MOREAU'S DECOMPOSITION THEOREM REVISITED

J.B. HIRIART-URRUTY Mathématiques, Informatique, Gestion, Université Paul Sabatier,

l 18 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France

Ph. PLAZANET Département de Mathématiques Appliquées, E.N.S.LC.A., 49 Avenue Léon Blum, 31056 Toulouse Cédex, France

ABSTRACT

Given two convex functions g and h on a Hilbert space, verifying $g + h = \frac{1}{2} \| . \| ^{2}$,we show there necessarily exists a lower-semicontinuous convex function F such that $g = F a - ||.||^2$ and h = $F^* = \frac{1}{2} ||.||^2$. An explicit formulation of F is given as a deconvolution of a convex function by another one. The approach taken here as well as the way of factorizing g and h shed a new light on what is known as Moreau's theorem in the literature on Convex Analysis.

326

$1 -$ INTRODUCTION

The starting point of our study was the following question, which takes root in the regularization processes studied in [9]: Let $(H, \langle .,. \rangle)$ be a Hilbert space, let f be a function on H and $\alpha > 0$ such that

 (1.1) both $-\|\cdot\|^2 - f$ and $-\|\cdot\|^2 + f$ are convex functions on 2 H (Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm on H associated with the inner $product \leq, \cdot >)$.

How to show that f is Gâteaux-differentiable on H with

$$
(1.2) \quad || \, y'(x) - y'(y)|| \leq \alpha \, ||x-y|| \, \text{for all } x, \, y \, \text{in } \, \mathbb{R}?
$$

The question of differentiability of f offers no difficulty since it readily comes from (1.1) that both $g := \frac{\alpha}{2} \| . \|^{2} - f$ and $h := \frac{\alpha}{2} \| . \|^{2} + f$ are finite convex functions on H, so that 2 the directional de r i vat i ve f'(x,.) of f ex i sts and satisfies:

(1.3) $f'(x, .) = \alpha < x, .> - g'(x, .) = h'(x, .) - \alpha < x, .>$ for all $x \in H$, whence $f'(x,.)$ is linear and continuous (since convex and concave) for all $x \in H$. The problem now is to prove that f' is Lipschitz on H, with Lipschitz constant α . It is clear, in view of (1.1) , that α is the best Lipschitz constant one can expect on f'. Even if the problem can be reduced (by an argument of projection) to the same problem in a 2 -dimensional context $(cf.[6])$, it is not simpler for all that. So, the question should be broached in a different way. When reading (1.1) , our first reaction is to observe that f is necessarily a d.c. function (i.e., a difference of convex functions) :

$$
(1.4) \quad f \approx \frac{\alpha}{2} \| . \|^{2} - g \text{ or } f = h - \frac{\alpha}{2} \| . \|^{2}.
$$

D.C. functions enjoy differentiability properties similar to

those of convex functions, but to keep control of their derivatives is hopeless in general ([3, §II.2]). Things are however made easier since one of the functions involved in the decomposition of f is merely $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ $\|\cdot\|^2$. Referring back to (1.4), we see we are in the presence of two convex functions g and h such that

 (1.5) g + h = α . $||.||^2$.

We thus reformulate the question posed at the beginning in the following way : Let g and h be convex functions on H and $\alpha > 0$ $such that$

$$
(1.6) \t g + h = \alpha ||.||^2
$$

Show that both g and h are Gâteaux-differentiable on H with

$$
(1.7) < g'(x) - g'(y), h'(x) - h'(y) > \ge 0 \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.
$$

Let us prove that the two formulations are equivalent. Suppose we have answered the question in its second formulation and wish to answer it in its first one. Then, posing $g = \frac{\alpha}{2} \| . \|^{2} - f$ and $h = \frac{\alpha}{2} \| . \|^{2} + f$, we get that f is differentiable and

$$
(1.8) < g'(x) - g'(y), h'(x) - h'(y) >
$$

= $\alpha^2 ||x-y||^2 - ||f'(x) - f'(y)||^2 \ge 0$ for all x, y \in H,

which is (1.2) precisely. Conversely, suppose we have answered the question in its original formulation and wish to answer it in its second one.
Posing $f = \frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{12}{m} = \alpha - \frac{1}{m} = \frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{12}{m}$ we indeed have a function Posing $f = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \| . \|^{2} - g = h - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \| . \|^{2}$, we indeed have a function f such that both $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ $\left\| . \right\|^2$ + f and $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ $\left\| . \right\|^2$ + f are convex functions on H. Then, the differentiability of f induces that of g and h, and, in view of (1.8) , the inequality (1.2) induces $(1.7).$

Starting from convex functions g and h such that $g + h = \alpha ||x||^2$, we actually can prove more about g and h, namely that g and h can be factorized in the following form : $g = 2\alpha (F - \frac{1}{r} \| . \|^{2})$ and $h = 2\alpha$ (F^{*} $\alpha = ||\cdot||^2$) for some lower-semicontinuous convex function F. As a result, g and h will appear as Moreau-Yosida regularized versions of F and F* respectively, so that all the announced properties on g and h follow.

2 - MOREAU'S DECOMPOSITION- THEOREM REVISITED

2.1 - Let $\Gamma_{\text{o}}(H)$ denote the set of convex functions F from H into $(-\infty, +\infty)$ which are lower-semicontinuous and not identically equal to + ∞ . What is known as Moreau's theorem in the context of Convex Analysis asserts the following : for any $F \in \Gamma_-(H)$

$$
(2.1) \quad F \circ \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} + F^{*} \circ \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2}.
$$
 (191)

By choosing F as the indicator function of a closed convex cone K of H, F^* is the indicator function of the polar cone K[°] to K, F a $\left\| \cdot \right\|^2$ is the square of the distance function to K, so that (2.1) reads as a kind of Pythagore's theorem :

$$
(2.2) \t dK2 + dK2 = ||.||2.
$$
 ([7,9])

Such a decomposition has proved useful in all areas involving a Hilbertian structure (Euclidean spaces of matrices in Statistics, Sobolev spaces in Nonlinear Analysis [7,11], etc).

Our goal now is to prove a sort of converse to Moreau's theorem : starting with convex functions g and h such that $g + h = \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2}$, we want to factorize g and h in the form F \circ $\frac{1}{2}$ ||.||² and F^* \circ $\frac{1}{2}$ ||.||² respectively, by providing also an explicit formulation for F.

THEOREM (of factorization)

Let g and h be convex functions on H such that $g + h = \frac{1}{2} \| . \| ^2$. There then exists $F \in \Gamma_a(H)$ such that

$$
(2.3) \quad g = F \circ \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} \quad and \quad h = F^* \circ \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2}.
$$

Moreover

$$
(2.4) \quad g'(x) \in \partial F \ (h'(x)) \ and \ h'(x) \in \partial F^*(g'(x)) \ for \ all \ x \in H.
$$

Before going into the details of the proof, we need to recall some facts about an operation on convex functions which has been recently introduced $([4])$, and which bears the name of deconvolution of a function by another one. Given φ and ψ in $\Gamma_{0}(H)$, the deconvolution of φ by ψ is the function denoted $\varphi = \psi$ and defined as:

$$
\forall x \in H, (\varphi \equiv \psi) (x) = \sup_{\psi(u) < +\infty} \{\varphi(x+u) - \psi(u)\}.
$$

The two main properties to be noticed are : $\varphi = \psi \in \Gamma_n(H)$ (or possibly identically equal to $+\infty$) and $(\varphi \circ \psi)^* = (\varphi^* - \psi^*)^{**}$ (see [5] and the references therein).

Proof of Theorem 1

We set $F = g = \frac{1}{2} ||.||^2$, that is : $\forall x \in H, F(x) = \sup_{u \in H} \left\{ g(x+u) - \frac{1}{2} ||u||^2 \right\}.$

Since $g + h = - ||.||^2$, we also have :

 $\forall x \in H, F(x) = \sup_{w \in H} \left\{ g(v) - \frac{1}{2} ||x - v||^2 \right\}$

$$
= \sup_{V \in H} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ||v||^{2} - h(v) - \frac{1}{2} ||x - v||^{2} \right\}
$$

$$
= \sup_{V \in H} \left\{ \langle x, v \rangle - h(v) - \frac{1}{2} ||x||^{2} \right\}
$$

$$
= h^{*}(x) - \frac{1}{2} ||x||^{2}.
$$

Whence

$$
(2.5) \quad F = g = \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} = h^{*} - \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} \quad (\in \Gamma_{0}(\mathbf{H})).
$$

By inverting the role of g and h, we get in a same way :

$$
(2.6) \quad h \equiv \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} = g^{*} - \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} \quad (\in \Gamma_{0}(\mathbf{H})).
$$

But the formula giving the conjugate function of g a $\frac{1}{2}$ $\left\| . \right\|^2$ (as aforesaid) yields that

$$
\left(g \in \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2}\right)^{*} = \left(g^{*} - \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2}\right)^{*} = g^{*} - \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2}.
$$

Thus, the function defined in (2.6) is nothing else than F^* . Consequently, the usual calculus rules on conjugate functions, applied to $h^* = F + \frac{1}{2} \| . \| ^2$ and $g^* = F^* + \frac{1}{2} \| . \| ^2$, induce that $g = F - \frac{1}{2} \| . \|$ and $h = F^* - \frac{1}{2} \| . \| ^2$.

Now, calculus rules on subdifferentials, applied to $h^* = F + \frac{1}{2} ||.||^2$ for example, yield that

 ∂h^* (h'(x)) = $\partial F(h'(x)) + \{h'(x)\}$ for all $x \in H$.

But $x \in \partial h^*(h'(x))$ for all $x \in H$, whence

 $g'(x) \in \partial F$ (h'(x)) for all $x \in H$.

Remark 1 The factorization of g and h in the form F $\frac{1}{2}$ $\|\cdot\|^2$ and $F^* = \frac{1}{2} \| . \| ^2$ respectively, with $F \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathbb{H})$, is unique : indeed, if $\phi \in \Gamma_{\mathsf{o}}(\mathsf{H})$ verifies ϕ o $\frac{1}{2}$ $\|\cdot\|^2$ = g and ϕ^* c $\frac{1}{2}$ || .||² = h, we get that (2.7) $\phi = h^* - \frac{1}{2} ||.||^2 = \left(g^* - \frac{1}{2} ||.||^2 \right)^*,$ that is $\phi = g = \frac{1}{2} \| . \| ^2$. Remark 2. The dual formulation of the theorem of factori- $\overline{}$ zation is as follows : $\{f, k, \ell \in \Gamma_a(\theta) \text{ satisfy}\}$ $k = \frac{1}{2} ||.||^2$, there then exists an unique $K \in \Gamma_{\sigma}$ (H) such t *hat*

$$
k = K + \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} \text{ and } l = K^{*} + \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2}.
$$

Example. Let S be a nonempty closed convex set of H. We have that

$$
\frac{1}{2} d_s^2 + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\left(\|\cdot\|^2 - d_s^2 \right)}_{q} = \frac{1}{2} \|\cdot\|^2.
$$

It is known that $h = \frac{1}{2} \left(|| . ||^2 - d_s^2 \right)$ is convex ([1]) (*). Then the only solution F yielded by the factorization theorem is F = $\uppsi^{}_{\tt S}$ (the indicator function of S). Note incidentally the pairing result :

$$
(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \left(\|\cdot\|^2 - d_s^2 \right) = \psi_g^* \circ \frac{1}{2} \|\cdot\|^2,
$$

which also can be obtained from direct calculations or as an example of Moreau's theorem $(cf. (2.1))$.

2.2. Applications

2.2.1. As a first application of the factorization theorem, we look back at the question posed in the Introduction and which motivated our study. Consider two convex functions g and h on H, $\alpha > 0$, such that $g + h = \alpha ||.||^2$. According to the factorization theorem, there exists a unique $F \in \Gamma_{1}(H)$ such that :

$$
g/2\alpha = F = \frac{1}{2} ||.||^2
$$
 and $h/2\alpha = F^* = \frac{1}{2} ||.||^2$,
 $g'(x) \in \partial F(h'(x))$ for all $x \in H$.

Due to the monotonicity property of ∂ F, the second relation above induces that

$$
< g'(x) - g'(y), h'(x) - h'(y) > \ge 0
$$
 for all $x \in H$,

which is the relation (1.7) required.

2.2.2. A second application of the factorization theorem is the following result.

COROLLARY 2. Let $f : H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Gâteaux-differentiable function and $\alpha > 0$. Then the next statements are equivatent:

 (2.9) $|x + f'(x) - f'(y)|, |x-y| \le \alpha ||x-y||^2$ for all $x, y \in H$; (2.10) $|| f'(x) - f'(y) || \le \alpha ||x-y||$ for all x, y E H.

Although it was known for C^2 - functions, this equivalence is rather surprising ; clearly, (2.9) which involves f on line segments is easier to check.

 $(*)$ Actually, h is convex whatever S be. But to ensure the convexity of g also, we need the convexity of S.

To prove that (2.9) implies (2.10), it suffices to observe that both $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ $\left\| . \right\|^2$ - f and $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ $\left\| . \right\|^2$ + f are convex functions on 2 2 H ; (2.10) then follows from the equivalence properties stated in the Introduction. Corollary 2 answers a question the first author alluded to in . [3, p. 48 bottom] concerning the comparison between (globally) C^{1+1} functions f and those satisfying an inequa-

- lity like (2.9).
- $_{2,2.3.}$ A third application of the factorization theorem is a characterization of the so-called α -strongly convex functions. We recall that, given $\alpha > 0$, $f \in \Gamma_{0}(H)$ is said to be α -strongly convex (or strongly convex with modulus α) if

$$
f(tx + (1-t) x') \leq t f(x) + (1-t) f(x') - \frac{\alpha}{2} t(1-t) ||x-x'||^2
$$

for all x, x' in H and $t \in [0,1[$. In other words, that means. that $f - \frac{\alpha}{2} ||.||^2$ is still a convex function ($\in \Gamma_q(H)$). The next characterization of α -strongly convex functions has also been observed by Volle ([10]) who, furthermore, introduced a new conjugacy mapping for such functions by substituting the "coupling functional"

 $(x, y) \mapsto \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x-y\|^2$ for the usual bilinear functional $(x, y) \rightarrow x, y >.$

COROLLARY 3. Let $f \in \Gamma_{\rho}(\mu)$. The following are equivalent : (2.11) f is a-strongly convex; (2.12) $\frac{1}{2\alpha}$ $\left\| . \right\|^2$ - $r^* \in r_o(\theta)$; (2.13) There exists $\varphi \in \Gamma_o(\mathcal{H})$ such that $f \circ \varphi = \frac{\alpha}{2} \parallel .\parallel^2$.

Condition (2.12) actually says more than what is stated : since f^* is itself in $\Gamma_n(H)$, condition (2.12) implies that f^* is finite on H ; in fact we will see in the course of the proof that f^* is a C^{1+1} function $(^*)$.

Likewise, a consequence of (2.13) is that $\varphi^* = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|.\|^2 - f^*$
whence the exhibited function φ is α -strongly convex indeed,

$$
(2.14) \quad \phi = \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} \| . \|^{2} - f^{*}\right)^{*} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \| . \|^{2} = f,
$$

$$
(2.15) \quad r = \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} \|.\|^2 - \varphi^*\right)^* = \frac{\alpha}{2} \|.\|^2 = \varphi.
$$

 $Proof.$ (2.12) \implies (2.11). Let g denote the convex function $\frac{1}{2\alpha}$ ||.||² - f^{*}. Since α g + α f^{*} = $\frac{1}{2}$ ||.||², the theorem of factorization yields that there exists $F \in \Gamma_n(H)$ such that α f^{*} = F a $\frac{1}{2}$ ||.||². Consequently, f assigns $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ $F^*(\alpha x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} ||x||^2$ to $x \in H$, so that $f - \frac{\alpha}{2} ||.||^2$ is still a convex function. We thus have proved f is α -strongly convex.

 (2.11) \implies (2.13) . Let χ denote the convex function $\frac{1}{\pi}$ - $\frac{1}{\pi}$ $\begin{array}{lllll} (2.11) & \implies & (2.13). & \text{Let } \chi & \text{denote the convex function} \\ \frac{f}{\alpha} & -\frac{1}{2} \|\cdot\|^2 & ; & \text{we set } \varphi = \alpha \chi^* + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\cdot\|^2. & \text{Starting from the} \end{array}$ relation $\frac{f}{\alpha}$ = χ + $\frac{1}{2}$ ||.||², we get successivel

 $(*)$ The equivalence of (2.11) and (2.12) appears also as a by-product of more general results on the duality relations between uniformly convex functions and uniformly smooth convex functions ([2]).

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})
$$

 $\left(\frac{f}{\alpha}\right)^* = x^* = \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2}$

335

 (2.16)

 $=\frac{1}{2}$ $\|\cdot\|^2$ - $\left(x \in \frac{1}{2} \|.\|^2\right)$ by Moreau's

theorem.

Let us calculate
$$
g = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ -\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ -\alpha \end{pmatrix}
$$
. Since $g^* = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ -\alpha \end{pmatrix}^* + \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}^*$, we
infer from the definition of ϕ and (2.16) :

$$
g^* = \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} - \left(x \circ \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} \right) + x \circ \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \| . \|^{2}.
$$

Whence $g = \frac{1}{2} ||.||^2$ and (2.13) is secured.

$$
(2.13) \implies (2.12) \text{ From } f \circ \phi = \frac{\alpha}{2} \|.\|^2 \text{ we derive}
$$

$$
f^* + \phi^* = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|.\|^2, \text{ so that } \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|.\|^2 - f^* = \phi^* \in \Gamma_{\alpha}(H).
$$

3 - COMPARISON WITH MOREAU'S APPROACH

In his seminal 1965 paper ([8]), Moreau extensively studied COMPARISON WITH MOREAU S APPROACH
In his seminal 1965 paper ([8]), Moreau extensively studied
the functions of the form F a $\frac{1}{2}$ $\|\cdot\|^2$, F E $\Gamma_{_{\Box}}(H)$, and defined the so-called $proximal$ mapping $prox_{_{\mathsf{F}}}$ which assigns to $\mathsf{x} \in \mathsf{H}$ ely studie
and define
s to x \in
 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\|x - u\|^2$ the unique point where the infimum of $u \mapsto F(u) + \frac{1}{2} ||x - u||^2$ is achieved. Among other properties, he proved that $prox_{\epsilon}$ is a Lipschitz mapping (with Lipschitz constant 1) and that $prox_{\epsilon}$ is actually a gradient mapping (i.e., there is a differentiable function ϕ , called primitive function of prox_e, such that $\phi'(x) = prox_{\epsilon}(x)$ for all $x \in H$).

In a much less read section ([8, §9]), Moreau introduced a binary relation between convex functions by defining what he meant by "a convex function g less convex than a convex function f". More interesting is the characterization of such a

relationship when f is $-\parallel .\parallel^2$ precisely, which now allows us to make connections with our approach.

According to Moreau ([8, definition 9.b]), a convex function g is less convex than a convex function f (or f is more convex than g) if there exists a convex function h such that $f = g + h$. He then proved the equivalence of the following properties ([8, Proposition 9.b and Proposition 10.b] :

([8, Proposition 9.b and Proposition 10.b] :
(3.1) $g \in \Gamma_o(H)$ is less convex than $\frac{1}{2} ||.||^2$;

(3.2) The conjugate function of $g \,\in\, \varGamma_{_{\scriptscriptstyle{G}}}(H)$ is more convex than $\frac{1}{2}$ $\| . \|^{2}$;

 (3.3) g is the primitive function of a proximal mapping; (3.4) $g \in \Gamma$ ₍ H) is differentiable and g'is Lipschitz on H with a Lipschitz constant 1.

(3.1) expresses the existence of a convex function h such that $g + h = \frac{1}{2} \| . \| ^{2}$, which is precisely the situation we have considered here. According to (3.4) , such a g is differentiable and $||g'(x) - g'(y)|| \le ||x-y||$ for all x, $y \in H$; the property we were looking for from the beginning is stronger, namely : $||g'(x) - g'(y) - \frac{x-y}{2}|| \le \frac{1}{2} ||x-y|| (\text{cf. Introduction}).$

Moreover, the factorization of g (and h) does not appear explicitly and a characterization like (3.3) uses heavily the properties of the proximal mapping.

Our approach, based on the deconvolution operation, allowed us to get at an explicit formulation of F in the factorization theorem (Theorem 1), thereby shedding a new light on Moreau's theorem.

dcknowledgment. We are indebted to J-P. Crouzeix for having posed a question which eventually gave rise to the present work, and to J-J Moreau for his constructive criticism on the first fruits of our reflections.

- 1 E.ASPLUND, Differentiability of the metric projection in $finite-dimensional$ $Euclidean$ space, Proceedings of the Amer. Math. Society 38 (1973), 218-219.
- 2 D.AZE and J-P.PENOT, Uniformly convex functions and uniformly smooth convex functions, preprint (1987).
- $3 J-B.HIRIART-URRUTY, Generalized differential identity, duality$ and optimization for problems dealing with differences of convex functions, in Convexity and Duality in Optimization, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 256 (1986), 37-70.
- 4 J-B.HIRIART-URRUTY and M-L. MAZURE, Formulations variationnelles de l'addition parallèle et de la soustraction parallèle d'opérateurs semi-définis positifs, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 1. 302, Série I, n° 15 (1986), 527-530.
- ⁵ J-B.HIRIART-URRUTY, A general formula on the conjugate of the difference of functions, Canad. Math. Bull., Vol 29 (4) (1986), 482-485.
- 6 J-M.LASRY and P \pm L.LIONS, A remark on regularization in Hilbert spaces, Israel J. of Mathematics 55 (1986), 257-266.
- ⁷ J-J.MOREAU, Décomposition orthogonale d'un espace hilbertien selon deux cônes mutuellement polaires, C.R. Acad. Sc., t.255 (1962), 238-240.
- 8 J-J.MOREAU, Proximité et dualité dans un espace Hilbertien, Bull. Soc. Math. France 93 (1965), 273-299.
- 9 J-J.MOREAU, Weak and strong solutions of dual problems in Contributions to Nonlinear Functional Analysis (E.Zarantonello, Editor), Academic Press (1971).
- 10 M.VOLLE, private communication (June 1987).
- 11 A.P.WIERZBICKI and-S.KURCYUZ, Projection on a cone, penalty functionals and duality theory for probtems with inequality constraints in Hilbert space, SIAM J. Control and Optimization 15 (1977), 25-26.