

Corrigendum

Corrigendum for the comparison theorems in: “A new definition of viscosity solutions for a class of second-order degenerate elliptic integro-differential equations”

[Ann. I. H. Poincaré – AN 23 (5) (2006) 695–711]

Mariko Arisawa

*GSIS, Tohoku University, Aramaki 09, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan*

Available online 13 November 2006

In this note, we shall present the correction of the proofs of the comparison results in the paper [1]. In order to show clearly the correct way of the demonstration, we shall simplify the problem to the following.

(Problem (I)):

$$F(x, u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} u(x+z) - u(x) - \mathbf{1}_{|z| \leq 1} \langle z, \nabla u(x) \rangle q(dz) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1)$$

(Problem (II)):

$$F(x, u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u) - \int_{\{z \in \mathbf{R}^N \mid x+z \in \bar{\Omega}\}} u(x+z) - u(x) - \mathbf{1}_{|z| \leq 1} \langle z, \nabla u(x) \rangle q(dz) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$  is open, and  $q(dz)$  is a positive Radon measure such that  $\int_{|z| \leq 1} |z|^2 q(dz) + \int_{|z| > 1} 1 q(dz) < \infty$ . Although in [1] only (II) was studied, in order to avoid the non-essential technical complexity, here, let us give the explanation mainly for (I). For (I), we consider the Dirichlet B.C.:

$$u(x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega^c, \quad (3)$$

where  $g$  is a given continuous function in  $\Omega^c$ . For (II), we assume that  $\Omega$  is a precompact convex open subset in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  with  $C^1$  boundary satisfying the uniform exterior sphere condition, and consider either the Dirichlet B.C.:

$$u(x) = h(x) \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega, \quad (4)$$

where  $h$  is a given continuous function on  $\partial\Omega$ , or the Neumann B.C.:

$$\langle \nabla u(x), \mathbf{n}(x) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}(x) \in \mathbf{R}^N$  the outward unit normal vector field defined on  $\partial\Omega$ . The above problems are studied in the framework of the viscosity solutions introduced in [1]. Under all the assumptions in [1], for (I) the following comparison result holds, and for (II), although the proofs therein are incomplete, the comparison results stated in [1] hold, and we shall show in a future article.

DOI of original article: [10.1016/j.anihpc.2005.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2005.09.002).

E-mail address: [arisawa@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp](mailto:arisawa@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp) (M. Arisawa).

**Theorem 1.1** (Problem (I) with Dirichlet B.C.). Assume that  $\Omega$  is bounded, and the conditions for  $F$  in [1] hold. Let  $u \in \text{USC}(\mathbf{R}^N)$  and  $v \in \text{LSC}(\mathbf{R}^N)$  be respectively a viscosity subsolution and a supersolution of (1) in  $\Omega$ , which satisfy  $u \leq v$  on  $\Omega^c$ . Then,  $u \leq v$  in  $\Omega$ .

To prove Theorem 1.1, we approximate the solutions  $u$  and  $v$  by the supconvolution:  $u^r(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^N} \{u(y) - \frac{1}{2r^2}|x - y|^2\}$  and the infconvolution:  $v_r(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbf{R}^N} \{v(y) + \frac{1}{2r^2}|x - y|^2\}$  ( $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$ ), where  $r > 0$ .

**Lemma 1.2** (Approximation for Problem (I)). Let  $u$  and  $v$  be respectively a viscosity subsolution and a supersolution of (1). For any  $v > 0$  there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $u^r$  and  $v_r$  are respectively a subsolution and a supersolution of the following problems.

$$F(x, u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} u(x+z) - u(x) - \mathbf{1}_{|z| \leq 1} \langle z, \nabla u(x) \rangle q(dz) \leq v, \tag{6}$$

$$F(x, v, \nabla v, \nabla^2 v) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} v(x+z) - v(x) - \mathbf{1}_{|z| \leq 1} \langle z, \nabla v(x) \rangle q(dz) \geq -v, \tag{7}$$

in  $\Omega_r = \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \sqrt{2Mr}\}$ , where  $M = \max\{\sup_{\overline{\Omega}} |u|, \sup_{\overline{\Omega}} |v|\}$ .

Remark that  $u^r$  is semiconvex,  $v_r$  is semiconcave, and both are Lipschitz continuous in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . We then deduce from the Jensen’s maximum principle and the Alexandrov’s theorem (deep results in the convex analysis, see [2] and [3]), the following lemma, the last claim of which is quite important in the limit procedure in the nonlocal term.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $U$  be semiconvex and  $V$  be semiconcave in  $\Omega$ . For  $\phi(x, y) = \alpha|x - y|^2$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) consider  $\Phi(x, y) = U(x) - V(y) - \phi(x, y)$ , and assume that  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  is an interior maximum of  $\Phi$  in  $\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega}$ . Assume also that there is an open precompact subset  $O$  of  $\Omega \times \Omega$  containing  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , and that  $\mu = \sup_O \Phi(x, y) - \sup_{\partial O} \Phi(x, y) > 0$ . Then, the following holds.

- (i) There exists a sequence of points  $(x_m, y_m) \in O$  ( $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ) such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (x_m, y_m) = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , and  $(p_m, X_m) \in J_{\Omega^+}^{2,+} U(x_m)$ ,  $(p'_m, Y_m) \in J_{\Omega^-}^{2,-} V(y_m)$  such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p'_m = 2\alpha(x_m - y_m) = p,$$

and  $X_m \leq Y_m \forall m$ .

- (ii) For  $P_m = (p_m - p, -(p'_m - p))$ ,  $\Phi_m(x, y) = \Phi(x, y) - \langle P_m, (x, y) \rangle$  takes a maximum at  $(x_m, y_m)$  in  $O$ .
- (iii) The following holds for any  $z \in \mathbf{R}^N$  such that  $(x_m + z, y_m + z) \in O$ .

$$U(x_m + z) - U(x_m) - \langle p_m, z \rangle \leq V(y_m + z) - V(y_m) - \langle p'_m, z \rangle. \tag{8}$$

By admitting these lemmas here, let us show how Theorem 1.1 is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We use the argument by contradiction, and assume that  $\max_{\overline{\Omega}}(u - v) = (u - v)(x_0) = M_0 > 0$  for  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Then, we approximate  $u$  by  $u^r$  (supconvolution) and  $v$  by  $v_r$  (infconvolution), which are a subsolution and a supersolution of (6) and (7), respectively. Clearly,  $\max_{\overline{\Omega}}(u^r - v_r) \geq M_0 > 0$ . Let  $\bar{x} \in \Omega$  be the maximizer of  $u^r - v_r$ . In the following, we abbreviate the index and write  $u = u^r$ ,  $v = v_r$  without any confusion. As in the PDE theory, consider  $\Phi(x, y) = u(x) - v(y) - \alpha|x - y|^2$ , and let  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$  be the maximizer of  $\Phi$ . Then, from Lemma 1.3 there exists  $(x_m, y_m) \in \Omega$  ( $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ) such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (x_m, y_m) = (\hat{x}, \hat{y})$ , and we can take  $(\varepsilon_m, \delta_m)$  a pair of positive numbers such that

$$u(x_m + z) \leq u(x_m) + \langle p_m, z \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle X_m z, z \rangle + \delta_m |z|^2, \quad v(y_m + z) \geq v(y_m) + \langle p'_m, z \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Y_m z, z \rangle - \delta_m |z|^2,$$

for  $\forall |z| \leq \varepsilon_m$ . From the definition of the viscosity solutions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F(x_m, u(x_m), p_m, X_m) - \int_{|z| \leq \varepsilon_m} \frac{1}{2} \langle (X_m + 2\delta_m I)z, z \rangle dq(z) \\
 & - \int_{|z| \geq \varepsilon_m} u(x_m + z) - u(x_m) - \mathbf{1}_{|z| \leq 1} \langle z, p_m \rangle q(dz) \leq \nu, \\
 & F(y_m, v(y_m), p'_m, Y_m) - \int_{|z| \leq \varepsilon_m} \frac{1}{2} \langle (Y_m - 2\delta_m I)z, z \rangle dq(z) \\
 & - \int_{|z| \geq \varepsilon_m} v(y_m + z) - v(y_m) - \mathbf{1}_{|z| \leq 1} \langle z, p'_m \rangle q(dz) \geq -\nu.
 \end{aligned}$$

By taking the difference of the above two inequalities, by using (8), and by passing  $m \rightarrow \infty$  (thanking to (8), it is now available), we can obtain the desired contradiction. The claim  $u \leq v$  is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 1.1.** To prove the comparison results for (II) (in [1]), we do the approximation by the supconvolution:  $u^r(x) = \sup_{y \in \bar{\Omega}} \{u(y) - \frac{1}{2r^2}|x - y|^2\}$ , and the infconvolution:  $v_r(x) = \inf_{y \in \bar{\Omega}} \{v(y) + \frac{1}{2r^2}|x - y|^2\}$  as in Lemma 1.2. Because of the restriction of the domain of the integral of the nonlocal term and the Neumann B.C., a slight technical complexity is added. The approximating problem for (2)–(5) in  $\bar{\Omega}$  is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min \left[ F(x, u(x), \nabla u(x), \nabla^2 u(x)) + \min_{y \in \bar{\Omega}, |x-y| \leq \sqrt{2Mr}} \left\{ - \int_{\{z \in \mathbf{R}^N \mid y+z \in \bar{\Omega}\}} u(x+z) - u(x) \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - \mathbf{1}_{|z| \leq 1} \langle z, \nabla u(x) \rangle q(dz), \min_{y \in \partial \Omega, |x-y| \leq \sqrt{2Mr}} \{ \langle \mathbf{n}(y), \nabla u(x) \rangle + \rho \} \right\} \right] \leq \nu, \\
 & \max \left[ F(x, v(x), \nabla v(x), \nabla^2 v(x)) + \max_{y \in \bar{\Omega}, |x-y| \leq \sqrt{2Mr}} \left\{ - \int_{\{z \in \mathbf{R}^N \mid y+z \in \bar{\Omega}\}} v(x+z) - v(x) \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - \mathbf{1}_{|z| \leq 1} \langle z, \nabla v(x) \rangle q(dz), \max_{y \in \partial \Omega, |x-y| \leq \sqrt{2Mr}} \{ \langle \mathbf{n}(y), \nabla v(x) \rangle - \rho \} \right\} \right] \geq -\nu.
 \end{aligned}$$

We deduce the comparison result from this approximation and Lemma 1.3, by using the similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**References**

[1] M. Arisawa, A new definition of viscosity solutions for a class of second-order degenerate elliptic integro-differential equations, Ann. I. H. Poincaré – AN 23 (5) (2006) 695–711.  
 [2] M.G. Crandall, H. Ishii, P.-L. Lions, User’s guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1) (1992).  
 [3] W.H. Fleming, H.M. Soner, Controlled Markov Processes and Viscosity Solutions, Springer-Verlag, 1992.