

## Strongly self-absorbing $C^*$ -algebras are $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable

Wilhelm Winter\*

**Abstract.** We prove the title. This characterizes the Jiang–Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  as the uniquely determined initial object in the category of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras.

*Mathematics Subject Classification* (2010). 46L35, 46L85.

*Keywords.* Strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebra, Jiang–Su algebra.

### Introduction

A separable unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D} \neq \mathbb{C}$  is called strongly self-absorbing if there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  that is approximately unitarily equivalent to the first factor embedding, cf. [12]. The interest in such algebras largely arises from Elliott’s program to classify nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras by  $K$ -theoretic invariants. In fact, examples suggest that classification will only be possible up to  $\mathcal{D}$ -stability (i.e., up to tensoring with  $\mathcal{D}$ ) for a strongly self-absorbing  $\mathcal{D}$ , cf. [11], [4], [15]. While the known strongly self-absorbing examples are quite well understood, and are entirely classified, it remains an open problem whether these are the only ones. From a more general perspective, the question is in how far abstract properties allow for comparison with concrete examples. For nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras, this question prominently manifests itself as the UCT problem (i.e., is every nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $KK$ -equivalent to a commutative one); a positive answer even in the special setting of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras would be highly satisfactory, and likely shed light on the general case.

In this note we shall be concerned with a closely related interpretation of the aforementioned question: we will show that any strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  admits a unital embedding of a specific example, the Jiang–Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  (we refer to [8] and to [10] for an introduction and various characterizations of  $\mathcal{Z}$ ). It then follows immediately that  $\mathcal{D}$  is in fact  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable. The result answers some problems left open in [12] and in [1]; in particular it implies that strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras are always  $K_1$ -injective. Moreover, it shows that the Jiang–Su algebra is an initial object in the category of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras (with unital

---

\*Supported by: EPSRC First Grant EP/G014019/1.

\*-homomorphisms); there can only be one such initial object, whence  $\mathcal{Z}$  is characterized this way. It is interesting to note that the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is the uniquely determined final object in this category, and that  $\mathcal{O}_\infty$  can be characterized as the initial object in the category of infinite strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras.

The proof of our main result builds on ideas from [10] and from [1], where the problem was settled in the case where  $\mathcal{D}$  contains a nontrivial projection.

### 1. Small elementary tensors

In this section, we generalize a technical result from [1] to a setting that does not require the existence of projections, see Lemma 1.4 below. We refer to [9] for a brief account of the Cuntz semigroup.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $0 \leq g \leq \mathbf{1}_A$ .*

*Then, for any  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n} &\geq (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \otimes g \otimes \cdots \otimes g \\ &\quad + g \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \otimes g \otimes \cdots \otimes g \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + g \otimes \cdots \otimes g \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The statement is trivial for  $n = 1$ . Suppose now we have shown the assertion for some  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes(n+1)}} - g^{\otimes(n+1)} &= \mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} \otimes g - g^{\otimes n} \otimes g + \mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \\ &= (\mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n}) \otimes g + \mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \\ &\geq ((\mathbf{1}_A - g) \otimes g \otimes \cdots \otimes g) \otimes g \\ &\quad + (g \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \otimes g \otimes \cdots \otimes g) \otimes g \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + (g \otimes \cdots \otimes g \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g)) \otimes g \\ &\quad + g^{\otimes n} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g), \end{aligned}$$

where for the inequality we have used our induction hypothesis as well as the fact that  $\mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \geq g^{\otimes n} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g)$ . Therefore, the statement also holds for  $n + 1$ . □

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be strongly self-absorbing,  $0 \leq d \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ .*

*Then, for any  $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} - d^{\otimes k}] \leq k \cdot [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}}] \text{ in } W(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}).$$

*Proof.* The assertion holds trivially for  $k = 1$ . Suppose now it has been verified for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k+1)}} - d^{\otimes(k+1)}] &= [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) + \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} \otimes d - d^{\otimes k} \otimes d] \\ &\leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d)] + [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} - d^{\otimes k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}] \\ &\leq [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}}] + k \cdot [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}] \\ &= (k+1) \cdot [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}}] \end{aligned}$$

(using that  $\mathcal{D}$  is strongly self-absorbing as well as our induction hypothesis for the second inequality), so the assertion also holds for  $k+1$ .  $\square$

The following is only a mild generalization of [1], Lemma 1.3.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be strongly self-absorbing and let  $0 \leq f \leq g \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$  be positive elements of  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfying  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g \neq 0$  and  $fg = f$ .*

*Then there is  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$[f^{\otimes n}] \leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n}] \text{ in } W(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is simple and  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g \neq 0$ , there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$[f] \leq n \cdot [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [f^{\otimes n}] &\leq n \cdot [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g) \otimes f \otimes \cdots \otimes f] \\ &= [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g) \otimes f \otimes \cdots \otimes f] + \cdots + [f \otimes \cdots \otimes f \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g)] \\ &= [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g) \otimes f \otimes \cdots \otimes f + \cdots + f \otimes \cdots \otimes f \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g)] \\ &\leq [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g) \otimes g \otimes \cdots \otimes g + \cdots + g \otimes \cdots \otimes g \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g)] \\ &\leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n}], \end{aligned}$$

where for the first equality we have used that  $\mathcal{D}$  is strongly self-absorbing, for the second equality we have used that the terms are pairwise orthogonal by our assumptions on  $f$  and  $g$ , and the last inequality follows from Proposition 1.1.  $\square$

The following is a version of [1], Lemma 2.4, for positive elements rather than projections.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be strongly self-absorbing and let  $0 \leq f \leq g \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$  be positive elements satisfying  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g \neq 0$  and  $fg = f$ ; let  $0 \neq d \in \mathcal{D}_+$ .*

*Then there is  $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$[f^{\otimes m}] \leq [d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(m-1)}}] \text{ in } W(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes m}).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.3, there is  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$[f^{\otimes n}] \leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n}];$$

since  $f^{\otimes n} \perp \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n}$ , this implies that

$$2 \cdot [f^{\otimes n}] \leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}}].$$

By an easy induction argument we then have

$$2^k \cdot [f^{\otimes nk}] \leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes nk}}]$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

By simplicity of  $\mathcal{D}$  and since  $d$  is nonzero, there is  $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$[f] \leq 2^{\bar{k}} \cdot [d].$$

Set

$$m := n\bar{k} + 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [f^{\otimes m}] &\leq 2^{\bar{k}} \cdot [d \otimes f^{\otimes(m-1)}] \\ &= 2^{\bar{k}} \cdot [d \otimes f^{\otimes n\bar{k}}] \\ &\leq [d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n\bar{k}}}] \\ &= [d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(m-1)}}]. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2. Large order zero maps

Below we establish the existence of nontrivial order zero maps from matrix algebras into strongly self-absorbing C\*-algebras, and we show certain systems of such maps give rise to order zero maps with small complements. We refer to [16] and [17] for an introduction to order zero maps.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be strongly self-absorbing and  $0 \neq d \in \mathcal{D}_+$ .*

*Then, for any  $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a nonzero completely positive contractive (henceforth abbreviated as c.p.c.) order zero map*

$$\psi : M_k \rightarrow \overline{d\mathcal{D}d}.$$

*Proof.* Let us first prove the assertion in the case where  $d = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $k = 2$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is infinite dimensional, there are orthogonal positive normalized elements  $e, f \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  is strongly self-absorbing, there is a sequence of unitaries  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  such that

$$u_n(e \otimes f)u_n^* \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f \otimes e;$$

since  $e \otimes f \perp f \otimes e$ , this implies that there is a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\bar{\sigma}: M_2 \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$$

given by

$$\bar{\sigma}(e_{11}) = e \otimes f, \quad \bar{\sigma}(e_{22}) = f \otimes e, \quad \bar{\sigma}(e_{21}) = \pi((u_n(e \otimes f))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$$

(cf. [16]), where  $\pi: \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  denotes the quotient map.

Since order zero maps with finite dimensional domains are semiprojective (cf. [16]),  $\bar{\sigma}$  has a c.p.c. order zero lift  $M_2 \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ , which in turn implies that there is a nonzero completely positive contractive c.p.c. order zero map

$$\tilde{\sigma}: M_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D}.$$

Next, if  $k = 2^r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$M_{2^r} \cong (M_2)^{\otimes r} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}^{\otimes r}} \mathcal{D}^{\otimes r} \cong \mathcal{D}$$

is a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map; for an arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we may take  $r$  large enough and restrict  $\tilde{\sigma}^{\otimes r}$  to  $M_k \subset M_{2^r}$  to obtain a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map

$$\sigma: M_k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}.$$

This settles the proposition for arbitrary  $k$  and for  $d = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ . Now if  $d$  is an arbitrary nonzero positive element (which we may clearly assume to be normalized), we can define a c.p.c. map

$$\bar{\psi}: M_k \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \overline{d \mathcal{D} d} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \overline{d \mathcal{D} d} \subset \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}$$

by setting

$$\bar{\psi}(x) := \pi((d\sigma_n(x)d)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \text{ for } x \in M_k,$$

where again  $\pi: \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \overline{d \mathcal{D} d} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \overline{d \mathcal{D} d} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \overline{d \mathcal{D} d}$  denotes the quotient map and  $\sigma_n: M_k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a sequence of c.p.c. maps lifting the c.p.c. order zero map

$$\mu\sigma: M_k \rightarrow (\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{D}',$$

with

$$\mu: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow (\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{D}'$$

being a unital  $*$ -homomorphism as in [12], Theorem 2.2. It is straightforward to check that  $\bar{\psi}$  is nonzero and has order zero. Again by semiprojectivity of order zero maps, this implies the existence of a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map

$$\psi: M_k \rightarrow \overline{d \mathcal{D} d}. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $B$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\varrho: M_2 \rightarrow B$  a unital  $*$ -homomorphism. Define*

$$E := \{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], B \otimes M_2) \mid f(0) \in B \otimes \mathbf{1}_{M_2}, f(1) \in \mathbf{1}_B \otimes M_2\}.$$

*Then there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism*

$$\tilde{\varrho}: M_2 \rightarrow E.$$

*Proof.* This follows from simply connecting the two embeddings  $\varrho \otimes \mathbf{1}_{M_2}$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{M_2} \otimes \text{id}_{M_2}$  of  $M_2$  into  $\varrho(M_2) \otimes M_2 \cong M_2 \otimes M_2$  along the unit interval.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A$  a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let*

$$\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m: M_2 \rightarrow A$$

*be c.p.c. order zero maps such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) \leq \mathbf{1}_A$$

*and*

$$[\varphi_i(M_2), \varphi_j(M_2)] = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

*Then, there is a c.p.c. order zero map*

$$\bar{\varphi}: M_2 \rightarrow C^*(\varphi_i(M_2) \mid i = 1, \dots, m) \subset A$$

*such that*

$$\bar{\varphi}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}).$$

*Moreover, if  $d \in A_+$  satisfies  $\varphi_m(e_{11})d = d$ , we may assume that  $\bar{\varphi}(e_{11})d = d$ .*

*Proof.* In the following, we write  $C_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , for various copies of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}_0((0, 1], M_2)$ ; these come equipped with c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varrho_i: M_2 \rightarrow C_i$  given by

$$\varrho_i(x)(t) = t \cdot x \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1] \text{ and } x \in M_2.$$

By [14], Proposition 3.2 (a), the c.p.c. order zero maps  $\varphi_i: M_2 \rightarrow A$  induce unique  $*$ -homomorphisms  $C_i \rightarrow A$  via  $\varrho_i(x) \mapsto \varphi_i(x)$  for  $x \in M_2$ .

We now define a universal  $C^*$ -algebra

$$B := C^*(C_i, \mathbf{1} \mid \sum_{l=1}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) \leq \mathbf{1}, [C_i, C_j] = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, m\}).$$

Then,  $B$  is generated by the  $\varrho_i(x)$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $x \in M_2$ ; the assignment

$$\varrho_i(x) \mapsto \varphi_i(x) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ and } x \in M_2$$

induces a unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi : B \rightarrow C^*(\varphi_i(M_2), \mathbf{1}_A \mid i \in \{1, \dots, m\}) \subset A$$

satisfying

$$\sum_{l=1}^m \pi \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = \sum_{l=1}^m \varphi_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}).$$

Now if we find a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\bar{\varrho} : M_2 \rightarrow B$$

satisfying

$$\bar{\varrho}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = \sum_{l=1}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}).$$

Then

$$\bar{\varphi} := \pi \bar{\varrho}$$

will have the desired properties, proving the first assertion of the lemma. We proceed to construct  $\bar{\varrho}$ .

For  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , let

$$J_k := \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{1} - \sum_{l=k}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) \triangleleft B$$

denote the ideal generated by  $\mathbf{1} - \sum_{l=k}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})$  in  $B$ ; let

$$B_k := B/J_k$$

denote the quotient. We clearly have

$$J_1 \subset J_2 \subset \dots \subset J_m$$

and surjections

$$B \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\pi_m} B_m.$$

Observe that

$$\pi_m \circ \dots \circ \pi_1 \circ \varrho_m : M_2 \rightarrow B_m$$

is a unital surjective c.p. order zero map, hence a  $*$ -homomorphism by [14], Proposition 3.2 (b); therefore,

$$B_m \cong M_2.$$

For  $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$ , set

$$E_k := \{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], B_{k+1} \otimes M_2) \mid f(0) \in B_{k+1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{M_2}, f(1) \in \mathbf{1}_{B_{k+1}} \otimes M_2\}. \tag{1}$$

One easily checks that the maps

$$\sigma_k : B_k \rightarrow E_k$$

induced by

$$\pi_k \dots \pi_1 \varrho_i(x) \mapsto \begin{cases} (t \mapsto (1-t) \cdot \pi_{k+1} \dots \pi_1 \varrho_i(x) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{M_2}) & \text{for } i = k+1, \dots, m \\ & \text{and } x \in M_2, \\ (t \mapsto t \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_{k+1}} \otimes x) & \text{for } i = k \text{ and } x \in M_2 \end{cases}$$

are well defined  $*$ -isomorphisms. Similarly, the map

$$\sigma_0: B \rightarrow E_0 := \{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], B_1) \mid f(1) \in \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_1}\}$$

induced by

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_i(x) &\mapsto (t \mapsto (1-t) \cdot \pi_1 \varrho_i(x)) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } x \in M_2, \\ \mathbf{1}_B &\mapsto \mathbf{1}_{E_0}, \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined  $*$ -isomorphism; note that

$$\sigma_0\left(\sum_{l=1}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})\right) = (t \mapsto (1-t) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_1}).$$

By (1) together with Proposition 2.2 and an easy induction argument, the unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi_m \dots \pi_1 \varrho_m: M_2 \rightarrow B_m$$

pulls back to a unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\tilde{\varrho}: M_2 \rightarrow B_1.$$

This in turn induces a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\check{\varrho}: M_2 \rightarrow E_0$$

by

$$\check{\varrho}(x) := (t \mapsto (1-t) \cdot \tilde{\varrho}(x)).$$

Note that this map satisfies

$$\check{\varrho}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = (t \mapsto (1-t) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_1}).$$

We now define a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\bar{\varrho} := \sigma_0^{-1} \circ \check{\varrho}: M_2 \rightarrow B.$$

Note that  $\bar{\varrho}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = \sum_{l=1}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})$ , whence  $\bar{\varrho}$  is as desired.

For the second assertion of the lemma, note that  $\bar{\varrho}$  and  $\varrho_m$  agree modulo  $J_m$ . Therefore,  $\bar{\varphi} = \pi \bar{\varrho}$  and  $\varphi_m = \pi \varrho_m$  agree up to  $\pi(J_m)$ . However, one checks that  $\pi(J_m) \perp d$ , whence  $(\bar{\varphi}(x) - \varphi_m(x))d = 0$  for all  $x \in M_2$ . This implies that  $\bar{\varphi}(e_{11})d = \varphi_m(e_{11})d = d$ .  $\square$



**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be strongly self-absorbing,  $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$  and*

$$\varphi_0: M_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

*a c.p.c. order zero map.*

*Then there are c.p.c. order zero maps*

$$\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m: M_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\otimes m}$$

*such that*

- (i)  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(m-1)}}$ ,
- (ii)  $[\varphi_i(M_2), \varphi_j(M_2)] = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes m}} - \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi_0(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}))^{\otimes m}$ .

*Proof.* For  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , define

$$\varphi_k := (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi_0(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}))^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \varphi_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(m-k)}}.$$

Then the  $\varphi_k$  obviously satisfy 2.4 (i) and (ii).

A simple induction argument shows that, for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes m}} - \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi_0(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}))^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(m-k)}},$$

which is 2.4 (iii) when we take  $k = m$ . □

### 3. $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability

We now assemble the techniques of the preceding sections and a result from [10] to prove our main result; we also derive some consequences.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Any strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  absorbs the Jiang–Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  tensorially.*

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Proposition 2.1, there is a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map  $\varphi: M_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . Using functional calculus for order zero maps (cf. [17]), we may assume that there is

$$0 \leq d \leq \varphi(e_{11})$$

such that

$$d \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(e_{11})d = d.$$

Note that

$$\varphi(e_{22})d = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}))(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}).$$

By Proposition 2.1, there is a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map

$$\psi: M_k \rightarrow \overline{d\mathcal{D}d};$$

observe that

$$\varphi(e_{11})\psi(x) = \psi(x) \quad \text{for } x \in M_k.$$

Apply Lemma 1.4 (with  $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}$ ,  $\psi(e_{11})^{\otimes k}$ ,  $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}}$  and  $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}}$  in place of  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $d$ ,  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively) to obtain  $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$[(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}}]^{\otimes m} \leq [\psi(e_{11})^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes(m-1)}}] \quad (2)$$

in  $W((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})$ . From Proposition 2.4 (with  $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}$  in place of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\varphi_0 := \varphi \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}}$ ) we obtain c.p.c. order zero maps

$$\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m: M_2 \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m}$$

satisfying 2.4 (i), (ii) and (iii). By relabeling the  $\varphi_i$  we may assume that actually  $\varphi_m = \varphi_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes(m-1)}}$  in 2.4 (i).

From Lemma 2.3, we obtain a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\bar{\varphi}: M_2 \rightarrow C^*(\varphi_i(M_2) \mid i = 1, \dots, m) \subset (\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m}$$

such that

$$\bar{\varphi}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}).$$

By the second assertion of Lemma 2.3 and since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_m(e_{11})(\psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}}) &= (\varphi(e_{11}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}})(\psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}}) \\ &= \psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

we may furthermore assume that

$$\bar{\varphi}(e_{11})(\psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}}) = \psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}},$$

which in turn yields

$$\psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}} \leq \bar{\varphi}(e_{11}) \quad (3)$$

since  $\psi$  is contractive. Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m}} - \bar{\varphi}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})] &\stackrel{2.4(iii)}{=} [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} - \varphi_0(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}))^{\otimes m}] \\ &= [((\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}})^{\otimes m}] \quad (4) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{\leq} [\psi(e_{11})^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes(m-1)}}] \end{aligned}$$

in  $W((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})$ . Define a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\Phi: M_{2^k} \cong (M_2)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow ((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})^{\otimes k} \cong \mathcal{D}^{\otimes kmk}$$

by

$$\Phi := \bar{\varphi}^{\otimes k}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{1}_{((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})^{\otimes k}} - \Phi(\mathbf{1}_{(M_2)^{\otimes k}})] &\stackrel{1.2}{\leq} k \cdot [(\mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m}} - \bar{\varphi}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})^{\otimes (k-1)}}] \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{\leq} k \cdot [\psi(e_{11})^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes (m-1)}} \\ &\quad \otimes \mathbf{1}_{((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})^{\otimes (k-1)}}] \\ &\leq [\psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k})^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes (km-1)})^{\otimes k}}] \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{\leq} [\bar{\varphi}(e_{11})^{\otimes k}] \\ &= [\Phi(e_{11})] \end{aligned}$$

in  $W(((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})^{\otimes k})$ . From [10], Proposition 5.1, we now see that there is a unital \*-homomorphism

$$\varrho: Z_{2^k, 2^{k+1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\otimes kmk} \cong \mathcal{D}.$$

Since  $k$  was arbitrary, by [13], Proposition 2.2, this implies that  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *The Jiang–Su algebra is the uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) initial object in the category of strongly self-absorbing C\*-algebras (with unital \*-homomorphisms).*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1, the Jiang–Su algebra does embed unitaly into any strongly self-absorbing C\*-algebra, so it is an initial object. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is another initial object, then  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  embed unitaly into one another, whence they are isomorphic by [12], Proposition 5.12.

Sometimes an object in a category is called initial only if there is a *unique* morphism to any other object; this remains true in our setting if one takes approximate unitary equivalence classes of unital \*-homomorphisms as morphisms.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** By [9],  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C\*-algebras are  $K_1$ -injective, whence  $K_1$ -injectivity is unnecessary in the hypotheses of the main results of [12], [3], [2], [5], [6] and [7].

### References

[1] M. Dadarlat and M. Rørdam, Strongly self-absorbing C\*-algebras which contain a non-trivial projection. *Münster J. Math.* **2** (2009), 35–44. [Zbl 1191.46049](#) [MR 2545606](#) [253, 254, 255](#)

- [2] M. Dadarlat and W. Winter, Trivialization of  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ -algebras with strongly self-absorbing fibres. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **136** (2008), 575–606. [Zbl 1170.46051](#) [MR 2443037](#) [263](#)
- [3] M. Dadarlat and W. Winter, On the  $KK$ -theory of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Scand.* **104** (2009), 95–107. [Zbl 1170.46065](#) [MR 2498373](#) [263](#)
- [4] G. A. Elliott and A. S. Toms, Regularity properties in the classification program for separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebras. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **45** (2008), 229–245. [Zbl 1151.46048](#) [MR 2383304](#) [253](#)
- [5] I. Hirshberg, M. Rørdam, and W. Winter,  $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ -algebras, stability and strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Ann.* **339** (2007), 695–732. [Zbl 1128.46020](#) [MR 2336064](#) [263](#)
- [6] I. Hirshberg and W. Winter, Rokhlin actions and self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. *Pacific J. Math.* **233** (2007), 125–143. [Zbl 1152.46056](#) [MR 2366371](#) [263](#)
- [7] I. Hirshberg and W. Winter, Permutations of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. *Internat. J. Math.* **19** (2008), 1137–1145. [Zbl 1162.46027](#) [MR 2458564](#) [263](#)
- [8] X. Jiang and H. Su, On a simple unital projectionless  $C^*$ -algebra. *Amer. J. Math.* **121** (1999), 359–413. [Zbl 0923.46069](#) [MR 1680321](#) [253](#)
- [9] M. Rørdam, The stable and the real rank of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. *Internat. J. Math.* **15** (2004), 1065–1084. [Zbl 1077.46054](#) [MR 2106263](#) [254](#), [263](#)
- [10] M. Rørdam and W. Winter, The Jiang-Su algebra revisited. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **642** (2010), 129–155. [Zbl 05735425](#) [MR 2658184](#) [253](#), [254](#), [261](#), [263](#)
- [11] A. S. Toms, On the classification problem for nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **167** (2008), 1029–1044. [Zbl 1181.46047](#) [MR 2415391](#) [253](#)
- [12] A. S. Toms and W. Winter, Strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), 3999–4029. [Zbl 1120.46046](#) [MR 2302521](#) [253](#), [257](#), [263](#)
- [13] A. S. Toms and W. Winter,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable ASH algebras. *Canad. J. Math.* **60** (2008), 703–720. [Zbl 1157.46034](#) [MR 2414961](#) [263](#)
- [14] W. Winter, Covering dimension for nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **199** (2003), 535–556. [Zbl 1026.46049](#) [MR 1971906](#) [258](#), [259](#)
- [15] W. Winter, Localizing the Elliott conjecture at strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. Preprint 2007. [arXiv:0708.0283](#) [253](#)
- [16] W. Winter, Covering dimension for nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), 4143–4167. [Zbl 1178.46070](#) [MR 2500882](#) [256](#), [257](#)
- [17] W. Winter and J. Zacharias, Completely positive maps of order zero. *Münster J. Math.* **2** (2009), 311–324. [Zbl 1190.46042](#) [MR 2545617](#) [256](#), [261](#)

Received June 22, 2009; revised October 8, 2009

W. Winter, School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK

E-mail: wilhelm.winter@nottingham.ac.uk