Documenta Math. 1151

Minimax Principles, Hardy-Dirac Inequalities, and Operator Cores for Two and Three Dimensional Coulomb-Dirac Operators

DAVID MÜLLER

Received: March 28, 2016 Revised: August 9, 2016

Communicated by Heinz Siedentop

ABSTRACT. For $n \in \{2,3\}$ we prove minimax characterisations of eigenvalues in the gap of the n dimensional Dirac operator with an potential, which may have a Coulomb singularity with a coupling constant up to the critical value 1/(4-n). This result implies a so-called Hardy-Dirac inequality, which can be used to define a distinguished self-adjoint extension of the Coulomb-Dirac operator defined on $\mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\};\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})$, as long as the coupling constant does not exceed 1/(4-n). We also find an explicit description of an operator core of this operator.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49R05, 49J35, 81Q10 Keywords and Phrases: Minimax Principle, Hardy-Dirac Inequality, Coulomb-Dirac Operator

1 Introduction

The relativistic dynamics of an electron moving in an atomic field is described by a Dirac operator with potential V having a Coulomb singularity. Since we want to consider such Dirac operators in two and three dimensions simultaneously, we assume throughout the text that $n \in \{2,3\}$. In n dimensions the relativistic electron corresponds to a 2(n-1) component spinor and V is a $2(n-1) \times 2(n-1)$ hermitian matrix function on \mathbb{R}^n . We say that V belongs to \mathfrak{P}_n if for some $\nu \in [0,1/(4-n))$ the inequality $0 \ge V \ge -\nu/|\cdot| \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}}$ holds.

This motivates the following question. Does the Dirac operator with potential $V \in \mathfrak{P}_n \cup \{-1/((4-n)|\cdot|) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}}\}$

$$\tilde{D}_n(V) := \begin{cases} -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla + \sigma_3 + V \text{ if } n = 2\\ -i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + \beta + V \text{ if } n = 3 \end{cases} \text{ defined on } \mathsf{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}),$$

$$(1)$$

have a unique self-adjoint extension? In (1) are $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2), \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ vectors; $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ the standard Pauli matrices; $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{\mathbb{C}^2} & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0_{\mathbb{C}^2} \end{pmatrix}$ for $i \in$

 $\{1,2,3\}$ and $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^2} & 0_{\mathbb{C}^2} \\ 0_{\mathbb{C}^2} & -\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \end{pmatrix}$. It is the uniqueness not the existence of a self-adjoint extension that is doubtful. For example the Coulomb-Dirac operator $\tilde{D}_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})$ is essentially self-adjoint if $n=2,\nu=0$ or $n = 3, \nu \in [0, \sqrt{3}/2]$ but for $n = 2, \nu \in (0, 1/2]$ or $n = 3, \nu \in (\sqrt{3}/2, 1]$ there are infinitely many self-adjoint extensions (see Lemma 14). Thus it is also natural to ask, whether there is a physically distinguished self-adjoint extension? In fact for $V \in \mathfrak{P}_n$ there is a unique self-adjoint extension $D_n(V)$ of $\tilde{D}_n(V)$, for which the wave functions in its domain possess finite mean kinetic energy, i.e. $\mathfrak{D}(D_n(V)) \subset \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})$. The existence of this distinguished selfadjoint extension is proven in Section 3. There we apply some general results developed in [15]. Note that for $\nu \in [0, 1/(4-n))$ the domain of the Coulomb-Dirac operator $D_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})$ is contained in $\mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})$ and for $\tilde{D}_n\big(((n-4)|\cdot|)^{-1}\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}}\big)$ there is no self-adjoint extension with this property. In this sense 1/(4-n) is a critical constant. At this point we want to mention that in the context of Theorem 5 we define a distinguished self-adjoint extension of $\tilde{D}_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})$ for $\nu\in[0,1/(4-n)]$, i.e. the case of a Coulomb potential with the critical coupling constant 1/(4-n) is in particular included here.

Let $V \in \mathfrak{P}_n$. As in Proposition 1 in [4] one can prove that there is a gap in the essential spectrum of $D_n(V)$. To be more precise

$$\sigma_{\rm ess}(D_n(V)) = (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, \infty).$$

In 1986 James D. Talman proposed in [17] a formal minimax characterisation of the lowest eigenvalue in the gap of the essential spectrum of the operator $D_3(V)$. In this work we prove a minimax characterisation of eigenvalues in the gap of $D_3(V)$ in the spirit of Talman and an analogous result for $D_2(V)$. The exact result is:

THEOREM 1 (Talman minimax principle). Let $V \in \mathfrak{P}_n$. If the k^{th} eigenvalue μ_k of $D_n(V)$ in (-1,1), counted from below with multiplicity, exists, then it is given by

$$\mu_k = \inf_{\substack{\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \\ \dim \mathfrak{M} = k}} \sup_{\psi \in (\mathfrak{M} \oplus \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{n-1})) \backslash \{0\}} \frac{\mathsf{d}_n[\psi] + \mathsf{v}[\psi]}{\|\psi\|^2}.$$

Here d_n and v are the quadratic forms associated to the operators $D_n(0)$ and V.

About Theorem 1 we want to remark that for n=3 there is an historical overview of results of the same type in [13] and that for n=2 there is no comparable result known. Moreover, Theorem 1 improves in the three dimensional case Theorem 3 in [13], which is the best known result for a Dirac operator with an electrostatic potential having strong Coulomb singularity.

Furthermore, we give a different proof of the Esteban-Séré minimax principle (see Theorem 2 in [13] and [9]) and prove an analogous result for two dimensional Dirac operators:

THEOREM 2 (Esteban-Séré minimax principle). Let $V \in \mathfrak{P}_n$. If the k^{th} eigenvalue μ_k of $D_n(V)$ in (-1,1), counted from below with multiplicity, exists, then it is given by

$$\mu_k = \inf_{\substack{\mathfrak{M} \subset P_n^+ \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}) \\ \dim \mathfrak{M} = k}} \sup_{\psi \in (\mathfrak{M} \oplus P_n^- \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})) \backslash \{0\}} \frac{\mathsf{d}_n[\psi] + \mathsf{v}[\psi]}{\|\psi\|^2}.$$

Here P_n^+ is the projector on the non-negative spectral subspace of $D_n(0)$ and $P_n^- := \mathbb{I} - P_n^+$.

A direct application of Theorem 1 is:

THEOREM 3 (Hardy-Dirac inequality). Let v be a scalar function on \mathbb{R}^n such that $v \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}} \in \mathfrak{P}_n$. Moreover, we define the operator:

$$K_n := \begin{cases} -i\partial_1 - \partial_2 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla & \text{if } n = 3, \end{cases}$$

and denote by $\lambda(v)$ the smallest eigenvalue of $D_n(v \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})$ in the gap (-1,1). Then for all $\varphi \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ the inequality

$$0 \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|K_n \varphi(\mathbf{x})|^2}{1 + \lambda(v) - v(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1 - \lambda(v) + v(\mathbf{x})\right) |\varphi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}$$
(2)

holds.

We follow the tradition of [5] and call these type of inequality Hardy-Dirac inequality. In [6] it is demonstrated, how one can prove Hardy-Dirac inequalities for n=3 with the help of the Talman minimax principle.

We know that the lowest eigenvalue of $D_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})$ in (-1,1) is $\sqrt{1-\left((4-n)\nu\right)^2}$ for $\nu\in \left(0,1/(4-n)\right)$ (see [7] and [19]). Thus Theorem 3 implies with a simple limiting argument

COROLLARY 4. Let $\nu \in [0, 1/(4-n)]$. Then

$$0 \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{|K_n \varphi|^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - ((4 - n)\nu)^2 + \frac{\nu}{|x|}}} + \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - ((4 - n)\nu)^2} - \frac{\nu}{|x|} \right) |\varphi|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

holds for all $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$.

Let $\nu \in [0,1/(4-n)]$. With the help of Corollary 4 and Theorem 1 in [8] $(\tilde{D}_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})$ corresponds to H there) we know that there is only one self-adjoint extension of $\tilde{D}_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})$ with a positive Schur complement. We denote this distinguished self-adjoint extension by D_n^{ν} . Now we want to give an explicit description of an operator core of D_n^{ν} . For this purpose we introduce polar and spherical coordinates. We denote by the coordinate pair $(\rho,\vartheta)\in [0,\infty)\times [0,2\pi)$ the radial and angular polar coordinates in \mathbb{R}^2 and by the coordinate triplet $(r,\theta,\phi)\in [0,\infty)\times [0,\pi)\times [0,2\pi)$ the radial, inclination and azimuthal spherical coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 . For $m\in\{-1/2,1/2\}^{n-1}$ we define the function $\zeta_{n,m}^{\nu}$ in polar coordinates for n=2

$$\zeta_{2,m}^{\nu}(\rho,\vartheta) := \xi(\rho)\rho^{\sqrt{1/4-\nu^2}-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \nu \frac{e^{-i(1/2+m)\vartheta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\ -i(\sqrt{1/4-\nu^2}+(-1)^{1/2-m}/2) \frac{e^{i(1/2-m)\vartheta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \end{pmatrix};$$
(3)

and in spherical coordinates for n=3

$$\zeta_{3,m}^{\nu}(r,\theta,\phi) := \xi(r)r^{\sqrt{1-\nu^2}-1} \begin{pmatrix} \nu\Omega_{\frac{1}{2}+m_2,m_1,-m_2}(\theta,\phi) \\ -i(\sqrt{1-\nu^2}+(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-m_2})\Omega_{\frac{1}{2}-m_2,m_1,m_2}(\theta,\phi) \end{pmatrix};$$

$$(4)$$

with the spherical spinor $\Omega_{l,m,s}$ (see Relation (7) in [10]) and the smooth cut-off function ξ (i.e., $\xi \in \mathsf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}_+)$, $\xi(t) = 1$ for $t \in (0,1)$ and $\xi(t) = 0$ for t > 2). In the next theorem we give a characterisation of an operator core of D_n^{ν} with the help of the functions $\zeta_{n,m}^{\nu}$ introduced in (3) and (4).

THEOREM 5 (Operator core). Let $\nu \in [0, 1/(4-n)]$. The set

$$\mathfrak{C}_{n}^{\nu} := \mathsf{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}) \dot{+} \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } n = 2, \ \nu = 0 \text{ or } n = 3, \ \nu \in \left[0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right]; \\ \operatorname{span}\{\zeta_{n,m}^{\nu} : m \in \{-1/2, 1/2\}^{n-1}\}, & \text{else}; \end{cases}$$

$$(5)$$

is an operator core for D_n^{ν} .

The knowledge of the operator core of D_n^{ν} is important for the proof of estimates on the square of the operator, see e.g. [14]. In Remark 15 we show that for $\nu \in [0, 1/(4-n))$ the set \mathfrak{C}_n^{ν} is an operator core for $D_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})$. A direct consequence is:

COROLLARY 6. Let $\nu \in [0, 1/(4-n))$. The distinguished self-adjoint extensions of $\tilde{D}_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})$ in the sense of [15] and [8] coincide, i.e.,

$$D_n^{\nu} = D_n(-\nu/|\cdot| \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}}).$$

The proofs of the minimax characterisations rely on the angular momentum channel decomposition of the Coulomb-Dirac operator in the momentum space. This representation and the corresponding unitary transformations are introduced in the next section. In the remaining sections we prove in the order of enumeration: Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 5.

2 Angular momentum channel decomposition in the momentum space

The Fourier transform connects the quantum mechanical descriptions of a particle in the configuration and momentum space. We use the standard unitary Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_n in $\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given for $\varphi \in \mathsf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_n \varphi := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} e^{-i\langle \cdot, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (6)

For the angular momentum channel decomposition in n dimensions we use an orthonormal basis in $\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1};\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. For n=2 this orthonormal basis is $\left((2\pi)^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} m(\cdot)}\right)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$. In three dimensions we use spherical spinors $\Omega_{l,m,s}$, which are defined in Relation (7) in [10], with $l\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $m\in\{-l-1/2,\ldots,l+1/2\}$ and $s\in\{-1/2,1/2\}$. The corresponding index sets are denoted by

$$\mathfrak{T}_2 := \mathbb{Z}; \tag{7}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{T}_3 := \left\{ (l, m, s) : l \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \left\{ -l - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, l + \frac{1}{2} \right\}, s = \pm \frac{1}{2}, \Omega_{l, m, s} \neq 0 \right\}.$$
(8)

Furthermore, we define subsets \mathfrak{T}_n^{\pm} of \mathfrak{T}_n :

$$\mathfrak{T}_{n}^{a} := \begin{cases} 2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 2, \ a = +; \\ 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 & \text{if } n = 2, \ a = -; \\ \{(l, m, s) \in \mathfrak{T}_{3} : s = \pm 1/2\} & \text{if } n = 3, \ a = \pm. \end{cases}$$
(9)

Note that if $(l, m, -1/2) \in \mathfrak{T}_3^-$ then $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we introduce bijective maps

$$T_2: \mathfrak{T}_2 \to \mathfrak{T}_2, \ T_2k := k+1;$$
 (10)

1156

and

$$T_3: \mathfrak{T}_3 \to \mathfrak{T}_3, \ T_3(l, m, s) := (l + 2s, m, -s).$$
 (11)

We can represent any $\varphi \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{C})$ in polar coordinates and $\zeta \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{C}^2)$ in spherical coordinates as

$$\varphi(\rho,\vartheta) = \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{T}_2} (2\pi\rho)^{-1/2} \varphi_k(\rho) e^{ik\vartheta}; \tag{12}$$

$$\zeta(r,\theta,\phi) = \sum_{(l,m,s)\in\mathfrak{T}_3} r^{-1}\zeta_{(l,m,s)}(r)\Omega_{l,m,s}(\theta,\phi); \tag{13}$$

with

$$\varphi_k(\rho) := \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2\pi}} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\rho, \vartheta) e^{-ik\vartheta} d\vartheta; \tag{14}$$

$$\zeta_{(l,m,s)}(r) := r \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left\langle \Omega_{l,m,s}(\theta,\phi), \zeta(r,\theta,\phi) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi. \tag{15}$$

With the help of (14) and (15) we define the unitary operator

$$\mathcal{U}_n: \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \to \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+); \quad \psi \mapsto \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \psi_j.$$
 (16)

For the proof of the following lemma see Theorem 2.2.5 in [1] (based on Lemmata 2.1, 2.2 of [2]) for n = 2 and Section 2.2 in [1] for n = 3.

LEMMA 7. For $j \in (\mathbb{N}_0/2 - 1/2)$ and $z \in (1, \infty)$ let

$$Q_j(z) = 2^{-j-1} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t^2)^j (z - t)^{-j-1} dt$$
 (17)

be a Legendre function of the second kind (see Section 15.3 in [21]). Let the sesquilinear form q_j be defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+p^2)^{1/2}dp) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+p^2)^{1/2}dp)$ by

$$q_j[f,g] := \pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \overline{f(p)} Q_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{q}{p} + \frac{p}{q}\right)\right) g(q) \, \mathrm{d}q \, \mathrm{d}p. \tag{18}$$

For the special case f = g we introduce $q_j[f] := q_j[f, f]$. Then for every $\zeta, \eta \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\overline{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \eta(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{x} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{T}_2} q_{|k|-1/2} \left[(\mathcal{F}_2 \zeta)_k, (\mathcal{F}_2 \eta)_k \right] & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \sum_{(l,m,s) \in \mathfrak{T}_3} q_l \left[(\mathcal{F}_3 \zeta)_{(l,m,s)}, (\mathcal{F}_3 \eta)_{(l,m,s)} \right] & \text{if } n = 3, \end{cases}$$
(19)

holds.

The operators $-i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\nabla$ and $-i\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\nabla$ are partially diagonalised in the momentum space by the unitary transforms

$$\mathcal{W}_2: \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2) \to \bigoplus_{k \in \mathfrak{T}_2} \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C}^2); \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \bigoplus_{k \in \mathfrak{T}_2} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_k \\ \psi_{T_2 k} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (20)

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{3}: \mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}; \mathbb{C}^{4}) \to \bigoplus_{(l,m,s) \in \mathfrak{T}_{3}} \mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \mathbb{C}^{2}); \quad \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \\ \psi_{3} \\ \psi_{4} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \bigoplus_{(l,m,s) \in \mathfrak{T}_{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{(l,m,s)}^{+} \\ \psi_{T_{3}(l,m,s)}^{-} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tag{21}$$

with

$$\psi_{(l,m,s)}^{+} := \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}_{(l,m,s)} \text{ and } \psi_{(l,m,s)}^{-} := \begin{pmatrix} \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}_{(l,m,s)}$$
 (22)

for $(l, m, s) \in \mathfrak{T}_3$. To be more precise:

Lemma 8. For the self-adjoint operators $-i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\nabla$ and $-i\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\nabla$ the relations

$$(\mathcal{W}_n \mathcal{F}_n)^* \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\cdot) \\ (\cdot) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) (\mathcal{W}_n \mathcal{F}_n) = \begin{cases} -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla & \text{if } n = 2, \\ -i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla & \text{if } n = 3, \end{cases}$$
(23)

hold.

Proof. By a straightforward calculation and Relation 2.1.28 in [1] the relations

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\vartheta} \rho \\ e^{i\vartheta} \rho & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2;$$
 (24)

$$\sigma \cdot \frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|} \Omega_{l,m,s} = \Omega_{l+2s,m,-s} \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } (l,m,s) \in \mathfrak{T}_3;$$
 (25)

The set $\mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})$ is dense in $\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})$. Thus it is enough to work with $\psi \in \mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\zeta \in \mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{C}^4)$.

Moreover, the Fourier transform diagonalises differential operators:

$$\langle \psi, -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}_2 \psi, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \mathcal{F}_2 \psi \rangle,$$
 (26)

$$\langle \zeta, -i\alpha \cdot \nabla \zeta \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}_3 \zeta, \alpha \cdot p \mathcal{F}_3 \zeta \rangle. \tag{27}$$

Here we denote by p the independent variable of multiplication in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; d\mathbf{p})$. Now we prove (23) for n = 3. We obtain by the representation (13) of the upper and lower bispinor of $\mathcal{F}_3\zeta$ and the notation introduced in (22) that the right hand side of (27) is equal to

$$2\sum_{\substack{(l',m',s')\in\mathfrak{T}_{3}\\(l,m,s)\in\mathfrak{T}_{3}}}\Re\left(\left\langle|\boldsymbol{p}|^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{3}\zeta\right)^{+}_{(l',m',s')}\Omega_{l',m',s'},(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{p})|\boldsymbol{p}|^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{3}\zeta\right)^{-}_{(l,m,s)}\Omega_{l,m,s}\right\rangle\right).$$

(28)

The expression in (28) is equal to

$$2\sum_{(l,m,s)\in\mathfrak{T}_{3}} \Re\left(\left\langle \left(\mathcal{F}_{3}\zeta\right)_{(l+2s,m,-s)}^{+}, (\cdot)\left(\mathcal{F}_{3}\zeta\right)_{(l,m,s)}^{-}\right\rangle\right)$$

$$=\sum_{(l,m,s)\in\mathfrak{T}_{3}} \left\langle \left(\begin{pmatrix} \left(\mathcal{F}_{3}\zeta\right)_{(l,m,s)}^{+}\\ \left(\mathcal{F}_{3}\zeta\right)_{T_{3}(l,m,s)}^{-}\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\cdot)\\ (\cdot) & 0\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\mathcal{F}_{3}\zeta\right)_{(l,m,s)}^{+}\\ \left(\mathcal{F}_{3}\zeta\right)_{T_{3}(l,m,s)}^{-}\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle$$

$$=\left\langle \mathcal{W}_{3}\mathcal{F}_{3}\zeta, \left(\bigoplus_{(l,m,s)\in\mathfrak{T}_{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\cdot)\\ (\cdot) & 0\end{pmatrix}\right) \mathcal{W}_{3}\mathcal{F}_{3}\zeta\right\rangle$$
(29)

by the sequential application of (25), (21) and (6). Thus the claim of Lemma 8 is a consequence of (27), (28) and (29).

For n=2 we obtain (23) by an analogous procedure, i.e., we represent the upper and lower component of $\mathcal{F}_2\psi$ by (12) in (26) and perform a calculation, which involves (24).

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $V \in \mathfrak{P}_n$. We use the abstract minimax principle Theorem 1 of [13] to prove the Talman minimax principle. We apply the theorem with $q := d_n$ (quadratic form associated to $D_n(0)$), $B := D_n(V)$ and Λ_{\pm} as the projector T_n^{\pm} on the upper and lower (n-1) components of a 2(n-1) spinor, i.e.,

$$T_n^+ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T_n^- \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } \varphi, \psi \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{n-1}).$$

That $D_n(V)$ plays the role of B in [13] is a consequence of Theorem 2.1 in [15] and the following lemma.

LEMMA 9. Let $V \in \mathfrak{P}_n$. Then the quadratic form \mathbf{v} associated to the operator V is a form perturbation of $D_n(0)$ in the sense of Definition 2.1 in [15].

Proof. V is $D_n(0)$ form bounded by the Herbst inequality (see Theorem 2.5 in [11]). Moreover, the inequality

$$||r^{-1/2}D_n(0)^{-1}r^{-1/2}|| \le 4 - n$$

holds. This is proven in Section 2 in [12] for n = 3. The same arguments also apply for n = 2 (see Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 1 in [4]). Thus

$$||V^{1/2}D_n(0)^{-1}V^{1/2}|| \le ||V^{1/2}r^{1/2}||^2 \cdot ||r^{-1/2}D_n(0)^{-1}r^{-1/2}|| < 1.$$

Hence $1 + V^{1/2}D_n(0)^{-1}V^{1/2}$ has a bounded inverse by the Neumann series. Now the claim follows from Theorem 2.2 in [15] with $A := D_n(0)$ and t := 0. \square Since the assumptions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 in [13] are obviously fulfilled, it remains to check assumption (iii). Thus it is enough to find an operator $L_n: \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \to \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ such that

$$\inf_{\varphi\in \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{n-1})\backslash\{0\}}\frac{\mathsf{d}_n\big[\big({}_{L_n\varphi}^{\varphi}\big)\big]+\mathsf{v}\big[\big({}_{L_n\varphi}^{\varphi}\big)\big]}{\big\|\big({}_{L_n\varphi}^{\varphi}\big)\big\|^2}>-1.$$

Now we give in three steps an explicit construction of L_n and show that L_n satisfies the requirements. For $k \in \mathfrak{T}_2$ and $(l, m, s) \in \mathfrak{T}_3$ we define in the first step various constants:

$$c_n := 2(4 - n) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{4})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{4})^2}; \tag{30}$$

$$c_{2,k} := \begin{cases} c_2^{-1} & \text{if } k \in \mathfrak{T}_2^-, \\ c_2 & \text{if } k \in \mathfrak{T}_2^+; \end{cases}$$
 (31)

$$c_{3,(l,m,s)} := c_3^{2s}. (32)$$

In the second step we define the operator R_n

$$R_n: \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \to \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+); \ \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \psi_j \mapsto \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} c_{n,j} \psi_{T_n^{-1} j}. \tag{33}$$

Finally we define

$$L_n := (\mathcal{U}_n \mathcal{F}_n)^* R_n (\mathcal{U}_n \mathcal{F}_n). \tag{34}$$

The desired properties of L_n are proven in the following lemma:

LEMMA 10. Let $\varphi \in \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ then $L_n \varphi \in \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ and the following inequality

$$\frac{c_n^2 - 1}{c_n^2 + 1} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ L_n \varphi \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \le \mathbf{d}_n \left[\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ L_n \varphi \end{pmatrix} \right] - \frac{1}{4 - n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|} \left| \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \\ (L_n \varphi)(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 d\mathbf{x} \quad (35)$$

holds.

Proof. We recall that

$$\mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \psi \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{1/4} \mathcal{F}_n \psi \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \}.$$

Thus the unitarity of \mathcal{U}_n implies

$$\mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \psi \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} (1 + (\cdot)^2)^{1/4} \left(\mathcal{F}_n \psi \right)_j \in \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \}. \tag{36}$$

Moreover we observe that the operator R_n is bounded, which together with (36) and (34) implies that $L_n\varphi \in \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now we define the quadratic form p on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+p^2)^{1/2}dp)$ by

$$p[\chi] := \int_{0}^{\infty} p|\chi(p)|^{2} \mathrm{d}p.$$

For the proof of (35) we recall that the quadratic form (18) satisfy the inequalities

$$q_{k+1/2}[\zeta] \leq q_{k-1/2}[\zeta];
q_{k+1}[\zeta] \leq q_{k}[\zeta];
q_{0}[\zeta] \leq c_{3}^{-1}p[\zeta], \quad q_{1}[\zeta] \leq c_{3}p[\zeta];
q_{-1/2}[\zeta] \leq 2c_{2}^{-1}p[\zeta], \quad q_{1/2}[\zeta] \leq 2c_{2}p[\zeta];$$
(37)

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\zeta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+p^2)^{1/2} dp)$ (see [2] and [10]). By Lemma 7 we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\varphi(\mathbf{x})|^2}{|\mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{x} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{T}_2} \mathsf{q}_{|k|-1/2} \big[(\mathcal{F}_2 \varphi)_k \big] & \text{if } n = 2; \\ \sum_{(l,m,s) \in \mathfrak{T}_3} \mathsf{q}_l \big[(\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi)_{(l,m,s)} \big] & \text{if } n = 3; \end{cases}$$
(38)

and by (31) - (34)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|(L_{n}\varphi)(\mathbf{x})|^{2}}{|\mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \begin{cases}
\sum_{k \in \mathfrak{T}_{2}^{+}} c_{2}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{|k| - \frac{1}{2}} [(\mathcal{F}_{2}\varphi)_{k-1}] + \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{T}_{2}^{-}} c_{2}^{-2} \mathbf{q}_{|k| - \frac{1}{2}} [(\mathcal{F}_{2}\varphi)_{k-1}] & \text{if } n = 2; \\
\sum_{l, l, m, \frac{1}{2} \in \mathfrak{T}_{3}^{+}} c_{3}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{l} [(\mathcal{F}_{3}\varphi)_{(l+1, m, -\frac{1}{2})}] + \sum_{l, l, m, -\frac{1}{2} \in \mathfrak{T}_{3}^{-}} c_{3}^{-2} \mathbf{q}_{l} [(\mathcal{F}_{3}\varphi)_{(l-1, m, \frac{1}{2})}] & \text{if } n = 3.
\end{cases}$$
(39)

Note that $(l, m, s) \in \mathfrak{T}_3^-$ implies $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence (37) implies that the right hand sides of (38) can be estimated by

$$(4-n)\left(\sum_{j\in\mathfrak{T}_n^+}c_n^{-1}\mathfrak{p}\big[(\mathcal{F}_n\varphi)_j\big]+\sum_{j\in\mathfrak{T}_n^-}c_n\mathfrak{p}\big[(\mathcal{F}_n\varphi)_j\big]\right);$$
(40)

and the right hand side of (39) by

$$(4-n)\left(\sum_{j\in\mathfrak{T}_n^+}c_n\mathfrak{p}\big[(\mathcal{F}_n\varphi)_{T_n^{-1}j}\big]+\sum_{j\in\mathfrak{T}_n^-}c_n^{-1}\mathfrak{p}\big[(\mathcal{F}_n\varphi)_{T_n^{-1}j}\big]\right). \tag{41}$$

By $T_n(\mathfrak{T}_n^{\pm}) = \mathfrak{T}_n^{\mp}$ we conclude that (41) is equal to (40). This together with the relation

$$(\mathcal{F}_n L_n \varphi)_{T_n j} = c_{n, T_n j} (\mathcal{F}_n \varphi)_j \text{ for all } j \in \mathfrak{T}_n,$$

implies

$$\frac{1}{4-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|} \left| \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \\ (L_n \varphi)(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq
\sum_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} (\mathcal{F}_n \varphi)_j(p) \\ (\mathcal{F}_n L_n \varphi)_{T_n j}(p) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\mathcal{F}_n \varphi)_j(p) \\ (\mathcal{F}_n L_n \varphi)_{T_n j}(p) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} dp.$$
(42)

A straightforward calculation using (31) - (34) gives

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ L_n \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \mp \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ L_n \varphi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle
= \left(1 \mp c_n^{-2}\right) \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n^+} \| \left(\mathcal{F}_n \varphi \right)_j \|^2 + \left(1 \mp c_n^2\right) \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n^-} \| \left(\mathcal{F}_n \varphi \right)_j \|^2.$$
(43)

By Lemma 8 we know that the right hand side of Relation (42) plus the minus case of the left hand side of (43) is equal to $d_n[\binom{\varphi}{L_n\varphi}]$. Thus we obtain (35) by (42) and (43).

Proof of Theorem 2

We proceed analogously to the proof of Theorem 1. Thus it is enough to find an operator $G_n: P_n^+\mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}) \to P_n^-\mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})$ such that

$$\inf_{\varphi \in P_n^+ \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\mathsf{d}_n \left[\varphi + G_n \varphi\right] + \mathsf{v} \left[\varphi + G_n \varphi\right]}{\left\|\varphi + G_n \varphi\right\|^2} > -1 \tag{44}$$

holds. In the following lemma we prove that a possible choice of G_n is

$$G_n := (\mathcal{W}_n \mathcal{F}_n)^* E_n(\mathcal{W}_n \mathcal{F}_n), \tag{45}$$

with

$$E_n: \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C}^2) \to \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C}^2); \tag{46}$$

$$\bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \Psi_j \mapsto \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \frac{1 - c_{n,j}(\cdot) + \sqrt{1 + (\cdot)^2}}{c_{n,j} + (\cdot) + c_{n,j}\sqrt{1 + (\cdot)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_j. \quad (47)$$

LEMMA 11. Let $\varphi \in P_n^+ \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})$ then $G_n \varphi \in P_n^- \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})$ and the relation

$$L_n(\varphi + G_n\varphi)_1 = (\varphi + G_n\varphi)_2 \tag{48}$$

holds.

Remark 12. By Lemma 10 and Relation (48) we conclude (44).

Proof of Lemma 11. By Lemma 8 we deduce that $\psi \in P_n^{\pm}\mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})$ if and only if there exists $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \zeta_j \in \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+;(1+p^2)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}p)$ such that

$$(\mathcal{W}_n \mathcal{F}_n \psi)_j(p) = \begin{cases} \zeta_j(p) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{p}{1+\sqrt{1+p^2}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ ("+" case);} \\ \zeta_j(p) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-p}{1+\sqrt{1+p^2}} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ ("-" case);} \end{cases}$$
 (49)

holds for every $j \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Hence we get $G_n \varphi \in P_n^- \mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)})$. By (49),(46) we obtain that there exists $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \chi_j \in \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; (1+p^2)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}p)$ such that

$$\left(\mathcal{W}_n \mathcal{F}_n \varphi\right)_j(p) = \chi_j(p) \left(\frac{1}{\frac{p}{1+\sqrt{1+p^2}}}\right)$$

and

$$\left((\mathbb{I} + E_n) \mathcal{W}_n \mathcal{F}_n \varphi \right)_j = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_j \\ c_{n,T_n j} \tilde{\chi}_j \end{pmatrix} \text{ with}$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_j(p) := \frac{c_{n,j} \left(p^2 + (1 + \sqrt{1 + p^2})^2 \right)}{(1 + \sqrt{1 + p^2})(c_{n,j} + p + c_{n,j} \sqrt{1 + p^2})} \chi_j(p) \text{ for } p \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{50}$$

hold for every $j \in \mathfrak{T}_n$. Hence we get by (45),(33) and (34) the relation

$$\varphi + G_n \varphi = (\mathcal{W}_n \mathcal{F}_n)^* \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_j \\ c_{n, T_n j} \tilde{\chi}_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathcal{U}_n \mathcal{F}_n)^* \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \tilde{\chi}_j \\ L_n (\mathcal{U}_n \mathcal{F}_n)^* \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{T}_n} \tilde{\chi}_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we have proven Relation (48).

5 Proof of Theorem 3

Since the right hand side of (2) is continuous in the $\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ norm (see Theorem 2.5 in [11]), we can assume that $\varphi \in \mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\};\mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \setminus \{0\}$ by the density of $\mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\};\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ in $\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$.

By the application of Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\lambda(v) \le \sup_{\psi \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})} I_{n,v,\varphi}(\psi) \text{ with}$$

$$\tag{51}$$

$$I_{n,v,\varphi}: \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \to \mathbb{R};$$
 (52)

$$I_{n,v,\varphi}(\psi) := \frac{\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} (1+v) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} & K_n \\ K_n & (-1+v) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle}{\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2}.$$
 (53)

Note that we calculate the suprema in (51) over $\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ instead of $\mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. This is justified by a density argument, which makes use of the form boundedness of $v \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}}$ with respect to $D_n(0)$ (see Lemma 9) and the density of $\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ in $\mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$.

Thus the proof of Theorem 3 basically follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 13. We define

$$J_{n,v,\varphi}: (-1,\infty) \to \mathbb{R};$$

$$J_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{|K_n \varphi(\mathbf{x})|^2}{1 + \lambda - v(\mathbf{x})} + \left(1 - \lambda + v(\mathbf{x}) \right) |\varphi(\mathbf{x})|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x}.$$

For $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$, $J_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda) \leq 0$ implies

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})} I_{n,v,\varphi}(\psi) \le \lambda.$$

Proof. We introduce

$$\psi_{n,v,\varphi}: (-1,\infty) \to \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{n-1}); \quad \psi_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda) := \frac{K_n \varphi}{1 + \lambda - v}. \tag{54}$$

For every $\zeta \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ the inequality

$$(I_{n,v,\varphi}(\psi_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda) + \zeta) - \lambda)(\|\varphi\|^2 + \|\psi_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda) + \zeta\|^2)$$

$$= J_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda) + 2\Re\langle\zeta, K_n\varphi - (1+\lambda-v)\psi_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda)\rangle + \langle K_n\varphi - (1+\lambda-v)\psi_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda), \psi_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda)\rangle - \langle\zeta, (1+\lambda-v)\zeta\rangle \leq J_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda)$$

holds, and thus we conclude the claim.

By Lemma 13 and (51) we obtain

$$J_{n,v,\varphi}(\lambda(v) - \varepsilon) > 0 \text{ for } \varepsilon \in (0, 1 + \lambda(v)).$$
 (55)

Letting $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ in (55) we obtain Theorem 3.

6 Proof of Theorem 5

The proof is based on:

LEMMA 14. Let $\nu \in [0, 1/(4-n)]$. The restriction of $(\tilde{D}_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}}))^*$ to \mathfrak{C}_n^{ν} is essentially self-adjoint.

Proof. For $m \in \mathfrak{T}_2$ and $(l, m, s) \in \mathfrak{T}_3$ we define

$$\kappa_m := m + 1/2;$$

$$\kappa_{(l,m,s)} := 2sl + s + 1/2.$$

Furthermore we introduce for every $j \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ the operator $D^{j,\nu}$ in $\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+;\mathbb{C}^2)$ by the differential expression

$$d^{j,\nu} := \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\nu}{r} & -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} - \frac{\kappa_j}{r} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} - \frac{\kappa_j}{r} & -\frac{\nu}{r} \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;\mathbb{C}^2)$. Now we observe that any solution of the equation $d^{j,\nu}\varphi=0$ in \mathbb{R}_+ is a linear combination of the two functions

$$\varphi_{j,1}^{\nu}(r) := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r^{\kappa_j} & \text{if } \nu = 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ \sqrt{\kappa_j^2 - \nu^2} - \kappa_j \end{pmatrix} r^{\sqrt{\kappa_j^2 - \nu^2}} & \text{else}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varphi_{j,2}^{\nu}(r) := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} r^{-\kappa_j} & \text{if } \nu = 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ -\sqrt{\kappa_j^2 - \nu^2} - \kappa_j \end{pmatrix} r^{-\sqrt{\kappa_j^2 - \nu^2}} & \text{if } 0 < \nu^2 < \kappa_j^2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \nu \ln(r) \\ 1 - \kappa_j \ln(r) \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \nu^2 = \kappa_j^2. \end{cases}$$

Through the application of the results of [20] as in Section 2 in [14] we obtain that the closure $D_{\rm ex}^{j,\nu}$ of the restriction of $(D^{j,\nu})^*$ to $\mathfrak{C}^{j,\nu}$ is self-adjoint with

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbf{j},\nu} := \begin{cases} \mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;\mathbb{C}^2) \dot{+} \operatorname{span}\{\xi \varphi_{j,1}^\nu\} \text{ if } \kappa_j^2 - \nu^2 < 1/4; \\ \mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;\mathbb{C}^2) \text{ else.} \end{cases}$$

Here ξ is a smooth cut-off function with $\xi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}_+), \xi(t) = 1$ for $t \in (0,1)$

and $\xi(t) = 0$ for t > 2. Thus we conclude the claim by

$$\left(\tilde{D}_{n}(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})\right)^{*} = \left(\mathcal{W}_{n}\mathcal{M}_{n}\right)^{*} \left(\bigoplus_{j\in\mathfrak{T}_{n}} \left(D^{j,\nu} + \sigma_{3}\right)^{*}\right) \mathcal{W}_{n}\mathcal{M}_{n} \text{ with,}$$
(56)

$$\mathcal{M}_n := \operatorname{diag}(1, \mathbf{i}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}$$

(see Section 7.3.3 in [19] for n=2 and Section 2.1 in [1] for n=3) and the fact that σ_3 is a bounded operator in $\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+;\mathbb{C}^2)$.

REMARK 15. Let $\nu \in [0, 1/(4-n))$ and $j \in \mathfrak{T}_n$. By the embedding

$$\mathsf{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|\mathbf{x}|^{-1})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x})$$

and (56) we obtain that the domain of $(W_n M_n D_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}}))$ $(W_n M_n)^*)_j$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+r^{-1})dr)$. Hence there is a self-adjoint extension of $D^{j,\nu}$ with domain in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+r^{-1})dr)$. By $\xi \varphi_{j,2}^{\nu} \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+r^{-1})dr)$ for $\nu > 0$ and Theorem 1.5 in [20] we get that $D_{\mathrm{ex}}^{j,\nu}$ is the unique self-adjoint extension of $D^{j,\nu}$ with domain in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+r^{-1})dr)$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\left(\mathcal{W}_n M_n D_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}}) \left(\mathcal{W}_n M_n\right)^*\right)_i = D_{\mathrm{ex}}^{j,\nu}.$$

We conclude that the closure of $(\tilde{D}_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}}))^*$ restricted to \mathfrak{C}_n^{ν} is $D_n(-\nu/|\cdot|\otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^{2(n-1)}})$.

As a consequence of Lemma 14 it remains to prove that $\zeta_{n,m}^{\nu} \in \mathfrak{D}(D_n^{\nu})$ for $m \in \{-1/2, 1/2\}^{n-1}$ and $(n, \nu) \in (\{2\} \times (0, 1/2]) \cup (\{3\} \times (\sqrt{3}/2, 1])$. We introduce the symmetric and non-negative (by Corollary 4) quadratic form \mathfrak{q}_n^{ν} on $\mathsf{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ by

$$\mathbf{q}_{n}^{\nu}[\varphi] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\frac{|K_{n}\varphi|^{2}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \left((4 - n)\nu\right)^{2} + \frac{\nu}{|\mathbf{x}|}}} + \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \left((4 - n)\nu\right)^{2} - \frac{\nu}{|\mathbf{x}|}}\right) |\varphi|^{2} \right) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Note that \mathbf{q}_n^{ν} is closable by Theorem X.23 in [16]. We denote the domain of the closure of \mathbf{q}_n^{ν} by \mathfrak{Q}_n^{ν} .

By the characterisation of $\mathfrak{D}(D_n^{\nu})$ in Theorem 1 in [8], it is enough to show that for all $m \in \{-1/2, 1/2\}^{n-1}$ the upper (n-1) spinor of $\zeta_{n,m}^{\nu}$ is in \mathfrak{Q}_n^{ν} , i.e., $\zeta_{n,m}^{\nu} \in \mathfrak{Q}_n^{\nu}$ with $\zeta_{2,m}^{\nu}$ given in polar coordinates by

$$\varsigma_{2,m}^{\nu}(\rho,\vartheta) := \xi(\rho)\rho^{\sqrt{1/4-\nu^2}-1/2}e^{-i(m+1/2)\vartheta};$$

and $\zeta_{3,m}^{\nu}$ in spherical coordinates by

$$\varsigma_{3,m}^{\nu}(r,\theta,\phi) := \xi(r) r^{\sqrt{1-\nu^2}-1} \Omega_{1/2+m_2,m_1,-m_2}(\theta,\phi).$$

We achieve this goal by the application of the following abstract lemma

LEMMA 16. Let q be a closable and non-negative quadratic form on a dense linear subspace $\mathfrak Q$ of the Hilbert space $\mathfrak H$ and $\psi \in \mathfrak H$. If there is a sequence $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb N}\subset \mathfrak Q$ with $\sup_{n\in\mathbb N} \mathsf q[\psi_n]<\infty$ which converges weakly in $\mathfrak H$ to ψ , then ψ is in the domain of the closure of $\mathsf q$.

Proof. We denote by $\overline{\mathbf{q}}$ the closure of \mathbf{q} and by $\overline{\mathfrak{Q}}$ the domain of $\overline{\mathbf{q}}$. There is a unique self-adjoint operator $B: \overline{\mathfrak{Q}} \to \mathfrak{H}$ with

$$\overline{\mathbf{q}}[\varphi] = \|B\varphi\|^2 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \overline{\mathfrak{Q}}$$

by Theorem 2.13 in [18] (B^2 corresponds to A there). Thus we know that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|B\psi_n\|^2 < \infty.$$

Hence there is a $\Psi \in \mathfrak{H}$ and a subsequence $(B\psi_{n_k})_{n_k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(B\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ that converges weakly to Ψ by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem. This implies that $((\psi_{n_k}, B\psi_{n_k}))_{n_k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to $(\psi, \Psi) \in \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H}$. By the closedness of the graph of B and Theorem 8 in Chapter 1 of [3] we deduce the claim. \square

Now we pick $v \in \mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $v(r) = \xi(r)$ for all $r \in [1, \infty)$ and $0 \le v(r) \le 1$ for $r \in (0, 1)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

$$\upsilon_k(r) := \begin{cases} \upsilon(kr) & \text{if } r \in (0, 1/k]; \\ 1 & \text{if } r \in (1/k, 1]; \\ \xi(r) & \text{else }; \end{cases}$$

and the function $\varsigma_{2,m,k}^{\nu}$ in the polar coordinates by

$$\varsigma_{2,m,k}^{\nu}(\rho,\vartheta) := \upsilon_k(\rho) \rho^{\sqrt{1/4-\nu^2}-1/2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(m+1/2)\vartheta},$$

and $\varsigma^{\nu}_{3,m,k}$ in the spherical coordinates by

$$\varsigma_{3,m,k}^{\nu}(r,\theta,\phi) := \upsilon_k(r)r^{\sqrt{1-\nu^2}-1}\Omega_{1/2+m_2,m_1,-m_2}(\theta,\phi).$$

The sequence $(\zeta_{n,m,k}^{\nu})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\zeta_{n,m}^{\nu}$ in $\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. By Lemma 16 it is thus enough to prove that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathsf{q}_n^{\nu}[\varsigma_{n,m,k}^{\nu}] < \infty. \tag{57}$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathsf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. At first we observe that

$$\mathbf{q}_{n}^{\nu}[\varphi] \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\frac{|x|}{\nu} |K_{n}\varphi|^{2} - \frac{\nu}{|x|} |\varphi|^{2} + |\varphi|^{2} \right) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{58}$$

A tedious calculation shows

$$K_n = \begin{cases} -ie^{i\vartheta} (\partial_{\varrho} - \frac{1}{\rho} A_2) \text{ with } A_2 := -i\partial_{\vartheta} \text{ if } n = 2; \\ -i \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \left(\partial_r - \frac{1}{r} A_3 \right) \text{ with } A_3 := \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left(-i\mathbf{x} \wedge \nabla \right) \text{ if } n = 3. \end{cases}$$
 (59)

Using (59) and integration by parts we obtain that the right hand side of (58) is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}|}{\nu} \left| \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \varphi \right|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu |\mathbf{x}|} \left| (1/(4-n) + A_n) \varphi \right|^2 - \frac{\left(\nu + \frac{1}{(4-n)^2 \nu}\right)}{|\mathbf{x}|} \left| \varphi \right|^2 + \left| \varphi \right|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x}.$$
(60)

By (60) and Relation 2.1.37 in [1] we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\frac{|x|}{\nu} |K_{n} \varsigma_{n,m,k}^{\nu}|^{2} - \frac{\nu}{|x|} |\varsigma_{n,m,k}^{\nu}|^{2} + |\varsigma_{n,m,k}^{\nu}|^{2} \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t^{n}}{\nu} \left| \partial_{t} v_{k}(t) t^{\sqrt{(4-n)^{-2} - \nu^{2}} - (4-n)^{-1}} \right|^{2} \right) dt$$

$$- \nu v_{k}(t)^{2} t^{2\sqrt{(4-n)^{-2} - \nu^{2}} - 1} + v_{k}(t)^{2} t^{2\sqrt{(4-n)^{-2} - \nu^{2}}} \right) dt. \tag{61}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that (61) is equal to

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\nu^{-1} v_{k}'(t)^{2} t^{2\sqrt{(4-n)^{-2}-\nu^{2}}+1} + v_{k}(t)^{2} t^{2\sqrt{(4-n)^{-2}-\nu^{2}}} \right) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1/k} \nu^{-1} k^{2} v'(kt)^{2} t^{2\sqrt{(4-n)^{-2}-\nu^{2}}+1} dt + \int_{1}^{\infty} \nu^{-1} v'(t)^{2} r^{2\sqrt{(4-n)^{-2}-\nu^{2}}+1} dt \quad (62)$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\infty} v_{k}(t)^{2} t^{2\sqrt{(4-n)^{-2}-\nu^{2}}} dt.$$

An upper bound for the expression in (62) is

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \nu^{-1} v'(t)^{2} t^{2\sqrt{(4-n)^{-2}-\nu^{2}}+1} dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi(t)^{2} t^{2\sqrt{(4-n)^{-2}-\nu^{2}}} dt.$$
 (63)

The combination of (63), (62) (61) and (58) implies (57).

References

- [1] Alexander A. Balinsky and William D. Evans. Spectral analysis of relativistic operators. Imperial College Press, 2011.
- [2] Abdelkader Bouzouina. Stability of the two-dimensional Brown-Ravenhall operator. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics*, 132(05):1133–1144, 2002.

- [3] Ward Cheney. Analysis for applied mathematics, volume 208. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [4] Jean-Claude Cuenin and Heinz Siedentop. Dipoles in graphene have infinitely many bound states. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 55(12), 2014.
- [5] Jean Dolbeault, Maria J. Esteban, Michael Loss, and Luis Vega. An analytical proof of Hardy-like inequalities related to the Dirac operator. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 216(1):1–21, 2004.
- [6] Jean Dolbeault, Maria J. Esteban, and Eric Séré. On the eigenvalues of operators with gaps. Application to Dirac operators. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 174(1):208–226, 2000.
- [7] Shi-Hai Dong and Zhong-Qi Ma. Exact solutions to the Dirac equation with a Coulomb potential in 2+1 dimensions. *Physics Letters A*, 312(1):78–83, 2003.
- [8] Maria J. Esteban and Michael Loss. Self-adjointness via partial Hardy-like inequalities. In *Mathematical results in quantum mechanics*, pages 41–47. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.
- [9] Maria J. Esteban and Eric Séré. Existence and multiplicity of solutions for linear and nonlinear Dirac problems. In *Partial Differential Equations* and their Applications, volume 12 of CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, pages 107–118. American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [10] William D. Evans, Peter Perry, and Heinz Siedentop. The spectrum of relativistic one-electron atoms according to Bethe and Salpeter. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 178(3):733-746, 1996.
- [11] Ira W. Herbst. Spectral theory of the operator $(p^2 + m^2)^{1/2} Ze^2/r$. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 53(3):285–294, 1977.
- [12] Tosio Kato. Holomorphic families of Dirac operators. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 183(3):399–406, 1983.
- [13] Sergey Morozov and David Müller. On the minimax principle for Coulomb-Dirac operators. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 280:733–747, 2015.
- [14] Sergey Morozov and David Müller. Lieb-Thirring and Cwickel-Lieb-Rozenblum inequalities for perturbed graphene with a Coulomb impurity. *Preprint*, 2016.
- [15] Gheorghe Nenciu. Self-adjointness and invariance of the essential spectrum for Dirac operators defined as quadratic forms. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 48(3):235–247, 1976.
- [16] Michael Reed and Barry Simon. Methods of modern mathematical physics II: Fourier analysis, self-adjointness, volume 2. Academic Press, 1975.
- [17] James D. Talman. Minimax principle for the Dirac equation. *Physical Review Letters*, 57(9):1091–1094, 1986.
- [18] Gerald Teschl. Mathematical methods in quantum mechanics, volume 99. American Mathematical Society, 2009.

- [19] Bernd Thaller. The Dirac equation. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [20] Joachim Weidmann. Oszillationsmethoden für Systeme gewöhnlicher Differentialgleichungen. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 119:349–373, 1971.
- [21] Edmund T. Whittaker and George N. Watson. A course of modern analysis. Cambridge University Press, 1996.

David Müller Mathematik, LMU Theresienstr. 39 80333 München Germany dmueller@math.lmu.de