

NON-HAUSDORFF GROUPOIDS,  
PROPER ACTIONS AND  $K$ -THEORY

JEAN-LOUIS TU

Received: March 29, 2004

Revised: June 21, 2004

Communicated by Joachim Cuntz

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a (not necessarily Hausdorff) locally compact groupoid. We introduce a notion of properness for  $G$ , which is invariant under Morita-equivalence. We show that any generalized morphism between two locally compact groupoids which satisfies some properness conditions induces a  $C^*$ -correspondence from  $C_r^*(G_2)$  to  $C_r^*(G_1)$ , and thus two Morita equivalent groupoids have Morita-equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 22A22 (Primary); 46L05, 46L80, 54D35 (Secondary).

Keywords and Phrases: groupoid,  $C^*$ -algebra,  $K$ -theory.

## INTRODUCTION

Very often, groupoids that appear in geometry, such as holonomy groupoids of foliations, groupoids of inverse semigroups [15, 6] and the indicial algebra of a manifold with corners [10] are not Hausdorff. It is thus necessary to extend various basic notions to this broader setting, such as proper action and Morita equivalence. We also show that a generalized morphism from  $G_2$  to  $G_1$  satisfying certain properness conditions induces an element of  $KK(C_r^*(G_2), C_r^*(G_1))$ .

In Section 2, we introduce the notion of proper groupoids and show that it is invariant under Morita-equivalence.

Section 3 is a technical part of the paper in which from every locally compact topological space  $X$  is canonically constructed a locally compact Hausdorff space  $\mathcal{H}X$  in which  $X$  is (not continuously) embedded. When  $G$  is a groupoid (locally compact, with Haar system, such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff), the closure  $X'$  of  $G^{(0)}$  in  $\mathcal{H}G$  is endowed with a continuous action of  $G$  and plays an important technical rôle.

In Section 4 we review basic properties of locally compact groupoids with Haar system and technical tools that are used later.

In Section 5 we construct, using tools of Section 3, a canonical  $C_r^*(G)$ -Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  for every (locally compact...) proper groupoid  $G$ . If  $G^{(0)}/G$  is compact, then there exists a projection  $p \in C_r^*(G)$  such that  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  is isomorphic to  $pC_r^*(G)$ . The projection  $p$  is given by  $p(g) = (c(s(g))c(r(g)))^{1/2}$ , where  $c: G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a “cutoff” function (Section 6). Contrary to the Hausdorff case, the function  $c$  is not continuous, but it is the restriction to  $G^{(0)}$  of a continuous map  $X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  (see above for the definition of  $X'$ ).

In Section 7, we examine the question of naturality  $G \mapsto C_r^*(G)$ . Recall that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map between two locally compact spaces, then  $f$  induces a map from  $C_0(Y)$  to  $C_0(X)$  if and only if  $f$  is proper. When  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are groups, a morphism  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  does not induce a map  $C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow C_r^*(G_1)$  (when  $G_1 \subset G_2$  is an inclusion of discrete groups there is a map in the other direction). When  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a groupoid morphism, we cannot expect to get more than a  $C^*$ -correspondence from  $C_r^*(G_2)$  to  $C_r^*(G_1)$  when  $f$  satisfies certain properness assumptions: this was done in the Hausdorff situation by Macho-Stadler and O’Uchi ([11, Theorem 2.1], see also [7, 13, 17]), but the formulation of their theorem is somewhat complicated. In this paper, as a corollary of Theorem 7.8, we get that (in the Hausdorff situation), if the restriction of  $f$  to  $(G_1)_K^K$  is proper for each compact set  $K \subset (G_1)^{(0)}$  then  $f$  induces a correspondence  $\mathcal{E}_f$  from  $C_r^*(G_2)$  to  $C_r^*(G_1)$ . In fact we construct a  $C^*$ -correspondence out of any groupoid generalized morphism ([5, 9]) which satisfies some properness conditions. As a corollary, if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Morita equivalent then  $C_r^*(G_1)$  and  $C_r^*(G_2)$  are Morita-equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras.

Finally, let us add that our original motivation was to extend Baum, Connes and Higson’s construction of the assembly map  $\mu$  to non-Hausdorff groupoids; however, we couldn’t prove  $\mu$  to be an isomorphism in any non-trivial case.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. GROUPOIDS. Throughout, we will assume that the reader is familiar with basic definitions about groupoids (see [16, 15]). If  $G$  is a groupoid, we denote by  $G^{(0)}$  its set of units and by  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  and  $s: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  its range and source maps respectively. We will use notations such as  $G_x = s^{-1}(x)$ ,  $G^y = r^{-1}(y)$ ,  $G_x^y = G_x \cap G^y$ . Recall that a topological groupoid is said to be *étale* if  $r$  (and  $s$ ) are local homeomorphisms.

For all sets  $X, Y, T$  and all maps  $f: X \rightarrow T$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow T$ , we denote by  $X \times_{f,g} Y$ , or by  $X \times_T Y$  if there is no ambiguity, the set  $\{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid f(x) = g(y)\}$ .

Recall that a (right) action of  $G$  on a set  $Z$  is given by

- (a) a (“momentum”) map  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ ;
- (b) a map  $Z \times_{p,r} G \rightarrow Z$ , denoted by  $(z, g) \mapsto zg$

with the following properties:

- (i)  $p(zg) = s(g)$  for all  $(z, g) \in Z \times_{p,r} G$ ;
- (ii)  $z(gh) = (zg)h$  whenever  $p(z) = r(g)$  and  $s(g) = r(h)$ ;

(iii)  $zp(z) = z$  for all  $z \in Z$ .

Then the crossed-product  $Z \rtimes G$  is the subgroupoid of  $(Z \times Z) \times G$  consisting of elements  $(z, z', g)$  such that  $z' = zg$ . Since the map  $Z \rtimes G \rightarrow Z \times G$  given by  $(z, z', g) \mapsto (z, g)$  is injective, the groupoid  $Z \rtimes G$  can also be considered as a subspace of  $Z \times G$ , and this is what we will do most of the time.

1.2. LOCALLY COMPACT SPACES. A topological space  $X$  is said to be quasi-compact if every open cover of  $X$  admits a finite sub-cover. A space is compact if it is quasi-compact and Hausdorff. Let us recall a few basic facts about locally compact spaces.

DEFINITION 1.1. *A topological space  $X$  is said to be locally compact if every point  $x \in X$  has a compact neighborhood.*

In particular,  $X$  is locally Hausdorff, thus every singleton subset of  $X$  is closed. Moreover, the diagonal in  $X \times X$  is locally closed.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Then every locally closed subspace of  $X$  is locally compact.*

Recall that  $A \subset X$  is locally closed if for every  $a \in A$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $a$  in  $X$  such that  $V \cap A$  is closed in  $V$ . Then  $A$  is locally closed if and only if it is of the form  $U \cap F$ , with  $U$  open and  $F$  closed.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a sequence  $(K_n)$  of compact subspaces such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ ;*
- (ii) *there exists a sequence  $(K_n)$  of quasi-compact subspaces such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ ;*
- (iii) *there exists a sequence  $(K_n)$  of quasi-compact subspaces such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$  and  $K_n \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Such a space will be called  $\sigma$ -compact.

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) is obvious. The implications (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (i) follow easily from the fact that for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$ , there exists a finite family  $(K_i)_{i \in I}$  of compact sets such that  $K \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} \overset{\circ}{K}_i$ .  $\square$

1.3. PROPER MAPS.

PROPOSITION 1.4. [2, Théorème I.10.2.1] *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a continuous map. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every topological space  $Z$ ,  $f \times \text{Id}_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$  is closed;*
- (ii)  *$f$  is closed and for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is quasi-compact.*

A map which satisfies the equivalent properties of Proposition 1.4 is said to be *proper*.

PROPOSITION 1.5. [2, Proposition I.10.2.6] *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper map. Then for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is quasi-compact.*

PROPOSITION 1.6. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map. Suppose  $Y$  is locally compact, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  is proper;
- (ii) for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is quasi-compact;
- (iii) for every compact subspace  $K$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is quasi-compact;
- (iv) for every  $y \in Y$ , there exists a compact neighborhood  $K_y$  of  $y$  such that  $f^{-1}(K_y)$  is quasi-compact.

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) follows from Proposition 1.5. (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (iv) are obvious. Let us show (iv)  $\implies$  (i).

Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is closed, it is clear that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is quasi-compact for all  $y \in Y$ . It remains to prove that for every closed subspace  $F \subset X$ ,  $f(F)$  is closed. Let  $y \in \overline{f(F)}$ . Let  $A = f^{-1}(K_y)$ . Then  $A \cap F$  is quasi-compact, so  $\overline{f(A \cap F)}$  is quasi-compact. As  $f(A \cap F) \subset K_y$ , it is closed in  $K_y$ , i.e.  $K_y \cap \overline{f(A \cap F)} = K_y \cap f(A \cap F)$ . We thus have  $y \in K_y \cap \overline{f(A \cap F)} = K_y \cap f(A \cap F) \subset f(F)$ . It follows that  $f(F)$  is closed.  $\square$

## 2. PROPER GROUPOIDS AND PROPER ACTIONS

### 2.1. LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPOIDS.

DEFINITION 2.1. *A topological groupoid  $G$  is said to be locally compact (resp.  $\sigma$ -compact) if it is locally compact (resp.  $\sigma$ -compact) as a topological space.*

REMARK 2.2. *The definition of a locally compact groupoid in [15] corresponds to our definition of a locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact groupoid with Haar system whose unit space is Hausdorff, thanks to Propositions 2.5 and 2.8.*

EXAMPLE 2.3. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group,  $H$  a closed normal subgroup and let  $G$  be the bundle of groups over  $[0, 1]$  such that  $G_0 = \Gamma$  and  $G_t = \Gamma/H$  for all  $t > 0$ . We endow  $G$  with the quotient topology of  $([0, 1] \times \Gamma) / ((0, 1] \times H)$ . Then  $G$  is a non-Hausdorff locally compact groupoid such that  $(t, \bar{\gamma})$  converges to  $(0, \gamma h)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $h \in H$ .*

EXAMPLE 2.4. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group acting on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , and let  $G = (X \times \Gamma) / \sim$ , where  $(x, \gamma)$  and  $(x, \gamma')$  are identified if their germs are equal, i.e. there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $y\gamma = y\gamma'$  for all  $y \in V$ . Then  $G$  is locally compact, since the open sets  $V_\gamma = \{(x, \gamma) \mid x \in X\}$  are homeomorphic to  $X$  and cover  $G$ .*

*Suppose that  $X$  is a manifold,  $M$  is a manifold such that  $\pi_1(M) = \Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{M}$  is the universal cover of  $M$  and  $V = (X \times \tilde{M}) / \Gamma$ , then  $V$  is foliated by  $\{[x, \tilde{m}] \mid \tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}\}$  and  $G$  is the restriction to a transversal of the holonomy groupoid of the above foliation.*

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If  $G$  is a locally compact groupoid, then  $G^{(0)}$  is locally closed in  $G$ , hence locally compact. If furthermore  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then  $G^{(0)}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be the diagonal in  $G \times G$ . Since  $G$  is locally Hausdorff,  $\Delta$  is locally closed. Then  $G^{(0)} = (\text{Id}, r)^{-1}(\Delta)$  is locally closed in  $G$ .

Suppose that  $G = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$  with  $K_n$  quasi-compact, then  $s(K_n)$  is quasi-compact and  $G^{(0)} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(K_n)$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let  $Z$  a locally compact space and  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid acting on  $Z$ . Then the crossed-product  $Z \rtimes G$  is locally compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  be the momentum map of the action of  $G$ . From Proposition 2.5, the diagonal  $\Delta \subset G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$  is locally closed in  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , hence  $Z \rtimes G = (p, r)^{-1}(\Delta)$  is locally closed in  $Z \times G$ .  $\square$

Let  $T$  be a space. Recall that there is a groupoid  $T \times T$  with unit space  $T$ , and product  $(x, y)(y, z) = (x, z)$ .

Let  $G$  be a groupoid and  $T$  be a space. Let  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ , and let  $G[T] = \{(t', t, g) \in (T \times T) \times G \mid g \in G_{f(t)}^{f(t')}\}$ . Then  $G[T]$  is a subgroupoid of  $(T \times T) \times G$ .

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  locally Hausdorff,  $T$  a topological space and  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  a continuous map. Then  $G[T]$  is a locally closed subgroupoid of  $(T \times T) \times G$ . In particular, if  $T$  and  $G$  are locally compact, then  $G[T]$  is locally compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $F \subset T \times G^{(0)}$  be the graph of  $f$ . Then  $F = (f \times \text{Id})^{-1}(\Delta)$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal in  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , thus it is locally closed. Let  $\rho: (t', t, g) \mapsto (t', r(g))$  and  $\sigma: (t', t, g) \mapsto (t, s(g))$  be the range and source maps of  $(T \times T) \times G$ , then  $G[T] = (\rho, \sigma)^{-1}(F \times F)$  is locally closed.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Then for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_x$  is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Let  $Z = \{(g, h) \in G_x \times G_x \mid r(g) = r(h)\}$ . Let  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\varphi(g, h) = g^{-1}h$ . Since  $\{x\}$  is closed in  $G$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(x)$  is closed in  $Z$ , and since  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff,  $Z$  is closed in  $G_x \times G_x$ . It follows that  $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ , which is the diagonal of  $G_x \times G_x$ , is closed in  $G_x \times G_x$ .  $\square$

## 2.2. PROPER GROUPOIDS.

DEFINITION 2.9. *A topological groupoid  $G$  is said to be proper if  $(r, s): G \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$  is proper.*

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is locally compact. Consider the following assertions:*

- (i)  $G$  is proper;
- (ii)  $(r, s)$  is closed and for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_x^x$  is quasi-compact;
- (iii) for all quasi-compact subspaces  $K$  and  $L$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_K^L$  is quasi-compact;
- (iii)' for all compact subspaces  $K$  and  $L$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_K^L$  is quasi-compact;

- (iv) for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_K^K$  is quasi-compact;  
 (v)  $\forall x, y \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $\exists K_x, L_y$  compact neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $G_{K_x}^{L_y}$  is quasi-compact.

Then (i)  $\iff$  (ii)  $\iff$  (iii)  $\iff$  (iii)'  $\iff$  (v)  $\implies$  (iv). If  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, then (i)–(v) are equivalent.

*Proof.* (i)  $\iff$  (ii) follows from Proposition 1.4, and from the fact that  $G_x^x$  is homeomorphic to  $G_x^y$  if  $G_x^y \neq \emptyset$ . (i)  $\implies$  (iii) and (v)  $\implies$  (i) follow Proposition 1.6 and the formula  $G_K^L = (r, s)^{-1}(L \times K)$ . (iii)  $\implies$  (iii)'  $\implies$  (v) and (iii)  $\implies$  (iv) are obvious. If  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, then (iv)  $\implies$  (v) is obvious.  $\square$

Note that if  $G = G^{(0)}$  is a non-Hausdorff topological space, then  $G$  is not proper (since  $(r, s)$  is not closed), but satisfies property (iv).

**PROPOSITION 2.11.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid. If  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open then the canonical mapping  $\pi: G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}/G$  is open.*

*Proof.* Let  $V \subset G^{(0)}$  be an open subspace. If  $r$  is open, then  $r(s^{-1}(V)) = \pi^{-1}(\pi(V))$  is open. Therefore,  $\pi(V)$  is open.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.12.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is locally compact and  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open. Suppose that  $(r, s)(G)$  is locally closed in  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , then  $G^{(0)}/G$  is locally compact. Furthermore,*

- (a) if  $G^{(0)}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then  $G^{(0)}/G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact;  
 (b) if  $(r, s)(G)$  is closed (for instance if  $G$  is proper), then  $G^{(0)}/G$  is Hausdorff.

*Proof.* Let  $R = (r, s)(G)$ . Let  $\pi: G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}/G$  be the canonical mapping. By Proposition 2.11,  $\pi$  is open, therefore  $G^{(0)}/G$  is locally quasi-compact. Let us show that it is locally Hausdorff. Let  $V$  be an open subspace of  $G^{(0)}$  such that  $(V \times V) \cap R$  is closed in  $V \times V$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the diagonal in  $\pi(V) \times \pi(V)$ . Then  $(\pi \times \pi)^{-1}(\Delta) = (V \times V) \cap R$  is closed in  $V \times V$ . Since  $\pi \times \pi: V \times V \rightarrow \pi(V) \times \pi(V)$  is continuous open surjective, it follows that  $\Delta$  is closed in  $\pi(V) \times \pi(V)$ , hence  $\pi(V)$  is Hausdorff. This completes the proof that  $G^{(0)}/G$  is locally compact and of assertion (b).

Assertion (a) follows from the fact that for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$  and every compact neighborhood  $K$  of  $x$ ,  $\pi(K)$  is a quasi-compact neighborhood of  $\pi(x)$ .  $\square$

### 2.3. PROPER ACTIONS.

**DEFINITION 2.13.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid. Let  $Z$  be a topological space endowed with an action of  $G$ . Then the action is said to be proper if  $Z \rtimes G$  is a proper groupoid. (We will also say that  $Z$  is a proper  $G$ -space.)*

A subspace  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is said to be relatively compact (resp. relatively quasi-compact) if it is included in a compact (resp. quasi-compact) subspace of  $X$ . This does not imply that  $\bar{A}$  is compact (resp. quasi-compact).

PROPOSITION 2.14. *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid. Let  $Z$  be a topological space endowed with an action of  $G$ . Consider the following assertions:*

- (i)  $G$  acts properly on  $Z$ ;
- (ii)  $(r, s): Z \rtimes G \rightarrow Z \times Z$  is closed and  $\forall z \in Z$ , the stabilizer of  $z$  is quasi-compact;
- (iii) for all quasi-compact subspaces  $K$  and  $L$  of  $Z$ ,  $\{g \in G \mid Lg \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is quasi-compact;
- (iii)' for all compact subspaces  $K$  and  $L$  of  $Z$ ,  $\{g \in G \mid Lg \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is quasi-compact;
- (iv) for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $Z$ ,  $\{g \in G \mid Kg \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is quasi-compact;
- (v) there exists a family  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  of subspaces of  $Z$  such that  $Z = \cup_{i \in I} \overset{\circ}{A}_i$  and  $\{g \in G \mid A_i g \cap A_j \neq \emptyset\}$  is relatively quasi-compact for all  $i, j \in I$ .

Then (i)  $\iff$  (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (iii)' and (iii)  $\implies$  (iv). If  $Z$  is locally compact, then (iii)'  $\implies$  (v) and (iv)  $\implies$  (v). If  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff and  $Z$  is locally compact Hausdorff, then (i)–(v) are equivalent.

*Proof.* (i)  $\iff$  (ii) follows from Proposition 2.10[(i)  $\iff$  (ii)]. Implication (i)  $\implies$  (iii) follows from the fact that if  $(Z \times G)_K^L$  is quasi-compact, then its image by the second projection  $Z \times G \rightarrow G$  is quasi-compact. (iii)  $\implies$  (iii)' and (iii)  $\implies$  (iv) are obvious.

Suppose that  $Z$  is locally compact. Take  $A_i \subset Z$  compact such that  $Z = \cup_{i \in I} \overset{\circ}{A}_i$ . If (iii)' is true, then  $\{g \in G \mid A_i g \cap A_j \neq \emptyset\}$  is quasi-compact, hence (v). If (iv) is true, then  $\{g \in G \mid A_i g \cap A_j \neq \emptyset\}$  is a subset of the quasi-compact set  $\{g \in G \mid Kg \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ , where  $K = A_i \cup A_j$ , hence (v).

Suppose that  $Z$  is locally compact Hausdorff and that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Let us show (v)  $\implies$  (ii). Let  $C_{ij}$  be a quasi-compact set such that  $\{g \in G \mid A_i g \cap A_j \neq \emptyset\} \subset C_{ij}$ .

Let  $z \in Z$ . Choose  $i \in I$  such that  $z \in A_i$ . Since  $Z$  and  $G^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff,  $\text{stab}(z)$  is a closed subspace of  $C_{ii}$ , therefore it is quasi-compact.

It remains to prove that the map  $\Phi: Z \times_{G^{(0)}} G \rightarrow Z \times Z$  given by  $\Phi(z, g) = (z, zg)$  is closed. Let  $F \subset Z \times_{G^{(0)}} G$  be a closed subspace, and  $(z, z') \in \overline{\Phi(F)}$ . Choose  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $z \in \overset{\circ}{A}_i$  and  $z' \in \overset{\circ}{A}_j$ . Then  $(z, z') \in \overline{\Phi(F) \cap (A_i \times A_j)} \subset \overline{\Phi(F \cap (A_i \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij}))} \subset \overline{\Phi(F \cap (Z \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij}))}$ . There exists a net  $(z_\lambda, g_\lambda) \in F \cap (Z \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij})$  such that  $(z, z')$  is a limit point of  $(z_\lambda, z_\lambda g_\lambda)$ . Since  $C_{ij}$  is quasi-compact, after passing to a universal subnet we may assume that  $g_\lambda$  converges to an element  $g \in C_{ij}$ . Since  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff,  $F \cap (Z \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij})$  is closed in  $Z \times C_{ij}$ , so  $(z, g)$  is an element of  $F \cap (Z \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij})$ . Using the fact that  $Z$  is Hausdorff and  $\Phi$  is continuous, we obtain  $(z, z') = \Phi(z, g) \in \Phi(F)$ .  $\square$

REMARK 2.15. *It is possible to define a notion of slice-proper action which implies properness in the above sense. The two notions are equivalent in many cases [1, 3].*

PROPOSITION 2.16. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid. Then  $G$  acts properly on itself if and only if  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. In particular, a locally compact space is proper if and only if it is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* It is clear from Proposition 2.10(ii) that  $G$  acts properly on itself if and only if the product  $\varphi: G^{(2)} \rightarrow G \times G$  is closed. Since  $\varphi$  factors through the homeomorphism  $G^{(2)} \rightarrow G \times_{r,r} G$ ,  $(g, h) \mapsto (g, gh)$ ,  $G$  acts properly on itself if and only if  $G \times_{r,r} G$  is a closed subset of  $G \times G$ .

If  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, then clearly  $G \times_{r,r} G$  is closed in  $G \times G$ . Conversely, if  $G^{(0)}$  is not Hausdorff, then there exists  $(x, y) \in G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$  such that  $x \neq y$  and  $(x, y)$  is in the closure of the diagonal of  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ . It follows that  $(x, y)$  is in the closure of  $G \times_{r,r} G$ , but  $(x, y) \notin G \times_{r,r} G$ , therefore  $G \times_{r,r} G$  is not closed.  $\square$

#### 2.4. PERMANENCE PROPERTIES.

PROPOSITION 2.17. *If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are proper topological groupoids, then  $G_1 \times G_2$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Follows from the fact that the product of two proper maps is proper [2, Corollaire I.10.2.3].  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.18. *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two topological groupoids such that  $G_1^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff and  $G_2$  is proper. Suppose that  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a proper morphism. Then  $G_1$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $r_i$  and  $s_i$  the range and source maps of  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $\bar{f}$  be the map  $G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)} \rightarrow G_2^{(0)} \times G_2^{(0)}$  induced from  $f$ . Since  $\bar{f} \circ (r_1, s_1) = (r_2, s_2) \circ f$  is proper and  $G_1^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, it follows from [2, Proposition I.10.1.5] that  $(r_1, s_1)$  is proper.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.19. *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two topological groupoids such that  $G_1$  is proper. Suppose that  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a surjective morphism such that the induced map  $f': G_1^{(0)} \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  is proper. Then  $G_2$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $r_i$  and  $s_i$  the range and source maps of  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $F_2 \subset G_2$  be a closed subspace, and  $F_1 = f^{-1}(F_2)$ . Since  $G_1$  is proper,  $(r_1, s_1)(F_1)$  is closed, and since  $f' \times f'$  is proper,  $(f' \times f') \circ (r_1, s_1)(F_1)$  is closed. By surjectivity of  $f$ , we have  $(r_2, s_2)(F_2) = (f' \times f') \circ (r_1, s_1)(F_1)$ . This proves that  $(r_2, s_2)$  is closed. Since for every topological space  $T$ , the assumptions of the proposition are also true for the morphism  $f \times 1: G_1 \times T \rightarrow G_2 \times T$ , the above shows that  $(r_2, s_2) \times 1_T$  is closed. Therefore,  $(r_2, s_2)$  is proper.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.20. *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff, acting on two spaces  $Y$  and  $Z$ . Suppose that the action of  $G$  on  $Z$  is proper, and that  $Y$  is Hausdorff. Then  $G$  acts properly on  $Y \times_{G^{(0)}} Z$ .*

*Proof.* The groupoid  $(Y \times_{G^{(0)}} Z) \rtimes G$  is isomorphic to the subgroupoid  $\Gamma = \{(y, y', z, g) \in (Y \times Y) \times (Z \rtimes G) \mid p(y) = r(g), y' = yg\}$  of the proper groupoid

$(Y \times Y) \times (Z \rtimes G)$ . Since  $Y$  and  $G^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff,  $\Gamma$  is closed in  $(Y \times Y) \times (Z \rtimes G)$ , hence by Proposition 2.10(ii),  $(Y \times_{G^{(0)}} Z) \rtimes G$  is proper.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.21.** *Let  $G$  be a proper topological groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. Then any action of  $G$  on a Hausdorff space is proper.*

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 2.20 with  $Z = G^{(0)}$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.22.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid and  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  be a continuous map.*

- (a) *If  $G$  is proper, then  $G[T]$  is proper.*
- (ii) *If  $G[T]$  is proper and  $f$  is open surjective, then  $G$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Let us prove (a). Suppose first that  $T$  is a subspace of  $G^{(0)}$  and that  $f$  is the inclusion. Then  $G[T] = G_T^T$ . Since  $(r_T, s_T)$  is the restriction to  $(r, s)^{-1}(T \times T)$  of  $(r, s)$ , and  $(r, s)$  is proper, it follows that  $(r_T, s_T)$  is proper. In the general case, let  $\Gamma = (T \times T) \times G$  and let  $T' \subset T \times G^{(0)}$  be the graph of  $f$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a proper groupoid (since it is the product of two proper groupoids), and  $G[T] = \Gamma[T']$ .

Let us prove (b). The only difficulty is to show that  $(r, s)$  is closed. Let  $F \subset G$  be a closed subspace and  $(y, x) \in \overline{(r, s)(F)}$ . Let  $\tilde{F} = G[T] \cap (T \times T) \times F$ . Choose  $(t', t) \in T \times T$  such that  $f(t') = y$  and  $f(t) = x$ . Denote by  $\tilde{r}$  and  $\tilde{s}$  the range and source maps of  $G[T]$ . Then  $(t', t) \in \overline{(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})(\tilde{F})}$ . Indeed, let  $\Omega \ni (t', t)$  be an open set, and  $\Omega' = (f \times f)(\Omega)$ . Then  $\Omega'$  is an open neighborhood of  $(y, x)$ , so  $\Omega' \cap (r, s)(F) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $\Omega \cap (\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})(\tilde{F}) \neq \emptyset$ .

We have proved that  $(t', t) \in \overline{(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})(\tilde{F})} = \overline{(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})(F)}$ , so  $(y, x) \in (r, s)(F)$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.23.** *Let  $G$  be a groupoid acting properly on a topological space  $Z$ , and let  $Z_1$  be a saturated subspace. Then  $G$  acts properly on  $Z_1$ .*

*Proof.* Use the fact that  $Z_1 \rtimes G = (Z \rtimes G)[Z_1]$ .  $\square$

**2.5. INVARIANCE BY MORITA-EQUIVALENCE.** In this section, we will only consider groupoids whose range maps are open. We thus need a stability lemma:

**LEMMA 2.24.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid whose range map is open. Let  $Z$  be a  $G$  space and  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  be a continuous open map. Then the range maps for  $Z \rtimes G$  and  $G[T]$  are open.*

To prove Lemma 2.24 we need a preliminary result:

**LEMMA 2.25.** *Let  $X, Y, T$  be topological spaces,  $g: Y \rightarrow T$  an open map and  $f: X \rightarrow T$  continuous. Let  $Z = X \times_T Y$ . Then the first projection  $\text{pr}_1: X \times_T Y \rightarrow X$  is open.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega \subset Z$  open. There exists an open subspace  $\Omega'$  of  $X \times Y$  such that  $\Omega = \Omega' \cap Z$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the diagonal in  $X \times X$ . One easily checks that  $(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_1)(\Omega) = (1 \times f)^{-1}(1 \times g)(\Omega') \cap \Delta$ , therefore  $(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_1)(\Omega)$  is open in  $\Delta$ . This implies that  $\text{pr}_1(\Omega)$  is open in  $X$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.24.* This is clear for  $Z \rtimes G = Z \times_{G^{(0)}} G$  using Lemma 2.25. For  $G[T]$ , first use Lemma 2.25 to prove that  $T \times_{f,s} G \xrightarrow{pr_2} G$  is open. Since the range map is open by assumption, the composition  $T \times_{f,s} G \xrightarrow{pr_2} G \xrightarrow{r} G^{(0)}$  is open. Using again Lemma 2.25,  $G[T] \simeq T \times_{f,r \circ pr_2} (T \times_{f,s} G) \xrightarrow{pr_1} T$  is open.  $\square$

In order to define the notion of Morita-equivalence for topological groupoids, we introduce some terminology:

**DEFINITION 2.26.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid. Let  $T$  be a topological space and  $\rho: G^{(0)} \rightarrow T$  be a  $G$ -invariant map. Then  $G$  is said to be  $\rho$ -proper if the map  $(r, s): G \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times_T G^{(0)}$  is proper. If  $G$  acts on a space  $Z$  and  $\rho: Z \rightarrow T$  is  $G$ -invariant, then the action is said to be  $\rho$ -proper if  $Z \rtimes G$  is  $\rho$ -proper.*

It is clear that properness implies  $\rho$ -properness. There is a partial converse:

**PROPOSITION 2.27.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid,  $T$  a topological space,  $\rho: G^{(0)} \rightarrow T$  a  $G$ -invariant map. If  $G$  is  $\rho$ -proper and  $T$  is Hausdorff, then  $G$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is Hausdorff,  $G^{(0)} \times_T G^{(0)}$  is a closed subspace of  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , therefore  $(r, s)$ , being the composition of the two proper maps  $G \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times_T G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , is proper.  $\square$

**REMARK 2.28.** *When  $T$  is locally Hausdorff, one easily shows that  $G$  is  $\rho$ -proper iff for every Hausdorff open subspace  $V$  of  $T$ ,  $G_{\rho^{-1}(V)}^{\rho^{-1}(V)}$  is proper.*

**PROPOSITION 2.29.** [14] *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two topological (resp. locally compact) groupoids. Let  $r_i, s_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be the range and source maps of  $G_i$ , and suppose that  $r_i$  are open. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exist a topological (resp. locally compact) space  $T$  and  $f_i: T \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  open surjective such that  $G_1[T]$  and  $G_2[T]$  are isomorphic;*
- (ii) *there exists a topological (resp. locally compact) space  $Z$ , two continuous maps  $\rho: Z \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $\sigma: Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$ , a left action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  with momentum map  $\rho$  and a right action of  $G_2$  on  $Z$  with momentum map  $\sigma$  such that*
  - (a) *the actions commute and are free, the action of  $G_2$  is  $\rho$ -proper and the action of  $G_1$  is  $\sigma$ -proper;*
  - (b) *the natural maps  $Z/G_2 \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_1 \backslash Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  induced from  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are homeomorphisms.*

Moreover, one may replace (b) by

- (b)'  *$\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are open and induce bijections  $Z/G_2 \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_1 \backslash Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$ .*
- In (i), if  $T$  is locally compact then it may be assumed Hausdorff.

If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  satisfy the equivalent conditions in Proposition 2.29, then they are said to be Morita-equivalent. Note that if  $G_i^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff, then by Proposition 2.27, one may replace “ $\rho$ -proper” and “ $\sigma$ -proper” by “proper”. To prove Proposition 2.29, we need preliminary lemmas:

LEMMA 2.30. *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open;
- (ii) for every  $G$ -space  $Z$ , the canonical mapping  $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z/G$  is open.

*Proof.* To show (ii)  $\implies$  (i), take  $Z = G$ : the canonical mapping  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/G$  is open. Therefore, for every open subspace  $U$  of  $G$ ,  $r(U) = G^{(0)} \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(U))$  is open.

Let us show (i)  $\implies$  (ii). By Lemma 2.24, the range map  $r: Z \times G \rightarrow Z$  is open. The conclusion follows from Proposition 2.11.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.31. *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid such that the range map  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open. Let  $X$  be a topological space endowed with an action of  $G$  and  $T$  a topological space. Then the canonical map*

$$f: (X \times T)/G \rightarrow (X/G) \times T$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow X/G$  and  $\pi': X \times T \rightarrow (X \times T)/G$  be the canonical mappings. Since  $\pi$  is open (Lemma 2.30),  $f \circ \pi' = \pi \times 1$  is open. Since  $\pi'$  is continuous surjective, it follows that  $f$  is open.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.32. *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid whose range map is open and  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$  a proper,  $G$ -equivariant map between two  $G$ -spaces. Then the induced map  $\bar{f}: Y/G \rightarrow Z/G$  is proper.*

*Proof.* We first show that  $\bar{f}$  is closed. Let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow Y/G$  and  $\pi': Z \rightarrow Z/G$  be the canonical mappings. Let  $A \subset Y/G$  be a closed subspace. Since  $f$  is closed and  $\pi$  is continuous,  $(\pi')^{-1}(\bar{f}(A)) = f(\pi^{-1}(A))$  is closed. Therefore,  $\bar{f}(A)$  is closed.

Applying this to  $f \times 1$ , we see that for every topological space  $T$ ,  $(Y \times T)/G \rightarrow (Z \times T)/G$  is closed. By Lemma 2.31,  $\bar{f} \times 1_T$  is closed.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.33. *Let  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  be topological groupoids whose range maps are open. Let  $Z_1, Z_2$  and  $X$  be topological spaces. Suppose there are maps*

$$X \xleftarrow{\rho_1} Z_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} G_2^{(0)} \xleftarrow{\rho_2} Z_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} G_3^{(0)},$$

*a right action of  $G_2$  on  $Z_1$  with momentum map  $\sigma_1$ , such that  $\rho_1$  is  $G_2$ -invariant and the action of  $G_2$  is  $\rho_1$ -proper, a left action of  $G_2$  on  $Z_2$  with momentum map  $\rho_2$  and a right  $\rho_2$ -proper action of  $G_3$  on  $Z_2$  with momentum map  $\sigma_2$  which commutes with the  $G_2$ -action.*

*Then the action of  $G_3$  on  $Z = Z_1 \times_{G_2} Z_2$  is  $\rho_1$ -proper.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi: Z_2 \rtimes G_3 \rightarrow Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2$  be the map  $(z_2, \gamma) \mapsto (z_2, z_2\gamma)$ . By assumption,  $\varphi$  is proper, therefore  $1_{Z_1} \times \varphi$  is proper. Let  $F = \{(z_1, z_2, z'_2) \in Z_1 \times Z_2 \times Z_2 \mid \sigma_1(z_1) = \rho_2(z_2) = \rho_2(z'_2)\}$ . Then  $1_{Z_1} \times \varphi: (1 \times \varphi)^{-1}(F) \rightarrow F$  is proper, i.e.  $Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} (Z_2 \rtimes G_3) \rightarrow Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} (Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)$  is proper. By Lemma 2.32, taking the quotient by  $G_2$ , we get that the map

$$\alpha: Z \rtimes G_3 \rightarrow Z_1 \times_{G_2} (Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)$$

defined by  $(z_1, z_2, \gamma) \mapsto (z_1, z_2, z_2\gamma)$  is proper.

By assumption, the map  $Z_1 \rtimes G_2 \rightarrow Z_1 \times_X Z_1$  given by  $(z_1, g) \mapsto (z_1, z_1g)$  is proper. Endow  $Z_1 \rtimes G_2$  with the following right action of  $G_2 \times G_2$ :  $(z_1, g) \cdot (g', g'') = (z_1g', (g')^{-1}gg'')$ . Using again Lemma 2.32, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta: Z_1 \times_{G_2} (Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2) &= (Z_1 \rtimes G_2) \times_{G_2 \times G_2} (Z_2 \times Z_2) \\ &\rightarrow (Z_1 \times_X Z_1) \times_{G_2 \times G_2} (Z_2 \times Z_2) \simeq Z \times_X Z \end{aligned}$$

is proper. By composition,  $\beta \circ \alpha: Z \rtimes G_3 \rightarrow Z \times_X Z$  is proper. □

*Proof of Proposition 2.29.* Let us treat the case of topological groupoids. Assertion (b') follows from the fact that the canonical mappings  $Z \rightarrow Z/G_2$  and  $Z \rightarrow G_1 \setminus Z$  are open (Lemma 2.30).

Let us first show that (ii) is an equivalence relation. Reflexivity is clear (taking  $Z = G$ ,  $\rho = r$ ,  $\sigma = s$ ), and symmetry is obvious. Suppose that  $(Z_1, \rho_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $(Z_2, \rho_2, \sigma_2)$  are equivalences between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , and  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  respectively. Let  $Z = Z_1 \times_{G_2} Z_2$  be the quotient of  $Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2$  by the action  $(z_1, z_2) \cdot \gamma = (z_1\gamma, \gamma^{-1}z_2)$  of  $G_2$ . Denote by  $\rho: Z \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $\sigma: Z \rightarrow G_3^{(0)}$  the maps induced from  $\rho_1 \times 1$  and  $1 \times \sigma_2$ . By Lemma 2.25, the first projection  $pr_1: Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1$  is open, therefore  $\rho = \rho_1 \circ pr_1$  is open. Similarly,  $\sigma$  is open. It remains to show that the actions of  $G_3$  and  $G_1$  are  $\rho$ -proper and  $\sigma$ -proper respectively. For  $G_3$ , this follows from Lemma 2.33 and the proof for  $G_1$  is similar. This proves that (ii) is an equivalence relation. Now, let us prove that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Suppose (ii). Let  $\Gamma = G_1 \rtimes Z \rtimes G_2$  and  $T = Z$ . The maps  $\rho: T \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $\sigma: T \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  are open surjective by assumption. Since  $G_1 \rtimes Z \simeq Z \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z$  and  $Z \rtimes G_2 \simeq Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z$ , we have  $G_2[T] = (T \times T) \times_{G_2^{(0)} \times G_2^{(0)}} G_2 \simeq (Z \rtimes G_2) \times_{s \circ pr_2, \sigma} Z \simeq (Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z) \times_{\sigma \circ pr_2, \sigma} Z = Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} (Z \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z) \simeq Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} (G_1 \rtimes Z) \simeq G_1 \rtimes (Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z) \simeq G_1 \rtimes (Z \rtimes G_2) = \Gamma$ . Similarly,  $\Gamma \simeq G_1[T]$ , hence (i).

Conversely, to prove (i)  $\implies$  (ii) it suffices to show that if  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open surjective, then  $G$  and  $G[T]$  are equivalent in the sense (ii), since we know that (ii) is an equivalence relation. Let  $Z = T \times_{r, f} G$ .

Let us check that the action of  $G$  is  $pr_1$ -proper. Write  $Z \rtimes G = \{(t, g, h) \in T \times G \times G \mid f(t) = r(g) \text{ and } s(g) = r(h)\}$ . One needs to check that the map  $Z \rtimes G \rightarrow (T \times_{f, r} G)^2$  defined by  $(t, g, h) \mapsto (t, g, t, h)$  is a homeomorphism onto its image. This follows easily from the facts that the diagonal map  $T \rightarrow T \times T$

and the map  $G^{(2)} \rightarrow G \times G$ ,  $(g, h) \mapsto (g, gh)$  are homeomorphisms onto their images.

Let us check that the action of  $G[T]$  is  $\text{sopr}_2$ -proper. One easily checks that the groupoid  $G' = G[T] \times (T \times_{f,r} G)$  is isomorphic to a subgroupoid of the trivial groupoid  $(T \times T) \times (G \times G)$ . It follows that if  $r'$  and  $s'$  denote the range and source maps of  $G'$ , the map  $(r', s')$  is a homeomorphism of  $G'$  onto its image.

Let us now treat the case of locally compact groupoids. In the proof that (ii) is a transitive relation, it just remains to show that  $Z$  is locally compact.

Let  $U_3$  be a Hausdorff open subspace of  $G_3^{(0)}$ . We show that  $\sigma^{-1}(U_3)$  is locally compact. Replacing  $G_3$  by  $(G_3)_{U_3}^{U_3}$ , we may assume that  $G_2$  acts freely and properly on  $Z_2$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the groupoid  $(Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2) \rtimes G_2$ , and  $R = (r, s)(\Gamma) \subset (Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)^2$ . Since the action of  $G_2$  on  $Z_2$  is free and proper, there exists a continuous map  $\varphi: Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2 \rightarrow G_2$  such that  $z_2 = \varphi(z_2, z'_2)z'_2$ . Then  $R = \{(z_1, z_2, z'_1, z'_2) \in (Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)^2; z'_1 = z_1\varphi(z_2, z'_2)\}$  is locally closed. By Proposition 2.12,  $Z = (Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)/G$  is locally compact.

Finally, if (i) holds with  $T = \cup_i V_i$  with  $V_i$  open Hausdorff, let  $T' = \coprod V_i$ . It is clear that  $G_1[T'] \simeq G_2[T']$ .  $\square$

Let us examine standard examples of Morita-equivalences:

**EXAMPLE 2.34.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid whose range map is open. Let  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  be an open cover of  $G^{(0)}$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \coprod_{i \in I} U_i$ . Then  $G[\mathcal{U}]$  is Morita-equivalent to  $G$ .*

**EXAMPLE 2.35.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid, and let  $H_1, H_2$  be subgroupoids such that the range maps  $r_i: H_i \rightarrow H_i^{(0)}$  are open. Then  $(H_1 \setminus G_{s(H_2)}^{s(H_1)}) \rtimes H_2$  and  $H_1 \times (G_{s(H_2)}^{s(H_1)}/H_2)$  are Morita-equivalent.*

*Proof.* Take  $Z = G_{s(H_2)}^{s(H_1)}$  and let  $\rho: Z \rightarrow Z/H_2$  and  $\sigma: H_1 \setminus Z$  be the canonical mappings. The fact that these maps are open follows from Lemma 2.30.  $\square$

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.22.

**PROPOSITION 2.36.** *Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be two topological groupoids such that the range maps of  $G$  and  $G'$  are open. Suppose that  $G$  and  $G'$  are Morita-equivalent. Then  $G$  is proper if and only if  $G'$  is proper.*

**COROLLARY 2.37.** *With the notations of Example 2.34,  $G$  is proper if and only if  $G[\mathcal{U}]$  is proper.*

### 3. A TOPOLOGICAL CONSTRUCTION

Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Since  $X$  is not necessarily Hausdorff, a filter<sup>1</sup>  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  may have more than one limit. Let  $S$  be the set of limits of a convergent filter  $\mathcal{F}$ . The goal of this section is to construct a Hausdorff space

<sup>1</sup>or a net; we will use indifferently the two equivalent approaches

$\mathcal{H}X$  in which  $X$  is (not continuously) embedded, and such that  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}X$ .

### 3.1. THE SPACE $\mathcal{H}X$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $X$  be a topological space, and  $S \subset X$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *for every family  $(V_s)_{s \in S}$  of open sets such that  $s \in V_s$ , and  $V_s = X$  except perhaps for finitely many  $s$ 's, one has  $\bigcap_{s \in S} V_s \neq \emptyset$ ;*
- (ii) *for every finite family  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  of open sets such that  $S \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$ , one has  $\bigcap_{i \in I} V_i \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii): let  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  as in (ii). For all  $i$ , choose  $s(i) \in S \cap V_i$ . Put  $W_s = \bigcap_{s=s(i)} V_i$ , with the convention that an empty intersection is  $X$ . Then by (i),  $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{s \in S} W_s = \bigcap_{i \in I} V_i$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (i): let  $(V_s)_{s \in S}$  as in (i), and let  $I = \{s \in S \mid V_s \neq X\}$ . Then  $\bigcap_{s \in S} V_s = \bigcap_{i \in I} V_i \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

We shall denote by  $\mathcal{H}X$  the set of non-empty subspaces  $S$  of  $X$  which satisfy the equivalent conditions of Lemma 3.1, and  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X = \mathcal{H}X \cup \{\emptyset\}$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $X$  be a locally Hausdorff space. Then every  $S \in \mathcal{H}X$  is locally finite. More precisely, if  $V$  is a Hausdorff open subspace of  $X$ , then  $V \cap S$  has at most one element.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a \neq b$  and  $\{a, b\} \subset V \cap S$ . Then there exist  $V_a, V_b$  open disjoint neighborhoods of  $a$  and  $b$  respectively; this contradicts Lemma 3.1(ii).  $\square$

Suppose that  $X$  is locally compact. We endow  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  with a topology. Let us introduce the notations  $\Omega_V = \{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid V \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\Omega^Q = \{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid Q \cap S = \emptyset\}$ . The topology on  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  is generated by the  $\Omega_V$ 's and  $\Omega^Q$ 's ( $V$  open and  $Q$  quasi-compact). More explicitly, a set is open if and only if it is a union of sets of the form  $\Omega_{(V_i)_{i \in I}}^Q = \Omega^Q \cap (\bigcap_{i \in I} \Omega_{V_i})$  where  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  is a finite family of open Hausdorff sets and  $Q$  is quasi-compact.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *For every locally compact space  $X$ , the space  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $S \not\subset S'$  and  $S, S' \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}X$ . Let  $s \in S - S'$ . Since  $S'$  is locally finite and since every singleton subspace of  $X$  is closed, there exist  $V$  open and  $K$  compact such that  $s \in V \subset K$  and  $K \cap S' = \emptyset$ . Then  $\Omega_V$  and  $\Omega^K$  are disjoint neighborhoods of  $S$  and  $S'$  respectively.  $\square$

For every filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$ , let

$$(1) \quad L(\mathcal{F}) = \{a \in X \mid \forall V \ni a \text{ open, } \Omega_V \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $S \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  if and only if properties (a) and (b) below hold:*

- (a)  $\forall V \text{ open, } V \cap S \neq \emptyset \implies \Omega_V \in \mathcal{F}$ ;

(b)  $\forall Q$  quasi-compact,  $Q \cap S = \emptyset \implies \Omega^Q \in \mathcal{F}$ .

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is convergent, then  $L(\mathcal{F})$  is its limit.

*Proof.* The first statement is obvious, since every open set in  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  is a union of finite intersections of  $\Omega_V$ 's and  $\Omega^Q$ 's.

Let us prove the second statement. It is clear from (a) that  $S \subset L(\mathcal{F})$ . Conversely, suppose there exists  $a \in L(\mathcal{F}) - S$ . Since  $S$  is locally finite and every singleton subspace of  $X$  is closed, there exists a compact neighborhood  $K$  of  $a$  such that  $K \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then  $a \in L(\mathcal{F})$  implies  $\Omega_K \in \mathcal{F}$ , and condition (b) implies  $\Omega^K \in \mathcal{F}$ , thus  $\emptyset = \Omega^K \cap \Omega_K \in \mathcal{F}$ , which is impossible: we have proved the reverse inclusion  $L(\mathcal{F}) \subset S$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.5. This means that if  $S_\lambda \rightarrow S$ , then  $a \in S$  if and only if  $\forall \lambda$  there exists  $s_\lambda \in S_\lambda$  such that  $s_\lambda \rightarrow a$ .

EXAMPLE 3.6. Consider Example 2.3 with  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $H = \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}G = G \cup \{S\}$  where  $S = \{(0,0), (0,1)\}$ . The sequence  $(1/n, 0) \in G$  converges to  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}G$ , and  $(0,0)$  and  $(0,1)$  are two isolated points in  $\mathcal{H}G$ .

PROPOSITION 3.7. Let  $X$  be a locally compact space and  $K \subset X$  quasi-compact. Then  $L = \{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid S \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is compact. The space  $\mathcal{H}X$  is locally compact, and it is  $\sigma$ -compact if  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.

*Proof.* We show that  $L$  is compact, and the two remaining assertions follow easily. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a ultrafilter on  $L$ . Let  $S_0 = L(\mathcal{F})$ . Let us show that  $S_0 \cap K \neq \emptyset$ : for every  $S \in L$ , choose a point  $\varphi(S) \in K \cap S$ . By quasi-compactness,  $\varphi(\mathcal{F})$  converges to a point  $a \in K$ , and it is not hard to see that  $a \in S_0$ .

Let us show  $S_0 \in \mathcal{H}X$ : let  $(V_s) (s \in S_0)$  be a family of open subspaces of  $X$  such that  $s \in V_s$  for all  $s \in S_0$ , and  $V_s = X$  for every  $s \notin S_1$  ( $S_1 \subset S_0$  finite). By definition of  $S_0$ ,  $\Omega_{(V_s)_{s \in S_1}} = \bigcap_{s \in S_1} \Omega_{V_s}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ , hence it is non-empty. Choose  $S \in \Omega_{(V_s)_{s \in S_1}}$ , then  $S \cap V_s \neq \emptyset$  for all  $s \in S_1$ . By Lemma 3.1(ii),  $\bigcap_{s \in S_1} V_s \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that  $S_0 \in \mathcal{H}X$ .

Now, let us show that  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $S_0$ .

- If  $V$  is open Hausdorff such that  $S_0 \in \Omega_V$ , then by definition  $\Omega_V \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- If  $Q$  is quasi-compact and  $S_0 \in \Omega^Q$ , then  $\Omega^Q \in \mathcal{F}$ , otherwise one would have  $\{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid S \cap Q \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{F}$ , which would imply as above that  $S_0 \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

From Lemma 3.4,  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $S_0$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.8. Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Then  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  is the one-point compactification of  $\mathcal{H}X$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  is compact. The proof is almost the same as in Proposition 3.7.  $\square$

REMARK 3.9. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map from a locally compact space  $X$  to any Hausdorff space  $Y$ , then  $f$  induces a continuous map  $\mathcal{H}f: \mathcal{H}X \rightarrow Y$ . Indeed, for every open subspace  $V$  of  $Y$ ,  $(\mathcal{H}f)^{-1}(V) = \Omega_{f^{-1}(V)}$  is open.

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, and  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open. Let  $Z$  be a locally compact space endowed with a continuous action of  $G$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}Z$  is endowed with a continuous action of  $G$  which extends the one on  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  such that  $G$  acts on  $Z$  with momentum map  $p$ . Since  $p$  has a continuous extension  $\mathcal{H}p: \mathcal{H}Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ , for all  $S \in \mathcal{H}Z$ , there exists  $x \in G^{(0)}$  such that  $S \subset p^{-1}(x)$ . For all  $g \in G^x$ , write  $Sg = \{sg \mid s \in S\}$ . Let us show that  $Sg \in \mathcal{H}Z$ . Let  $V_s$  ( $s \in S$ ) be open sets such that  $sg \in V_s$ . By continuity, there exist open sets  $W_s \ni s$  and  $W_g \ni g$  such that for all  $(z, h) \in W_s \times_{G^{(0)}} W_g$ ,  $zh \in V_s$ . Let  $V'_s = W_s \cap p^{-1}(r(W_g))$ . Then  $V'_s$  is an open neighborhood of  $s$ , so there exists  $z \in \bigcap_{s \in S} V'_s$ . Since  $p(z) \in r(W_g)$ , there exists  $h \in W_g$  such that  $p(z) = r(h)$ . It follows that  $zh \in \bigcap_{s \in S} V_s$ . This shows that  $Sg \in \mathcal{H}Z$ .

Let us show that the action defined above is continuous. Let  $\Phi: \mathcal{H}Z \times_{G^{(0)}} G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}Z$  be the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}Z$ . Suppose that  $(S_\lambda, g_\lambda) \rightarrow (S, g)$  and let  $S' = L((S_\lambda, g_\lambda))$ . Then for all  $a \in S$  there exists  $s_\lambda \in S_\lambda$  such that  $s_\lambda \rightarrow a$ . This implies  $s_\lambda g_\lambda \rightarrow ag$ , thus  $ag \in S'$ . The converse may be proved in a similar fashion, hence  $Sg = S'$ .

Applying this to any universal net  $(S_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  converging to  $(S, g)$  and knowing from Proposition 3.8 that  $\Phi(S_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  is convergent in  $\mathcal{H}Z$ , we find that  $\Phi(S_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  converges to  $\Phi(S, g)$ . This shows that  $\Phi$  is continuous in  $(S, g)$ .  $\square$

3.2. THE SPACE  $\mathcal{H}'X$ . Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Let  $\Omega'_V = \{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid S \subset V\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}'X$  be  $\mathcal{H}X$  as a set, with the coarsest topology such that the identity map  $\mathcal{H}'X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}X$  is continuous, and  $\Omega'_V$  is open for every relatively quasi-compact open set  $V$ . The space  $\mathcal{H}'X$  is Hausdorff since  $\mathcal{H}X$  is Hausdorff, but it is usually not locally compact.

LEMMA 3.11. *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Then the map*

$$\mathcal{H}'X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{\infty\}, \quad S \mapsto \#S$$

*is upper semi-continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $S \in \mathcal{H}'X$  such that  $\#S < \infty$ . Let  $V_s$  ( $s \in S$ ) be open relatively compact Hausdorff sets such that  $s \in V_s$ , and let  $W = \bigcup_{s \in S} V_s$ . Then  $S' \in \mathcal{H}'X$  implies  $\#(S' \cap V_s) \leq 1$ , therefore  $S' \in \Omega'_W$  implies  $\#S' \leq \#S$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.12. *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space such that the closure of every quasi-compact subspace is quasi-compact. Then*

- (a) *the natural map  $\mathcal{H}'X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}X$  is a homeomorphism,*
- (b) *for every compact subspace  $K \subset X$ , there exists  $C_K > 0$  such that*

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{H}X, S \cap K \neq \emptyset \implies \#S \leq C_K,$$

- (c) *If  $G$  is a locally compact proper groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff then  $G$  satisfies the above properties.*

*Proof.* To prove (b), let  $K_1$  be a quasi-compact neighborhood of  $K$  and let  $K' = \overline{K}_1$ . Let  $a \in K \cap S$  and suppose there exists  $b \in S - K'$ . Then  $\overset{\circ}{K}_1$  and  $X - K'$  are disjoint neighborhoods of  $a$  and  $b$  respectively, which is impossible. We deduce that  $S \subset K'$ .

Now, let  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  be a finite cover of  $K'$  by open Hausdorff sets. For all  $b \in S$ , let  $I_b = \{i \in I \mid b \in V_i\}$ . By Lemma 3.2, the  $I_b$ 's ( $b \in S$ ) are disjoint, whence one may take  $C_K = \#I$ .

To prove (a), denote by  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  the diagonal. Let us first show that  $pr_1: \overline{\Delta} \rightarrow X \times X$  is proper.

Let  $K \subset X$  compact. Let  $L \subset X$  quasi-compact such that  $K \subset \overset{\circ}{L}$ . If  $(a, b) \in \overline{\Delta} \cap (K \times X)$ , then  $b \in \overline{L}$ : otherwise,  $L \times L^c$  would be a neighborhood of  $(a, b)$  whose intersection with  $\Delta$  is empty. Therefore,  $pr_1^{-1}(K) = \overline{\Delta} \cap (K \times \overline{L})$  is quasi-compact, which shows that  $pr_1$  is proper.

It remains to prove that  $\Omega'_V$  is open in  $\mathcal{H}X$  for every relatively quasi-compact open set  $V \subset X$ . Let  $S \in \Omega'_V$ ,  $a \in S$  and  $K$  a compact neighborhood of  $a$ . Let  $L = pr_2(\overline{\Delta} \cap (K \times X))$ . Then  $Q = L - V$  is quasi-compact, and  $S \in \Omega^Q_K \subset \Omega'_V$ , therefore  $\Omega'_V$  is a neighborhood of each of its points.

To prove (c), let  $K \subset G$  be a quasi-compact subspace. Then  $L = r(K) \cup s(K)$  is quasi-compact, thus  $G^L_L$  is also quasi-compact. But  $\overline{K}$  is closed and  $\overline{K} \subset G^L_L$ , therefore  $\overline{K}$  is quasi-compact.  $\square$

4. HAAR SYSTEMS

4.1. THE SPACE  $C_c(X)$ . For every locally compact space  $X$ ,  $C_c(X)_0$  will denote the set of functions  $f \in C_c(V)$  ( $V$  open Hausdorff), extended by 0 outside  $V$ . Let  $C_c(X)$  be the linear span of  $C_c(X)_0$ . Note that functions in  $C_c(X)$  are not necessarily continuous.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space, and let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f \in C_c(X)$ ;
- (ii)  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*)$  is relatively quasi-compact, and for every filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = i(\mathcal{F})$ , where  $i: X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}X$  is the canonical inclusion; if  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  converges to  $S \in \mathcal{H}X$ , then  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)$ .

*Proof.* Let us show (i)  $\implies$  (ii). By linearity, it is enough to consider the case  $f \in C_c(V)$ , where  $V \subset X$  is open Hausdorff. Let  $K$  be the compact set  $\overline{f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*)} \cap V$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset K$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $S$  as in (ii). If  $S \cap V = \emptyset$ , then  $S \in \Omega^K$ , hence  $\Omega^K \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , i.e.  $X - K \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f = 0 = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)$ . If  $S \cap V = \{a\}$ , then  $a$  is a limit point of  $\mathcal{F}$ , therefore  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f = f(a) = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)$ .

Let us show (ii)  $\implies$  (i) by induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that there exist  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  open Hausdorff and  $K$  quasi-compact satisfying  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset K \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$ .

For  $n = 1$ , for every  $x \in V_1$ , let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a ultrafilter convergent to  $x$ . By Proposition 3.8,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is convergent; let  $S$  be its limit, then  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f = \sum_{s \in S} f(s) = f(x)$ , thus  $f|_{V_1}$  is continuous.

Now assume the implication is true for  $n - 1$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and let us prove it for  $n$ . Since  $K$  is quasi-compact, there exist  $V'_1, \dots, V'_n$  open sets,  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  compact such that  $K \subset V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_n$  and  $V'_i \subset K_i \subset V_i$ . Let  $F = (V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_n) - (V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_{n-1})$ . Then  $F$  is closed in  $V'_n$  and  $f|_F$  is continuous. Moreover,  $f|_F = 0$  outside  $K' = K - (V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_{n-1})$  which is closed in  $K$ , hence quasi-compact, and Hausdorff, since  $K' \subset V'_n$ . Therefore,  $f|_F \in C_c(F)$ . It follows that there exists an extension  $h \in C_c(V'_n)$  of  $f|_F$ . By considering  $f - h$ , we may assume that  $f = 0$  on  $F$ , so  $f = 0$  outside  $K' = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_{n-1}$ . But  $K' \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{n-1}$ , hence by induction hypothesis,  $f \in C_c(X)$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space,  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f_n \in C_c(X)$ . Suppose that there exists fixed quasi-compact set  $Q \subset X$  such that  $f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset Q$  for all  $n$ , and  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$ . Then  $f \in C_c(X)$ .*

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Let  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  be an open cover of  $X$  by Hausdorff subspaces. Then every  $f \in C_c(X)$  is a finite sum  $f = \sum f_i$ , where  $f_i \in C_c(U_i)$ .*

*Proof.* See [6, Lemma 1.3].  $\square$

**LEMMA 4.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be locally compact spaces. Let  $f \in C_c(X \times Y)$ . Let  $V$  and  $W$  be open subspaces of  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset Q \subset V \times W$  for some quasi-compact set  $Q$ . Then there exists a sequence  $f_n \in C_c(V) \otimes C_c(W)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_{\infty} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $X = V$  and  $Y = W$ . Let  $(U_i)$  (resp.  $(V_j)$ ) be an open cover of  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) by Hausdorff subspaces. Then every element of  $C_c(X \times Y)$  is a linear combination of elements of  $C_c(U_i \times V_j)$  (Lemma 4.3). The conclusion follows from the fact that the image of  $C_c(U_i) \otimes C_c(V_j) \rightarrow C_c(U_i \times V_j)$  is dense.  $\square$

**LEMMA 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space and  $Y \subset X$  a closed subspace. Then the restriction map  $C_c(X) \rightarrow C_c(Y)$  is well-defined and surjective.*

*Proof.* Let  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  be a cover of  $X$  by Hausdorff open subspaces. The map  $C_c(U_i) \rightarrow C_c(U_i \cap Y)$  is surjective (since  $Y$  is closed), and  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} C_c(U_i \cap Y) \rightarrow C_c(Y)$  is surjective (Lemma 4.3). Therefore, the map  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} C_c(U_i) \rightarrow C_c(Y)$  is surjective. Since it is also the composition of the surjective map  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} C_c(U_i) \rightarrow C_c(X)$  and of the restriction map  $C_c(X) \rightarrow C_c(Y)$ , the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**4.2. HAAR SYSTEMS.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact proper groupoid with Haar system (see definition below) such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. If  $G$  is Hausdorff, then  $C_c(G^{(0)})$  is endowed with the  $C_r^*(G)$ -valued scalar product  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(g) = \overline{\xi(r(g))} \eta(s(g))$ . Its completion is a  $C_r^*(G)$ -Hilbert module. However, if  $G$  is not Hausdorff, the function  $g \mapsto \overline{\xi(r(g))} \eta(s(g))$  does not necessarily belong to

$C_c(G)$ , therefore we need a different construction in order to obtain a  $C_r^*(G)$ -module.

DEFINITION 4.6. [16, pp. 16-17] *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid such that  $G^x$  is Hausdorff for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ . A Haar system is a family of positive measures  $\lambda = \{\lambda^x \mid x \in G^{(0)}\}$  such that  $\forall x, y \in G^{(0)}, \forall \varphi \in C_c(G)$ ,*

- (i)  $\text{supp}(\lambda^x) = G^x$ ;
- (ii)  $\lambda(\varphi): x \mapsto \int_{g \in G^x} \varphi(g) \lambda^x(dg) \in C_c(G^{(0)})$ ;
- (iii)  $\int_{h \in G^x} \varphi(gh) \lambda^x(dh) = \int_{h \in G^y} \varphi(h) \lambda^y(dh)$ .

Note that  $G^x$  is automatically Hausdorff if  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff (Prop. 2.8). Recall also [15, p. 36] that the range map for  $G$  is open.

LEMMA 4.7. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with Haar system. Then for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $G$ ,  $\sup_{x \in G^{(0)}} \lambda^x(K \cap G^x) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to show that there exists  $f \in C_c(G)$  such that  $1_K \leq f$ . Since  $\sup_{x \in G^{(0)}} \lambda(f)(x) < \infty$ , the conclusion follows.  $\square$

LEMMA 4.8. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Suppose that  $Z$  is a locally compact space and that  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is continuous. Then for every  $f \in C_c(Z \times_{p,r} G)$ ,  $\lambda(f): z \mapsto \int_{g \in G^{p(z)}} f(z, g) \lambda^{p(z)}(dg)$  belongs to  $C_c(Z)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.5,  $f$  is the restriction of an element of  $C_c(Z \times G)$ . If  $f(z, g) = f_1(z)f_2(g)$ , then  $\psi(x) = \int_{g \in G^x} f_2(g) \lambda^x(dg)$  belongs to  $C_c(G^{(0)})$ , therefore  $\psi \circ p \in C_b(Z)$ . It follows that  $\lambda(f) = f_1(\psi \circ p)$  belongs to  $C_c(Z)$ . By linearity, if  $f \in C_c(Z) \otimes C_c(G)$ , then  $\lambda(f) \in C_c(Z)$ .

Now, for every  $f \in C_c(Z \times G)$ , there exist relatively quasi-compact open subspaces  $V$  and  $W$  of  $Z$  and  $G$  and a sequence  $f_n \in C_c(V) \otimes C_c(W)$  such that  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$ . From Lemma 4.7,  $\lambda(f_n)$  converges uniformly to  $\lambda(f)$ , and  $\lambda(f_n) \in C_c(Z)$ . From Corollary 4.2,  $\lambda(f) \in C_c(Z)$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.9. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. If  $G$  acts on a locally compact space  $Z$  with momentum map  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ , then  $(\lambda^{p(z)})_{z \in Z}$  is a Haar system on  $Z \rtimes G$ .*

*Proof.* Results immediately from Lemma 4.8.  $\square$

## 5. THE HILBERT MODULE OF A PROPER GROUPOID

5.1. THE SPACE  $X'$ . Before we construct a Hilbert module associated to a proper groupoid, we need some preliminaries. Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Denote by  $X'$  the closure of  $G^{(0)}$  in  $\mathcal{H}G$ .

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Then for all  $S \in X'$ ,  $S$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $r$  and  $s: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  extend continuously to maps  $\mathcal{H}G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ , and since  $r = s$  on  $G^{(0)}$ , one has  $\mathcal{H}r = \mathcal{H}s$  on  $X'$ , i.e.  $\exists x_0 \in G^{(0)}, S \subset G_{x_0}^{x_0}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $G^{(0)}$  whose limit is  $S$ . Then  $a \in S$  if and only if  $a$  is a limit point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$  we have  $x^{-1}x = x$ , it follows that for every  $a, b \in S$  one has  $a^{-1}b \in S$ , whence  $S$  is a subgroup of  $G_{x_0}^{x_0}$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $q: X' \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  the map such that  $S \subset G_{q(S)}^{q(S)}$ . The map  $q$  is continuous since it is the restriction to  $X'$  of  $\mathcal{H}r$ .

LEMMA 5.2. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact proper groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $X'$ , convergent to  $S$ . Suppose that  $q(\mathcal{F})$  converges to  $S_0 \in X'$ . Then  $S_0$  is a normal subgroup of  $S$ , and there exists  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\forall S' \in \Omega, S'$  is group-isomorphic to  $S/S_0$ . In particular,  $\{S' \in X' \mid \#S = \#S_0\#S'\} \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Using Proposition 3.12, we see that  $S$  is finite.

We shall use the notation  $\tilde{\Omega}_{(V_i)_{i \in I}} = \Omega_{(V_i)_{i \in I}} \cap \Omega'_{\cup_{i \in I} V_i}$ . Let  $V'_s \subset V_s$  ( $s \in S$ ) be Hausdorff, open neighborhoods of  $s$ , chosen small enough so that for some  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

- (a)  $\Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega}_{(V'_s)_{s \in S}}$ ;
- (b)  $V'_{s_1} V'_{s_2} \subset V_{s_1 s_2}, \forall s_1, s_2 \in S$ .
- (c)  $\forall s \in S - S_0, \forall S' \in \Omega, q(S') \notin V_s$ ;
- (d)  $q(\Omega) \subset \tilde{\Omega}_{(V_s)_{s \in S_0}}$ ;

Let  $S' \in \Omega$ . Let  $\varphi: S \rightarrow S'$  such that  $\{\varphi(s)\} = S' \cap V'_s$ . Then  $\varphi$  is well-defined since  $S' \cap V'_s \neq \emptyset$  (see (a)) and  $V'_s$  is Hausdorff.

If  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  then  $\varphi(s_i) \in S' \cap V'_{s_i}$ . By (b),  $\varphi(s_1)\varphi(s_2) \in S' \cap V_{s_1 s_2}$ . Since  $V_{s_1 s_2}$  is Hausdorff and also contains  $\varphi(s_1 s_2) \in S'$ , we have  $\varphi(s_1 s_2) = \varphi(s_1)\varphi(s_2)$ . This shows that  $\varphi$  is a group morphism.

The map  $\varphi$  is surjective, since  $S' \subset \cup_{s \in S} V'_s$  (see (a)).

By (c),  $\ker(\varphi) \subset S_0$  and by (d),  $S_0 \subset \ker(\varphi)$ .  $\square$

Suppose now that the range map  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open. Then  $X'$  is endowed with an action of  $G$  (Prop. 3.10) defined by  $S \cdot g = g^{-1}Sg = \{g^{-1}sg \mid s \in S\}$ .

5.2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE HILBERT MODULE. Now, let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid. Assume that  $G$  is endowed with a Haar system, and that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Let

$$\mathcal{E}^0 = \{f \in C_c(X') \mid f(S) = \sqrt{\#S}f(q(S)) \forall S \in X'\}.$$

( $q(S) \in G^{(0)}$  is identified to  $\{q(S)\} \in X'$ .)

Define, for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}^0$  and  $f \in C_c(G)$ :  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(g) = \overline{\xi(r(g))}\eta(s(g))$  and

$$(\xi f)(S) = \int_{g \in G^{q(S)}} \xi(g^{-1}Sg)f(g^{-1})\lambda^x(dg).$$

PROPOSITION 5.3. *With the above assumptions, the completion  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  of  $\mathcal{E}^0$  with respect to the norm  $\|\xi\| = \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle\|^{1/2}$  is a  $C_r^*(G)$ -Hilbert module.*

We won't give the direct proof here since this is a particular case of Theorem 7.8 (see Example 7.7(c)).

## 6. CUTOFF FUNCTIONS

If  $G$  is a locally compact Hausdorff proper groupoid with Haar system. Assume for simplicity that  $G^{(0)}/G$  is compact. Then there exists a so-called "cutoff" function  $c \in C_c(G^{(0)})_+$  such that for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $\int_{g \in G^x} c(s(g)) \lambda^x(dg) = 1$ , and the function  $g \mapsto \sqrt{c(r(g))c(s(g))}$  defines projection in  $C_r^*(G)$ . However, if  $G$  is not Hausdorff, then the above function does not belong to  $C_c(G)$  is general, thus we need another definition of a cutoff function.

Let  $X'_{\geq k} = \{S \in X' \mid \#S \geq k\}$ . By Lemma 3.11,  $X'_{\geq k}$  is closed.

LEMMA 6.1. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. Let  $X_{\geq k} = q(X'_{\geq k})$ . Then  $X_{\geq k}$  is closed in  $G^{(0)}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for every compact subspace  $K$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $X_{\geq k} \cap K$  is closed. Let  $K' = G_K^K$ . Then  $K'$  is quasi-compact, and from Proposition 3.7,  $K'' = \{S \in \mathcal{H}G \mid S \cap K' \neq \emptyset\}$  is compact. The set  $q^{-1}(K) \cap X'_{\geq k} = K'' \cap X'_{\geq k}$  is closed in  $K''$ , hence compact; its image by  $q$  is  $X_{\geq k} \cap K$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 6.2. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid, with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . For every compact set  $K \subset G^{(0)}$ , there exists  $f: X'_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  continuous, where  $X'_K = q^{-1}(K) \subset X'$ , such that*

$$\forall S \in X'_K, \quad f(S) = f(q(S))(\#S)^\alpha.$$

*Proof.* Let  $K' = G_K^K$ . It is closed and quasi-compact. From Proposition 3.7,  $X'_K$  is quasi-compact. For every  $S \in X'_K$ , we have  $S \subset K'$ . By Proposition 3.12, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $X'_{\geq n+1} \cap X'_K = \emptyset$ . We can thus proceed by reverse induction: suppose constructed  $f_{k+1}: X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  continuous such that  $f_{k+1}(S) = f_{k+1}(q(S))(\#S)^\alpha$  for all  $S \in X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k+1})$ .

Since  $X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k+1})$  is closed in the compact set  $X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k})$ , there exists a continuous extension  $h: X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $f_{k+1}$ . Replacing  $h(x)$  by  $\sup(h(x), \inf f_{k+1})$ , we may assume that  $h(X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k})) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^*$ . Put  $f_k(S) = h(q(S))(\#S)^\alpha$ . Let us show that  $f_k$  is continuous.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a ultrafilter on  $X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k})$ , and let  $S$  be its limit. Since  $q(\mathcal{F})$  is a ultrafilter on  $K$ , it has a limit  $S_0 \in X'_K$ .

For every  $S_1 \in q^{-1}(X_{\geq k})$ , choose  $\psi(S_1) \in X'_{\geq k}$  such that  $q(S_1) = q(\psi(S_1))$ . Let  $S' \in X'_K \cap X'_{\geq k}$  be the limit of  $\psi(\mathcal{F})$ .

From Lemma 5.2,  $\Omega_1 = \{S_1 \in X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k}) \mid \#S = \#S_0 \#S_1\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\Omega_2 = \{S_2 \in X'_{\geq k} \mid \#S' = \#S_0 \#S_2\}$  is an element of  $\psi(\mathcal{F})$ .

- If  $\#S_0 > 1$ , then  $S' \in X_{\geq k+1}$ , so  $S$  and  $S_0$  belong to  $q^{-1}(X_{\geq k+1})$ . Therefore,  $f_k(S_1) = (\#S_1)^\alpha h(q(S_1))$  converges with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\#S)^\alpha}{(\#S_0)^\alpha} h(S_0) &= \frac{(\#S)^\alpha}{(\#S_0)^\alpha} f_{k+1}(S_0) = f_{k+1}(S) \\ &= f_{k+1}(q(S))(\#S)^\alpha = h(q(S))(\#S)^\alpha = f_k(S). \end{aligned}$$

- If  $S_0 = \{q(S)\}$ , then  $f_k(S_1) = (\#S_1)^\alpha h(q(S_1))$  converges with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $(\#S)^\alpha h(q(S)) = f_k(S)$ .

Therefore,  $f_k$  is a continuous extension of  $f_{k+1}$ . □

**THEOREM 6.3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff and  $G^{(0)}/G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. Let  $\pi: G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}/G$  be the canonical mapping. Then there exists  $c: X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  continuous such that*

- (a)  $c(S) = c(q(S))\#S$  for all  $S \in X'$ ;
- (b)  $\forall \alpha \in G^{(0)}/G, \exists x \in \pi^{-1}(\alpha), c(x) \neq 0$ ;
- (c)  $\forall K \subset G^{(0)}$  compact,  $\text{supp}(c) \cap q^{-1}(F)$  is compact, where  $F = s(G^K)$ .

If moreover  $G$  admits a Haar system, then there exists  $c: X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  continuous satisfying (a), (b), (c) and

- (d)  $\forall x \in G^{(0)}, \int_{g \in G^x} c(s(g)) \lambda^x(dg) = 1$ .

*Proof.* There exists a locally finite cover  $(V_i)$  of  $G^{(0)}/G$  by relatively compact open subspaces. Since  $\pi$  is open and  $G^{(0)}$  is locally compact, there exists  $K_i \subset G^{(0)}$  compact such that  $\pi(K_i) \supset V_i$ . Let  $(\varphi_i)$  be a partition of unity associated to the cover  $(V_i)$ . For every  $i$ , from Lemma 6.2, there exists  $c_i: X'_{K_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  continuous such that  $c_i(S) = c_i(q(S))\#S$  for all  $S \in X'_{K_i}$ . Let

$$c(S) = \sum_i c_i(S)\varphi_i(\pi(q(S))).$$

It is clear that  $c$  is continuous from  $X'$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and that  $c(S) = c(q(S))\#S$ . Let us prove (b): let  $x_0 \in G^{(0)}$ . There exists  $i$  such that  $\varphi_i(\pi(x_0)) \neq 0$ . Choose  $x \in K_i$  such that  $\pi(x) = \pi(x_0)$ , then  $c(x) \geq c_i(x)\varphi_i(\pi(x_0)) > 0$ . Let us show (c). Note that  $F = \pi^{-1}(\pi(K))$  is closed, so  $q^{-1}(F)$  is closed. Let  $K_1$  be a compact neighborhood of  $K$  and  $F_1 = \pi^{-1}(\pi(K_1))$ . Let  $J = \{i \mid V_i \cap \pi(K_1) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then for all  $i \notin J, c_i(\varphi_i \circ \pi \circ q) = 0$  on  $q^{-1}(F_1)$ , therefore  $c = \sum_{j \in J} c_j(\varphi_j \circ \pi \circ q)$  in a neighborhood of  $q^{-1}(F)$ . Since for all  $i, \text{supp}(c_i(\varphi_i \circ \pi \circ q))$  is compact and since  $J$  is finite,  $\text{supp}(c) \cap q^{-1}(F) \subset \cup_{i \in J} \text{supp}(c_i(\varphi_i \circ \pi \circ q))$  is compact. Let us show the last assertion. Let  $\varphi(g) = c(s(g))$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $G$  convergent in  $\mathcal{H}G$  to  $A \subset G$ . Choose  $a \in A$  and let  $S = a^{-1}A$ . Then  $s(\mathcal{F})$  converges to  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}G$ , hence

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = \#Sc(s(a)) = \sum_{g \in S} c(s(g)) = \sum_{g \in S} \varphi(g).$$

For every compact set  $K \subset G^{(0)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{g \in G \mid r(g) \in K \text{ and } \varphi(g) \neq 0\} \\ & \subset \{g \in G \mid r(g) \in K \text{ and } s(g) \in \text{supp}(c)\} \\ & \subset G_{q(\text{supp}(c) \cap q^{-1}(F))}^K, \end{aligned}$$

so  $G^K \cap \{g \in G \mid \varphi(g) \neq 0\}$  is included in a quasi-compact set. Therefore, for every  $l \in C_c(G^{(0)})$ ,  $g \mapsto l(r(g))\varphi(g)$  belongs to  $C_c(G)$ . It follows that  $h(x) = \int_{g \in G^x} \varphi(g) \lambda^x(dg)$  is a continuous function. Moreover, for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$  there exists  $g \in G^x$  such that  $\varphi(g) \neq 0$ , so  $h(x) > 0 \forall x \in G^{(0)}$ . It thus suffices to replace  $c(x)$  by  $c(x)/h(x)$ .  $\square$

EXAMPLE 6.4. In Example 2.3 with  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $H = \{0\}$ , the cutoff function is the unique continuous extension to  $X'$  of the function  $c(x) = 1$  for  $x \in (0, 1]$ , and  $c(0) = 1/n$ .

PROPOSITION 6.5. Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff and  $G^{(0)}/G$  is compact. Let  $c$  be a cutoff function. Then the function  $p(g) = \sqrt{c(r(g))c(s(g))}$  defines a selfadjoint projection  $p \in C_r^*(G)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  is isomorphic to  $pC_r^*(G)$ .

Proof. Let  $\xi_0(x) = \sqrt{c(x)}$ . Then one easily checks that  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{E}^0$ ,  $\langle \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = p$  and  $\xi_0 \langle \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \xi_0$ , therefore  $p$  is a selfadjoint projection in  $C_r^*(G)$ . The maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(G) & \rightarrow pC_r^*(G), & \xi & \mapsto \langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle = p \langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle \\ pC_r^*(G) & \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G), & a & \mapsto \xi_0 a = \xi_0 p a \end{aligned}$$

are inverses from each other.  $\square$

7. GENERALIZED MORPHISMS AND  $C^*$ -ALGEBRA CORRESPONDENCES

UNTIL THE END OF THE PAPER, ALL GROUPOIDS ARE ASSUMED LOCALLY COMPACT, WITH OPEN RANGE MAP. In this section, we introduce a notion of generalized morphism for locally compact groupoids which are not necessarily Hausdorff, and a notion of locally proper generalized morphism.

Then, we show that a locally proper generalized morphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  which satisfies an additional condition induces a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  and a  $*$ -morphism  $C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ , hence an element of  $KK(C_r^*(G_2), C_r^*(G_1))$ .

7.1. GENERALIZED MORPHISMS.

DEFINITION 7.1. [4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 14] Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two groupoids. A generalized morphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  is a triple  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  where

$$G_1^{(0)} \xleftarrow{\rho} Z \xrightarrow{\sigma} G_2^{(0)},$$

$Z$  is endowed with a left action of  $G_1$  with momentum map  $\rho$  and a right action of  $G_2$  with momentum map  $\sigma$  which commute, such that

- (a) the action of  $G_2$  is free and  $\rho$ -proper,
- (b)  $\rho$  induces a homeomorphism  $Z/G_2 \simeq G_1^{(0)}$ .

In Definition 7.1, one may replace (b) by (b)' or (b)'' below:

- (b)'  $\rho$  is open and induces a bijection  $Z/G_2 \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$ .  
 (b)'' the map  $Z \rtimes G_2 \rightarrow Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z$  defined by  $(z, \gamma) \mapsto (z, z\gamma)$  is a homeomorphism.

EXAMPLE 7.2. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two groupoids. If  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a groupoid morphism, let  $Z = G_1^{(0)} \times_{f,r} G_2$ ,  $\rho(x, \gamma) = x$  and  $\sigma(x, \gamma) = s(\gamma)$ . Define the actions of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  by  $g \cdot (x, \gamma) \cdot \gamma' = (r(g), f(g)\gamma\gamma')$ . Then  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is a generalized morphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ .

That  $\rho$  is open follows from the fact that the range map  $G_2 \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  is open and from Lemma 2.25. The other properties in Definition 7.1 are easy to check.

## 7.2. LOCALLY PROPER GENERALIZED MORPHISMS.

DEFINITION 7.3. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two groupoids. A generalized morphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  is said to be locally proper if the action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  is  $\sigma$ -proper.

Our terminology is justified by the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 7.4. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two groupoids such that  $G_2^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Let  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be a groupoid morphism. Then the associated generalized groupoid morphism is locally proper if and only if the map  $(f, r, s): G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)}$  is proper.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi: G_1 \times_{f \circ s, r} G_2 \rightarrow (G_2 \times_{s, s} G_2) \times_{r \times r, f \times f} (G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)})$  defined by  $\varphi(g_1, g_2) = (f(g_1)g_2, r(g_1), s(g_1))$ . By definition, the action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  is proper if and only if  $\varphi$  is a proper map. Consider  $\theta: G_2 \times_{s, s} G_2 \rightarrow G_2^{(2)}$  given by  $(\gamma, \gamma') = (\gamma(\gamma')^{-1}, \gamma')$ . Let  $\psi = (\theta \times 1) \circ \varphi$ . Since  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism, the action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  is proper if and only if  $\psi$  is proper.

Suppose that  $(f, r, s)$  is proper. Let  $f' = (f, r, s) \times 1: G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_2 \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_2$ . Then  $f'$  is proper. Let  $F = \{(\gamma, x, x', \gamma') \in G_2 \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_2 \mid s(\gamma) = r(\gamma') = f(x'), r(\gamma) = f(x)\}$ . Then  $f': (f')^{-1}(F) \rightarrow F$  is proper, i.e.  $\psi$  is proper.

Conversely, suppose that  $\psi$  is proper. Let  $F' = \{(\gamma, y, x, x') \in G_2 \times G_2^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)} \mid s(\gamma) = y\}$ . Then  $\psi: \psi^{-1}(F') \rightarrow F'$  is proper, therefore  $(f, r, s)$  is proper.  $\square$

Our objective is now to show the

PROPOSITION 7.5. Let  $G_1, G_2, G_3$  be groupoids. Let  $(Z_1, \rho_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(Z_2, \rho_2, \sigma_2)$  be two generalized groupoid morphisms from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  and from  $G_2$  to  $G_3$  respectively. Then  $(Z, \rho, \sigma) = (Z_1 \times_{G_2} Z_2, \rho_1 \times 1, 1 \times \sigma_2)$  is a generalized groupoid morphism. If  $(Z_1, \rho_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(Z_2, \rho_2, \sigma_2)$  are locally proper, then  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is locally proper.

Proposition 7.5 shows that groupoids form a category whose arrows are generalized morphisms, and that two groupoids are isomorphic in that category if

and only if they are Morita-equivalent. Moreover, the same conclusions hold for the category whose arrows are locally proper generalized morphisms. In particular, local properness of generalized morphisms is invariant under Morita-equivalence.

All the assertions of Proposition 7.5 follow from Lemma 2.33.

### 7.3. PROPER GENERALIZED MORPHISMS.

**DEFINITION 7.6.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be groupoids. A generalized morphism  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  is said to be proper if it is locally proper, and if for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $G_2^{(0)}$ ,  $\sigma^{-1}(K)$  is  $G_1$ -compact.*

- EXAMPLES 7.7.**
- (a) *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be locally compact spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a continuous map. Then the generalized morphism  $(X, \text{Id}, f)$  is proper if and only if  $f$  is proper.*
  - (b) *Let  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be a continuous morphism between two locally compact groups. Let  $p: G_2 \rightarrow \{*\}$ . Then  $(G_2, p, p)$  is proper if and only if  $f$  is proper and  $f(G_1)$  is co-compact in  $G_2$ .*
  - (c) *Let  $G$  be a locally compact proper groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, and let  $\pi: G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}/G$  be the canonical mapping. Then  $(G^{(0)}, \text{Id}, \pi)$  is a proper generalized morphism from  $G$  to  $G^{(0)}/G$ .*

**7.4. CONSTRUCTION OF A  $C^*$ -CORRESPONDENCE.** Until the end of the section, our goal is to prove:

**THEOREM 7.8.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be locally compact groupoids with Haar system such that  $G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_2^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff, and  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  a locally proper generalized morphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ . Then one can construct a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}_Z$  and a map  $\pi: C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ . Moreover, if  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is proper, then  $\pi$  maps to  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ . Therefore, it gives an element of  $KK(C_r^*(G_2), C_r^*(G_1))$ .*

**COROLLARY 7.9.** *(see [14]) Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be locally compact groupoids with Haar system such that  $G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_2^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff. If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Morita-equivalent, then  $C_r^*(G_1)$  and  $C_r^*(G_2)$  are Morita-equivalent.*

**COROLLARY 7.10.** *Let  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be morphism between two locally compact groupoids with Haar system such that  $G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_2^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff. If the restriction of  $f$  to  $(G_1)_K^K$  is proper for each compact set  $K \subset (G_1)^{(0)}$  then  $f$  induces a correspondence  $\mathcal{E}_f$  from  $C_r^*(G_2)$  to  $C_r^*(G_1)$ . If in addition for every compact set  $K \subset G_2^{(0)}$  the quotient of  $G_1^{(0)} \times_{f,r} (G_2)_K$  by the diagonal action of  $G_1$  is compact, then  $C_r^*(G_2)$  maps to  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}_f)$  and thus  $f$  defines a  $KK$ -element  $[f] \in KK(C_r^*(G_2), C_r^*(G_1))$ .*

*Proof.* See Proposition 7.4 and Definition 7.6 applied to the generalized morphism  $Z_f = G_1^{(0)} \times_{f,r} G_2$  as in Example 7.2 □

The rest of the section is devoted to proving Theorem 7.8.

Let us first recall the construction of the correspondence when the groupoids are Hausdorff [11]. It is the closure of  $C_c(Z)$  with the  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -valued scalar product

$$(2) \quad \langle \xi, \eta \rangle(g) = \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} \overline{\xi(z\gamma)} \eta(g^{-1}z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma),$$

where  $z$  is an arbitrary element of  $Z$  such that  $\rho(z) = r(g)$ . The right  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -module structure is defined  $\forall \xi \in C_c(Z), \forall a \in C_c(G_1)$  by

$$(3) \quad (\xi a)(z) = \int_{g \in (G_1)^{\rho(z)}} \xi(g^{-1}z) a(g^{-1}) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg),$$

and the left action of  $C_r^*(G_2)$  is

$$(4) \quad (b\xi)(z) = \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} b(\gamma) \xi(z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma)$$

for all  $b \in C_c(G_2)$ .

We now come back to non-Hausdorff groupoids. For every open Hausdorff set  $V \subset Z$ , denote by  $V'$  its closure in  $\mathcal{H}((G_1 \times Z)_V^V)$ , where  $z \in V$  is identified to  $(\rho(z), z) \in \mathcal{H}((G_1 \times Z)_V^V)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_V^0$  be the set of  $\xi \in C_c(V')$  such that  $\xi(z) = \frac{\xi(S \times \{z\})}{\sqrt{\#S}}$  for all  $S \times \{z\} \in V'$ .

LEMMA 7.11. *The space  $\mathcal{E}_Z^0 = \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{V_i}^0$  is independent of the choice of the cover  $(V_i)$  of  $Z$  by Hausdorff open subspaces.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for every open Hausdorff subspace  $V$  of  $Z$ , one has  $\mathcal{E}_V^0 \subset \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{V_i}^0$ . Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_V^0$ . Denote by  $q_V: V' \rightarrow V$  the canonical map defined by  $q_V(S \times \{z\}) = z$ . Let  $K \subset V$  compact such that  $\text{supp}(\xi) \subset q_V^{-1}(K)$ . There exists  $J \subset I$  finite such that  $K \subset \cup_{j \in J} V_j$ . Let  $(\varphi_j)_{j \in J}$  be a partition of unity associated to that cover, and  $\xi_j = \xi \cdot (\varphi_j \circ q_V)$ . One easily checks that  $\xi_j \in \mathcal{E}_{V_j}^0$  and that  $\xi = \sum_{j \in J} \xi_j$ .  $\square$

We now define a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -valued scalar product on  $\mathcal{E}_Z^0$  by Eqn. (2) where  $z$  is an arbitrary element of  $Z$  such that  $\rho(z) = r(g)$ . Our definition is independent of the choice of  $z$ , since if  $z'$  is another element, there exists  $\gamma' \in G_2$  such that  $z' = z\gamma'$ , and the Haar system on  $G_2$  is left-invariant.

Moreover, the integral is convergent for all  $g \in G_1$  because the action of  $G_2$  on  $Z$  is proper.

Let us show that  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \in C_c(G_1)$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ . We need a preliminary lemma:

LEMMA 7.12. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces such that  $X$  is locally compact and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  proper. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a ultrafilter such that  $f$  converges to  $y \in Y$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q = f^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $f$  is proper,  $Q$  is quasi-compact. Suppose that for all  $x \in Q$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  does not converge to  $x$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $V_x$  of  $x$  such that  $V_x^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . Extracting a finite cover  $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  of  $Q$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $Q$  such that  $V^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $f$  is closed,  $f(V^c)^c$  is a neighborhood of  $y$ . By assumption,  $f(V^c)^c \in f(\mathcal{F})$ , i.e.  $\exists A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f(A) \subset f(V^c)^c$ . This implies that  $A \subset V$ , therefore  $V \in \mathcal{F}$ : this contradicts  $V^c \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Consequently, there exists  $x \in Q$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $x$ . □

To show that  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \in C_c(G_1)$ , we can suppose that  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_U^0$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_V^0$ , where  $U$  and  $V$  are open Hausdorff. Let  $F(g, z) = \overline{\xi(z)}\eta(g^{-1}z)$ , defined on  $\Gamma = G_1 \times_{r, \rho} Z$ . Since the action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  is proper,  $F$  is quasi-compactly supported. Let us show that  $F \in C_c(\Gamma)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an ultrafilter on  $\Gamma$ , convergent in  $\mathcal{H}\Gamma$ . Since  $G_1^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, its limit has the form  $S = S'g_0 \times S''$  where  $S' \subset (G_1)_{r(g_0)}^{r(g_0)}$ ,  $S'' \subset \rho^{-1}(r(g_0))$ . Moreover,  $S'$  is a subgroup of  $(G_1)_{r(g_0)}^{r(g_0)}$  by the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Suppose that there exist  $z_0, z_1 \in S''$  and  $g_1 \in S'g_0$  such that  $z_0 \in U$  and  $g_1^{-1}z_1 \in V$ . By Lemma 7.12 applied to the proper map  $G_1 \times Z \rightarrow Z \times Z$ , there exists  $s_0 \in S'$  such that  $z_0 = s_0z_1$ . We may assume that  $g_0 = s_0g_1$ . Then  $\sum_{s \in S} F(s) = \sum_{s' \in S'} \overline{\xi(z_0)}\eta(g_0^{-1}(s')^{-1}z_0)$ . If  $s' \notin \text{stab}(z_0)$ , then  $g_0^{-1}(s')^{-1}z_0 \notin V$  since  $g_0^{-1}z_0$  and  $g_0^{-1}(s')^{-1}z_0$  are distinct limits of  $(g, z) \mapsto g^{-1}z$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $V$  is Hausdorff. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \in S} F(s) &= \#(\text{stab}(z_0) \cap S') \overline{\xi(z_0)}\eta(g_0^{-1}z_0) \\ &= \sqrt{\#(\text{stab}(z_0) \cap S') \xi(z_0)} \sqrt{\#(\text{stab}(g_0^{-1}z_0) \cap (g_0^{-1}S'g_0))\eta(z_0)} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\xi(z)}\eta(g^{-1}z) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} F(g, z). \end{aligned}$$

If for all  $z_0, z_1 \in S''$  and all  $g_1 \in S'g_0$ ,  $(z_0, g_1^{-1}z_1) \notin U \times V$ , then  $\sum_{s \in S} F(g, z) = 0 = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} F(g, z)$ .

By Proposition 4.1,  $F \in C_c(\Gamma)$ .

Since  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(g) = \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} F(g, z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma)$ , to prove that  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \in C_c(G_1)$  it suffices to show:

LEMMA 7.13. *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two locally compact groupoids with Haar system such that  $G_i^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff. Let  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  be a generalized morphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ . Let  $\Gamma = G_1 \times_{r, \rho} Z$ . Then for every  $F \in C_c(\Gamma)$ , the function*

$$g \mapsto \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} F(g, z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma),$$

where  $z \in Z$  is an arbitrary element such that  $\rho(z) = r(g)$ , belongs to  $C_c(G_1)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $F(g, z) = f(g)h(z)$ , where  $f \in C_c(G_1)$  and  $h \in C_c(Z)$ . Let  $H(z) = \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} h(z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma)$ . By Lemma 7.14 below (applied to the

groupoid  $Z \rtimes G_2$ ),  $H$  is continuous. It is obviously  $G_2$ -invariant, therefore  $H \in C_c(Z/G_2)$ . Let  $\tilde{H} \in C_c(G_1^{(0)}) \simeq C_c(Z/G_2)$  correspond to  $H$ . The map

$$g \mapsto \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} F(g, z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) = f(g)\tilde{H}(s(g))$$

thus belongs to  $C_c(G_1)$ .

By linearity, the lemma is true for  $F \in C_c(G_1) \otimes C_c(Z)$ . By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5,  $F$  is the uniform limit of functions  $F_n \in C_c(G_1) \otimes C_c(Z)$  which are supported in a fixed quasi-compact set  $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2 \subset G_1 \times Z$ . Let  $Q' \subset Z$  quasi-compact such that  $\rho(Q') \supset r(Q_1)$ . Since the action of  $G_2$  on  $Z$  is proper,  $K = \{\gamma \in G_2 \mid Q'\gamma \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset\}$  is quasi-compact. Using the fact that  $G_1^{(0)} \simeq Z/G_2$ , it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(g,z) \in \Gamma} \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} 1_Q(g, z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) &\leq \sup_{z \in Q'} \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} 1_{Q_2}(z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in G_2^{(0)}} \int_{\gamma \in G_2^x} 1_K(\gamma) \lambda^x(d\gamma) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.7. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{g \in G_1} \left| \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} F(g, z\gamma) - F_n(g, z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \right| = 0.$$

The conclusion follows from Corollary 4.2. □

In the proof of Lemma 7.13 we used the

LEMMA 7.14. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid with Haar system, such that  $G^x$  is Hausdorff for all  $x \in G^{(0)}$ , and  $G_x^x = \{x\}$  for all  $x \in G^{(0)}$ . We do not assume  $G^{(0)}$  to be Hausdorff. Then  $\forall f \in C_c(G^{(0)})$ ,*

$$\varphi: G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad x \mapsto \int_{g \in G^x} f(s(g)) \lambda^x(dg)$$

*is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be an open, Hausdorff subspace of  $G^{(0)}$ . Let  $h \in C_c(V)$ . Since  $(r, s): G \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$  is a homeomorphism from  $G$  onto a closed subspace of  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , and  $(x, y) \mapsto h(x)f(y)$  belongs to  $C_c(G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)})$ , the map  $g \mapsto h(r(g))f(s(g))$  belongs to  $C_c(G)$ , therefore by definition of a Haar system,  $x \mapsto \int_{g \in G^x} h(r(g))f(s(g)) \lambda^x(dg) = h(x)\varphi(x)$  belongs to  $C_c(G^{(0)})$ .

Since  $h \in C_c(V)$  is arbitrary, this shows that  $\varphi|_V$  is continuous, hence  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $G^{(0)}$ . □

Now, let us show the positivity of the scalar product. Recall that for all  $x \in G_1^{(0)}$  there is a representation  $\pi_{G_1,x}: C^*(G_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(G_1^x))$  such that for all  $a \in C_c(G_1)$  and all  $\eta \in C_c(G_1^x)$ ,

$$(\pi_{G_1,x}(a)\eta)(g) = \int_{h \in G_1^{s(g)}} a(h)\eta(gh) \lambda^{s(g)}(dh).$$

By definition,  $\|a\|_{C_r^*(G_1)} = \sup_{x \in G_1^{(0)}} \|\pi_{G_1,x}(a)\|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta, \pi_{G_1,x}(a)\eta \rangle &= \int_{g \in G_1^x, h \in G_1^{s(g)}} \overline{\eta(g)} a(h) \eta(gh) \lambda^{s(g)}(dh) \lambda^x(dg) \\ &= \int_{g \in G_1^x, h \in G^{s(g)}} \overline{\eta(g)} a(g^{-1}h) \eta(h) \lambda^x(dg) \lambda^x(dh). \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $z \in Z$  such that  $\rho(z) = x$ . Replacing  $a(g^{-1}h)$  by

$$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle(g^{-1}h) = \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} \overline{\xi(g^{-1}z\gamma)} \xi(h^{-1}z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma),$$

we get

$$(5) \quad \langle \eta, \pi_{G_1,x}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)\eta \rangle = \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \left| \int_{g \in G^x} \eta(g) \xi(g^{-1}z\gamma) \lambda^x(dg) \right|^2.$$

It follows that  $\pi_{G_1,x}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in G_1^{(0)}$ , so  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$  in  $C_r^*(G_1)$ .

Now, let us define a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{E}_Z^0$  by Eqn.(3) for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$  and  $a \in C_c(G_1)$ .

Let us show that  $\xi a \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ . We need a preliminary lemma:

LEMMA 7.15. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be quasi-compact spaces,  $(\Omega_k)$  an open cover of  $X \times Y$ . Then there exist finite open covers  $(X_i)$  and  $(Y_j)$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $\forall i, j \exists k, X_i \times Y_j \subset \Omega_k$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  choose open neighborhoods  $U_{x,y}$  and  $V_{x,y}$  of  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $U_{x,y} \times V_{x,y} \subset \Omega_k$  for some  $k$ . For  $y$  fixed, there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that  $(U_{x_i,y})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  covers  $X$ . Let  $V_y = \cap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i,y}$ . Then for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U'_{x,y}$  of  $x$  and  $k$  such that  $U'_{x,y} \times V_y \subset \Omega_k$ . Let  $(V_1, \dots, V_m) = (V_{y_1}, \dots, V_{y_m})$  such that  $\cup_{1 \leq j \leq m} V_j = Y$ . For all  $x \in X$ , let  $U'_x = \cap_{j=1}^m U'_{x,y_j}$ . Let  $(U_1, \dots, U_p)$  be a finite sub-cover of  $(U'_x)_{x \in X}$ . Then for all  $i$  and for all  $j$ , there exists  $k$  such that  $U_i \times V_j \subset \Omega_k$ .  $\square$

Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be quasi-compact subspaces of  $G_1$  of  $Z$  respectively such that  $a^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset Q_1$  and  $\xi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset Q_2$ . Let  $Q$  be a quasi-compact subspace of  $Z$  such that  $\forall g \in Q_1, \forall z \in Q_2, g^{-1}z \in Q$ . Let  $(U_k)$  be a finite cover of  $Q$  by Hausdorff open subspaces of  $Z$ . Let  $Q' = Q_1 \times_{r,\rho} Q_2$ . Then  $Q'$  is a closed subspace of  $Q_1 \times Q_2$ . Let  $\Omega'_k = \{(g, z) \in Q' \mid g^{-1}z \in U_k\}$ . Then  $(\Omega'_k)$  is a finite open cover of  $Q'$ . Let  $\Omega_k$  be an open subspace of  $Q_1 \times Q_2$  such that  $\Omega'_k = \Omega_k \cap Q'$ . Then  $\{Q_1 \times Q_2 - Q'\} \cup \{\Omega_k\}$  is an open cover of  $Q_1 \times Q_2$ . Using Lemma 7.15, there exist finite families of Hausdorff open sets  $(W_i)$  and  $(V_j)$  which cover  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , such that for all  $i, j$  and for all  $(g, z) \in W_i \times_{G_1^{(0)}} V_j$ , there exists  $k$  such that  $g^{-1}z \in U_k$ .

Thus, we can assume by linearity and by Lemmas 4.3 and 7.11 that  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_V^0$ ,  $a \in C_c(W)$ ,  $U = W^{-1}V$ , and  $U, V$  and  $W$  are open and Hausdorff.

Let  $\Omega = \{(g, S) \in W^{-1} \times U' \mid g^{-1}q_U(S) \in V\}$ . Then the map  $(g, S) \mapsto (g^{-1}, g^{-1}S)$  is a homeomorphism from  $\Omega$  onto  $W \times_{r, \rho \circ q_V} V'$ . Therefore, the map  $(g, z) \mapsto \xi(g^{-1}z)a(g^{-1})$  belongs to  $C_c(\Omega) \subset C_c(G_1 \times_{r, \rho \circ q_V} U')$ . By Lemma 4.8,

$$S \mapsto (\xi a)(S) = \int_{g \in G_1^{\rho \circ q_V(S)}} \xi(g^{-1}S)a(g^{-1}) \lambda^{\rho \circ q_V(S)}(dg)$$

belongs to  $C_c(U')$ . It is immediate that  $(\xi a)(S) = \sqrt{\#\mathcal{S}}(\xi a)(q(S))$  for all  $S \in U'$ , therefore  $\xi a \in \mathcal{E}_U^0$ . This completes the proof that  $\xi a \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ .

Finally, it is not hard to check that  $\langle \xi, \eta a \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle * a$ . Therefore, the completion  $\mathcal{E}_Z$  of  $\mathcal{E}_Z^0$  with respect to the norm  $\|\xi\| = \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle\|^{1/2}$  is a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -Hilbert module.

Let us now construct a morphism  $\pi: C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ . For every  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$  and every  $b \in C_c(G_2)$ , define  $b\xi$  by Eqn.(4). Let us check that  $b\xi \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ . As above, by linearity we may assume that  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_V^0$ ,  $b \in C_c(W)$  and  $VW^{-1} \subset U$ , where  $V \subset Z$ ,  $U \subset Z$  and  $W \subset G_2$  are open and Hausdorff.

Let  $\Phi(S, \gamma) = (S\gamma, \gamma)$ . Then  $\Phi$  is a homeomorphism from  $\Omega = \{(S, \gamma) \in U' \times_{\sigma \circ q_U, r} W \mid q_U(S)\gamma \in V\}$  onto  $V' \times_{\sigma \circ q_V, s} W$ . Let  $F(z, \gamma) = b(\gamma)\xi(z\gamma)$ . Since  $F = (\xi \otimes b) \circ \Phi$ ,  $F$  is an element of  $C_c(\Omega) \subset C_c(U' \times_{\sigma \circ q_U, r} W)$ . By Lemma 4.8,  $b\xi \in C_c(U')$ .

It is immediate that  $(b\xi)(S) = \sqrt{\#\mathcal{S}}(b\xi)(q(S))$ . Therefore,  $b\xi \in \mathcal{E}_U^0 \subset \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ .

Let us prove that  $\|b\xi\| \leq \|b\| \|\xi\|$ . Let

$$\zeta(\gamma) = \int_{g \in G_1^x} \eta(g)\xi(g^{-1}z\gamma) \lambda^x(dg),$$

where  $z \in Z$  such that  $\rho(z) = r(g)$  is arbitrary. From (5),

$$\langle \eta, \pi_{G_1, x}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)\eta \rangle = \|\zeta\|_{L^2(G_2^{\sigma(z)})}^2.$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta, \pi_{G_1, x}(\langle b\xi, b\xi \rangle)\eta \rangle &= \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \left| \int_{g \in G_1^x} \eta(g)\xi(g^{-1}z\gamma\gamma')b(\gamma') \lambda^{s(\gamma)}(d\gamma') \right|^2 \\ &= \langle b\zeta, b\zeta \rangle \leq \|b\|^2 \|\zeta\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By density of  $C_c(G_2^x)$  in  $L^2(G_2^x)$ ,  $\|\pi_{G_1, x}(\langle b\xi, b\xi \rangle)\| \leq \|b\|^2 \|\pi_{G_1, x}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)\|$ . Taking the supremum over  $x \in G_1^{(0)}$ , we get  $\|b\xi\| \leq \|b\| \|\xi\|$ . It follows that  $b \mapsto (\xi \mapsto b\xi)$  extends to a \*-morphism  $\pi: C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ .

Finally, suppose now that  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is proper, and let us show that  $C_r^*(G_2)$  maps to  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ .

For every  $\eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ , denote by  $T_{\eta, \zeta}$  the operator  $T_{\eta, \zeta}(\xi) = \eta\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle$ . Compact operators are elements of the closed linear span of  $T_{\eta, \zeta}$ 's. Let us write an explicit formula for  $T_{\eta, \zeta}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\eta, \zeta}(\xi)(z) &= \int_{g \in G_1^{\rho(z)}} \eta(g^{-1}z)\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle(g^{-1}) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg) \\ &= \int_{g \in G_1^{\rho(z)}} \eta(g^{-1}z) \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} \overline{\zeta(g^{-1}z\gamma)} \xi(z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $b \in C_c(G_2)$ , let us show that  $\pi(b) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ . Let  $K$  be a quasi-compact subspace of  $G_2$  such that  $b^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset K$ . Since  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is a proper generalized morphism, there exists a quasi-compact subspace  $Q$  of  $Z$  such that  $\sigma^{-1}(r(K)) \subset G_1 \overset{\circ}{Q}$ . Before we proceed, we need a lemma:

LEMMA 7.16. *Let  $G_2$  be a locally compact groupoid acting freely and properly on a locally compact space  $Z$  with momentum map  $\sigma: Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$ . Then for every  $(z_0, \gamma_0) \in Z \times G_2$ , there exists a Hausdorff open neighborhood  $\Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}$  of  $(z_0, \gamma_0)$  such that*

- $U = \{z_1 \gamma_1 \mid (z_1, \gamma_1) \in \Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}\}$  is Hausdorff;
- there exists a Hausdorff open neighborhood  $W$  of  $\gamma_0$  such that  $\forall \gamma \in G_2, \forall z \in pr_1(\Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}), \forall z' \in U, z' = z\gamma \implies \gamma \in W$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R = \{(z, z') \in Z \times Z \mid \exists \gamma \in G_2, z' = z\gamma\}$ . Since the  $G_2$ -action is free and proper, there exists a continuous function  $\phi: R \rightarrow G_2$  such that  $\phi(z, z\gamma) = \gamma$ . Let  $W$  be an open Hausdorff neighborhood of  $\gamma_0$ . By continuity of  $\phi$ , there exist open Hausdorff neighborhoods  $V$  and  $U_0$  of  $z_0$  and  $z_0\gamma_0$  such that for all  $(z, z') \in R \cap (V \times U_0)$ ,  $\phi(z, z') \in W$ . By continuity of the action, there exists an open neighborhood  $\Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}$  of  $(z_0, \gamma_0)$  such that  $\forall (z_1, \gamma_1) \in \Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}, z_1 \gamma_1 \in U_0$  and  $z_1 \in V$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 7.15, there exist finite covers  $(V_i)$  of  $Q$  and  $(W_j)$  of  $K$  such that for every  $i, j$ ,  $(Z \times_{G_2^{(0)}} G_2) \cap (V_i \times W_j) \subset \Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}$  for some  $(z_0, \gamma_0)$ .

By Lemma 6.2 applied to the groupoid  $(G_1 \times Z)_{V_i}^{V_i}$ , for all  $i$  there exists  $c'_i \in C_c(V'_i)_+$  such that  $c'_i(S) = (\#S)c'_i(q_{V_i}(S))$  for all  $S \in V'_i$ , and such that  $\sum_i c'_i \geq 1$  on  $Q$ . Let

$$f_i(z) = \int_{g \in G_1^{\rho(z)}} c'_i(g^{-1}z) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg)$$

and let  $f = \sum_i f_i$ . As in the proof of Theorem 6.3, one can show that for every Hausdorff open subspace  $V$  of  $Z$  and every  $h \in C_c(V)$ ,  $(g, z) \mapsto h(z)c'_i(g^{-1}z)$  belongs to  $C_c(G \times Z)$ , therefore  $hf_i$  is continuous on  $V$ . Since  $h$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $f_i$  is continuous, thus  $f$  is continuous. Moreover,  $f$  is  $G_1$ -equivariant, nonnegative, and  $\inf_Q f > 0$ . Therefore, there exists  $f_1 \in C_c(G_1 \setminus Z)$  such that  $f_1(z) = 1/f(z)$  for all  $z \in Q$ . Let  $c_i(z) = f_1(z)c'_i(z)$ . Let

$$T_i(\xi)(z) = \int_{g \in G_1^{\rho(z)}} \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} c_i(g^{-1}z)b(\gamma)\xi(z\gamma) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg)\lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma).$$

Then  $\pi(b) = \sum_i T_i$ , therefore it suffices to show that  $T_i$  is a compact operator for all  $i$ .

By linearity and by Lemma 4.3, one may assume that  $b \in C_c(W_j)$  for some  $j$ . Then, by construction of  $V_i$  (see Lemma 7.16), there exist open Hausdorff sets  $U \subset Z$  and  $W \subset G_2$  such that  $\{\gamma \in G_2 \mid \exists (z, z') \in V_i \times U, z' = z\gamma\} \subset W$ , and  $\{z\gamma \mid (z, \gamma) \in V_i \times_{\sigma, r} W\} \subset U$ .

The map  $(z, z\gamma) \mapsto c(z)b(\gamma)$  defines an element of  $C_c(V'_i \times U)$ . Let  $L_1 \times L_2 \subset V_i \times U$  compact such that  $(z, z\gamma) \mapsto c(z)b(\gamma)$  is supported on  $q_{V_i}^{-1}(L_1) \times L_2$ .

By Lemma 6.2 applied to the groupoids  $(G_1 \ltimes Z)_{V_i}^{V_i}$  and  $(G_1 \ltimes Z)_U^U$ , there exist  $d_1 \in C_c(V_i)_+$  and  $d_2 \in C_c(U)_+$  such that  $d_1 > 0$  on  $L_1$  and  $d_2 > 0$  on  $L_2$ ,  $d_1(S) = \sqrt{\#S}d_1(q_{V_i}(S))$  for all  $S \in V_i'$ , and  $d_2(S) = \sqrt{\#S}d_2(q_U(S))$  for all  $S \in U'$ . Let

$$f(z, z\gamma) = \frac{c(z)b(\gamma)}{d_1(z)d_2(z\gamma)}.$$

Then  $f \in C_c(V_i \times_{G_1^{(0)}} U)$ . Therefore,  $f$  is the uniform limit of a sequence  $f_n = \sum \alpha_{n,k} \otimes \overline{\beta_{n,k}}$  in  $C_c(V_i) \otimes C_c(U)$  such that all the  $f_n$  are supported in a fixed compact set. Then  $T_i$  is the norm-limit of  $\sum_k T_{d_1\alpha_{n,k}, d_2\beta_{n,k}}$ , therefore it is compact.

REMARK 7.17. *The construction in Theorem 7.8 is functorial with respect to the composition of generalized morphisms and of correspondences. We don't include a proof of this fact, as it is tedious but elementary. It is an easy exercise when  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Hausdorff.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Abels, H. Parallelizability of proper actions, global  $K$ -slices and maximal compact subgroups. *Math. Ann.* 212 (1974/75), 1–19.
- [2] Bourbaki, N.: *Topologie Générale*. Masson, Paris (1990).
- [3] Chabert, J.; Echterhoff, S.; Meyer, R. Deux remarques sur l'application de Baum-Connes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 332 (2001), no. 7, 607–610.
- [4] Haefliger, A. *Groupoïdes d'holonomie et classifiants*, Astérisque 116 (1984), 70–97.
- [5] Hilsaum, M. and Skandalis, G.: Morphismes  $K$ -orientés d'espaces de feuilles et fonctorialité en théorie de Kasparov (d'après une conjecture d'A. Connes). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) 20(1987), no. 3, 325–390.
- [6] Khoshkam, M.; Skandalis, G. Regular representation of groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras and applications to inverse semigroups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 546 (2002), 47–72.
- [7] Landsman, N. P. Operator algebras and Poisson manifolds associated to groupoids. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 222 (2001), no. 1, 97–116.
- [8] Landsman, N. P. Quantized reduction as a tensor product. Quantization of singular symplectic quotients, 137–180, *Progr. Math.*, 198, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [9] Le Gall, P.Y.: Théorie de Kasparov équivariante et groupoïdes. I. *K-Theory* 16(1999), no. 4, 361–390.
- [10] Le Gall, P.Y.; Monthubert, B.  $K$ -theory of the indicial algebra of a manifold with corners. *K-Theory* 23 (2001), no. 2, 105–113.
- [11] Macho-Stadler, M. and O'Uchi, M.: Correspondence of groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* 42(1999), 103–119.
- [12] Moerdijk, I. *Toposes and groupoids*, in *Categorical algebra and its applications*, 280–298, LNM 1348, Springer, Berlin, 1988.

- [13] Mrčun, J., Functoriality of the bimodule associated to a Hilsum-Skandalis map, *K-Theory* 18 (1999), 235–253.
- [14] Muhly, P., Renault, J. and Williams, D.: Equivalence and isomorphism for groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* 17(1987), 3–22.
- [15] Paterson, A: *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras*. Progress in Mathematics, 170. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [16] Renault, J: *A groupoid approach to  $C^*$ -algebras*, Springer, 1980, LNM no. 793.
- [17] Stachura, P.  $C^*$ -algebra of a differential groupoid. With an appendix by S. Zakrzewski. Banach Center Publ., 51, Poisson geometry (Warsaw, 1998), 263–281, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2000.

Jean-Louis Tu  
University Paris VI  
Institut de Mathématiques  
175, rue du Chevaleret  
75013 Paris, France.  
tu@math.jussieu.fr

