



Functional analysis. — *Linear isometries of some function algebras*, by EDOARDO VESENTINI, communicated on 9 February 2007.

ABSTRACT. — Linear isometries of a class of logmodular algebras which are generated by unimodular functions are represented by Holsztyński-type weighted composition operators. The description of these operators leads—among other things—to a description of a class of linear isometries of the disc algebra and of the Hardy space of all bounded holomorphic functions on the open unit disc of \mathbb{C} . Spectral properties of these isometries are also investigated.

KEY WORDS: Logmodular algebra, Shilov boundary, inner functions.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2000): Primary 30E25.

Linear isometries of Hardy spaces H^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$) on the open unit disc Δ of \mathbb{C} have been described by F. Forelli in [8], and surjective linear isometries of H^∞ by N. Nagasawa in [16] and by K. DeLeeuw, W. Rudin and J. Wermer in [6] (see also [11]).

A different approach to the linear isometries of H^∞ and of the disc algebra is motivated by two facts. First of all, they are both logmodular algebras; secondly, their closed unit balls are the closed convex hulls of their inner functions, as was proved in [2] and [3].

Starting from these facts, a theorem established in the first two sections of this article describes the linear isometries of a uniform algebra \mathcal{A} into a uniform function algebra \mathcal{B} under the hypotheses that \mathcal{A} is generated by its unimodular functions and every character of \mathcal{B} has a unique representing measure supported by the Shilov boundary of \mathcal{B} .

Among other things, this theorem yields a new proof of Holsztyński's extension of the classical Banach–Stone theorem, a characterization of those self-isometries of the Hardy space H^∞ and of the disc algebra mapping the sets of all inner functions into themselves, showing incidentally that, as for any Hardy space H^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$), these isometries are represented by weighted composition operators.

The final section summarizes and completes some results established in [23] for strongly continuous semigroups of linear isometries of H^∞ .

1. CONTINUOUS LINEAR FORMS ON SOME UNIFORM ALGEBRAS

Let m be a positive regular Borel measure on a compact Hausdorff space M with $m(M) \leq 1$, and let $v \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(M, m) = L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(M)$ be such that $|v| \leq 1$ almost everywhere on M and

$$(1) \quad \int v \, dm = 1.$$

That implies, first of all, that $v \geq 0$ a.e. on M and that $m(M) = 1$.

Let $N \subset M$ be the measurable set

$$(2) \quad N = \{x \in M : v(x) \neq 1\}.$$

We will show that

$$(3) \quad m(N) = 0.$$

Let $w(x) = 1 - v(x)$. Then

$$(4) \quad w(x) \geq 0$$

and (1), (2) become

$$(5) \quad \int w \, dm = 0,$$

$$(6) \quad N = \{x \in M : w(x) > 0\}.$$

Suppose $m(N) > 0$. By the Lusin theorem (see, e.g., [18, Theorem 2.23]), for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ there exists a real-valued, continuous function \tilde{w} on M such that

$$\sup\{\tilde{w}(x) : x \in M\} \leq \sup\{|\tilde{w}(x)| : x \in M\} \leq \sup\{|w(x)| : x \in M\};$$

and

$$m(L(\epsilon)) < \epsilon m(N),$$

where $L(\epsilon)$ is the measurable set

$$L(\epsilon) = \{x \in M : \tilde{w}(x) \neq w(x)\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} m(N \setminus L(\epsilon)) &= m(N) - m(N \cap L(\epsilon)) \geq m(N) - m(L(\epsilon)) \\ &> (1 - \epsilon)m(N) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The positive Borel measure m being regular, there exists a compact set $K \subset N \setminus L(\epsilon)$ such that

$$(7) \quad m(K) > (1 - 2\epsilon)m(N) > 0.$$

Since w is continuous on K , (4) and (6) imply that there is a positive constant k such that $w(x) \geq k$ for all $x \in K$. Thus, by (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M w \, dm &= \int_{M \setminus K} w \, dm + \int_K w \, dm \geq \int_K w \, dm \\ &\geq k \int_K dm = km(K) > (1 - 2\epsilon)km(N) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (5) and thereby proving that (3) holds.

In conclusion, the following lemma has been established.

LEMMA 1. *If $f \in L^1(M, m) = L^1(M)$ is such that $|f| \leq 1$ almost everywhere on M , $\|m\| \leq 1$ and*

$$\left| \int f dm \right| = 1,$$

then $\|m\| = m(M) = 1$, $\|f\| = 1$, and $f = e^{i\vartheta}$ a.e. for some $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a uniform algebra on a compact Hausdorff space X , whose Shilov boundary $\partial\mathcal{A}$ coincides with X . Any continuous linear form λ on \mathcal{A} is represented by a complex, regular Borel measure μ on X such that $\|\mu\| = \|\lambda\|$.

If

$$d\mu = h d|\mu|$$

is the polar representation of μ (see, e.g., [18]), where h is a complex-valued, measurable function with $|h| = 1$ a.e. $|\mu|$ on X , then for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\langle f, \lambda \rangle = \int_X f d\mu = \int_X fh d|\mu|.$$

Suppose now that $\|\mu\| = \|\lambda\| \leq 1$, and let $u \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that

$$\|u\| = |\langle u, \lambda \rangle| = 1.$$

Then

$$1 = |\langle u, \lambda \rangle| = \left| \int_X uh d|\mu| \right| \leq \int_X |u| d|\mu| = \|u\| = 1.$$

As a consequence, $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(X) = 1$ and

$$u(x)h(x) = \langle u, \lambda \rangle \quad \text{a.e. } |\mu|.$$

By Lemma 1, hu must be constant on the support of μ .

2. LINEAR ISOMETRIES BETWEEN TWO UNIFORM ALGEBRAS

Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be uniform algebras on two compact Hausdorff spaces X, Y , and let $\Sigma(\mathcal{A}), \Sigma(\mathcal{B})$ and $\partial\mathcal{A} = X, \partial\mathcal{B} = Y$ be the spaces of maximal ideals and the Shilov boundaries of \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} . Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be a linear isometry of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} .

For any $x \in X$, let

$$\Omega(x) = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : |f(x)| = \|f\| = 1\}$$

Since \mathcal{A} contains the constants, $\Omega(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in X$.

Following an idea of W. Holsztyński [13], we now prove

LEMMA 2. *For any $x \in X$ the set*

$$\Upsilon(x) = \{y \in Y : |(Af)(y)| = 1 \forall f \in \Omega(x)\}$$

is closed and not empty.

PROOF. Let n be a positive integer and let u_1, \dots, u_n be elements of \mathcal{A} such that

$$(8) \quad |u_j(x)| = \|u_j\| = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

The function

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{u_j(x)} u_j \in \mathcal{A}$$

is such that

$$|u(t)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |u_j(t)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|u_j\| = n \quad \forall t \in X$$

and

$$u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n |u_j(x)|^2 = n.$$

Therefore $\|u\| = n$.

Since A is an isometry, there is some $y \in Y$ for which $|(Au)(y)| = n$. As

$$(Au)(y) = \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{u_j(x)} (Au_j)(y),$$

we have

$$n = |(Au)(y)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |(Au_j)(y)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|Au_j\| = n,$$

showing that $|(Au_j)(y)| = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, i.e.

$$(9) \quad \{y \in Y : |(Au_j)(y)| = 1, j = 1, \dots, n\} \neq \emptyset$$

for every choice of u_1, \dots, u_n in \mathcal{A} satisfying (8). The conclusion follows from the fact that Y is compact and the set (9) is closed. \square

An element $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $|u(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in X$ is called a *unimodular* (or *unitary*) *function*.

Let $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all unitary functions in \mathcal{A} . Clearly,

$$\emptyset \neq \mathfrak{U} = \bigcap \{\Omega(x) : x \in M\}.$$

Let Q be the closed set of all $y \in Y$ such that $|(Au)(y)| = 1$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} y \in \mathcal{T}(x) &\Leftrightarrow |(Af)(y)| = 1 \quad \forall f \in \Omega(x) \\ &\Rightarrow |(Au)(y)| = 1 \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{U} \Leftrightarrow y \in Q, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{T}(x) \subset Q \quad \forall x \in X,$$

and therefore $Q \neq \emptyset$.

Let $y \in Y$. Denoting by λ the continuous linear form on \mathcal{A} defined by

$$\langle f, \lambda \rangle = (Af)(y) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A},$$

let μ be the complex regular Borel measure on X which represents λ . Then, for any $u \in \mathfrak{U}$ and all $y \in Q$,

$$(Au)(y) = u(t)h(t) \quad \text{a.e. in } \text{Supp}(\mu).$$

If, given any two distinct points t' and t'' of X , there is $u \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that $u(t') \neq u(t'')$, then $\text{Supp}(\mu)$ is reduced to one point, and the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 3. *If the set \mathfrak{U} separates points in X , then there is a map $\psi : Q \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$(11) \quad (Au)(y) = (A1)(y)u(\psi(y)) \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{U}, y \in Q.$$

It has been shown by A. Bernard ([2], [3], [10, pp. 195–196]) that if the set \mathfrak{U} generates \mathcal{A} , then the closed unit ball of \mathcal{A} is the closed convex hull of \mathfrak{U} .

Thus, if \mathfrak{U} generates \mathcal{A} , then for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and for every $\epsilon > 0$ there are a positive integer n , positive numbers t_1, \dots, t_n with $\sum_{v=1}^n t_v = 1$ and functions $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{v=1}^n t_v u_v \right\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Choose $y \in Q$. Since $|(A1)(y)| = 1$, (11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |(Af)(y) - (A1)(y)f(\psi(y))| &\leq \left| \left(f - \sum_{v=1}^n t_v u_v \right)(y) \right| + \left| \left(f - \sum_{v=1}^n t_v u_v \right)(\psi(y)) \right| \\ &= 2 \left\| f - \sum_{v=1}^n t_v u_v \right\| \leq 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since \mathcal{A} separates points, if \mathfrak{U} generates \mathcal{A} then also \mathfrak{U} separates points. All that yields the first part of the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *If A is a linear isometry of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} , and if $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})$ generates \mathcal{A} , then there are a closed subset Q of Y and a continuous surjective map $\psi : Q \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$(12) \quad (Af)(y) = (A1)(y)f(\psi(y)) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}, y \in Q.$$

PROOF. To establish the continuity of ψ , let $\{y_j\}$ be a net in Q converging to $y \in Q$, and suppose that there are two subnets $\{y_r\}$ and $\{y_s\}$ such that the nets $\{\psi(y_r)\}$ and $\{\psi(y_s)\}$ converge to two distinct elements t' and t'' of X . Then, for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the nets

$$\{f(\psi(y_r))\} = \{(Af)(y_r) \overline{(A1)(y_r)}\}$$

and

$$\{f(\psi(y_s))\} = \{(Af)(y_s) \overline{(A1)(y_s)}\}$$

converge to

$$f(t') = (Af)(y) \overline{(A1)(y)} = f(t''),$$

contradicting the fact that, since $t' \neq t''$, there is some $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(t') \neq f(t'')$.

All that is left to prove is the surjectivity of ψ . Since ψ is continuous, $\psi(Q)$ is a compact subset of X . If $\psi(Q) \neq X$, the open set $X \setminus \psi(Q)$ is non-empty. Let V and W be two open sets in X such that $V \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\bar{V} \subset W \subset X \setminus \psi(Q).$$

Since the open set V contains some strong boundary point x of \mathcal{A} , there exists $h \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|h\| \leq 1$ and

$$|h(x)| > 3/4 \quad \text{and} \quad |h(t)| < 1/4 \quad \forall t \in X \setminus V.$$

Let $s \in X$ be such that $|h(s)| = \|h\|$. Then

$$\|h\| = |h(s)| > 3/4.$$

Set

$$f = \frac{1}{\|h\|} h.$$

Since $1/\|h\| < 4/3$, we have

$$|f(t)| = \frac{|h(t)|}{\|h\|} < \frac{1}{\|h\|} \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{3} \quad \forall t \in X \setminus V.$$

Thus,

$$1 = \|Af\| \leq \sup\{|(A1)(y)| \cdot |f(\psi(y))| : y \in Q\} < 1/3,$$

a contradiction. \square

If A maps all unimodular functions on X to unimodular functions on Y , then $Q = Y$,

$$(13) \quad |(A1)(y)| = 1 \quad \forall y \in Y,$$

$$(14) \quad (Af)(y) = (A1)(y)f(\psi(y)) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}, y \in Y,$$

and the following proposition holds.

PROPOSITION 1. *If $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})$ generates \mathcal{A} and is mapped by A into $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{B})$, then (13) is satisfied, and there is a continuous surjective map $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ for which (14) holds.*

Conversely, if $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{B})$ satisfy the above hypotheses, then $|(Au)(y)| = 1$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})$ and all $y \in Y$, i.e. $Q = Y$.

In particular, if A is an isometric homomorphism of the algebra \mathcal{A} into the algebra \mathcal{B} , then $Q = Y$ if, and only if, there is a continuous surjective map $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that

$$Af = f \circ \psi \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Going back to the linear isometry A in Theorem 1, for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and any $y \in Q$,

$$|(Af)(y)| = |f(\psi(y))|.$$

Since $\psi(Q) = X$, this implies that if $Af \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{B})$, then $f \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e.

$$A^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{B}) \cap A(\mathcal{A})) \subset \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Hence, if A is surjective, then $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{B}) \subset A(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A}))$ and also $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A}) \subset A^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{B}))$. Proposition 1 yields

COROLLARY 1. *If $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})$ generates \mathcal{A} and if the isometry A is surjective, then $A(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{B})$, and A is expressed by (14), where ψ is now a homeomorphism of Y onto X .*

If $X = Y$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and if the isometry A is not surjective, then its spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is the closed unit disc $\bar{\Delta}$ and Δ is contained in the residual spectrum. As a consequence, $A(\mathcal{A})$ is contained in a proper closed subspace of \mathcal{A} . Thus, denoting by \mathcal{A}^{-1} the set of all invertible elements of \mathcal{A} , we have proved

LEMMA 4. *If $X = Y$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and if $A(\mathcal{A}^{-1})$ contains a non-empty open set, then the isometry A is surjective.*

Let $\Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ and $\Sigma(\mathcal{B})$ be the sets of all characters of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , i.e. all homomorphisms of the abelian Banach algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} into \mathbb{C} . Let $P \subset \Sigma(\mathcal{B})$ be the set of all $\chi \in \Sigma(\mathcal{B})$ having a representing measure m_χ (i.e. a regular probability measure which represents χ) whose support is contained in Q . Obviously, $Q \subset P$ and, if $Q = Y$, then $P = \Sigma(\mathcal{B})$.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1. For $\chi \in P$ let m_χ be a representing measure of χ whose support is contained in Q .

For any $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Af, \chi \rangle &= \int (Af)(y) dm_\chi(y) = \int (A1)(y) dm_\chi(y) \int f(\psi(y)) dm_\chi(y) \\ &= \langle A1, \chi \rangle \int f(\psi(y)) dm_\chi(y) \end{aligned}$$

because m_χ is multiplicative. Since furthermore $\psi(y) \in \partial\mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int (f_1 f_2)(\psi(y)) dm_\chi(y) &= \int f_1(\psi(y)) f_2(\psi(y)) dm_\chi(y) \\ &= \int f_1(\psi(y)) dm_\chi(y) \int f_2(\psi(y)) dm_\chi(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, there exists a character $\omega(\chi)$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\int f(\psi(y)) dm_\chi(y) = \langle f, \omega(\chi) \rangle.$$

Assuming that every $\chi \in P$ has a unique representing measure m_χ whose support is contained in Q , $\chi \mapsto \omega(\chi)$ defines a map $\omega : P \rightarrow \Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$(15) \quad \langle Af, \chi \rangle = \langle A1, \chi \rangle \langle f, \omega(\chi) \rangle \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}, \chi \in P.$$

The same kind of argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 shows that the map ω is continuous, and, in conclusion, the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a linear isometry of the algebra \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} . If every $\chi \in \Sigma(\mathcal{B})$ has a unique representing measure and if the set \mathfrak{U} of all unimodular functions in \mathcal{A} generates \mathcal{A} , then there is a subset P of $\Sigma(\mathcal{B})$ containing the closed subset Q of $\partial\mathcal{B} = Y$, and a continuous map $\omega : P \rightarrow \Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\omega|_Q = \psi$ (whence $\omega(P) \supset \partial\mathcal{A} = \omega(Q) = X$) and (15) holds.*

Furthermore, if $Q = Y$, then $P = \Sigma(\mathcal{B})$ and (15) holds for all $\chi \in \Sigma(\mathcal{B})$.

If A , \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are as in Theorem 2 and if moreover

$$(16) \quad A(\mathcal{A}^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{B}^{-1},$$

then by the Gleason–Kahane–Żelazko theorem (see, e.g., [22]) there exists a continuous map $\varphi : \Sigma(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$\langle Af, \chi \rangle = \langle A1, \chi \rangle \langle f, \varphi(\chi) \rangle \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}, \chi \in \Sigma(\mathcal{B}).$$

Comparison of the last equation with (15) shows that, since $\langle A1, \chi \rangle \neq 0$ for all $\chi \in Q$,

$$\langle f, \varphi(\chi) \rangle = \langle f, \omega(\chi) \rangle \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}, \chi \in Q;$$

since \mathcal{A} separates points in Q , it follows that $\varphi = \omega$ on Q , i.e. φ is a continuous extension of $\omega|_Q$, and therefore $\varphi(\partial\mathcal{B}) \supset \partial\mathcal{A}$.

If furthermore

$$(17) \quad \Sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \partial\mathcal{A} = X,$$

and if $A1 \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{B})$, then for any $u \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})$ and any $\chi \in \partial\mathcal{B}$,

$$|\langle Au, \chi \rangle| = |\langle u, \varphi(\chi) \rangle| = 1.$$

Thus $Q = Y$ and the following proposition holds:

PROPOSITION 2. *If A , \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2, and if furthermore (16) and (17) hold and $A1$ is a unimodular function in \mathcal{B} , then $A(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A})) \subset \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{B})$, and (15) holds for all $\chi \in \Sigma(\mathcal{B})$.*

COROLLARY 2. *If A is an isometric homomorphism of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} and if (17) holds, then there is a continuous surjective map $\varphi : \Sigma(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$\langle Af, \chi \rangle = \langle f, \varphi(\chi) \rangle \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}, \chi \in \Sigma(\mathcal{B}).$$

We point out for future reference that if $X = Y$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and $Q = Y$, then for any positive integer n ,

$$(18) \quad A^n 1 = A1 \cdot (A1 \circ \omega) \cdots (A1 \circ \omega^{(n-1)})$$

and

$$(19) \quad A^n f = A^n 1 \cdot f \circ \omega^{(n)} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A},$$

where, for any positive integer n , $A^n = A \circ \cdots \circ A$ (n times) and $\omega^{(n)}$ is the n -th iterate of ω .

3. GLEASON PARTS

Let now \mathcal{C} be a uniform algebra on a compact Hausdorff space M . According to Bishop's theorem (see, e.g., [19, Theorem 16.6]), if χ_1, χ_2 are two characters of \mathcal{C} which are contained in the same Gleason part of \mathcal{C} , and μ_1, μ_2 are representing measures of χ_1, χ_2 , then μ_1, μ_2 are mutually absolutely continuous (and the Radon–Nikodym derivatives $d\mu_1/d\mu_2, d\mu_2/d\mu_1$ are both bounded). Therefore,

$$\text{Supp}(\mu_1) = \text{Supp}(\mu_2)$$

and, as a consequence, we have

LEMMA 5. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, let Π be a Gleason part of \mathcal{B} . If $\Pi \cap P \neq \emptyset$, then $\Pi \subset P$.*

Let Π be a Gleason part of \mathcal{C} and let $F : \Delta \rightarrow \Pi$ be a continuous one-to-one map such that the function

$$\hat{f} \circ F : z \mapsto \langle f, F(z) \rangle$$

is holomorphic on Δ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}$. Since for every continuous linear form λ on \mathcal{C} ,

$$\|\lambda\| = \sup\{|\langle f, \lambda \rangle| : f \in \mathcal{C}, \|f\| \leq 1\},$$

\mathcal{C} is a determining manifold for the topological dual \mathcal{C}' of \mathcal{C} . By Dunford's theorem (see, e.g., [9, Theorem II.3.10]), F is a holomorphic map of Δ into \mathcal{C}' .

Let now $F : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ be a holomorphic map such that $F(\Delta) \subset \Sigma(\mathcal{C})$. For every $z \in \Delta$, we have $\|F(z)\| = 1$, and therefore, for $z_1, z_2 \in \Delta$,

$$\|F(z_1) - F(z_2)\| \leq \|F(z_1)\| + \|F(z_2)\| = 2.$$

If

$$\|F(z_1) - F(z_2)\| = 2$$

for some $z_1, z_2 \in \Delta$, then by the maximum principle

$$\|F(z_1) - F(z)\| = 2 \quad \forall z \in \Delta.$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_1} \|F(z_1) - F(z)\| = 0$$

because F is continuous. This contradiction shows that

$$\|F(z_1) - F(z_2)\| < 2$$

for all $z_1, z_2 \in \Delta$, proving

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $F : \Delta \rightarrow \Sigma(\mathcal{C})$ be a continuous map such that $z \mapsto \langle f, F(z) \rangle$ is holomorphic in Δ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}$. Then F is a holomorphic map of Δ into \mathcal{C}' , and $F(\Delta)$ is contained in a Gleason part of \mathcal{C} .*

COROLLARY 3. *If F is as in Proposition 3, and if $F(z)$ is a one-point Gleason part of \mathcal{C} for some $z \in \Delta$, then F is constant.*

Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and A be as in Theorem 2 and let Π be a Gleason part contained in P . If Π contains more than one point, then Wermer's embedding theorem (Theorem 17.1 of [19]) and Proposition 3 show that there is a holomorphic map $F : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ such that $F(\Delta) = \Pi$ and

$$(20) \quad \langle Af, F(z) \rangle = \langle A1, F(z) \rangle \langle f, \omega(F(z)) \rangle \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \Delta.$$

Since the functions $z \mapsto \langle Af, F(z) \rangle$ and $z \mapsto \langle A1, F(z) \rangle$ are holomorphic on Δ , so are $z \mapsto \langle f, \omega(F(z)) \rangle$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and therefore also $z \mapsto \omega(F(z))$.

Hence:

THEOREM 3. *If \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and the linear isometry A satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2, and if Π is a Gleason part of \mathcal{B} which contains more than one point and is contained in P , then there is a holomorphic map $F : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ mapping Δ one-to-one onto Π such that $\omega \circ F$ is holomorphic on Δ , $\omega(F(\Delta))$ is contained in a Gleason part of \mathcal{A} , and (20) holds.*

4. EXAMPLES

I. By a theorem of R. Phelps ([17], see also [5]), the closed unit ball of the uniform algebra $C(M)$ of all complex-valued, continuous functions on any compact Hausdorff space M is the closed convex hull of the set of all the unitary functions in $C(M)$.

Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let $A \in \mathcal{L}(C(X), C(Y))$ be an isometry of $C(X)$ into $C(Y)$. Since all characters of $C(Y)$ are point evaluations, the sets P and Q coincide, and Theorem 2 yields W. Holsztyński's theorem [13]:

THEOREM 4. *There exists a closed subset $P \subset Y$ and a continuous surjective map $\omega : P \rightarrow X$ for which*

$$(21) \quad (Af)(y) = (A1)(y)f(\omega(y))$$

for all $f \in C(X)$ and all $y \in P$.

The set $Q = P$ consists of all points $y \in Y$ such that $|(Au)(y)| = 1$ for all unitary functions $u \in C(X)$. Thus, if A maps all unitary functions to unitary functions, then $P = Q = Y$, and Theorem 4 yields Theorem 1 of [20] (see also [7]).

Corollary 2 yields

COROLLARY 4. *If A is an isometric homomorphism of $C(X)$ into $C(Y)$, then there is a continuous surjective map $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$(Af)(y) = f(\varphi(y)) \quad \forall f \in C(X), y \in Y.$$

Let now $X = Y$ and suppose that the linear self-isometry A of $C(X)$ maps $\mathcal{U}(C(X))$ into itself and that the sequence $\{A^n\}$ of the iterates of A converges to the identity for the weak operator topology:

$$(22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^n f)(y) = f(y) \quad \forall f \in C(M), y \in X.$$

In particular,

$$(23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^n 1)(y) = 1 \quad \forall y \in X.$$

If $|(A^p 1)(y)| < 1$ for some $y \in X$ and some positive integer p , then, by (18), $|(A^n 1)(y)| < 1$ for all $n \geq p$, contradicting (23). Thus,

$$(24) \quad |(A^n 1)(y)| = 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in X,$$

and (19) yields

$$|(A^n f)(y)| = |f(\omega^{on}(y))| \quad \forall f \in C(X), y \in X, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and, by (22),

$$(25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(\omega^{on}(y))| = |f(y)|.$$

Since X is compact, for any $y \in X$, there are an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_0, n_1, \dots\}$ and a point $y' \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \omega^{on_j}(y) = y',$$

and therefore, by (25),

$$|f(y)| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |f(\omega^{on_j}(y))| = |f(y')|$$

for all $f \in C(X)$. This implies that $y = y'$, i.e.

$$(26) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega^{on}(y) = y \quad \forall y \in X.$$

Hence, for any $y \in X$,

$$\omega(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega^{on}(\omega(y)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega^{o(n+1)}(y) = y,$$

showing that the map ω is the identity, and therefore A is a surjective isometry represented by

$$Af = A1 \cdot f \quad \forall f \in C(X).$$

In particular,

$$(27) \quad A^n 1 = (A1)^n \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $|(A1)(y)| = 1$ for all $y \in X$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^n 1)(y) = 1 \quad \forall y \in X$$

if, and only if, $A1 = 1$.

Thus:

PROPOSITION 4. *If the isometry $A \in \mathcal{L}(C(X))$ maps all unitary functions to unitary functions, and if the sequence $\{A^n\}$ converges to the identity for the weak operator topology, then A is the identity.*

II. By a theorem of R. Phelps ([17]), if the function algebra \mathcal{A} is logmodular and if there is a Gleason part L of $\Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ which is total over \mathcal{A} , then the closed unit ball of \mathcal{A} is the closed convex hull of its exposed points.

Examples of such algebras are the disc algebra \mathcal{A}^0 , i.e., the uniform algebra of all complex-valued, continuous functions on the closure $\bar{\Delta}$ of Δ whose restrictions to Δ are holomorphic, and the uniform algebra H^∞ of all bounded holomorphic functions on Δ .

As is well known, \mathcal{A}^0 is a Dirichlet algebra whose set of maximal ideals and the Shilov boundary are respectively $\bar{\Delta}$ and the unit circle $\partial\Delta$.

As a consequence of a theorem by A. Bernard (see, e.g., [10, Corollary 2.4]), the closed unit ball of \mathcal{A}^0 is the closed convex hull of the set of all finite Blaschke products. By Proposition 1, if $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^0)$ is an isometry for which

$$(28) \quad A(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A}^0)) \subset \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A}^0),$$

then $A1 \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{A}^0)$ and there is a surjective continuous map $\omega : \partial\Delta \rightarrow \partial\Delta$ such that

$$(Af)(z) = (A1)(z)\langle f, \omega(\delta_z) \rangle$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{A}^0$ and all $z \in \Delta$.

If $\iota \in \mathcal{A}^0$ is the "coordinate function", $\iota : \bar{\Delta} \ni z \mapsto z$, then

$$(A\iota)(z) = (A1)(z)\varpi(z),$$

where $\varpi(z) = \langle \iota, \omega(\delta_z) \rangle$. As a consequence, ϖ is holomorphic at all $z \in \Delta$ where $(A1)(z) \neq 0$. Hence it is holomorphic on Δ , that is, an inner function contained in \mathcal{A}^0 .

If f is the restriction to $\bar{\Delta}$ of an analytic polynomial $\sum_{n=0}^N a_n \iota^n$ for some positive integer N and $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} (Af)(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^N a_n (A\iota^n)(z) = (A1)(z) \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \iota^n(\varpi(z)) \\ &= (A1)(z) \sum_{n=0}^N a_n (\varpi(z))^n = (A1)(z) f(\varpi(z)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \Delta$.

Since analytic polynomials are dense in \mathcal{A}^0 , (29) holds for all $f \in \mathcal{A}^0$ and all $z \in \Delta$ and therefore for all $z \in \bar{\Delta}$. Furthermore, the fact that $Q = \partial\Delta$ entails that $|(A1)(z)| = 1$ for all $z \in \partial\Delta$.

Conversely, if A is a linear isometry of \mathcal{A}^0 expressed by (29) for all $f \in \mathcal{A}^0$ and all $z \in \bar{\Delta}$, where ϖ is an inner function contained in \mathcal{A}^0 , then $|A1| = 1$ at all points of $\partial\Delta$, because if the set

$$V = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi) : |(A1)(e^{i\theta})| < 1\}$$

is not empty, then choosing $f \in \mathcal{A}^0$, with $\|f\| = 1$, peaking only at a point of $\varpi(V)$ we have $\|Af\| < 1 = \|f\|$, contradicting the fact that A is an isometry.

In conclusion, the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 5. *For any isometry $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^0)$ satisfying (28), $A1$ is an inner function contained in \mathcal{A}^0 , and there is a non-constant inner function $\varpi \in \mathcal{A}^0$ such that*

$$Af = A1 \cdot f \circ \varpi \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}^0.$$

Conversely, if $A1 \in \mathcal{A}^0$ is an inner function and $\varpi \in \mathcal{A}^0$ is a non-constant inner function, then the operator A represented by the last equation is a linear isometry of \mathcal{A}^0 into itself.

If the isometry A is surjective, then A^{-1} is represented by

$$A^{-1}f = A^{-1}1 \cdot f \circ \zeta \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}_0,$$

where $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}^0$ is a non-constant inner function. For $f = 1$, the condition

$$(30) \quad A^{-1} \circ Af = A \circ A^{-1}f = f$$

is equivalent to

$$(A^{-1}1)(z)(A1)(\zeta(z)) = (A1)(z)(A^{-1}1)(\varpi(z)) = 1 \quad \forall z \in \Delta.$$

The fact that $\|A1\| = \|A^{-1}1\| = 1$ and the maximum principle imply that $A1$ is constant: $A1 = c \in \partial\Delta$, and therefore $A^{-1}1 = \bar{c}$. Thus, by (30),

$$f(\varpi(\zeta(z))) = f(\zeta(\varpi(z))) = f(z) \quad \forall z \in \Delta, f \in \mathcal{A}^0,$$

i.e. ϖ is a holomorphic automorphism of Δ and $\zeta = \varpi^{-1}$.

Thus, the following theorem holds:

THEOREM 6. *The operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^0)$ is a bijective isometry of \mathcal{A}^0 into itself if, and only if, there exist a constant $c \in \partial\Delta$ and a Möbius transformation ϖ of Δ such that*

$$(31) \quad Af = c \cdot f \circ \varpi \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}^0.$$

We will now see how this result and the Wolff–Denjoy theorem [4] yield some information on the point spectrum of a surjective linear isometry A of \mathcal{A}^0 .

Note first that if, and only if, $A1$ is constant ($A1 = c1$ for some $c \in \partial\Delta$), then 1 is an eigenvector of A (with eigenvalue c).

Let now A be a surjective isometry expressed by (31), where c is a unimodular constant and ϖ is a Möbius transformation with no fixed point in Δ .

By the Wolff–Denjoy theorem, for any $z \in \Delta$ the sequence $\{\varpi^{on}(z) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ converges to a point $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$. Since, for any $f \in \mathcal{A}^0$ and any $z \in \Delta$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\varpi^{on}(z)) = f(\zeta),$$

by (31),

$$(32) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(A^n f)(z)| = |f(\zeta)| \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}^0, z \in \Delta.$$

If κ is an eigenvalue of the isometry A , then $|\kappa| = 1$ and, by (31), if f is any one of its eigenvectors, then

$$|f(z)| = |\kappa^n f(z)| = |c^n f(\varpi^{on}(z))| = |f(\varpi^{on}(z))|$$

for all $z \in \Delta$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, by (32),

$$|f(z)| = |f(\zeta)| \quad \forall z \in \Delta.$$

The maximum principle then implies that f is constant and $\kappa = c$, proving the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5. *If $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^0)$ is a surjective isometry and if the Möbius transformation ϖ has no fixed points in Δ , then the complex line spanned by the constant function 1 is the only eigenspace of A .*

Thus κ is the only eigenvalue of A , and its geometric multiplicity is one.

A direct computation yields

PROPOSITION 6. *If the linear isometry $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^0)$ is surjective and if the Möbius transformation ϖ in (31) fixes one point in Δ , then there is $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that the point spectrum $p\sigma(A)$ of A is given by*

$$p\sigma(A) = \{ce^{in\theta} : n = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

Hence, if the Möbius transformation ϖ is not periodic, then the spectrum contains densely the point spectrum of A and coincides with $\partial\Delta$. If ϖ is periodic, then

$$p\sigma(A) = \{1, e^{2\pi i/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi(N-1)i/N}\}$$

for some positive integer N .

III. Let H^∞ be the vector space of all bounded holomorphic functions on the open unit disc Δ of \mathbb{C} . Uniform norm and pointwise composition make H^∞ a uniform function algebra on the compact Hausdorff space $M = \partial H^\infty$.

For $\alpha \in \partial\Delta$ let Σ_α be the “fiber” over α ([11, p. 161], [10, p. 190]):

$$\Sigma_\alpha = \{\chi \in \Sigma(H^\infty) : \langle \iota, \chi \rangle = \alpha\}, \quad \partial H^\infty = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \partial\Delta} (\Sigma_\alpha \cap \partial H^\infty),$$

and let π be the continuous map of $\Sigma(H^\infty)$ onto $\overline{\Delta}$ mapping δ_z onto z for all $z \in \Delta$ and $\pi(\Sigma_\alpha) = \{\alpha\}$ for all $\alpha \in \partial\Delta$.

If \mathcal{A}_α is the restriction to Σ_α of the algebra $\widehat{H^\infty}$ of the Gelfand transforms of the elements of H^∞ , then ([11, p. 192]) Σ_α and $\Sigma_\alpha \cap \partial H^\infty$ are respectively the maximal ideal space and the Shilov boundary of \mathcal{A}_α .

For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ the “rotation” $z \mapsto e^{i\theta}z$ maps bijectively Σ_α onto $\Sigma_{e^{i\theta}\alpha}$ and the Shilov boundary of \mathcal{A}_α onto the Shilov boundary of $\mathcal{A}_{e^{i\theta}\alpha}$.

By D. J. Newman’s characterization of the Shilov boundary of H^∞ (see, e.g., [11, Theorem, pp. 179–180]), $|\langle u, \chi \rangle| = 1$ for all inner functions u and all characters χ in ∂H^∞ , i.e., u is unimodular.

Conversely, let $u \in H^\infty$ be unimodular. If u is not inner, there is a Borel set $C \subset \partial\Delta$ with positive Lebesgue measure such that

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} |u(r\zeta)| < 1 \quad \forall \zeta \in C.$$

By the Lusin theorem, there is a Borel set $E \subset C$ with positive Lebesgue measure such that u is continuously extendable to $\Delta \cup E$. As a consequence ([12, Theorem, p. 161]), u is constant on the fiber Σ_ζ , and therefore has modulus less than one on Σ_ζ , and in particular on $\Sigma_\zeta \cap \partial H^\infty$, for any $\zeta \in E$, contradicting the hypothesis that u is unimodular. This proves

LEMMA 6. *The set $\mathfrak{U}(H^\infty)$ consists of all inner functions in H^∞ .*

Since H^∞ is a logmodular algebra [12], any character of H^∞ has a unique representing measure. Since moreover the closed unit ball of H^∞ is the closed convex hull of the set of all inner functions ([10, p. 196]), Theorem 2 yields the following result.

LEMMA 7. *For any isometry $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^\infty)$ of H^∞ into itself there exist a subset P of $\Sigma(H^\infty)$ and a continuous map $\omega : P \rightarrow \Sigma(H^\infty)$ such that*

$$(33) \quad \langle Af, \chi \rangle = \langle A1, \chi \rangle \langle f, \omega(\chi) \rangle$$

for all $f \in H^\infty$ and all $\chi \in P$. Moreover, $Q = P \cap \partial H^\infty$ is non-empty and closed in ∂H^∞ , and $\omega(Q) = \partial H^\infty$.

Similar arguments to those developed for \mathcal{A}^0 yield

LEMMA 8. *For any isometry $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^\infty)$ such that*

$$(34) \quad A(\mathfrak{U}(H^\infty)) \subset \mathfrak{U}(H^\infty)$$

there is a continuous map $\omega : \Sigma(H^\infty) \rightarrow \Sigma(H^\infty)$ such that

$$(35) \quad \langle Af, \chi \rangle = \langle A1, \chi \rangle \langle f, \omega(\chi) \rangle$$

for all $f \in H^\infty$ and all $\chi \in \Sigma(H^\infty)$. Moreover, $A1$ is an inner function and ω maps ∂H^∞ onto itself.

By (35), for every $z \in \Delta$,

$$(36) \quad (Af)(z) = (A1)(z) \langle f, \omega(\delta_z) \rangle$$

for all $f \in H^\infty$ and all $z \in \Delta$. Choosing as f the coordinate function ι yields

$$\langle A\iota, \chi \rangle = \langle A1, \chi \rangle \langle \iota, \omega(\chi) \rangle$$

for all $\chi \in \Sigma(H^\infty)$. In particular if $\chi = \delta_z$, then

$$(A\iota)(z) = \langle A1, \delta_z \rangle \langle \iota, \omega(\delta_z) \rangle = (A1)(z) \varpi(z),$$

where $\varpi(z) = \langle \iota, \omega(\delta_z) \rangle$. As a consequence, ϖ is holomorphic at all $z \in \Delta$ where $(A1)(z) \neq 0$, and therefore it is holomorphic in Δ ; so $\varpi \in H^\infty$. The fact that ω maps ∂H^∞ into itself shows that ϖ is an inner function.

If p is an analytic polynomial of degree N ,

$$p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n z^n \quad (a_n \in \mathbb{C}),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} (37) \quad (Ap)(z) &= (A1)(z) \langle p, \omega(\delta_z) \rangle = (A1)(z) \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \langle \iota^n, \omega(\delta_z) \rangle \\ &= (A1)(z) \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \langle \iota, \omega(\delta_z) \rangle^n = (A1)(z) \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \varpi(z)^n \\ &= (A1)(z) p(\varpi(z)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \Delta$.

If $f \in H^\infty$, then for $0 < r < 1$ the function $f_r : \Delta \ni z \mapsto f(rz)$ can be approximated pointwise by the sequence $\{c_N p_N : N = 0, 1, \dots\}$, where p_N is the Taylor polynomial of degree N of f_r and

$$c_N = \frac{\|f_r\|}{\|p_N\|}.$$

Thus, by (37),

$$(Af_r)(z) = (A1)(z) f_r(\varpi(z)),$$

and because

$$\|Af_r - Af\| = \|A(f_r - f)\| = \|f_r - f\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $r \uparrow 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Af)(z) &= \lim_{r \uparrow 1} (Af_r)(z) = (A1)(z) \lim_{r \uparrow 1} f_r(\varpi(z)) \\ &= (A1)(z) f(\varpi(z)) \quad \forall z \in \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 7. *Any linear isometry A of H^∞ into itself satisfying (34) is a weighted composition operator represented by*

$$(38) \quad Af = A1 \cdot f \circ \varpi \quad \forall f \in H^\infty,$$

where $A1$ is an inner function and ϖ is a non-constant inner function.

Conversely, if u and ϖ are inner functions and ϖ is not constant, then the weighted composition operator

$$H^\infty \ni f \mapsto u \cdot f \circ \varpi$$

is a linear isometry of H^∞ .

A similar argument to that leading to Theorem 6 yields the following theorem ([16], [6] and [11, Corollary, p. 147]).

THEOREM 8. *The operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^\infty)$ is a surjective isometry if, and only if, there exist a constant $c \in \partial\Delta$ and a Möbius transformation ϖ of Δ such that (31) holds for all $f \in H^\infty$.*

The Gleason–Kahane–Żelazko theorem [22] then yields

COROLLARY 5. *An isometry $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^\infty)$ satisfying (34) is surjective if, and only if, it maps onto itself the set $H^{\infty-1}$ of all invertible elements of the Banach algebra H^∞ .*

Let $A1 \in H^{\infty-1}$. Since any $f \in H^\infty$ is invertible in H^∞ if, and only if, $|f(z)| \geq a$ for some $a > 0$ and all $z \in \Delta$, Theorem 7 implies that if $f \in H^{\infty-1}$, then there is $b > 0$ such that

$$|(Af)(z)| = |(A1)(z)| \cdot |f(\varpi(z))| \geq b$$

for all $z \in \Delta$. That implies

PROPOSITION 7. *The isometry $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^\infty)$ satisfying (34) maps $H^{\infty-1}$ into itself if, and only if, $A1 \in H^{\infty-1}$.*

5. PERIODIC LINEAR ISOMETRIES OF H^∞

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^\infty)$ be an isometry satisfying (34) for which there exists an integer $n > 1$ and some $z \in \Delta$ such that

$$(39) \quad (A^n f)(z) = f(z) \quad \forall f \in H^\infty.$$

By Theorem 7, A is represented by

$$A : f \mapsto A1 \cdot f \circ \varpi,$$

where $A1 \in \mathcal{U}(H^\infty)$ and $\varpi : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is a non-constant inner function.

Equations (18) and (19) imply that

$$(40) \quad (A^n 1)(z) = 1,$$

and $\varpi^{on}(z) = z$. Hence, either $\varpi(z) = z$ or

$$\text{Card}\{z, \varpi(z), \dots, \varpi^{o(n-1)}(z)\} > 1,$$

in which case (see [21]) ϖ is a holomorphic automorphism of Δ fixing a point $\alpha \in \Delta$. Thus, if there is an infinite set $E \subset \Delta$ with a cluster point in Δ such that (39) holds whenever $z \in E$, then ϖ is a holomorphic periodic automorphism of Δ fixing a point $\alpha \in \Delta$, whose period is a divisor of n .

Since

$$(41) \quad \varpi(\alpha) = \alpha,$$

we have

$$1 = (A^n 1)(\alpha) = ((A1)(\alpha))^n.$$

Thus, the maximum principle implies that the function $A1$ is constant: $A1 = \kappa$ for some $\kappa \in \partial\Delta$, which, by (39), is $\kappa = e^{2\pi i/n}$. Hence A is represented by

$$(42) \quad A(f) = e^{2\pi i/n} \cdot f \circ \varpi \quad \forall f \in H^\infty,$$

and the following theorem holds:

THEOREM 9. *If the linear isometry $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^\infty)$ satisfying (34) is such that (39) holds for some integer $n > 1$, any $f \in H^\infty$, and all $z \in E$, where $E \subset \Delta$ is an infinite set with a cluster point in Δ , then A is periodic with period n , and is represented by (42), where ϖ is a periodic Möbius transformation of Δ .*

Conversely, for any Möbius transformation ϖ of Δ such that $\varpi^{on} = \text{id}$, (42) defines an n -periodic surjective isometry of H^∞ .

We will now show that if the iterates of the linear isometry A of H^∞ satisfying (34) converge to the identity for the weak operator topology, then A itself is the identity.

The hypothesis implies that

$$(43) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle A^n f, \delta_z \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^n f)(z) = f(z) \quad \forall f \in H^\infty, z \in \Delta.$$

If $|(A^m 1)(z)| \leq a$ for some $z \in \Delta$, $a \in (0, 1)$ and $m \geq 1$, then, by (18), $|(A^n 1)(z)| \leq a < 1$ when $n \gg 1$, contradicting (43). Thus $|(A1)(z)| = 1$ for all $z \in \Delta$, and therefore, by the maximum principle, there is some constant $c \in \partial\Delta$ such that

$$(A1)(z) = c \quad \forall z \in \Delta.$$

Since, by (43), $c^n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $c = 1$ and (38) yields

$$(44) \quad Af = f \circ \varpi.$$

Thus, again by (43),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varpi^{on}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iota(\varpi^{on}(z)) = z$$

for all $z \in \Delta$. The Wolff–Denjoy theorem [4] then implies that

$$\varpi(z) = z \quad \forall z \in \Delta,$$

proving the following theorem.

THEOREM 10. *The identity operator is the only linear isometry A of H^∞ into itself which satisfies (34), and whose iterates converge to the identity for the weak operator topology.*

6. CONTINUOUS SEMIGROUPS OF LINEAR ISOMETRIES OF H^∞

We will now investigate strongly continuous semigroups of linear isometries of H^∞ .

Let $T : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H^\infty)$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of linear isometries of H^∞ into itself. According to a result by H. P. Lotz [14], [15], T , as any strongly continuous semigroup of linear operators acting on H^∞ , is uniformly continuous. Hence, it is the

restriction to \mathbb{R}_+ of a uniformly continuous group on \mathbb{R} , with values in $\mathcal{L}(H^\infty)$, which will be denoted by the same symbol T .

Since for any $t < 0$ and any $f \in H^\infty$,

$$\|f\| = \|T(-t)T(t)f\| = \|T(t)f\|,$$

$T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H^\infty$ is a group of surjective isometries. According to Theorem 8, there are a function $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \partial\Delta$ and a family $\{\rho_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of holomorphic automorphisms of Δ such that

$$(45) \quad T(t)f = c(t)f \circ \rho_t \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, f \in H^\infty,$$

As $c(t) = T(t)1$, c is a continuous homomorphism of \mathbb{R} into $\partial\Delta$. Therefore there is $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(46) \quad c(t) = e^{i\delta t} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Furthermore

$$\rho_{s+t} = \rho_s \circ \rho_t \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and the map $t \mapsto \rho_t(z)$ is continuous for every $z \in \Delta$; thus, ρ is a continuous flow of holomorphic automorphisms of Δ (which is called the *conformal flow* of T).

Hence the following theorem holds:

THEOREM 11. *Any strongly continuous semigroup of linear isometries of H^∞ is the restriction to \mathbb{R}_+ of a uniformly continuous group of surjective isometries.*

The continuous flow ρ is defined by a one-parameter subgroup $t \mapsto \exp t\Theta$ of $SU(1, 1)$ defined by a 2×2 matrix

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} i\gamma & c \\ \bar{c} & -i\gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. As was shown in [23], if $\gamma^2 - |c|^2$ is positive, negative or zero, then $\rho_t(z)$ is expressed respectively by:

$$\rho_t(z) = \frac{(\cos(rt) + i\frac{\gamma}{r} \sin(rt))z + \frac{c}{r} \sin(rt)}{\frac{\bar{c}}{r} \sin(rt)z + \cos(rt) - i\frac{\gamma}{r} \sin(rt)}$$

with $r = \sqrt{\gamma^2 - |c|^2}$;

$$\rho_t(z) = \frac{(\cosh(st) + i\frac{\gamma}{s} \sinh(st))z + \frac{c}{s} \sinh(st)}{\frac{\bar{c}}{s} \sinh(st)z + \cosh(st) - i\frac{\gamma}{s} \sinh(st)}$$

with $s = \sqrt{|c|^2 - \gamma^2}$;

$$\rho_t(z) = \frac{(1 + it\gamma)z + tc}{t\bar{c}z + 1 - it\gamma}.$$

In the first case, the flow ρ is elliptic, i.e. fixes one point in Δ and is periodic with period $2\pi/r$. In the second and third cases, the flow ρ is respectively hyperbolic and parabolic and has no fixed point in Δ .

In the elliptic case, the periodicity of ρ and (46) show that the group T is almost periodic.

It was shown in [23] that if the flow ϕ is not elliptic, then there is some $k > 0$ such that

$$\|T(t)\iota\| > 1/2 \quad \forall t > k.$$

In conclusion, the following theorem holds (see [23]).

THEOREM 12. *The group T is almost periodic if, and only if, its conformal flow ρ is elliptic.*

Furthermore, the group T is periodic if, and only if, δ and the period of ρ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{Q} .

Let now $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^0)$ be a strongly continuous group of (surjective) linear isometries of \mathcal{A}^0 . Arguing as in the case of H^∞ one shows that T is represented by

$$T(t)f = e^{i\delta t} \cdot f \circ \rho_t$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $f \in \mathcal{A}^0$, where $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho : t \mapsto \rho_t$ is a continuous flow of Möbius transformations of Δ .

As before we see that if the continuous flow ρ is elliptic, then the group T is almost periodic (periodic when δ and the period of ρ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{Q}).

On the other hand, if the flow ρ is hyperbolic or parabolic, then by Proposition 5 the only eigenspace of $T(t)$ is the complex line $e^{i\delta t}\mathbb{C}$. Theorem 2 of [1] then shows that the group T is not almost periodic, thus extending Theorem 12 to the strongly continuous groups of linear isometries acting on \mathcal{A}^0 .

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BART - S. GOLDBERG, *Characterizations of almost periodic strongly continuous groups and semigroups*. Math. Ann. 236 (1978), 105–116.
- [2] A. BERNARD, *Algèbres quotients d'algèbres uniformes*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 272 (1971), 1101–1104.
- [3] A. BERNARD - J. B. GARNETT - D. E. MARSHALL, *Algebras generated by inner functions*. J. Funct. Anal. 25 (1977), 275–285.
- [4] R. B. BURCKEL, *Iterating analytic self-maps of discs*. Amer. Math. Monthly 88 (1981), 396–407.
- [5] R. B. BURCKEL, *Characterizations of $C(X)$ among its Subalgebras*. Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [6] K. DELEEuw - W. RUDIN - J. WERMER, *The isometries of some function spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 694–698.
- [7] R. J. FLEMING - J. E. JAMISON, *Isometries on Banach Spaces: Function Spaces*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2003.
- [8] F. FORELLI, *The isometries of H^P* . Canad. J. Math. 16 (1964), 721–728.
- [9] T. FRANZONI - E. VESENTINI, *Holomorphic Maps and Invariant Distances*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.

- [10] J. B. GARNETT, *Bounded Analytic Functions*. Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [11] K. HOFFMAN, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1962.
- [12] K. HOFFMAN, *Analytic functions and logmodular Banach algebras*. Acta Math. 108 (1962), 271–317.
- [13] W. HOLSZTYŃSKI, *Continuous mappings induced by isometries of spaces of continuous functions*. Studia Math. 26 (1966), 133–136.
- [14] H. P. LOTZ, *Uniform convergence of operators on L^∞ and similar spaces*. Math. Z. 190 (1985), 207–220.
- [15] H. P. LOTZ, *Semigroups on L^∞ and H^∞* . In: R. Nagel (ed.), One-Parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators, Lecture Notes in Math. 1184, Springer, Berlin, 1986, 54–59.
- [16] N. NAGASAWA, *Isomorphisms between commutative Banach algebras with an application to rings of analytic functions*. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 11 (1959), 182–188.
- [17] R. R. PHELPS, *Extreme points in function algebras*. Duke Math. J. 32 (1965), 267–278.
- [18] W. RUDIN, *Real and Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [19] E. L. STOUT, *The Theory of Uniform Algebras*. Bogden and Quigley, Tarrytown-on-Hudson, NY, 1971.
- [20] E. VESENTINI, *On the Banach–Stone theorem*. Adv. Math. 112 (1995), 135–146.
- [21] E. VESENTINI, *Periodic points and non-wandering points of continuous dynamical systems*. Adv. Math. 134 (1998), 308–327.
- [22] E. VESENTINI, *Weighted composition operators and the Gleason–Kahane–Żelazko theorem*. Adv. Math. 191 (2005), 423–445.
- [23] E. VESENTINI, *Periodic and almost periodic linear isometries of Hardy spaces*. Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL 28 (2006), 455–472.

Received 2 October 2006,
and in revised form 12 December 2006.

Dipartimento di Matematica
Politecnico di Torino
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24
10129 TORINO, Italy
vesentini@lincei.it