

On the Dirac delta as initial condition for nonlinear Schrödinger equations

V. Banica^{a,*}, L. Vega^{b,1}

^a *Département de Mathématiques, Université d'Evry, France*

^b *Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad del País Vasco, Spain*

Received 14 March 2006; accepted 5 March 2007

Available online 1 September 2007

Abstract

In this article we will study the initial value problem for some Schrödinger equations with Dirac-like initial data and therefore with infinite L^2 mass, obtaining positive results for subcritical nonlinearities. In the critical case and in one dimension we prove that after some renormalization the corresponding solution has finite energy. This allows us to conclude a stability result in the defocusing setting. These problems are related to the existence of a singular dynamics for Schrödinger maps through the so-called Hasimoto transformation.

© 2007 L'Association Publications de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Dans cet article on étudie le problème de Cauchy pour des équations de Schrödinger avec donnée initiale de type Dirac et donc avec masse L^2 infinie, obtenant des résultats positifs pour les non linéarités sous-critiques. Dans le cas critique et en dimension un, on montre qu'après une certaine renormalisation la solution correspondante est d'énergie finie. On en déduit un résultat de stabilité dans le cas défocalisant. Ces problèmes sont liés à l'existence d'une dynamique singulière des applications de type Schrödinger par la transformation de Hasimoto.

© 2007 L'Association Publications de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 35Q55; 35Q35; 35BXX

Keywords: Schrödinger equations; Singular data

1. Introduction

In this paper we will study the IVP associated to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u \pm |u|^\alpha u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = a\delta_{x=0} + u_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: vbanica@univ-evry.fr (V. Banica), mtpvegol@lg.ehu.es (L. Vega).

¹ Second author supported by the grant MTM 2004-03029 of MEC (Spain) and FEDER. Both authors supported by the European Project HYKE (HPRN-CT-2002-00282).

with $0 \leq \alpha$, and u_0 regular and possibly small with respect to $a\delta_{x=0}$. A related problem that we also consider is to take as $u(0, x)$ a perturbation of $ae^{i\frac{x^2}{4}}$.

Let us recall first what is known about the broader question of the minimal regularity needed to assume in the initial condition $u(0, x)$ so that (1) is well posed. Within the Sobolev class H^s with $s \geq 0$ the answer is positive and well understood, at least from the point of view of local wellposedness, and is due to Ginibre and Velo [12], Cazenave and Weissler [3]. The proof follows a Picard iteration scheme based on the so-called Strichartz estimates. It was observed by Kenig, Ponce and Vega in [17], that if $s < 0$ Picard’s iteration cannot work due to the lack of uniform continuity of the map datum-solution. Then Vargas and Vega propose in [21] a different class of spaces which are built using the Fourier transform of the initial condition. In the particular case of the one-dimensional cubic NLS they are able to consider a larger class than L^2 . Finally Grünrock in [14] has extended that result, being able to prove local wellposedness if $u(0, x)$ satisfies that its Fourier transform is in some L^p for $p < \infty$. Therefore he is just missing the delta function in (1) with $d = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$.

Let us recall next the known explicit solutions of (1) if $u_0 = 0$. It was also noticed in [17], that if there is uniqueness of (1) with $u_0 = 0$ then the corresponding solution should be invariant under the Galilean transformations. That is to say for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$u_v(t, x) := e^{-it|v|^2 + iv \cdot x} u(t, x - 2vt) = u(t, x). \tag{2}$$

This in turn implies that $u := u_{a, \pm\alpha}$, with

$$u_{a, \pm\alpha}(t, x) = f_a(t, x)e^{\pm iA_{a, \alpha}(t)}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$f_a(t, x) = a \frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(it)^{d/2}}, \tag{4}$$

and

$$A_{a, \alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{|a|^\alpha}{1 - \alpha\frac{d}{2}} t^{1 - \alpha\frac{d}{2}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{2}{d}, \\ |a|^{\frac{2}{d}} \log t & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{2}{d}. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Notice that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} f_a(t, x) = a\delta_{x=0} \quad (\mathcal{S}'), \tag{6}$$

and as a conclusion the IVP (1) is ill-posed if $\alpha \geq \frac{2}{d}$ – see Theorem 1.5 in [17] for a precise statement.

A first natural question is if $u_{a, \pm\alpha}$ is a stable solution in the subcritical case $\alpha < \frac{2}{d}$. We denote $\|f\|_2 = \|f\|_{L^2}$. We have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha < \frac{2}{d}$. For $u_0 \in L^2$, there exists a time $t_0 = t_0(a, \|u_0\|_2)$ and a unique solution u of (1) such that*

$$u - u_{a, \pm\alpha} \in L^p([0, t_0], L^q) \cap C([0, t_0], L^2)$$

with (p, q) any admissible pair;

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{q} = \frac{d}{2}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (d, p) \neq (2, 2).$$

Moreover if $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ then t_0 can be taken arbitrarily large.

The way to prove this theorem is to write

$$\eta(t, x) = e^{\mp iA_{a, \alpha}(t)}(u - u_{a, \pm\alpha}).$$

Using the fact that f_a is a solution for the linear equation, we are lead to the equation

$$\begin{cases} i\eta_t + \Delta\eta \pm (|\eta + f_a|^\alpha - |f_a|^\alpha)(\eta + f_a) = 0, \\ \eta(0, x) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Then we see that if $\alpha < \frac{2}{d}$, the term $|f_a|^\alpha$ is locally integrable with respect to the time variable and therefore the usual Picard iteration scheme works. Moreover, if $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, we obtain an a priori control of the L^2 norm, so that we get a global result in this case.

Another natural question in the subcritical case is to consider a more regular perturbation of $u_{a,\pm\alpha}$. For this purpose, we introduce the conformal transformation

$$T(f)(t, x) = \frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(it)^{d/2}} f\left(\frac{1}{t}, \frac{x}{t}\right). \tag{8}$$

Let w be defined by $u = Tw$. Then u solves (1) for $0 < t < t_0$ iff w solves for $1/t_0 < t < \infty$

$$-iw_t + \Delta w \pm \frac{1}{t^{2-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}} |w|^\alpha w = 0.$$

That is iff $v(t, x) = w(t, x)e^{\mp iA_{a,\alpha}(1/t)}$ solves for $1/t_0 < t < \infty$ the equation

$$-iv_t + \Delta v \pm \frac{1}{t^{2-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}} (|v|^\alpha - |a|^\alpha)v = 0. \tag{9}$$

Let us notice that by the changes of variable we did,

$$u(t, x) = T(e^{\pm iA_{a,\alpha}(1/\cdot)} v(\cdot, \cdot))(t, x) = e^{\pm iA_{a,\alpha}(t)} T(v)(t, x),$$

the initial solution $u_{a,\pm\alpha}$ of (1) corresponds to the constant trivial solution a of (9). Finally, the equation of the perturbation $\epsilon(t, x) = v(t, x) - a$, with initial data at time $1/t_0$, writes

$$\begin{cases} -i\epsilon_t + \Delta \epsilon \pm \frac{1}{t^{2-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}} (|\epsilon + a|^\alpha - |a|^\alpha)(\epsilon + a) = 0, \\ \epsilon(1/t_0, x) = \epsilon_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

We shall study this equation for large times, in appropriate Sobolev spaces, and under suitable conditions on α . The subcritical condition $\alpha < \frac{2}{d}$ will be crucial in the proofs, since it gets the integrability at infinity of the time-coefficient in the nonlinearity. The asymptotic behavior of ϵ will give us informations on Eq. (1), and more precisely on the small time behavior of the perturbations around the solution $u_{a,\pm\alpha}$. The fact that we have been able to prove Theorem 1.1 directly on the initial equation (1) is related to the fact that the mixed spaces we are working with are invariant by the conformal transformation.

We have the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\alpha < \frac{2}{d}$, and let $s > \frac{d}{2}$. For $\epsilon_0 \in H^s$, with norm small with respect to $|a|$, there exists a time $t_0 = t_0(a)$ such that Eq. (10) has a unique solution ϵ in a small ball of $L^\infty((1/t_0, \infty), H^s)$. Moreover, the wave operator exists and the equation enjoys the property of asymptotic completeness in H^s .*

As a consequence, for u_0 small in $\Sigma^s = \{(1 + |x|^s)f \in L^2\}$ with respect to $|a|$, $u_0 \in H^2$, there exists a time $t_0 = t_0(a)$ such that Eq. (1) admits a solution that writes, for all $0 < t < t_0$,

$$u(t, x) = u_{a,\pm\alpha} + a \frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(it)^{d/2}} e^{\pm iA_{a,\alpha}(t)} \epsilon\left(\frac{x}{t}, \frac{1}{t}\right), \tag{11}$$

for a unique ϵ small in $L^\infty((1/t_0, \infty), H^s)$, $\epsilon_0 \in L^2(x^4 dx)$.

The proof of the results on ϵ is again standard and relies on the fact that in the case $s > \frac{d}{2}$, H^s is an algebra included in L^∞ . As usual there is the difficulty of the lack of regularity of the nonlinear function appearing in (10), but this is overcome assuming smallness of ϵ with respect to a . The passage back to the initial equation (1) is done by using the scattering results combined with the asymptotic behavior of the linear Schrödinger evolution.

A case of rougher perturbations of $a\delta_0$ was recently studied by Kita. In [18] he described the structure of the solutions yielded by initial data exactly a sum of two or three Dirac masses.

Finally, let us say a few words about which is the situation in the parabolic setting of the IVP analogous to (1), that is to say

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u \pm |u|^\alpha u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = a\delta_{x=0}. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

This equation has been intensively studied. In particular it has been shown by Weissler [22] that in the focusing case (sign $-$), for $\alpha \leq \frac{2}{d}$, there is no uniqueness. For the defocusing case, if only positive solutions are considered, for $\alpha < \frac{2}{d}$ there is a unique solution, and for $\alpha \geq \frac{2}{d}$ there is no such solution. This result was proved by Brézis and Friedman in [2]. So, for the heat equation, an important difference is made between the focusing and the defocusing case, even for $\alpha < \frac{2}{d}$. This is not the picture for the Schrödinger equation as we see from the statements of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Let us consider next the critical case $\alpha = \frac{2}{d}$ and just in dimension $d = 1$. As it is well known this equation is completely integrable. It is also closely related to the Schrödinger map equation

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_t = \gamma \wedge_{\pm} \gamma_{xx}, \\ \gamma(0, x) = \gamma_0 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

with $b \wedge_{\pm} c := \mathcal{A}_{\pm}(b \wedge c)$, and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is straightforward that

$$\partial_t \langle \mathcal{A}_{\pm} \gamma, \gamma \rangle = 0 \quad (14)$$

if γ solves (13). Therefore for \mathcal{A}_+ we get the Schrödinger map onto the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , and for \mathcal{A}_- we have it onto 2d hyperbolic space:

$$\mathbb{H}^2 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ such that } a_1^2 + a_2^2 - a_3^2 = -1, a_3 > 0\}.$$

Equation (13) can be also obtained from the flows of curves in \mathbb{R}^3 given by

$$\chi_t = \chi_x \wedge_{\pm} \chi_{xx}. \quad (15)$$

In the Euclidean case this equation is also called the Local Induction Approximation and was obtained by Da Rios [5] as a crude model which describes the dynamics of a vortex filament equation within Euler equations. In [16], Gutiérrez, Rivas and Vega obtain solutions of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \chi_t = \chi_x \wedge_+ \chi_{xx}, \\ \chi(0, x) = \begin{cases} A_1^+ x, & x \geq 0, \\ A_2^+ x, & x \leq 0, \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

for any unit vectors A_1^+, A_2^+ such that

$$A_1^+ + A_2^+ \neq 0.$$

More recently de la Hoz [6] has proved a similar result in the nonelliptic setting. Namely he obtains solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \chi_t = \chi_x \wedge_- \chi_{xx}, \\ \chi(0, x) = \begin{cases} A_1^- x, & x \geq 0, \\ A_2^- x, & x \leq 0, \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

for any pair of vectors A_1^-, A_2^- in \mathbb{H}^2 .

In both cases the corresponding solution curves are described geometrically by the curvature and the (generalized) torsion given by

$$c(t, x) = \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad \tau(t, x) = \frac{x}{2t}, \quad (18)$$

with c_0 a free parameter uniquely determined by (A_1^{\pm}, A_2^{\pm}) . Therefore at time $t = 1$ the curves are real analytic while at $t = 0$ a corner is developed if $c_0 \neq 0$. Recall that the flows given in (15) are both reversible in time so that the above solutions are examples of the formation of a singularity in finite time. From (18) we conclude that for all time

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c^2(t, x) dx = \infty.$$

However we shall see below that after some renormalization these curves have finite energy.

The connection of (15) with the 1d cubic NLS was proved by Hasimoto who used the transformation

$$\Psi(t, x) = c(t, x) \exp \left\{ i \int_0^x \tau(t, x') dx' \right\}. \tag{19}$$

Although he worked just in the Euclidean case (i.e. \wedge_+ in (15)), a similar argument can be given for the other case, see for example [19] and [7]. The final conclusion is that if χ solves (15) and Ψ is defined as in (19) then

$$i\Psi_t + \Psi_{xx} \pm \frac{1}{2}(|\Psi|^2 + a(t))\Psi = 0, \tag{20}$$

for some real function $a(t)$. So in the particular case given in (18) we have

$$\Psi(t, x) = c_0 \frac{e^{i\frac{x^2}{4t}}}{\sqrt{t}} = \sqrt{i} f_{c_0}(t, x).$$

Then chosen $a(t) = -|c_0|^2/t$ we get a solution of (20) with

$$\Psi(0, x) = \sqrt{i} c_0 \delta.$$

Notice that the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ which appears in (20) is harmless and can be easily absorbed by the change of variable

$$(t', x') = (2t, \sqrt{2}x).$$

Hence we are interested in solving on \mathbb{R} , around the particular solution f_a , the equation

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} \pm \left(|u|^2 - \frac{|a|^2}{t} \right) u = 0, \\ u(0, x) = a\delta_{x=0} + u_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

Unfortunately we are not able to deal with (21) directly, so that we propose for any $t_0 > 0$ the related problem

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} \pm \left(|u|^2 - \frac{|a|^2}{t} \right) u = 0, \\ u(t_0, x) = a \frac{e^{i\frac{x^2}{4t_0}}}{\sqrt{it_0}} + u_1(x), \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

and we look for a backward solution. That is to say for

$$0 < t < t_0. \tag{23}$$

It is natural to consider as before the conformal transformation

$$u(t, x) = Tv(t, x) = \frac{e^{i\frac{x^2}{4t}}}{\sqrt{it}} v\left(\frac{1}{t}, \frac{x}{t}\right). \tag{24}$$

Then u solves (22) for $0 < t < t_0$ iff v solves for $1/t_0 < t < \infty$

$$\begin{cases} -iv_t + v_{xx} \pm \frac{1}{t}(|v|^2 - |a|^2)v = 0, \\ v(1/t_0, x) = a + \epsilon_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

where ϵ_0 is defined by $u_1(x) = (T\epsilon_0)(t_0, x)$. It is easy to solve (25) locally in time for both situations focusing and defocussing. Moreover there is a natural energy. In fact if we define

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int |v_x(t, x)|^2 dx \mp \frac{1}{4t} \int (|v(t, x)|^2 - |a|^2)^2 dx, \tag{26}$$

then if v is a solution of (25), we have

$$\partial_t E(t) \mp \frac{1}{4t^2} \int (|v(t, x)|^2 - |a|^2)^2 dx = 0. \tag{27}$$

As a consequence, and in the defocussing situation, we will be able to prove the following theorem which is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3. For all $t_0 > 0$ and for all $\epsilon_0 \in H^1$, there exists a unique solution of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} -iv_t + v_{xx} - \frac{1}{t}(|v|^2 - |a|^2)v = 0, & 1/t_0 < t < \infty, \\ v(1/t_0, x) = a + \epsilon_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

with

$$v - a \in C((1/t_0, \infty), H^1).$$

Moreover

$$\int |v_x(t, x)|^2 dx \leq 2E(1/t_0), \quad (29)$$

$$\int_{\frac{1}{t_0}}^{\infty} \int (|v(t, x)|^2 - |a|^2)^2 dx \frac{dt}{t^2} < 4E(1/t_0), \quad (30)$$

and in particular

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int (|v(t, x)|^2 - |a|^2)^2 dx = 0. \quad (31)$$

Remark 1.4. Using (31) and (24) we obtain that the solution u of (22) in the defocussing setting for

$$0 < t < t_0$$

satisfies

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \|t|u(t)|^2 - |a|^2\|_2 = 0, \quad (32)$$

which can be understood as a weak stability result of the singular solution $ae^{i\frac{x^2}{4t}}/\sqrt{t}$ of (22).

Remark 1.5. It would be very interesting to be able to compute the limit of $u(t, x)$ at $t = 0$, at least if small perturbations of $a\frac{e^{i\frac{x^2}{4t}}}{\sqrt{t}}$ are considered. The difficulty is that the time dependent potential $\frac{|a|^2}{t}$, is of long range, and therefore the dynamics at infinity of the renormalized variable v should be modified accordingly; see [20]. This remark quite likely applies for small perturbations even in the focusing situation. We plan to look at these questions somewhere else.

Remark 1.6. It is interesting to write which is the energy for (19), the solution of (20), in terms of the geometric quantities c and τ . It is given by

$$\tilde{E}(t) = \frac{t^2}{4\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(c_x^2(t, x) + c^2(t, x) \left(\frac{x}{2t} - \tau(t, x) \right)^2 \right) dx + \frac{1}{16\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [tc^2(t, x) - c_0^2]^2 dx. \quad (33)$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{E}(t) - \frac{1}{16\sqrt{2}t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [tc^2(t, x) - c_0^2]^2 dx = 0, \quad (34)$$

and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \|t|c|^2 - |c_0|^2\|_2 = 0.$$

Recall that in this case we will solve the equation backwards in time.

Finally, let us make a remark on the Gross–Pitaevskii defocusing equation

$$\begin{cases} i\psi_t + \Delta\psi - (|\psi|^2 - 1)\psi = 0, \\ \psi(0, x) = \psi_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

This equation was globally solved in $1 + H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with an exponential growth in time control of the mass $\|\psi(t) - 1\|_2$, for $d \in \{2, 3\}$ by Bethuel and Saut, and for $d = 1$ by Gallo [1,9].

In a class of larger spaces, the Zhidkov spaces $X^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it has been solved in $X^1(\mathbb{R})$ and in $X^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by Zhidkov, by Gallo and by Goubet [23,24,8,13]. Also, considered in the natural energy space $\{f \in H^1_{\text{loc}}, \nabla f \in L^2, |f|^2 - 1 \in L^2\}$, it has been solved for $d \in \{2, 3\}$ and for $d = 4$ with smallness assumption, by Gérard in [10]. Recently, Gustafson, Nakanishi and Tsai described in [15] the scattering in modified $1 + H^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ spaces, for $d \geq 4$ and small data. The proof relies on the linearized equation and the conservation of the energy is not used.

By exploiting more the mass and energy laws, as done for proving Theorem 1.3, we can get a slightly modified proof of the very short and simple one in [1], allowing to have the following result.

Proposition 1.7. *The solution of (35) is globally well-posed in $1 + H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for all dimensions d such that local existence occurs, that is surely for $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with the control*

$$\|\psi(t) - 1\|_2 \leq ct,$$

where the constant c depends on the initial data.

The article is structured as follows. The first two sections contain the proofs for the results in the subcritical case. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.3. Section 5 concerns Proposition 1.7, and in the last section we give a technical lemma.

2. The sub-critical power. The Strichartz case

We shall prove the following lemma, that implies the local existence result in Theorem 1.1, as indicated in the introduction.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists $t_0 = t_0(a, \|u_0\|_2)$ and a unique solution η of Eq. (7)*

$$\begin{cases} i\eta_t + \Delta\eta \pm (|\eta + f_a|^\alpha - |f_a|^\alpha)(\eta + f_a) = 0, \\ \eta(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

such that

$$\eta \in L^\infty([0, t_0], L^2) \cap L^p([0, t_0], L^q),$$

with (p, q) any admissible couple

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{q} = \frac{d}{2}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (d, p) \neq (2, 2).$$

Proof. Let us denote X the intersection of the mixed spaces. In order to do a fixed point argument in a closed ball of X , we have to estimate the norm of the operator

$$\Phi(\eta)(t, x) = e^{it\Delta}u_0(x) \pm i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} (|\eta(\tau, x) + f_a(\tau, x)|^\alpha - |f_a(\tau, x)|^\alpha)(\eta(\tau, x) + f_a(\tau, x)) d\tau.$$

The Schrödinger operator is unitary on L^2 , so one gets

$$\|\Phi(\eta)(t)\|_2 \leq \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|(|\eta(\tau) + f_a(\tau)|^\alpha - |f_a(\tau)|^\alpha)(\eta(\tau) + f_a(\tau))\|_2 d\tau. \tag{36}$$

The nonlinearity we are working with,

$$F(z) = (|z + f_a(\tau, x)|^\alpha - |f_a(\tau, x)|^\alpha)(z + f_a(\tau, x)),$$

verifies $F(0) = 0$ and

$$|F'(z)| = \max\{|\partial_z F(z)|, |\partial_{\bar{z}} F(z)|\} \leq c(|f_a(\tau, x)|^\alpha + |z + f_a(\tau, x)|^\alpha).$$

Under the assumption $\alpha \geq 0$, we get

$$|F'(z)| \leq c(|f_a(\tau)|^\alpha + |z|^\alpha).$$

This is the classical growth hypothesis on nonlinearities for proving the local wellposedness. As done for the local L^2 Cauchy problem, one can split the nonlinearity in two parts, $F = F_1 + F_2$, with $|F_1'(z)| \leq c|f_a(\tau)|^\alpha$ and $|F_2'(z)| \leq c|z|^\alpha$, use

$$F(z_1) - F(z_2) = \int_0^1 F'(tz_1 + (1-t)z_2) dt (z_1 - z_2),$$

for estimating $F(\eta(\tau, x)) - F(0) = F(\eta(\tau, x))$, and obtain

$$\|\Phi(\eta)(t)\|_2 \leq \|u_0\|_2 + C \int_0^t |f_a(\tau)|^\alpha \|\eta(\tau)\|_2 + \|\eta^{\alpha+1}(\tau)\|_2 d\tau.$$

Therefore, for all $t > 0$,

$$\|\Phi(\eta)(t)\|_2 \leq \|u_0\|_2 + c(a) \|\eta\|_X \int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha \frac{d}{2}}} + \int_0^t \|\eta(\tau)\|_{L^{2(\alpha+1)}}^{\alpha+1} d\tau.$$

Now, we compute the second integral, and in the last term we perform a Hölder inequality

$$\|\Phi(\eta)(t)\|_2 \leq \|u_0\|_2 + c(a) \|\eta\|_X t^{1-\alpha \frac{d}{2}} + c \|\eta\|_{L^p([0,t], L^q)}^{\alpha+1} t^{\frac{4-d\alpha}{4}},$$

where

$$p = \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{d\alpha}, \quad q = 2(\alpha+1),$$

form an admissible couple. We get

$$\sup_{0 < t \leq t_0} \|\Phi(\eta)(t)\|_2 \leq \|u_0\|_2 + c(a) t_0^{1-\alpha \frac{d}{2}} \|\eta\|_X + c t_0^{1-\alpha \frac{d}{4}} \|\eta\|_X^{\alpha+1}.$$

Then, for $\|u_0\|_2$ finite, $\Phi(\eta)$ satisfies the first condition to be in X .

Let us treat now the $L^p L^q$ norm. By using the inhomogeneous global Strichartz inequalities, we obtain that for $t > 0$,

$$\|\Phi(\eta)(t)\|_{L^p L^q} \leq \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^{t_0} \left((|\eta(\tau) + f_a(\tau)|^\alpha - |f_a(\tau)|^\alpha)(\eta(\tau) + f_a(\tau)) \right) \|_2 d\tau.$$

The right-hand side term can be treated exactly like the one in (36). In conclusion, if u_0 is in L^2 , $\Phi(\eta)$ stays in X . Arguing as before, and assuming t_0 small with respect to $\|u_0\|_2$ and to $|a|$, we get that the operator Φ is a contraction on a closed ball of X . Therefore the lemma follows from the fixed point theorem. \square

Let us prove now the global existence result of Theorem 1.1, in the case $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. By multiplying Eq. (7) by $\bar{\eta}$, and by taking then the imaginary part, we have

$$\partial_t \|\eta(t)\|_2^2 = \mp 2 \int (|\eta(t, x) + f_a(t, x)|^\alpha - |f_a(t, x)|^\alpha) \Im f_a(t, x) \bar{\eta}(t, x) dx. \quad (37)$$

Lemma 2.2. *If $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ there exists $c(a) > 0$ such that for all $t \geq t'$ we have the a priori estimate*

$$\|\eta(t)\|_2^2 \leq \|\eta(t')\|_2^2 e^{c(a)t^{1-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

Proof. Lemma 6.1 allows us to upper-bound the right-hand side of (37) and get

$$\partial_t \|\eta(t)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{c(a)}{t^{\alpha\frac{d}{2}}} \|\eta(t)\|_2^2,$$

and the lemma follows. \square

Therefore, if $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, by using Lemma 2.2 we can extend the solution η for arbitrary large t_0 , as done in the critical case in Section 4.2.

3. The sub-critical power. The H^s case

3.1. Existence of solutions

Lemma 3.1. *Let $s > \frac{d}{2}$, and let $\epsilon_0 \in H^s$ with*

$$\|\epsilon_0\|_{H^s} \leq \frac{|a|}{8}.$$

There exists a time $t_0 = t_0(a)$ such that Eq. (10),

$$\begin{cases} -i\epsilon_t + \Delta\epsilon \pm \frac{1}{t^{2-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}} (|\epsilon + a|^\alpha - |a|^\alpha)(\epsilon + a) = 0, \\ \epsilon(1/t_0, x) = \epsilon_0(x), \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution ϵ in

$$Y = \left\{ f \in L^\infty((1/t_0, \infty), H^s), \sup_{t \geq 1/t_0} \|f(t)\|_{H^s} \leq \frac{|a|}{4} \right\}.$$

Proof. In order to do a fixed point argument in this space, we have to estimate the norm in Y of the operator

$$\Phi(\epsilon)(x, t) = e^{-it\Delta}\epsilon_0(x) \pm i \int_{1/t_0}^t e^{-i(t-\tau)\Delta} (|\epsilon(x, \tau) + a|^\alpha - |a|^\alpha)(\epsilon(x, \tau) + a) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

By using the fact that the Schrödinger operator is unitary on H^s ,

$$\|\Phi(\epsilon)(t)\|_{H^s} \leq \|\epsilon_0\|_{H^s} + \int_{1/t_0}^t \|(|\epsilon(\tau) + a|^\alpha - |a|^\alpha)(\epsilon(\tau) + a)\|_{H^s} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

The nonlinearity

$$\tilde{F}(z) = (|z + a|^\alpha - |a|^\alpha)(z + a)$$

is a C^∞ function on $|z| < \frac{|a|}{4}$ with $\tilde{F}(0) = 0$. Here we see the difference with the case of classical power-nonlinearity $|z|^\alpha z$, whose lack of regularity imposes the conditions $s < \alpha$ or α even. Since $\epsilon(\tau)$ is in Y and H^s is embedded in L^∞ , it follows that $|\epsilon(\tau)| \leq \|\epsilon(\tau)\|_{H^s} < \frac{|a|}{4}$. Therefore [4]

$$\|\tilde{F}(\epsilon(\tau))\|_{H^s} \leq c(a),$$

and

$$\|\Phi(\epsilon)(t)\|_{H^s} \leq \|\epsilon_0\|_{H^s} + c(a) \int_{1/t_0}^t \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

By computing the integral,

$$\|\Phi(\epsilon)(t)\|_{H^s} \leq \|\epsilon_0\|_{H^s} + c(a) \frac{|t^{-1+\alpha\frac{d}{2}} - t_0^{1-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}|}{|-1 + \alpha\frac{d}{2}|}.$$

We are in the case $\alpha < \frac{2}{d}$, so

$$\|\Phi(\epsilon)\|_Y \leq \|\epsilon_0\|_{H^s} + c(a)t_0^{1-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}.$$

The smallness of ϵ_0 in H^s in Y yield

$$\|\Phi(\epsilon)\|_Y \leq \frac{|a|}{8} + c(a)t_0^{1-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Then, for $t_0 = t_0(a)$ small enough, $\Phi(\epsilon)$ remains in Y . Moreover, by arguing similarly, we obtain that the operator acts as a contraction on Y . Therefore the fixed point theorem ends the proof. \square

3.2. Scattering properties

Lemma 3.2. *Let s an integer such that $s > \frac{d}{2}$.*

(i) *For all $\epsilon_+ \in H^s$ with*

$$\|\epsilon_+\|_{H^s} \leq \frac{|a|}{8},$$

there exists a solution ϵ of (10) in Y such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\epsilon(t) - e^{-it\Delta}\epsilon_+\|_{H^s} = 0.$$

(ii) *Let $\epsilon_0 \in H^s$ with*

$$\|\epsilon_0\|_{H^s} \leq \frac{|a|}{8},$$

and let ϵ the solution given by Lemma 3.1. Then there exists a ϵ_+ small in H^s such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\epsilon(t) - e^{-it\Delta}\epsilon_+\|_{H^s} = 0.$$

The wave operator of (i) is obtained by doing the same calculus as in the previous subsection, for a fixed point in Y with the operator

$$\Phi(\epsilon)(x, t) = e^{-it\Delta}\epsilon_+(x) \pm i \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-i(t-\tau)\Delta} (|\epsilon(x, \tau) + a|^\alpha - |a|^\alpha) (\epsilon(x, \tau) + a) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

Since the Schrödinger operator is unitary on H^s , proving (ii) is equivalent to proving that

$$e^{it\Delta}\epsilon(t)$$

has a limit in H^s as t goes to infinity, and also that

$$\lim_{t_1, t_2 \rightarrow +\infty} \|e^{it_1\Delta}\epsilon(t_1) - e^{it_2\Delta}\epsilon(t_2)\|_{H^s} = 0.$$

By using the Duhamel formulation, it is enough to show

$$\lim_{t_1, t_2 \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|(|\epsilon(\tau) + a|^\alpha - |a|^\alpha) (\epsilon(\tau) + a)\|_{H^s} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2-\alpha\frac{d}{2}}} = 0.$$

The last assertion follows from calculus similar to the one in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

For u_0 small in $\Sigma = \{(1 + |x|^s)f \in L^2\}$, let us define ϵ_+ by the Fourier relation, $\hat{\epsilon}_+(x/2) = u_0(x)$. Then ϵ_+ is small in H^s and Lemma 3.2 ensures us that there exists a time $t_0 = t_0(a)$ and a unique solution ϵ of (10) in $L^\infty((1/t_0, \infty), H^s)$ behaving at infinity as the free evolution of ϵ_+ .

Therefore we have the existence of a solution of the equation

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u \pm |u|^\alpha u = 0,$$

for all $0 < t < t_0$, given by

$$u(x, t) = u_{a, \pm\alpha} + \frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(it)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{\pm iA_{a,\alpha}(t)} \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{t}, \frac{x}{t}\right). \tag{38}$$

Let us see now what happens with u at time 0. On one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(it)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{\pm iA_{a,\alpha}(t)} \left(\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{t}, \frac{x}{t}\right) - e^{-\frac{i}{t}\Delta} \epsilon_+\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \right) \right\|_2 &\leq \frac{1}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \left\| \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{t}, \frac{x}{t}\right) - e^{-\frac{i}{t}\Delta} \epsilon_+\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \right\|_2 \\ &= \left\| \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) - e^{-\frac{i}{t}\Delta} \epsilon_+ \right\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then the decay of Lemma 3.2 allows us to say that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \left\| \frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(it)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{\pm iA_{a,\alpha}(t)} \left(\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{t}, \frac{x}{t}\right) - e^{-\frac{i}{t}\Delta} \epsilon_+\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \right) \right\|_2 = 0. \tag{39}$$

On the other hand, using the free Schrödinger evolution,

$$\frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(it)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{\pm iA_{a,\alpha}(t)} e^{-\frac{i}{t}\Delta} \epsilon_+\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) = \frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(it)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{\pm iA_{a,\alpha}(t)} c \frac{e^{-i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(-i/t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int e^{-iy^2 \frac{t}{4}} e^{i\frac{xy}{2}} \epsilon_+(y) dy.$$

So, taking in account $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} A_{a,\alpha}(t) = 0$, $u_0 \in H^2$, we have

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \left\| \frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(it)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{\pm iA_{a,\alpha}(t)} e^{-\frac{i}{t}\Delta} \epsilon_+\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) - \hat{\epsilon}_+\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_2 = 0. \tag{40}$$

Therefore, in view of (38), (39) and (40), we conclude that u verifies the initial condition

$$u(0, x) = a\delta_{x=0} + u_0(x),$$

so that u is a solution of Eq. (1).

4. The critical power, defocusing case

In order to treat the defocusing equation (28), for $1/t_0 < t < \infty$,

$$\begin{cases} -iv_t + v_{xx} - \frac{1}{t}(|v|^2 - |a|^2)v = 0, \\ v(1/t_0, x) = a + \epsilon_0(x), \end{cases}$$

we shall consider instead the equation on $\epsilon = v - a$,

$$\begin{cases} -i\epsilon_t + \epsilon_{xx} - \frac{1}{t}(|\epsilon + a|^2 - |a|^2)(\epsilon + a) = 0, \\ \epsilon(1/t_0, x) = \epsilon_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

4.1. A priori estimates

By multiplying Eq. (41) by $\overline{\partial_t \epsilon}$, and then by taking its real part, we get

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{2} \int |\epsilon_x(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{t} \int (|\epsilon(t, x) + a|^2 - |a|^2) \Re(\epsilon(t, x) + a) \overline{\partial_t \epsilon}(t, x) dx = 0.$$

Therefore we obtain a nice conservation law, that is,

$$\partial_t E(t) + \frac{1}{4t^2} \int (|\epsilon(t, x) + a|^2 - |a|^2)^2 dx = 0,$$

where

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\epsilon_x(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4t} \int (|\epsilon(t, x) + a|^2 - |a|^2)^2 dx.$$

By integrating from t' to t the energy law, we obtain

$$E(t) + \int_{t'}^t \int (|\epsilon(\tau, x) + a|^2 - |a|^2)^2 dx \frac{d\tau}{4\tau^2} = E(t').$$

It follows in particular that for all $t' \leq t$

$$\int |\epsilon_x(t, x)|^2 dx \leq 2E(t'), \tag{42}$$

and that

$$\int (|\epsilon(t, x) + a|^2 - |a|^2)^2 dx \leq 4tE(t'). \tag{43}$$

Finally, we shall get a control in time of the mass of ϵ . By multiplying Eq. (41) by $\bar{\epsilon}$ and taking the imaginary part we obtain the mass law

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{2} \int |\epsilon(t, x)|^2 dx = -\frac{1}{t} \int (|\epsilon(t, x) + a|^2 - |a|^2) \Im a \bar{\epsilon}(t, x) dx. \tag{44}$$

By performing a Cauchy–Schwarz inequality in space, we obtain

$$\partial_t \|\epsilon(t)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2|a|}{t} \|\epsilon(t)\|_2 \| |\epsilon(t) + a|^2 - |a|^2 \|_2.$$

Now we use the upper-bound (43) and get for all $t' \leq t$

$$\partial_t \|\epsilon(t)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{4|a|\sqrt{E(t')}}{\sqrt{t}} \|\epsilon(t)\|_2.$$

By integrating from t' to t it follows that for all $t' \leq t$,

$$\|\epsilon(t)\|_2 \leq \|\epsilon(t')\|_2 + c(a, \epsilon(t'))(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t'}) \leq c(a, t', \epsilon(t'))\sqrt{t}, \tag{45}$$

where the constant depends on a , on t' , on the energy and L^2 norms of $\epsilon(t')$.

4.2. Global existence in H^1

First, we solve Eq. (41) locally in time. We perform the classical argument of fixed point, done for the cubic equation with constant coefficients (see for example [11], first part of Proposition 4.2). In the proof, every time that the time t^{-1} appears in the nonlinear terms, we upper-bound it by t_0 . Therefore we can construct in X , the space introduced in Section 2, a solution of (41) living on $(1/t_0, T)$, where T has to verify

$$c(a) \left(T + T^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\|\epsilon_0\|_2)^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

So it is sufficient that T verifies

$$T \leq \frac{c(a)}{1 + \|\epsilon_0\|_2^4}.$$

In the constant coefficient case, this is enough to infer global existence in L^2 , since the mass is conserved. In our case, we shall use the control (45).

Suppose the maximum time of existence is a certain finite T . We shall prove that the solution can be defined also after T , and therefore the global existence is implied. Let δ a small positive number, to be chosen later. By treating the problem with initial value at time $T - \delta$, we can extend the solution ϵ for all time h satisfying

$$h \leq \frac{c(a)}{1 + \|\epsilon(T - \delta)\|_2^4}.$$

By using the control (45), it follows that we can choose such a h verifying furthermore

$$h \geq \frac{c(a, t_0, \epsilon_0)}{1 + (T - \delta)^2 \|\epsilon_0\|_2^4}.$$

Now, we can choose δ small enough, such that

$$\frac{c(a, t_0, \epsilon_0)}{1 + (T - \delta)^2 \|\epsilon_0\|_2^4} > \frac{c(a, t_0, \epsilon_0)}{1 + T^2 \|\epsilon_0\|_2^4} > \delta,$$

so it follows that $h > \delta$ and so we have extended ϵ after the time T .

Therefore we have global existence in L^2 , provided that the initial value ϵ_0 is finite in energy and L^2 norms. By using the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities in the energy definition, it is then enough to have ϵ_0 in H^1 . Finally, in view of the observation (42), the gradient of the solution remains bounded in time, so the global existence is valid in H^1 .

5. A remark on the global existence for the Gross–Pitaevskii equation

By denoting in (35) $u = \psi - 1$, we have

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u - (|u + 1|^2 - 1)(u + 1) = 0, \\ u(0, x) = \psi_0(x) - 1. \end{cases}$$

We multiply the equation with $\partial_t \bar{u}$, integrate in space and take the real part. We get that the energy

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int (|u(t, x) + 1|^2 - 1)^2 dx,$$

is conserved in time. Next, we multiply the equation by \bar{u} , integrate in space and take the imaginary part. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|u(t)\|_2^2 = \Im \int (|u(t, x) + 1|^2 - 1)(u(t, x) + 1)\bar{u}(t, x) dx = \Im \int (|u(t, x) + 1|^2 - 1)\bar{u}(t, x) dx.$$

By performing a Cauchy–Schwarz inequality in space we get

$$\partial_t \|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq 2 \| |u(t) + 1|^2 - 1 \|_2 \|u(t)\|_2,$$

and so

$$\partial_t \|u(t)\|_2 \leq \| |u(t) + 1|^2 - 1 \|_2.$$

The energy conservation allows us to conclude that

$$\partial_t \|u(t)\|_2 \leq 2\sqrt{E(0)}.$$

Therefore we have obtained the claimed a priori bound on the mass

$$\|u(t)\|_2 \leq 2\sqrt{E(0)}t + \|u_0\|_2,$$

which allows the passage to global existence.

6. A technical lemma

Lemma 6.1. *Let x, y be complex numbers, and $r \geq 0$. Then*

$$|x + y|^r - |y|^r \leq c(|y|^{r-1}|x| + |x|^r).$$

Moreover, if $0 \leq r \leq 1$ then

$$|x + y|^r - |y|^r \leq c|y|^{r-1}|x|.$$

Finally, if x is small with respect to y , then the last estimate is true for all $r \geq 0$.

Proof. By changing $x = zy$, we have to show for $r \geq 0$,

$$|z + 1|^r - 1 \leq c(|z| + |z|^r),$$

and for $0 \leq r \leq 1$, or for z small with respect to 1,

$$|z + 1|^r - 1 \leq c|z|.$$

If $|z| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ then

$$|z + 1| \leq |z| + 1 \leq 3|z|,$$

and since $r \geq 0$,

$$|z + 1|^r \leq 3^r |z|^r.$$

In conclusion,

$$|z + 1|^r - 1 \leq 3^r |z|^r \leq c(|z| + |z|^r).$$

If $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ then 0 is not in the interval $I = [\min\{1, |z + 1|\}, \max\{1, |z + 1|\}]$. We consider the function $f(x) = x^r$ defined on I and we get by the mean value theorem

$$|z + 1|^r - 1 \leq |z + 1 - 1| r \sup_{\alpha \in \{1, |z+1|\}} \alpha^{r-1} \leq |z|r(1 + |z + 1|^{r-1}). \quad (46)$$

If $r \geq 1$, then

$$|z + 1|^{r-1} \leq (|z| + 1)^{r-1} \leq 2^{r-1},$$

and we get from (46) that

$$|z + 1|^r - 1 \leq c|z|.$$

If $r < 1$, then

$$|z + 1| \geq 1 - |z| \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$|z + 1|^{r-1} \leq 2^{-(r-1)},$$

and it follows again from (46) that

$$|z + 1|^r - 1 \leq c|z|.$$

So, under smallness conditions on $|z|$ with respect to 1, for $r \geq 0$, we have

$$|z + 1|^r - 1 \leq c|z|.$$

Otherwise, for general z , we get only

$$|z + 1|^r - 1 \leq c(|z| + |z|^r).$$

Finally, let us treat the case $0 \leq r \leq 1$. If $|z + 1| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ then

$$|z + 1|^{r-1} \leq 2^{-(r-1)},$$

and by (46) we get

$$||z + 1|^r - 1| \leq c|z|.$$

If $|z + 1| < \frac{1}{2}$ then on one hand $|z + 1|^r < 2^{-r} < 1$, and so

$$||z + 1|^r - 1| < 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$|z| \geq 1 - |z + 1| > \frac{1}{2},$$

and we get again

$$||z + 1|^r - 1| < c|z|. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgements

We thank the referee for the careful reading of the paper and his multiple suggestions.

References

- [1] F. Bethuel, J.-C. Saut, Travelling waves for the Gross–Pitaevskii equation I, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Theor.* 70 (2) (1999) 147–238.
- [2] H. Brézis, A. Friedman, Nonlinear parabolic equations involving measures as initial conditions, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 62 (1983) 73–97.
- [3] T. Cazenave, F. Weissler, The Cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger in H^s , *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 14 (1990) 807–836.
- [4] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Astérisque, vol. 57, Soc. Math. France, 1978.
- [5] L.S. Da Rios, On the motion of an unbounded fluid with a vortex filament of any shape, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* 22 (1906) 117.
- [6] F. de la Hoz, Self-similar solutions for the 1-D Schrödinger map on the hyperbolic plane, *Math. Z.* 257 (2007) 61–80.
- [7] Q. Ding, A note on NLS and the Schrödinger flow of maps, *Phys. Lett. A* 248 (1) (1998) 49–56.
- [8] C. Gallo, Schrödinger group on Zhidkov spaces, *Adv. Differential Equations* 9 (2004) 509–538.
- [9] C. Gallo, The Cauchy problem for defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations with non-vanishing initial data at infinity, preprint.
- [10] P. Gérard, The Cauchy problem for the Gross–Pitaevskii equation, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 23 (2006) 765–779.
- [11] J. Ginibre, *Introduction aux équations de Schrödinger non linéaires*, Edition de Paris-Sud, 1998.
- [12] J. Ginibre, G. Velo, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* 32 (1979) 1–71.
- [13] O. Goubet, Two remarks on solutions of Gross–Pitaevskii equations on Zhidkov spaces, *Monatsh. Math.* 151 (2007) 39–44.
- [14] A. Grünrock, Abstract in Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach report 50 (2004).
- [15] S. Gustafson, K. Nakanishi, T.-P. Tsai, Scattering for the Gross–Pitaevskii equation, *Math. Res. Lett.* 13 (2–3) (2006) 273–286.
- [16] S. Gutiérrez, J. Rivas, L. Vega, Formation of singularities and self-similar vortex motion under the localized induction approximation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 28 (2003) 927–968.
- [17] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, On the ill-posedness of some canonical non-linear dispersive equations, *Duke Math. J.* 106 (3) (2001) 617–633.
- [18] N. Kita, Nonlinear Schrödinger equation with triple δ -functions as initial data, in: Sapporo Guest House Symposium 20 “Nonlinear Wave Equations”, 2005.
- [19] N. Koiso, Vortex filament equation and semilinear Schrödinger equation, in: *Nonlinear Waves*, Sapporo, 1995, in: GAKUTO Internat. Ser. Math. Sci. Appl., vol. 10, Gakkōtoshō, Tokyo, 1997, pp. 231–236.
- [20] T. Ozawa, Long range scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 139 (3) (1991) 479–493.
- [21] A. Vargas, L. Vega, Global well-posedness for 1d non-linear Schrödinger equations for data with an infinite L^2 norm, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 80 (10) (2001) 1029–1044.
- [22] F. Weissler, Asymptotic analysis of an ordinary differential equation and non-uniqueness for a semilinear partial differential equation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 91 (1986) 231–245.
- [23] P.E. Zhidkov, The Cauchy problem for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Soobshch. OIYaI R5-87-373*, Dubna, 1987.
- [24] P.E. Zhidkov, Kortevég–de-Vries and Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations: Qualitative Theory, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1756, Springer-Verlag, 2001.