Analyse non linéaire

# On a classical problem of the calculus of variations without convexity assumptions

by

## A. CELLINA and G. COLOMBO

S.I.S.S.A., I.S.A.S., Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy

ABSTRACT. - We show that the functional

$$I(x) = \int_0^T g(t, x(t)) dt + \int_0^T h(t, x'(t)) dt$$

attains a minimum under the condition that g be concave in x.

Key words : Calculus of variations, normal integrals, convex functionals.

RÉSUMÉ. – Nous montrons que le fonctionnel

$$I(x) = \int_0^T g(t, x(t)) dt + \int_0^T h(t, x'(t)) dt$$

atteint le minimum sous la condition de concavité sur g.

© 1990 L'Association Publications de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved

Classification A.M.S.: 49 A 05.

The results of this note have been presented at the Conference on Nonsmooth optimization and related topics, held in Erice, June 19-July 1st 1988.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

We consider the problem of the existence of the minimum for the integral functional I(x):

$$I(x) = \int_{0}^{T} g(t, x(t)) dt + \int_{0}^{T} h(t, x'(t)) dt$$

on the set of functions x belonging to  $W^{1, p}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $p \ge 1$  and satisfying: x(0) = a, x(T) = b;  $x'(t) \in \Phi(t)$  a.e. on [0, T]. The set-valued map  $\Phi: [0, T] \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$  is measurable with non-empty, closed (not necessarily bounded nor convex) values, and each of the functions g and h satisfies Carathéodory conditions. Our purpose is to show that, for the existence of the minimum, Tonelli's assumption of convexity of h with respect to x' can be replaced by the condition of concavity of g with respect to x, all other requirements (e.g. growth conditions) being the same. In particular, we do not impose any regularity on g, h and h\*\*. Notice that the subset of  $W^{1, p}$  on which the minimum is seeked is not weakly closed, due to the lack of convexity of the values of  $\Phi$ .

The problem of avoiding convexity has been considered by: Aubert-Tahraoui [A-T1] and Marcellini [M1] with  $g \equiv 0$  and  $\Phi \equiv \mathbb{R}^1$ ; with g linear and on a control theory setting, by Olech [O] and Cesari [Ce1]; under different conditions on g and h and with  $\Phi \equiv \mathbb{R}^1$ , by Aubert-Tahraoui [A-T1] and Marcellini [M1] (see also the references in [M2] and in [Ce2]). In addition, necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of minima were given by Ekeland [E] and Raymond [R], under regularity assumptions for the integrands. Our theorem neither contains nor is contained in either Theorem 2 of [M1] or in the results of [A-T1], which concern the case n=1, while it generalizes Theorem 16.7.i of Cesari [Ce2]. Our main tool is Liapunov's theorem on the range of vector measures as presented in the book of Cesari (§ 16).

# ASSUMPTIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We shall assume the following hypothesis.

HYPOTHESIS (H). – The set-valued map  $\Phi: [0, T] \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$  is measurable [C-V] with non-empty closed values. In addition we assume that there exists at least one  $v \in L^p([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $v(t) \in \Phi(t)$  a.e. and  $\int_0^T v(t) dt = b - a$ .

The map  $g: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $(g_1) \quad t \to g(t, x)$  is measurable for each x;

 $(g_2)$   $x \to g(t, x)$  is continuous for a.e. t;

 $(g_3)$   $x \to g(t, x)$  is concave for a.e. t.

Moreover there exist a constant  $\gamma_1$  and a function  $\gamma_2 \in L^1$ , such that  $(g_4) \quad g(t, x) \ge -\gamma_1 |x|^p - \gamma_2(t)$ .

The map  $h: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is such that

 $(h_1)$   $t \rightarrow h(t, x')$  is measurable for each x';

 $(h_2)$   $x' \rightarrow h(t, x')$  is continuous for a.e. t.

Moreover:

 $(h_3)$  if p=1, there exist: a convex lower semicontinuous monotonic function  $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$  and a function  $\xi_1(.)$  in  $L^1$  such that

$$h(t, x') \ge \psi(|x'|) - \xi_1(t)$$

and

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\Psi(r)}{r}=+\infty.$$

If p > 1, there exist: a positive constant  $\xi_2$  and a function  $\xi_3(.)$  in  $L^1$  such that  $h(t, x') \ge \xi_2 |x'|^p - \xi_3(t)$  and  $\gamma_1/\xi_2$  is strictly smaller than the best Sobolev constant in  $W_0^{1, p}([0, T])$ .

We list some notations and preliminary results. The closed unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\overline{B} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$ . The characteristic function of a set E is  $\chi_E(.)$ . Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $F: X \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$  be a map from X into the nonempty compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : F is called upper semicontinuous on X if, for every  $x \in X$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(x, \varepsilon)$  such that  $d(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow F(y) \subseteq B(F(x), \varepsilon)$ . A set-valued map F whose graph is closed and whose values are all contained in a compact set is upper semicontinuous. We also set  $||F(x)|| = \max\{|y|: y \in F(x)\}$ .

Let  $f^{**}(t, x)$  be the bipolar of the function  $x \to f(t, x)$ . We have the following

PROPOSITION 1 ([E-T] Prop. I.4.1; Lemma IX.3.3; Prop. IX.3.1). -(a)  $f^{**}(t, x)$  is the largest convex (in x) function not larger than f(t, x).

(b) Under the growth assumption  $(h_3)$  on f

$$f^{**}(t, x) = \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i f(t, \xi_i) : x = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i \xi_i; \lambda_i \ge 0; \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i = 1 \right\}.$$

(c) Let x'(.) be measurable. Then there exist measurable  $p_i: I \to [0, 1]$ and measurable  $v_i: I \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , i = 1, ..., n+1, such that:

$$\sum_{i} p_{i}(t) = 1; \qquad x'(t) = \sum_{i} p_{i}(t) v_{i}(t); \qquad f^{**}(t, x'(t)) = \sum_{i} p_{i}(t) f(t, v_{i}(t)).$$

The following properties of the subdifferential of a convex function ([E-T], I.5.1) will be used later.

LEMMA 1. – Let  $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfy (i)  $f(t, x) \leq k |x|^p + b(t) (k > 0, b \in L^1);$ (ii)  $t \to f(t, x)$  is measurable for every x; (iii)  $x \to f(t, x)$  is convex and continuous for almost every t. Then, for any continuous  $x : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , the set valued map

$$t \to \partial_x f(t, x(t))$$

admits a selection  $\delta(.) \in L^1$ .

*Proof.* – (a) We claim that the map  $t \to \partial_x f(t, x(t))$  is measurable. In fact, fix  $\Delta > 0$ ; then  $|f(t, x)| \le k \Delta^p + b(t)$  in  $[0, T] \times \Delta \overline{B}$ . By the Corollary to Proposition 2.2.6 in [C] we have that

$$\left\|\partial_{x} f(t, x)\right\| \leq \frac{2}{\Delta} (k (2\Delta)^{p} + b(t)) \quad \text{for a. e. } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{for all } x \in \Delta \overline{B}.$$
(1)

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let, by Scorza Dragoni's theorem,  $E_{\varepsilon} \subseteq [0, T]$  be closed and such that:  $m([0, T] \setminus E_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon$ ; the restriction of f to  $E_{\varepsilon} \times \Delta \overline{B}$  is continuous as well as the restriction of b to  $E_{\varepsilon}$ . We prove first that the map  $(t, x) \to \partial_x f(t, x)$  is upper semicontinuous on  $E_{\varepsilon} \times \Delta \overline{B}$ . Let us show that it has closed graph. Let  $(t_n, x_n)$  be in  $E_{\varepsilon} \times \Delta \overline{B}$ ,  $(t_n, x_n) \to (t, x)$  and let  $v_n$  be in  $\partial_x f(t_n, x_n)$ ,  $v_n \to v$ . From

$$f(t_n, x_n) - f(t_n, y) \ge \langle v_n, x_n - y \rangle, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and the continuity of f, we have

$$\langle f(t, x) - f(t, y) \rangle \ge \langle v, x - y \rangle, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

so that  $v \in \partial_x f(t, x)$ . By (1) and the boundedness of b on  $E_{\varepsilon}$ , the upper semicontinuity follows.

Let  $\Delta$  be such that  $|x(t)| \leq \Delta$ ,  $t \in I$ : then the map  $t \to \partial_x(t, x(t))$  is upper semicontinuous on  $E_{\epsilon}$ . An application of Lusin's theorem for multivalued maps yields our claim.

(b) By the theorem of Kuratowski-Ryll Nardzewski (see Theorem III.6 in [C-V]) there exists a measurable selection  $\delta(t) \in \partial_x(t, x(t))$ . We have

$$\delta(t) \leq \left\| \hat{\partial}_{x} f(t, x(t)) \right\| \leq \frac{2}{\Delta} \left| k (2\Delta)^{p} + b(t) \right|,$$

so that  $\delta \in L^1$ .

## MAIN RESULT

THEOREM 1. – Let 
$$\Phi$$
; f; g satisfy hypothesis (H). Then the problem  
(M) Minimize  $\int_0^T g(t, x(t)) dt + \int_0^T h(t, x'(t)) dt$ 

on the subset of  $W^{1, p}$  of those x(.) satisfying: x(0) = a, x(T) = b;  $x'(t) \in \Phi(t)$ a.e. in [0, T], admits at least one solution.

*Proof.* – The argument of the proof goes by showing first that the relaxed problem has a solution  $\tilde{x}$ ; then by constructing from  $\tilde{x}$  a different function, a solution to the original problem.

(a) Let us consider the function  $h_{\Phi}$  defined as

$$h_{\Phi}(t, x) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{for } x \notin \Phi(t) \\ h(t, x) & \text{for } x \in \Phi(t). \end{cases}$$

Then Problem (M) is equivalent to minimizing the functional I, with  $h_{\Phi}$  replacing *h*, on the functions of W<sup>1, p</sup> satisfying the boundary conditions.

Set  $h^c$  to be  $h_{\Phi}^{**}$  and consider the problem

(MR) Minimize 
$$\int_0^T g(t, x(t)) dt + \int_0^T h^c(t, x'(t)) dt$$

for x in W<sup>1, p</sup>, x(0) = a, x(T) = b. By Proposition 1 and the convexity (with respect to x') of the functions appearing in  $(h_3)$ ,  $h^c$  satisfies the same growth condition  $(h_3)$ . Then it is known that problem (MR) has a solution  $\tilde{x}$ . On it,  $h^c(t, \tilde{x}'(t)) < +\infty$  a.e.; by (b) of Proposition 1,  $\tilde{x}'(t)$  belongs to  $\cos \Phi(t)$  a.e. and, by (c), there exist measurable functions  $p_i$  and  $v_i$  such that

$$\sum_{i}^{n+1} p_i(t) v_i(t) = \tilde{x}'(t)$$

$$\sum_{i}^{n+1} p_i(t) h_{\Phi}(t, v_i(t)) = h^c(t, \tilde{x}'(t)).$$
(2)

Let us remark that any  $v_i(t)$  can be in the complement of  $\Phi(t)$  on a set E of positive measure only if  $p_i \equiv 0$  on it. In this case, we can modify  $v_i$  on E by an arbitrary integrable selection from  $\Phi$  without affecting (2). Hence we can as well assume that  $v_i(t) \in \Phi(t)$  a.e., so that  $h_{\Phi}(t, v_i(t)) = h(t, v_i(t))$  a.e.

(b) We consider the integrability of a function that will be used in the remainder of the proof. By Lusin's theorem there exists a sequence  $(K_j)_j$  of disjoint compact subsets of I, and a null set N, such that  $I = N \cup (\bigcup_j K_j)$  and the restriction of each of the maps  $t \to h(t, v_i(t))$  to each  $K_j$  is continuous. Set  $S_m = \bigcup_{\substack{j \le m \\ j \le m}} K_j$ . We claim: Let  $(E_j^i)_i$ ,  $i=1, \ldots, n+1$ , be a measurable partition of  $K_j$  with the property that, for every j,

$$\int_{\mathbf{K}_{j}} \left(\sum_{i} p_{i}(t) h(t, v_{i}(t))\right) dt = \int_{\mathbf{K}_{j}} \left(\sum_{i} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) h(t, v_{i}(t))\right) dt.$$
(3)

Then the map

$$t \to \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) h(t, v_{i}(t))$$
(4)

belongs to  $L^1$ . As a consequence, since, for p > 1,

$$\left|\sum_{i,j} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) v_{i}(t)\right|^{p} = \sum_{i,j} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) \left|v_{i}(t)\right|^{p} \leq \frac{1}{\xi_{2}} \sum_{i,j} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) \left(h(t, v_{i}(t)) + \xi_{3}(t)\right),$$

the function  $\sum_{ij} \chi_{E_j^i} v_i$  belongs to  $L^p$ . Analogously for the case p = 1. To prove the claim, remark that on one hand the map  $t \to \sum_i p_i(t) h(t, v_i(t))$ 

is integrable since it equals  $t \to h^c(t, \tilde{x}'(t))$ . On the other hand the sequence of maps

$$s_{m}(t) = \sum_{j \leq m} \left( \sum_{i} \chi_{E_{j}^{i}}(t) v_{i}(t) (h(t, v_{i}(t)) + \xi_{3}(t)) \right)$$

is monotone non decreasing and

$$\int_{0}^{T} s_{m}(t) dt = \sum_{j \leq m} \int_{K_{j}} \sum_{i} \chi_{E_{j}^{i}}(t) (h(t, v_{i}(t)) + \xi_{3}(t)) dt.$$

By (3) the right hand side equals

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{j \leq m \\ j \leq m}} & \int_{\mathbf{K}_{j}} \sum_{i} p_{i}(t) \left( h\left(t, v_{i}(t)\right) + \xi_{3}(t) \right) dt \\ & = \int_{0}^{\mathsf{T}} \chi_{\mathbf{S}_{m}}(t) \left( h^{c}\left(t, \, \tilde{x}'\left(t\right)\right) + \xi_{3}\left(t\right) \right) dt \\ & \leq \int_{0}^{\mathsf{T}} \left( h^{c}\left(t, \, \tilde{x}'\left(t\right)\right) + \xi_{3}\left(t\right) \right) dt < +\infty. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left(\sum_{i, j} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) \left(h(t, v_{i}(t)) + \xi_{3}(t)\right) dt \right)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T} \left(\lim s_{m}(t)\right) dt = \lim \int_{0}^{T} s_{m}(t) dt = \int_{0}^{T} \left(h^{c}(t, \tilde{x}'(t)) + \xi_{3}(t)\right) dt.$$
(5)

(c) Set  $\partial^x g(t, x)$  to be  $-\partial_x (-g(t, x))$  and consider the map  $t \to \partial^x g(t, \tilde{x}(t))$ .

Lemma 1 shows that there exists an integrable function  $\delta(.)$ , a selection from  $\partial^x g(t, \tilde{x}(t))$ . Set B(t) to be

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = \int_0^t \delta(s) \, ds.$$

Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Analyse non linéaire

102

Consider the vector measures  $v_i$  and the scalar measures  $\eta_i$ ,  $\beta_i$  defined, for  $i=1, \ldots, n+1$ , by

$$v_i(\mathbf{E}) = \int_{\mathbf{E}} v_i(t) dt$$
$$\eta_i(\mathbf{E}) = \int_{\mathbf{E}} h(t, v_i(t)) dt$$
$$\beta_i(\mathbf{E}) = \int_{\mathbf{E}} \langle v_i(t), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{T}) - \mathbf{B}(t) \rangle dt.$$

Each of them is a vector valued non-atomic measure on [0, T], hence on every  $K_j$ . By an extension of Liapunov's theorem on the range of vector measures ([Ce] 16.1.v) there exists a measurable partition of each  $K_j$ ,  $(E_j^i)_j$ ,  $i=1, \ldots, n+1$ , such that

$$\sum_{i} \int_{\mathbf{K}_{j}} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) \, d\mathbf{v}_{i}(t) = \sum_{i} \int_{\mathbf{K}_{j}} p_{i}(t) \, d\mathbf{v}_{i}(t); \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{i} \int_{\mathbf{K}_{j}} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) d\eta_{i}(t) = \sum_{i} \int_{\mathbf{K}_{j}} p_{i}(t) d\eta_{i}(t);$$
(7)

$$\sum_{i} \int_{\mathbf{K}_{j}} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) d\beta_{i}(t) = \sum_{i} \int_{\mathbf{K}_{j}} p_{i}(t) d\beta_{i}(t).$$
(8)

(d) We claim that the function  $x: I \to \mathbb{R}^n$  defined as

$$x'(t) = \sum_{i}^{\infty} \sum_{1}^{n+1} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) v_{i}(t), x(0) = \tilde{x}(0)$$

is a solution to problem (M).

First, let us remark that almost every t in [0, T] belongs to exactly one of the  $E_j^i$ , so that, for almost every t, x'(t) equals one of the  $v_i(t)$  and hence belongs to  $\Phi(t)$ . Moreover

$$h(t, x'(t)) = h(t, \sum_{i, j} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) v_{i}(t)) = \sum_{i, j} \chi_{\mathbf{E}_{j}^{i}}(t) h(t, v_{i}(t)).$$

Hence, by point (b), h(t, x'(t)) is integrable whenever (3) holds, and this follows from the definition of the measures  $\eta_i$  and equality (7). Again by point (b), we have that  $x'(.) \in L^p$ , hence x is in W<sup>1, p</sup>. Moreover,

$$x(T) = \tilde{x}(0) + \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{j,i} \chi_{E_{j}^{i}}(t) v_{i}(t) dt = \tilde{x}(0) + \sum_{j} \int_{K_{j}} \sum_{i} \chi_{E_{j}^{i}}(t) v_{i}(t) dt$$

and, from (6), the last integral equals

$$\sum_{j} \int_{\mathbf{K}_{j}} \sum_{i} p_{i}(t) v_{i}(t) dt = \int_{0}^{\mathbf{T}} \sum_{i} p_{i}(t) v_{i}(t) dt,$$

so that  $x(T) = \tilde{x}(T)$ .

We claim now that

$$\int_{0}^{T} h^{c}(t, \tilde{x}'(t)) dt = \int_{0}^{T} h(t, x'(t)) dt$$
(9)

and

$$\int_{0}^{T} g(t, \tilde{x}(t)) dt = \int_{0}^{T} g(t, x(t)) dt.$$
 (10)

Ad (9). Again from the definition of the  $\eta_i$ , (5) and (7),

$$\int_{0}^{T} h^{c}(t, \tilde{x}'(t)) dt = \sum_{j} \int_{K_{j}} \sum_{i} p_{i}(t) h(t, v_{i}(t)) dt$$
$$= \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \int_{K_{j}} \chi_{E_{j}^{i}}(t) h(t, v_{i}(t)) dt$$
$$= \sum_{j} \int_{K_{j}} h(t, \sum_{i} \chi_{E_{j}^{i}}(t) v_{i}(t)) dt = \int_{0}^{T} h(t, x'(t)) dt.$$

Ad (10). By the definition of  $\delta(.)$  in (c), for every t and y, we have (see [E-T] § I.5.1)

$$g(t, y) \leq g(t, \tilde{x}(t)) + \langle \delta(t), y - \tilde{x}(t) \rangle.$$
(11)

We claim that

٠

$$\int_{0}^{T} \langle \delta(t), x(t) - \tilde{x}(t) \rangle dt = 0.$$
(12)

Recalling the definition of B and denoting by  $u_l$  the *l*-th component of a vector u, the above integral can be written as

$$\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{1}^{n} \delta_{l}(t) (x_{l}(t) - \tilde{x}_{l}(t)) dt$$

$$= \sum_{l} \int_{0}^{T} \delta_{l}(t) \int_{0}^{t} (x_{l}'(s) - \tilde{x}_{l}'(s)) ds dt$$

$$= \sum_{l} \int_{0}^{T} (x_{l}'(s) - \tilde{x}_{l}'(s)) (B_{l}(T) - B_{l}(s)) ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \langle \sum_{j,i} \chi_{E_{j}^{i}}(s) v_{i}(s) - \sum_{i} p_{i}(s) v_{i}(s), B(T) - B(s) \rangle ds$$

$$= \sum_{j} \int_{K_{j}} \sum_{i} (\chi_{E_{j}^{i}}(s) - p_{i}(s)) \langle v_{i}(s), B(T) - B(s) \rangle ds$$

$$= 0, \text{ by } (8).$$

Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Analyse non linéaire

104

By (11) this proves that

$$\int_0^{\mathsf{T}} g(t, x(t)) dt \leq \int_0^{\mathsf{T}} g(t, \tilde{x}(t)) dt.$$
(12)

105

Since  $\tilde{x}$  is a solution of the problem (MR), by (a) in Proposition 1,

$$\int_{0}^{T} g(t, x(t)) dt + \int_{0}^{T} h(t, x'(t)) dt \ge \int_{0}^{T} g(t, \tilde{x}(t)) dt + \int_{0}^{T} h^{c}(t, \tilde{x}'(t)) dt.$$

On the other hand, by (9) and (12), (10) follows. This proves that x is a solution to the problem (M).

*Remark.* – In case g(t, .) is strictly concave for almost every t, i.e. if there exists a selection  $\delta$  from  $\partial^x g(t, \tilde{x}(t))$  such that the inequality sign in (11) is strict for  $y \neq \tilde{x}(t)$ , the functions x(t) and  $\tilde{x}(t)$  have to coincide, otherwise the integral functional would assume on x a value strictly less than its infimum. Therefore, in this case, every x which is a minimizer for the relaxed problem (MR) is also a minimizer for the original problem (M).

#### REFERENCES

- [A-T1] G. AUBERT and R. TAHRAOUI, Théorèmes d'existence pour des problèmes du calcul des variations du type :  $\operatorname{Inf} \int_{0}^{L} f(x, u'(x)) dx$  et  $\operatorname{Inf} \int_{0}^{L} f(x, u(x), u'(x)) dx$ , J. Diff. Eq., Vol. 33, 1979, pp. 1-15.
- [A-T2] G. AUBERT and R. TAHRAOUI, Théorèmes d'existence en optimisation non convexe, Appl. Anal., Vol. 18, 1984, pp. 75-100.
- [C-V] C. CASTAING and M. VALADIER, Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [Ce1] L. CESARI, An existence theorem without convexity conditions, S.I.A.M. J. Control, Vol. 12, 1974, pp. 319-331.
- [Ce2] L. CESARI, Optimization-Theory and Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [C] F. H. CLARKE, Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis, Wiley, New York, 1983.
- [E] I. EKELAND, Discontinuités de champs hamiltoniens et existence de solutions optimales en calcul des variations, *Publications Mathématiques de l'I.H.E.S.*, Vol. 47, 1977, pp. 5-32.
- [E-T] I. EKELAND and R. TEMAM, Convex Analysis and Variational Problems, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
- [M1] P. MARCELLINI, Alcune osservazioni sull'esistenza del minimo di integrali del calcolo delle variazioni senza ipotesi di convessità, *Rend. di Matem.*, (2), Vol. 13, 1980, pp. 271-281.
- [M2] P. MARCELLINI, A Relation Between Existence of Minima for Non Convex Integrals and Uniqueness for Non Strictly Convex Integrals of the Calculus of Variations, Proc. of Congress on Mathematical Theories of Optimization, S. Margherita Ligure, J. P. CECCONI and T. ZOLEZZI Ed., Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 979, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

#### A. CELLINA AND G. COLOMBO

- [M3] P. MARCELLINI, Some Remarks on Uniqueness in the Calculus of Variations, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and their Applications, Collège de France Seminar, Vol. IV, Pitman, Boston, 1984.
- [O] C. OLECH, Integrals of Set-Valued Functions and Linear Optimal Control Problems, Colloque sur la Théorie Mathématique du Contrôle Optimal, C.B.R.M., Vander, Louvain, 1970, pp. 109-125.
- [R] J. P. RAYMOND, Conditions nécessaires et suffisantes d'existence de solutions en calcul des variations, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire, Vol. 4, 1987, pp. 169-202.

(Manuscript received July 15th, 1988) (accepted February 15th, 1989.)

106