

## A remark on complex analytic families of complex tori

By

Takashi OKANO\*

1. It is well known that the field of meromorphic functions on an irreducible compact complex space is an algebraic function field whose transcendence degree over the complex number field  $\mathbf{C}$  is not greater than the dimension of the space (for example, see [4] or [6]).

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be complex spaces and  $\pi$  a proper holomorphic mapping of  $X$  onto  $Y$  with irreducible fibers. For a point  $t$  of  $Y$  we put  $K_t$  the meromorphic function field of the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(t)$ . We ask how many functions of  $K_t$  can be extended to meromorphic functions on neighborhoods of the fiber.

In this paper, we solve this problem only in a special case, the case of complex analytic families of complex tori (Corollary 1 of Theorem 2).

Let  $Y$  be an irreducible complex space and  $\Omega = (\omega_{ij})$  be a  $(n, 2n)$ -matrix, where  $\omega_{ij}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n; j=1, 2, \dots, 2n$ ) are holomorphic functions on  $Y$ . We suppose that the  $(2n, 2n)$ -matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \Omega \\ \bar{\Omega} \end{pmatrix}$  (where  $\bar{\Omega}$  means the complex conjugate of the matrix  $\Omega$ ) is non-singular for each point of  $Y$ .

Let  $\mathbf{C}^n$  be the space of  $n$  complex variables  $z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $G$  be the discontinuous abelian group of analytic automorphisms of  $\mathbf{C}^n \times Y$  generated by

$$g_j: (z, t) \rightarrow (z + \omega_j(t), t), \quad j=1, \dots, 2n,$$

---

Received October 12, 1967.

Communicated by S. Nakano.

\* Faculty of Liberal Arts and Education, Nagoya City University.

where  $\omega_j(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{1j}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{nj}(t) \end{pmatrix}$ . Then the factor space  $X = (\mathbf{C}^n \times Y)/G$  is a complex analytic family of complex tori over the space  $Y$ . We denote the natural projection of  $X$  to  $Y$  by  $\pi$ . The fiber  $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$  is the complex torus with periods  $\omega_j(t)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ .

2. From now on, we denote by  $td(K_t)$  the transcendence degree of the field  $K_t$  over the complex number field.

We put  $Y_k = \{t \in Y \mid td(K_t) = k\}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . Let  $t_0$  be a point of  $Y_k$ , where we assume  $k > 0$ . Then there is a linear transformation of the variables  $z$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{pmatrix} = Q \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } Q = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \dots & a_{kn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a non-singular } (n, n)\text{-}$$

matrix, such that any function of  $K_{t_0}$  is independent of the  $n-k$  variables

$$w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n. \text{ We put } P = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & \dots & a_{kn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then clearly,

( i )  $rank P = k$ ,

and  $2n$  vectors  $P\omega_j(t_0)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ , of the space  $\mathbf{C}^k$  (of the variables

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_k \end{pmatrix}) \text{ form a lattice } G^k \text{ in the space } \mathbf{C}^k \text{ (see [5], p. 103).}$$

Hence we obtain a  $k$ -dimensional complex torus  $\mathbf{C}^k/G^k$  and a natural holomorphic mapping of  $X_{t_0}$  onto the torus  $\mathbf{C}^k/G^k$  which is induced from the linear mapping  $w = Pz$ . Further, the field  $K_{t_0}$  is naturally isomorphic to the field of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbf{C}^k/G^k$ , and hence the torus  $\mathbf{C}^k/G^k$  is an abelian variety.

Let  $\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_{2k}$  be a free base of the group  $G^k$ , where  $\tilde{\omega}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\omega}_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{\omega}_{ik} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then there are  $(2n, 2k)$ -matrix  $H_1$  and a  $(2k, 2n)$ -matrix  $H_2$  with integral elements such that;

$$P\Omega(t_0)H_1 = (\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_{2k}), \text{ where } \Omega(t_0) = (\omega_{ij}(t_0)),$$

and,



singular  $(l, l)$ -matrix  $J$  such that

$$\text{Hence the } \text{rank} (F_1 F_2 - E^{(l)}) \geq l - q.$$

Let  $u_i$  be the  $i$ -th unit column vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \dots i, i=1, \dots, q.$  Then

$q$  vectors  $JF_1 F_2 J^{-1} u_i$  are independent. Hence  $q \leq p$  and therefore  $\text{rank} (F_1 F_2 - E^{(l)}) \geq l - p.$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $T$  be a real  $(2n, 2n)$ -matrix and  $S$  be a  $(n, 2n)$ -matrix.*

$$\text{Then } 2 \text{ rank } ST \geq \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} S \\ \bar{S} \end{pmatrix} T.$$

**Proof.** The rank of a matrix is the dimension of the image of the linear mapping defined by the matrix.

We consider the matrices  $ST$  and  $\bar{S}T$  as the linear mappings from the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  to the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  respectively. Since  $T$  is real, the rank of  $ST$  and the rank of  $\bar{S}T$  are equal. On the other hand the image of  $\begin{pmatrix} S \\ \bar{S} \end{pmatrix} T: \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$  is contained in the (direct) sum of the images of  $ST$  and  $\bar{S}T$ . Hence we have  $2 \text{ rank } ST \geq \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} S \\ \bar{S} \end{pmatrix} T.$

Now  $t_0$  let be a point of  $Y_k$ , where  $k > 0$ . Then, as mentioned in §2, there is a system of matrices  $H_1, H_2$  and  $A$  (with integral elements) and  $P$  of types described in § 2 such that,

- (i)  $\text{rank } P = k,$
- (ii)  $P\Omega(t_0)H_1H_2 = P\Omega(t_0),$
- (iii)  $P\Omega(t_0)H_1A(P\Omega(t_0)H_1)' = 0,$
- (iv)  $\sqrt{-1} P\Omega(t_0)H_1A(P\Omega(t_0)H_1)' < 0.$

Conversely, let  $t$  be a point of  $Y$  and assume that there are integral matrices  $H_1, H_2$  and  $P$  with properties (i)  $\text{rank } P = k$  and (ii)  $P\Omega(t)H_1H_2 = P\Omega(t)$ , then the  $2n$  column vectors of  $P\Omega(t)$  generate a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^k$  and the  $2k$  column vectors of  $P\Omega(t)H_1$  give a system of a free base of this lattice.

We fix a system of matrices  $H_1, H_2$  and  $A$  with integral elements

and consider the set  $Y(H_1, H_2) = \{t \in Y \mid \text{there is a } (k, n)\text{-matrix } P \text{ such that the above conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied at the point } t\}$  and the set  $Y(H_1, H_2, A) = \{t \in Y \mid \text{there is a } (k, n)\text{-matrix } P \text{ such that the above conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) are satisfied at } t\}$ .

Let  $\Pi$  be the vector space of all  $(k, n)$ -matrices, and  $\mathfrak{P}$  the analytic subspace of  $\Pi \times Y$  defined by the equation  $P\Omega(t)(H_1H_2 - E^{(2n)}) = 0$  ( $P \in \Pi, t \in Y$ ) with the natural projection  $p$  to  $Y$ . Then  $p^{-1}(t)$  is linear subspace of  $\Pi$  for each point  $t$  of  $Y$ . Further, the space  $p^{-1}(t)$  contains a matrix of rank  $k$  if and only if the rank of the matrix  $\Omega(t)(H_1H_2 - E^{(2n)})$  is not greater than  $n - k$ , because the equation  $P\Omega(t)(H_1H_2 - E^{(2n)}) = 0$  is a system of  $k$  independent equations  $\alpha_i\Omega(t)(H_1H_2 - E^{(2n)}) = 0, i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $\alpha_i$  is the  $i$ -th row vector of the matrix  $P$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The set  $Y(H_1, H_2)$  is an analytic subset of  $Y$  defined by the equation  $\text{rank } \Omega(t)(H_1H_2 - E^{(2n)}) = n - k$ , and  $\mathfrak{P} \mid Y(H_1, H_2)$  is a complex analytic vector bundle of dimension  $k^2$ .*

Further, let  $t_1$  be a point of  $Y(H_1, H_2)$  and  $P_0$  be a matrix of  $p^{-1}(t_1)$  of rank  $k$ . Then, for each matrix  $P_1$  of  $p^{-1}(t_1)$ , there is a  $(k, k)$ -matrix  $L$  with  $LP_0 = P_1$ .

**Proof.** Since the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \Omega(t) \\ \Omega(t) \end{pmatrix}$  is non-singular for each point  $t$  of  $Y$  the rank of  $\Omega(t)(H_1H_2 - E^{(2n)})$  is not smaller than  $n - k$  by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. Thus the set  $Y(H_1, H_2)$  is defined by the equation  $\text{rank } \Omega(t)(H_1H_2 - E^{(2n)}) = n - k$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be the space of all  $(k, k)$ -matrices and  $b$  the linear map of  $\mathfrak{L}$  to the vector space  $p^{-1}(t_1)$  defined by  $L \rightarrow LP_0$ , then it is trivial that the map  $b$  is surjective.

**Proposition 2.** *The set  $Y(H_1, H_2, A)$  is an analytic subset of  $Y(H_1, H_2)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $t_1$  be a point of  $Y(H_1, H_2)$ . Then there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $t_1$  in the space  $Y(H_1, H_2)$  and a holomorphic section  $P(t)$  of the vector bundle  $\mathfrak{P} \mid Y(H_1, H_2)$  over  $U$  such that the rank of  $P(t)$  is  $k$  for any  $t$  of  $U$ .

We consider the equation  $P(t)\Omega(t)H_1A(P(t)\Omega(t)H_1)' = 0$  on  $U$ .

Then the solution of this equation is an analytic subset of  $U$  and it is independent of the choice of the section  $P(t)$  by the last assertion of Proposition 1. Therefore the set  $\{t \in Y(H_1, H_2) \mid \text{there is a } (k, n)\text{-matrix } P \text{ of } p^{-1}(t) \text{ such that the condition (i) and (iii) are satisfied}\}$  is an analytic subset  $N$  of  $Y(H_1, H_2)$ .

Let  $N_1, N_2, \dots$  be the connected components of  $N$ . Then the signature of the Hermitian matrix  $\sqrt{-1} \overline{P(t)\Omega(t)} H_1 A (P(t)\Omega(t) H_1)'$  is constant on  $N_i, i=1, 2, \dots$ , because the determinant of the matrix can not be zero at any point of  $N$ . Therefore the set  $Y(H_1, H_2, A)$  is an analytic subset of  $Y(H_1, H_2)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *We suppose that  $Y_j \neq \emptyset$  for some  $j, n > j \geq 0$ . Then, for  $q > j$ , the set  $Y(q) = Y_q \cup Y_{q+1} \cup \dots \cup Y_n$  is a countable union of thin analytic sets.*

**Proof.** Let  $t_0$  be a point of  $Y(q)$ . Then  $t_0$  is a point of  $Y_k$ , where  $k \geq q$ , and there are matrices  $H_1, H_2$  and  $A$  as before such that  $t_0 \in Y(H_1, H_2, A)$ . Thus the set  $Y(q)$  is equal to the union of such thin analytic sets of  $Y$ .

5. We put  $p = \inf_{t \in Y} \{td(K_t)\}$ . Then the set  $Y_p$  is of second category by Theorem 1.

Let  $t_0$  be a point of  $Y_p$ . We assume  $p > 0$ . Then we obtain, as mentioned in § 4, three integral matrices  $H_1, H_2$  and  $A$  of type  $(2n, 2p), (2p, 2n)$  and  $(2p, 2p)$  respectively such that  $t_0 \in Y(H_1, H_2, A)$ . The analyticity of  $Y(H_1, H_2, A)$  and the fact that  $Y_p$  is of second category imply the existence of matrices  $H_1, H_2$  and  $A$ , of type described above, such that  $Y = Y(H_1, H_2, A)$ .

We fix such a system of matrices  $\{H_1, H_2, A\}$ . Then we obtain a complex analytic vector bundle  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $Y$  of dimension  $p^2$  which is embedded in the space  $\Pi \times Y$  (where  $\Pi$  is the vector space of all  $(p, n)$ -matrices).

Let  $t_0$  be a point of  $Y$ . Then there are an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $t_0$  and a holomorphic section  $P(t)$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $U$  such that  $\text{rank } P(t) = p$  for each  $t$  of  $U$ . Using this section  $P(t)$ , we can construct, as mentioned in § 1, a complex analytic family  $X'_U$  of abelian varie-

ties over  $U$  whose period matrices are  $P(t)\Omega(t)H_1$ , and we obtain naturally a holomorphic mapping  $\sigma_U$  of  $X|U$  onto  $X'_U$ , which is induced by the linear mapping  $\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_p \end{pmatrix} = P(t) \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix}$ .

Another such section of  $\mathfrak{B}$  over  $U$  defines the same family  $X'_U$  and the mapping  $\sigma_U$  but alters only the holomorphic coordinates  $w$ . Hence we have;

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $p = \inf_{t \in Y} \{td(K_t)\}$ ,  $p > 0$ . Then we have a complex analytic vector bundle  $\mathfrak{B} \rightarrow Y$  of dimension  $p$  and a holomorphic mapping  $\bar{\sigma}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n \times Y$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\bar{\sigma}$  is locally defined by  $(z, t) \rightarrow (P(t)z, t)$ , where  $P(t)$  is a  $(p, n)$ -matrix of holomorphic functions on an open set  $U$  of  $Y$ , and  $P(t)\Omega(t)$  gives a discontinuous abelian group  $G'$  of analytic automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{B}|U$  and the factor space  $X' = \mathfrak{B}/G'$  is a family of abelian varieties of dimension  $p$  over the space  $Y$ .*

The map  $\bar{\sigma}$  induces naturally a holomorphic mapping  $\sigma$  of  $X$  onto  $X'$  such that  $\begin{matrix} X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X' \\ \pi \searrow & & \swarrow \pi' \\ & Y & \end{matrix}$  is commutative.

**Corollary 1.** *We denote by  $K'_t$  the subfield of  $K_t$  consisting of all elements of  $K_t$  which can be extended to meromorphic functions on some neighborhoods of  $X_t$ .*

Then, for each point  $t$  of  $X$ ;

- (a) *the transcendence degree of the field  $K'_t$  is equal to  $\inf_{t \in Y} \{td(K_t)\}$ , and*
- (b) *the field  $K'_t$  is algebraically closed in  $K_t$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  be meromorphic functions on a neighborhood of  $X_t$  such that the analytic restriction of  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  to the fiber  $X_t$  are independent. Then, for  $t'$  sufficiently near to  $t$ , the restriction of  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  to  $X_{t'}$  are independent. Hence we see that the *tr. degree* of  $K'_{t'} \leq p$  by Theorem 1.

Now let  $K''_t$  be the subfield of  $K_t$  obtained from the field of meromorphic functions on  $X'_t = \pi'^{-1}(t)$  by  $\sigma_t: X_t \rightarrow X'_t$ . Then  $K''_t \subset K'_t$ ,

because the family  $X' \xrightarrow{\pi'} Y$  is a family of abelian varieties (see § 2). Hence (a) is proved.

If a meromorphic function on the torus  $X_t$  is dependent on meromorphic functions on  $X$ , which are independent of the variables  $w_{p+1}, \dots, w_n$ , then it is also independent of  $w_{p+1}, \dots, w_n$ . The assertion (b) follows from this.

**Remark.** In [3], we considered the property (b) of the corollary in the case of general complex analytic fiber spaces. There we obtained;

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normal and connected complex spaces and  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$  a proper holomorphic mapping of  $X$  onto  $Y$  with irreducible fibers. Then the set  $\{t \in Y \mid \text{the field } K_t \text{ is not algebraically closed in } K_t\}$  is nowhere dense in  $Y$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *We assume that  $Y_0 = \emptyset$  and  $Y_n \neq Y$ . Then every abelian variety of a member of the family  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$  is always 'singular' (in the sense of [1]).*

Let  $M$  be a connected complex manifold and  $\mathfrak{B} \xrightarrow{\pi} M$  be a complex analytic family, in the sense of Kodaira-Spencer [2], of complex tori. Then, by Theorem 18.6 in [2], the family  $\mathfrak{B} \xrightarrow{\pi} M$  is locally the same as our family of complex tori constructed in § 1.

Hence we get:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{B} \xrightarrow{\pi} M$  be a complex analytic family, in the sense of Kodaira-Spencer, of complex tori. We put  $p = \inf_{t \in M} \{td(K_t)\}$  and assume  $p > 0$ . Then there exists a complex analytic family  $\mathfrak{B}' \xrightarrow{\pi'} M$  of abelian varieties of dimension  $p$  over  $M$  and a holomorphic mapping  $\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}'$  with  $\pi = \pi' \circ \sigma$ , such that  $\sigma$  is locally the same as mentioned in Theorem 2.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Conforto, F., *Abelische Funktionen und algebraische Geometrie*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1956.
- [2] Kodaira, K. and D.C. Spencer, On deformations of complex analytic structures II, *Ann. of Math.* **67** (1958), 403-466.

- [3] Okano, T., On some fields of meromorphic functions on fibers, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. Ser. A. **3** (1967), 53–67.
- [4] Remmert, R., Meromorphe Funktionen in kompakten komplexen Raume, Math. Ann. **132** (1956), 328–370.
- [5] Siegel, C. L., Analytic functions of several complex variables, Lectures delivered at Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, 1948–1949.
- [6] Stein, K., Maximale holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen, II, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 823–868.

