correspondence for a large class of representations [23] and obtaining Vague Theorems 2.7 and 2.8 above.

Since many results in our area are only valid for the representations constructed by Yu, one might hope that all supercuspidal representations arise in this way. In 2007, Kim [24] showed that Yu’s representations indeed include all supercuspidal representations if F has characteristic zero, i.e., if we work with $\mathbb{Q}_p$, but not with $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$, and if in addition the prime p is very large. In 2021, we used completely different and much more explicit techniques in [13] to show that *all* supercuspidal representations of G are obtained from Yu’s construction under much more mild assumptions on p , more precisely that p does not divide the order of the absolute Weyl group of G , which excludes for exceptional groups at most the primes 2, 3, 5 and 7. In particular, our result holds for any F ; we do not distinguish between $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and its sister $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$. Moreover, in [12], we illustrate that these assumptions are optimal in general (at least if one considers a slightly more general setup than we discuss in these notes). This settles the long search for all supercuspidal representations under minor assumptions.

On the other hand, this also means that there are more representations to be found for small p . In 2014, Reeder and Yu [31] gave a new construction of some supercuspidal epipelagic representations. Epipelagic representations are representations of smallest positive depth. In [11, 18], we showed that the input for Reeder–Yu’s construction also exists for small primes p , which provides examples of positive-depth supercuspidal representations that do not arise from Yu’s construction. These representations have already found various applications in the study of automorphic representations and the inverse Galois problem. However, because these representations are very special and far from exhaustive, many more representations remain to be discovered in the future, a large class of which are the subject of current work in progress. A first big step in this direction is the preprint [19] from this year in which we extend in joint work with David Schwein the construction by Yu to also work for $p = 2$, a question that had remained open for about 25 years due to the use of the theory of Heisenberg–Weil representations in Yu’s construction, which relied on $p \neq 2$. We also remove a superfluous genericity condition by overcoming the challenges of working with disconnected groups, which aligns our construction more closely with potential geometric approaches. This yields new supercuspidal representations of arbitrary depth (for small primes p).

All the supercuspidal representations constructed above are of the following form:

$$\text{c-ind}_K^G V_\rho := \{f : G \rightarrow V_\rho \mid f(kg) = \rho(k)(f(g)) \ \forall g \in G, k \in K \\ f \text{ compactly supported mod center}\}$$

for some compact-mod-center, open subgroup $K \subset G$, e.g., $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and some finite-dimensional representation (ρ, V_ρ) of K . The difficulty lies in finding appropriate K and ρ that yield (all) supercuspidal representations.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks the referee for spotting some typos.

Funding. The author was partially supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no. 950326).

References

- [1] J. D. Adler, [Refined anisotropic \$K\$ -types and supercuspidal representations](#). *Pacific J. Math.* **185** (1998), no. 1, 1–32 Zbl [0924.22015](#) MR [1653184](#)
- [2] J. D. Adler, J. Fintzen, M. Mishra, and K. Ohara, Reduction to depth zero for tame p -adic groups via Hecke algebra isomorphisms. 2024, [arXiv:2408.07805v1](#)
- [3] J. D. Adler, J. Fintzen, M. Mishra, and K. Ohara, Structure of Hecke algebras arising from types. 2024, [arXiv:2408.07801v1](#)
- [4] J. N. Bernstein, Le “centre” de Bernstein. In *Representations of reductive groups over a local field*, pp. 1–32, Travaux en Cours, Hermann, Paris, 1984 Zbl [0599.22016](#) MR [0771671](#)
- [5] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, [Groupes réductifs sur un corps local](#). *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **41** (1972), no. 1, 5–251 Zbl [0254.14017](#) MR [0327923](#)
- [6] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, [Groupes réductifs sur un corps local: II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d’une donnée radicielle valuée](#). *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **60** (1984), 197–376 Zbl [0597.14041](#) MR [0756316](#)
- [7] C. J. Bushnell and P. C. Kutzko, [The admissible dual of \$GL\(N\)\$ via compact open subgroups](#). *Ann. of Math. Stud.* 129, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, 313 pp. Zbl [0787.22016](#) MR [1204652](#)
- [8] H. Carayol, Représentations supercuspidales de GL_n . *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* **288** (1979), no. 1, A17–A20 Zbl [0398.22024](#) MR [0522009](#)
- [9] A. A. Cuoco, [Visualizing the \$p\$ -adic integers](#). *Amer. Math. Monthly* **98** (1991), no. 4, 355–364 Zbl [0748.11059](#) MR [1103194](#)
- [10] J. Fintzen, [On the construction of tame supercuspidal representations](#). *Compos. Math.* **157** (2021), no. 12, 2733–2746 Zbl [1495.22009](#) MR [4357723](#)

- [11] J. Fintzen, [On the Moy–Prasad filtration](#). *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **23** (2021), no. 12, 4009–4063 Zbl [1492.22014](#) MR [4321207](#)
- [12] J. Fintzen, [Tame tori in \$p\$ -adic groups and good semisimple elements](#). *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2021** (2021), no. 19, 14882–14904 Zbl [1507.22034](#) MR [4324731](#)
- [13] J. Fintzen, [Types for tame \$p\$ -adic groups](#). *Ann. of Math. (2)* **193** (2021), no. 1, 303–346 Zbl [1492.22013](#) MR [4199732](#)
- [14] J. Fintzen, [Representations of \$p\$ -adic groups](#). In *Current developments in mathematics 2021*, pp. 1–42, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2023 Zbl [1531.22007](#) MR [4649682](#)
- [15] J. Fintzen, [Supercuspidal representations: construction, classification, and characters](#). In *The Langlands program: I. Representations, Shimura varieties, and Shukas*, pp. 51–85, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 112, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2025 MR [5007738](#)
- [16] J. Fintzen, Reduction from representations of p -adic groups to representations of finite groups. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, 2026, <https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/fintzen/FintzenICM.pdf> visited on 23 April 2026
- [17] J. Fintzen, T. Kaletha, and L. Spice, [A twisted Yu construction, Harish–Chandra characters, and endoscopy](#). *Duke Math. J.* **172** (2023), no. 12, 2241–2301 Zbl [1536.22033](#) MR [4654051](#)
- [18] J. Fintzen and B. Romano, [Stable vectors in Moy–Prasad filtrations](#). *Compos. Math.* **153** (2017), no. 2, 358–372 Zbl [1373.22024](#) MR [3705228](#)
- [19] J. Fintzen and D. Schwein, Construction of tame supercuspidal representations in arbitrary residue characteristic. 2025, arXiv:[2501.18553v2](#)
- [20] J. Fintzen and S. W. Shin, [Congruences of algebraic automorphic forms and supercuspidal representations](#). *Camb. J. Math.* **9** (2021), no. 2, 351–429 Zbl [1509.11040](#) MR [4325284](#)
- [21] P. Gérardin, [Weil representations associated to finite fields](#). *J. Algebra* **46** (1977), no. 1, 54–101 Zbl [0359.20008](#) MR [0460477](#)
- [22] R. E. Howe, [Tamely ramified supercuspidal representations of \$GL_n\$](#) . *Pacific J. Math.* **73** (1977), no. 2, 437–460 Zbl [0404.22019](#) MR [0492087](#)
- [23] T. Kaletha, Supercuspidal L -packets. [v1] 2019, [v2] 2021, arXiv:[1912.03274v2](#), to appear in *Ann. Math.*
- [24] J.-L. Kim, [Supercuspidal representations: an exhaustion theorem](#). *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (2007), no. 2, 273–320 Zbl [1111.22015](#) MR [2276772](#)
- [25] L. Morris, [Tamely ramified intertwining algebras](#). *Invent. Math.* **114** (1993), no. 1, 1–54 Zbl [0854.22022](#) MR [1235019](#)
- [26] L. Morris, [Level zero \$G\$ -types](#). *Compos. Math.* **118** (1999), no. 2, 135–157 Zbl [0937.22011](#) MR [1713308](#)
- [27] A. Moy, [Local constants and the tame Langlands correspondence](#). *Amer. J. Math.* **108** (1986), no. 4, 863–930 Zbl [0597.12019](#) MR [0853218](#)

- [28] A. Moy and G. Prasad, [Unrefined minimal \$K\$ -types for \$p\$ -adic groups](#). *Invent. Math.* **116** (1994), no. 1, 393–408 Zbl [0804.22008](#) MR [1253198](#)
- [29] A. Moy and G. Prasad, [Jacquet functors and unrefined minimal \$K\$ -types](#). *Comment. Math. Helv.* **71** (1996), no. 1, 98–121 Zbl [0860.22006](#) MR [1371680](#)
- [30] K. Ohara, [Hecke algebras for tame supercuspidal types](#). *Amer. J. Math.* **146** (2024), no. 1, 277–293 Zbl [1535.22057](#) MR [4691489](#)
- [31] M. Reeder and J.-K. Yu, [Epipelagic representations and invariant theory](#). *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (2014), no. 2, 437–477 Zbl [1284.22011](#) MR [3164986](#)
- [32] A. Roche, [Types and Hecke algebras for principal series representations of split reductive \$p\$ -adic groups](#). *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4)* **31** (1998), no. 3, 361–413 Zbl [0903.22009](#) MR [1621409](#)
- [33] V. Sécherre and S. Stevens, [Représentations lisses de \$GL_m\(D\)\$. IV. Représentations supercuspidales](#). *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **7** (2008), no. 3, 527–574 Zbl [1140.22014](#) MR [2427423](#)
- [34] L. Spice, [Explicit asymptotic expansions for tame supercuspidal characters](#). *Compos. Math.* **154** (2018), no. 11, 2305–2378 Zbl [1409.22015](#) MR [3867302](#)
- [35] L. Spice, [Explicit asymptotic expansions in \$p\$ -adic harmonic analysis II](#). *Invent. Math.* **244** (2026), no. 3, 1147–1249 Zbl [08209953](#) MR [5048794](#)
- [36] S. Stevens, [The supercuspidal representations of \$p\$ -adic classical groups](#). *Invent. Math.* **172** (2008), no. 2, 289–352 Zbl [1140.22016](#) MR [2390287](#)
- [37] J.-K. Yu, [Construction of tame supercuspidal representations](#). *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (2001), no. 3, 579–622 Zbl [0971.22012](#) MR [1824988](#)

Jessica Fintzen

Mathematisches Institut, University of Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany;
fintzen@math.uni-bonn.de