On Geometric Aspects of Diffuse Groups

Steffen Kionke, Jean Raimbault
With an Appendix by Nathan Dunfield

ABSTRACT. Bowditch introduced the notion of diffuse groups as a geometric variation of the unique product property. We elaborate on various examples and non-examples, keeping the geometric point of view from Bowditch's paper. In particular, we discuss fundamental groups of flat and hyperbolic manifolds. Appendix B settles an open question by providing an example of a group which is diffuse but not left-orderable.

Contents

1. Introduction

Following B. Bowditch [10], we say that a group Γ is *diffuse* if every finite non-empty subset $A \subset \Gamma$ has an *extremal point*, that is, an element $a \in A$ such that for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$ either ga or $g^{-1}a$ is not in A (see also 2.1 below). A non-empty finite set without extremal points will be called a *ravel*[1]; thus a group is diffuse if and only if it does not contain a ravel. Every non-trivial finite subgroup of Γ is a ravel, hence a diffuse group is torsion-free. In this work, we use geometric methods to discuss various examples of diffuse and non-diffuse groups.

The interest in diffuse groups stems from Bowditch's observation that they have the unique product property (see Section 2.2 below). Originally, unique products were introduced in the study of group rings of discrete, torsion-free groups. More precisely, it is easily seen that if a group Γ has unique products, then it satisfies Kaplansky's unit conjecture. In simple terms, this means that the units in the group ring $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ are all *trivial*, i.e. of the form λg with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and $g \in \Gamma$. A similar question can be asked replacing $\mathbb{C}$ by some integral domain. A weaker conjecture (Kaplansky's zero divisor conjecture) asserts that $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ contains no zero divisor, and a still weaker one asserts that it contains no idempotents other than 1_Γ. There are other approaches to the zero divisor and idempotent conjecture (see for example [5], [47, Chapter 10]) which have succeeded in proving it for large classes of groups, whereas the unit conjecture has (to the best of our knowledge) only been tackled by establishing the possibly stronger unique product property. Consequently it is still unknown if the unit conjecture holds, for example, for all torsion-free groups in the class of crystallographic groups (see [23] for more on the subject), while the zero-divisor conjecture is known to hold (among other) for all torsion-free groups in the finite-by-solvable class, as proven by Kropholler, Linnell and Moody in [45].

There are further applications of the unique product property. For instance, if Γ has unique products, then it satisfies a conjecture of Y. O. Hamidoune on the size of isoperimetric atoms (cf. Conjecture 10 in [7]). Let us also mention that it is known that torsion-free groups without unique products exist, see for instance [57],[54],[61],[3],[19]. We note that for the examples in [57] (and their generalization in [61]) it is not known if the zero-divisor conjecture holds.

Using Lazard's theory of analytic pro-p groups, one can show that every arithmetic group Γ has a finite index subgroup Γ' such that the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma']$ satisfies the zero divisor conjecture. This work originated from the idea to study Kaplansky's unit conjecture *virtually*. In this spirit we establish virtual diffuseness for various classes of groups and, moreover, we discuss examples of diffuse and non-diffuse groups in order to clarify the border between the two. Our results are based on geometric considerations.

1.1. Results.

---

[1] We think of this as an entangled ball of string.

1.1.1. *Crystallographic groups.* The torsion-free crystallographic groups, also called Bieberbach groups, are virtually diffuse since free abelian groups are diffuse. However, already in dimension three there is a Bieberbach group Δ_P which is not diffuse [10]. In fact, Promislow even showed that the group Δ_P does not satisfy the unique product property [54]. On the other hand, the nine other 3-dimensional Bieberbach groups are diffuse. So is there an easy way to decide whether a given Bieberbach group is diffuse or not? In Section 3 we discuss this question and show that in many cases it suffices to know the holonomy group.

THEOREM A. Let Γ be a Bieberbach group with holonomy group G.
  (i) If G is not solvable, then Γ is not diffuse.
 (ii) If G has only cyclic Sylow subgroups, then Γ is diffuse.

Note that a finite group G with cyclic Sylow subgroups is meta-cyclic, thus solvable. We further show that in the remaining case, where G is solvable and has a non-cyclic Sylow subgroup, the group G is indeed the holonomy of both a diffuse and a non-diffuse Bieberbach group. Moreover, we give a complete list of the 16 non-diffuse Bieberbach groups in dimension four. Our approach is based on the equivalence of diffuseness and local indicability for amenable groups as obtained by Linnell and Witte Morris [46]. We include a new geometric proof of their result for the special case of virtually abelian groups.

1.1.2. *Discrete subgroups of rank-one Lie groups.* The class of hyperbolic groups is one of the main sources of examples of diffuse groups in [10]: it is an immediate consequence of Corollary 5.2 loc. cit. that any residually finite word-hyperbolic group contains with finite index a diffuse subgroup (the same statement for unique products was proven earlier by T. Delzant [24]). In particular, cocompact discrete subgroups of rank one Lie groups are virtually diffuse (for example, given an arithmetic lattice Γ in such a Lie group, any normal congruence subgroup of Γ of sufficiently high level is diffuse). On the other hand, not much is known in this respect about relatively hyperbolic groups, and it is natural to ask whether a group which is hyperbolic relative to diffuse subgroups must itself be virtually diffuse. In this paper we answer this question in the affirmative in the case of non-uniform lattices in rank one Lie groups.

THEOREM B. If Γ is a lattice in one of the Lie groups $\mathrm{SO}(n,1), \mathrm{SU}(n,1)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(n,1)$ then there is a finite-index subgroup $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$ such that Γ' is diffuse.

In the case of an arithmetic lattice, the proof actually shows that normal congruence subgroups of sufficiently large level are diffuse. We left open the case of non-uniform lattices in the exceptional rank one group F_4^{-20}, but it is almost certain that our proof adapts also to this case. Theorem B is obtained as a corollary of a result on a more general class of geometrically finite groups of isometries. Another consequence is the following theorem.

THEOREM C. Let Γ be any discrete, finitely generated subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. There exists a finite-index subgroup $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$ such that Γ' is diffuse.

The proofs of these theorems use the same approach as Bowditch's, that is a metric criterion (Lemma 2.1 below) for the action on the relevant hyperbolic space. The main new point we have to establish concerns the behaviour of unipotent isometries: the result we need (Proposition 4.2 below) is fairly easy to observe for real hyperbolic spaces; for complex ones it follows from a theorem of M. Phillips [53], and we show that the argument used there can be generalized in a straightforward way to quaternionic hyperbolic spaces. We also study axial isometries of real hyperbolic spaces in some detail, and give an optimal criterion (Proposition 4.5) which may be of use in determining whether a given hyperbolic manifold has a diffuse fundamental group.

1.1.3. *Three–manifold groups.* Following the solution of both Thurston's Geometrization conjecture (by G. Perelman [51, 52]) and the Virtually Haken conjecture (by I. Agol [2] building on work of D. Wise) it is known by previous work of J. Howie [40], and S. Boyer, D. Rolfsen and B. Wiest [12] that the fundamental group of any compact three–manifold contains a left-orderable finite-index subgroup. Since left-orderable groups are diffuse (see Section 2.2 below) this implies the following.

THEOREM D. Let M be a compact three–manifold, then there is a finite-index subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$ which is diffuse.

Actually, one does not need Agol's work to prove this weaker result: the case of irreducible manifolds with non-trivial JSJ-decomposition is dealt with in [12, Theorem 1.1(2)], and non-hyperbolic geometric manifolds are easily seen to be virtually orderable. Finally, closed hyperbolic manifolds can be handled by Bowditch's result (see (iv) in Section 2.1 below).
We give a more direct proof of Theorem D in Section 5; the tools we use (mainly a 'virtual' gluing lemma) may be of independent interest. The relation between diffuseness (or unique products) and left-orderability is not very clear at present; in Appendix B Nathan Dunfield gives an example of a compact hyperbolic three-manifold whose fundamental group is not left-orderable, but nonetheless diffuse.

2. Diffuse groups

We briefly review various notions and works related to diffuseness and present some questions and related examples of groups.

2.1. A quick survey of Bowditch's paper. We give here a short recapitulation of some of the content in Bowditch's paper [10]. The general notion of a diffuse action of a group is introduced there and defined as follows: let Γ be a group acting on a set X. Given a finite subset $A \subset X$, an element $a \in A$ is said to be an *extremal point* in A, if for all $g \in \Gamma$ which do not stabilize a then either ga or $g^{-1}a$ is not in A. The action of Γ on X is said to be diffuse if every finite subset A of X with $|A| \geq 2$ has at least *two* extremal points. An action in which each finite subset has at least *one* extremal point is called weakly diffuse by Bowditch; we will not use this notion in the sequel. It was observed by Linnell and Witte-Morris [46, Prop.6.2.] that a free action is diffuse if and only if it is weakly diffuse. Thus a group is diffuse (in the sense given in the introduction) if and only if its action on itself by left-translations is diffuse. More generally, Bowditch proves that if a group admits a diffuse action whose stabilizers are diffuse groups, then the group itself is diffuse. In particular, an extension of diffuse groups is diffuse as well.

The above can be used to deduce the diffuseness of many groups. For example, strongly polycyclic groups are diffuse since they are, by definition, obtained from the trivial group by taking successive extensions by $\mathbb{Z}$. Bowditch's paper provides many more examples of diffuse groups:

  (i) The fundamental group of a compact surface of nonpositive Euler characteristic is diffuse;
 (ii) More generally, any free isometric action of a group on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree is diffuse;
(iii) A free product of two diffuse groups is itself diffuse;
(iv) A closed hyperbolic manifold with injectivity radius larger than $\log(1 + \sqrt{2})$ has a diffuse fundamental group.

We conclude this section with the following simple useful lemma, which appears as Lemma 5.1 in [10].

LEMMA 2.1. *If Γ acts on a metric space (X, d_X) satisfying the condition*

$(\ast) \quad \forall x, y \in X, g \in \Gamma : gx \neq x \implies \max(d_X(gx, y), d_X(g^{-1}x, y)) > d(x, y)$

then the action is diffuse.

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ be compact with at least two elements. Take a, b in A with $d(a, b) = \operatorname{diam}(A)$, then these are extremal in A. It suffices to check this for a. Given $g \in \Gamma$ not stabilizing a, then ga or $g^{-1}a$ is farther away from b, hence not in A. $\qquad\square$

Note that this argument does not require nor that the action be isometric, neither that the function d_X on $X \times X$ be a distance. However this geometric statement is sufficient for all our concerns in this paper.

2.2. Related properties. Various properties of groups have been defined, which are closely related to diffuseness. We remind the reader of some of these properties and their mutual relations.

Let Γ be a group. We say that Γ is *locally indicable*, if every finitely generated non-trivial subgroup admits a non-trivial homomorphism into the group $\mathbb{Z}$. In

other words, every non-trivial finitely generated subgroup of Γ has a positive first rational Betti number.

Let $\prec$ be a total order on Γ. The order is called *left invariant*, if

$$x \prec y \implies gx \prec gy$$

for all x, y and g in Γ. We say that the order $\prec$ on Γ is *locally invariant* if for all $x, g \in \Gamma$ with $g \neq 1$ either $gx \prec x$ or $g^{-1}x \prec x$. Not all torsion-free groups admit orders with one of these properties. We say that Γ is *left-orderable* (resp. LIO) if there exists a left-invariant (resp. locally invariant) order on Γ. It is easily seen that an LIO group is diffuse. In fact, it was pointed out by Linnell and Witte Morris [46] that a group is LIO if and only if it is diffuse. One can see this as follows: If Γ is diffuse then every finite subset admits a locally invariant order (in an appropriate sense), and this yields a locally invariant order on Γ by a compactness argument.

The group Γ is said to have the *unique product property* (or to have unique products) if for every two finite non-empty subsets $A, B \subset \Gamma$ there is an element in the product $x \in A \cdot B$ which can be written uniquely in the form $x = ab$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

The following implications are well-known (for a complete account see [25]):

$$\text{locally indicable} \overset{(1)}{\implies} \text{left-orderable} \overset{(2)}{\implies} \text{diffuse} \overset{(3)}{\implies} \text{unique products}$$

An example of Bergman [6] shows that (1) is in general not an equivalence, i.e. there are left-orderable groups which are not locally indicable (further examples are given by some of the hyperbolic three–manifolds studied in [16, Section 10] which have a left-orderable fundamental group with finite abelianization).

An explicit example showing that (2) is not an equivalence either is explained in the appendix written by Nathan Dunfield (see Theorem B.1). However, the reverse implication to (3), that is the relation between unique products and diffuseness, remains completely mysterious to us. We have no idea what the answer to the following question should be (even by restricting to groups in a smaller class, for example crystallographic, amenable, linear or hyperbolic groups).

QUESTION 1. Does there exist a group which is not diffuse but has unique products?

It seems extremely hard to verify, for a given group, the unique product property without using any of the other three properties.

2.3. Some particular hyperbolic three–manifolds.

2.3.1. *A diffuse, non-orderable group.* In Appendix B Nathan Dunfield describes explicitly an example of an arithmetic Kleinian group which is diffuse but not left-orderable – this yields the following result (Theorem B.1).

THEOREM 2.2 (Dunfield). *There exists a finitely presented (hyperbolic) group which is diffuse but not left-orderable.*

With Linnell and Witte-Morris' result this shows that there is a difference in these matters between amenable and hyperbolic groups. To verify that the group is diffuse one can use Bowditch's result or our Proposition 4.5.

Let us make a few comments on the origins of this example. The possibility to find such a group among this class of examples was proposed, unbeknownst to the authors, by A. Navas—see [25, 1.4.3]. Nathan Dunfield had previously computed a vast list of examples of closed hyperbolic three–manifolds whose fundamental group is not left-orderable (for some examples see [16]), using an algorithm described in the second paper. The example in Appendix B was not in this list, but was obtained by searching through the towers of finite covers of hyperbolic 3-manifolds studied in [18, §6].

2.3.2. *A non-diffuse lattice in* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. We also found an example of a compact hyperbolic 3-manifold with a non-diffuse fundamental group; in fact it is the hyperbolic three–manifold of smallest volume.

THEOREM 2.3. *The fundamental group of the Weeks manifold is not diffuse.*

We verified this result by explicitly computing a ravel in the fundamental group of the Weeks manifold. We describe the algorithm and its implementations in Section A.1. In fact, given a group Γ and a finite subset A one can decide whether A contains a ravel by the following procedure: choose a random point $a \in A$; if it is extremal (which we check using a sub-algorithm based on the solution to the word problem in Γ) we iterate the algorithm on $A \backslash \{a\}$, otherwise we continue with another one. Once all the points of A have been tested, what remains is either empty or a ravel in Γ.

2.3.3. *Arithmetic Kleinian groups.* In a follow-up to this paper we will investigate the diffuseness properties of arithmetic Kleinian groups, in the hope of finding more examples of the above phenomena. Let us mention two results that will be proven there:

(i) Let $p > 2$ be a prime. There is a constant C_p such that if Γ is a torsion-free arithmetic group with invariant trace field F of degree p and discriminant $D_F > C_p$, then Γ is diffuse.

(ii) If Γ is a torsion-free Kleinian group derived from a quaternion algebra over an imaginary quadratic field F such that

$$D_F \neq -3, -4, -7, -8, -11, -15, -20, -24$$

then Γ is diffuse.

2.4. GROUPS WHICH ARE NOT VIRTUALLY DIFFUSE. All groups considered in this article are residually finite and turn out to be virtually diffuse. Due to a lack of examples, we are curious about an answer to the following question.

QUESTION 2. Is there a finitely generated (resp. finitely presented) group which is torsion-free, residually finite and not virtually diffuse?

The answer is positive without the finiteness hypotheses: given any non-diffuse, torsion-free, residually finite group Γ, then an infinite restricted direct product of factors isomorphic to Γ is residually finite and not virtually diffuse.

Furthermore, if we do not require the group to be residually finite, then one may take a restricted wreath product $\Gamma \wr U$ with some infinite group U. The group $\Gamma \wr U$ is not virtually diffuse and it is finitely generated if Γ and U are finitely generated (not finitely presented, however). Moreover, by a theorem of Gruenberg [32] such a wreath product (Γ non-abelian, U infinite) is not residually finite. Other examples of groups which are not virtually diffuse are the amenable simple groups constructed by K. Juschenko and N. Monod in [42]; these groups cannot be locally indicable, however they are neither residually finite nor finitely presented.

In the case of hyperbolic groups, this question is related to the residual properties of these groups – namely it is still not known if all hyperbolic groups are residually finite. A hyperbolic group which is not virtually diffuse would thus be, in light of the results of Delzant–Bowditch, not residually finite. It is unclear to the authors if this approach is feasible; for results in this direction see [31].

Finally, let us note that it would also be interesting to study the more restrictive class of linear groups instead of residually finite ones.

3. Fundamental groups of infra-solvmanifolds

3.1. Introduction.

3.1.1. *Infra-solvmanifolds.* In this section we discuss diffuse and non-diffuse fundamental groups of infra-solvmanifolds. The focus lies on crystallographic groups, however we shall begin the discussion in a more general setting. Let G be a connected, simply connected, solvable Lie group and let $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ denote the group of continuous automorphisms of G. The affine group of G is the semidirect product $\mathrm{Aff}(G) = G \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. A *lattice* $\Gamma \subset G$ is a discrete cocompact subgroup of G. An *infra-solvmanifold* (of type G) is a quotient manifold G/Λ where $\Lambda \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}(G)$ is a torsion-free subgroup of the affine group such that $\Lambda \cap G$ has finite index in Λ and is a lattice in G. If Λ is not diffuse, we say that G/Λ is a non-diffuse infra-solvmanifold.

The compact infra-solvmanifolds which come from a nilpotent Lie group G are characterised by the property that they are *almost flat*: that is, they admit Riemannian metrics with bounded diameter and arbitrarily small sectional curvatures (this is a theorem of M. Gromov, see [30], [15]). Those that come from abelian G are exactly those that are *flat*, i.e. they admit a Riemannian metric with vanishing sectional curvatures. We will study the latter in detail further in this section. We are not aware of any geometric characterization of general infra-solvmanifolds.

3.1.2. *Diffuse virtually polycyclic groups are strongly polycyclic.* Recall that a group Γ is (strongly) polycyclic if it admits a subnormal series with (infinite) cyclic factors. By a result of Mostow lattices in connected solvable Lie groups

are polycyclic (cf. Prop. 3.7 in [55]). Consequently, the fundamental group of an infra-solvmanifold is a virtually polycyclic group.

As virtually polycyclic groups are amenable, we can use the following striking result of Linnell and Witte Morris [46].

THEOREM 3.1 (Linnell, Witte Morris). *An amenable group is diffuse if and only if it is locally indicable.*

We shall give a geometric proof of this theorem for the special case of virtually abelian groups in the next section. Here we confine ourselves to pointing out the following algebraic consequence.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *A virtually polycyclic group Γ is diffuse if and only if Γ is strongly polycyclic. Consequently, the fundamental group of an infra-solvmanifold is diffuse exactly if it is strongly polycyclic.*

Proof. Clearly, a strongly polycyclic group is a virtually polycyclic group, in addition it is diffuse by Theorem 1.2 in [10].

Assume that Γ is diffuse and virtually polycyclic. We show that Γ is strongly polycyclic by induction on the Hirsch length $h(\Gamma)$. If $h(\Gamma) = 0$, then Γ is a finite group and as such it can only be diffuse if it is trivial.

Suppose $h(\Gamma) = n > 0$ and suppose that the claim holds for all groups of Hirsch length at most $n - 1$. By Theorem 3.1 the group Γ is locally indicable and (since Γ is finitely generated) we can find a surjective homomorphism $\phi \colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that $h(\Gamma) = h(\ker(\phi)) + 1$. The kernel $\ker(\phi)$ is diffuse and virtually polycyclic, and we deduce from the induction hypothesis, that $\ker(\phi)$ (and so Γ) is strongly polycyclic. $\qquad\square$

In the next three sections we focus on crystallographic groups. After the discussion of a geometric proof of Theorem 3.1 in the crystallographic setting (3.2), we will analyse the influence of the structure of the holonomy group for the existence of ravels (3.3). We also give a list of all non-diffuse crystallographic groups in dimension up to four (3.4). Finally, we discuss a family of non-diffuse infra-solvmanifolds in 3.5 which are not flat manifolds.

3.2. GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTION OF RAVELS IN VIRTUALLY ABELIAN GROUPS.
The equivalence of local indicability and diffuseness for amenable groups which was established by Linnell and Witte Morris [46] is a powerful result. Accordingly a virtually polycyclic group with vanishing first rational Betti number contains a ravel. However, their proof does not explain a construction of ravels based on the vanishing Betti number. They stress that this does not seem to be obvious even for virtually abelian groups. The purpose of this section is to give a geometric and elementary proof of this theorem, for the special case of virtually abelian groups, which is based on an explicit construction of ravels.

THEOREM 3.3. *A virtually abelian group is diffuse exactly if it is locally indicable.*

As discussed in Section 2.2 local indicability implies diffuseness. It suffices to prove the converse. Let Γ_0 be a virtually abelian group and assume that it is not locally indicable. We can find a finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_0$ with vanishing first rational Betti number. If Γ contains torsion, it is not diffuse. Thus we assume that Γ is torsion-free. Since a finitely generated torsion-free virtually abelian group is crystallographic, the theorem follows from the next lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let Γ be a crystallographic group acting on a euclidean space E. If $b_1(\Gamma) = 0$, then for all $e \in E$ and all sufficiently large $r > 0$ the set*

$$B(r,e) = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \|\gamma e - e\| \leq r \}$$

is a ravel.

Proof. We can assume $e = 0 \in E$. Let Γ be a non-trivial crystallographic group with vanishing first Betti number and let $\pi : \Gamma \to G$ be the projection onto the holonomy group at 0. The translation subgroup is denoted by T and we fix some $r_0 > 0$ so that for every $u \in E$ there is $t \in T$ satisfying $\|u - t\| \leq r_0$.
The first Betti number $b_1(\Gamma)$ is exactly the dimension of the space E^G of G-fixed vectors. Thus $b_1(\Gamma) = 0$ means that G acts without non-trivial fixed points on E. Since every non-zero vector is moved by G, there is a real number $\delta < 1$ such that for all $u \in E$ there is $g \in G$ such that

$$(1) \qquad\qquad\qquad \|gu + u\| \leq 2\delta\|u\|.$$

For $r > 0$ let B_r denote the closed ball of radius r around 0. Fix $u \in B_r$; we shall find $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\|\gamma u\| \leq r$ and $\|\gamma^{-1}u\| \leq r$ provided r is sufficiently large. We pick $g \in G$ as in (1) and we choose some $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ with $\pi(\gamma_0) = g$. Define $w_0 = \gamma_0(0)$. We observe that for every two vectors $v_1, v_2 \in E$ with distance d, there is $x \in w_0 + T$ with

$$\max_{i=1,2}(\|v_i - x\|) \leq r_0 + \frac{d}{2}.$$

Indeed, the ball of radius r_0 around the midpoint of the line between v_1 and v_2 contains an element $x \in w_0 + T$. Apply this to the vectors $v_1 = u$ and $v_2 = -gu$ to find some $x = w_0 + t$. By construction we get $d \leq 2\delta r$.
Finally we define $\gamma = t \circ \gamma_0$ to deduce the inequalities

$$\|\gamma u\| = \|gu + x\| = \|-gu - x\| \leq r_0 + \delta r$$

and

$$\|\gamma^{-1}u\| = \|g^{-1}u - g^{-1}x\| = \|u - x\| \leq r_0 + \delta r.$$

As $\delta < 1$ the right hand side is less than r for all sufficiently large r. $\qquad\square$

3.3. DIFFUSENESS AND THE HOLONOMY OF CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC GROUPS. We take a closer look at the non-diffuse crystallographic groups and their holonomy groups. It will turn out that for a given crystallographic group one can often decide from the holonomy group whether or not the group is diffuse. In the following a *Bieberbach group* is a non-trivial torsion-free crystallographic group. Let Γ be a Bieberbach group, it has a finite index normal maximal abelian

subgroup $T \subset \Gamma$. Recall that the finite quotient $G = \Gamma/T$ is called the holonomy group of Γ. Since every finite group is the holonomy group of some Bieberbach group (by a result due to Auslander-Kuranishi [4]), this naturally divides the finite groups into three classes.

DEFINITION 1. A finite group G is *holonomy diffuse* if every Bieberbach group Γ with holonomy group G is diffuse. It is *holonomy anti-diffuse* if every Bieberbach group Γ with holonomy group G is non-diffuse. Otherwise we say that G is *holonomy mixed*.

For example, the finite group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ is holonomy mixed. In fact, the Promislow group Δ_P (also known as Hantzche-Wendt group or Passman group) is a non-diffuse [10] Bieberbach group with holonomy group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ – thus $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ is not holonomy diffuse. On the other hand it is easy to construct diffuse groups with holonomy group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ (cf. Lemma 3.9 below).
In this section we prove the following algebraic characterisation of these three classes of finite groups.

THEOREM 3.5. *A finite group G is*

  (i) *holonomy anti-diffuse if and only if it is not solvable.*
  (ii) *holonomy diffuse exactly if every Sylow subgroup is cyclic.*
  (iii) *holonomy mixed if and only if it is solvable and has a non-cyclic Sylow subgroup.*

The proof of this theorem will be given as a sequence of lemmata below. A finite group G with cyclic Sylow subgroups is meta-cyclic (Thm. 9.4.3 in [34]). In particular, such a group G is solvable and hence it suffices to prove the assertions (i) and (ii). One direction of (i) is easy. By Proposition 3.2 a diffuse Bieberbach group is solvable and thus cannot have a finite non-solvable quotient, i.e. a non-solvable group is holonomy anti-diffuse. For (i) it remains to verify that every finite solvable group is the holonomy of some diffuse Bieberbach group; this will be done in Lemma 3.9.
In order to prove (ii), we shall use a terminology introduced by Hiller-Sah [38].

DEFINITION 2. A finite group G is *primitive* if it is the holonomy group of a Bieberbach group with finite abelianization.

Statement (ii) of the theorem will follow from the next lemma.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let G be a finite group. The following statements are equivalent.*

  (a) *G is not holonomy diffuse.*
  (b) *G has a non-cyclic Sylow subgroup.*
  (c) *G contains a normal primitive subgroup.*

We frequently use the following notion: A cohomology class $\alpha \in H^2(G, A)$ (for some finite group G and some G-module A) is called *special* if it corresponds to a torsion-free extension of G by A (cf. [38]). Equivalently, if A is free abelian, the restriction of α to any cyclic subgroup of G is non-zero.

Proof. Hiller-Sah [38] obtained an algebraic characterisation of primitive groups. They showed that a finite group is primitive exactly if it does not contain a cyclic Sylow p-subgroup which admits a normal complement (see also [21] for a different criterion).

(a) $\implies$ (b): Assume G is not holonomy diffuse and take a non-diffuse Bieberbach group Γ with holonomy group G. As Γ is not locally indicable we find a non-trivial subgroup $\Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma$ with $b_1(\Gamma_0) = 0$. The holonomy group G_0 of Γ_0 is primitive. Let p be the smallest prime divisor of $|G_0|$. The Sylow p-subgroups of G_0 are not cyclic, since otherwise they would admit a normal complement (by a result of Burnside [14]). Let $\pi : \Gamma \to G$ be the projection. The image $\pi(\Gamma_0)$ has G_0 as a quotient and hence $\pi(\Gamma_0)$ also has non-cyclic Sylow p-subgroups. As every p-group is contained in a Sylow p-subgroup, we deduce that the Sylow p-subgroups of G are not cyclic.

(b) $\implies$ (c): Let p be a prime such that the Sylow p-subgroups of G are not cyclic. Consider the subgroup H of G generated by all p-Sylow subgroups. The group H is normal in G and we claim that it is primitive. The Sylow p-subgroups of H are precisely those of G and they are not cyclic. Let p' be a prime divisor of $|H|$ different from p. Suppose there is a (cyclic) Sylow p'-subgroup Q in H which admits a normal complement N. As H/N is a p'-group, the Sylow p-subgroups of H lie in N. By construction H is generated by its Sylow p-subgroups and so $N = H$. This contradicts the existence of such a Sylow p'-subgroup.

(c) $\implies$ (a): Assume now that G contains a normal subgroup $N \trianglelefteq G$ which is primitive. We show that G is not holonomy diffuse. Since N is primitive, there exists Bieberbach group Λ with holonomy group N and with $b_1(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$. Let A be the translation subgroup of Λ and let $\alpha \in H^2(N, A)$ be the special class corresponding to the extension Λ. The vanishing Betti number $b_1(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ is equivalent to $A^N = \{0\}$.

Consider the induced $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module $B := \mathrm{ind}_N^G(A)$. Let T be a transversal of N in G containing 1_G. If we restrict the action on B to N we obtain

$$B_{|N} = \bigoplus_{g \in T} A(g)$$

where $A(g)$ is the N-module obtained from A by twisting with the action with g, i.e. $h \in N$ acts by $g^{-1}hg$ on A. In particular, $B^N = \{0\}$ and $A = A(1_G)$ is a direct summand of $B_{|N}$.

Observe that every class in $H^2(N, B)$ which projects to $\alpha \in H^2(N, A)$ is special and defines thus a Bieberbach group with finite abelianization. Shapiro's isomorphism $\mathrm{sh}^2 : H^2(G, B) \to H^2(N, A)$ is the composition of the restriction res_G^N and the projection $H^2(N, B) \to H^2(N, A)$. We deduce that there is a class $\gamma \in H^2(G, B)$ which maps to some special class $\beta \in H^2(N, B)$ (which projects onto $\alpha \in H^2(N, A)$). Let Λ' be the Bieberbach group (with $b_1(\Lambda') = 0$) corresponding to β. The group corresponding to γ might not be torsion-free, so we need to vary γ so that it becomes a special class.

Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be the collection of all cyclic prime order subgroups C of G which intersect N trivially. For each $C \in \mathfrak{H}$ we define

$$M_C := \operatorname{ind}_C^G(\mathbb{Z})$$

where C acts trivially on $\mathbb{Z}$. The group N acts freely on $C\backslash G$, since $C \cap N = \{1_G\}$. Therefore $(M_C)_{|N}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[N]$-module. We define the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module

$$M = B \oplus \bigoplus_{C \in \mathfrak{H}} M_C.$$

Using Shapiro's Lemma we find classes $\alpha_C \in H^2(G, M_C)$ which restrict to non-trivial classes in $H^2(C, M_C)$. Consider the cohomology class $\delta := \gamma \oplus \bigoplus_{C \in \mathfrak{H}} \alpha_C \in H^2(G, M)$.

The class δ is special, as can be seen as follows. For every $C \in \mathfrak{H}$ this follows from the fact that α_C restricts non-trivially to C. For the cyclic subgroups $C \leq N$ this holds since the restriction of γ to N is special. Consequently δ defines a Bieberbach group Γ with holonomy group G.

Finally, we claim that $\operatorname{res}_G^N(\delta) = i_*(\operatorname{res}_G^N(\gamma))$ where $i : B \to M$ is the inclusion map. Indeed, $H^2(N, M_C) = 0$ since M_C is a free $\mathbb{Z}[N]$-module. Since $\operatorname{res}_G^N(\gamma) = \beta$ we conclude that Γ contains the group Λ' as a subgroup and thus Γ is not locally indicable. $\qquad\square$

We are left with constructing diffuse Bieberbach groups for a given solvable holonomy group. We start with a simple lemma concerning fibre products of groups. For $0 \leq i \leq n$ let Γ_i be a group with a surjective homomorphism ψ_i onto some fixed group G. The fibre product $\times_G \Gamma_i$ is defined as a subgroup of the direct product $\prod_i \Gamma_i$ by

$$\times_G \Gamma_i := \{\, (\gamma_i)_i \in \prod_{i=0}^n \Gamma_i \mid \psi_i(\gamma_i) = \psi_0(\gamma_0) \text{ for all } i \,\}.$$

In this setting we observe the following

LEMMA 3.7. *If Γ_0 is diffuse and $\ker \psi_i \subset \Gamma_i$ is diffuse for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $\times_G \Gamma_i$ is diffuse.*

Proof. There is a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \ker \psi_i \overset{j}{\longrightarrow} \times_G \Gamma_i \longrightarrow \Gamma_0 \longrightarrow 1$$

so the claim follows from Theorem 1.2 in [10]. $\qquad\square$

LEMMA 3.8. *Let G be a finite group and let $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ be free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with G-action. Let $\alpha_i \in H^2(G, M_i)$ be classes. If one of these classes defines a diffuse extension group of G, then the sum of the α_i in $H^2(G, M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n)$ defines a diffuse extension of G.*

Proof. Taking the sum of classes corresponds to the formation of fibre products of the associated extensions, so the claim follows from Lemma 3.7. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 3.9. *Every finite solvable group is the holonomy group of a diffuse Bieberbach group.*

Proof. We begin by constructing diffuse Bieberbach groups with given abelian holonomy group. Let A be an abelian group and let Γ_1 be a Bieberbach group with holonomy group A and projection $\psi_1 \colon \Gamma_1 \to A$. Write A as a quotient of a free abelian group $\Gamma_0 = \mathbb{Z}^k$ of finite rank with projection $\psi_0 \colon \mathbb{Z}^k \to A$. By Lemma 3.7 the fibred product $\Gamma_0 \times_A \Gamma_1$ is a diffuse Bieberbach group with holonomy group A (the kernel of ψ_1 is free abelian).

Assume now that G is solvable. We construct a diffuse Bieberbach group Γ with holonomy group G. We will proceed by induction on the derived length of G. The basis for the induction is given by the construction for abelian groups above. Let G' be the derived group of G. By induction hypothesis there is a faithful G'-module M and a "diffuse" class $\alpha \in H^2(G', M)$. Consider the induced module $B = \mathrm{ind}_{G'}^G(M)$. The restriction of B to G' decomposes into a direct sum

$$B_{|G'} \cong M \oplus X.$$

There is a class $\beta \in H^2(G, B)$ which maps to α under Shapiro's isomorphism $\mathrm{sh}^2 \colon H^2(G, B) \to H^2(G', M)$. Due to this the restriction $\mathrm{res}_G^{G'}(\beta)$ decomposes as $\alpha \oplus x \in H^2(G', M) \oplus H^2(G', X)$. By Lemma 3.8 the class $\mathrm{res}_G^{G'}(\beta)$ is diffuse. Let Γ_1 be the extension of G which corresponds to the class β. By what we have seen, the subgroup $\Lambda_1 = \ker(\Gamma_1 \to G/G')$ is diffuse. Finally, we write the finite abelian group G/G' as a quotient of a free abelian group $\Gamma_0 = \mathbb{Z}^k$. By Lemma 3.7 the fibre product $\Gamma_0 \times_{G/G'} \Gamma_1$ is diffuse. In fact, it is a Bieberbach group with holonomy group G. $\square$

3.4. Non-diffuse Bieberbach groups in small dimensions. In this section we briefly describe the classification of all Bieberbach groups in dimension $d \leq 4$ which are not diffuse. The complete classification of crystallographic groups in these dimensions is given in [13] and we refer to them according to their system of enumeration.

In dimensions 2 and 3 the classification is very easy. In dimension $d = 2$ there are two Bieberbach groups and both of them are diffuse. In dimension $d = 3$ there are exactly 10 Bieberbach groups. The only group among those with vanishing first rational Betti number is the Promislow (or Hantzsche-Wendt) group Δ_P (which is called 3/1/1/04 in [13]).

Now we consider the case $d = 4$, in this case there are 74 Bieberbach groups. As a consequence of the considerations for dimensions 2 and 3, a Bieberbach group Γ of dimension $d = 4$ is not diffuse if and only if it has vanishing Betti number or contains the Promislow group Δ_P. Vanishing Betti number is something that can be detected easily from the classification. So how can one detect the existence of a subgroup isomorphic to Δ_P? The answer is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *Let Γ be a Bieberbach group acting on $E = \mathbb{R}^4$ and assume that $b_1(\Gamma) > 0$. Let $\pi : \Gamma \to G$ be the projection onto the holonomy group. Then Γ is not diffuse if and only if it contains elements $g, h \in \Gamma$ such that*

(i) $S := \langle \pi(g), \pi(h) \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$,
(ii) $\dim E^S = 1$ and
(iii) if $E = E^S \oplus V$ as S-module, then $g \cdot 0$ and $h \cdot 0$ lie in V.

Proof. Since $b_1(\Gamma) > 0$, the group Γ is not diffuse exactly if it contains Δ_P as a subgroup.

Assume Γ contains Δ_P and let $\Lambda = \ker(\pi)$ be the translation subgroup of Γ (considered as a lattice in E). We claim that the holonomy group of Δ_P embeds into G via π. We show that $L := \Delta_P \cap \Lambda$ is the maximal abelian finite index subgroup of Δ_P. The lattice L spans a three-dimensional subspace $V \subseteq E$ on which $\Delta_P/L \cong \pi(\Delta_P)$ acts without fixed points. Since $b_1(\Gamma) > 0$ the group $S = \pi(\Delta_P)$ has a one-dimensional fixed point space E^S which is a complement of V in E. Suppose L_1 is an abelian subgroup of Δ_P which contains L. Then L_1/L acts trivially on E and (as G acts faithfully on E) we conclude $L_1 = L$. Take g and h in Γ such $\pi(g)$ and $\pi(h)$ generate S, clearly $g \cdot 0, h \cdot 0 \in V$. The group S acts without non-trivial fixed points on V and $E = E^S \oplus V$ is a decomposition as S-module.

Conversely, if we can find $g, h \in \Gamma$ as above, then they generate a Bieberbach group of smaller dimension and with vanishing first Betti number. Hence they generate a group isomorphic to Δ_P. $\qquad\square$

Using this lemma and the results of the previous section one can decide for each of the 74 Bieberbach groups whether they are diffuse or not. It turns out there are 16 non-diffuse groups in dimension 4, namely (cf. [13]):

> 04/03/01/006, 05/01/02/009, 05/01/04/006, 05/01/07/004,
> 06/01/01/049, 06/01/01/092, 06/02/01/027, 06/02/01/050,
> 12/03/04/006, 12/03/10/005, 12/04/03/011, 13/04/01/023,
> 13/04/04/011, 24/01/02/004, 24/01/04/004, 25/01/01/010.

The elementary abelian groups $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$, the dihedral group D_8, the alternating group A_4 and the direct product group $A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ occur as holonomy groups. Among these groups only four groups have vanishing first Betti number (these are 04/03/01/006, 06/02/01/027, 06/02/01/050 and 12/04/03/011). However, one can check that these groups contain the Promislow group as well. In a sense the Promislow group is the only reason for Bieberbach groups in dimension 4 to be non-diffuse (thus non of these groups has the unique product property). This leads to the following question: What is the smallest dimension d_0 of a non-diffuse Bieberbach group which does not contain Δ_P? Clearly, such a group has vanishing first Betti number. Note that there is a group with vanishing first Betti number and holonomy $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ in dimension 8 (see [38]); thus $5 \leq d_0 \leq 8$. The so-called generalized Hantzsche-Wendt groups are higher dimensional analogs of Δ_P (cf. [63, 58]). However, any such group Γ with $b_1(\Gamma) = 0$ contains the Promislow group (see Prop. 8.2 in [58]).

3.5. A family of non-diffuse infra-solvmanifolds. Many geometric questions are not answered by the simple algebraic observation in Proposition 3.2. For instance, given a simply connected solvable Lie group G, is there an infra-solvmanifold of type G with non-diffuse fundamental group? To our knowledge there is no criterion which decides whether a solvable Lie group G admits a lattice at all. Hence we do not expect a simple answer for the above question. We briefly discuss an infinite family of simply connected solvable groups where every infra-solvmanifold is commensurable to a non-diffuse one. Let $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n$ be $n \geq 1$ *distinct* real numbers with $\rho_i > 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We define the Lie group

$$G := \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rtimes \mathbb{R}$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ acts by the diagonal matrix $\beta(s) := \operatorname{diag}(\rho_1^s, \ldots, \rho_n^s, \rho_1^{-s}, \ldots, \rho_n^{-s})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The group G is a simply connected solvable Lie group. The isomorphism class of G depends only one the line spanned by $(\log \rho_1, \ldots, \log \rho_n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $n = 1$ the group G is the three dimensional solvable group **Sol**, which will be reconsidered in Section 5.

Proposition 3.11. *In the above setting the following holds.*

    (a) *The Lie group G has a lattice if and only if there is $t_0 > 0$ such that the polynomial $f(X) := \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - (\rho_i^{t_0} + \rho_i^{-t_0})X + X^2)$ has integral coefficients.*

    (b) *If G admits a lattice, then every infra-solvmanifold of type G is commensurable to a non-diffuse one.*

Before we prove the proposition, we describe the group of automorphisms of G. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, then $\sigma(x, t) = (Wx + f(t), \lambda t)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, $W \in \operatorname{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in Z^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ a smooth cocycle for the action of $s \in \mathbb{R}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ via $\beta(\lambda \cdot s)$. Using that $H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2n}) = 0$ we can compose σ with an inner automorphism of G (given by an element in $[G, G]$) such that $f(t) = 0$. Observe that the following equality has to hold

$$\beta(\lambda t) W = W \beta(t)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. As a consequence λ is 1 or -1. In the former case W is diagonal, in the latter case W is a product of a diagonal matrix and

$$W_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_n \\ 1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let D_+ denote the group generated by diagonal matrices in $\operatorname{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ and W_0, then $\operatorname{Aut}(G) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rtimes D_+$.

Proof of Proposition 3.11. Ad (a): Note that $N := \mathbb{R}^{2n} = [G, G]$ is the maximal connected normal nilpotent subgroup of G. Suppose that G contains a lattice Γ. Then $\Gamma_0 := \Gamma \cap N$ is a lattice in N (cf. Cor. 3.5 in [55]) and Γ/Γ_0 is a lattice in $G/N \cong \mathbb{R}$. Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ so that we can identify Γ/Γ_0 with $\mathbb{Z}t_0$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Take a basis of Γ_0, with respect to this basis $\beta(t_0)$ is a matrix in $\operatorname{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$. The polynomial f is the charcteristic polynomial of $\beta(t_0)$ and the claim follows.

Conversely, let $t_0 > 0$ with $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ as above. Take any matrix $A \in \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ with characteristic polynomial f, e.g. if $f(X) = X^{2n} + a_{2n-1}X^{2n-1} + \cdots + a_1 X + a_0$ then the matrix A with ones above the diagonal and last row $(-a_0, -a_1, \ldots, -a_{2n-1})$ has suitable characteristic polynomial.

Since by assumption all the ρ_i are distinct and real, we find $P \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ with $PAP^{-1} = \beta(t_0)$. Now define $\Gamma_0 := P\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ and we obtain a lattice $\Gamma := \Gamma_0 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}t_0)$ in G.

Ad (b): Let $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{Aff}(G)$ be the fundamental group of an infra-solvmanifold. Define $\Gamma := G \cap \Lambda$ and $\Gamma_0 := \Gamma \cap N$ where $N = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is the maximal normal nilpotent subgroup. The first Betti number of Λ is $b_1(\Lambda) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G/N)^{\Lambda/\Gamma}$. The quotient Γ/Γ_0 is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}$, so is of the form $\mathbb{Z}t_0$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Take any basis of the lattice $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We shall consider coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with respect to this basis from now on. In particular, $\beta(t_0)$ is given by an integral matrix $A \in \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and further Γ is isomorphic to the strongly polycyclic group $\mathbb{Z}^{2n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ where $\mathbb{Z}$ acts via A. Let $F/\mathbb{Q}$ be a finite totally real Galois extension which splits the characteristic polynomial of A, so the Galois group permutes the eigenvalues of A. Moreover, the Galois group acts on $\Gamma_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$ so that we can find a set of eigenvectors which are permuted accordingly. Let $B \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(F)$ be the matrix whose columns are the chosen eigenvectors, then $B^{-1}AB = \beta(t_0)$ and for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ we have $\sigma(B) = BP_\sigma$ for a permutation matrix $P_\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$. It is easily seen that P_σ commutes with W_0, and hence $W = BW_0B^{-1}$ is stable under the Galois group, this means $W \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Since W is of order two, we can find a sublattice $L \subset \Gamma_0$ which admits a basis of eigenvectors of W. Pick one of these basis vectors, say v, with eigenvalue one, find $q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ with $q\Gamma_0 \subset L$ and take a positive integer r so that

$$A^r \equiv 1 \bmod 4q.$$

This way we find a finite index subgroup $\Gamma' := L \rtimes r\mathbb{Z}$ of Γ which is stable under the automorphism τ defined by $(x, t) \mapsto (Wx, -t)$. Since we want to construct a torsion-free group we cannot add τ into the group. Instead we take the group Λ' generated by $(\frac{1}{2}v, 0)\tau$ and Γ' in the affine group $\mathrm{Aff}(G)$. A short calculation shows that Λ' is torsion-free and hence Λ' is the fundamental group of an infra-solvmanifold of type G which is commensurable with Λ. By construction the first Betti number $b_1(\Lambda') = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G/N)^{\Lambda'/\Gamma'}$ vanishes and so Λ' is not diffuse by Theorem 3.1. $\qquad\square$

4. Fundamental groups of hyperbolic manifolds

In this section we prove Theorems B and C from the introduction. We give a short overview of rank one symmetric spaces before studying first their unipotent and then their axial isometries in view of applying Lemma 2.1. Then we review some well-known properties of geometrically finite groups of isometries before proving a more general result (Theorem 4.8) and showing how it implies

Theorems B and C. We also study the action on the boundary, resulting in Theorem 4.11, which will be used in the next section.

4.1. Hyperbolic spaces.

4.1.1. *Isometries.* We recall some terminology about isometries of Hadamard manifolds: if $g \in \mathrm{Isom}^+(X)$ where X is a complete simply connected manifold with non-positive curvature then g is said to be

- Hyperbolic (or axial) if $\min(g) = \inf_{x \in X} d_X(x, gx) > 0$;
- Parabolic if it fixes exactly one point in the visual boundary ∂X, equivalently $\min(g) = 0$ and g has no fixed point inside X.

We will be interested here in the case where $X = G/K$ is a symmetric space associated to a simple Lie group G *of real rank one*. An element $g \in G$ then acts on X as an hyperbolic isometry if and only if it is semisimple and has an eigenvalue of absolute value > 1 in the adjoint representation. Parabolic isometries of X are algebraically characterised as corresponding to the non-semisimple elements of G; their eigenvalues are necessarily of absolute value one. If they are all equal to one then the element of G is said to be unipotent, as well as the corresponding isometry of X.

4.1.2. *Projective model.* Here we describe models for the hyperbolic spaces $\mathbb{H}_A^n$ for $A = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ (the symmetric spaces associated to the Lie groups $\mathrm{SO}(n,1)$, $\mathrm{SU}(n,1)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(n,1)$ respectively) which we will use later for computations. We will denote by $z \mapsto \overline{z}$ the involution on A fixing $\mathbb{R}$, and define as usual the reduced norm and trace of A by

$$|z|_{A/\mathbb{R}} = \overline{z}z = z\overline{z}, \quad \mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}(z) = z + \overline{z}$$

We let $V = A^{n,1}$, by which we mean that V is the right A-vector space A^{n+1} endowed with the sesquilinear inner product given by[2]

$$\langle v, v' \rangle = \overline{v'_{n+1}}v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \overline{v'_i}v_i + \overline{v'_1}v_{n+1}.$$

The (special if $A = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) isometry group G of V is then isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(n,1)$, $\mathrm{SU}(n,1)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(n,1)$. Let:

$$V_- = \{v \in V \mid \langle v, v \rangle < 0\} = \left\{ v \in V \mid \mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}(\overline{v_1}v_{n+1}) < -\sum_{i=2}^n |v_i|_{A/\mathbb{R}} \right\}$$

then the image $X = \mathbb{P}V_-$ of V_- in the A-projective space $\mathbb{P}V$ of V can be endowed with a distance function d_X given by:

$$(2) \qquad \cosh\left(\frac{d_X([v],[v'])}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{|\langle v, v' \rangle|_{A/\mathbb{R}}}{\langle v, v \rangle \langle v', v' \rangle}.$$

This distance is G-invariant, and the stabilizer in G of a point in V_- is a maximal compact subgroup of G. Hence the space X is a model for the symmetric

---

[2]We use the model of [43] rather than that of [53].

space G/K (where $K = \mathrm{SO}(n), \mathrm{SU}(n)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ according to whether $A = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$).

The following lemma will be of use later.

LEMMA 4.1. *If $v, v' \in V_-$ then $\mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}(\overline{v_{n+1}}v'_{n+1}\langle v, v'\rangle) < 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}(\overline{v_{n+1}}v'_{n+1}\langle v, v'\rangle)$ does not change sign when we multiply v or v' by a element of A from the right, we may suppose that $v_{n+1} = v'_{n+1} = 1$. In this case we have:

$$\mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}(\overline{v_{n+1}}v'_{n+1}\langle v, v'\rangle) = \mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}(v_1) + \mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}(v'_1) + \mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \overline{v'_i}v_i\right).$$

Now we have

$$\mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \overline{v'_i}v_i\right) \leq 2\sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} |v_i|_{A/\mathbb{R}}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} |v'_i|_{A/\mathbb{R}}\right)}$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz, and since $v, v' \in V_-$ we get

$$\mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}(\overline{v_{n+1}}v'_{n+1}\langle v, v'\rangle) < \mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \overline{v'_i}v_i\right) - \sum_{i=2}^{n} |v_i|_{A/\mathbb{R}} - \sum_{i=2}^{n} |v'_i|_{A/\mathbb{R}}$$

$$\leq -\left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=2}^{n} |v_i|_{A/\mathbb{R}}} - \sqrt{\sum_{i=2}^{n} |v'_i|_{A/\mathbb{R}}}\right)^2 \leq 0.$$

$\square$

4.2. UNIPOTENT ISOMETRIES AND DISTANCE FUNCTIONS. In this subsection we prove the following proposition, which is the main ingredient we use in extending the results of [10] from cocompact subgroups to general lattices.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let A be one of $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$ and let $\eta \neq 1$ be a unipotent isometry of $X = \mathbb{H}_A^n$ and $a, x \in \mathbb{H}_A^n$. Then*

$$\max\big(d(a, \eta x), d(a, \eta^{-1}x)\big) > d(a, x).$$

Proof. We say that a function $h : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is strictly convex if h is the restriction to $\mathbb{Z}$ of a strictly convex function on $\mathbb{R}$ (equivalently all points on the graph of h are extremal in their convex hull and h has a finite lower bound). We will use the following criterion, similar to Lemma 6.1 in [53].

LEMMA 4.3. *Let X be a metric space, $x \in X$ and let $\phi \in \mathrm{Isom}(X)$. Suppose that there exists an increasing function $f : [0, +\infty[\to \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $y \in X$ the function $h_y : k \mapsto f(d_X(y, \phi^k x))$ is strictly convex. Let*

$$B_k = \{y \in X : d_X(y, \phi^k x) \leq d_X(y, x)\}.$$

Then we have $B_1 \cap B_{-1} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose there is a $y \in X$ such that

$$d_X(y, \phi x), d_X(y, \phi^{-1}x) \leq d_X(y, x).$$

Since f is increasing this means that $h_y(1), h_y(-1) \leq h_y(0)$: but this is impossible since h_y is strictly convex. $\qquad\square$

Applying it to $\phi = \eta$, we see that it suffices to prove that for any $z, w \in X$ the function

$$f : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \cosh\left(\frac{d_X(z, \eta^t w)}{2}\right)^2$$

is strictly convex on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. $f'' > 0$. Of course we need only to prove that $f''(0) > 0$ since z, w are arbitrary. By the formula (2) for arc length in hyperbolic spaces it suffices to prove this for the function

$$h : t \mapsto |\langle v, \eta^t v' \rangle|_{A/\mathbb{R}}$$

for any two $v, v' \in A^{n,1}$ (which we normalize so that their last coordinate equals 1). Now we have:

$$\frac{d^2 h}{dt^2} = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}\left(\overline{\langle v, \eta^t v' \rangle} \frac{d}{dt}\langle v, \eta^t v'\rangle\right)\right)$$

$$= 2\left|\frac{d}{dt}\langle v, \eta^t v'\rangle\right|_{A/\mathbb{R}} + \mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}\left(\overline{\langle v, \eta^t v' \rangle}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\langle v, \eta^t v'\rangle\right).$$

There are two distinct cases (see either [53, Section 3] or [43, Section 1]): η can be conjugated to a matrix of one of the following forms:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\overline{a} & -|a|_{A/\mathbb{R}}/2 \\ 0 & 1_{n-1} & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, a \in A^n \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, b \in A \text{ totally imaginary.}$$

In the second case we get that $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\eta^t = 0$, hence

$$\frac{d^2 h}{dt^2} = 2\left|\frac{d}{dt}\langle v, \eta^t v'\rangle\right|_{A/\mathbb{R}} = 2|\overline{b}|_{A/\mathbb{R}} > 0.$$

In the first case (which we normalize so that $|a|_{A/\mathbb{R}} = 1$) we have at $t = 0$:

$$\frac{d^2 h}{dt^2} = 2\left|\frac{d}{dt}\langle v, \eta^t v'\rangle\right|_{A/\mathbb{R}} - \mathrm{tr}_{A/\mathbb{R}}\left(\overline{v_{n+1}}v'_{n+1}\langle v, v'\rangle\right)$$

and hence the result follows from Lemma 4.1. $\qquad\square$

4.3. Hyperbolic isometries and distance functions. In view of establishing the inequality $(*)$ in Lemma 2.1 axial isometries in negatively curved spaces have a much simpler behaviour than parabolic ones: one only needs to use the hyperbolicity of the space on which they act as soon as their minimal displacement is large enough, as was already observed in [10] (see Lemma 4.4 below). On the other hand, isometries with small enough minimal displacement which rotate non-trivially around their axis obviously do not satisfy $(*)$

for all y; we study this phenomenon in more detail for real hyperbolic spaces below, obtaining an optimal criterion in Proposition 4.5.

4.3.1. *Gromov-hyperbolic spaces.* The following lemma is a slightly more precise version of Corollary 5.2 [10]. It has essentially the same proof; we will give the details, which are not contained in [10].

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $\delta > 0$ and $d > 0$; there exists a constant $C(\delta, d)$ such that for any δ-hyperbolic space X and any axial isometry γ of X such that $\min(\gamma) \geq C(\delta, d)$ and any pair $(x, a) \in X$ we have*

$$\max(d(\gamma x, a), d(\gamma^{-1} x, a)) \geq d(x, a) + d.$$

Proof. Let γ be as in the statement (with the constant $C = C(\delta, d)$ to be determined later), let L be its axis. Let w, w', w'' be the projections of $x, \gamma x, \gamma^{-1} x$ on L, and v that of a. We will suppose (without loss of generality) that v lies on the ray in L originating at w and passing through w'.

Now let T be a metric tree with set of vertices constructed as follows: we take the geodesic segment on L containing all of w, w', w'' and v and we add the arcs $[x, w]$, etc. Then, for any two vertices u, u' of T we have

$$d_X(u, u') \leq d_T(u, u') \leq d_X(u, u') + c$$

where c depends only on δ (see the proof of Proposition 6.7 in [11]). In this tree we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_T(a, \gamma^{-1} x) &= d_X(a, v) + d_X(v, w) + d_X(w, w'') + d_X(w'', \gamma^{-1} x) \\
&= d_X(w, \gamma^{-1} w) + d_X(a, v) + d_X(v, w) + d_X(w, x) \\
&= \min(\gamma) + d_T(a, x)
\end{aligned}$$

and using both inequalities above we get that

$$d_X(a, \gamma^{-1} x) \geq d_T(a, \gamma^{-1} x) - c \geq d_X(a, x) + \min(\gamma) - c.$$

We see that for $\min(\gamma) \geq C(\delta, d) = c + d$ the desired result follows.   □

4.3.2. *A more precise result in real hyperbolic spaces.* We briefly discuss a quantitative version of Lemma 4.4. Bowditch observed (cf. Thm. 5.3 in [10]) that a group Γ which acts freely by axial transformations on the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ is diffuse if every $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$ has translation length at least $2\log(1 + \sqrt{2})$. We obtain a slight improvement relating the lower bound on the translation length more closely to the eigenvalues of the rotational part of the transformation.

Our proof is based on a calculation in the upper half-space model of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, i.e. we consider $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^n = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n > 0 \}$ with the hyperbolic metric d (see §4.6 in [56]). Every axial transformation γ on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ is conjugate to a transformation of the form $x \mapsto kAx$ where A is an orthogonal matrix in $O(n-1)$ (acting on the first $n-1$ components) and $k > 1$ is a real number (see Thm. 4.7.4 in [56]). We say that A is the *rotational part* of γ. The translation length of γ is given by $\min(\gamma) = \log(k)$. We define the *absolute rotation* r_γ of γ to be the maximal

value of $|\lambda - 1|$ where λ runs through all eigenvalues of A. In other words, r_γ is merely the operator norm of the matrix $A - 1$. The absolute rotation measures how close the eigenvalues get to -1. It is apparent from Bowditch's proof that the case of eigenvalue -1 (rotation of angle π) is the problematic case whereas the situation should improve significantly for rotation bounded away from angle π. We prove the following sharp result.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *An axial transformation γ of $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{R}^n$ has the property*

$(\bigstar) \qquad \max(d(x, \gamma y), d(x, \gamma^{-1} y)) > d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{R}^n$

if and only if the translation length $\min(\gamma)$ satisfies

$(\clubsuit) \qquad\qquad\qquad \min(\gamma) \geq \mathrm{arcosh}(1 + r_\gamma).$

Using the same argument as above we immediately obtain the following improvement of Bowditch's Theorem 5.3 (we use Proposition 4.2 to take care of the unipotent elements).

COROLLARY. Let Γ be a group which acts freely by axial or unipotent transformations of the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{R}^n$. If the translation length of every axial $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfies inequality ($\clubsuit$), then Γ is diffuse.

REMARK. (1) It is a trivial matter to see that the converse of the corollary does not hold. Take any axial transformation $\gamma \neq 1$ which does not obey inequality ($\clubsuit$), then the diffuse group $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ acts via γ on $\mathbb{H}^n$.
(2) If $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is hyperbolic, with an eigenvalue $\lambda = e^{\ell/2} e^{i\theta/2}$ then the condition ($\clubsuit$) is equivalent to

$$\cosh(\ell) \geq 1 + \sqrt{2 - 2\cos(\theta)}.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.5. Let γ be an axial transformation which satisfies ($\clubsuit$). We will show that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\max(d(x, \gamma y), d(x, \gamma^{-1} y)) > d(x, y)$. After conjugation we can assume that $\gamma(a) = Aka$ with $k > 1$ and $A \in \mathrm{O}(n-1)$. We take x, y to lie in the upper half-space model, then we may consider them as elements of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We will suppose in the sequel that $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ in the euclidean metric of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and under this hypothesis we shall prove that $d(x, \gamma y) > d(x, y)$. If the opposite inequality $\|x\| \geq \|y\|$ holds we get that $d(y, \gamma x) > d(x, y)$, hence $d(x, \gamma^{-1} y) > d(x, y)$ which implies the proposition.
Using the definition of the hyperbolic metric and the monotonicity of cosh on positive numbers, it suffices to show

$$\|x - Aky\|^2 > k\|x - y\|^2.$$

In other words, we need to show that the largest real zero of the quadratic function

$$f(t) = t^2\|y\|^2 - t(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2\langle x, Ay - y\rangle) + \|x\|^2$$

is smaller than $\exp(\mathrm{arcosh}(1 + r_\gamma)) = 1 + r_\gamma + \sqrt{r_\gamma^2 + 2r_\gamma}$. We may divide by $\|y\|^2$ and we can thus assume $\|y\| = 1$ and $0 < \|x\| \leq 1$. The large root of $f(t)$

is

$$t_0 = \frac{\|x\|^2 + 1}{2} + \langle x, Ay - y \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\|x\|^2 + 1 + 2\langle x, Ay - y \rangle)^2 - 4\|x\|^2}.$$

Note that if $r_\gamma = 0$, then $k > 1 = t_0$.

Suppose that $r_\gamma > 0$. Indeed, by Cauchy-Schwarz $|\langle x, Ay - y \rangle| < r_\gamma \|x\|$ and the inequality is strict since $x_n > 0$. As a consequence $t_0 < t(\|x\|)$ where

$$t(s) = \frac{s^2 + 1}{2} + r_\gamma s + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(s^2 + 1 + 2r_\gamma s)^2 - 4s^2}.$$

Finally, we determine the maximum of the function $t(s)$ for $s \in [0, 1]$. A simple calculation shows that there is no local maximum in the interval $[0, 1]$. We conclude that the maximal value is attained at $s = 1$ and is precisely

$$t(1) = 1 + r_\gamma + \sqrt{r_\gamma^2 + 2r_\gamma}.$$

Conversely, assume that ($\clubsuit$) does not hold. In this case we have $1 < k < 1 + r_\gamma + \sqrt{r_\gamma^2 + 2r_\gamma}$ and thus $r_\gamma \neq 0$. Choose some vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $y_n = 0$ and $\|y\| = 1$ so that $\|Ay - y\| = r_\gamma$ (this is possible since r_γ is the operator norm of $A - 1$). We define $x = r_\gamma^{-1}(Ay - y)$ and we observe that $x \neq y$ since the orthogonal matrix A has no eigenvalues of absolute value exceeding one. The following inequalities hold:

$$\frac{\|x - k^{-1}A^{-1}y\|^2}{k^{-1}} \leq \frac{\|x - kAy\|^2}{k} < \|x - y\|^2.$$

The first follows from $\langle x, A^{-1}y \rangle \leq \langle x, y \rangle + r_\gamma = \langle x, Ay \rangle$. The second inequality follows from the assumption $k < 1 + r_\gamma + \sqrt{r_\gamma^2 + 2r_\gamma}$. Since the last inequality is strict, we can use continuity to find distinct x' and y' in the upper half-space (close to x and y), so that still

$$\max\left\{\frac{\|x' - k^{-1}A^{-1}y'\|^2}{k^{-1}}, \frac{\|x' - kAy'\|^2}{k}\right\} < \|x' - y'\|^2.$$

Interpreting x' and y' as points in the hyperbolic space, the assertion follows from the definition of the hyperbolic metric. $\square$

4.4. Geometric finiteness. There are numerous equivalent definitions of geometric finiteness for discrete subgroups of isometries of rank one spaces, see for example [49, Section 3.1] or [56, Section 12.4] for real hyperbolic spaces. We shall use the equivalent definitions given by B. Bowditch in [9] for general negatively-curved manifolds.

The only facts from the theory of geometrically finite groups we will need in this section are the following two lemmas which are quite immediate consequences of the equivalent definitions.

In the rest of this section we will always use the following notation: whenever P is a parabolic subgroup in a rank-one Lie group and we write

$$P = MAN$$

this means that A is a split torus, M is compact and N is the unipotent radical of P (such a decomposition is essentially—up to conjugation of A and M by an element of N—unique).

LEMMA 4.6. *Let G be a rank-one Lie group and $\Gamma \leq G$ be a geometrically finite subgroup, all of whose parabolic elements have finite-order eigenvalues. Then there is a subgroup $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$ of finite index such that all parabolic isometries contained in Γ' are unipotent elements of G.*

Proof. From [9, Corollary 6.5] we know that Γ has only finitely many conjugacy classes of maximal parabolic subgroups; by residual finiteness of Γ we will be finished if we can show that for any parabolic subgroup P of G such that the fixed point of P in $\partial \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a cusp point, the group $\Lambda = \Gamma \cap P$ is virtually unipotent. Writing $P = MAN$ we see that it suffices to verify that the projection of Λ on A is trivial (Indeed, since then Λ is contained in MN, and its projection to M is finite because it has only finite-order elements by the hypothesis on eigenvalues, and it is finitely generated by [9, Proposition 4.1]). This follows from discreteness of Γ: if it contained an element λ with a non-trivial projection on A, then for any non-trivial $n \in N$ we have that either $\lambda^k n \lambda^{-k}$ or $\lambda^{-k} n \lambda^k$ goes to the identity of G; but since the fixed point of P is a cusp point for Γ the intersection $\Gamma \cap N$ must be nontrivial, hence there cannot exist such a λ. $\square$

LEMMA 4.7. *Let G be a rank-one Lie group, Γ a torsion-free geometrically finite subgroup of G and $M_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash X$. Then for any ℓ_0 there are only finitely many closed geodesics of length less than ℓ_0 in M_Γ.*

Proof. See also [56, Theorem 12.7.8]. One of Bowditch's characterizations of geometrical finiteness is the following: let $L_\Gamma \subset \partial X$ be the limit set of Γ, i.e. the closure of the set of points fixed by some nontrivial element of Γ, and let $Y_\Gamma \subset X$ be the convex hull in X of L_Γ. Let $C_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash Y_\Gamma$ (the 'convex core' of M_Γ), and let $M_{[\varepsilon,+\infty[}$ be the ε-thick part of M_Γ. Then Γ is geometrically finite if and only if $C_\Gamma \cap M_{[\varepsilon,+\infty[}$ is compact (for some or any ε): see [9, Section 5.3]. It is a well-known consequence of Margulis' lemma that there is an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that all geodesics in M_Γ of length less than ℓ_0 are contained in the ε_0-thick part. On the other hand it is clear that any closed geodesic of M_Γ is contained in C_Γ (since the endpoints of any lift are in L_Γ) and hence all closed geodesics of M_Γ with length $\leq \ell_0$ are contained in the compact set $C_\Gamma \cap M_{[\varepsilon_0,+\infty[}$, which implies that there are only finitely many such. $\square$

4.5. MAIN RESULTS.

4.5.1. *Action on the space.*

THEOREM 4.8. *Let G be one of the Lie groups $\mathrm{SO}(n,1)$, $\mathrm{SU}(n,1)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(n,1)$, X the associated symmetric space and let Γ be a geometrically finite subgroup of G. Suppose that all eigenvalues of parabolic elements of Γ are roots of unity. Then there exists a finite-index subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ such that Γ' acts diffusely on X.*

Proof. Let Γ' be a finite-index subgroup of Γ such that all semisimple elements $\gamma \in \Gamma'$ have $\min(\gamma) > C(\delta_X, 1)$ (where δ_X is a hyperbolicity constant for X, which is Gromov-hyperbolic since it is a negatively-curved, simply connected Riemannian manifold, and $C(\delta_X, 1)$ is the constant from Lemma 4.4)—such a subgroup exists by Lemma 4.7 and the residual finiteness of Γ. By Lemma 4.6 we may also suppose that the parabolic isometries in Γ' are exclusively unipotent.

Now we can check that the hypothesis $(*)$ in Lemma 2.1 holds for the action of Γ on X: for axial isometries we only have to apply Lemma 4.4, and for unipotent elements Proposition 4.2. □

The hypothesis on eigenvalues of parabolic elements is equivalent to asking that every parabolic subgroup of Γ contains a finite-index subgroup which consists of unipotent elements. It is necessary for an application of Lemma 2.1, as shown by the following construction.

LEMMA 4.9. *For $n \geq 4$ there exists a discrete, two-generated free subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}$ there is a $y \in \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a $g \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$ such that*

$$d(x, y) \geq d(gx, y), d(g^{-1}x, y).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove this lemma for $\mathrm{SO}(4, 1)$. Let ω be an infinite-order rotation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and let ϕ be the isometry of $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $(t, x) \mapsto (t + 1, \omega \cdot x)$. Then it is easy to see that for any k and any x not on the axis $\mathbb{R} \times 0$ of ϕ the bisectors between x and $\phi^{\pm k}x$ intersect. Let $\widetilde{\phi}$ be the isometry of $\mathbb{H}^4_{\mathbb{R}}$ obtained by taking the Poincaré extension of ϕ (i.e. we fix a point on $\partial\mathbb{H}^4_{\mathbb{R}}$ and define $\widetilde{\phi}$ by identifying the horospheres at this point with the Euclidean three–space on which ϕ acts), which will also not satisfy $(*)$ for all points outside of a two dimensional totally geodesic submanifold Y_ϕ.

Now take ϕ_1, ϕ_2 as above. There exists a $g \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^4_{\mathbb{R}})$ such that $gY_{\phi_2}g^{-1} \cap Y_{\phi_2} = \emptyset$, and then for any $k_1, k_2 > 0$ the group $\langle \widetilde{\phi}_1^{k_1}, \widetilde{\phi}_2^{k_2} \rangle$ satisfies the second conclusion of the lemma. It remains to prove that for k_1, k_2 large enough it is a discrete (and free) group. This is done by a very standard argument which goes as follows: There are disjoint open neighbourhoods U_i of $\mathrm{Fix}(\widetilde{\phi}_i)$ in $\partial\mathbb{H}^4_{\mathbb{R}}$ (not containing $\mathrm{Fix}(\widetilde{\phi}_j), j \neq i$) and positive integers k_1, k_2 such that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}, |k| \geq k_i$ we have $\widetilde{\phi}_i^k(\mathbb{H}^4_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus U_i) \subset U_i$. Now we can apply the ping-pong lemma of Klein to obtain freeness and discreteness of $\langle \widetilde{\phi}_1^{k_1}, \widetilde{\phi}_2^{k_2} \rangle$: fix a $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{H}^4_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2)$, then any non-trivial reduced word in $\widetilde{\phi}_1, \widetilde{\phi}_2$ sends ξ inside one of U_1 or U_2, hence the orbit of ξ is discrete in $\partial\mathbb{H}^4_{\mathbb{R}}$ (proving discreteness of $\langle \widetilde{\phi}_1^{k_1}, \widetilde{\phi}_2^{k_2} \rangle$) and any such word is nontrivial in $\mathrm{SO}(4, 1)$ (proving freeness). □

On the other hand this phenomenon cannot happen in $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{H}^3_{\mathbb{R}}$, which yields the following corollary of Theorem 4.8.

COROLLARY 1. If Γ is a finitely generated discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ then Γ is virtually diffuse.

Proof. Since in dimension three all Kleinian groups are isomorphic to geometrically finite ones (this is a consequence of Thurston's hyperbolization theorem for Haken manifolds, as explained in [49, Theorem 4.10]) the result would follow if we can prove diffuseness for the latter class. But parabolic isometries of $\mathbb{H}^3$ are necessarily unipotent (since if an element of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ has two equal eigenvalues, they must be equal to ± 1, and hence it is unipotent in the adjoint representation), and thus we can apply Theorem 4.8 to deduce that a geometrically finite Kleinian group in dimension three has a finite-index subgroup which acts diffusely on $\mathbb{H}^3$.

We could also deduce Corollary 1 from the veracity of the Tameness conjecture [1], [17] and the virtual diffuseness of three–manifolds groups, Theorem D from the introduction. $\square$

Also, when parabolic subgroups of Γ are large enough[3] the hypothesis should be satisfied. We will be content with the following application of this principle.

COROLLARY 2. *If Γ is a lattice in one of the Lie groups $\mathrm{SO}(n,1)$, $\mathrm{SU}(n,1)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(n,1)$ then Γ is virtually diffuse.*

Proof. A lattice Γ in a rank one Lie group G is a geometrically finite group (cf. 5.4.2 in [9]), hence we need to prove that the parabolic isometries contained in Γ have only roots of unity as eigenvalues. In the case that Γ is arithmetic there is a quick argument: for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the eigenvalues of γ are algebraic numbers. If in addition γ is parabolic, then all its eigenvalues are of absolute value one as well as their conjugates (because the group defining Γ is compact at other infinite places). A theorem of Kronecker [27, Theorem 1.31] shows that any algebraic integer in $\mathbb{C}$ whose Galois conjugates are all of absolute value one must be a root of unity, and it follows that the eigenvalues of γ are roots of unity.

One can also use a more direct geometric argument to prove this in full generality. Let $P = MAN$ be a parabolic subgroup of G which contains a parabolic element of Γ; then it is well-known that $\Gamma \cap P$ is contained in MN (see the proof of Lemma 4.6 above). Also $\Lambda = \Gamma \cap N$ is a lattice in N, in particular $\Lambda \backslash N$ is compact (this follows from the Margulis Lemma [9, Proposition 3.5.1], which implies that horosphere quotients inject into $\Gamma \backslash X$, and the finiteness of the volume of $\Gamma \backslash X$). Corollary 2 will then follow from the next lemma.

LEMMA 4.10. *Let N be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group containing a lattice Λ, and $Q \leq \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ a subgroup which preserves Λ, all of whose elements have only eigenvalues of absolute value one (in the representation on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$). Then these eigenvalues are in fact roots of unity.*

Proof. The exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{n} \to N$ is a diffeomorphism. By [55, Theorem 2.12], there is a lattice L in the vector space $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $\langle \exp(L) \rangle = \Lambda$. It follows that the adjoint action of Q preserves L, hence for any $q \in Q$ the

---

[3]For example, in the real hyperbolic case, when their span in the Lie algebra is of codimension smaller than one.

characteristic polynomial of $\mathrm{Ad}(q)$ has integer coefficients, hence its eigenvalues are the conjugates of some finite set of algebraic integers. Since they are also all of absolute value one it follows from Kronecker's theorem that they must be roots of unity. $\qquad\square$

It follows that, in the above setting, the image of $\Gamma \cap P$ in M has a finite-order image in $\mathrm{Aut}(N)$ where M acts by conjugation. This action is faithful (because an element of M cannot act trivially of an horosphere associated to N, otherwise it would act trivially on the whole of X since it preserves these horospheres) and it follows that the hypothesis on eigenvalues in Theorem 4.8 is satisfied by Γ. $\qquad\square$

4.5.2. *Action on the boundary.*

THEOREM 4.11. *Let Γ, G be as in the statement of Theorem 4.8. Then there is a finite-index $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ such that for any parabolic fixed point $\xi \in \partial X$ for Γ' with stabilizer Λ_ξ in Γ' the action of Γ' on Γ'/Λ_ξ is diffuse.*

Proof. We take a finite-index subgroup $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.8 above. The key point is the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.12. *There is a dense subset $S_{\Gamma'} \subset X$ such that for any $x_0 \in S_{\Gamma'}$ and any parabolic fixed point ξ of Γ', if b_ξ is a Busemann function at ξ we have*

$$(3) \qquad \forall g \in \Gamma', \ g \notin \Lambda_\xi : \max \left(b_\xi(gx_0), b_\xi(g^{-1}x_0) \right) > b_\xi(x_0).$$

Proof. Fix ξ and b_ξ as in the statement. By definition of a Busemann function there is a unit speed geodesic ray $\sigma : [0, \infty[\to X$ running to ξ in $X \cup \partial X$, such that for all $x \in X$ we have

$$b_\xi(x) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} (d(x, \sigma(t)) - t).$$

On the other hand, by construction of Γ' (using Lemma 4.4) we know that for all axial isometries $g \in \Gamma' \setminus \{1\}$ we have

$$\forall t \geq 0 \ \max \left(d(gx_0, \sigma(t)), d(g^{-1}x_0, \sigma(t)) \right) \geq d(x_0, \sigma(t)) + 1;$$

passing to the limit we obtain (3) for all such g and for any choice of x_0.
Now we show that for certain generic x_0 the same is true for unipotent isometries. In any case, for any unipotent isometry g of X, it follows from Proposition 4.2 and the same argument as above that

$$(4) \qquad \max(b_\xi(g^{-1}x_0), b_\xi(gx_0)) \geq b_\xi(x_0)$$

for all x_0. We want to choose x_0 in order to be able to rule out equality if $g \in \Gamma' - \Lambda_\xi$. For a given unipotent isometry η and a $\zeta \in \partial X$ with $\eta\zeta \neq \zeta$ define

$$E_{\zeta,\eta} = \{x \in X \mid b_\zeta(\eta x) = b_\zeta(x)\}$$

(note that this does not depend on the choice of the Busemann function b_ζ). This is an embedded hyperplane in X, and hence (by Baire's theorem) the subset

$$S_{\Gamma'} = X - \bigcup_{\zeta,\eta} E_{\zeta,\eta}$$

where the union runs over all parabolic elements η of Γ' and all parabolic fixed points ζ of Γ', is dense in X. Moreover, by the definition of $S_{\Gamma'}$, for $x_0 \in S_{\Gamma'}$ we never have $b_\xi(gx_0) = b_\xi(x_0)$ for any unipotent $g \in \Gamma'$ with $g\xi \neq \xi$. Thus (4) has to be a strict inequality. $\qquad\square$

Let $\xi_0 \in \partial X$ be a parabolic fixed point of Γ' and b_{ξ_0} a Busemann function at ξ_0. We write $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\xi_0}$. The function b_{ξ_0} is Λ-invariant and if we choose some $x_0 \in X$ we may define a function $f = f_{x_0}$ on Γ'/Λ by

$$(5) \qquad f(\gamma\Lambda) = b_{\xi_0}(\gamma^{-1}x_0) = b_{\gamma\xi_0}(x_0).$$

By the lemma this function satisfies

$$(6) \quad \forall \gamma\Lambda \in \Gamma'/\Lambda, \ \forall g \in \Gamma', \ g \notin \gamma\Lambda\gamma^{-1} : \max\big(f(g\gamma\Lambda), f(g^{-1}\gamma\Lambda)\big) > f(\gamma\Lambda),$$

whenever $x_0 \in S_{\Gamma'}$. Indeed, we have

$$\max\big(f(g\gamma\Lambda), f(g^{-1}\gamma\Lambda)\big) = \max\big(b_{\gamma\xi_0}(gx_0), b_{\gamma\xi_0}(g^{-1}x_0)\big)$$

and according to (3) the right-hand side is strictly larger than $b_{\gamma\xi_0}(x_0) = f(\gamma\Lambda)$. The existence of a function f satisfying (6) implies that the action Γ' on Γ'/Λ is weakly diffuse, i.e. every non-empty finite subset $A \subset \Gamma'/\Lambda$ has at least *one* extremal point. Indeed, any $a \in A$ such that $f(a)$ realizes the maximum of f on A is extremal in A.

Using an additional trick we can actually deduce diffuseness. Let $A \subset \Gamma'/\Lambda$ be finite with $|A| \geq 2$, and let a be an extremal point. By shifting A we can assume that $a = \Lambda$. Now let ξ_0 be the fixed point of Λ and b_{ξ_0} a Busemann function. Choose $x_0 \in S_{\Gamma'}$ such that x_0 is (up to Λ) the only point realizing the minimum of b_{ξ_0} on $\Gamma'x_0$ (this is possible by taking x_0 in a sufficiently small horoball at ξ_0, since $S_{\Gamma'}$ is dense) and define f on Γ'/Λ as in (5). By construction f takes it's minimal value at a. So let $b \in A$ be a point where f takes a maximal value. By the given argument b is extremal in A. On the other hand $f(b) > f(a)$ and so $b \neq a$. We conclude that A has at least two extremal points. $\qquad\square$

5. Fundamental groups of three–manifolds

In this section we prove Theorem D, whose statement we recall now :

THEOREM. *Let M be a compact three–manifold and $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ its fundamental group. Then there is a finite-index subgroup $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$ which is diffuse.*

The proof is a rather typical application of Geometrization. We begin with an algebraic result on graph products, afterwards we use it to construct a suitable covering (cf. [37]).

5.1. Algebraic preliminaries: a gluing lemma. Bowditch [10] showed that if Γ is the fundamental group of a graph of groups such that for any vertex group Γ_i and adjacent edge group Λ_i, both the group Λ_i and the action of Γ_i on Γ_i/Λ_i are diffuse, then Γ is diffuse. In order to glue manifolds it is necessary to understand graph products of virtually diffuse groups. For free products there is a very simple argument.

LEMMA 5.1. *The free product $G = G_1 * G_2$ of two virtually diffuse groups G_1 and G_2 is again virtually diffuse.*

Proof. Let $H_i \leq_f G_i$ be a finite index diffuse subgroup. Consider the homomorphism $\phi : G \to G_1 \times G_2$. The kernel K of ϕ is a free group (cf. I. Prop. 4 in [60]). Let H denote the inverse image of $H_1 \times H_2$ under ϕ. The subgroup H has finite index in G and $H \cap K$ is a free group. We get a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K \cap H \longrightarrow H \to H_1 \times H_2 \longrightarrow 1.$$

From Theorem 1.2 in [10] we see that H is diffuse. $\qquad\square$

Note that the same argument shows that the free product of diffuse groups is diffuse. In order to understand amalgamated products and HNN extensions of virtually diffuse groups one needs to argue more carefully.

We will use the Bass-Serre theory of graph products of groups. We shall use the notation of [60]. Recall that a graph of groups (G, Y) is a *finite* graph Y with vertices $V(Y)$ and edges $E(Y)$. Every edge e has an origin $o(e) \in V(Y)$ and a terminus $t(e) \in V(Y)$. Moreover for every edge there is an opposite edge $\bar{e}$. To every vertex $P \in V(Y)$ and every edge $e \in E(Y)$ there are attached groups G_P and $G_e = G_{\bar{e}}$. Moreover, for every edge e there is a monomorphism $i_e : G_e \to G_{t(e)}$ usually denoted by $a \mapsto a^e$. To a graph of groups one attaches a fundamental group $\pi_1(G, Y)$ – the graph product.

Let (G, Y) be a graph of groups. A *normal subcollection* (N, Y) consists of two families $(N_P \unlhd G_P)_{P \in V(Y)}$ and $(N_e \unlhd G_e)_{e \in E(Y)}$ of normal subgroups in the vertex and edge groups which are *compatible* in the sense that

$$i_e(N_e) = i_e(G_e) \cap N_{t(e)} \quad \text{and} \quad N_e = N_{\bar{e}}$$

for every edge $e \in E(Y)$. We say that (N, Y) is of finite index, if for every vertex P the index of N_P in G_P is finite.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let (G, Y) be a graph of finite groups. The fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(G, Y)$ is residually finite and virtually free.*

Proof. The residual finiteness follows from Theorem 3.1 of Hempel [37]. To apply his result we need to specify sufficiently small normal subcollections (H, Y) in (G, Y) such for every $P \in V(Y)$ the group H_P has finite index in G_P. Since we are dealing with finite groups it is easy to check that we can simply choose $H_P = \{1\}$ and $H_e = \{1\}$ for every vertex P and edge e.

Using that Γ is residually finite, we can find a finite index subgroup $N \unlhd \Gamma$ which intersects the embedded vertex group G_P trivially for any of the finitely many vertices $P \in V(Y)$. Therefore, the subgroup N acts freely (without edge inversion) on the Bass-Serre tree associated with the graph (G, Y). We deduce that N is a free group [60, I. Thm. 4]. $\qquad\square$

Let (G, Y) be a graph of groups and let (N, Y) be a normal subcollection. To such a data we can associate a quotient graph of groups (H, Y) where $H_P = G_P/N_P$ and $H_e = G_e/N_e$ for all vertices P and edges e. There is a

natural surjective quotient morphism $q : \pi_1(G, Y) \to \pi_1(H, Y)$. We are now able to state and prove the (algebraic) gluing Lemma.

LEMMA 5.3 (Gluing Lemma). *Let (G, Y) be a graph of groups such that*

  (i) *every edge group G_e is diffuse*
  (ii) *there is a normal subcollection (N, Y) of finite index such that for every edge $e \in E(Y)$ the group $N_{t(e)}$ acts diffusely on $G_{t(e)}/i_e(G_e)$.*

In this case the fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(G, Y)$ is virtually diffuse.

Proof. Consider the associated quotient morphism $q : \Gamma \to \pi_1(H, Y)$. The kernel $\mathfrak{N}$ of q is the normal subgroup generated by the groups $(N_P)_{P \in V(Y)}$. Let Γ and $\mathfrak{N}$ act on the Bass-Serre tree T associated with (G, Y). The stabilizer in Γ (resp. $\mathfrak{N}$) of a vertex $v \in V(T)$ above $P \in V(Y)$ is isomorphic to G_P (resp. N_P). It acts on the set of adjacent edges $E(v) \subset E(T)$. Pick an edge $e \in E(Y)$ with $t(e) = P$. As a set with G_P action $E(v)$ is isomorphic to $G_P/i_e(G_e)$. By assumption (ii) the action of N_P on $G_P/i_e(G_e)$ is diffuse. By a result of Bowditch [10, Prop. 2.2] we deduce that $E(T)$ is a diffuse $\mathfrak{N}$ set. Since the edge groups are assumed to be diffuse, we see that $\mathfrak{N}$ is diffuse. The quotient (H, Y) is a graph of finite groups, we know from Lemma 5.2 that it is virtually free. Since free groups are diffuse, the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N} \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \pi_1(H, Y) \longrightarrow 1$$

implies the assertion by Thm. 1.2 (2) of [10]. □

5.2. Geometrization and the proof of Theorem D.

5.2.1. *Definitions.* We recall here the definitions which allow to state the Geometrization Theorem which was conjectured by W. Thurston ([64], see also [59]) and proven by G. Perelman [51, 52] (see also [44] for a complete account of Perelman's proof).
In the following we consider (without loss of generality) only orientable manifolds. A three–manifold M is called *irreducible* if all embedded 2-spheres in M bound a ball. A manifold is *prime* if it is irreducible or homeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$. According to the Kneser–Milnor decomposition every closed three–manifold is a finite connected sum of prime manifolds. A closed irreducible manifold M has a further topological decomposition, called the Jaco–Shalen–Johansson decomposition, which consists in a canonical collection of embedded, essentially disjoint 2-tori in M (see [41]). The Geometrization Theorem states that every connected component of the complement in M of this collection of tori is either a finite volume hyperbolic manifold or Seifert fibered.

5.2.2. *Virtual diffuseness.* The following lemma treats the pieces of the Geometrization Theorem. It is the key ingredient for Theorem D.

LEMMA 5.4. *Let M be a compact three–manifold with incompressible toric boundary. If M is either hyperbolic of finite volume or Seifert fibered, then $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ contains a diffuse subgroup Γ' of finite index. Moreover, if M has*

non-empty boundary, then for almost all primes p the group Γ' can be chosen so that for any peripheral subgroup Λ of Γ

(a) the Γ'-action on Γ/Λ is diffuse and
(b) $\Gamma' \cap \Lambda$ is the characteristic subgroup of index p^2.

Proof. Assume first that M is closed. If M is Seifert fibered, then $\pi_1(M)$ is a an extension of a group which is virtually a surface group by a cyclic group C (cf. Lemma 3.2 in [59]). If C is infinite, such a group is virtually diffuse by the results of Bowditch [10]. Otherwise M is covered by S^3 and the fundamental group is finite. If M is hyperbolic, then the virtual diffuseness follows from Theorem B.

Now we turn to the case where M has non-empty boundary. Assume first that M is hyperbolic. In $\pi_1(M)$ there are only finitely many, say m, hyperbolic conjugacy classes represented by elements $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ with translation length less than $2\log(1 + \sqrt{2})$ (cf. Lemma 4.7). By Lemma 4.1 of [37] we can find, for almost all primes p, a normal subgroup of finite index $\Gamma'_p \leq \pi_1(M)$ which does not contain $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ and which intersects each peripheral subgroup in its characteristic subgroup of index p^2. Using Theorem 4.11 such a group Γ'_p is diffuse and acts diffusely on Γ/Λ for any peripheral Λ when p is large enough. Finally assume that M is Seifert fibered. There is a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{q} G \longrightarrow 1$$

where $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the regular fibers and G is the fundamental group of a two dimensional orbifold B with non-empty boundary. Taking the finite index subgroup of elements commuting with the regular fibres (which contains the peripheral subgroups), we can assume that the extension is central. Since the boundary of M is incompressible, the simple closed boundary curves $d_1, \ldots d_k$ of B have infinite order in G. For almost all primes p there is a free normal subgroup $G_p \subset G$ of finite index such that $G_p \cap \langle d_i \rangle = \langle d_i^p \rangle$. One way to see this is to argue using the presentation of G as given in [36, 12.1]. Geometrically this can be seen as follows: Glue a disc with a p-cone point into every boundary curve of B. For almost all p the resulting orbifold B_p is good and has hence a finite sheeted regular cover $\widetilde{B_p}$ which is a manifold. Removing the inverse images of the glued discs we obtain a finite covering space S_p of B which is a compact surface so that the boundary components are p-fold covers of the boundary components of B. Since a compact surface with non-empty boundary has a free fundamental group the claim follows.

The finite sheeted cover $\widetilde{M_p}$ corresponding to $q^{-1}(G_p)$ has fundamental group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times G_p$. Finally the group $\Gamma'_p = p\mathbb{Z} \times G_p$ is diffuse and intersects the peripheral subgroups in their characteristic subgroups of index p^2. It remains to verify that the action of Γ'_p on Γ/Λ is diffuse. This action factors through the group G_p and so the assertion follows, for p large enough, from Theorem 4.11 if we embed G_p as a discrete subgroup into $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

There is another argument for the diffuseness of this action: We can assume that the surface S_p has more than one boundary component, and so the boundary curves can be chosen to be part of a free generating set. Let F be a free group and $f \in F$ an element of a free generating set, then by Prop. 2.2 in [10] the action of F on $F/\langle f \rangle$ is diffuse. $\square$

5.2.3. *Proof of Theorem D.* Let M be a compact three–manifold; by doubling it (and since virtual diffuseness passes to subgroups) we may assume that it is in fact closed. By Lemma 5.1 and the Kneser–Milnor decomposition we may assume that M is irreducible. An irreducible manifold admits a geometric decomposition (see 5.2.1), which yields a decomposition of $\pi_1(M)$ as a graph of groups whose vertex groups are fundamental groups of Seifert fibered or hyperbolic manifolds and the edge groups are peripheral subgroups. Choosing a prime number p which is admissible for all the occurring pieces, it follows from Lemma 5.4 that this graph of groups has a normal subcollection which satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 5.3.

5.3. Three–dimensional infra-solvmanifolds. A three–dimensional *solvmanifold* is a (left) quotient of the solvable Lie group

$$\mathbf{Sol} = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}; \quad t \cdot x = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & \\ & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \cdot x$$

by a discrete subgroup; an *infra-solvmanifold* is a quotient of such by a finite group acting freely. Any left-invariant Riemannian metric on $\mathbf{Sol}$ induces a complete Riemannian metric on an infra-solvmanifold. A compact solvmanifold is finitely covered by a torus bundle (see for example [59, Theorem 5.3 (i)]), hence its fundamental group contains a subgroup of finite index which is an extension of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ by $\mathbb{Z}$. More precisely, this group will be isomorphic to some

$$\Gamma_A = \langle \mathbb{Z}^2, t \mid \forall v \in \mathbb{Z}^2, tvt^{-1} = Av \rangle$$

where $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is not unipotent. Such a group is diffuse by [10, Thm 1.2]. On the other hand we will now explain how to construct infra-solvmanifolds (so-called 'torus semi-bundles') of dimension three with zero first Betti number (by gluing I-bundles over Klein bottles, see [35]), which are then not locally indicable and hence not diffuse.

The following result is a special case of Proposition 3.11. We shall give another geometric argument (see also [33, Corollary 8.3] for a complete description of the groups of isometries acting properly discontinuously, freely and cocompactly on $\mathbf{Sol}$ from which it follows easily).

PROPOSITION 5.5. *In every commensurability class of compact three–dimensional infra-solvmanifolds there is a manifold with non-diffuse fundamental group.*

Proof. In this proof we will first describe a topological construction from [35] of sol-manifolds with $b_1 = 0$, and then show that any sol-manifold is commensurable to one of these.

Let N be the non-trivial I-bundle over the Klein bottle, so that $\partial N = \mathbb{T}^2$. Then for any mapping class $B \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of $\mathbb{T}^2$ the gluing $M = N \cup_\phi N$ has $b_1(M) = 0$ or is Seifert; in the former case it is a sol-manifold and is doubly covered by the torus bundle with holonomy $A_0 = SB^{-1}SB$ where S is the symmetry $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, y)$. In this way we get all A_0s of the form

$$(7) \qquad A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} b & 2a \\ 2c & b \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$: this follows from a direct computation.

On the other hand we will see that for any hyperbolic $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ there is an integer $n > 0$ such that A^n is conjugated to a matrix of the form above. This implies the proposition since then the mapping torus of A^n (which covers that of A) has a quotient with $b_1 = 0$. Let us prove this claim: take L to be the geodesic line (in the Poincaré upper half-plane) orthogonal to the axis of A ending at ∞; then we can find $h \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $hL = (0, \infty)$. Since h commensurates $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ the group $hA^{\mathbb{Z}}h^{-1} \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is non-trivial ; take any $A' \neq \mathrm{Id}$ in there, then A' has both diagonal coefficients equal (this also follows from a simple computation). Taking A_0 to be the cube or square of A' we get a matrix of the form (7) above. $\qquad\square$

Appendix A. Computational aspects

A.1. Finding ravels. Given a group Γ it is a substantial problem to decide whether or not the group is diffuse. To a certain degree this problem is vulnerable to a computational approach which will be explained in this section.

For all the following algorithms we suppose that we have a way of solving the word problem in a given group Γ; in practice we used computations with matrices to do this. We will not make reference to the group Γ in the algorithms. The first algorithm determines, given a finite subset A of Γ and an element $a \in A$, whether a is extremal in A or not.

Algorithm 1 Given $a \in A \subset \Gamma$, determines if a is extremal in A

1: function IsExtremal(a, A)
2:      $B = A \setminus \{a\}$
3:      for all $b \in B$ do
4:         if $ab^{-1}a \in A$ then return False $\triangleright$ If $b = ga$ and
    $g^{-1}a = ab^{-1}a \in A$ then a is not extremal.
5:      return True

The following algorithm returns the largest ravel contained in A by successively removing extremal points. If A contains no ravel, then it returns the empty set. Of course, the algorithm is not able to decide if a ravel exists at all (hence is of no use to prove that a group is diffuse).

Algorithm 2 Given $A \subset \Gamma$, finds the largest ravel contained in A

1: FUNCTION FINDRAVEL(A)
2:    FOR ALL $a \in A$ DO
3:        IF IsExtremal(a, A) = True THEN RETURN FindRavel($A \setminus \{a\}$)
4:    RETURN A $\triangleright$ No extremal point was found in A, so A is a ravel or
    empty

Finally, it may be of interest to determine minimal ravels; the following algorithm, starting from a ravel A, finds a minimal one contained in A (note that the result may depend on the order on which the elements of A are looped over).

Algorithm 3 Given a ravel $A \subset \Gamma$, finds a minimal ravel contained in A

1: FUNCTION MINRAVEL(A)
2:    FOR ALL $a \in A$ DO
3:        B = FindRavel($A \setminus \{a\}$)
4:        IF $B \neq \emptyset$ THEN RETURN MinRavel(B)
5:    RETURN A

To prove Proposition 2.3 we ran (with two different implementations in Magma [8] and in Sage/Python [62]) the algorithms to test diffuseness on the group with presentation

$$\langle a, b | a^2 b^2 a^2 b^{-1} a b^{-1}, \, a^2 b^2 a^{-1} b a^{-1} b^2 \rangle,$$

which is the fundamental group of the Weeks manifold, the hyperbolic three–manifold of smallest volume. We actually used the representation to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ given in the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [20]:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 2 - (x + x^{-1}) & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$x^6 + 2x^4 - x^3 + 2x^2 + 1 = 0.$$

It turns out that the word metric ball of radius four in the generators a, b contains a ravel of cardinality 141 (further computation showed that the latter contains a minimal ravel of cardinality 23).

A.2. IMPLEMENTATION.

A.2.1. *SAGE.* The Sage implementation of the algorithm (for linear groups) can be found in [26]. It has to be run in a Sage environment, and the main function is `max_diff`, which takes as input a pair (S, M) where M is a Sage MatrixSpace object, and S a collection of invertible matrices in M. Its output is the (possibly empty) maximal ravel contained in S. The file also contains the function `ball`, which inputs a triple $(r, \mathrm{gens}, \mathrm{M})$ which computes the ball of

radius r in the group generated by the set gens of invertible matrices in M (in the word metric associated to gens). Another file in [26] can be run directly in a Sage environment and outputs a ravel of cardinality 141 in the Weeks manifold group.

A.2.2. *MAGMA*. An implementation for the MAGMA computer algebra system can be found in [26]. It includes functions `findRavel`, `findMinRavel` and a procedure `BallWeeks` to generate a ball of given radius in the Weeks manifold group. To compute a ravel in the Weeks manifold group run the following lines

```
|> B := BallWeeks(4);
|> findRavel(B);
```

Appendix B. A diffuse group which is not left-orderable
by Nathan M. Dunfield

This appendix is devoted to the proof of

THEOREM B.1. *Let N be the closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold defined below. Then $\pi_1(N)$ is diffuse but not left-orderable.*

This example was found by searching through the towers of finite covers of hyperbolic 3-manifolds studied in [18, §6]. There, each manifold has $b_1 = 0$ (which is necessary for π_1 to be non–left-orderable) and the length of the systole goes to infinity (so that we can apply Bowditch's criterion for diffuseness). We begin by giving two descriptions of N, one purely arithmetic and the other purely topological.

B.1. ARITHMETIC DESCRIPTION. Throughout this section, a good reference for arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds is [48]. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be the number field where $\alpha^3 + \alpha - 1 = 0$; this is the unique cubic field with discriminant -31. It has one real embedding and one pair of complex embeddings; our convention is that the complex place corresponds to $\alpha \approx -0.3411639 + 1.1615414i$. Its integer ring $\mathcal{O}_K$ has unique factorization, so we will not distinguish between prime elements and prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$. The unique prime of norm 3 in $\mathcal{O}_K$ is $\pi = \alpha + 1$, and let D be the quaternion algebra over K ramified at exactly π and the real place of K. Concretely, we can take D to be generated by i and j where $i^2 = -1$, $j^2 = -3$ and $k = ij = -ji$. The manifold N will be the congruence arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifold associated to D and the level π^3, whose detailed construction we now give.

Let $\mathcal{O}_D$ be a maximal order in D; this is unique up to conjugation by [48, Example 6.7.9(3)]. Let $\mathcal{O}_D^1$ denote the elements of $\mathcal{O}_D$ of (reduced) norm 1. At the complex place of K, the algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes_K D$ is just the matrix algebra $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let Λ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{Isom}^+ \mathbb{H}^3$ which is the image of $\mathcal{O}_D^1$ under the induced map $D^1 \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Since D is a division algebra, Λ is a cocompact lattice. Let K_π be the π-adic completion of K, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_3$. Let $D_\pi = K_\pi \otimes_K D$, which is the unique quaternion division algebra over K_π [48, §2.6]. Define $w \colon D_\pi \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $w = \nu \circ n$ where

$\nu\colon K_\pi \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the (logarithmic) valuation and $n\colon D_\pi \to K_\pi$ is the norm function. Then $\mathcal{O}_\pi = \{u \in D_\pi \mid w(u) \geq 0\}$ is the valuation ring of D_π and $\mathcal{Q} = \{u \in D_\pi \mid w(u) \geq 1\}$ is the maximal two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{O}_\pi$ (compare [48, §6.4]). Define Γ to be the image of $\mathcal{O}_D^1 \cap (1 + \mathcal{Q}^3)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and let N be the associated hyperbolic orbifold $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$.

We claim that Γ is torsion-free and hence N is a manifold. First note that $\mathcal{Q}^n = \{u \in D_\pi \mid w(u) \geq n\}$. Now for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $\gamma = 1 + q$ for $q \in \mathcal{Q}^3$; from $n(\gamma) = 1$ we get that $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma) - 2 = -n(q)$ and thus $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma) - 2 \in \pi^3$ since $w(q) \geq 3$. If γ has finite order, then $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma) = \xi + \xi^{-1}$ where ξ is a root of unity. Since $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma) \in \mathcal{O}_K$, it would have to be one of $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ and none of those are 2 mod π^3. So N is a manifold.

B.2. Topological description. Let M be the hyperbolic 3-manifold $m007(3, 2)$ from the Hodgson-Weeks census [39]; alternatively, M is the $(-9/2, -3/2)$ Dehn surgery on the Whitehead link L, where $+1$ surgery on L yields the figure-8 knot rather than the trefoil. Then $\mathrm{vol}(M) \approx 1.58316666$ and $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$. Let N' be the regular cover of M corresponding to any epimorphism $\pi_1(M) \to (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$; thus $\mathrm{vol}(N') \approx 14.24849994$. We will show:

Proposition B.2. *The hyperbolic manifolds N and N' are isometric.*

Proof. We give a detailed outline, but many steps are best checked by rigorous computation; complete Sage [62] source code for this is available at [26]. From a triangulation for the alternate topological description of M as $m036(3, -1)$, Snap [29, 22] gives the group presentation

$$(8) \qquad \pi_1(M) = \big\langle a, b \mid aaBaabbAbb = 1,\ abbAbAAbAbb = 1 \big\rangle$$

where $A = a^{-1}$ and $B = b^{-1}$. Moreover, Snap rigorously checks that M is hyperbolic and that the holonomy representation $\pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ lifts to $\rho\colon \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ which is characterized (up to conjugacy) by $\mathrm{tr}(\rho(a)) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho(b)) = \alpha^2 + 1$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\rho(ab)) = \alpha$.

An $\mathcal{O}_K$ basis for $\mathcal{O}_D$ can be taken to be $\{1, i, x, y\}$ where $x = (i + j)/2$ and $y = (3\pi + 3\pi^2 i + \pi^2 j + \pi k)/6$. If we define

$$(9) \qquad \bar{a} = 1 + \alpha i + \alpha x + (\alpha - 1)y \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{b} = -i \cdot \bar{a} \cdot i$$

then computing the norms and traces of $\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}\}$ and evaluating the relations in (8) shows that $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ and $b \mapsto \bar{b}$ gives a homomorphism $\pi_1(M) \to \mathcal{O}_D^1 \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ which is a conjugate of ρ. Henceforth, we identify $\pi_1(M)$ with the subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_D^1$ generated by $\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\}$.

Now, GAP or Magma [28, 8] easily checks that $\pi_1(N')$ is generated by

$$\{c = a^3, d = b^3, e = baBA, f = bABa\}$$

with defining relators:

$$DefDeceFdFcFe \qquad\qquad DeceDecDCEfCEfCfDf$$
$$ECEdFcDfDeceDeccFec \qquad\qquad fCfDecdcFecfDeceDec$$

To see that $\pi_1(N') \leq \Gamma$, one just checks that $w(g-1) = 3$ for g in $\{c, d, e, f\}$ to confirm that each is in $1 + \mathcal{Q}^3$. By the volume formula [48, Thm 11.1.3], $\mathrm{vol}(\Lambda \backslash \mathbb{H}^3) \approx 0.26386111$ and hence $[\Lambda : \pi_1(N')] = 54$. On the other hand, one can calculate $[\Lambda : \Gamma]$ exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.4 of [18]; while the number field in that example is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$, in both examples $K_\pi \cong \mathbb{Q}_3$ and hence have isomorphic D_π. Since it turns out that $[\Lambda : \Gamma]$ is also 54, we have $\pi_1(N') = \Gamma$ as claimed. $\qquad\square$

Theorem B.1 follows immediately from the following two lemmas, whose proofs are independent of one another.

Lemma B.3. *Let N be the closed hyperbolic 3-manifold defined above. Then N has systole $\approx 1.80203613 > 2\log(1 + \sqrt{2})$. In particular, $\pi_1(N)$ is diffuse.*

Lemma B.4. *Let N be the closed hyperbolic 3-manifold defined above. Then $\pi_1(N)$ is not left-orderable.*

Proof of Lemma B.3. We will show that the shortest geodesics in N correspond to elements $\gamma \in \Gamma = \pi_1(N)$ with $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma) = \alpha^2 - \alpha$; one such element is $ec = baBaa$. Since the translation length of γ is given by

$$(10) \qquad \min(\gamma) = T(\mathrm{tr}(\gamma)) \quad \text{where } T(z) = \mathrm{Re}\big(2\,\mathrm{arcosh}(z/2)\big)$$

the systole will thus have length ≈ 1.8020361. The conclusion that Γ is diffuse follows immediately from Bowditch's criterion (iv) quoted above in Section 2.1. We will use the Minkowski geometry of numbers picture (see e.g. [50, §I.5]) to determine the possible traces of elements of Γ with short translation lengths. Let $\tau_\mathbb{C} \colon K \to \mathbb{C}$ be the preferred complex embedding and $\tau_\mathbb{R} \colon K \to \mathbb{R}$ be the real embedding. We have the usual embedding from K into the Minkowski space $K_\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ given by $\iota = \tau_\mathbb{R} \times \tau_\mathbb{C}$, and the key fact is that $\iota(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is a lattice in $K_\mathbb{R}$. Thus the following set is finite:

$$\mathcal{T} = \big\{ t \in \mathcal{O}_K \ \big| \quad |\tau_\mathbb{R}(t)| \leq 2, \ |\tau_\mathbb{C}(t)| \leq 4, \text{ and } t - 2 \equiv 0 \bmod \pi^3 \quad \big\}$$

We next show that $\mathcal{T}$ contains $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\min(\gamma) \leq 2.5$. That $|\tau_\mathbb{R}(\mathrm{tr}\,\gamma)| \leq 2$ follows since Γ is arithmetic: the quaternion algebra D ramifies at the real place and so D^1 becomes SU_2 there. To see that $\min(\gamma) \leq 2.5$ implies $|\tau_\mathbb{C}(t)| \leq 4$, note that $T(z)$ is minimized for fixed $|z|$ on the real axis and that $T(4) < 2.6339$.

To complete the proof of the lemma, we will show that $\mathcal{T} = \{2, \alpha^2 - \alpha, -2\alpha^2 + \alpha - 1\}$, which suffices since $T(-2\alpha^2 + \alpha - 1) \approx 2.33248166$. The natural inner product on $K_\mathbb{R}$ is such that $|\iota(k)|^2 = |\tau_\mathbb{R}(k)|^2 + 2|\tau_\mathbb{C}(k)|^2$ for all $k \in K$. Hence any element of $\mathcal{T}$ has norm ≤ 6, and our strategy is to enumerate all elements of $\iota(\mathcal{O}_K)$ to that norm and check which are in $\mathcal{T}$. A $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathcal{O}_K$ is $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\}$, and the Gram matrix in that basis for the inner product on $K_\mathbb{R}$ has smallest eigenvalue ≈ 1.534033. Regarding $\mathbb{Z}^3$ as having the standard norm from $\mathbb{R}^3$, this says that the natural map $\mathbb{Z}^3 \to \iota(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is distance nondecreasing. Hence every element of $\mathcal{T}$ has the form $c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2 a^2$ where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 \leq 36$. Computing $\mathcal{T}$ is now a simple enumeration of the 925 such triples (c_1, c_2, c_3). See [26] for a short program which does this. $\qquad\square$

Turning to the proof of Lemma B.4, you will quickly see that it was discovered by computer, using the method of [16, §8]. Verifying its correctness is a matter of checking that 23 different elements in Γ are the identity, which can be easily done using the explicit quaternions given in (9); sample code for this is provided with [26].

Proof of Lemma B.4. Assume Γ is left-orderable and consider the positive cone $P = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma > 1\}$. We define some additional elements of Γ by

$$g = aBABB \quad h = abbAb \quad n = aBBAB \quad m = aBaab \quad v = ABAAb$$

By symmetry, we can assume $g \in P$. We now try all the possibilities for whether the elements $\{g, h, n, d, c, m, v\}$ are in P or not, in each case leading to the contradiction that $1 \in P$.

Case $h \in P$:
  Case $n \in P$:
    Case $d \in P$:
      Case $c \in P$:
        Case $m \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
          cgndhmgmcmdhmchm
        Case $M \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
          MgndhdMgndhdMgnMhdMndMdMgndhdMgnMhdMgn
      Case $C \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
        hCggnhCgChCgd
    Case $D \in P$:
      Case $c \in P$:
        Case $m \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
          mcDnDmcDnDmcmnDmhDmDmcDnDmcmnDmc
        Case $M \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
          gnMDnMgnnMgncDnMg
      Case $C \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
        ChDnhCgDnhCggnnhCggnhCg
  Case $N \in P$:
    Case $d \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
      NdhNgdNdhhNgdNdhdNdhNgdNgdNdhdNdhNgdN
    Case $D \in P$:
      Case $c \in P$:
        Case $m \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
          DmchNgmgmDNm
        Case $M \in P$:
          Case $f \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
            hNcNfhMhNcNfhNhNfDf
          Case $F \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
            NhNcFMhNhNcFMhNcMhNcFcF
      Case $C \in P$:
        Case $v \in P$:
          Case $f \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:

ChCgChNfhf

Case $F \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
CvFhCgvhCgvFhCgCh

Case $V \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
ChCgChNhCgChVChChNhCgChhChNhCgChVChVhhChNhCgChVChV

Case $H \in P$:

Case $n \in P$:

Case $d \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
nHggdHnHgnnHggnnHggdHnHgndgnnHggnnHggdHnHgnn

Case $D \in P$:

Case $c \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
DnHcHDHgnnHcHDHDnnHcHDHDnDH

Case $C \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
DHCnHgnnHggnnHgnHCnHgnnCnHCnHgnn

Case $N \in P$:

Case $d \in P$:

Case $c \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
NNgdHcNgdHggdHgdNNgdHcNgdHggNgdHcNgdNNgdHcd

Case $C \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
NgdHggdCgdNNgdCgNgdHggdCgdHggdCgdNd

Case $D \in P$:

Case $c \in P$:

Case $m \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
NcHcHDNmDHDcHcHDNmHcHDNcHccHD

Case $M \in P$:

Case $v \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
HcHDMvHcHDNcHHcHDNcHcv

Case $V \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
DcVcHDNcHcHDcVcHDHVcHDH

Case $C \in P$:

Case $m \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
DmgmDNmDNgmgmDNmDHDDmgmDNmDHHgmDNm

Case $M \in P$: Then P contains the following, which is 1 in Γ:
HDHCMHCMHDMCDHCMHDMHDMCMHCMHDM

$\square$

References

[1] Ian Agol, *Tameness of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, ArXiv Mathematics e-prints (2004).

[2] ———, *The virtual Haken conjecture*, Doc. Math. 18 (2013), 1045–1087, With an appendix by Agol, Daniel Groves, and Jason Manning.

[3] G. Arzhantseva and M. Steenbock, *Rips construction without unique product*, ArXiv e-prints (2014).

[4] L. Auslander and M. Kuranishi, *On the holonomy group of locally Euclidean spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) 65 (1957), 411–415.

[5] Arthur Bartels, Wolfgang Lück, and Holger Reich, *On the Farrell-Jones conjecture and its applications*, J. Topol. 1 (2008), no. 1, 57–86.

[6] George M. Bergman, *Right orderable groups that are not locally indicable*, Pacific J. Math. 147 (1991), no. 2, 243–248.

[7] Károly J. Böröczky, Péter P. Pálfy, and Oriol Serra, *On the cardinality of sumsets in torsion-free groups*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 44 (2012), no. 5, 1034–1041.

[8] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).

[9] Brian H. Bowditch, *Geometrical finiteness with variable negative curvature*, Duke Math. J. 77 (1995), no. 1, 229–274.

[10] ———, *A variation on the unique product property*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 62 (2000), no. 3, 813–826.

[11] ———, *A course on geometric group theory*, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 16, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2006.

[12] Steven Boyer, Dale Rolfsen, and Bert Wiest, *Orderable 3-manifold groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 55 (2005), no. 1, 243–288.

[13] Harold Brown, Rolf Bülow, Joachim Neubüser, Hans Wondratschek, and Hans Zassenhaus, *Crystallographic groups of four-dimensional space*, John Wiley & Son, New York, 1978, Wiley Monographs in Crystallography.

[14] W. Burnside, *Notes on the theory of groups of finite order.*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 26 (1895), 191–214.

[15] Peter Buser and Hermann Karcher, *Gromov's almost flat manifolds*, Astérisque, vol. 81, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1981.

[16] Danny Calegari and Nathan M. Dunfield, *Laminations and groups of homeomorphisms of the circle*, Invent. Math. 152 (2003), no. 1, 149–204.

[17] Danny Calegari and David Gabai, *Shrinkwrapping and the taming of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (2006), no. 2, 385–446.

[18] Frank Calegari and Nathan M. Dunfield, *Automorphic forms and rational homology 3-spheres*, Geom. Topol. 10 (2006), 295–329.

[19] William Carter, *New examples of torsion-free non-unique product groups*, J. Group Theory 17 (2014), no. 3, 445–464.

[20] Ted Chinburg, Eduardo Friedman, Kerry N. Jones, and Alan W. Reid, *The arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifold of smallest volume*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 30 (2001), no. 1, 1–40.

[21] Gerald Cliff and Alfred Weiss, *Torsion free space groups and permutation lattices for finite groups*, Representation theory, group rings, and coding theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 93, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 123–132.

[22] David Coulson, Oliver A. Goodman, Craig D. Hodgson, and Walter D. Neumann, *Computing arithmetic invariants of 3-manifolds*, Experiment. Math. 9 (2000), no. 1, 127–152.

[23] David A. Craven and Peter Pappas, *On the unit conjecture for supersoluble group algebras*, J. Algebra 394 (2013), 310–356.

[24] Thomas Delzant, *Sur l'anneau d'un groupe hyperbolique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 324 (1997), no. 4, 381–384.

[25] Bertrand Deroin, Andrés Navas, and Cristóbal Rivas, *Groups, Orders, Dynamics*, 2015, Book preprint http://www.math.ens.fr/~deroin/Publications/GOD.pdf.

[26] Nathan M. Dunfield, Steffen Kionke, and Jean Raimbault, *Ancillary files with the arXiv version of this paper*, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.6449.

[27] Graham Everest and Thomas Ward, *Heights of polynomials and entropy in algebraic dynamics*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 1999.

[28] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.7.5*, 2014.

[29] Oliver A. Goodman and Walter D. Neumann, *Snap for hyperbolic 3-manifolds (computer program)*.

[30] M. Gromov, *Almost flat manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 13 (1978), no. 2, 231–241.

[31] D. Gruber, A. Martin, and M. Steenbock, *Finite index subgroups without unique product in graphical small cancellation groups*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 47 (2015), no. 4, 631–638.

[32] K. W. Gruenberg, *Residual properties of infinite soluble groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 7 (1957), 29–62.

[33] Ku Yong Ha and Jong Bum Lee, *Crystallographic groups of Sol*, Math. Nachr. 286 (2013), no. 16, 1614–1667.

[34] Marshall Hall, Jr., *The theory of groups*, The Macmillan Co., New York, N.Y., 1959.

[35] Allen Hatcher, *Notes on basic three–manifold topology*, 2007, unpublished notes, available at http://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/3M/3Mdownloads.html.

[36] John Hempel, 3-*Manifolds*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1976, Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 86.

[37] ———, *Residual finiteness for* 3-*manifolds*, Combinatorial group theory and topology (Alta, Utah, 1984), Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 111, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987, pp. 379–396.

[38] Howard Hiller and Chih-Han Sah, *Holonomy of flat manifolds with $b_1 = 0$*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 37 (1986), no. 146, 177–187.

[39] Craig D. Hodgson and Jeffrey R. Weeks, *Symmetries, isometries and length spectra of closed hyperbolic three-manifolds*, Experiment. Math. 3 (1994), no. 4, 261–274.

[40] James Howie, *On locally indicable groups*, Math. Z. 180 (1982), no. 4, 445–461.

[41] William Jaco, *Lectures on three-manifold topology*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1980.

[42] Kate Juschenko and Nicolas Monod, *Cantor systems, piecewise translations and simple amenable groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 178 (2013), no. 2, 775–787.

[43] Inkang Kim and John R. Parker, *Geometry of quaternionic hyperbolic manifolds*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 135 (2003), no. 2, 291–320.

[44] Bruce Kleiner and John Lott, *Notes on Perelman's papers*, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008), no. 5, 2587–2855.

[45] P. H. Kropholler, P. A. Linnell, and J. A. Moody, *Applications of a new K-theoretic theorem to soluble group rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), no. 3, 675–684.

[46] Peter Linnell and Dave Witte Morris, *Amenable groups with a locally invariant order are locally indicable*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 8 (2014), no. 2, 467–478.

[47] Wolfgang Lück, L^2-*invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge., vol. 44, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[48] Colin Maclachlan and Alan W. Reid, *The arithmetic of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 219, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.

[49] Katsuhiko Matsuzaki and Masahiko Taniguchi, *Hyperbolic manifolds and Kleinian groups*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998, Oxford Science Publications.

[50] Jürgen Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[51] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, ArXiv Mathematics e-prints (2002).

[52] ———, *Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds*, ArXiv Mathematics e-prints (2003).

[53] Mark B. Phillips, *Dirichlet polyhedra for cyclic groups in complex hyperbolic space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1992), no. 1, 221–228.

[54] S. David Promislow, *A simple example of a torsion-free, nonunique product group*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 20 (1988), no. 4, 302–304.

[55] M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 68.

[56] John G. Ratcliffe, *Foundations of hyperbolic manifolds*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 149, Springer, New York, 2006.

[57] Eliyahu Rips and Yoav Segev, *Torsion-free group without unique product property*, J. Algebra 108 (1987), no. 1, 116–126.

[58] Juan P. Rossetti and Andrzej Szczepański, *Generalized Hantzsche-Wendt flat manifolds*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 21 (2005), no. 3, 1053–1070.

[59] Peter Scott, *The geometries of* 3-*manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 15 (1983), no. 5, 401–487.

[60] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

[61] Markus Steenbock, *Rips-Segev torsion-free groups without the unique product property*, J. Algebra 438 (2015), 337–378.

[62] W. A. Stein et al., *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 5.12)*, The Sage Development Team, 2013, `http://www.sagemath.org`.

[63] Andrzej Szczepański, *Aspherical manifolds with the* **Q**-*homology of a sphere*, Mathematika 30 (1983), no. 2, 291–294 (1984).

[64] William P. Thurston, *Three-dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 6 (1982), no. 3, 357–381.

Steffen Kionke
Heinrich-Heine-Universität
 Düsseldorf
Mathematisches Institut
Universitätsstr. 1
40225 Düsseldorf
Germany
steffen.kionke@uni-duesseldorf.de

Jean Raimbault
Institut de Mathématiques
 de Toulouse ; UMR5219
Université de Toulouse ; CNRS
UPS IMT
F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9
France
Jean.Raimbault@math.univ-toulouse.fr

Nathan M. Dunfield
Department of Mathematics
MC-382
University of Illinois
1409 West Green Street
Urbana
IL 61801
United States
nathan@dunfield.info

916