

A Classification of Factors, II

By
Huzihiro ARAKI*

Abstract

The algebraic invariant $r_\infty(M)$ of a factor M , introduced in an earlier paper and called the asymptotic ratio set, is shown to be closed for any factor M . As a consequence, this set must be one of the following sets: (i) the empty set, (ii) $\{0\}$, (iii) $\{1\}$, (iv) a one parameter family of sets $\{0, x^n; n=0, \pm 1, \dots\}$, $0 < x < 1$. (v) all non-negative reals, (vi) $\{0, 1\}$.

§1. Introduction

In an earlier paper [1], we introduced an algebraic invariant $r_\infty(M)$ for a factor M . It is the set of all x , $0 \leq x < \infty$, such that M is algebraically isomorphic to $M \otimes R_x$. Here R_0 is the type I_∞ factor, R_1 is the hyperfinite type II_1 factor, and $R_x = R_{x^{-1}}$ for $0 < x < 1$ is a type III factor given by definition 3.10 of [1].

In [1], it is shown that $r_\infty(M) - \{0\}$ is either empty or a multiplicative group. Furthermore, for the case where M is an infinite tensor product of type I factors, $r_\infty(M)$ is shown to be closed. However, this was not known in [1] for arbitrary M .

In this note, we show that $r_\infty(M)$ is closed for any factor M . The method of proof is already indicated in section 6 of [1], but new additional technique here is the use of weak clustering property, which is obtained by the crucial lemma 2.4.

§2. Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. Let R_i be mutually commuting factors such that

Received October 17, 1968.

*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik, Munich, Germany.

On leave from Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

$R = (\bigcup_i R_i)''$ is a factor. Let D be a finite set of unit vectors. Given ϵ , there exists an N such that

$$(2.1) \quad |(\Psi, Q\phi) - (\Psi, \phi)(\phi, Q\phi)| < \epsilon$$

for any $i > N$, $Q \in R_i$, $\|Q\| = 1$, $\Psi \in D$, $\phi \in D$.

Proof. (cf. [2]) Since R is a factor, the von Neumann algebra generated by $\bigcup_i R_i$ and R' is the set of all bounded operators. Thus the self adjoint elements of the $*$ algebra generated by $\bigcup_i R_i$ and R' are strongly dense among all self adjoint operators. In particular for the one dimensional projection $P(\phi)$ associated with a vector ϕ , there exists a self adjoint P' in $(\bigcup_{i=1}^N R_i) \cup R'$ for some finite N such that P' is in the following strong neighbourhood of $P(\phi)$:

$$(2.2) \quad \{A; \| \{P(\phi) - A\} \Psi \| < \epsilon/2, \forall \Psi \in D\}.$$

Then for any $Q \in R_i$, $i > N$, $\|Q\| = 1$, we have $[Q, P'] = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\Psi, Q\phi) - (\Psi, \phi)(\phi, Q\phi)| \\ &= |(\Psi, Q\{P(\phi) - P'\}\phi)| + |(\{P' - P(\phi)\}\Psi, Q\phi)| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2. Let M be a type I_n factor with a matrix unit u_{kl} , $k, l = 1, \dots, n$ and R be a factor containing M . For any $Q \in R$, define

$$(2.3) \quad \tau_{kl}(M)Q = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{jk} Q u_{lj}.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let R be a factor and M be a type I_n factor in R' . For $Q \in (M \cup R)''$, $\tau_{kl}(M)Q$ is in R , $\|\tau_{kl}(M)Q\| \leq \|Q\|$ and

$$(2.4) \quad Q = \sum_{k,l} u_{kl}(\tau_{kl}(M)Q).$$

Futhermore, let ψ be a unit vector and

$$(2.5) \quad Q' = \sum_{k,l} u_{kl}(\psi, \tau_{kl}(M)Q\psi) \in M.$$

Then $\|Q'\| \leq \|Q\|$.

Proof. Since M is type I_n , it is possible to identify the Hilbert space H with a tensor product $H_1 \otimes H_2$, M with $\mathcal{B}(H_1) \otimes \mathbf{1}$ and u_{kl} with $\hat{u}_{kl} \otimes \mathbf{1}$, where H_1 is spanned by an orthonormal basis $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$,

$u_{ki}\varphi_j = \delta_{ij}\varphi_k$ and $\mathcal{B}(H_1)$ denotes the set of all bounded operators on H_1 . M' is then $1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(H_2)$, in which R is contained.

The equality (2.4) follows from (2.3) and $u_{ki}u_{ij} = \delta_{li}u_{kj}$, $\sum u_{kk} = 1$. If Q is in the $*$ algebra generated by M and R , then it is of the form (2.4) where $\tau_{ki}(M)Q$ is in R . Therefore $\tau_{ki}(M)Q \in R$ holds also for the weak closure of such Q , namely for all Q in $(M \cup R)''$. The norm of $\tau_{ki}(M)Q$ can be estimated by

$$\|\tau_{ki}(M)Q\| \leq \sup_{\|\psi\|=1} |(\varphi_1 \otimes \psi^1, \tau_{ki}(M)Q\{\varphi_1 \otimes \psi^2\})|$$

because $\tau_{ki}(M)Q \in 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(H_2)$. The right hand side is majorized by

$$\sup_{\|\psi\|=1} |(\varphi_1 \otimes \psi^1, u_{1k}Qu_{1l}\{\varphi_1 \otimes \psi^2\})| \leq \|u_{1k}Qu_{1l}\| \leq \|Q\|.$$

A unit vector ψ defines a density matrix ρ in $\mathcal{B}(H_2)$ ($\rho \geq 0, \text{tr } \rho = 1$) through the relation

$$(\psi, \{1 \otimes \hat{Q}\}\psi) = \text{tr } \rho \hat{Q}, \quad \hat{Q} \in \mathcal{B}(H_2).$$

For any unit vector ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 in H_1 , we have

$$|(\phi_1, Q'\phi_2)| = |\text{tr}\{(\rho_1 \otimes \rho)(u \otimes 1)Q\}| \leq \|u\| \|Q\| = \|Q\|$$

where ρ_1 is the one dimensional projection on ϕ_2 and u is an isometric operator with one dimensional range, bringing ϕ_1 onto ϕ_2 . Therefore $\|Q'\| \leq \|Q\|$.

Lemma 2.4. Let R_i be mutually commuting factors such that $R = (\cup R_i)''$ is a factor. Let M be a type I_n ($n < \infty$) factor contained in R' . Let D be a finite sets of unit vectors such that the inequality (2.1) holds for any $Q \in M, \|Q\|=1, \psi \in D, \phi \in D$. Given $\epsilon' > 0$. Then there exists an N such that

$$(2.6) \quad |(\psi, Q\phi) - (\psi, \phi)(\phi, Q\phi)| < \epsilon + \epsilon'$$

for any $i > N, Q \in (M \cup R_i)'', \|Q\|=1, \psi \in D, \phi \in D$.

Proof. Let $u_{kl}, k, l=1, \dots, n$ be a matrix unit for M . Let $P(\phi)$ be the one dimensional projection associated with each $\phi \in D$. Find sufficiently large $N(\phi)$ for each $\phi \in D$ such that there exists a selfadjoint $P'(\phi)$ belonging to

$$\{(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N(\phi)} R_i) \cup R'\}''$$

and satisfying

$$(2.7) \quad \|\{P'(\phi) - P(\phi)\}\psi\| < \epsilon''$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|\{P'(\phi) - P(\phi)\}u_{lk}\psi\| < \epsilon''$$

for all $\psi \in D$ and $l, k = 1, \dots, n$. Here

$$(2.9) \quad \epsilon'' = 2^{-1}(1+n^2)^{-1}\epsilon'.$$

Let $N = \max_{\phi \in D} N(\phi)$ and $Q \in (M \cup R_i)''$, $i > N$, $\|Q\| = 1$. We then have the following inequalities, which proves (2.6):

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\psi, Q\phi) - (\psi, \phi)(\phi, Q\phi)| \\ & \leq |(\psi, Q\{P(\phi) - P'(\phi)\}\phi)| \\ & \quad + \sum_{k,l} |(\{P'(\phi) - P(\phi)\}u_{lk}\psi, Q_{kl}\phi)| \\ & \quad + |(\psi, Q'\phi) - (\psi, \phi)(\phi, Q'\phi)| \\ & \quad + \sum_{k,l} |(\{P(\phi) - P'(\phi)\}u_{lk}\phi, Q_{kl}\phi)(\psi, \phi)| \\ & \quad + |(\phi, Q\{P'(\phi) - P(\phi)\}\phi)(\psi, \phi)| \\ & < \|Q\|\epsilon'' + \sum_{k,l} \|Q_{kl}\|\epsilon'' + \|Q'\|\epsilon + \sum_{k,l} \|Q_{kl}\|\epsilon'' + \|Q\|\epsilon'' \\ & \leq 2(1+n^2)\epsilon'' + \epsilon = \epsilon' + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the notation and result of the previous lemma in which $\psi = \phi$ and denoted $\tau_{kl}(M)Q$ simply by Q_{kl} .

Definition 2.5. A unit vector ψ is *pure* for a type I factor M if $\varphi_\psi(Q) = (\psi, Q\psi)$, $Q \in M$ is a pure state on M .

If $H = H_1 \otimes H_2$, $M = \mathcal{B}(H_1) \otimes 1$, then ψ is pure if and only if $\psi = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$ for some $\psi_1 \in H_1$, $\psi_2 \in H_2$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $H = H_a \otimes H_b$, $H_b = \otimes (H_\nu, \Omega_\nu)$, $R_\nu = 1_a \otimes \{\mathcal{B}(H_\nu) \otimes (\otimes_{\mu \neq \nu} 1_\mu)\}$. Let M be a type I factor in $\mathcal{B}(H_a) \otimes 1$ and let a unit vector ψ be pure for M . Given $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists an N and a unit vector ψ_ϵ such that ψ_ϵ is pure for M as well as for R_ν for any $\nu > N$, $\|\psi - \psi_\epsilon\| < \epsilon$ and φ_{ψ_ϵ} is the same as the vector state corresponding to Ω_ν for each R_ν , $\nu > N$.

Proof. Since M is type I, we may identify H_α with $H_{\alpha 1} \otimes H_{\alpha 2}$, M with $\mathcal{B}(H_{\alpha 1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}$. Since Ψ is pure for M , it can be identified with $\Psi_{\alpha 1} \otimes \Psi'$ where $\Psi' \in H_{\alpha 2} \otimes H_\beta$. For given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists Ψ'_ϵ of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k \psi_i \otimes \psi'_i$, $\psi_i \in H_{\alpha 2}$, $(\psi_i, \psi_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $\sum \|\psi'_i\|^2 = 1$ such that

$$(2.10) \quad \|\Psi' - \Psi'_\epsilon\| < \epsilon/2.$$

By lemma 2.7 of [1], there exists an N and ψ_i'' for each i such that

$$(2.11) \quad \psi_i'' = \psi_i''' \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{\nu > N} \mathcal{Q}_\nu \right),$$

$$(2.12) \quad \|\psi_i' - \psi_i''\| < \epsilon/(2k),$$

$$(2.13) \quad \|\psi_i''\| = \|\psi_i'\|.$$

Then the vector

$$(2.14) \quad \Psi_\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^k \Psi_{\alpha 1} \otimes \psi_i \otimes \psi_i''' \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{\nu > N} \mathcal{Q}_\nu \right)$$

has all the required properties.

§3. Theorem

Theorem 3.1. The asymptotic ratio set $r_\infty(M)$ for any factor M is closed.

Proof. If $x \neq 0$ and $\neq 1$ is in $r_\infty(M)$, then $R_x \sim R_x \otimes R_0 \sim R_x \otimes R_1$ shows that $0 \in r_\infty(M)$ and $1 \in r_\infty(M)$. Thus we consider the case where $x_n \in r_\infty(M)$, $\lim x_n = x$, $0 < x_n < 1$, $0 < x < 1$ and prove that $x \in r_\infty(M)$; i.e. $M \sim M \otimes R_x$.

First fix a countable sequence of unit vectors Ψ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ which are dense in the unit sphere of H and let $D_n = \{\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n\}$. Let $\epsilon_n > 0$, $\epsilon \equiv \sum \epsilon_n < \infty$. We shall now construct by a mathematical induction on n a sequence of mutually commuting type I_2 factors M_n in R , and N_n in R' , and a sequence of unit vectors χ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that (1) χ_n is pure for each $(M_m \cup N_m)''$, $m \leq n$, (2) the vector state φ_{χ_n} for each M_m , $m \leq n$ has a spectrum $((1 + x_m)^{-1}, x_m(1 + x_m)^{-1})$, (3) $\|\chi_n - \chi_{n-1}\| < \epsilon_n$ ($n \geq 2$) and (4)

$$(3.1) \quad |(\Psi, Q\Phi) - (\Psi, \Phi)(\Phi, Q\Phi)| < \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-m} \epsilon_{m+\alpha}$$

for any Q in $\{\bigcup_{\alpha=0}^{n-\nu} (M_{m-\alpha} \cup N_{m-\alpha})\}''$, $\|Q\|=1$, $\Psi \in D_\nu$, $\Phi \in D_m$, $m \leq n$.

For $n=0$, we do not have any object to construct. Now suppose M_n, N_n and χ_n are constructed for $n < k$ satisfying all the requirements related to M_n, N_n, χ_n $n < k$. We then want to construct M_k, N_k and χ_k .

Let $M^{(k1)} \equiv (\bigcup_{n < k} M_n)''$, $M^{(k2)} \equiv \{M^{(k1)}\}' \cap M$, $N^{(k1)} \equiv (\bigcup_{n < k} N_n)''$, $N^{(k2)} \equiv \{N^{(k1)}\}' \cap M'$. Since M_n and N_n are finite type I factors, we may identify H with $H^{(k1)} \otimes H^{(k2)}$; $M^{(k1)}, M^{(k2)}, N^{(k1)}, N^{(k2)}$ with $\widehat{M}^{(k1)} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \otimes \widehat{M}^{(k2)}, \widehat{N}^{(k1)} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \otimes \widehat{N}^{(k2)}$; and $(M^{(k1)} \cup N^{(k1)})''$ with $\mathcal{B}(H^{(k1)}) \otimes \mathbf{1}$. By using (2.4), it is easily shown that M and M' are identified with $\widehat{M}^{(k1)} \otimes \widehat{M}^{(k2)}$ and $\widehat{N}^{(k1)} \otimes \widehat{N}^{(k2)}$. Since M is type III ($\chi_n \neq 1, 0$ is in $r_\infty(M)$), M is spatially isomorphic to $\widehat{M}^{(k2)}$. Since χ_{k-1} is pure for each $M_n \cup N_n$, $n < k$, it is pure for $\widehat{M}^{(k1)} \otimes \widehat{N}^{(k1)}$ and can be identified with $\psi^{(k1)} \otimes \psi^{(k2)}$, $\|\psi^{(k1)}\| = \|\psi^{(k2)}\| = 1$.

We now use the information that M is isomorphic to $M \otimes R_{x_k}$ where $R_{x_k} = \otimes \widehat{R}_k^\nu$ on $H_k = \otimes (H_k^\nu, \mathcal{Q}_k^\nu)$. Let R_k^ν be $\mathbf{1} \otimes \widehat{R}_k^\nu \otimes (\otimes_{\mu=\nu} \mathbf{1}_\mu)$ and S_k^ν be $\mathbf{1} \otimes (\widehat{R}_k^\nu)' \otimes (\otimes_{\mu=\nu} \mathbf{1}_\mu)$. By lemma 2.6, there exist an N_ν and a unit vector $\psi^{(k3)}$ on $\widehat{H}^{(k2)}$ such that $\|\psi^{(k2)} - \psi^{(k3)}\| < \epsilon_k$, $\psi^{(k3)}$ is pure for every $(R_k^\nu \cup S_k^\nu)''$, with $\nu > N_1$, and the vector state $\varphi_{\psi^{(k3)}}$ for $(R_k^\nu \cup S_k^\nu)''$, $\nu > N_1$ is the same as $\varphi_{\psi_k^\nu}$ for $(\widehat{R}_k^\nu \cup (\widehat{R}_k^\nu)')''$. We then set $\chi_k = \psi^{(k1)} \otimes \psi^{(k3)}$. (If $k=1$, take $\chi_k = \psi^{(k2)} = \psi \otimes (\otimes_{\nu} \mathcal{Q}_1^\nu)$ for any $\|\psi\|=1$.) The conditions (1), (2), (3) are automatically satisfied for $M_k = R_k^\nu, N_k = S_k^\nu$, any $\nu > N_1$.

By lemma 2.1, there exists an N_2 such that

$$(3.2) \quad |(\Psi, Q\Phi) - (\Psi, \Phi)(\Phi, Q\Phi)| < \epsilon_k$$

for any $Q \subset R_k^\nu, \nu > N_2, \|Q\|=1, \Psi \in D_k, \Phi \in D_k$.

By lemma 2.4, there exists an N_3^n for each $n < k$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad |(\Psi, Q\Phi) - (\Psi, \Phi)(\Phi, Q\Phi)| < \sum_{\alpha=n}^{k-1} \epsilon_\alpha + \epsilon_k$$

for any $\nu > N_3^n, Q \in [\{\bigcup_{\alpha=n}^{k-1} (M_\alpha \cup N_\alpha)\} \cup (R_k^\nu \cup S_k^\nu)]''$, $\|Q\|=1, \Psi \in D_n, \Phi \in D_n$.

We then set $M_k = R_k^\nu, N_k = S_k^\nu$ for some ν larger than $\max(N_1, N_2, N_3^1, \dots, N_3^{k-1})$. The required properties are now all satisfied.

By the property (3) and $\sum \epsilon_n < \infty$, the unit vectors z_n form a Cauchy sequence. Let z be its strong limit. Then z is a unit vector, pure for each $(M_n \cup N_n)''$ and the vector state φ_z on M_n has the spectrum $((1+x_n)^{-1}, x_n(1+x_n)^{-1})$. Let

$$(3.4) \quad R = (\bigcup_n M_n)'', \quad S = (\bigcup_n N_n)'',$$

$$(3.5) \quad H_0 = [(\bigcup_n (M_n \cup N_n))'' z]^w$$

where w denotes the closure. The properties of z imply that the restrictions of R and S to H_0 and the space H_0 are unitarily equivalent to $\otimes R_n, \otimes R'_n$ and $\otimes (H_n, \mathcal{Q}_n)$ where $\dim H_n = 4, \text{Sp}(\mathcal{Q}_n/R_n) = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{Q}_n/R'_n) = ((1+x_n)^{-1}, x_n(1+x_n)^{-1})$. Thus $(R|H_0) \sim (S|H_0) \sim \otimes R_n$, where $R|H_0$ denotes the restriction of R to H_0 .

Next we use the clustering property (4) to show that R, S and $(R \cup S)''$ are factors. Let Q be an operator in the center of either R, S or $(R \cup S)''$ and $\|Q\| = 1$. Then Q must commute with all $(M_n \cup N_n)''$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and hence it is in $\{\bigcup_{n > N} (M_n \cup N_n)\}''$ for any N . (Again use the fact that $\{\bigcup_{n < N} (M_n \cup N_n)\}''$ is a finite type I factor and (2.4).) Since the unit ball of $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (\bigcup_{n=N+1}^{N+m} [M_n \cup N_n])''$ is weakly dense in the unit ball of $(\bigcup_{n < N} [M_n \cup N_n])''$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad |(\Psi, Q\Phi) - (\Psi, \Phi)(\Phi, Q\Phi)| < \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n$$

for any $\Psi \in D_{N+1}, \Phi \in D_{N+1}$. Since N is arbitrary, we obtain in the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(3.7) \quad (\Psi, Q\Phi) = (\Psi, \Phi)(\Phi, Q\Phi).$$

The same equation for Q^* , with Ψ and Φ interchanged implies that

$$(3.8) \quad (\Psi, Q\Psi) = (\Phi, Q\Phi)$$

for $(\Psi, \Phi) \neq 0$. Since Ψ, Φ run over a set of unit vectors $\{\psi_n\}$ which is dense in the set of all unit vectors, (3.8) and (3.7) imply that $Q = c1$. This proves that R, S and $(R \cup S)''$ are factors.

Since the projection on H_0 commutes with R, S and $(R \cup S)''$, the factors R, S and $(R \cup S)''$ are isomorphic to its restriction on H_0 .

In particular, $R \sim R \otimes R_x$ and $(R \cup S)''$ is a type I factor.

The proof of the theorem can now be completed by

Lemma 3.2. Let $H = H_1 \otimes H_2$, \widehat{R} be an infinite tensor product of type I_2 factors on H_2 , $R = \mathbf{1} \otimes \widehat{R}$, $S = \mathbf{1} \otimes \widehat{R}'$. Let M be a factor on H such that $M \supset R$, $M' \supset S$. Then $M = M_1 \otimes R$ for some factor M_1 on H_1 .

Proof. Let

$$(3.9) \quad H_2 = \otimes (H_2^\nu, \Omega_\nu), \quad \widehat{R} = \otimes \widehat{R}_\nu,$$

$$(3.10) \quad D(n) = H_1 \otimes \left(\otimes_{\nu=1}^n H_2^\nu \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{\nu>n} \Omega_\nu \right),$$

$$(3.11) \quad D(n, n+k) = H_1 \otimes \left(\otimes_{\nu=1}^n H_2^\nu \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{l=1}^k \Omega_{n+l} \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{\nu>n+k} H_2^\nu \right).$$

Let u_{ij}^ν be a standard matrix unit of

$$(3.12) \quad R_\nu \equiv \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \{ \widehat{R}_\nu \otimes \left(\otimes_{\mu \neq \nu} \mathbf{1}_\mu \right) \}$$

relative to Ω_ν , $\text{Sp}(\Omega_\nu / \widehat{R}_\nu)$ be $(\lambda_\nu, 1 - \lambda_\nu)$ and

$$(3.13) \quad \tau_\nu A = \lambda_\nu \tau_{11}(R_\nu)A + (1 - \lambda_\nu) \tau_{22}(R_\nu)A,$$

$$(3.14) \quad \tau_{n, n+k} = \prod_{l=1}^k \tau_{n+l}.$$

Further let $[A]_n$ be the unique operator in $\mathcal{B}(H_1 \otimes \left(\otimes_{\nu=1}^n H_2^\nu \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{\nu>n} \mathbf{1}_\nu \right))$ satisfying

$$(3.15) \quad (\phi_1, [A]_n \phi_2) = (\phi_1, A \phi_2)$$

for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in D(n)$.

If $A \in M$, then $\tau_{n, n+k} A \in M$, $\|\tau_{n, n+k} A\| \leq \|A\|$ and

$$(3.16) \quad (\phi_1, (\tau_{n, n+k} A) \phi_2) = (\phi_1, A \phi_2)$$

for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in D(n, n+k)$. Hence

$$(3.17) \quad (\phi_1, (\tau_{n, n+k} A) \phi_2) = (\phi_1, [A]_n \phi_2)$$

for $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in D(n+k)$. Since $D(n)$ is an increasing sequence of sets with a dense union and $\|\tau_{n, n+k} A\|$ is bounded uniformly in k , $[A]_n$ is the weak limit of $\tau_{n, n+k} A$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and hence is in M . By definition, $[A]_n$ is then in

$$(3.18) \quad M^{(n)} \equiv M \cap \left(\bigcup_{\nu > n} R_\nu \right)'.$$

Because (3.15) holds for $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in D_n$, D_n is an increasing sequence of sets with a dense union and $\|[A]_n\|$ is uniformly bounded by $\|A\|$ (which immediately follows from (3.15)), A is the weak limit of $[A]_n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$(3.19) \quad M = \left(\bigcup_n M^{(n)} \right)''.$$

Since $\bigcup_{\nu=1}^n R_\nu$ is a finite type I factor, $M^{(n)}$ is generated by $\bigcup_{\nu=1}^n R_\nu$ and $M^{(n)} = M \cap R'$. Hence

$$(3.20) \quad M = (M^{(n)} \cup R)'' = \{(M \cap R') \cup R\}''.$$

Since $M \cap R'$ commutes with R and S , it is isomorphic to $M_1 \otimes 1$ on $H_1 \otimes H_2$ for some M_1 and $M = M_1 \otimes \widehat{R}$.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the warm hospitality at the Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik, München, Germany, where a part of this work has been done.

References

- [1] Araki, H. and E. J. Woods, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. Ser. A, **4** (1968), 51-130.
- [2] Araki, H., Progr. Theoret. Phys. **32** (1964), 844-854.

