

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT **HENRI** POINCARÉ **ANALYSE NON LINÉAIRE**

Ann. I. H. Poincaré – AN 26 (2009) 1049–1054

www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpc

Erratum to: "Multiple critical points of perturbed symmetric strongly indefinite functionals" [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2008.06.002]

Erratum

Denis Bonheure ^a*,*∗*,*¹ , Miguel Ramos ^b*,*²

^a *Université libre de Bruxelles, Département de Mathématique, U.L.B., CP214, Boulevard du Triomphe, 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium* ^b *University of Lisbon, CMAF – Faculty of Science, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal*

Received 21 October 2008; accepted 14 January 2009

Available online 17 March 2009

Abstract

We correct the statement and the proof of Proposition 9 in [D. Bonheure, M. Ramos, Multiple critical points of perturbed symmetric strongly indefinite functionals, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2008.06.002].

© 2008 L'Association Publications de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 35J50; 35J55; 58E05

Keywords: Elliptic system; Strongly indefinite functional; Perturbation from symmetry; Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction; Genericity

The proof of [1, Proposition 9] is incorrect. We weaken the statement of this proposition and present a proof of it. The weaker statement however is enough for the purposes of [1]. We use the notation and assumptions introduced in [1].

Let $I^* : E \to \mathbb{R}$ be the functional associated to the problem

Consider the associated reduced functional

$$
J^*(\alpha) := I^*(\alpha + \psi^*_{\alpha}, \alpha - \psi^*_{\alpha}) := \max_{\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)} I^*(\alpha + \psi, \alpha - \psi). \tag{1.2}
$$

Corresponding author.

DOI of original article: 10.1016/j.anihpc.2008.06.002.

E-mail addresses: denis.bonheure@ulb.ac.be (D. Bonheure), mramos@ptmat.fc.ul.pt (M. Ramos).

¹ Research supported by the F.R.S.-FNRS (Belgium).

² Research partially supported by FCT (Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia), program POCI-ISFL-1-209 (Portugal/Feder-EU).

^{0294-1449/\$ –} see front matter © 2008 L'Association Publications de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.anihpc.2009.01.007

Recall that if α is a critical point of J^* then

$$
-2\Delta\alpha = f(u_{\alpha}^*) + g(v_{\alpha}^*),\tag{1.3}
$$

where $u_{\alpha}^* := \alpha + \psi_{\alpha}^*$, $v_{\alpha}^* := \alpha - \psi_{\alpha}^*$ and ψ_{α}^* is the unique solution of the following equation in $H_0^1(\Omega)$:

$$
-2\Delta \psi_{\alpha}^* = g(v_{\alpha}^*) - f(u_{\alpha}^*). \tag{1.4}
$$

Denote by $m^*(\alpha)$ the *augmented Morse index* of the critical point α with respect to J^* , i.e. the number of non-positive eigenvalues of the quadratic form $(J^*)''(\alpha)$.

We will be interested in special critical points constructed via a min-max argument. To that purpose, we introduce the following notations. Let us write

$$
H := H_0^1(\Omega) = E_k \oplus E_k^{\perp},
$$

where, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, E_k is spanned by the first k eigenfunctions of the Laplacian operator in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Arguing as in [1, Lemma 3], we can provide a large constant $R_k > 0$ such that $J^*(\alpha) < 0$ for every $\alpha \in E_k$ satisfying $\|\alpha\| > R_k$. Let

 $G_k := \{ \sigma \in C\big(B_{R_k}(0) \cap E_k; H\big) \mid \sigma(-\alpha) = -\sigma(\alpha) \,\forall \alpha \in H, \,\, \sigma_{|\partial B_{R_k}(0) \cap E_k} = \text{Id}\}\$

and define the minimax levels

$$
b_k := \inf_{\sigma \in G_k} \max \big\{ J^*(\sigma(\alpha)) \colon \alpha \in B_{R_k}(0) \cap E_k \big\}.
$$
\n(1.5)

We next derive a bound on b_k .

Proposition 9. Assume $2 < p \leqslant q \leqslant 2^*$. There exist $C > 0$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for every $k \geqslant k_0$,

$$
k \leqslant C b_k^{(1-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})\frac{N}{2}}.
$$

Proof. For the sake of clarity we divide the proof in several steps.

Step 1. According to (1.3) and (1.4), if α is a critical point of J^* , $m^*(\alpha)$ is the number of eigenvalues $\mu \leq 1$ of the problem

$$
-2\Delta \varphi = \mu \big(f'(u_{\alpha}^*) (\varphi + \phi) + g'(v_{\alpha}^*) (\varphi - \phi) \big), \quad \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \tag{1.6}
$$

where $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ solves

$$
-2\Delta\phi = g'(v_{\alpha}^*)(\varphi - \phi) - f'(u_{\alpha}^*)(\varphi + \phi).
$$
\n(1.7)

By denoting $V = (f'(u_{\alpha}^*) + g'(v_{\alpha}^*))/2$ and $W = (f'(u_{\alpha}^*) - g'(v_{\alpha}^*))/2$, we can rephrase (1.6)–(1.7) by

$$
-\Delta \varphi = \mu (V\varphi + W\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad (-\Delta + V)\varphi = -W\varphi.
$$

Hence, $m^*(\alpha)$ is the number of eigenvalues $\mu \leq 1$ of the problem

$$
-\Delta \varphi = \mu T \varphi, \quad \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega),
$$

where *T* is the compact operator

$$
T := V - W(-\Delta + V)^{-1}W.
$$

Since the operator $W(-\Delta + V)^{-1}W$ is positive, we have that $m^*(\alpha) \leq m_V^*(\alpha)$, where the latter quantity denotes the number of eigenvalues $\mu \leqslant 1$ of the problem

$$
-\Delta \varphi = \mu V(x)\varphi, \quad \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).
$$

According to a well-known estimate obtained in [2,3,5] (see e.g. [6] for a proof), we have that

$$
m_V^*(\alpha) \leqslant C \int V(x)^{N/2} \tag{1.8}
$$

for some universal constant $C > 0$. Going back to the original system $-\Delta u = |v|^{q-2}v$, $-\Delta v = |u|^{p-2}u$, we observe that $\int |u^*_{\alpha}|^p = \int |v^*_{\alpha}|^q$ and

$$
J^*(\alpha) = I^*\left(u^*_{\alpha}, v^*_{\alpha}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int \left|u^*_{\alpha}\right|^p + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int \left|v^*_{\alpha}\right|^q
$$

$$
= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int \left|u^*_{\alpha}\right|^p
$$

$$
= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int \left|v^*_{\alpha}\right|^q.
$$

By using this and by applying Hölder inequality in (1.8) we get that

$$
m^*(\alpha) \leq C \left(J^*(\alpha)^{(p-2)N/2p} + J^*(\alpha)^{(q-2)N/q} \right). \tag{1.9}
$$

We will next refine this estimate by introducing a free parameter.

Step 2. For any $\lambda > 0$, define

$$
J_{\lambda}^{*}(\alpha) := I^{*}\left(\lambda\alpha + \psi_{\alpha,\lambda}^{*}, \alpha - \frac{\psi_{\alpha,\lambda}^{*}}{\lambda}\right) := \max_{\psi \in H} I^{*}\left(\lambda\alpha + \psi, \alpha - \frac{\psi}{\lambda}\right),
$$

so that $J_1^*(\alpha) = J^*(\alpha)$. Arguing as in step 1, we can check that if α is a critical point of J_λ^* then the corresponding Morse index $m_{\lambda}^{*}(\alpha)$ is given by the number of eigenvalues $\mu \leq 1$ of the problem

 $-\Delta \varphi = \mu T_{\lambda} \varphi, \quad \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega),$

where T_{λ} is the compact operator

$$
T_{\lambda} := V_{\lambda} - W_{\lambda}(-\Delta + V_{\lambda})^{-1}W_{\lambda},
$$

with $V_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda f'(u_{\alpha}^*) + g'(v_{\alpha}^*)/\lambda)$ and $W_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}(f'(u_{\alpha}^*) - g'(v_{\alpha}^*)/\lambda^2)$. Here, of course, $u_{\alpha}^* := \lambda \alpha + \psi_{\alpha,\lambda}^*$, $v_{\alpha}^* := \alpha - \frac{\psi_{\alpha,\lambda}^*}{\lambda}$. Then, similarly to (1.8) – (1.9) , we get that

$$
m_{\lambda}^{*}(\alpha) \leqslant C\bigg(\big(\lambda J_{\lambda}^{*}(\alpha)^{(p-2)/p}\big)^{N/2} + \bigg(\frac{J_{\lambda}^{*}(\alpha)^{(q-2)/q}}{\lambda}\bigg)^{N/2}\bigg).
$$
\n(1.10)

Step 3. As a further preliminary step in our proof, we introduce the map

$$
\theta_\lambda(\alpha) := \frac{\lambda+1}{2}\alpha + \frac{\lambda-1}{2\lambda}\psi^*_{\alpha,\lambda}.
$$

We claim that $\theta_{\lambda}: H \to H$ is an odd homeomorphism such that

$$
J_{\lambda}^*(\theta_{\lambda}^{-1}(\alpha)) \leqslant J_1^*(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in H. \tag{1.11}
$$

We can already assume that $\lambda \neq 1$, otherwise our statement is obvious. Observe that given $\beta \in H$ it is possible to find an unique $\alpha \in H$ such that

$$
\psi_{\alpha,\lambda}^* = \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda - 1}\beta - \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 1)}{\lambda - 1}\alpha.
$$

Indeed, using the definition of $\psi^*_{\alpha,\lambda}$, this means that we must solve the equation in *H*:

$$
-2\lambda \frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda-1} \Delta \alpha = \frac{-4\lambda}{\lambda-1} \Delta \beta - g \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda-1} \alpha - \frac{2}{\lambda-1} \beta \right) + \lambda f \left(\frac{-2\lambda}{\lambda-1} \alpha + \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda-1} \beta \right)
$$

and, clearly, this problem has a unique solution $\alpha \in H$ for any given $\beta \in H$. As for (1.11), given $\alpha \in H$, let $\beta =$ *θ*_λ⁻¹(α). Then $\alpha = \theta_\lambda(\beta)$ and

$$
J_{\lambda}^{*}(\beta) := I^{*}\left(\lambda\beta + \psi_{\beta,\lambda}, \beta - \frac{\psi_{\beta,\lambda}}{\lambda}\right) = I^{*}(\alpha + \varphi, \alpha - \varphi) \leqslant J_{1}^{*}(\alpha),
$$

where $\varphi := \lambda \beta + \psi_{\beta, \lambda} - \alpha$ and we have used the definition of J_1^* in the last inequality.

Step 4. We now describe the following min-max construction. By using Fatou's lemma, we easily see that for any finite dimensional subspace *Y* of *H*, $J^*_{\lambda}(\alpha) \to -\infty$ as $\|\alpha\| \to \infty$, $\alpha \in Y$. From now on, we denote by Q^{λ}_{k} a large ball $Q_k^{\lambda} = B_{R_k^{\lambda}}(0) \cap E_k$ and

$$
\partial Q_k^{\lambda} := \big\{ \alpha \in E_k : \ \|\alpha\| = R_k^{\lambda} \big\}, \qquad S := \big\{ \alpha \in H_{k-1}^{\perp} : \ \|\alpha\| = \rho \big\}.
$$

The constant $\rho = \rho_k$ is defined in the following way: from now on we restrict ourselves to a fixed interval $\lambda \in$ $[\lambda^*, +\infty[$ with $0 < \lambda^* < 1$; then it is possible to fix $\rho \in]0, R_k[$ in such a way that

$$
\inf \left\{ I^* \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda + 1} \alpha, \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda + 1} \alpha \right) : \ \alpha \in S \right\} > 0. \tag{1.12}
$$

We stress that ρ does not depend on λ . The positive constant R_k^{λ} is taken large enough so that $J_{\lambda}^*(\alpha) < 0$ for every $\alpha \in E_k$ such that $\|\alpha\| \ge R_k^{\lambda}$ and we choose $R_k^1 = R_k$. By possibly taking a larger R_k^{λ} , we also require that

$$
\left\|\theta_{\lambda}^{-1}(\alpha)\right\| > \rho, \quad \forall \alpha \in \partial \mathcal{Q}_{k}^{\lambda}.\tag{1.13}
$$

Finally, we require that $R_k^{\lambda} \ge R_k^1$ for every $\lambda \in [\lambda^*, +\infty[$.

Given $A \subset H$, we say that A and S *link* if:

- (i) *A* is compact and symmetric;
- (ii) *A* contains a subset *B* which is odd homeomorphic to ∂Q_k^{λ} and sup_{*B*} $J_{\lambda}^* < 0$;
- (iii) $\gamma(A) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for every odd and continuous map $\gamma : A \to H$ such that $\gamma|_B = \text{Id}$. Accordingly, we denote

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\lambda} := \{ A \subset H : A \text{ and } S \text{ link} \}
$$

and

$$
c_{\lambda}^* := \inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}} \sup_A J_{\lambda}^*.
$$

The class A_λ contains the set Q_k^λ , since $\rho < R_k^\lambda$. We also observe that $c_\lambda^* > 0$. Indeed, by definition, we have $c_{\lambda}^{*} \ge \inf_{S} J_{\lambda}^{*}$ while, using the very definition of J_{λ}^{*} , for every $\alpha \in H$,

$$
J_{\lambda}^{*}(\alpha) \geqslant I^{*}\left(\lambda\alpha+\varphi,\alpha-\frac{\varphi}{\lambda}\right) = I^{*}\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda+1}\alpha,\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda+1}\alpha\right),
$$

where $\varphi := \frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)}{1+\lambda} \alpha$, and our claim follows from (1.12).

Now, it is standard that there exists a critical point α_{λ} of J_{λ}^{*} at level c_{λ}^{*} satisfying $m_{\lambda}^{*}(\alpha_{\lambda}) \geq k$. It then follows from (1.9) that

$$
k \leq C\left(\left(\lambda \left(c_{\lambda}^{*}\right)^{(p-2)/p}\right)^{N/2} + \left(\frac{\left(c_{\lambda}^{*}\right)^{(q-2)/q}}{\lambda}\right)^{N/2}\right).
$$
\n(1.14)

This estimate holds uniformly in λ and in k, provided λ is bounded away from zero. In our final step below we prove that, for every *λ*,

$$
c_{\lambda}^* \leqslant b_k. \tag{1.15}
$$

By inserting (1.15) in the inequality (1.14) with $\lambda := b_k^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}$ completes then the proof of Proposition 9. We stress that indeed $b_k \geq 1$ for every large $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 5. In order to prove (1.15), given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\sigma \in G_k$ be such that $\sup_{\sigma(Q_k^1)} J_1^* \leq b_k + \varepsilon$; we recall that $Q_k^1 =$ $B_{R_k^1}(0) \cap E_k = B_{R_k}(0) \cap E_k$. Since $R_k^1 \le R_k^{\lambda}$, we can extend σ to Q_k^{λ} by setting

$$
\tilde{\sigma}(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \sigma(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in Q_k^1, \\ \alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in Q_k^{\lambda} \setminus Q_k^1. \end{cases}
$$

Let $A := \theta_{\lambda}^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma}(Q_k^{\lambda}))$. We claim that $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}$. Indeed, by letting $B := \theta_{\lambda}^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma}(\partial Q_k^{\lambda})) = \theta_{\lambda}^{-1}(\partial Q_k^{\lambda})$, thanks to (1.11) we see that

$$
\sup_{B} J_{\lambda}^* \leqslant \sup_{\tilde{\sigma}(\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k}^{\lambda})} J_{1}^* = \sup_{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k}^{\lambda}} J_{1}^* < 0
$$

since $R_k^{\lambda} \ge R_k^1$. On the other hand, let $\gamma : A \to H$ be any odd and continuous map such that $\gamma(\alpha) = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in$ $\theta_{\lambda}^{-1}(\partial Q_k^{\lambda})$. Define

$$
\mathcal{U} := \big\{ \alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_{k}^{\lambda} \colon \, \big\| \gamma \big(\theta_{\lambda}^{-1} \big(\tilde{\sigma}(\alpha) \big) \big) \big\| < \rho \big\}.
$$

This is a bounded, symmetric neighborhood of the origin in *Ek* . According to the Borsuk–Ulam theorem, there exists $\alpha \in \partial \mathcal{U}$ such that $\gamma(\beta) \in E_{k-1}^{\perp}$, with $\beta := \theta_{\lambda}^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma}(\alpha))$. Of course we have $\|\gamma(\beta)\| \leq \rho$. Now, if $\alpha \in \partial \mathcal{Q}_k^{\lambda}$ then $\gamma(\beta) =$ $\theta_{\lambda}^{-1}(\alpha)$ and so $\|\gamma(\beta)\| > \rho$, see (1.13). Thus $\alpha \notin \partial Q_k^{\lambda}$ and therefore $\|\gamma(\beta)\| = \rho$. In conclusion, $\gamma(\beta) \in \gamma(A) \cap S$. This proves the required linking property and shows that $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}$. As a consequence,

$$
c_{\lambda}^* \leqslant \sup_A J_{\lambda}^*.
$$

But, again by (1.11) and the fact that $R_k^{\lambda} \ge R_k^1$ we have that

$$
\sup_{A}J_{\lambda}^{\ast}=\sup_{\theta_{\lambda}^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma}(Q_{k}^{\lambda}))}J_{\lambda}^{\ast}\leqslant \sup_{\tilde{\sigma}(Q_{k}^{\lambda})}J_{1}^{\ast}=\sup_{(Q_{k}^{\lambda}\backslash Q_{k}^{\lambda})\cup \sigma(Q_{k}^{\lambda})}J_{1}^{\ast}=\sup_{\sigma(Q_{k}^{\lambda})}J_{1}^{\ast}.
$$

In conclusion,

 $c_{\lambda}^* \leq b_k + \varepsilon$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

and this establishes (1.15) . \Box

The proof of [1, Theorem 1] follows easily from Proposition 9. [1, Claim 2 of Theorem 1] has to be adapted to the new statement of Proposition 9. We include the details for completeness.

We denote again by E_k the eigenspace associated to the first *k* eigenfunctions of the Laplacian operator in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and we fix a large constant $\tilde{R}_k > 0$ such that $\tilde{J}(\alpha) < 0$ for every $\alpha \in E_k$ satisfying $\|\alpha\| > \tilde{R}_k$. Let

 $G_k := \{ \sigma \in C(B_{\tilde{R}_k}(0) \cap E_k; H_0^1(\Omega)) \mid \sigma(-\alpha) = -\sigma(\alpha), \ \sigma(\partial B_{\tilde{R}_k}(0) \cap E_k = \text{Id} \},$

and define the minimax levels

$$
\tilde{b}_k := \inf_{\sigma \in G_k} \max \{ \tilde{J}(\sigma(\alpha)) : \alpha \in B_{\tilde{R}_k}(0) \cap E_k \}.
$$
\n(1.16)

Proof of [1, Theorem 1]. Assume by contradiction that \tilde{J} does not admit an unbounded sequence of critical values. Let $(\tilde{b}_k)_k$ be the sequence of minimax levels of \tilde{J} defined by (1.16).

Claim 1. *There exist* $C, k_0 > 0$ *such that for all* $k \ge k_0$ *,*

$$
\tilde{b}_k \leqslant C k^{p/(p-1)}.\tag{1.17}
$$

The claim follows exactly as in [4, Prop. 10.46].

Claim 2. *There exist* $C' > 0$ *and* $k'_0 > 0$ *such that for all* $k \geq k'_0$,

$$
\tilde{b}_k \ge C' k^{2pq/N(pq-p-q)}.\tag{1.18}
$$

Let us fix a small $c > 0$ in such a way that the functional

$$
\hat{I}(u,v) = \int \bigl(\langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle - cF(u) - cG(v) \bigr)
$$

is such that $\tilde{I} - \hat{I}$ is bounded from below in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. We also consider the associated reduced functional \hat{J} defined by

$$
\hat{J}(\alpha) := \hat{I}(\alpha + \hat{\psi}_{\alpha}, \alpha - \hat{\psi}_{\alpha}) := \max_{\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \hat{I}(\alpha + \psi, \alpha - \psi)
$$

and the corresponding minimax numbers

$$
\hat{b}_k := \inf_{\sigma \in G_k} \max \bigl\{ \hat{J}(\sigma(\alpha)) : \alpha \in B_{\hat{R}_k}(0) \cap E_k \bigr\},\
$$

where taking $\hat{R}_k = \tilde{R}_k$ larger if necessary, we can assume that $\hat{J}(\alpha) < 0$ for every $\alpha \in E_k$ satisfying $\|\alpha\| > \hat{R}_k$. Clearly, the sequence $\tilde{b}_k - \hat{b}_k$ is bounded from below. According to Proposition 9, we have that $k^2pq/N(pq-p-q) \leq C\hat{b}_k$, so that the claim follows.

The conclusion easily follows. \Box

References

- [1] D. Bonheure, M. Ramos, Multiple critical points of perturbed symmetric strongly indefinite functionals, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.anihpc.2008.06.002.
- [2] M. Cwickel, Weak type estimates and the number of bound states of Schrödinger operators, Ann. Math. 106 (1977) 93–102.
- [3] E.H. Lieb, Bounds on the eigenvalues of the Laplace and Schrödinger operators, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1976) 751–753.
- [4] P. Rabinowitz, Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations, CBMS Reg. Conf., vol. 65, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [5] G. Rosenbljum, The distribution of the discrete spectrum for singular differential operators, Soviet Math. Dokl. 13 (1972) 245–249.
- [6] B. Simon, Functional Integration and Quantum Physics, Academic Press, 1979.