

Stable approximations of set-valued maps

by

Jean-Pierre AUBIN and Roger J. B. WETS

CEREMADE, Université de Paris-Dauphine and
University of California at Davis, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT. — The celebrated Lax' principle "stability and consistency implies convergence" is adapted to the case of nonlinear equation and even inclusions (multivalued equations) through a convenient concept of stability. It requires the definition of "contingent derivative" of single or set-valued maps and states that a family of maps is stable if and only if the inverses of their contingent derivatives are bounded.

An extension of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem to the set-valued analogues of continuous linear operators is also provided, as well as relations between pointwise and graph convergence of sequences of set-valued maps.

Key words : Stability, set-valued map, contingent derivative, graph convergence.

RÉSUMÉ. — Le célèbre principe de Lax : « stabilité et consistance impliquent convergence » est adapté au cas des équations non linéaires et même des inclusions (équations multivoques) grâce à une définition adéquate de la stabilité. Celle-ci est basée sur le concept de « dérivée contingente » d'une correspondance. On dit qu'une famille de correspondances est stable si les inverses de leurs dérivées contingentes sont bornés.

(*) This research was conducted when the authors were visiting IIASA, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis.

On démontre aussi une adaptation du théorème de Banach-Steinhaus au cas des processus convexes fermés, qui sont les analogues multivoques des opérateurs linéaires continus, et on examine les relations entre convergence simple et convergence graphique d'une suite de correspondances.

INTRODUCTION

Let us consider two Banach spaces X and Y and a set-valued map F from X to Y . An element $y_0 \in Y$ being given, we consider a solution $x_0 \in X$ to the inclusion

$$(\star) \quad y_0 \in F(x_0).$$

We shall approximate such a solution x_0 by solutions $x_n \in X_n$ to the inclusions

$$(\star)_n \quad y_n \in F_n(x_n)$$

where X_n and Y_n are Banach spaces, F_n are set-valued maps from X_n to Y_n and y_n are given.

We extend Lax's celebrated assertion that "consistency and stability imply convergence" [see e. g., Aubin (1972)] still holds true for solving very general inclusions, since we assume only that the graphs of the set-valued maps F and F_n are closed. Namely, we prove that if X_n , Y_n are approximations of X and Y , if y_n "approximates" y_0 and if the F_n are "consistent with F ", an adequate "stability property" of the set-valued maps F_n implies the convergence of some solutions x_n to $(\star)_n$ to x_0 . We shall also derive an estimate of the error between x_n and x_0 , which is of the same order as the error between y_0 and y_n and the consistency error between F and F_n .

In the process, we obtain an adaptation of the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem to closed convex processes, the set-valued analogues of continuous linear operators.

The tool used to define the "stability" of the set-valued maps F_n is the "contingent derivative" introduced in Aubin (1981) [see Aubin-Ekeland (1984), Chapter 7]. Stability of the F_n 's means, roughly speaking, that the norms of the inverses of the contingent derivatives of the F_n are uniformly bounded. The techniques used in the proof are the ones used for proving inverse function theorems for set-valued maps [Aubin (1982), (1984),

Aubin-Ekeland (1984), Aubin-Frankowska (1987), Frankowska (1986)]. They are based on Ekeland's Theorem.

1. STABILITY AND CONSISTENCY IMPLY CONVERGENCE

Let X be a Banach space. We consider a family of Banach spaces X_n and operators $p_n \in \mathcal{L}(X_n, X)$ which are right invertible. We denote by $r_n \in \mathcal{L}(X, X_n)$ a right-inverse of p_n . The family (X_n, p_n, r_n) is a *convergent approximation* of X if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \|p_n r_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, X)} \leq \text{Const} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \forall x \in X, p_n r_n x \text{ converges to } x \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

If a Banach space X_0 is contained in X with a stronger topology, we denote by

$$e_{X_0}^X(p_n r_n) := \|1 - p_n r_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_0, X)}$$

the "error function". The Banach spaces X_n are supplied with the norm $\|x_n\|_n := \|p_n x_n\|_X$.

We then consider convergent approximations (X_n, p_n, r_n) and (Y_n, q_n, s_n) of the Banach spaces X and Y .

We also consider set-valued maps F from X to Y and F_n from X_n to Y_n . We denote by $\Phi(x_0, y_0; F_n)$ the lack of consistency of F_n at (x_0, y_0) , defined by:

$$\Phi(x_0, y_0; F_n) := \inf_{x_n \in X_n} (\|x_0 - p_n x_n\| + d(y_0, q_n F_n(x_n))). \quad (1.2)$$

We say that F_n are *consistent* with F at (x_0, y_0) if $\Phi(x_0, y_0, F_n) \rightarrow 0$.

As announced in the introduction, the definition of "stability" we suggest involves the concept of "contingent derivative".

Let us begin by defining the concept of contingent cone to K at $x \in K$, introduced by G. Bouligand in the 30's.

We say that $v \in X$ belongs to the "contingent cone" $T_K(x)$ to K at x if and only if

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{d(x + hv, K)}{h} = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

It is a closed cone (not necessarily convex), equal to X whenever x belongs to the interior of K , which coincides with the tangent space when K is a smooth manifold and with the tangent cone of convex analysis when K is a convex subset. [See Aubin-Ekeland (1984), Chapter 7, for more details.]

When F is a set-valued map from X to Y , the "contingent derivative" $DF(x_0, y_0)$ at a point (x_0, y_0) of the graph of F is the set-valued map

from X to Y defined by

v belongs to $DF(x_0, y_0)(u)$ if and only if (u, v) belongs to the contingent cone to the graph of F at (x_0, y_0) . (1.4)

In other words

$$\text{Graph } DF(x_0, y_0) := T_{\text{Graph } F}(x_0, y_0). \quad (1.5)$$

Set-valued maps whose graph are cones are positively homogeneous: they are actually called "processes" [see Rockafellar (1967), (1970)]. Hence contingent derivatives are "closed processes".

One can also prove that v belongs to $DF(x_0, y_0)(u)$ if and only if

$$\liminf_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ u' \rightarrow u}} d\left(v, \frac{F(x_0 + hu') - y_0}{h}\right) = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

We are now ready to define "stable families" of set-valued maps F_n .

DEFINITION 1.1. — Let (x_0, y_0) belong to the graph of F and suppose that the approximations (X_n, p_n, r_n) and (Y_n, q_n, s_n) of X and Y are given. We say that a family of set-valued maps $F_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is stable around (x_0, y_0) if there exist constants $c > 0$, $\eta > 0$ and $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ such that, for all $(x_n, y_n) \in \text{Graph } F_n$ satisfying

$$\|p_n x_n - x_0\| + \|q_n y_n - y_0\| < \eta,$$

for all $v_n \in Y_n$, there exist $u_n \in X_n$ and $w_n \in Y_n$ satisfying

- (i) $v_n \in DF_n(x_n, y_n)(u_n) + w_n$,
 - (ii) $\|p_n u_n\|_X \leq c \|q_n v_n\|_Y$,
 - (iii) $\|q_n w_n\|_Y \leq \alpha \|q_n v_n\|_Y$.
- (1.7)

STABILITY. THEOREM 1.1. — Let X and Y be Banach spaces and (X_n, p_n, r_n) , (Y_n, q_n, s_n) two families of convergent approximations.

Let us consider set-valued maps F from X to Y and F_n from X_n to Y_n with closed graphs.

Let x_0 be a solution to the inclusion

$$(\star) \quad y_0 \in F(x_0).$$

Suppose the set-valued maps F_n are consistent with F and stable around (x_0, y_0) . If $q_n y_n$ converges to y_0 , then there exist solutions x_n to the inclusions

$$(\star)_n \quad y_n \in F_n(x_n)$$

such that $p_n x_n$ converges to x_0 .

Furthermore, there exists a constant $l > 0$ such that, for all y_n, \hat{y}_n and $\hat{x}_n \in F_n^{-1}(\hat{y}_n)$ satisfying $q_n y_n \rightarrow y_0$, $q_n \hat{y}_n \rightarrow y_0$ and $p_n \hat{x}_n \rightarrow x_0$, we have

$$d(\hat{x}_n, F_n^{-1}(y_n)) \leq l \|q_n y_n - q_n \hat{y}_n\|. \quad (1.8)$$

In particular, we deduce that

$$d(x_0, p_n F_n^{-1}(y_n)) \leq l \|y_0 - q_n y_n\| + (l+1) \Phi(x_0, y_0; F_n). \quad (1.9)$$

Remark. — Stability is necessary.

When the vector spaces X_n are finite dimensional, condition (1.8) is actually equivalent to the stability of the F_n . Indeed, let $v_n \in Y_n$ be fixed and set $y_n = \hat{y}_n + h v_n$ for all $h > 0$.

By (1.8), there exists $x_n \in F_n^{-1}(y_n + h v)$ such that

$$\|x_n - \hat{x}_n\|_n \leq l(1 + \varepsilon) h \|v_n\|_n.$$

Hence $u_n = (x_n - \hat{x}_n)/h$ is bounded by $l(1 + \varepsilon) \|v\|$.

Since the dimension of X_n is finite, a subsequence (again denoted) u_n converges to some u , a solution to

$$v_n \in DF_n(x_n, y_n)(u) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\| \leq l(1 + \varepsilon) \|v_n\|_n.$$

Hence the F_n 's are stable. \square

Remark. — By taking $y_n = s_n y_0$ and $F_n = s_n F p_n$, we obtain the estimates $\|y_0 - q_n y_n\| = \|y_0 - q_n s_n y_0\|$, and

$$\Phi(x_0, y_0; F_n) \leq \|x_0 - p_n r_n x_0\| + \|y_0 - q_n s_n y_0\| + \sup_n \|q_n s_n\| d(y_0, F(p_n r_n x_0)).$$

The right-hand side converges to 0 when F is lower semicontinuous. \square

Remark. — First stability criteria.

The set-valued maps F_n are stable when, for instance, their contingent derivatives $DF_n(x_n, y_n)$ are surjective and when the norms of their inverse $DF_n(x_n, y_n)^{-1}$ are uniformly bounded. The norm of $DF_n(x_n, y_n)^{-1}$ is defined by

$$\|DF_n(x_n, y_n)^{-1}\| := \sup_{\|q_n v_n\|=1} \inf_{u_n \in DF_n(x_n, y_n)^{-1}(v_n)} \|p_n u_n\|. \quad (1.10)$$

The question arises whether an extension of the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem could provide stability criteria.

For that purpose we need to introduce the set-valued analogues of continuous operators, which are the set-valued maps whose graphs are closed convex cones (instead of closed vector spaces). They are called "closed convex processes". A map A with closed graph is a closed convex process if and only if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \forall x \in X, \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \quad A(\lambda x) = \lambda A(x) \\ \forall x, y \in X, \quad A(x) + A(y) \subset A(x+y) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.11)$$

Contingent derivatives are not always closed convex processes. When the spaces are finite dimensional, the lower semicontinuity of

$(x, y) \mapsto \text{Graph DF}(x, y)$ at (x_0, y_0) implies that $\text{DF}(x_0, y_0)$ is a closed convex process [see Aubin-Clarke (1977)].

When the contingent derivative is not a closed convex process, we can consider closed convex processes contained in it.

For instance, we could work with the asymptotic derivative, introduced by Frankowska (1983), (1985). If A is a closed process from X to Y , the set-valued map A_∞ from X to Y is defined by

$$A_\infty(x_0) := \bigcap_{x \in X} (A(x_0 + x) - A(x)) \tag{1.12}$$

Since the graph of A_∞ is a Minkowski difference (or the asymptotic cone of $\text{Graph } A$), it is a closed convex cone. Hence A_∞ is a closed convex process contained in A . Consequently, the ‘‘asymptotic contingent derivative’’ $D_\infty F(x, y)$ defined by

$$D_\infty F(x, y)(u) := \bigcap_{v \in X} (\text{DF}(x, y)(u+v) - \text{DF}(x, y)(v)) \tag{1.13}$$

is a closed convex process contained in the contingent derivative. It also contains always the derivative $\text{CF}(x, y)$, whose graph is the Clarke tangent cone to the graph of F at (x, y) , introduced in Aubin (1982) [see also Aubin-Ekeland, (1984), Chapter 7].

In any case, let us consider a family of closed convex processes A_n from X_n to Y_n such that

$$\text{Graph } A_n \subset \text{Graph DF}_n(x_n, y_n) \tag{1.14}$$

UNIFORM BOUNDEDNESS THEOREM 1.2. — *Let us assume that the closed convex processes A_n are surjective and satisfy, for all $(x_n, y_n) \in \text{Graph } A_n \cap ((x_0, y_0) + \eta B)$,*

$$\forall v \in Y, \text{ there exists } u_n \in A_n^{-1}(s_n v) \text{ such that } \sup_n \|p_n u_n\| < +\infty. \tag{1.15}$$

Then the family of set-valued maps F_n is stable.

Proof. — We consider the functions ρ_n and ρ defined by

$$\rho_n(v) := \inf_{u_n \in A_n^{-1}(s_n v)} \|p_n u_n\|$$

and

$$\rho(v) := \sup_n \rho_n(v).$$

Since A_n is a convex process and s_n is linear, we deduce that ρ_n is convex and positively homogeneous (sublinear). Since each set-valued map $A_n^{-1} s_n$ is a closed convex process whose domain is the whole space, the function ρ_n is continuous, thanks to the Robinson-Ursescu’s [Robinson (1976), Ursescu (1975)] theorem, an extension of the Banach Closed Graph

Theorem. Then the function ρ is lower semicontinuous, convex and positively homogeneous. Assumption (1.15) implies that it is finite. We thus deduce from Baire's Theorem that it is continuous, and thus, that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\rho(v) \leq c \|v\|$$

i.e. that for all $v \in Y$, there exists $u_n \in A_n^{-1}(s_n v)$ such that $\|\rho_n u_n\| \leq c \|q_n s_n v\|$. By taking $v = q_n v_n$, we deduce that the family of F_n 's is stable. \square

Remark. — If the Banach spaces X_n are reflexive, we do not need the Robinson-Ursescu Theorem, since it is easy to check that the function ρ_n is lower semicontinuous, and thus, continuous. \square

We also mention another useful consequence of the Uniform Boundedness Theorem.

THEOREM 1.3. — *Let us consider a metric space U . Banach spaces X and Y , and a set valued-map associating to each $u \in U$ a closed convex process $u \mapsto A(u): X \rightarrow Y$. Let us assume that the family of convex processes $\{A(u), u \in U\}$ is bounded, in the sense that*

$$\forall x \in X, \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{y \in A(x)} \|y\| < \infty.$$

Then the following are equivalent

- (i) *the set-valued map $u \mapsto \text{Graph } A(u)$ is lower semicontinuous;*
- (ii) *the set-valued map $(u, x) \mapsto A(u)(x)$ is lower semicontinuous.*

Proof. — Condition (ii) implies condition (i), even when the family $\{A(u)\}$ is not bounded. For proving the converse, consider a sequence of elements (u_n, x_n) converging to (x, u) and choose an arbitrary element y in $A(u)(x)$. We have to approximate it by elements $y_n \in A(u_n)(x)$.

Since $u \mapsto \text{Graph } A(u)$ is lower semicontinuous, we can approximate (x, y) by elements $(\hat{x}_n, \hat{y}_n) \in \text{Graph } A(u_n)$. By Theorem 1.2, applied to the family $\{A(u_n)^{-1}\}$, there exists a constant $l > 0$ such that

$$\|A(u_n)\| := \sup_{z \in X} \inf_{y \in A(u_n)(z)} \|y\| \leq l$$

Hence we can choose $z_n \in A(u_n)(x_n - \hat{x}_n)$ such that $\|z_n\| \leq l \|x_n - \hat{x}_n\| (1 + \varepsilon)$. Therefore $y_n := \hat{y}_n + z_n$ does belong to $A(u_n)(x_n)$ and converges to y because z_n converges to 0 and \hat{y}_n to y . \square

Remark. — *Dual stability criteria.*

Closed convex processes, as continuous linear operators, can be transposed. Let A be a set-valued map from X to Y . Its transpose A^* from Y^* to X^* is the closed convex process defined by

$p \in A^*(q)$ if and only if

$$\forall x \in X, \forall y \in A(x), \quad \langle p, x \rangle \leq \langle q, y \rangle.$$

In other words, p belongs to $A^*(q)$ if and only if $(p, -q)$ belongs to the polar cone of Graph A . [See Rockafellar (1967), (1970), Aubin-Ekeland (1984).]

Many properties of transposition of continuous linear operators can be extended to closed convex processes. For instance, q belongs to $(\text{Im } A)^-$ if and only if $0 \in A^*(-q)$:

$$(\text{Im } A)^- = -A^{*-1}(0).$$

Therefore, if the vector space Y is finite dimensional, A is surjective if and only if the kernel $A^{*-1}(0)$ of its transpose is reduced to 0.

We also check that in this case

$$\|A^{-1}\| \leq \sup_{p \in A^*(B_*)} \|p\|$$

where B_* is the unit ball of Y^* . It is easy to deduce from Theorem 1.2 the following

COROLLARY 1.1. — *Let us consider closed convex processes A_n contained in $DF_n(x_n, y_n)$ for all (x_n, y_n) in $\text{Graph } F_n \cap ((x_0, y_0) + \eta B)$. Let us assume that their transpose A_n satisfy*

- (i) $\forall n, A_n^{*-1}(0) = \{0\}$
- (ii) $\sup_n \sup_{\|f\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \sup_{q_n \in A_n^{*-1}(p_n^* f)} \|s_n^* q_n\|_{Y^*} =: c < +\infty.$

Then the family of F_n 's is stable.

Remark. — *Graph and pointwise convergence of set-valued maps.*

We consider now the case when $X_n = X$ and $Y_n = Y$ for all n .

Let F_n be a family of set-valued maps from X to Y . We can define the convergence of the set-valued maps F_n either from the convergence of their graphs (graph convergence) or from the convergence of their values $F_n(x_n)$ (pointwise convergence).

We recall the following definitions of the Kuratowski upper and lower limits of a sequence of subsets K_n of a Banach space K_n .

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n &:= \bigcap_{\substack{\varepsilon > 0 \\ N > 0}} \bigcup_{n \geq N} (K_n + \varepsilon B) \\ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n &:= \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigcup_{N > 0} \bigcap_{n \geq N} (K_n + \varepsilon B) \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $F^\#$ the set-valued map defined by

$$\text{Graph } F^\# := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Graph } F_n$$

and by F^b the set-valued map defined by

$$\text{Graph } F^b := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Graph } F_n$$

The following relations follow directly from the definitions

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^\#(x) &= \limsup_{x_n \rightarrow x} F_n(x_n) \\
 &= \bigcap_{\substack{\varepsilon > 0 \\ N > 0 \\ \eta > 0}} \bigcup_{n > N} (F_n(x_n) + \varepsilon B) \\
 &\quad x_n \in (\text{Dom } F_n) \cap (x + \eta B)
 \end{aligned}$$

It is also easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^b(x) &\supset \liminf_{x_n \rightarrow x} F_n(x_n) \\
 &= \bigcap_{\substack{\varepsilon > 0 \\ N > 0 \\ \eta \geq 0}} \bigcup_{n > N} \bigcap_{x_n \in (\text{Dom } F_n) \cap (x + \eta B)} (F_n(x_n) + \varepsilon B).
 \end{aligned}$$

The Stability Theorem (applied to the maps F_n^{-1} instead of the maps F_n) implies the equality of F^b and $F^\#$.

PROPOSITION 1.1. — *Let us assume that the set-valued maps F_n^{-1} are stable around $(x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } F^b$. Then y_0 belongs to $\liminf_{x_n \rightarrow x_0} F_n(x_n)$. \square*

This point of view, that leads to replacing pointwise by graph convergence was already found to be advantageous in the “epigraphical” setting, i. e., for the set-valued functions $x \mapsto f(x) + \mathbf{R}_+$ where f is an extended real valued function defined on the space X . The results reported in the literature are mostly of topological nature, cf. Salinetti and Wets (1976), Dolecki, Salinetti and Wets (1983); for more about epi-convergence and graph convergence consult Attouch (1984). In a subsequent paper, we develop the applications of these results to epigraphical maps, and show how they can be used to obtain approximation and stability results of a quantitative nature for variational problems.

2. THE LINEAR CASE WITH CONSTRAINTS

We shall deduce the stability theorem 1.1 from a simpler statement. We consider two Banach spaces Z and Y , a continuous linear $A \in \mathcal{L}(Z, Y)$ and a subset K of Z . We consider the problem (a linear equation with constraints)

$$\text{find } x_0 \in K \text{ a solution to } Ax = y_0.$$

Remark. — By taking $Z := X \times Y$, $K := \text{Graph } F$, $A := \pi_Y$, the projection from $X \times Y$ to Y , we observe that inclusion (★) is a particular case of this problem. \square

We approximate this problem by introducing

- (i) convergent approximations (Z_n, p_n, r_n) and (Y_n, q_n, s_n) of the spaces Z and Y ;
- (ii) subsets $K_n \subset Z_n$;
- (iii) continuous linear operators $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(Z_n, Y_n)$.

We use the following approximate problems:

$$\text{find } x_n \in K_n, \text{ a solution to } A x_n = y_n. \quad (2.1)$$

The "convergence" of y_n to y_0 , of K_n to K at x_0 and of A_n to A is measured by the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } \|y_0 - q_n y_n\| \\ & \text{(ii) } d(x_0, p_n K_n) \\ & \text{(iii) } c(A, A_n) := \sup_n \sup_{\|p_n y_n\| \leq 1} \|A p^n y_n - q_n A_n y_n\| \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

DEFINITION 2.2. — We shall say that these approximations (K_n, A_n) are "stable" if and only if there exist constants $c > 0$, $\eta > 0$ and $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ such that for all n , for all $x_n \in K_n$ satisfying $\|p_n x_n - x_0\| \leq \eta$ and for all $v_n \in Y_n$, there exist $u_n \in Z$ and $w_n \in Y_n$ satisfying

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } u_n \in T_{K_n}(x_n), A_n u_n = v_n + w_n \\ & \text{(ii) } \|p_n u_n\| \leq c \|q_n v_n\| \text{ and } \|q_n w_n\| \leq \alpha \|q_n v_n\| \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

THEOREM 2.1. — Let us assume that the subsets K_n are closed. Assume that the approximations are stable. Then, if $\|y_0 - q_n y_n\|$, $d(x_0, p_n K_n)$ and $c(A, A_n)$ converge to 0, there exist solutions $x_n \in K_n$ to $A_n x_n = y_n$ which converge to x_0 . Furthermore, there exists a constant l such that, for all $\hat{x}_n \in K_n$ such that $p_n \hat{x}_n$ converges to x_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\hat{x}_n, (A_n^{-1}(y_n) \cap K_n)) & \leq l \|q_n y_n - q_n A_n \hat{x}_n\| \\ & \leq l (\|y_0 - q_n y_n\| + c(A, A_n) (\|x_0\| + \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\|) \\ & \quad + l \|A\| \cdot \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\|). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, p_n (A_n^{-1}(y_n) \cap K_n)) & \\ & \leq l (\|y_0 - q_n y_n\| + c(A, A_n) (\|x_0\| + d(x_0, p_n K_n)) \\ & \quad + (1 + l \|A\|) d(x_0, p_n K_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of theorem 2.1. — Supplied with the metric $d(x_n, \bar{x}_n) := \|p_n x_n - p_n \bar{x}_n\|$, K_n is complete. We apply Ekeland's theorem to the continuous function V_n defined on K_n by

$$V_n(x_n) := \|y_n - A_n x_n\|_n = \|q_n y_n - q_n A_n x_n\|_Y$$

Let $\varepsilon < (1 - \alpha)/c$ be chosen.

We take $\hat{x}_n \in K_n$ such that $\|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\|$ converges to 0.

Therefore, Ekeland's theorem implies the existence of $\bar{x}_n \in K_n$ satisfying

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & V_n(\bar{x}_n) + \varepsilon \|p_n \bar{x}_n - p_n \hat{x}_n\| \leq V_n(\hat{x}_n) \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \forall x_n \in K_n, V_n(\bar{x}_n) \leq V_n(x_n) + \varepsilon \|p_n \bar{x}_n - p_n x_n\| \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

The first inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0 - p_n \bar{x}_n\| &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} V_n(\hat{x}_n) + \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|q_n y_n - q_n A_n \hat{x}_n\| + \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\| =: E_\varepsilon(n) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The error $E_\varepsilon(n)$ converges to 0 since

$$\begin{aligned} E_\varepsilon(n) &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\|y_0 - q_n y_n\| + \|A x_0 - A p_n \hat{x}_n\| \\ &\quad + \|A p_n \hat{x}_n - q_n A_n \hat{x}_n\|) + \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\|y_0 - q_n y_n\| + c(A, A_n) \|p_n \hat{x}_n\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(1 + \frac{\|A\|}{\varepsilon}\right) \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\| \right) \end{aligned}$$

and since $\|p_n \hat{x}_n\| \leq \|x_0\| + \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\| \leq 2\|x_0\|$. Consequently, for n large enough, the $p_n \hat{x}_n$ belong to $B(x_0, \eta)$. By the stability assumption, we can associate with $v_n := y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n$ elements $u_n \in T_{K_n}(\bar{x}_n)$ and $w_n \in Y_n$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n = A u_n + w_n \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \|p_n u_n\| \leq c \|q_n (y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n)\|, \|q_n w_n\| \leq \alpha \|q_n (y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n)\| \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.8)$$

By the very definition of the contingent cone, we assign to any $h > 0$ (which will converge to 0) elements

$$x_n := \bar{x} + h u_n + h O(h) \in K_n \quad (2.9)$$

where $O(h)$ converges to 0 with h .

By taking such an x_n from the second inequality of (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_n (y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n)\| &= V_n(\bar{x}_n) \leq V_n(x_n) + \varepsilon \|p_n \bar{x}_n - p_n x_n\| \\ &\leq \|q_n (y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n - h A_n u_n - h A O(h))\| \\ &\quad + \varepsilon h (\|p_n u_n\| + \|p_n O(h)\|) \\ &\leq (1-h) \|q_n (y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n)\| + h (\|q_n w_n\| + \|q_n A O(h)\|) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon h (\|p_n u_n\| + \|p_n O(h)\|) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_n (y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n)\| &\leq \|q_n \omega_n\| \\ &\quad + \|q_n A O(h)\| + \varepsilon (\|p_n u_n\| + \|p_n O(h)\|) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \varepsilon c) \|q_n (y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n)\| + \|q_n A O(h)\| + \varepsilon \|p_n O(h)\|. \end{aligned}$$

By letting h converge to 0, we obtain

$$\|q_n(y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n)\| \leq (\alpha + \varepsilon c) \|q_n(y_n - A_n \bar{x}_n)\|.$$

Since $\alpha + \varepsilon c < 1$, this implies that $\bar{x}_n \in K_n$ and $A_n \bar{x}_n = y_n$.

Therefore,

$$d(\hat{x}_n, (A_n^{-1}(y_n) \cap K_n)) \leq \|p_n \hat{x}_n - p_n \bar{x}_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|y_0 - q_n y_n\| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} c(A, A_n) (\|x_0\| + \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\|) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\|$$

Since this inequality is true for any $\varepsilon < (1 - \alpha)/c$ we can let ε converge to $(1 - \alpha)/c$, so that

$$d(\hat{x}_n, p_n(A_n^{-1}(y_n) \cap K_n)) \leq l \|y_0 - q_n y_n\| + lc(A, A_n) \times (\|x_0\| + \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\|) + l \|A\| \cdot \|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\|.$$

By taking $\hat{x}_n \in K_n$ such that $\|x_0 - p_n \hat{x}_n\| \leq d(x_0, p_n K_n)(1 + \beta)$ and letting β converge to 0, we obtain the estimate (2.5). \square

Proof of the stability theorem 1.1. — We take $Z := X \times Y$, $K = \text{Graph } F$ and $A := \pi_Y$, $Z_n = X_n \times Y_n$, $K_n := \text{Graph } F_n$ and $A_n := \pi_{Y_n}$. We observe that $c(A, A_n) = 0$ since, for all $u_n = (x_n, y_n)$,

$$A(p_n \times q_n)(x_n, y_n) - q_n A_n(x_n, y_n) = q_n y_n - q_n y_n = 0.$$

The stability of the set-valued maps F_n is just the same as the stability of their graphs with respect to the projections π_X and π_{Y_n} .

If (\hat{x}_n, \hat{y}_n) is in the graph of F_n , we deduce that

$$\|q_n y_n - q_n A_n(\hat{x}_n, \hat{y}_n)\| = \|q_n y_n - q_n \hat{y}_n\|.$$

Finally, we can estimate the distance between (x_0, y_0) and the image of the graph of F_n by $p_n \times q_n$ in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned} d((x_0, y_0), (p_n \times q_n) \text{Graph } F_n) &= \inf_{\substack{x_n \in X_n \\ y_n \in F_n(x_n)}} (\|x_0 - p_n x_n\| + \|y_0 - q_n y_n\|) \\ &= \inf_{x_n \in X_n} (\|x_0 - p_n x_n\| + d(y_0, q_n F_n(x_n))) \\ &=: \Phi(x_0, y_0; F_n) \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, $(p_n \times q_n) \text{Graph } F_n = \text{Graph } (q_n F_n p_n^{-1})$, where the domain of $q_n F_n p_n^{-1}$ is $p_n X_n$. On $p_n X_n$, one has $q_n F_n p_n^{-1} p_n x_n = q_n F_n x_n$.

Hence Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 2.1. \square

3. A STABILITY CRITERION

We devote this section to criteria implying that a family of subsets K_n is stable. For simplicity, we consider the case when $Z_n := Z$, $Y_n = Y$, $q_n = \text{id}$, $P_n = \text{id}$ and $A_n = A$. It is time to recall that the Kuratowski $\lim \inf$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf K_n = \bigcap_{\substack{\varepsilon > 0 \\ N > 0}} \bigcap_{n \geq N} (K_n + \varepsilon B) \tag{3.1}$$

is the set of x 's such that $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ where $x_n \in K_n$.

The stability assumption (2.3) implies implicitly that x_0 belongs to the $\lim \inf$ of the subsets K_n .

We consider now the $\lim \inf$ of the contingent cones

$$T(x_0) := \lim_{K_n \ni x_n \rightarrow x_0} \inf T_{K_n}(x_n) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigcup_{N, \eta} \bigcap_{\substack{n \geq N \\ x_n \in K_n \cap (x + \eta B)}} T_{K_n}(x_n + \varepsilon B), \tag{3.2}$$

and we address the following question: under which conditions does the "pointwise surjectivity assumption"

$$AT(x_0) = Y \tag{3.3}$$

imply the stability of the K_n . The next result answers this question when the dimension of Y is finite, unfortunately.

PROPOSITION 3.1. — *Assume that $T(x_0)$ is convex and that $AT(x_0) = Y$. Let us assume that there exists a space $H \supset Y$ such that the injection from Y to H is compact. There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that, for all $\alpha \in]0, 1[$, there exist $\eta > 0$ and $N \geq 1$ with the following property:*

$$\forall v \in Y, \quad \forall n \geq N, \quad \forall x_n \in K_n \cap (x_0 + \eta B),$$

there exist solutions $u_n \in T_{K_n}(x_n)$ and $w_n \in Y$ to

$$A u_n = v + w_n, \quad \|u_n\|_Z \leq c \|v\|_Y, \quad \|w_n\|_H \leq \alpha \|v\|_Y \tag{3.4}$$

Remark. — When Y is finite dimensional, we can take $H = Y$. \square

Proof. — Let S denote the unit sphere of Y , which is relatively compact in H . Hence there are p elements v_i such that the balls $v_i + (\alpha/2)B_H$ cover S . Since $T(x_0)$ is convex and $AT(x_0) = Y$, Robinson-Ursescu's Theorem implies the existence of a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that we can associate with any $v_i \in S$ an $u_i \in T(x_0)$ satisfying $\|u_i\|_Z \leq \lambda$. By the very definition of $T(x_0)$, we can associate with $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ integers N_i and $\eta_i > 0$ such that $\forall n \geq N_i, \forall x_n \in K_n \cap (x_0 + \eta_i B)$, there exist $u_n^i \in T_{K_n}(x_n)$ satisfying

$$\|u_i - u_n^i\|_Z \leq \alpha/2 \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z, H)}.$$

Let $N := \max_{1 \leq i \leq p} N_i$ and $\eta := \min_{1 \leq i \leq p} \eta_i$. We take $n \geq N$ and $x_n \in K_n \cap (x_0 + \eta B)$. Let v belong to Y . There exists $v_i \in S$ such that

$$\left\| v_i - \frac{v}{\|v\|_Y} \right\|_H \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Set $v_n = \|v\| u_n^i$ and $w_n = v - A v_n$. We see that $v_n \in T_{K_n}(x_n)$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n\|_Z &= \|v\|_Y \|u_n^i\|_Z \leq \|v\|_Y (\lambda + \|u_i - u_n^i\|_Z) \\ &\leq \|v\|_Y (\lambda + \alpha/2 \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z, H)}) \leq c \|v\|_Y \end{aligned}$$

(where $c := \lambda + 1/2 \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z, H)}$) and that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n\|_H &= \|v - A \|v\|_Y u_n^i\|_H \\ &= \|v\|_Y \left(\left\| \frac{v}{\|v\|_Y} - v_i + A(u_i - u_n^i) \right\|_H \right) \\ &\leq \|v\|_Y \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z, H)} \|u_i - u_n^i\|_Z \right) \leq \alpha \|v\|_Y \end{aligned}$$

This proves our claim. \square

This result justifies a further study of the $\lim \inf$ of contingent cones to $T_{K_n}(x_n)$. We introduce the cone $C_{K_n}^-(x_0)$ of elements v such that

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0+ \\ K_n \ni x_n \rightarrow x_0}} \frac{d(x_n + hv, K_n)}{h} = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

When all the K_n 's are equal to K , then $\lim \inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n = K$ and $C_{K_n}^-(x_0)$ coincides with the Clarke tangent cone to K at x_0 .

It is clearly a closed convex cone: indeed, let v_1 , and v_2 belong to $C_{K_n}^-(x_0)$, $x_n \in K_n$ a sequence converging to x_0 and $h_n \rightarrow 0^+$. There exists a sequence v_{1n} converging to v_1 such that $x_n^1 := x_n + h_n v_{1n}$ belongs to K_n for all n . Since x_n^1 also converges to x_0 , there exists a sequence v_{2n} converging to v_2 such that $x_n^1 + h_n v_{2n} \in K_n$ for all n . Hence $x_n + h_n(v_{1n} + v_{2n}) = x_n^1 + h_n v_{2n} \in K_n$ for all n and $v_{1n} + v_{2n}$ converges to $v_1 + v_2$. Then $v_1 + v_2$ belong to $C_{K_n}^-(x_0)$.

A slight modification of a result of Aubin-Clarke (1977) implies the following relations between $T(x_0)$ and $C_{K_n}^-(x_0)$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. — Assume that Z is reflexive and that the subsets K_n are weakly closed. Then

$$\lim \inf_{K_n \ni x_n \rightarrow x_0} T_{K_n}(x_n) \subset C_{K_n}^-(x_0) \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. — Let v belong to $\liminf T_{K_n}(x_n)$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N such that

$$d(v, T_{K_n}(y_n)) \leq \varepsilon \text{ when } n \geq N \text{ and } y_n \in K_n \cap (x_0 + \eta B).$$

Let us set $g_n(t) := d(x_n + tv, K_n)$. By Proposition 4.1.3, p. 178 of Aubin-Cellina (1984),

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} (d_{K_n}(x_n + tv + hv) - d_{K_n}(x_n + tv)) \leq d(v, T_{K_n}(y_n))$$

where $y_n \in K_n$ is a best approximation of $x_n + tv$. Let $x_{0n} \in K_n$ denote a best approximation of x_0 . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - x_0\| &\leq \|y_n - (x_n + tv)\| + \|x_n - x_0 + tv\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - (x_n + tv)\| + \|x_n - x_0 + tv\| \\ &\leq 2t\|v\| + \|x_n - x_0\| \leq \eta \end{aligned}$$

when $x_n \in (x_0 + (\eta/2)B) \cap K_n$ and $t \leq \eta/4\|v\|$, we deduce that the function

$$g_n(t) := d_{K_n}(x_n + tv)$$

which is almost everywhere differentiable, satisfies

$$g'_n(t) \leq \varepsilon \text{ For all } n \geq N \text{ and } t \leq \eta/4\|v\|$$

By integrating from 0 to h , we deduce that

$$\frac{d_{K_n}(x_n + hv)}{h} = \frac{g_n(h) - g_n(0)}{h} \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $h \leq \eta/4\|v\|$, $n \geq N$ and $x_n \in K_n \cap (x_0 + \eta/2B)$. \square

The converse is true when the dimension of Z is finite or when the subsets K_n are convex. More generally, we introduce the following “weak contingent cones” $T_K^\sigma(x)$ defined in the following way:

v belongs to $T_K^\sigma(x)$ if and only if there exist a sequence $h_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and a sequence w_n converging weakly to v such that $x_n + h_n w_n$ belongs to K for all n .

We see at once that

$$T_K(x) \subset T_K^\sigma(x)$$

and that they coincide when the dimension of Z is finite or when K is convex: indeed, in this case, $T_K(x)$ and $T_K^\sigma(x)$ are the closure and the weak closure of the convex cone spanned by $K - x$, which thus are equal.

We then obtain the following trivial inclusion:

PROPOSITION 3.2. — *Assume that Z is reflexive, then*

$$C_{K_n}^-(x_0) \subset \liminf_{K_n \ni x_n \rightarrow x_0} T_{K_n}^\sigma(x_0)$$

Proof. — Assume that v belongs to $C_{K_n}^-(x_0)$. Then, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\eta > 0$, N and $\beta > 0$ such that, for all $h \leq \beta$, $n \geq N$ and $x_n \in K_n \cap (x_0 + \eta B)$,

$$d(x_n + hv, K_n) < \varepsilon h.$$

Let us fix such an $n \geq N$ and $x_n \in K_n \cap (x_0 + \eta B)$. Let $y_n^h \in K_n$ such that $\|x_n - y_n^h + v\| \leq 2\varepsilon h$ and set $v_n^h := (y_n^h - x_n)/h$. Since $\|v_n^h - v\| \leq 2\varepsilon$ and since the space is reflexive, a subsequence of v_n^h converges weakly to some $v_n \in v + 2\varepsilon$. Such a v_n belongs to $T_{K_n}^+(x_n)$. Hence $d(v, T_{K_n}^+(x_n))$ converges to 0. \square

REFERENCES

- H. ATTOUCH, *Variational Convergence for Functions and Operators*, Applicable Mathematics Series Pitman, London, 1984.
- J.-P. AUBIN, *Approximation of Elliptic Boundary-Value Problems*, Wiley-Interscience, 1972.
- J.-P. AUBIN, Contingent Derivatives of Set-Valued Maps and Existence of Solutions to Nonlinear Inclusions and Differential Inclusions, *Advances in Mathematics*, Supplementary studies, L. NACHBIN Ed., 1981, pp. 160-232.
- J.-P. AUBIN, Comportement lipschitzien des solutions de problèmes de minimisation convexes, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, T. 295, Series I, 1982, pp. 235-238.
- J.-P. AUBIN, Comportement lipschitzien des solutions de problèmes de minimisation convexes. In *Non linear Partial Differential Equations and their Applications*, Collège de France seminar IV, 81/82, 1983, pp. 1-18, *Research Notes in Mathematics*, Pitman, London.
- J.-P. AUBIN, Lipschitz Behavior of Solutions to Convex Minimization Problems, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, Vol. 8, 1984, pp. 87-111.
- J.-P. AUBIN and A. CELLINA, *Differential Inclusions*, Springer-Verlag: *Grundlehren der Mathematische Wissenschaften*, Vol. 264, 1984, pp. 1-342.
- J.-P. AUBIN and F. H. CLARKE, Monotone Invariant Solutions to Differential Inclusions, *J. London Mathematical Society*, Vol. 16, 1977, pp. 357-366.
- J.-P. AUBIN and I. EKELAND, *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, Wiley-Interscience, 1984.
- J.-P. AUBIN and H. FRANKOWSKA, On inverse Function Theorems for Set-Valued Maps, *J. Mathématiques pures et appliquées* T. 66, 1987, pp. 71-89.
- F. H. CLARKE, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, Wiley-Interscience, 1983.
- Sz. DOLECKI, G. SALINETTI and R. WETS, Convergence of Functions: Equi-Semicontinuity, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 276, pp. 409-429.
- I. EKELAND, On the Variational Principle, *J. Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 47, 1974, pp. 324-353.
- H. FRANKOWSKA, 1974, Inclusions adjointes associées aux trajectoires minimales d'une inclusion différentielle, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, T. 206, Series I, 1983.
- H. FRANKOWSKA, A Viability Approach to the Skorohod Problem, *Stochastics*, Vol. 14, 1985, pp. 227-244.
- H. FRANKOWSKA, An Open Mapping Principle for Set-Valued Maps, *Journal M. A. Appl.*, Vol. 127, 1987, pp. 172-180.
- S. ROBINSON, Regularity and Stability for Convex Multivalued Functions, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, Vol. 1, 1976, pp. 130-143.
- R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, Monotone Processes of Convex and Concave Type, *Memoirs of American Mathematical Society*, No. 77, 1967.
- R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 1970.

- R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, *La théorie des sous-gradients*, Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1979.
- G. SALINETTI and R. WETS, On the Relation Between Two Types of Convergence for Convex Functions, *J. Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. **60**, 1977, pp. 211-226.
- C. URSESCU, Multifunctions with Closed Convex Graph, *Czechoslovakia Mathematics J.*, Vol. **25**, 1975, 438-441.

(Manuscrit reçu le 12 août 1987.)