

# Some Remarks on the Modified Korteweg-de Vries Equations

By

Shunichi TANAKA\*

## Abstract

In Section 1 we associate certain linear differential operators to modifications of the KdV equation. An interpretation is given to the non-linear transformation of Miura [4] which converts a solution of one of modified KdV equations into that of the KdV equation.

In Section 2 we construct a family of special solutions of another modification of the KdV equation.

1. In this paper we study the modified Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equations

$$(1) \quad \dot{v} \pm 6v^2v' + v''' = 0$$

where  $\dot{v}$  and  $v'$  are  $t$  and  $x$  derivatives of real-valued smooth function  $v = v(x, t)$  ( $-\infty < x, t < \infty$ ) respectively. We shall refer to them as equations (1+) and (1-) according to their signs. These equations appear in Zabusky [6] as generalizations of the KdV equation

$$(2) \quad \dot{u} - 6uu' + u''' = 0$$

and in Miura [5] where the relation between the solutions of (1) and (2) is discussed. The existence theorem for the initial-value problem of (1) has been proved in Kametaka [2].

Lax [4] has rewritten the KdV equation into an evolution equation for a linear operator: For a complex-valued smooth function  $u(x)$ , let  $L_u$  be the one dimensional Schrödinger operator

---

Received June 21, 1972 and in revised form August 2, 1972.

Communicated by H. Yoshizawa.

\* Department of Mathematics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, Japan.

$$L_u = -D^2 + u$$

and put

$$B_u = -4D^3 + 3uD + 3Du$$

where  $D$  stands for the  $x$  differentiation. Then the operator

$$[B_u, L_u] = B_u L_u - L_u B_u$$

is the multiplication by the function  $6uu' - u'''$ . So the operator equation for real-valued function  $u(t) = u(t, x)$

$$\dot{L}_{u(t)} = [B_{u(t)}, L_{u(t)}]$$

is equivalent to the KdV equation.

For the modified KdV equations we can give a similar operator interpretation. For a complex-valued smooth function  $v(x)$ , introduce the first order differential operator

$$L_v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} D + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ v & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and put

$$B_v = \begin{bmatrix} B_{v'+v^2} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{-v'+v^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the operator  $[B_v, L_v]$  is the multiplication by the matrix valued function

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 6v^2v' - v''' \\ 6v^2v' - v''' & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So for real-valued function  $v(t) = v(x, t)$ , the operator evolution equations

$$\dot{L}_{v(t)} = [B_{v(t)}, L_{v(t)}]$$

and

$$\dot{\mathbf{L}}_{iv(t)} = [\mathbf{B}_{iv(t)}, \mathbf{L}_{iv(t)}]$$

are equivalent to (1−) and (1+) respectively.

Note that we have an operator identity

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{L}_v^2 = \begin{bmatrix} L_{v'+v^2} & 0 \\ 0 & L_{-v'+v^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Putting a solution  $v = v(t, x)$  of the equation (1−) into (3), we differentiate (3) with respect to  $t$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{L}_{v'+v^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \dot{L}_{-v'+v^2} \end{bmatrix} &= \mathbf{L}_v \dot{\mathbf{L}}_v + \dot{\mathbf{L}}_v \mathbf{L}_v \\ &= \mathbf{L}_v [\mathbf{B}_v, \mathbf{L}_v] + [\mathbf{B}_v, \mathbf{L}_v] \mathbf{L}_v \\ &= [\mathbf{B}_v, \mathbf{L}_v^2] \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\dot{L}_{\pm v'+v^2} = [\mathbf{B}_{\pm v'+v^2}, L_{\pm v'+v^2}].$$

So  $\pm v' + v^2$  satisfy the KdV equation. This fact has been discovered by Miura [5] by a different consideration.

**2.** In this section we construct a family of special solutions of the modified KdV equation (1+). They are analogous to the  $N$ -tuple wave solutions of the KdV equation, which have been constructed in Gardner, Greene, Kruskal and Miura [1] based on the inverse scattering theory for the Schrödinger equation.

Consider the eigenvalue problem for the operator  $\mathbf{L}_{iv}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} y_2' + iv y_2 &= \zeta y_1 \\ -y_1' + iv y_1 &= \zeta y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $z_1 = y_1 - iy_2$  and  $z_2 = y_1 + iy_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} iz'_1 + vz_2 &= \zeta z_1 \\ -iz'_2 - vz_1 &= \zeta z_2. \end{aligned}$$

This is a special case of the system of first order differential equations

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} iz'_1 - iqz_2 &= \zeta z_1 \\ -iz'_2 - iq^*z_1 &= \zeta z_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $q$  is a complex-valued function and  $q^*$  denotes its complex conjugate.

The inverse scattering theory for (4), namely the problem of the construction of the potential  $q$  from the scattering data, has been discussed by Zakharov and Shabat [7] and applied to the exact solution of a certain non-linear equation. In what follows we restrict our attention to the case where the fundamental equation of the inverse scattering theory reduces to the system of linear algebraic equations.

Let  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N$  be complex numbers different from each other in the upper half-plane and  $c_1, \dots, c_N$  be any complex numbers. Put

$$\lambda_j = c_j^{1/2} \exp(i\zeta_j x)$$

and consider a system of linear equations for  $\psi_{1j}, \psi_{2j}^* (j=1, \dots, N)$ :

$$(5a) \quad \psi_{1j} + \sum_k \lambda_j \lambda_k^* (\zeta_j - \zeta_k^*)^{-1} \psi_{2k}^* = 0$$

$$(5b) \quad -\sum_k \lambda_k \lambda_j^* (\zeta_j^* - \zeta_k)^{-1} \psi_{1k} + \psi_{2j}^* = \lambda_j^*$$

(The sums are taken from 1 to  $N$  throughout the present paper). Then this system of equations has a non-singular coefficient matrix. Put

$$q(x) = -2i \sum_k \lambda_k^* \psi_{2k}^*.$$

Then for each  $j$ , the pair  $(\psi_{1j}, \psi_{2j})$  satisfies the differential equations

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} i\psi'_{1j} - iq\psi_{2j} &= \zeta_j \psi_{1j} \\ -i\psi'_{2j} - iq^*\psi_{1j} &= \zeta_j \psi_{2j}. \end{aligned}$$

We give a proof of these facts in Appendix.

Multiply  $\psi_{2j}^*$  on (5b) and take the summation over  $j$ . Then we have another expression for  $q(x)$ :

$$q(x) = 2i \sum_j (\psi_{1j}^2 - \psi_{2j}^{*2}).$$

We pose further restriction on the system (5): Let  $M$  be a non-negative integer such that  $2M \leq N$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the permutation among integers between 1 and  $N$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(j) &= j+1 & j \text{ odd } \leq 2M \\ &= j-1 & j \text{ even } \leq 2M \\ &= j & j > 2M. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that  $\zeta_{\sigma(j)} = -\zeta_j^*$  and  $c_{\sigma(j)} = c_j^* (1 \leq j \leq N)$ .

Now let  $c_j$  depend on  $t$  as

$$c_j(t) = c_j(0) \exp(8i\zeta_j^3 t)$$

and put

$$\lambda_j = \lambda_j(x, t) = c_j(t)^{1/2} \exp(i\zeta_j x).$$

**Theorem.** *Let  $\psi_{1j}(x, t)$  and  $\psi_{2j}(x, t)$  be the solution of the system (5) for  $\lambda_j = \lambda_j(x, t)$  defined above and put*

$$q(x, t) = -2i \sum_j \lambda_j^*(x, t) \psi_{2j}^*(x, t).$$

*Then  $v(x, t) = -iq(x, t)$  is real-valued and satisfies the modified KdV equation (1+).*

*Proof.* Put  $\phi_{1j} = i\lambda_j \psi_{1j}$  and  $\phi_{2j} = \lambda_j \psi_{2j}$ . Then the system (5) is rewritten as

$$(7a) \quad \lambda_j^{-2} \phi_{1j} + i \sum_k (\zeta_j - \zeta_k^*)^{-1} \phi_{2k}^* = 0$$

$$(7b) \quad i \sum_k (\zeta_j^* - \zeta_k)^{-1} \phi_{1k} + \lambda_j^{*-2} \phi_{2j}^* = 1.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\phi_{1\sigma(j)}^*$  and  $\phi_{2\sigma(j)}^*$  satisfy the same equation as  $\phi_{1j}$  and  $\phi_{2j}$ . By the uniqueness of solution we have  $\phi_{1\sigma(j)}^* = \phi_{1j}$  and  $\phi_{2\sigma(j)}^* = \phi_{2j}$ . The function  $v(x, t)$  is thus real-valued.

Eliminating  $\phi_{1j}$  from (7), we have a system of linear equations for  $\phi_{2j}$ :

$$(8) \quad \sum_l \alpha_{jl} \phi_{2l}^* = 1$$

where

$$\alpha_{jl} = \alpha_{jl}(x, t) = \sum_k \lambda_k^2 (\zeta_j^* - \zeta_k)^{-1} (\zeta_k - \zeta_l^*)^{-1} + \lambda_j^{*-2} \delta_{jl}$$

( $\delta_{jl}$  is Kronecker's delta). Now we differentiate (8) with respect to  $t$  and obtain a system of linear equations for  $\dot{\phi}_{2j}$ :

$$\sum_l \alpha_{jl} \dot{\phi}_{2l}^* = \gamma_j$$

where

$$\gamma_j = -8i \sum_{k,l} \zeta_k^2 \lambda_k^2 (\zeta_j^* - \zeta_k)^{-1} (\zeta_k - \zeta_l^*)^{-1} \phi_{2l}^* - 8i \zeta_j^{*3} \lambda_j^{*-2} \phi_{2j}^*.$$

Let  $\beta_{jk} = \beta_{jk}(x, t)$  be the element of the inverse matrix of the matrix  $(\alpha_{jk})$ . Then we have

$$\phi_{2j}^* = \sum_k \beta_{jk} = \sum_k \beta_{kj} \quad \dot{\phi}_{2j}^* = \sum_k \beta_{jk} \gamma_k.$$

Using these relations and (7a), we have a formula for the  $t$ -derivative of  $v$ :

$$\dot{v} = 16i \sum_j (-\zeta_j^3 \psi_{1j}^2 + \zeta_j^{*3} \psi_{2j}^{*2}).$$

We differentiate

$$v = 2 \sum_j (\psi_{1j}^2 - \psi_{2j}^{*2})$$

successively with respect to  $x$  and obtain the formulas for  $x$ -derivatives of  $v$ :

$$v' = 4i \sum_j (-\zeta_j \psi_{1j}^2 + \zeta_j^* \psi_{2j}^{*2})$$

$$v'' = -2v^3 + 8 \sum_j (-\zeta_j^2 \psi_{1j}^2 + \zeta_j^{*2} \psi_{2j}^{*2})$$

$$v''' = -6v^2 v' + 16i \sum_j (\zeta_j^3 \psi_{1j}^2 - \zeta_j^{*3} \psi_{2j}^{*2}).$$

Beside the relation (6), we have used the relations

$$\operatorname{Re}(\sum_j \psi_{1j} \psi_{2j}) = 0$$

$$\sum_j \zeta_j \psi_{1j} \psi_{2j} = -8^{-1} v$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(\sum_j \zeta_j^2 \psi_{1j} \psi_{2j}) = 0$$

to derive these formulas.

Q.E.D.

If  $N=1$ , then  $\zeta_1 = i\eta$  ( $\eta > 0$ ) and  $c = c_1(0)$  is real. We have thus solutions

$$v(x, t) = (\operatorname{sgn} c) s(x - 4\eta^2 t - \delta, \eta)$$

where

$$s(x, \eta) = -2\eta \operatorname{sech}(2\eta x)$$

and

$$\delta = \delta(c, \eta) = (2\eta)^{-1} \log(|c|/2\eta).$$

These solutions coincide with the soliton solutions known to exist for the generalized KdV equations (see Zabrusky [6]).

Now let  $N=2$  and  $M=0$ . Then  $\zeta_j = i\eta_j$ ,  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2$  and  $c_j = c_j(0)$  are real. The solutions decompose into two solitons as  $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$ :

$$v(x, t) - \sum_{j=1}^2 (\operatorname{sgn} c_j) s(x - 4\eta_j^2 t - \delta_j^\pm, \eta_j) \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\delta_1^+ = \delta(c_1, \eta_1) + \eta_1^{-1} \log(\eta_2 - \eta_1)(\eta_2 + \eta_1)^{-1}$$

$$\delta_2^+ = \delta(c_2, \eta_2) \quad \delta_1^- = \delta(c_1, \eta_1)$$

$$\delta_2^- = \delta(c_2, \eta_2) + \eta_2^{-1} \log(\eta_2 - \eta_1)(\eta_2 + \eta_1)^{-1}.$$

More generally in the case  $M=0$  (i.e. all of  $\zeta_j$  are purely imaginary) the corresponding solutions seem to decompose into solitons as  $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$ .

**Appendix.** The following arguments are quite similar to that of Kay and Moses [3] where the construction of reflectionless potential for Schrödinger equation has been discussed.

The  $N \times N$  matrix  $A = (i(\zeta_j - \zeta_k^*))^{-1}$  is positive definite because of the identity

$$i(\zeta_j - \zeta_k^*)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty \exp(i\zeta_j t) \exp(i\zeta_k t)^* dt.$$

Eliminating  $\psi_{1j}$  from (5), we have a system of  $N$  linear equations for  $\psi_{2j}^*$ :

$$\sum_i b_{ji} \psi_{2i}^* + \psi_{2j}^* = \lambda_j^*,$$

where

$$b_{ji} = \sum_l \lambda_j^* \lambda_k^2 \lambda_l^* (\zeta_j^* - \zeta_k)^{-1} (\zeta_k - \zeta_l^*)^{-1}.$$

Putting  $B = (b_{jk})$ , we have

$$\det B = |\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_N|^4 |\det A|^2,$$

so  $\det B$  is positive. Any principal minor of  $B$  is also positive because it is expressed as the sum of the determinant of the matrices having the same form as  $B$ . Now the characteristic polynomial of  $B$  is

$$\det(B + \lambda I) = \lambda^N + a_1 \lambda^{N-1} + \dots + a_N,$$

where  $a_j$  is positive, being the sum of the principal minors of  $B$  of order  $j$ . If we set  $\lambda=1$ , we see that the matrix  $B+I$  is invertible and so is the coefficient matrix of (5).

Differentiating the equations (5) with respect to  $x$ , we see that  $2N$  functions

$$\psi'_{1j} + i\zeta_j \psi_{1j} - q\psi_{2j} \quad \psi'_{2j} - i\zeta_j \psi_{2j} + q^* \psi_{1j}$$

satisfy the homogeneous system of equations associated with (5) and therefore vanish.

### References

- [ 1 ] Gardner, O. S., J. M. Greene, M. D. Kruskal and R. M. Miura, A method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equations, *Phys. Rev. Letters*, **19** (1967), 1095-1097.
- [ 2 ] Kametaka, Y., Korteweg-de Vries equation IV: Simplest generalization, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **45** (1969), 661-665.
- [ 3 ] Kay, I. and H. E. Moses, Reflectionless transmission through dielectrics and scattering potentials, *J. Appl. Phys.* **27** (1956), 1503-1508.
- [ 4 ] Lax, P. D., Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **21** (1968), 467-490.
- [ 5 ] Miura, R. M., Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations I: A remarkable explicit nonlinear transformation, *J. Math. Phys.*, **9** (1968), 1202-1204.
- [ 6 ] Zabusky, N. J., A synergetic approach to problems of nonlinear dispersive wave propagation and interaction, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1967.
- [ 7 ] Zakharov, V. E. and A. B. Shabat, Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focussing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media, *J. Experimental and Theoretical Phys.* **61** (1971), 118-134 (Russian).

