

ON PROPER  $\mathbb{R}$ -ACTIONS ON HYPERBOLIC STEIN SURFACESCHRISTIAN MIEBACH, KARL OELJEKLAUS<sup>(1)</sup>

Received: April 18, 2009

Revised: August 3, 2009

Communicated by Thomas Peternell

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -actions on hyperbolic Stein surfaces and prove in particular the following result: Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a simply-connected bounded domain of holomorphy which admits a proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -action by holomorphic transformations. The quotient  $D/\mathbb{Z}$  with respect to the induced proper  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action is a Stein manifold. A normal form for the domain  $D$  is deduced.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32E10; 32M05; 32Q45; 32T05

Keywords and Phrases: Stein manifolds; bounded domains of holomorphy; proper actions; quotient by a discrete group

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a Stein manifold endowed with a real Lie transformation group  $G$  of holomorphic automorphisms. In this situation it is natural to ask whether there exists a  $G$ -invariant holomorphic map  $\pi: X \rightarrow X//G$  onto a complex space  $X//G$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{X//G} = (\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X)^G$  and, if yes, whether this quotient  $X//G$  is again Stein. If the group  $G$  is compact, both questions have a positive answer as is shown in [HEI91].

For non-compact  $G$  even the existence of a complex quotient in the above sense of  $X$  by  $G$  cannot be guaranteed. In this paper we concentrate on the most basic and already non-trivial case  $G = \mathbb{R}$ . We suppose that  $G$  acts properly on  $X$ . Let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $X/\Gamma$  is a complex manifold and if, moreover, it is Stein, we can define  $X//G := (X/\Gamma)/(G/\Gamma)$ . The following was conjectured by Alan Huckleberry.

*Let  $X$  be a contractible bounded domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with a proper action of  $G = \mathbb{R}$ . Then the complex manifold  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  is Stein.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup>The authors would like to thank Peter Heinzner and Jean-Jacques Loeb for numerous discussions on the subject.

In [FI01] this conjecture is proven for the unit ball and in [MIE08] for arbitrary bounded homogeneous domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In this paper we make a first step towards a proof in the general case by showing

*Theorem 1.1.* — *Let  $D$  be a simply-connected bounded domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Suppose that the group  $\mathbb{R}$  acts properly by holomorphic transformations on  $D$ . Then the complex manifold  $D/\mathbb{Z}$  is Stein. Moreover,  $D/\mathbb{Z}$  is biholomorphically equivalent to a domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .*

As an application of this theorem we deduce a normal form for domains of holomorphy whose identity component of the automorphism group is non-compact as well as for proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -actions on them. Notice that we make no assumption on smoothness of their boundaries.

We first discuss the following more general situation. Let  $X$  be a hyperbolic Stein manifold with a proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -action. Then there is an induced local holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X$  which can be globalized in the sense of [HI97]. The following result is central for the proof of the above theorem.

*Theorem 1.2.* — *Let  $X$  be a hyperbolic Stein surface with a proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -action. Suppose that either  $X$  is taut or that it admits the Bergman metric and  $H^1(X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ . Then the universal globalization  $X^*$  of the induced local  $\mathbb{C}$ -action is Hausdorff and  $\mathbb{C}$  acts properly on  $X^*$ . Furthermore, for simply-connected  $X$  one has that  $X^* \rightarrow X^*/\mathbb{C}$  is a holomorphically trivial  $\mathbb{C}$ -principal bundle over a simply-connected Riemann surface.*

Finally, we discuss several examples of hyperbolic Stein manifolds  $X$  with proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -actions such that  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  is not Stein. If one does not require the existence of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -action, there are bounded Reinhardt domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with proper  $\mathbb{Z}$ -actions for which the quotients are not Stein.

## 2. HYPERBOLIC STEIN $\mathbb{R}$ -MANIFOLDS

In this section we present the general set-up.

2.1. THE INDUCED LOCAL  $\mathbb{C}$ -ACTION AND ITS GLOBALIZATION. — Let  $X$  be a hyperbolic Stein manifold. It is known that the group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  of holomorphic automorphisms of  $X$  is a real Lie group with respect to the compact-open topology which acts properly on  $X$  (see [KOB98]). Let  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be a closed one parameter subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ . Consequently, the action  $\mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $t \cdot x := \varphi_t(x)$ , is proper. By restriction, we obtain also a proper  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $X$ . Since every such action must be free, the quotient  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  is a complex manifold. This complex manifold  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  carries an action of  $S^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  which is induced by the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action on  $X$ .

Integrating the holomorphic vector field on  $X$  which corresponds to this  $\mathbb{R}$ -action we obtain a local  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X$  in the following sense. There are an open neighborhood  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \times X$  of  $\{0\} \times X$  and a holomorphic map  $\Phi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ ,  $\Phi(t, x) := t \cdot x$ , such that the following holds:

- (1) For every  $x \in X$  the set  $\Omega(x) := \{t \in \mathbb{C}; (t, x) \in \Omega\} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is connected;
- (2) for all  $x \in X$  we have  $0 \cdot x = x$ ;
- (3) we have  $(t + t') \cdot x = t \cdot (t' \cdot x)$  whenever both sides are defined.

Following [PAL57] (compare [HI97] for the holomorphic setting) we say that a globalization of the local  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X$  is an open  $\mathbb{R}$ -equivariant holomorphic embedding  $\iota: X \hookrightarrow X^*$  into a (not necessarily Hausdorff) complex manifold  $X^*$  endowed with a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}$ -action such that  $\mathbb{C} \cdot \iota(X) = X^*$ . A globalization  $\iota: X \hookrightarrow X^*$  is called *universal* if for every  $\mathbb{R}$ -equivariant holomorphic map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  into a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}$ -manifold  $Y$  there exists a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant map  $F: X^* \rightarrow Y$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X^* \\
 & \searrow f & \swarrow F \\
 & & Y
 \end{array}$$

commutes. It follows that a universal globalization is unique up to isomorphism if it exists.

Since  $X$  is Stein, the universal globalization  $X^*$  of the induced local  $\mathbb{C}$ -action exists as is proven in [HI97]. We will always identify  $X$  with its image  $\iota(X) \subset X^*$ . Then the local  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X$  coincides with the restriction of the global  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X^*$  to  $X$ .

Recall that  $X$  is said to be orbit-connected in  $X^*$  if for every  $x \in X^*$  the set  $\Sigma(x) := \{t \in \mathbb{C}; t \cdot x \in X\}$  is connected. The following criterion for a globalization to be universal is proven in [CTIT00].

*Lemma 2.1.* — *Let  $X^*$  be any globalization of the induced local  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X$ . Then  $X^*$  is universal if and only if  $X$  is orbit-connected in  $X^*$ .*

*Remark.* — The results about (universal) globalizations hold for a bigger class of groups ([CTIT00]). However, we will need it only for the groups  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^*$  and thus will not give the most general formulation.

For later use we also note the following

*Lemma 2.2.* — *The  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X^*$  is free.*

*Proof.* — Suppose that there exists a point  $x \in X^*$  such that  $\mathbb{C}_x$  is non-trivial. Because of  $\mathbb{C} \cdot X = X^*$  we can assume that  $x \in X$  holds. Since  $\mathbb{C}_x$  is a non-trivial closed subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}$ , it is either a lattice of rank 1 or 2, or  $\mathbb{C}$ . The last possibility means that  $x$  is a fixed point under  $\mathbb{C}$  which is not possible since  $\mathbb{R}$  acts freely on  $X$ .

We observe that the lattice  $\mathbb{C}_x$  is contained in the connected  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant set  $\Sigma(x) = \{t \in \mathbb{C}; t \cdot x \in X\}$ . By  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariance  $\Sigma(x)$  is a strip. Since  $X$  is hyperbolic, this strip cannot coincide with  $\mathbb{C}$ . The only lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$  which can possibly be contained in such a strip is of the form  $\mathbb{Z}r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since this contradicts the fact that  $\mathbb{R}$  acts freely on  $X$ , the lemma is proven.  $\square$

Note that we do not know whether  $X^*$  is Hausdorff. In order to guarantee the Hausdorff property of  $X^*$ , we make further assumptions on  $X$ . The following result is proven in [IAN03] and [IST04].

*Theorem 2.3.* — *Let  $X$  be a hyperbolic Stein manifold with a proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -action. Suppose in addition that  $X$  is taut or admits the Bergman metric. Then  $X^*$  is Hausdorff. If  $X$  is simply-connected, then the same is true for  $X^*$ .*

We refer the reader to Chapter 5 in [KOB98] for the definition and examples of tautness. For the reader's convenience we describe here the construction of the Bergman metric for an arbitrary  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $X$ . For more details see Chapter 4.10 in [KOB98]. The space  $\mathcal{A}^2(X)$  of square integrable holomorphic  $n$ -forms on  $X$  is a separable complex Hilbert space with respect to the inner product  $\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle := i^{n^2} \int_X \omega_1 \wedge \overline{\omega_2}$ . Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{A}^2(X)$  and define  $B_X := \sum_{j \geq 1} i^{n^2} \omega_j \wedge \overline{\omega_j}$ . The non-negative  $(n, n)$ -form  $B_X$  is independent of the chosen basis and is called the Bergman kernel form of  $X$ . Suppose that  $B_X$  is positive, i. e. that for every  $x \in X$  there exists  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^2(X)$  with  $\omega_x \neq 0$ . Then we may define the map  $\iota: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}^2(X)^*)$  which associates to each  $x \in X$  the hyperplane consisting of forms in  $\mathcal{A}^2(X)$  which vanish at  $x$ . By definition one says that  $X$  admits the Bergman metric if this map  $\iota$  is an immersion. The Bergman metric of  $X$  is then defined as the pull-back of the Fubini-Study metric of  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}^2(X)^*)$ .

*Remark.* — Every bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  admits the Bergman metric.

2.2. THE QUOTIENT  $X/\mathbb{Z}$ . — We assume from now on that  $X$  fulfills the hypothesis of Theorem 2.3. Since  $X^*$  is covered by the translates  $t \cdot X$  for  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  and since the action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on each domain  $t \cdot X$  is proper, we conclude that the quotient  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$  fulfills all axioms of a complex manifold except for possibly not being Hausdorff.

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X/\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & X^*/\mathbb{Z}. \end{array}$$

Note that the group  $\mathbb{C}^* = (S^1)^\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$  acts on  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$ . Concretely, if we identify  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\mathbb{C}^*$  via  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $t \mapsto e^{2\pi it}$ , the quotient map  $p: X^* \rightarrow X^*/\mathbb{Z}$  fulfills  $p(t \cdot x) = e^{2\pi it} \cdot p(x)$ .

*Lemma 2.4.* — *The induced map  $X/\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow X^*/\mathbb{Z}$  is the universal globalization of the local  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $X/\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* — The open embedding  $X \hookrightarrow X^*$  induces an open embedding  $X/\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow X^*/\mathbb{Z}$ . This embedding is  $S^1$ -equivariant and we have  $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot X/\mathbb{Z} = X^*/\mathbb{Z}$ . This implies that  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$  is a globalization of the local  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $X/\mathbb{Z}$ .

In order to prove that this globalization is universal, by the globalization theorem in [CTIT00] it is enough to show that  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  is orbit-connected in  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, we must show that for every  $[x] \in X/\mathbb{Z}$  the set  $\Sigma([x]) := \{t \in \mathbb{C}^*; t \cdot [x] \in X/\mathbb{Z}\}$  is connected in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . For this we consider the set  $\Sigma(x) = \{t \in \mathbb{C}; t \cdot x \in X\}$ . Since the map  $X \rightarrow X/\mathbb{Z}$  intertwines the local  $\mathbb{C}$ - and  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -actions, we conclude that  $t \in \Sigma(x)$  holds if and only if  $e^{2\pi it} \in \Sigma([x])$  holds. Since  $X^*$  is universal,  $\Sigma(x)$  is connected which implies that  $\Sigma([x])$  is likewise connected. Thus  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$  is universal.  $\square$

*Remark.* — The globalization  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}$  or, equivalently,  $\mathbb{R}$  act properly on  $X^*$ . As we shall see in Lemma 3.3, this is the case if  $X$  is taut.

2.3. A SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  TO BE STEIN. — If  $\dim X = 2$ , we have the following sufficient condition for  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  to be a Stein surface.

*Proposition 2.5.* — *If the  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X^*$  is proper and if the Riemann surface  $X^*/\mathbb{C}$  is not compact, then  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  is Stein.*

*Proof.* — Under the above hypothesis we have the  $\mathbb{C}$ -principal bundle  $X^* \rightarrow X^*/\mathbb{C}$ . If the base  $X^*/\mathbb{C}$  is not compact, then this bundle is holomorphically trivial, i. e.  $X^*$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C} \times R$  where  $R$  is a non-compact Riemann surface. Since  $R$  is Stein, the same is true for  $X^*$  and for  $X^*/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times R$ . Since  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  is locally Stein, see [MIE08], in the Stein manifold  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$ , the claim follows from [DG60].  $\square$

Therefore, the crucial step in the proof of our main result consists in showing that  $\mathbb{C}$  acts properly on  $X^*$  under the assumption  $\dim X = 2$ .

### 3. LOCAL PROPERNESS

Let  $X$  be a hyperbolic Stein  $\mathbb{R}$ -manifold. Suppose that  $X$  is taut or that it admits the Bergman metric and  $H^1(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$ . We show that then  $\mathbb{C}$  acts locally properly on  $X^*$ .

3.1. LOCALLY PROPER ACTIONS. — Recall that the action of a Lie group  $G$  on a manifold  $M$  is called locally proper if every point in  $M$  admits a  $G$ -invariant open neighborhood on which  $G$  acts properly.

*Lemma 3.1.* — *Let  $G \times M \rightarrow M$  be locally proper.*

- (1) *For every  $x \in M$  the isotropy group  $G_x$  is compact.*
- (2) *Every  $G$ -orbit admits a geometric slice.*
- (3) *The orbit space  $M/G$  is a smooth manifold which is in general not Hausdorff.*
- (4) *All  $G$ -orbits are closed in  $M$ .*
- (5) *The  $G$ -action on  $M$  is proper if and only if  $M/G$  is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* — The first claim is elementary to check. The second claim is proven in [DK00]. The third one is a consequence of (2) since the slices yield charts on  $M/G$  which are smoothly compatible because the transitions are given by the smooth action of  $G$  on  $M$ . Assertion (4) follows from (3) because in locally Euclidian topological spaces points are closed. The last claim is proven in [PAL61].  $\square$

*Remark.* — Since  $\mathbb{R}$  acts properly on  $X$  and  $\mathbb{C} \cdot X = X^*$ , the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action on  $X^*$  is locally proper.

3.2. LOCAL PROPERNESS OF THE  $\mathbb{C}$ -ACTION ON  $X^*$ . — Recall that we assume that

$$(3.1) \quad X \text{ is taut}$$

or that

$$(3.2) \quad X \text{ admits the Bergman metric and } H^1(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}.$$

We first show that assumption (3.1) implies that  $\mathbb{C}$  acts locally properly on  $X^*$ .

Since  $X^*$  is the universal globalization of the induced local  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X$ , we know that  $X$  is orbit-connected in  $X^*$ . This means that for every  $x \in X^*$  the set  $\Sigma(x) = \{t \in \mathbb{C}; t \cdot x \in X\}$  is a strip in  $\mathbb{C}$ . In the following we will exploit the properties of the thickness of this strip.

Since  $\Sigma(x)$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant, there are “numbers”  $u(x) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  and  $o(x) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  for every  $x \in X^*$  such that

$$\Sigma(x) = \{t \in \mathbb{C}; u(x) < \text{Im}(t) < o(x)\}.$$

The functions  $u: X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  and  $o: X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  so obtained are upper and lower semicontinuous, respectively. Moreover,  $u$  and  $o$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant and  $i\mathbb{R}$ -equivariant:

$$u(it \cdot x) = u(x) - t \quad \text{and} \quad o(it \cdot x) = o(x) - t.$$

*Proposition 3.2.* — *The functions  $u, -o: X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  are plurisubharmonic. Moreover,  $u$  and  $o$  are continuous on  $X^* \setminus \{u = -\infty\}$  and  $X^* \setminus \{o = \infty\}$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* — It is proven in [FOR96] that  $u$  and  $-o$  are plurisubharmonic on  $X$ . By equivariance, we obtain this result for  $X^*$ .

Now we prove that the function  $u: X \setminus \{u = -\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous which was remarked without complete proof in [IAN03]. For this let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $X$  which converges to  $x_0 \in X \setminus \{u = -\infty\}$ . Since  $u$  is upper semi-continuous, we have  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n) \leq u(x_0)$ . Suppose that  $u$  is not continuous in  $x_0$ . Then, after replacing  $(x_n)$  by a subsequence, we find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $u(x_n) \leq u(x_0) - \varepsilon < u(x_0)$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently, we have  $\Sigma(x_0) = \{t \in \mathbb{C}; u(x_0) < \text{Im}(t) < o(x_0)\} \subset \Sigma := \{t \in \mathbb{C}; u(x_0) - \varepsilon < \text{Im}(t) < o(x_0)\} \subset \Sigma(x_n)$  for all  $n$  and hence obtain the sequence of holomorphic functions  $f_n: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ ,  $f_n(t) := t \cdot x_n$ . Since  $X$  is taut and  $f_n(0) = x_n \rightarrow x_0$ , the sequence  $(f_n)$  has a subsequence

which compactly converges to a holomorphic function  $f_0: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ . Because of  $f_0(iu(x_0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(iu(x_0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} iu(x_0) \cdot x_n = iu(x_0) \cdot x_0 \notin X$  we arrive at a contradiction. Thus the function  $u: X \setminus \{u = -\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. By  $(i\mathbb{R})$ -equivariance,  $u$  is also continuous on  $X^* \setminus \{u = -\infty\}$ . A similar argument shows continuity of  $-o: X^* \setminus \{o = \infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

Let us consider the sets

$$\mathcal{N}(o) := \{x \in X^*; o(x) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}(o) := \{x \in X^*; o(x) = \infty\}.$$

The sets  $\mathcal{N}(u)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(u)$  are similarly defined. Since  $X = \{x \in X^*; u(x) < 0 < o(x)\}$ , we can recover  $X$  from  $X^*$  with the help of  $u$  and  $o$ .

*Lemma 3.3.* — *The action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $X^*$  is proper.*

*Proof.* — Let  $\partial^*X$  denote the boundary of  $X$  in  $X^*$ . Since the functions  $u$  and  $-o$  are continuous on  $X^* \setminus \mathcal{P}(u)$  and  $X^* \setminus \mathcal{P}(o)$  one verifies directly that  $\partial^*X = \mathcal{N}(u) \cup \mathcal{N}(o)$  holds. As a consequence, we note that if  $x \in \partial^*X$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the element  $(i\varepsilon) \cdot x$  is not contained in  $\partial^*X$ .

Let  $(t_n)$  and  $(x_n)$  be sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $X^*$  such that  $(t_n \cdot x_n, x_n)$  converges to  $(y_0, x_0)$  in  $X^* \times X^*$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $x_0$  and hence  $x_n$  are contained in  $X$  for all  $n$ . Consequently, we have  $y_0 \in X \cup \partial^*X$ . If  $y_0 \in \partial^*X$  holds, we may choose an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(i\varepsilon) \cdot y_0$  and  $(i\varepsilon) \cdot x_0$  lie in  $X$ . Since the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action on  $X$  is proper, we find a convergent subsequence of  $(t_n)$  which was to be shown.  $\square$

*Lemma 3.4.* — *We have:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{N}(u)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(o)$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant.
- (2) We have  $\mathcal{N}(u) \cap \mathcal{N}(o) = \emptyset$ .
- (3) The sets  $\mathcal{P}(u)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(o)$  are closed,  $\mathbb{C}$ -invariant and pluripolar in  $X^*$ .
- (4)  $\mathcal{P}(u) \cap \mathcal{P}(o) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* — The first claim follows from the  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariance of  $u$  and  $o$ .

The second claim follows from  $u(x) < o(x)$ .

The third one is a consequence of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariance and  $i\mathbb{R}$ -equivariance of  $u$  and  $o$ .

If there was a point  $x \in \mathcal{P}(u) \cap \mathcal{P}(o)$ , then  $\mathbb{C} \cdot x$  would be a subset of  $X$  which is impossible since  $X$  is hyperbolic.  $\square$

*Lemma 3.5.* — *If  $o$  is not identically  $\infty$ , then the map*

$$\varphi: i\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N}(o) \rightarrow X^* \setminus \mathcal{P}(o), \quad \varphi(it, z) = it \cdot z,$$

*is an  $i\mathbb{R}$ -equivariant homeomorphism. Since  $\mathbb{R}$  acts properly on  $\mathcal{N}(o)$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{C}$  acts properly on  $X^* \setminus \mathcal{P}(o)$ . The same holds when  $o$  is replaced by  $u$ .*

*Proof.* — The inverse map  $\varphi^{-1}$  is given by  $x \mapsto (-io(x), io(x) \cdot x)$ .  $\square$

*Corollary 3.6.* — *The  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X^*$  is locally proper. If  $\mathcal{P}(o) = \emptyset$  or  $\mathcal{P}(u) = \emptyset$  hold, then  $\mathbb{C}$  acts properly on  $X^*$ .*

From now on we suppose that  $X$  fulfills the assumption (3.2). Recall that the Bergman form  $\omega$  is a Kähler form on  $X$  invariant under the action of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ . Let  $\xi$  denote the complete holomorphic vector field on  $X$  which corresponds to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action, i. e. we have  $\xi(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\big|_0 \varphi_t(x)$ . Hence,  $\iota_\xi \omega = \omega(\cdot, \xi)$  is a 1-form on  $X$  and since  $H^1(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$  there exists a function  $\mu^\xi \in C^\infty(X)$  with  $d\mu^\xi = \iota_\xi \omega$ .

*Remark.* — This means that  $\mu^\xi$  is a momentum map for the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action on  $X$ .

*Lemma 3.7.* — *The map  $\mu^\xi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant submersion.*

*Proof.* — The claim follows from  $d\mu^\xi(x)J\xi_x = \omega_x(J\xi_x, \xi_x) > 0$ . □

*Proposition 3.8.* — *The  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X^*$  is locally proper.*

*Proof.* — Since  $\mu^\xi$  is a submersion, the fibers  $(\mu^\xi)^{-1}(c)$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , are real hypersurfaces in  $X$ . Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_0 \mu^\xi(it \cdot x) = \omega_x(J\xi_x, \xi_x) > 0$$

implies that every  $i\mathbb{R}$ -orbit intersects  $(\mu^\xi)^{-1}(c)$  transversally. Since  $X$  is orbit-connected in  $X^*$ , the map  $i\mathbb{R} \times (\mu^\xi)^{-1}(c) \rightarrow X^*$  is injective and therefore a diffeomorphism onto its open image. Together with the fact that  $(\mu^\xi)^{-1}(c)$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant this yields the existence of differentiable local slices for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -action. □

3.3. A NECESSARY CONDITION FOR  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  TO BE STEIN. — We have the following necessary condition for  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  to be a Stein manifold.

*Proposition 3.9.* — *If the quotient manifold  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  is Stein, then  $X^*$  is Stein and the  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X^*$  is proper.*

*Proof.* — Suppose that  $X/\mathbb{Z}$  is a Stein manifold. By [CTIT00] this implies that  $X^*$  is Stein as well.

Next we will show that the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$  is proper. For this we will use as above a moment map for the  $S^1$ -action on  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$ .

By compactness of  $S^1$  we may apply the complexification theorem from [HEI91] which shows that  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$  is also a Stein manifold and in particular Hausdorff. Hence, there exists a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function  $\rho: X^*/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  invariant under  $S^1$ . Consequently,  $\omega := \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(X^*)$  is an  $S^1$ -invariant Kähler form. Associated to  $\omega$  we have the  $S^1$ -invariant moment map

$$\mu: X^*/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu^\xi(x) := \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_0 \rho(\exp(it\xi) \cdot x),$$

where  $\xi$  is the complete holomorphic vector field on  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$  which corresponds to the  $S^1$ -action. Now we can apply the same argument as above in order to deduce that  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts locally properly on  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$ .

We still must show that  $(X^*/\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{C}^*$  is Hausdorff. To see this, let  $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot x_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ , be two different orbits in  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts locally properly, these are closed and therefore there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X^*/\mathbb{Z})$  with  $f|_{\mathbb{C}^* \cdot x_j} = j$  for  $j = 0, 1$ . Again we may assume that  $f$  is  $S^1$ - and consequently  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant. Hence, there is a continuous function on  $(X^*/\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{C}^*$  which separates the two orbits, which implies that  $(X^*/\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{C}^*$  is Hausdorff. This proves that  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts properly on  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$ .

Since we know already that the  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X^*$  is locally proper, it is enough to show that  $X^*/\mathbb{C}$  is Hausdorff. But this follows from the properness of the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $X^*/\mathbb{Z}$  since  $X^*/\mathbb{C} \cong (X^*/\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{C}^*$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$

4. PROPERNESS OF THE  $\mathbb{C}$ -ACTION

Let  $X$  be a hyperbolic Stein  $\mathbb{R}$ -manifold. Suppose that  $X$  fulfills (3.1) or (3.2). We have seen that  $\mathbb{C}$  acts locally properly on  $X^*$ . In this section we prove that under the additional assumption  $\dim X = 2$  the orbit space  $X^*/\mathbb{C}$  is Hausdorff. This implies that  $\mathbb{C}$  acts properly on  $X^*$  if  $\dim X = 2$ .

4.1. STEIN SURFACES WITH  $\mathbb{C}$ -ACTIONS. — For every function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$  which vanishes only at the origin, we define

$$X_f := \{(x, y, z) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{C}^2; f(x)y - z^2 = 1\}.$$

Since the differential of the defining equation of  $X_f$  is given by  $(f'(x)y f(x) - 2z)$ , we see that 1 is a regular value of  $(x, y, z) \mapsto f(x)y - z^2$ . Hence,  $X_f$  is a smooth Stein surface in  $\Delta \times \mathbb{C}^2$ .

There is a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X_f$  defined by

$$t \cdot (x, y, z) := (x, y + 2tz + t^2 f(x), z + tf(x)).$$

*Lemma 4.1.* — *The  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X_f$  is free, and all orbits are closed.*

*Proof.* — Let  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $(x, y + 2tz + t^2 f(x), z + tf(x)) = (x, y, z)$  for some  $(x, y, z) \in X_f$ . If  $f(x) \neq 0$ , then  $z + tf(x) = z$  implies  $t = 0$ . If  $f(x) = 0$ , then  $z \neq 0$  and  $y + 2tz = y$  gives  $t = 0$ .

The map  $\pi: X_f \rightarrow \Delta$ ,  $(x, y, z) \mapsto x$ , is  $\mathbb{C}$ -invariant. If  $a \in \Delta^*$ , then  $f(a) \neq 0$  and we have

$$\frac{z}{f(a)} \cdot (a, f(a)^{-1}, 0) = (a, y, z) \in X_f,$$

which implies  $\pi^{-1}(a) = \mathbb{C} \cdot (a, f(a)^{-1}, 0)$ . A similar calculation gives  $\pi^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{C} \cdot p_1 \cup \mathbb{C} \cdot p_2$  with  $p_1 = (0, 0, i)$  and  $p_2 = (0, 0, -i)$ . Consequently, every  $\mathbb{C}$ -orbit is closed.  $\square$

*Remark.* — The orbit space  $X_f/\mathbb{C}$  is the unit disc with a doubled origin and in particular not Hausdorff.

We calculate slices at the point  $p_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , as follows. Let  $\varphi_j: \Delta \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_f$  be given by  $\varphi_1(z, t) := t \cdot (z, 0, i)$  and  $\varphi_2(w, s) = s \cdot (w, 0, -i)$ . Solving the equation  $s \cdot (w, 0, -i) = t \cdot (z, 0, i)$  for  $(w, s)$  yields the transition function  $\varphi_{12} = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1: \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$(z, t) \mapsto \left( z, t + \frac{2i}{f(z)} \right).$$

The function  $\frac{1}{f}$  is a meromorphic function on  $\Delta$  without zeros and with the unique pole 0.

*Lemma 4.2.* — *Let  $\mathbb{R}$  act on  $X_f$  via  $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $t \mapsto ta$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Then there is no  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant domain  $D \subset X_f$  with  $D \cap \mathbb{C} \cdot p_j \neq \emptyset$  for  $j = 1, 2$  on which  $\mathbb{R}$  acts properly.*

*Proof.* — Suppose that  $D \subset X_f$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant domain with  $D \cap \mathbb{C} \cdot p_j \neq \emptyset$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $p_1 \in D$  and  $\zeta \cdot p_2 = (0, -2\zeta i, -i) \in D$  for some  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ . We will show that the orbits  $\mathbb{R} \cdot p_1$  and  $\mathbb{R} \cdot (\zeta \cdot p_2)$  cannot be separated by  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant open neighborhoods.

Let  $U_1 \subset D$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant open neighborhood of  $p_1$ . Then there are  $r, r' > 0$  such that  $\Delta_r^* \times \Delta_{r'} \times \{i\} \subset U_1$  holds. Here,  $\Delta_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < r\}$ . For  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \in \Delta_r^* \times \Delta_{r'}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$t \cdot (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, i) = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 + 2(ta)i + (ta)^2 f(\varepsilon_1), i + (ta)f(\varepsilon_1)) \in U_1.$$

We have to show that for all  $r_2, r_3 > 0$  there exist  $(\tilde{\varepsilon}_2, \tilde{\varepsilon}_3) \in \Delta_{r_2} \times \Delta_{r_3}$ ,  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \in \Delta_r^* \times \Delta_{r'}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 + 2(ta)i + (ta)^2 f(\varepsilon_1), i + (ta)f(\varepsilon_1)) = (\varepsilon_1, -2\zeta i + \tilde{\varepsilon}_2, -i + \tilde{\varepsilon}_3)$$

holds.

Let  $r_2, r_3 > 0$  be given. From (4.1) we obtain  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_3 = taf(\varepsilon_1) + 2i$  or, equivalently,  $ta = \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_3 - 2i}{f(\varepsilon_1)}$ . Setting  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_2 = \varepsilon_2$  we obtain from  $2(ta)i + (ta)^2 f(\varepsilon_1) = -2\zeta i$  the equivalent expression

$$(4.2) \quad f(\varepsilon_1) = -2i \frac{\zeta + ta}{(ta)^2}.$$

for  $t \neq 0$ . Choosing a real number  $t \gg 1$ , we find an  $\varepsilon_1 \in \Delta_r^*$  such that (4.2) is fulfilled. After possibly enlarging  $t$  we have  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_3 := taf(\varepsilon_1) + 2i = -2i \frac{\zeta}{ta} \in \Delta_{r_3}$ . Together with  $\varepsilon_2 = \tilde{\varepsilon}_2$  equation (4.1) is fulfilled and the proof is finished.  $\square$

Thus, the Stein surface  $X_f$  cannot be obtained as globalization of the local  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on any  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant domain  $D \subset X_f$  on which  $\mathbb{R}$  acts properly.

4.2. THE QUOTIENT  $X^*/\mathbb{C}$  IS HAUSDORFF. — Suppose that  $X^*/\mathbb{C}$  is not Hausdorff and let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  be such that the corresponding  $\mathbb{C}$ -orbits cannot be separated in  $X^*/\mathbb{C}$ . Since we already know that  $\mathbb{C}$  acts locally proper on  $X^*$  we find local holomorphic slices  $\varphi_j: \Delta \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow U_j \subset X$ ,  $\varphi_j(z, t) = t \cdot s_j(z)$  at each  $\mathbb{C} \cdot x_j$  where  $s_j: \Delta \rightarrow X$  is holomorphic with  $s_j(0) = x_j$ . Consequently, we obtain the transition function  $\varphi_{12}: (\Delta \setminus A) \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (\Delta \setminus A) \times \mathbb{C}$  for some

closed subset  $A \subset \Delta$  which must be of the form  $(z, t) \mapsto (z, t + f(z))$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta \setminus A)$ . The following lemma applies to show that  $A$  is discrete and that  $f$  is meromorphic on  $\Delta$ . Hence, we are in one of the model cases discussed in the previous subsection.

*Lemma 4.3.* — *Let  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  denote two copies of the unit disk  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $U \subset \Delta_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be a connected open subset and  $f: U \subset \Delta_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a non-constant holomorphic function on  $U$ . Define the complex manifold*

$$M := (\Delta_1 \times \mathbb{C}) \cup (\Delta_2 \times \mathbb{C}) / \sim,$$

where  $\sim$  is the relation  $(z_1, t_1) \sim (z_2, t_2) :\Leftrightarrow z_1 = z_2 =: z \in U$  and  $t_2 = t_1 + f(z)$ .

Suppose that  $M$  is Hausdorff. Then the complement  $A$  of  $U$  is discrete and  $f$  extends to a meromorphic function on  $\Delta_1$ .

*Proof.* — We first prove that for every sequence  $(x_n)$ ,  $x_n \in U$ , with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p \in \partial U$ , one has  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_n)| = \infty \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C})$ . Assume the contrary, i.e. there is a sequence  $(x_n)$ ,  $x_n \in U$ , with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p \in \partial U$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = a \in \mathbb{C}$ . Choose now  $t_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ , consider the two points  $(p, t_1) \in \Delta_1 \times \mathbb{C}$  and  $(p, t_1 + a) \in \Delta_2 \times \mathbb{C}$  and note their corresponding points in  $M$  as  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ . Then  $q_1 \neq q_2$ . The sequences  $(x_n, t_1) \in \Delta_1 \times \mathbb{C}$  and  $(x_n, t_1 + f(x_n)) \in \Delta_2 \times \mathbb{C}$  define the same sequence in  $M$  having  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  as accumulation points. So  $M$  is not Hausdorff, a contradiction.

In particular we have proved that the zeros of  $f$  do not accumulate to  $\partial U$  in  $\Delta_1$ . So there is an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $\partial U$  in  $\Delta_1$  such that the restriction of  $f$  to  $W := U \cap V$  does not vanish. Let  $g := 1/f$  on  $W$ . Then  $g$  extends to a continuous function on  $V$  taking the value zero outside of  $U$ . The theorem of Rado implies that this function is holomorphic on  $V$ . It follows that the boundary  $\partial U$  is discrete in  $\Delta_1$  and that  $f$  has a pole in each of the points of this set, so  $f$  is a meromorphic function on  $\Delta_1$ .  $\square$

*Theorem 4.4.* — *The orbit space  $X^*/\mathbb{C}$  is Hausdorff. Consequently,  $\mathbb{C}$  acts properly on  $X^*$ .*

*Proof.* — By virtue of the above lemma, in a neighborhood of two non-separable  $\mathbb{C}$ -orbits  $X$  is isomorphic to a domain in one of the model Stein surfaces discussed in the previous subsection. Since we have seen there that these surfaces are never globalizations, we arrive at a contradiction. Hence, all  $\mathbb{C}$ -orbits are separable.  $\square$

## 5. EXAMPLES

In this section we discuss several examples which illustrate our results.

5.1. HYPERBOLIC STEIN SURFACES WITH PROPER  $\mathbb{R}$ -ACTIONS. — Let  $R$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ . It follows that the universal covering of  $R$  is given by the unit disc  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$  and hence that  $R$  is hyperbolic. The fundamental group  $\pi_1(R)$  of  $R$  contains a normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $\pi_1(R)/N \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\tilde{R} \rightarrow R$  denote the corresponding normal covering. Then  $\tilde{R}$  is a hyperbolic Riemann surface with a holomorphic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action such that  $\tilde{R}/\mathbb{Z} = R$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not contained in a one parameter group of automorphisms of  $\tilde{R}$ .

We have two mappings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X := \mathbb{H} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{R} & \xrightarrow{q} & \tilde{R}/\mathbb{Z} = R \\ p \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \Delta \setminus \{0\}. & & \end{array}$$

The map  $p: X \rightarrow \Delta \setminus \{0\}$  is a holomorphic fiber bundle with fiber  $\tilde{R}$ . Since the Serre problem has a positive answer if the fiber is a non-compact Riemann surface ([MOK82]), the suspension  $X = \mathbb{H} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{R}$  is a hyperbolic Stein surface. The group  $\mathbb{R}$  acts on  $\mathbb{H} \times \tilde{R}$  by  $t \cdot (z, x) = (z + t, x)$  and this action commutes with the diagonal action of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Consequently, we obtain an action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $X$ .

*Lemma 5.1.* — *The universal globalization of the local  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X$  is given by  $X^* = \mathbb{C} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{R}$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{C}$  acts properly on  $X^*$ .*

*Proof.* — One checks directly that  $t \cdot [z, x] := [z + t, x]$  defines a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $X^* = \mathbb{C} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{R}$  which extends the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action on  $X$ . We will show that  $X$  is orbit-connected in  $X^*$ : Since  $[z + t, x]$  lies in  $X$  if and only if there exist elements  $(z', x') \in \mathbb{H} \times \tilde{R}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(z + t, x) = (z' + m, m \cdot x')$ , we conclude  $\mathbb{C}[z, x] = \{t \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Im}(t) > -\operatorname{Im}(z)\}$  which is connected.

In order to show that  $\mathbb{C}$  acts properly on  $X^*$  it is sufficient to show that  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}$  acts properly on  $\mathbb{C} \times \tilde{R}$ . Hence, we choose sequences  $\{t_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\{m_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\{(z_n, x_n)\}$  in  $\mathbb{C} \times \tilde{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & ((t_n, m_n) \cdot (z_n, x_n), (z_n, x_n)) = \\ & = ((z_n + t_n + m_n, m_n \cdot x_n), (z_n, x_n)) \rightarrow ((z_1, x_1), (z_0, x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  acts properly on  $\tilde{R}$ , it follows that  $\{m_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence, which in turn implies that  $\{t_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence. Hence, the lemma is proven.  $\square$

*Proposition 5.2.* — *The quotient  $X/\mathbb{Z} \cong \Delta^* \times R$  is not holomorphically separable and in particular not Stein. The quotient  $X^*/\mathbb{C}$  is biholomorphically equivalent to  $\tilde{R}/\mathbb{Z} = R$ .*

*Proof.* — It is sufficient to note that the map  $\Phi: X = \mathbb{H} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{R} \rightarrow \Delta^* \times R$ ,  $\Phi[z, x] := (e^{2\pi iz}, [x])$ , induces a biholomorphic map  $X/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Delta^* \times R$ .  $\square$

Thus we have found an example for a hyperbolic Stein surface  $X$  endowed with a proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -action such that the associated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -quotient is not holomorphically separable. Moreover, the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action on  $X$  extends to a proper  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on a Stein manifold  $X^*$  containing  $X$  as an orbit-connected domain such that  $X^*/\mathbb{C}$  is any given compact Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ .

5.2. COUNTEREXAMPLES WITH DOMAINS IN  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . — There is a bounded Reinhardt domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  endowed with a holomorphic action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $D/\mathbb{Z}$  is not Stein. However, this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action does not extend to an  $\mathbb{R}$ -action. We give quickly the construction.

Let  $\lambda := \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5})$  and

$$D := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |x| > |y|^\lambda, |y| > |x|^\lambda\}.$$

It is obvious that  $D$  is a bounded Reinhardt domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  avoiding the coordinate hyperplanes. The holomorphic automorphism group of  $D$  is a semidirect product  $\Gamma \ltimes (S^1)^2$ , where the group  $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  is generated by the automorphism  $(x, y) \mapsto (x^3y^{-1}, x)$  and  $(S^1)^2$  is the rotation group. Therefore the group  $\Gamma$  is not contained in a one-parameter group. Furthermore the quotient  $D/\Gamma$  is the (non-Stein) complement of the singular point in a 2-dimensional normal complex Stein space, a so-called "cusp singularity". These singularities are intensively studied in connection with Hilbert modular surfaces and Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces, see e.g. [VDG88] and [ZAF01].

In the rest of this subsection we give an example of a hyperbolic domain of holomorphy in a 3-dimensional Stein solvmanifold endowed with a proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -action such that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -quotient is not Stein. While this domain is not simply-connected, its fundamental group is much simpler than the fundamental groups of our two-dimensional examples.

Let  $G := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$  be the complex Heisenberg group and let us consider its discrete subgroup

$$\Gamma := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m & \frac{m^2}{2} + 2\pi ik \\ 0 & 1 & m + 2\pi il \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; m, k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Note that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_m \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_{(k,l)}^2$ . We let  $\Gamma$  act on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by

$$(z, w) \mapsto \left( z + mw - \frac{m^2}{2} - 2\pi ik, w - m - 2\pi il \right).$$

*Proposition 5.3.* — *The group  $\Gamma$  acts properly and freely on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , and the quotient manifold  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  is holomorphically separable but not Stein.*

*Proof.* — Since  $\Gamma' \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , we obtain  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma')/(\Gamma/\Gamma')$ . The map  $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $(z, w) \mapsto (\exp(z), \exp(w))$ , identifies  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma'$  with  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ . The induced action of  $\Gamma/\Gamma' \cong \mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$  is given by

$$(z, w) \mapsto \left( e^{-m^2/2}zw^m, e^{-m}w \right)$$

which shows that  $\Gamma$  acts properly and freely on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Moreover, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & Y := (\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*)/\mathbb{Z} \\ (z,w) \mapsto w \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & T := \mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{Z}. \end{array}$$

The group  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts by multiplication in the first factor on  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$  and this action commutes with the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action. One checks directly that the joint  $(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{Z})$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$  is proper which implies that the map  $Y \rightarrow T$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -principal bundle. Consequently,  $Y$  is not Stein.

In order to show that  $Y$  is holomorphically separable, note that by [OEL92] this  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -principal bundle  $Y \rightarrow T$  extends to a line bundle  $p: L \rightarrow T$  with first Chern class  $c_1(L) = -1$ . Therefore the zero section of  $p: L \rightarrow T$  can be blown down and we obtain a singular normal Stein space  $\bar{Y} = Y \cup \{y_0\}$  where  $y_0 = \text{Sing}(\bar{Y})$  is the blown down zero section. Thus  $Y$  is holomorphically separable.  $\square$

Let us now choose a neighborhood of the singularity  $y_0 \in \bar{Y}$  biholomorphic to the unit ball and let  $U$  be its inverse image in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . It follows that  $U$  is a hyperbolic domain with smooth strictly Levi-convex boundary in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and in particular Stein. In order to obtain a proper action of  $\mathbb{R}$  we form the suspension  $D = \mathbb{H} \times_{\Gamma} U$  where  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{H} \times U$  by  $(t, z, w) \mapsto (t + m, z + mw - \frac{m^2}{2} - 2\pi ik, w - m - 2\pi il)$ .

*Proposition 5.4.* — *The suspension  $D = \mathbb{H} \times_{\Gamma} U$  is isomorphic to a Stein domain in the Stein manifold  $G/\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* — We identify  $\mathbb{H} \times U$  with the  $\mathbb{R} \times \Gamma$ -invariant domain

$$\Omega := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \text{Im}(a) > 0, (c, b) \in U \right\}$$

in  $G$ .

Since  $\mathbb{H} \times U$  is Stein, it follows that  $\mathbb{H} \times_{\Gamma} U$  is locally Stein in  $G/\Gamma$ . Hence, by virtue of [DG60] we only have to show that  $G/\Gamma$  is Stein.

For this we note first that  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^2$  which implies that  $G/\Gamma$  is a closed complex submanifold of  $X := (\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^2)/\Gamma$ . By [OEL92] the manifold  $X$  is holomorphically separable, hence  $G/\Gamma$  is holomorphically separable. Since  $G$  is solvable, a result of Huckleberry and Oeljeklaus ([HO86]) yields the Steinness of  $G/\Gamma$ .

One checks directly that the action of  $\mathbb{R} \times \Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{H} \times U$  is proper which implies that  $\mathbb{R}$  acts properly on  $\mathbb{H} \times_{\Gamma} U$ .  $\square$

Because of  $(\mathbb{H} \times_{\Gamma} U)/\mathbb{Z} \cong \Delta^* \times (U/\Gamma)$  this quotient manifold is not Stein but holomorphically separable.

6. BOUNDED DOMAINS WITH PROPER  $\mathbb{R}$ -ACTIONS

In this section we give the proof of our main result.

6.1. PROPER  $\mathbb{R}$ -ACTIONS ON  $D$ . — Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded domain and let  $\text{Aut}(D)^0$  be the connected component of the identity in  $\text{Aut}(D)$ .

*Lemma 6.1.* — *A proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -action by holomorphic transformations on  $D$  exists if and only if the group  $\text{Aut}(D)^0$  is non-compact.*

*Proof.* — We note first that effective  $\mathbb{R}$ -actions by holomorphic transformations on  $D$  correspond bijectively to one parameter subgroups  $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(D)^0$ ,  $t \mapsto \varphi_t$ , where the correspondence is given by  $t \cdot z = \varphi_t(z)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z \in D$ . Since the group  $\text{Aut}(D)^0$  acts properly on  $D$ , proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -actions correspond to closed embeddings  $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(D)^0$ . If  $\text{Aut}(D)^0$  admits such an embedding, it cannot be compact.

Conversely, suppose that  $\text{Aut}(D)^0$  is not compact. By Theorem 3.1 in [HO65] there are a maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $\text{Aut}(D)^0$  and a linear subspace  $V$  of the Lie algebra of  $\text{Aut}(D)^0$  such that the map  $K \times V \rightarrow \text{Aut}(D)^0$ ,  $(k, \xi) \mapsto k \exp(\xi)$ , is a diffeomorphism. Since  $\text{Aut}(D)^0$  is not compact, the vector space  $V$  has positive dimension and the map  $t \mapsto \varphi_t := \exp(t\xi)$ , for some  $0 \neq \xi \in V$ , defines a closed embedding of  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\text{Aut}(D)^0$  and hence a proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -action by holomorphic transformations on  $D$ .  $\square$

6.2. STEINNESS OF  $D/\mathbb{Z}$ . — Now we give the proof of our main result.

*Theorem 6.2.* — *Let  $D$  be a simply-connected bounded domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Suppose that the group  $\mathbb{R}$  acts properly by holomorphic transformations on  $D$ . Then the complex manifold  $D/\mathbb{Z}$  is biholomorphically equivalent to a domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a simply-connected bounded domain of holomorphy. Since the Serre problem is solvable if the fiber is  $D$ , see [SIU76], the universal globalization  $D^*$  is a simply-connected Stein surface, [CTIT00]. Moreover, we have shown in Theorem 4.4, that  $\mathbb{C}$  acts properly on  $D^*$ . Since the Riemann surface  $D^*/\mathbb{C}$  is also simply-connected, it must be  $\Delta$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C})$ . In all three cases the bundle  $D^* \rightarrow D^*/\mathbb{C}$  is holomorphically trivial. So we can exclude the case that  $D^*/\mathbb{C}$  is compact and it follows that  $D/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times (D^*/\mathbb{C})$  is a Stein domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .  $\square$

6.3. A NORMAL FORM FOR DOMAINS WITH NON-COMPACT  $\text{Aut}(D)^0$ . — Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a simply-connected bounded domain of holomorphy such that the identity component of its automorphism group is non-compact. As we have seen, this yields a proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -action on  $D$  by holomorphic transformations and the universal globalization of the induced local  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $D$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C} \times S$  where  $S$  is either  $\Delta$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and where  $\mathbb{C}$  acts by translation in the first factor.

Moreover, there are plurisubharmonic functions  $u, -o: \mathbb{C} \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  which fulfill

$$u(t \cdot (z_1, z_2)) = u(z_1, z_2) - \operatorname{Im}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad o(t \cdot (z_1, z_2)) = o(z_1, z_2) - \operatorname{Im}(t)$$

such that  $D = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times S; u(z_1, z_2) < 0 < o(z_1, z_2)\}$ . From this we conclude  $u(z_1, z_2) = u(0, z_2) - \operatorname{Im}(z_1)$ ,  $o(z_1, z_2) = o(0, z_2) - \operatorname{Im}(z_1)$  and define  $u'(z_2) := u(0, z_2)$ ,  $o'(z_2) := o(0, z_2)$ .

We summarize our remarks in the following

*Theorem 6.3.* — *Let  $D$  be a simply-connected bounded domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  admitting a non-compact connected identity component of its automorphism group. Then  $D$  is biholomorphic to a domain of the form*

$$\tilde{D} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times S; u'(z_2) < \operatorname{Im}(z_1) < o'(z_2)\},$$

where the functions  $u', -o'$  are subharmonic in  $S$ .

*Remark.* — As a consequence of this normal form we see that the domain  $D$  admits a continuous fibration over the contractible domain  $S$  such that every fiber is a strip in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Hence, it follows a posteriori that the simply-connected domain of holomorphy  $D$  is contractible.

#### REFERENCES

- [CTIT00] E. CASADIO TARABUSI, A. IANNUZZI & S. TRAPANI – “Globalizations, fiber bundles, and envelopes of holomorphy”, *Math. Z.* 233 (2000), no. 3, p. 535–551.
- [DG60] F. DOCQUIER & H. GRAUERT – “Levisches Problem und Rungescher Satz für Teilgebiete Steinscher Mannigfaltigkeiten”, *Math. Ann.* 140 (1960), p. 94–123.
- [DK00] J. J. DUISTERMAAT & J. A. C. KOLK – *Lie groups*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [FI01] C. DE FABRITIIS & A. IANNUZZI – “Quotients of the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for a free action of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ”, *J. Anal. Math.* 85 (2001), p. 213–224.
- [For96] F. FORSTNERIC – “Actions of  $(\mathbf{R}, +)$  and  $(\mathbf{C}, +)$  on complex manifolds”, *Math. Z.* 223 (1996), no. 1, p. 123–153.
- [Hei91] P. HEINZNER – “Geometric invariant theory on Stein spaces”, *Math. Ann.* 289 (1991), no. 4, p. 631–662.
- [HI97] P. HEINZNER & A. IANNUZZI – “Integration of local actions on holomorphic fiber spaces”, *Nagoya Math. J.* 146 (1997), p. 31–53.
- [Ho65] G. HOCHSCHILD – *The structure of Lie groups*, Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco-London-Amsterdam, 1965.
- [HO86] A. T. HUCKLEBERRY & E. OELJEKLAUS – “On holomorphically separable complex solv-manifolds”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 36 (1986), no. 3, p. 57–65.

- [Ian03] A. IANNUZZI – “Induced local actions on taut and Stein manifolds”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003), no. 12, p. 3839–3843 (electronic).
- [IST04] A. IANNUZZI, A. SPIRO & S. TRAPANI – “Complexifications of holomorphic actions and the Bergman metric”, *Internat. J. Math.* 15 (2004), no. 8, p. 735–747.
- [Kob98] S. KOBAYASHI – *Hyperbolic complex spaces*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 318, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [Mie08] C. MIEBACH – “Quotients of bounded homogeneous domains by cyclic groups”, 2008, arxiv:math.CV/0803.4476v2.
- [Mok82] N. MOK – “The Serre problem on Riemann surfaces”, *Math. Ann.* 258 (1981/82), no. 2, p. 145–168.
- [Oel92] K. OELJEKLAUS – “On the holomorphic separability of discrete quotients of complex Lie groups”, *Math. Z.* 211 (1992), no. 4, p. 627–633.
- [Pal57] R. S. PALAIS – “A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups”, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 22* (1957), p. iii+123.
- [Pal61] ———, “On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 73 (1961), p. 295–323.
- [Siu76] Y. T. SIU – “Holomorphic fiber bundles whose fibers are bounded Stein domains with zero first Betti number”, *Math. Ann.* 219 (1976), no. 2, p. 171–192.
- [vdG88] G. VAN DER GEER – *Hilbert modular surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 16, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [Zaf01] D. ZAFFRAN – “Serre problem and Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces”, *Math. Ann.* 319 (2001), no. 2, p. 395–420.

Christian Miebach  
 LATP-UMR(CNRS) 6632  
 CMI-Université d’Aix-Marseille I  
 39 rue Joliot-Curie  
 F-13453 Marseille Cedex 13  
 France  
 miebach@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

Karl Oeljeklaus  
 LATP-UMR(CNRS) 6632  
 CMI-Université d’Aix-Marseille I  
 39 rue Joliot-Curie  
 F-13453 Marseille Cedex 13  
 France  
 karloelj@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

