

DECOMPOSITIONS OF MOTIVES
OF GENERALIZED SEVERI-BRAUER VARIETIES

MAKSIM ZHYKHOVICH

Received: October 13, 2011

Revised: November 11, 2011

Communicated by Alexander Merkurjev

ABSTRACT. Let p be a positive prime number and X be a Severi-Brauer variety of a central division algebra D of degree p^n , with $n \geq 1$. We describe all shifts of the motive of X in the complete motivic decomposition of a variety Y , which splits over the function field of X and satisfies the nilpotence principle. In particular, we prove the motivic decomposability of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties $X(p^m, D)$ of right ideals in D of reduced dimension p^m , $m = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, except the cases $p = 2$, $m = 1$ and $m = 0$ (for any prime p), where motivic indecomposability was proven by Nikita Karpenko.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14L17; 14C25

Keywords and Phrases: Central simple algebras, generalized Severi-Brauer varieties, Chow groups and motives.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	152
2. Chow motives with finite coefficients	153
3. Main results	154
4. Complete motivic decompositions	159
References	164

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be an arbitrary field and p be a prime number. For any integer l , we write $v_p(l)$ for the exponent of the highest power of p dividing l .

Let D be a central division F -algebra of degree p^n , with $n \geq 1$. We write $X(p^m, D)$ for the generalized Severi-Brauer variety of right ideals in D of reduced dimension p^m for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$. In particular, $X(p^n, D) = \text{Spec } F$ and $X(1, D)$ is the usual Severi-Brauer variety of D . The generalized Severi-Brauer varieties are twisted forms of grassmannians (see [11, §I.1.C]).

For each integer $m = 0, \dots, n$ we define an *upper motive* $M_{m,D}$ in the category of Chow motives with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p . This is the summand of the complete motivic decomposition of the variety $X(p^m, D)$ such that the 0-codimensional Chow group of $M_{m,D}$ is non-zero.

Let A be a central simple F -algebra, such that the p -primary component of A is Brauer equivalent to D . Let \mathfrak{X}_A be the class of finite direct products of projective $(\text{Aut } A)$ -homogeneous F -varieties (the class \mathfrak{X}_A includes the generalized Severi-Brauer varieties of the algebra A). Nikita Karpenko proved the following theorem [9, Theorem 3.8]. Any variety X from \mathfrak{X}_A decomposes into a sum of shifts of the motives $M_{m,D}$ with $m \leq v_p(\text{ind } A_{F(X)})$. This theorem shows that the motivic indecomposable summands $M_{m,D}$ of the generalized Severi-Brauer varieties $X(p^m, D)$ are some kind of “basic material” to construct the motives of more general class of varieties. This gives us a motivation to understand the structure of the upper motives $M_{m,D}$ themselves. It was known that in the cases $m = 0$ (Severi-Brauer case, see Corollary 3.2) and $m = 1$, $p = 2$ ([9, Theorem 4.2]) the motive $M_{m,D}$ coincides with the whole motive of the variety $X(p^m, D)$ (that is, the motive of this variety is indecomposable). Taking into account these cases and the fact that any generalized Severi-Brauer variety $X(p^m, D)$ is p -incompressible [9, Theorem 4.3] (this condition is weaker than motivic indecomposability), one probably expected that the Chow motive with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p of any variety $X(p^m, D)$ is indecomposable. But, except the two already mentioned cases, the motivic decomposability of generalized Severi-Brauer variety $X(p^m, D)$ was proven in [14].

This article is an extended version of [14]. To show that the motive of the variety $X(p^m, D)$ is decomposable, we prove in [14] that some shifts of $M_{0,D}$ are the motivic summands of $X(p^m, D)$. Let Y be a F -variety satisfying the nilpotence principle and such that it splits over the function field of $X(1, D)$. For example, one can take for Y any generalized Severi-Brauer variety $X(p^m, D)$ and, more generally, any variety from \mathfrak{X}_A . The main result of the present article (Theorem 3.4) find all shifts of $M_{0,D}$ in the complete motivic decomposition of the variety Y in terms of some subgroups of rational cycles. These subgroups can be described in the case of generalized Severi-Brauer variety $X(p^m, D)$ (see Proposition 3.7). As consequence, we prove the motivic decomposability of these varieties in Corollary 3.8. With Theorem 3.4 in hand, we find in §4 more examples (comparing to [14]) of complete motivic decompositions of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties $X(p^m, D)$ and therefore we describe the upper

motives $M_{m,D}$ in that cases. Theorem 3.4 also permits to prove differently (see Corollary 3.12, [3, Corollary 5]) a particular case of the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1.1. *Let D be a central division F -algebra. Let K/F be a field extension such that D_K is still division. Then $(M_{m,D})_K$ is still indecomposable.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I would like to express particular gratitude to Nikita Karpenko, my Ph.D. thesis adviser, for introducing me to the subject, raising the question studied here, and guiding me during this work. I am also very grateful to Olivier Haution, Sergey Tikhonov and Skip Garibaldi for very useful discussions.

2. CHOW MOTIVES WITH FINITE COEFFICIENTS

A variety is a separated scheme of finite type over a field. Our basic reference for Chow groups and Chow motives (including notations) is [4]. We fix an associative unital commutative ring Λ . Given a variety X over a field F , we write $\text{Ch}(X)$ and $\text{CH}(X)$ respectively for its Chow group with coefficients in Λ and for its integral Chow group. For a field extension L/F we denote by X_L the respective extension of scalars. An element of $\text{Ch}(X_L)$ is called F -rational, if it lies in the image of the homomorphism $\text{Ch}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(X_L)$.

Our category of motives is the category $\text{CM}(F, \Lambda)$ of graded Chow motives with coefficients in Λ , [4, definition of § 64]. By a sum of motives we always mean the direct sum. We also write Λ for the motive $M(\text{Spec}F) \in \text{CM}(F, \Lambda)$. A Tate motive is the motive of the form $\Lambda(i)$ with i an integer.

Let X be a smooth complete variety over F and let M be a motive. We call M split if it is a finite sum of Tate motives. We call X split, if its integral motive $M(X) \in \text{CM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ (and therefore the motive of X with an arbitrary coefficient ring Λ) is split. We call M or X geometrically split, if it splits over a field extension of F . For a geometrically split variety X over F , we denote by \bar{X} the scalar extension of X to a splitting field of its motive and we write $\bar{\text{Ch}}(X)$ for the subring of F -rational cycles in $\text{Ch}(\bar{X})$. Note that the rings $\text{Ch}(\bar{X})$ and $\bar{\text{Ch}}(X)$ are independent on the choice of a splitting field.

Over an extension of F the geometrically split motive M becomes isomorphic to a finite sum of Tate motives. We write $\text{rk } M$ and $\text{rk}_i M$ for respectively the number of all summands and the number of summands $\Lambda(i)$ in this decomposition, where i is an integer. Note that these two numbers do not depend on the choice of a splitting field extension.

We say that X satisfies the nilpotence principle, if for any field extension E/F and any coefficient ring Λ , the kernel of the change of field homomorphism $\text{End}(M(X)) \rightarrow \text{End}(M(X)_E)$ consists of nilpotents. Any projective homogeneous (under an action of a semisimple affine algebraic group) variety is geometrically split and satisfies the nilpotence principle, [4, Theorem 92.4 with Remark 92.3].

A complete decomposition of an object in an additive category is a finite direct sum decomposition with indecomposable summands. We say that the Krull-Schmidt principle holds for a given object of a given additive category, if every direct sum decomposition of the object can be refined to a complete one (in particular, a complete decomposition exists) and there is only one (up to a permutation of the summands) complete decomposition of the object. We have the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.1. ([2, Theorem 3.6 of Chapter I]). *Assume that the coefficient ring Λ is finite. The Krull-Schmidt principle holds for any shift of any summand of the motive of any geometrically split F -variety satisfying the nilpotence principle.*

We will use the following two statements in the next section.

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume that the coefficient ring Λ is a field. Let X be a split variety. Then the bilinear form $\mathfrak{b} : \text{Ch}(X) \times \text{Ch}(X) \rightarrow \Lambda$, $\mathfrak{b}(x, y) = \deg(x \cdot y)$ is non-degenerate.*

Proof. Since the motive of X decomposes into a finite sum of Tate motives, we have the following decomposition for the diagonal class $\Delta \in \text{Ch}_{\dim X}(X \times X)$:

$$\Delta = a_1 \times b_1 + \dots + a_n \times b_n,$$

where a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n are the homogeneous elements in $\text{Ch}(X)$, such that for any $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ the degree $\deg(a_i \cdot b_j) \in \Lambda$ is 0 for $i \neq j$ and 1 for $i = j$.

Note that $\dim_{\Lambda} \text{Ch}(X) = \text{rk } M(X) = n < \infty$. Therefore, to prove the lemma it suffices to show that $\text{rad } \mathfrak{b} = \{0\}$. Suppose that $x \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$ (this means $\mathfrak{b}(x, y) = 0$ for any $y \in \text{Ch}(X)$). Then we have

$$x = \Delta_*(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(x \cdot a_i) b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{b}(x, a_i) b_i = 0.$$

□

LEMMA 2.3. *Assume that the coefficient ring Λ is finite. Let X be a variety satisfying the nilpotence principle. Let $f \in \text{End}(M(X))$ and $1_E = f_E \in \text{End}(M(X)_E)$ for some field extension E/F . Then $f^n = 1$ for some positive integer n .*

Proof. Since X satisfies the nilpotence principle, we have $f = 1 + \varepsilon$, where ε is nilpotent. Let n be a positive integer such that $\varepsilon^n = 0 = n\varepsilon$. Then $f^{n^n} = (1 + \varepsilon)^{n^n} = 1$ because the binomial coefficients $\binom{n^n}{i}$ for $i < n$ are divisible by n . □

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let p be a positive prime integer. The coefficient ring Λ is \mathbb{F}_p in this section. Let F be a field. Let D be a central division F -algebra of degree p^n . We

write $X(p^m, D)$ for the generalized Severi-Brauer variety of right ideals in D of reduced dimension p^m for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let E/F be a splitting field extension for $X = X(1, D)$. Then the subgroup of F -rational cycles in $\text{Ch}_{\dim X}(X_E \times X_E)$ is generated by the diagonal class.*

Proof. By [7, Proposition 2.1.1], we have $\bar{\text{Ch}}^i(X) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Since the (say, first) projection $X^2 \rightarrow X$ is a projective bundle, we have a (natural with respect to the base field change) isomorphism $\text{Ch}_{\dim X}(X^2) \simeq \text{Ch}(X)$. Passing to $\bar{\text{Ch}}$, we get an isomorphism $\bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim X}(X^2) \simeq \bar{\text{Ch}}(X) = \bar{\text{Ch}}^0(X)$ showing that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim X}(X^2) = 1$. Since the diagonal class in $\bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim X}(X^2)$ is non-zero, it generates all the group. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. (cf. [7, Theorem 2.2.1]). *The motive with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p of the Severi-Brauer variety $X = X(1, D)$ is indecomposable.*

Proof. To prove that our motive is indecomposable it is enough to show that $\text{End}(M(X)) = \text{Ch}_{\dim X}(X \times X)$ does not contain nontrivial projectors. Let $\pi \in \text{Ch}_{\dim X}(X \times X)$ be a projector. By Lemma 3.1, π_E is zero or equal to 1_E . Since X satisfies the nilpotence principle, π is nilpotent in the first case, but also idempotent, therefore π is zero. Lemma 2.3 gives us $\pi = 1$ in the second case. \square

Nikita Karpenko proved the motivic indecomposability of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties also in the case $p = 2, m = 1$.

THEOREM 3.3. (cf. [9, Theorem 4.2]). *Let D be a central division F -algebra of degree 2^n with $n \geq 1$. Then the motive with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_2 of the variety $X(2, D)$ is indecomposable.*

Corollary 3.8 of the following main theorem will show that Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 give us the only cases when the motive of generalized Severi-Brauer variety is indecomposable.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let D be a central division F -algebra of degree p^n with $n \geq 1$. Let X be the Severi-Brauer variety $X(1, D)$ and Y be a variety satisfying nilpotence principle, such that Y is split over the function field of X . Then for any integer k the number of copies $M(X)(k)$ in the complete motivic decomposition of Y is equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} f_* \text{Ch}_{\dim Y - k}(X \times Y)$, where f is a projection onto the second factor.*

Proof. We fix an integer k and we note the motive $M(X)(k)$ simply by M . Let r be the number of copies of M in the complete motivic decomposition of Y . We note $V := f_* \bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim Y - k}(X \times Y)$ and $r' := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$. We want to show that $r = r'$.

Let A_1, \dots, A_m and B_1, \dots, B_n be the motives. We recall that a morphism between the motives $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m A_i$ and $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n B_j$ is given by an $n \times m$ -matrix of morphisms $A_i \rightarrow B_j$. The composition of morphisms is the matrix multiplication.

The motive $M^{\oplus r}$ is a summand of the motive $M(Y)$. Therefore there exist two morphisms $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)^t \in \text{Hom}(M^{\oplus r}, M(Y))$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in \text{Hom}(M(Y), M^{\oplus r})$, such that

$$\beta \circ \alpha = (\beta_j \circ \alpha_i)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} = (\delta_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r},$$

where $(\delta_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ is the identity morphism in $\text{Hom}(M^{\oplus r}, M^{\oplus r})$ (that is $\delta_{i,j}$ is zero if $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{i,i}$ is the diagonal class Δ in $\text{Corr}_0(X, X)$ if $i = j$).

Let $E = F(X)$, then E/F is a splitting field extension for the varieties X and Y (here we use the condition of the theorem) and $X_E \simeq \mathbb{P}^d$, where $d = p^n - 1$. We know that $\Delta_E = \sum_{i=0}^d h^i \times h^{d-i}$, where h is the hyperplane class in $\text{Ch}^1(X_E)$. For any $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_i)_E \circ (\alpha_i)_E &= (\delta_{i,i})_E = \Delta_E = \\ &= h^0 \times h^d + \sum_{i=1}^d h^i \times h^{d-i} = [X_E] \times [pt] + \sum_{i=1}^d h^i \times h^{d-i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[pt]$ is the class of a rational point in $\text{Ch}(X_E)$. Therefore the correspondences $\beta_i \in \text{Ch}_{\dim Y - k}(Y_E \times X_E)$ and $\alpha_i \in \text{Ch}_{d+k}(X_E \times Y_E)$ have to be of the following form:

$$(3.5) \quad (\beta_i)_E = b_i \times [pt] + \dots,$$

where $b_i \in \text{Ch}^k(Y_E)$ is non-zero and where “...” stands for a linear combination of only those terms whose first factor has codimension $> k$,

$$(3.6) \quad (\alpha_i)_E = [X_E] \times b_i^* + \dots,$$

where $b_i^* \in \text{Ch}_k(Y_E)$ is such that $\deg(b_i \cdot b_i^*) = 1$ and where “...” stands for a linear combination of only those terms whose second factor has dimension $> k$. For any $i \neq j$ we have $(\beta_j)_E \circ (\alpha_i)_E = 0$, this implies that $\deg(b_j \cdot b_i^*) = 0$. Therefore the system of vectors $\{b_1^*, \dots, b_r^*\}$ from the vector space $\text{Ch}(Y_E)$ is dual to the system of vectors $\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$ with respect to the bilinear form $\mathfrak{b} : \text{Ch}(Y_E) \times \text{Ch}(Y_E) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$, $\mathfrak{b}(x_1, x_2) = \deg(x_1 \cdot x_2)$. It follows that the vectors b_1, \dots, b_r are linearly independent. Since $b_i = f_*((\beta_i^t)_E)$, then $b_i \in V$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$. Therefore $r \leq r'$.

Let now $b_1, \dots, b_{r'}$ be a basis of V . We want to show that $M^{\oplus r'}$ is a motivic summand of Y . By the definition of V , there exist correspondences $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r'} \in \text{Ch}_{\dim Y - k}(Y \times X)$ of the form (3.5), such that $b_i = f_*((\beta_i^t)_E)$. Since the variety Y_E is split, then by Lemma 2.2 the bilinear form \mathfrak{b} is non-degenerate. It follows that there exists a system of vectors $\{b_1^*, \dots, b_{r'}^*\}$ from the vector space $\text{Ch}(Y_E)$, which is dual to the system of vectors $\{b_1, \dots, b_{r'}\}$. For any $1 \leq i \leq r'$ we construct the correspondence $\alpha_i \in \text{Ch}_{d+k}(X \times Y)$, such that $(\alpha_i)_E$ is of the form (3.6), by the following way. The pull-back homomorphism

$$g : \text{Ch}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(Y_{F(X)}) = \text{Ch}(Y_E)$$

with respect to the morphism $Y_{F(X)} = (\text{Spec } F(X)) \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ given by the generic point of X is surjective by [4, Corollary 57.11]. We define

$\alpha_i \in \text{Ch}(X \times Y)$ as a cycle whose image in $\text{Ch}(Y_E)$ under the surjection g is b_i^* . We have $(\alpha_i)_E = [X_E] \times b_i^* + \dots$, so $(\alpha_i)_E$ is of the form (3.6). The r' -tuples $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r'})^t$ and $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r'})$ give us respectively two morphisms $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(M^{\oplus r'}, M(Y))$ and $\beta \in \text{Hom}(M(Y), M^{\oplus r'})$. By the construction of α and β , the matrix $(\text{mult}((\beta_j)_E \circ (\alpha_i)_E))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ is an identity matrix. Then, by Lemma 3.1, $\beta_E \circ \alpha_E = ((\beta_j)_E \circ (\alpha_i)_E)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} = 1_E$, where we note simply by 1 the identity morphism $((\delta_{i,j}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ in $\text{Hom}(M^{\oplus r}, M^{\oplus r})$. Let \mathfrak{X} be a disjoint union of r' copies of X , then $\text{Hom}(M(\mathfrak{X}), M(\mathfrak{X})) = \text{Hom}(M^{\oplus r'}, M^{\oplus r'})$. According to [4, Theorem 92.4] the variety \mathfrak{X} satisfies the nilpotence principle. By Lemma 2.3, there exist a positive integer n , such that $(\beta \circ \alpha)^n = 1$ (we apply Lemma 2.3 to the variety \mathfrak{X} and to the morphism $\beta \circ \alpha \in \text{Hom}(M(\mathfrak{X}), M(\mathfrak{X}))$). The morphisms α and $(\beta \circ \alpha)^{n-1} \circ \beta$ give the isomorphism between the motive $M^{\oplus r'}$ and a direct summand of $M(Y)$. Therefore $r' \geq r$ and then finally $r' = r$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let D be a central division F -algebra of degree p^n with $n \geq 1$. Let X and Y be respectively the varieties $X(1, D)$ and $X(p^m, D)$, $0 \leq m < n$. Let E/F be a splitting field extension for the variety X , let T_1 and T_{p^m} be the tautological bundles of rank 1 and p^m on X_E and Y_E respectively. Then the subring of F -rational cycles in $\text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E)$ is generated by the Chern classes of the vector bundle $T_1 \boxtimes (-T_{p^m})^\vee$ (we lift the bundles T_1 and T_{p^m} on $X_E \times Y_E$ and then take a product).*

Proof. Let Tav be the tautological vector bundle on X . The product $X \times Y$ considered over X (via the first projection) is isomorphic (as a scheme over X) to the Grassmann bundle $G_r(Tav)$ of r -dimensional subspaces in Tav (cf. [6, Proposition 4.3]), where $r = p^n - p^m$. Let T be the tautological r -dimensional vector bundle on $G_r(Tav)$. By [5, Example 14.6.6], the Chow ring $\text{Ch}(G_r(Tav))$ as an algebra over $\text{Ch}(X)$ is generated by Chern classes $c_0(T), c_1(T), \dots, c_r(T)$. By [7, Proposition 2.1.1], we have $\bar{\text{Ch}}(X) = \bar{\text{Ch}}^0(X) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot [X_E]$. Therefore the Chow ring $\bar{\text{Ch}}(X \times Y) \simeq \bar{\text{Ch}}(G_r(Tav))$ is generated (as a ring) by Chern classes $c_0(T_E), \dots, c_r(T_E)$. Since there exists an isomorphism (cf. [6, Proposition 4.3]): $T_E \simeq T_1 \boxtimes (-T_{p^m})^\vee$, we are done. \square

COROLLARY 3.8. *The motive with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p of the variety $X(p^m, D)$ is decomposable for $p = 2, 1 < m < n$ and for $p > 2, 0 < m < n$. In these cases $M(X(1, D))(k)$ is a summand of $M(X(p^m, D))$ for $2 \leq k \leq p^n - p^m$.*

Proof. We use the notations: $X = X(1, D)$, $Y = X(p^m, D)$, $d = \dim(X(1, D)) = p^n - 1$, $r = p^n - p^m$. Let $E = F(X)$, then E/F is a splitting field extension for the variety X (and also for Y). Over the field E the algebra D becomes isomorphic to $\text{End}_E(V)$ for some E -vector space V of dimension $d + 1 = p^n$. We have $X_E \simeq \mathbb{P}^d(V)$ and $Y_E \simeq G_{p^m}(V)$. Let T_1 and T_{p^m} be the tautological bundles of rank 1 and p^m on X_E and Y_E respectively. We note by T the r -dimensional vector bundle $T_1 \boxtimes (-T_{p^m})^\vee$ on $X_E \times Y_E$. By Proposition

3.7, the ring $\overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times Y)$ is generated by Chern classes of the vector bundle T . Let $h = c_1(T_1) \in \text{Ch}^1(X_E)$ (then $-h$ is the hyperplane class in $\text{Ch}^1(X_E)$) and $c_i = c_i((-T_{p^m})^\vee) \in \text{Ch}^i(Y_E)$, $0 \leq i \leq r$. Then by [5, Remark 3.2.3(b)]

$$(3.9) \quad c_t(T) = c_t(T_1 \boxtimes (-T_{p^m})^\vee) = \sum_{i=0}^r (1 + (h \times 1)t)^{r-i} (1 \times c_i)t^i.$$

It follows from the conditions of the corollary that the binomial coefficients $\binom{p^n - p^m}{2}$, $\binom{p^n - p^m}{p^m - 1}$ are divisible by p and $\binom{p^n - p^m - 1}{p^m - 2} \equiv (-1)^{p^m - 2} \pmod{p}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(T) &= (p^n - p^m)h \times 1 + 1 \times c_1 = 1 \times c_1, \\ c_2(T) &= \binom{p^n - p^m}{2} h^2 \times 1 + (p^n - p^m - 1)h \times c_1 + 1 \times c_2 = -h \times c_1 + 1 \times c_2, \\ c_{p^m - 1}(T) &= \binom{p^n - p^m}{p^m - 1} h^{p^m - 1} \times 1 + \binom{p^n - p^m - 1}{p^m - 2} h^{p^m - 2} \times c_1 + \dots = \\ &= (-1)^{p^m - 2} h^{p^m - 2} \times c_1 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where “...” stands for a linear combination of only those terms whose second factor has codimension > 1 . For the top Chern class we have:

$$c_r(T) = \sum_{i=0}^r h^{r-i} \times c_i.$$

For any integer $k \geq 2$ we define $\beta_k = c_r(T)c_{p^m - 1}(T)c_2(T)c_1(T)^{k-2} = (-h)^d \times c_1^k + \dots = [pt] \times c_1^k + \dots$, where “...” stands for a linear combination of only those terms whose second factor has codimension $> k$ and where $[pt]$ is the class of a rational point in $\text{Ch}(X_E)$. Let $f : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ be a projection onto the first factor. The cycle β_k is F -rational and $f_*(\beta_k) = c_1^k$. By [5, Example 14.6.6], the cycle c_1^k is non-zero for $2 \leq k \leq p^n - p^m$. Therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} f_* \overline{\text{Ch}}_{\dim Y - k}(X \times Y) \geq 1$ for $2 \leq k \leq p^n - p^m$. The statement follows from Theorem 3.4. \square

REMARK 3.10. The Corollary 3.8 also gives us some information about the integral motive of the variety $X(p^m, D)$. Indeed, according to [12, Corollary 2.7] the decomposition of $M(X(p^m, D))$ with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p lifts (and in a unique way) to the coefficients $\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ for any $N \geq 2$. Then by [12, Theorem 2.16] it lifts to \mathbb{Z} (uniquely for $p = 2$ and $p = 3$ and non-uniquely for $p > 3$). See also Remark 4.14.

REMARK 3.11. Let l be an integer such that $0 < l < p^n$ and $\gcd(l, p) = 1$. The complete decomposition of the motive $M(X(l, D))$ with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p is described in [1, Proposition 2.4].

COROLLARY 3.12. *Let D be a central division F -algebra of p -primary index. Let K/F be a field extension, such that D_K is still division. Then the motive $(M_{1,D})_K$ is still indecomposable.*

Proof. We note by X and Y respectively the varieties $X(1, D)$ and $X(p, D)$. We note by M the motive $M(X)$. By [9, Theorem 3.8] the complete motivic decomposition of the variety Y consists of the motive $M_{1,D}$ and of the sum of motives M (we neglect the shifts in this proof). Suppose that the motive $(M_{1,D})_K$ is decomposable, then by the same theorem, M_K is a summand of $(M_{1,D})_K$. Therefore, the number of motives M_K in the complete motivic decomposition of Y_K is greater than the number of motives M in the complete motivic decomposition of Y . Let E/K be a splitting field extension for the algebra D . By Proposition 3.7, the subspace of K -rational cycles in $\text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E)$ coincides with the subspace of F -rational cycles in $\text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E)$. Therefore the Theorem 3.4 gives a contradiction. \square

4. COMPLETE MOTIVIC DECOMPOSITIONS

In the Corollary 3.8 we proved that the motive of the variety $X(p^m, D)$ is decomposable for $p = 2, 1 < m < n$ and for $p > 2, 0 < m < n$. Moreover, in these cases the Corollary 3.8 gives us a list of some motivic summands of the variety $X(p^m, D)$. By duality, we can extend this list. It happens, that in two small-dimensional cases $p = 3, m = 1, n = 2$ and $p = 2, m = 2, n = 3$ this is already a complete list of indecomposable motivic summands of the variety $X(p^m, D)$. Note that in general it is not true (see Example 4.8).

EXAMPLE 4.1. In this example we describe the complete motivic decomposition of $Y := X(3, D)$ for a division F -algebra D of degree 9. We note by X the variety $X(1, D)$ and by M the motive $M(X)$. Note that $\dim X = 8$ and $\dim Y = 18$.

By [9, Theorem 3.8], any indecomposable motivic summand of Y , besides the upper motive $M_{1,D}$, is some shift of M . By Corollary 3.8, the motives $M(2), M(3), M(4), M(5), M(6)$ and by duality $M(8), M(7)$ are direct summands of $M(Y)$. Suppose that there is at least one more motive $M(t)$ (for some integer $t \geq 0$) in the complete motivic decomposition of Y . Since by [9, Theorem 4.3] the variety Y is 3-incompressible, we have

$$\text{rk}_0 M(Y) = \text{rk}_0 M_{1,D} = \text{rk}_{\dim Y} M_{1,D} = \text{rk}_{\dim Y} M(Y) = 1.$$

It follows that $\text{rk}_0 M(t) = \text{rk}_{\dim Y} M(t) = 0$. We have

$$1 \leq t \leq \dim Y - \dim X - 1 = 9.$$

Since the decomposition of any of eight motives $M(2), M(3), \dots, M(8), M(t)$ into the sum of Tate motives over the splitting field contains a Tate motive $\mathbb{F}_3(9)$, then $\text{rk}_9 M_{1,D} \leq \text{rk}_9 M(Y) - 8$. According to [13, §2.5], we have $\text{rk}_9 M(Y) = 8$, therefore $\text{rk}_9 M_{1,D} = 0$.

By [8, Corollary 10.19], we have the following motivic decomposition of Y over the function field $L = F(Y)$:

$$(4.2) \quad M(Y)_L = \bigoplus_{i+j+k=3} M(X(i, C) \times X(j, C) \times X(k, C)),$$

where C is a central division L -algebra (of degree 3) Brauer-equivalent to D_L . Note that the triples $(3, 0, 0)$, $(0, 3, 0)$, $(0, 0, 3)$ correspond to three Tate motives \mathbb{F}_3 , $\mathbb{F}_3(9)$ and $\mathbb{F}_3(18)$. Let $\widetilde{M} = M(X(1, C))$, then by [8, Example 10.20], $M_L = \widetilde{M} \oplus \widetilde{M}(3) \oplus \widetilde{M}(6)$. It follows that the complete decomposition of M_L does not contain $\mathbb{F}_3(9)$. Therefore $\mathbb{F}_3(9)$ is a direct motivic summand of $(M_{1,D})_L$ and we have a contradiction with $\text{rk}_9 M_{1,D} = 0$.

The complete motivic decomposition of the variety $X(3, D)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_3 is the following one:

$$(4.3) \quad M(X(3, D)) = M_{1,D} \oplus M(2) \oplus M(3) \oplus M(4) \oplus M(5) \oplus M(6) \oplus M(7) \oplus M(8).$$

EXAMPLE 4.4. Similarly, as in the previous example, we can find the complete motivic decomposition of $Y := X(4, D)$ for a division F -algebra D of degree 8. We note by M the motive $M(X(1, D))$.

By Corollary 3.8, the motives $M(2)$, $M(3)$, $M(4)$ and by duality $M(7)$, $M(6)$, $M(5)$ are direct summands of $M(Y)$. We have

$$M(X(4, D)) = M(2) \oplus \dots \oplus M(7) \oplus N$$

for some motive N . Assume that N is decomposable. Then by [9, Theorem 3.8], and Theorems 3.2, 3.3, the motive N has an indecomposable summand which is some shift of either $M_{0,D} = M$ or $M_{1,D} = M(X(2, D))$. But the second case is impossible because

$$70 = \binom{8}{4} = \text{rk } M(Y) < 6 \text{rk } M + \text{rk } M(X(2, D)) = 6 \cdot 8 + \binom{8}{2} = 76$$

(see [9, Example 2.18] for the computations of ranks). Therefore $M(t)$ is a summand of N for some integer t .

According to [8, Corollary 10.19], we can write the complete decomposition of N over the function field $L = F(Y)$:

$$N_L = \mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \widetilde{M}(1) \oplus M(X(2, C))(4) \oplus M(X(2, C))(8) \oplus \widetilde{M}(12) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2(16),$$

where C is a central division L -algebra (of degree 4) Brauer-equivalent to D_L and where $\widetilde{M} = M(X(1, C))$. It follows from this decomposition that the motive $M(t)_L = \widetilde{M}(t) \oplus \widetilde{M}(t+4)$ can not be a direct summand of N_L . We have a contradiction. Therefore the motive N is indecomposable and $N \simeq M_{2,D}$.

Now we can write the complete motivic decomposition of $X(4, D)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_2 :

$$(4.5) \quad M(X(4, D)) = M_{2,D} \oplus M(2) \oplus M(3) \oplus M(4) \oplus M(5) \oplus M(6) \oplus M(7).$$

Let us consider the following class of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties.

DEFINITION 4.6. We say that the generalized Severi-Brauer variety $X(p^m, D)$ is of *type 0*, if the complete decomposition of $M(X(p^m, D))$ consists only of the upper motive $M_{m,D}$ and some (possibly zero) shifts of the motive $M_{0,D} = M(X(1, D))$.

For example, by [9, Theorem 3.8], the variety $X(p, D)$ is of this type. Let Y be a generalized Severi-Brauer $X(p^m, D)$ variety of type 0. By Theorem 3.4, the subspace of F -rational cycles in $\text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E)$ describes the complete motivic decomposition of Y , where $X = X(1, D)$, $E = F(X)$. Note that the structure of the ring $\text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E) = \text{Ch}(X_E) \times \text{Ch}(Y_E)$ is well-known (cf. [5, § 14]) and by Proposition 3.7 we can compute the subring $\bar{\text{Ch}}(X \times Y) \subset \text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E)$. Therefore we can say that the complete motivic decomposition of any generalized Severi-Brauer variety $X(p^m, D)$ of type 0 can be “theoretically” found in a finite time using computer.

REMARK 4.7. We do not possess a single example of a variety $X(p^m, D)$, which is not of type 0. Therefore, it may happen that the generalized Severi-Brauer variety $X(p^m, D)$ is always of type 0 (for any division F -algebra D of degree p^n and for any integer m , $0 \leq m \leq n$). Note that if this is true, then Conjecture 1.1 holds (one can follow the lines of the proof of Corollary 3.12).

EXAMPLE 4.8. Let D be a central division F -algebra of degree 27. In this example we find complete motivic decomposition of the variety $Y = X(3, D)$, which is of type 0. We take the same notations as in the proof of Corollary 3.8: $X = X(1, D)$, $E = F(X)$, $T = T_1 \boxtimes (-T_3)^\vee$, where T_1 and T_3 are the tautological bundles of rank 1 and 3 on X_E and Y_E respectively (the vector bundle T is of the rank 24). We note also by V_* the graded \mathbb{F}_3 -vector space $f_* \bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim Y - *}(X \times Y)$, where f is a projection onto the second factor.

By Theorem 3.4, for any integer k the number of motives $M(k)$ in the complete motivic decomposition of Y is equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} V_k$, where $M = M(X)$. By duality, this number is also equal to the number of motives $M(\dim Y - \dim X - k) = M(46 - k)$ in the same decomposition. Therefore the vector space $V_{\leq 23}$ describes the complete motivic decomposition of Y .

Let $h = c_1(T_1) \in \text{Ch}^1(X_E)$ and $c_i = c_i((-T_3)^\vee) \in \text{Ch}^i(Y_E)$, $0 \leq i \leq 24$. Using the formula 3.9 we can compute the following Chern classes of the vector bundle T :

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(T) &= 1 \times c_1, & c_2(T) &= -h \times c_1 + 1 \times c_2, \\ c_7(T) &= 1 \times c_7, & c_8(T) &= -h \times c_7 + 1 \times c_8, \\ c_{24}(T) &= h^{24} \times 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{24} h^{24-i} \times c_i. \end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^2 &= f_*(c_{24}(T)(c_2(T))^2) \in V_2, & c_1 c_7 &= f_*(c_{24}(T)c_2(T)c_8(T)) \in V_8, \\ c_7^2 &= f_*(c_{24}(T)(c_8(T))^2) \in V_{14}. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have the following property:

$$(4.9) \quad x \in V_* \Rightarrow (x c_1 \in V_{*+1} \quad \text{and} \quad x c_7 \in V_{*+7}).$$

Indeed, if $x \in V_*$, then $x = f_*(y)$ for some $y \in \bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim Y - *}(X_E \times Y_E)$. Therefore $x c_1 = f_*(y \cdot c_1(T)) \in V_{*+1}$ and $x c_7 = f_*(y \cdot c_7(T)) \in V_{*+7}$.

This property gives us the following elements in V_i :

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ll} c_1^i & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq 7, \\ c_1^i, c_1^{i-7} c_7 & \text{if } 8 \leq i \leq 13, \\ c_1^i, c_1^{i-7} c_7, c_1^{i-14} c_7^2 & \text{if } 14 \leq i \leq 20, \\ c_1^i, c_1^{i-7} c_7, c_1^{i-14} c_7^2, c_1^{i-21} c_7^3 & \text{if } 21 \leq i \leq 23. \end{array}$$

We also define a sequence $b_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq 7 \\ 2 & \text{if } 8 \leq i \leq 13 \\ 3 & \text{if } 14 \leq i \leq 20 \\ 4 & \text{if } 21 \leq i \leq 23 \\ b_{46-i} & \text{if } i > 23. \end{cases}$$

Note that for any $i \leq 23$ the number of elements lying in V_i from the list 4.10 is equal to b_i .

We are going to show that all elements from the list 4.10 are linearly independent (to apply then Theorem 3.4). The \mathbb{F}_3 -vector space V_* is a subspace of $\text{Ch}^*(Y_E)$. We note $\tilde{c}_i = c_i(T_3^\vee), i = 1, 2, 3$, where T_3 is the tautological bundle of rank 3 on Y_E . According to [5, Example 14.6.6] the graded ring $\text{Ch}^*(Y_E)$ is generated by Chern classes $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3, c_1, \dots, c_{24}$ modulo the homogeneous relations

$$(4.11) \quad c_r + c_{r-1}\tilde{c}_1 + c_{r-2}\tilde{c}_2 + c_{r-3}\tilde{c}_3 = 0 \quad \text{for } r = 1, \dots, 27,$$

where $c_i = 0$ for $i < 0$ or $i > 24$. It follows that the graded ring $\text{Ch}^*(Y_E)$ is generated by only three elements $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3$ modulo some homogeneous relations of degree greater than 23. Therefore we have an isomorphism:

$$\text{Ch}^{*\leq 23}(Y_E) \simeq \mathbb{F}_3[\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3]_{\leq 23}.$$

Using relations 4.11 we can compute that $c_1 = -\tilde{c}_1$ and $c_7 = -\tilde{c}_1^7 + \tilde{c}_1^4 \tilde{c}_3 - \tilde{c}_1^3 \tilde{c}_2^2 + \tilde{c}_1 \tilde{c}_2^3$.

Now we consider the elements from the list 4.10 as polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_3[\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3]$. To show that all of them are linearly independent, it suffices to check this for four elements $c_1^{21}, c_1^{14} c_7, c_1^7 c_7^2, c_7^3$ (they are in our list) from V_{21} . Since the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_3[\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3]$ is factorial and c_7 is not divisible by \tilde{c}_1^2 , then for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_3$ we have

$$\alpha c_1^{21} + \beta c_1^{14} c_7 + \gamma c_1^7 c_7^2 + \delta c_7^3 = 0 \implies \alpha = \beta = \gamma = \delta = 0.$$

Since all elements from the list 4.10 are linearly independent, then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} V_i \geq b_i$ for $i \leq 23$. Therefore for any integer i the motive $M^{\oplus b_i}(i)$ is a direct summand of $M(Y)$. Indeed, the statement follows from Theorem 3.4 for $i \leq 23$ and by duality it is also true for $i > 23$. We have

$$(4.12) \quad M(Y) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{\oplus b_i}(i) \oplus N$$

for some motive N over F .

Now we want to understand the complete decomposition of N . Let L be a function field of the variety Y and C be a central division L -algebra (of degree

9) Brauer-equivalent to D_L . Using the motivic decomposition similar to the decomposition 4.2 from Example 4.1, we can show that the complete decomposition of $M(Y)_L$ contains three indecomposable motives: $M_{1,C}$, $M_{1,C}(27)$, $M_{1,C}(54)$. Moreover any other summand in the complete motivic decomposition of $M(Y)_L$ is a shift of the motive $\widetilde{M} := M(X(1, C))$. We know that $M_L = \widetilde{M} \oplus \widetilde{M}(9) \oplus \widetilde{M}(18)$. It follows that $N_L = M_{1,C} \oplus M_{1,C}(27) \oplus M_{1,C}(54) \oplus N'$ for some motive N' over L and N' is a sum of shifts of the motive \widetilde{M} . Note that if $M(k)$ is direct summand of N for some integer k , then $M_L(k)$ is a direct summand of N' .

Let S be a direct summand of the motive of a geometrically split variety. We write $P(S, t)$ for the Poincaré polynomial of S :

$$P(S, t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (\text{rk}_i S) \cdot t^i.$$

Let us find the Poincaré polynomial of the motive N' . We have

$$P(N', t) = P(M(Y), t) - (1 + t^{27} + t^{54})P(M_{1,C}, t) - \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i t^i P(M, t).$$

Using the following formulas

$$P(M(Y), t) = \frac{(1 - t^{27})(1 - t^{26})(1 - t^{25})}{(1 - t)(1 - t^2)(1 - t^3)}, \text{ (according to [13, §2.5]),}$$

$$P(M, t) = \frac{1 - t^{27}}{1 - t} = \sum_{i=0}^{26} t^i,$$

$$P(M_{1,C}, t) = t^6 + t^{12} + \sum_{i=0}^{26} t^i, \text{ (by Example 4.3) ,}$$

we can compute $P(N', t)$. Since N' is a sum of shifts of the motive \widetilde{M} then $P(N', t)$ is divisible by $P(\widetilde{M}, t) = (1 - t^9)/(1 - t) = 1 + t + \dots + t^8$. Let $Q(t)$ be a quotient of these two polynomials. After computations, we have

$$Q(t) = t^7 + t^{13} + t^{16} + t^{18} + t^{19} + t^{20} + t^{22} + t^{24} + t^{26} + t^{28} + t^{29} + t^{30} + t^{34} + t^{35} + t^{36} + t^{38} + t^{40} + t^{42} + t^{44} + t^{45} + t^{46} + t^{48} + t^{51} + t^{57}.$$

Now if $M(k)$ is direct summand of N for some integer k , then $M_L(k) = \widetilde{M}(k) \oplus \widetilde{M}(k + 9) \oplus \widetilde{M}(k + 18)$ is a direct summand of N' . Therefore in this case the decomposition of $Q(t)$ contains $t^k + t^{k+9} + t^{k+18} = P(M_L(k), t)/P(\widetilde{M}, t)$. Only two values $k = 20$ and $k = 26$ satisfy this condition. Note that if complete decomposition of the motive N contains $M(20)$ then by duality it contains also $M(26)$. It follows that the question of the complete motivic decomposition of Y reduces to the question either $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} V_{20} = 3$ or $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} V_{20} = 4$? Let us show that we are in the second case.

Consider the following cycle e from V_{20}

$$e = f_*(c_2^{11}(-T)c_3^8(-T)) = -\tilde{c}_1^{17}\tilde{c}_3 + \tilde{c}_1^{16}\tilde{c}_2^2 - \tilde{c}_1^{14}\tilde{c}_2^3 - \tilde{c}_1^{14}\tilde{c}_3^2 - \tilde{c}_1^{13}\tilde{c}_2^2\tilde{c}_3 - \tilde{c}_1^{12}\tilde{c}_2^4 + \tilde{c}_1^{11}\tilde{c}_2^3\tilde{c}_3 - \tilde{c}_1^{11}\tilde{c}_3^3 - \tilde{c}_1^{10}\tilde{c}_2^5 - \tilde{c}_1^2\tilde{c}_2^9,$$

where $c_2(-T) = -h\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2$, $c_3(-T) = h^3 + h^2\tilde{c}_1 + h\tilde{c}_2 + \tilde{c}_3$. The cycle e as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_3[\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3]$ is not divisible by \tilde{c}_1^3 . It follows that the cycle e could not be a linear combination of three cycles c_1^{20} , $c_1^{13}c_7$, $c_1^6c_7^2$ from the list 4.10. Therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} V_{20} = 4$.

Consider a sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defined by

$$a_i = \begin{cases} b_i + 1 & \text{if } i = 20 \text{ or } i = 26 \\ b_i & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The complete motivic decomposition of the variety Y is the following

$$(4.13) \quad M(Y) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{\oplus a_i} \oplus M_{1,D}.$$

REMARK 4.14. We have the same decompositions as (4.3), (4.5) and (4.13) for the motives with the integral coefficients. To show this one can apply [12, Corollary 2.7] and then [12, Theorem 2.16].

REFERENCES

- [1] CALMÈS, B., PETROV, V., SEMENOV, N., AND ZAINOULLINE, K. Chow motives of twisted flag varieties. *Compos. Math.* 142, 4 (2006), 1063-1080.
- [2] CHERNOUSOV, V., AND MERKURJEV, A. Motivic decomposition of projective homogeneous varieties and the Krull-Schmidt theorem. *Transform. Groups* 11, 3 (2006), 371-386.
- [3] DE CLERCQ, C. Motivic rigidity of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties. arXiv:1105.4981v1 [math.AG] (25 May 2011), 5 pages.
- [4] ELMAN, R., KARPENKO, N., AND MERKURJEV, A. *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, vol. 56 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [5] FULTON, W. *Intersection theory*. Second edition (Springer, Berlin, 1998).
- [6] IZHBOLDIN, O., KARPENKO, N. Some new examples in the theory of quadratic forms. *Math. Z.* 234 (2000), 647-695.
- [7] KARPENKO, N. Grothendieck Chow motives of Severi-Brauer varieties. *Algebra i Analiz* 7, 4 (1995), (196-213).
- [8] KARPENKO, N.A. Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and of isotropic flag varieties. *Algebra i Analiz* 12, 1 (2000), 3-69.
- [9] KARPENKO, N. A. Upper motives of algebraic groups and incompressibility of Severi-Brauer varieties. *Linear Algebraic Groups and Related Structures* (preprint server) 333 (2009, Apr 3, revised: 2009, Apr 24), 18 pages.
- [10] KARPENKO, N. A. Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and of isotropic flag varieties. *Algebra i Analiz* 12, 1 (2000), 3-69.

- [11] KNUS, M.-A., MERKURJEV, A., ROST, M., AND TIGNOL, J.-P. *The book of involutions*, vol. 44 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. With a preface in French by J. Tits.
- [12] PETROV, V., SEMENOV, N., AND ZAINOULLINE, K. J -invariant of linear algebraic groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 41 (2008), 1023-1053.
- [13] PETROV, V., SEMENOV, N. Generically split projective homogeneous varieties. *Duke Math. J.* 152 (2010), 155-173.
- [14] ZHYKHOVICH, M. Motivic decomposability of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties. *C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 348, 17-18 (2010), 989-992.

Maksim Zhykhovich
UPMC Univ Paris 06
UMR 7586
Institut de Mathématiques
de Jussieu
(boite courrier 247)
4 place Jussieu
F-75252 Paris CEDEX 05
France

