

LOG ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER A LOG POINT

HEER ZHAO

Received: October 25, 2015

Revised: August 26, 2016

Communicated by Takeshi Saito

ABSTRACT. We study (weak) log abelian varieties with constant degeneration in the log flat topology. If the base is a log point, we further study the endomorphism algebras of log abelian varieties. In particular, we prove the dual short exact sequence for isogenies, Poincaré complete reducibility theorem for log abelian varieties, and the semi-simplicity of the endomorphism algebras of log abelian varieties.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14D06; Secondary 14K99, 11G99.

Keywords and Phrases: log abelian varieties with constant degeneration, endomorphism algebras, Poincaré complete reducibility theorem, dual short exact sequence for isogenies.

1 INTRODUCTION

As stated in [KKN08a], degenerating abelian varieties can not preserve group structure, properness, and smoothness at the same time. Log abelian variety is a construction aimed to make the impossible possible in the world of log geometry. The idea dates back to Kato's construction of log Tate curve in [Kat89, Sec. 2.2], in which he also conjectured the existence of a general theory of log abelian varieties. The theory finally comes true in [KKN08b] and [KKN08a]. Log abelian varieties are defined as certain sheaves in the classical étale topology in [KKN08a], however the log flat topology is needed for studying some problems, for example finite group subobjects of log abelian varieties, l -adic realisations of log abelian varieties, logarithmic Dieudonné theory of log abelian varieties and so on. In section 2, we prove that various classical étale sheaves from [KKN08a] are also sheaves for the log flat topology, in particular we prove that (weak) log abelian varieties with constant degeneration are sheaves for the log flat topology, see Theorem 2.1. We compute the first direct image sheaves

of étale locally finite rank free constant sheaves, for changing to the log flat site from the classical étale site, in Lemma 2.4. This lemma can be considered as a supplement or generalisation of [Kat91, Thm. 4.1]. We also reformulate some results from [KKN08a, §2, §3 and §7] in the context of the log flat topology.

In section 3, we focus on the case that the base is a log point. In this case, a log abelian variety is automatically a log abelian variety with constant degeneration. And only in this case, log abelian variety is the counterpart of abelian variety. While for general base, log abelian variety corresponds to abelian scheme. Now one may wonder if various results for abelian variety also hold for log abelian variety. We study isogenies and general homomorphisms between log abelian varieties over a log point. More precisely, we give several equivalent characterisations of isogeny in Proposition 3.3, and prove the dual short exact sequence in Theorem 3.1, Poincaré complete reducibility theorem for log abelian varieties in Theorem 3.2, and the finiteness of homomorphism group of log abelian varieties in Theorem 3.4, Corollary 3.3, Corollary 3.4, and Corollary 3.5.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grateful to Professor Kazuya Kato for sending me a copy of the paper [KKN15] which had been accepted but not yet published when the author started to work on this paper. I thank Professor Chikara Nakayama for very helpful communications concerning the paper [KKN15]. I thank Professor Qing Liu for telling me the reference [Bri15, Rem. 5.4.7. (iii)] about semi-abelian varieties. Part of this work was done during the author’s informal stay at Professor Gebhard Böckle’s Arbeitsgruppe, and I thank him for his hospitality and kindness.

I would like to thank the anonymous referee, whose feedback has greatly improved this article.

Part of this work has been supported by SFB/TR 45 “Periods, moduli spaces and arithmetic of algebraic varieties”.

2 LOG ABELIAN VARIETIES WITH CONSTANT DEGENERATION IN THE LOG FLAT TOPOLOGY

When dealing with finite subgroup schemes of abelian varieties, one needs to work with the flat topology. Similarly, the log flat topology is needed in the study of log finite group subobjects of log abelian varieties. However, log abelian varieties in [KKN08a] are defined in the classical étale topology. In this section, we are going to reformulate some results from [KKN08a, §2, §3 and §7], which are formulated in the context of classical étale topology, in the context of log flat topology.

Throughout this section, let S be any fs log scheme with its underlying scheme locally noetherian, and (fs/S) be the category of fs log schemes over S . The log schemes in this section will always be fs log schemes unless otherwise stated.

Let $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ (resp. $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{cl}}$, resp. $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{log}}$, resp. $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$) be the classical étale site (resp. classical flat site, resp. log étale site, resp. log flat site)¹ associated to the category (fs/S) , and let $\delta = m \circ \varepsilon_{\text{fl}} : S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\text{fl}}} S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{cl}} \xrightarrow{m} S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ be the canonical map of sites. For any inclusion $F \subset G$ of sheaves on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$, we denote by G/F the quotient sheaf in the category of sheaves on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$ by convention, unless otherwise stated.

We start with the following lemma, which relates the Hom sheaves in the classical étale topology to the Hom sheaves in the log flat topology. Although this lemma is somehow trivial, we still formulate it due to its extensive use in this paper.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let F, G be two sheaves on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ which are also sheaves on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$. Then we have $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(F, G) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F, G)$, in particular $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(F, G)$ is a sheaf on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$.*

Proof. This is clear. □

Now we recall some definitions from [KKN08a]. Let G be a commutative group scheme over the underlying scheme of S which is an extension of an abelian scheme B by a torus T . Let X be the character group of T which is a locally constant sheaf of finite generated free \mathbb{Z} -modules for the classical étale topology. The sheaf $\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}$ on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}(U) = \Gamma(U, M_U^{\text{gp}}),$$

the sheaf T_{log} on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ is defined by

$$T_{\text{log}} := \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}),$$

and the sheaf G_{log} is defined as the push-out of $T_{\text{log}} \leftarrow T \rightarrow G$ in the category of sheaves on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$, see [KKN08a, 2.1].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The sheaves $\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}$, X , T_{log} and G_{log} on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ are also sheaves for the log flat topology. Moreover, T_{log} can be alternatively defined as*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}),$$

and G_{log} can be alternatively defined as the push-out of $T_{\text{log}} \leftarrow T \rightarrow G$ in the category of sheaves on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$.

Proof. The statement for $\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}$ is just [Kat91, Thm. 3.2], see also [Niz08, Cor. 2.22]. Being representable by a group scheme, X is a sheaf on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$

¹Here we are following the terminology from [Kat91]. Note that in [KKN15] $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ is called the strict étale site, while $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{log}}$ and $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$ are called the Kummer log étale site and the Kummer log flat site respectively.

by [Kat91, Thm. 3.1] and [KKN15, Thm. 5.2]. It follows then $T_{\log} = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log})$ is also a sheaf on S_{fl}^{\log} . By its definition G_{\log} fits into a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_{\log} \rightarrow G_{\log} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ of sheaves on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\log} & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\log} & \longrightarrow & \delta_* \delta^* G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow R^1 \delta_* T_{\log} \end{array}$$

with exact rows in the category of sheaves on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$, where the vertical maps come from the adjunction (δ^*, δ_*) . The sheaf $R^1 \delta_* T_{\log}$ is zero by Kato’s logarithmic Hilbert 90, see [Kat91, Cor. 5.2] or [Niz08, Thm. 3.20]. It follows that the canonical map $G_{\log} \rightarrow \delta_* \delta^* G_{\log}$ is an isomorphism, whence G_{\log} is a sheaf on S_{fl}^{\log} . Since G_{\log} , as a push-out of $T_{\log} \leftarrow T \rightarrow G$ in the category of sheaves on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$, is already a sheaf on S_{fl}^{\log} , it coincides with the push-out of $T_{\log} \leftarrow T \rightarrow G$ in the category of sheaves on S_{fl}^{\log} . \square

PROPOSITION 2.2. *We have canonical isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) \cong T_{\log}/T \cong G_{\log}/G.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, G_{\log} is the push-out of $T_{\log} \leftarrow T \rightarrow G$ in the category of sheaves on S_{fl}^{\log} , so we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\log} & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Then the isomorphism $T_{\log}/T \cong G_{\log}/G$ follows. Applying the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, -)$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0,$$

we get a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow T_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

of sheaves on S_{fl}^{\log} . Since X is classical étale locally represented by a finite rank free abelian group, the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is zero. It follows that the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)$ is canonically isomorphic to T_{\log}/T . \square

It is obvious that the association of G_{\log} to G is functorial in G . Hence we have a natural map $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(G, G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(G_{\log}, G'_{\log})$, where G' is another commutative group scheme which is an extension of an abelian scheme by a torus over the underlying scheme of S . The following proposition describes some properties of this map.

PROPOSITION 2.3. (1) *The association of G_{\log} to G is functorial in G .*

(2) *The canonical map $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(G, G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(G_{\log}, G'_{\log})$ is an isomorphism.*

(3) *For a group scheme H of multiplicative type with character group X_H over the underlying scheme of S , let H_{\log} denote $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X_H, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log})$. Let $0 \rightarrow H' \rightarrow H \rightarrow H'' \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of group schemes of multiplicative type over the underlying scheme of S such that their character groups are étale locally finite rank constant sheaves, then the sequences*

$$0 \rightarrow H'_{\log} \rightarrow H_{\log} \rightarrow H''_{\log} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X_{H'}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X_H, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) \\ &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X_{H''}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

are both exact.

(4) *If $G \rightarrow G'$ is injective, so is $G_{\log} \rightarrow G'_{\log}$.*

(5) *If $G \rightarrow G'$ is surjective, so is $G_{\log} \rightarrow G'_{\log}$.*

(6) *Let $0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow G'' \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of semi-abelian schemes over the underlying scheme of S , such that G' (resp. G , resp. G'') is an extension of an abelian scheme B' (resp. B , resp. B'') by a torus T' (resp. T , resp. T''). Then we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G'_{\log} \rightarrow G_{\log} \rightarrow G''_{\log} \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Part (1) is clear. The isomorphism of part (2) follows from [KKN08a, Prop. 2.5].

We prove part (3). Since we have a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H'_{\log} \rightarrow H_{\log} \rightarrow H''_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X_{H'}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}),$$

it suffices to show $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X_{H'}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) = 0$, we are further reduced to show $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) = 0$ for any positive integer n . The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ gives rise to a long exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \xrightarrow{n} \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \rightarrow$

$\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}} \xrightarrow{n} \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}$ is surjective, the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}})$ must be zero. The other short exact sequence is proved similarly.

We prove part (4). Since $G \rightarrow G'$ is injective, then the corresponding map $T \rightarrow T'$ on the torus parts is also injective and the corresponding map $X' \rightarrow X$ on the character groups is surjective. It follows that the induced map

$$G_{\text{log}}/G = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m) = G'_{\text{log}}/G'$$

is injective. Hence $G_{\text{log}} \rightarrow G'_{\text{log}}$ is injective.

Now we prove part (5). Let f denote the map $G \rightarrow G'$. Consider the torus and abelian variety decomposition of f

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_t & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_{\text{ab}} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T' & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

We first show that f_t is surjective. Assume that the underlying scheme of S is a point. The snake lemma gives an exact sequence $\text{Ker}(f_{\text{ab}}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f_t) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\text{Coker}(f_t)$ is a torus and the reduced neutral component of $\text{Ker}(f_{\text{ab}})$ is an abelian variety by [Bri15, Lem. 3.3.7], we must have $\text{Coker}(f_t) = 0$. Hence f_t is surjective. In the general case, f_t is fiberwise surjective, hence it is also set-theoretically surjective. The fibers of f_t over S are all flat, hence f_t is flat by the fiberwise criterion of flatness, see [Gro66, Cor. 11.3.11]. Then f_t is faithfully flat, hence it is surjective. Then we get a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X/X' \rightarrow 0$ of étale locally constant sheaves. Applying the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(-, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)$ to this short exact sequence, we get a long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow G_{\text{log}}/G \rightarrow G'_{\text{log}}/G' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X/X', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m).$$

Let Z_{tor} be the torsion part of X/X' , and let n be a positive integer such that $nZ_{\text{tor}} = 0$. Since the multiplication-by- n map on $\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m$ is an isomorphism, we get that the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(Z_{\text{tor}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)$ is zero. The torsion-free nature of $(X/X')/Z_{\text{tor}}$ implies $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}((X/X')/Z_{\text{tor}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, hence $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X/X', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m) = 0$. It follows that $G_{\text{log}}/G \rightarrow G'_{\text{log}}/G'$ is surjective, hence $G_{\text{log}} \rightarrow G'_{\text{log}}$ is surjective.

At last, we prove part (6). Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & G'_{\log} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X', \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G'' & \longrightarrow & G''_{\log} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X'', \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

with the first column and all rows exact, where $\bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}$ denotes $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m$. The maps $G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow G''$ induce $T' \rightarrow T \rightarrow T''$, furthermore $X' \leftarrow X \leftarrow X''$, lastly the third column of the diagram. Although $0 \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$ is not necessarily exact, it gives two exact sequences $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z/X'' \rightarrow 0$, where $Z := \text{Ker}(X \rightarrow X')$ is étale locally a finite rank free constant sheaf and Z/X'' is étale locally a finite torsion constant sheaf. By part (3), we get two short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X', \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(Z, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(Z/X'', \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(Z, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \\
 & \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X'', \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

But $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(Z/X'', \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) = 0$, it follows that the third column of the diagram is exact. So is the middle column. \square

Recall that in [KKN08a, Def. 2.2], a log 1-motive M over $S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}$ is defined as a two-term complex $[Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ in the category of sheaves on $S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}$, with the degree -1 term Y an étale locally constant sheaf of finitely generated free abelian groups and the degree 0 term G_{\log} as above. Since both Y and G_{\log} are sheaves on S_{fl}^{\log} , M can also be defined as a two-term complex $[Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ in the category of sheaves on S_{fl}^{\log} . Parallel to [KKN08a, 2.3], we have a natural pairing

$$\langle, \rangle: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times (G_{\log}/G) = X \times \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m. \tag{2.1}$$

It is clear that our pairing is induced from the one of [KKN08a, 2.3]. By our convention, T_{\log}/T denotes the quotient in the category of sheaves on S_{fl}^{\log} . For the quotient of $T \subset T_{\log}$ in the category of sheaves on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$, we use the notation $(T_{\log}/T)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}$. Now we assume that the pairing (2.1) is admissible (see [KKN08a, 7.1] for the definition of admissibility), in other words the log 1-motive M is admissible. Recall that in [KKN08a, 3.1], the subgroup sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)}$ of the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})$ on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)}(U) := \\ &\{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})(U) \mid \text{for every } u \in U \text{ and } x \in X_{\bar{u}}, \\ &\text{there exist } y_{u,x}, y'_{u,x} \in Y_{\bar{u}} \text{ such that } \langle x, y_{u,x} \rangle = |\varphi_{\bar{u}}(x)| \langle x, y'_{u,x} \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, \bar{u} denotes a classical étale geometric point above u , and for $a, b \in (M_U^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_U^\times)_{\bar{u}}$, $a|b$ means $a^{-1}b \in (M_U/\mathcal{O}_U^\times)_{\bar{u}}$. It is natural to define the analogue of $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)}$ in the log flat topology. We need the following lemma first.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\delta : S_{\text{fl}}^{\log} \rightarrow S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ be the canonical map between these two sites.*

- (1) $\delta_*(\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) = (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.
- (2) *Let H be a commutative group scheme over the underlying scheme of S with connected fibres. Then $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(H, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) = 0$.*

Proof. We denote the sheaf $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m$ on S_{fl}^{\log} by $\bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}$. For any positive integer n , we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/n(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{n} & \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/n(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} & \xrightarrow{n} & \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{n} & \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log} \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns, where $\mathbb{Z}/n(1)$ denotes the group scheme of n -th roots of unity. Applying the functor $\varepsilon_{\text{fl}*}$ to the above diagram, we get a new

commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/n(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{n} & \mathbb{G}_m \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/n(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} & \xrightarrow{n} & \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 & & & & \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{n} & \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \delta \\
 \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{n} & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{Z}/n(1) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{n} & R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{G}_m \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} & \xrightarrow{n} & \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{Z}/n(1) & \longrightarrow & R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} & &
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. Since the map $\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{n} \mathbb{G}_m$ is surjective and $R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} = 0$, we get a new commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n & & \\
 & & & & \omega \nearrow & & \cong \downarrow \bar{\theta} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{n} & \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\xi} & R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{Z}/n(1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow \frac{1}{n} \gamma & & \downarrow \eta & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where \mathcal{G} denotes $(\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{cl}}}$, $\bar{\alpha}$ (resp. $\bar{\theta}$) is the canonical map induced by α (resp. θ), ω is the canonical projection map and ξ is the unique map guaranteed by $n\beta \circ (\frac{1}{n}\gamma) = \delta \circ (n(\frac{1}{n}\gamma)) = \delta \circ \gamma = 0$. Taking colimit of the above diagram with respect to n , we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Since the map $\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{G}_m$ is an isomorphism by Kato’s theorem [Kat91, Thm. 4.1] (see also [Niz08, Thm. 3.12]), we get $\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_*(\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) &= m_* \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) = m_*(\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \\
 &= (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the following fact: for any $U \in (\text{fs}/S)$, the sheaf $M_U^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_U^\times$ on the small étale site of U is constructible. This proves part (1).

Now we prove part (2) which corresponds to [KKN08a, Lem. 6.1.1]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(H, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\text{log}}) &= \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(H, \delta_* \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\text{log}}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(H, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument of the proof of [KKN08a, Lem. 6.1.1], we have

$$\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(H, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) = 0.$$

Hence part (2) is proved. □

Now we define the analogue of $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{log}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)}$. It is the subgroup sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)}$ of the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)$ on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)}(U) &:= \\ \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)(U) \mid &\text{after pushing forward to } U_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}, \\ \text{for every } u \in U \text{ and } x \in X_{\bar{u}}, \text{ there exist } &y_{u,x}, y'_{u,x} \in Y_{\bar{u}} \text{ such that} \\ < x, y_{u,x} > \mid \varphi_{\bar{u}}(x) \mid < x, y'_{u,x} > \}. \end{aligned}$$

Here \bar{u} still denotes a classical étale geometric point above u . Let $F := \delta_*(\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m) = (\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ with δ the canonical map $U_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}} \rightarrow U_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$. For $a, b \in (M_U^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_U^\times)_{\bar{u}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, $a \mid b$ means $a^{-1}b = \alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} r$ for some $\alpha \in (M_U/\mathcal{O}_U^\times)_{\bar{u}}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 2.1. In [KKN08a, 7.1], admissibility and non-degeneracy are defined for pairings into $(\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}$ in the classical étale site on (fs/S) . We can define admissibility and non-degeneracy for pairings into $\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m$ on the log flat site in the same way. Since both X and Y are classical étale locally constant sheaves of finite rank free abelian groups, the definitions of admissibility and non-degeneracy are independent of the choice of the topology.

The next lemma compares the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)}$ on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ with the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)}$ on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let X, Y be two free abelian groups of finite rank, $\langle, \rangle : X \times Y \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}$ an admissible pairing on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$. Let*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\text{cl}} := \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)}, \quad \mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)},$$

and $\delta : S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}} \rightarrow S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ the canonical map between these two sites. Then we have $\mathcal{Q} = \delta^* \mathcal{Q}_{\text{cl}}$ and $\delta_* \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_{\text{cl}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Denote $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m$ by $\bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}$. We have

$$\delta^*(\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}} = \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log},$$

and

$$\delta_*(\bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) = (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

by part (1) of Lemma 2.2, hence

$$\delta^* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}),$$

and

$$\delta_* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then by the definition of \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}_{cl} , we get $\mathcal{Q} = \delta^* \mathcal{Q}_{\text{cl}}$ and $\delta_* \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_{\text{cl}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. \square

Recall that in [KKN08a, 3.2, Thm. 7.3], $G_{\log}^{(Y)} \subset G_{\log}$ (resp. $T_{\log}^{(Y)} \subset T_{\log}$) on $S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}$ is defined to be the inverse image of $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)}$ under the map

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\log} &\rightarrow (G_{\log}/G)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}} \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}) \\ (\text{resp. } T_{\log} &\rightarrow (T_{\log}/T)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}} \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}). \end{aligned}$$

We could also consider the inverse image sheaf of $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)}$ under the map

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\log} &\rightarrow G_{\log}/G \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) \\ (\text{resp. } T_{\log} &\rightarrow T_{\log}/T \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)). \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition states that these two constructions coincide.

PROPOSITION 2.4. (1) The sheaf $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$ on $S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}$ is also a sheaf on S_{fl}^{\log} .

(2) The sheaf $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$ fits into a canonical short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow G_{\log}^{(Y)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.2)$$

of sheaves on S_{fl}^{\log} .

(3) The association of $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$ to a log 1-motive $M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}]$ is functorial.

Proof. Let T (resp. B) be the torus (resp. abelian scheme) part of G , then we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_{\log}^{(Y)} \rightarrow G_{\log}^{(Y)} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ of sheaves on $S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}$. To show that $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$ on $S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}$ is a sheaf on S_{fl}^{\log} , it suffices to show that $T_{\log}^{(Y)}$ is so. By [KKN08a, 7.7], locally on $S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}$ the sheaf $T_{\log}^{(Y)}$ is a union of representable sheaves. Hence it is also a sheaf on S_{fl}^{\log} . So part (1) is proven.

By the definition of $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$, we have a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_{\log}^{(Y)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

in the category of sheaves on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$. Since G , $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$ and G_{\log} are all sheaves on S_{fl}^{\log} , applying the functor δ^* to the above commutative diagram, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_{\log}^{(Y)} & \longrightarrow & \delta^* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & \delta^* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

Since we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\delta^* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)$$

and

$$\delta^* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)} \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)},$$

part (2) follows.

Now we prove part (3). It is enough to prove that for a given homomorphism $(f_{-1}, f_0) : M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}] \rightarrow M' = [Y' \rightarrow G'_{\log}]$, the composition $G_{\log}^{(Y)} \hookrightarrow G_{\log} \xrightarrow{f_0} G'_{\log}$ factors through $G_{\log}^{(Y')} \hookrightarrow G'_{\log}$. Let X and X' be the character groups of the torus parts of G and G' respectively, let $f_1 : X' \rightarrow X$ be the map induced from f_0 , and let

$$\tilde{f}_d : \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X', (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})$$

be the map induced from f_1 . By the definition of $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$ and $G_{\log}^{(Y')}$, we are reduced to show the composition

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_d} \\
 \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X', (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})
 \end{array}$$

factors through

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X', (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y')} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(X', (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}).$$

Let $\langle, \rangle: X \times Y \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}$ (resp. $\langle, \rangle': X' \times Y' \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}$) be the pairing associated to M (resp. M'), then we have

$$\langle f_1(x'), y \rangle = \langle x', f_{-1}(y) \rangle'$$

for any $x' \in X', y \in Y$. For any $U \in (\text{fs}/S)$,

$$\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y)}(U),$$

we need to show

$$\psi := \varphi \circ f_1 \in \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(X', (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y')}(U).$$

For every $u \in U$ and every $x' \in X'_u$, there exist $y_{u,x',1}, y_{u,x',2} \in Y_u$ such that $\langle f_1(x'), y_{u,x',1} \rangle = |\varphi_u(f_1(x'))| \langle f_1(x'), y_{u,x',2} \rangle$. The relation can be rewritten as

$$\langle x', f_{-1}(y_{u,x',1}) \rangle' = |\psi_u(x')| \langle x', f_{-1}(y_{u,x',2}) \rangle',$$

which implies that $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(X', (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y')}(U)$. This finishes the proof of part (3). □

Remark 2.2. Clearly, the image of $u : Y \rightarrow G_{\log}$ is contained in $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$.

We further assume that the pairing (2.1) is non-degenerate (see [KKN08a, 7.1] and Remark 2.1 for the definition of non-degenerate pairings), then the two maps $X \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(Y, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)$ and $Y \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)$ associated to the pairing are both injective. Recall that in [KKN08a, Def. 3.3. (1)] (resp. [KKN15, 1.7]) a log abelian variety with constant degeneration (resp. weak log abelian variety with constant degeneration) over S is defined to be a sheaf of abelian groups on $S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}$ which is isomorphic to the quotient sheaf $(G_{\log}^{(Y)}/Y)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}$ for a pointwise polarisable (resp. non-degenerate) log 1-motive $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$. Here a log 1-motive is said to be non-degenerate if its associated pairing (2.1) is non-degenerate. Since the polarisability implies the non-degeneracy, a log abelian variety with constant degeneration over S is in particular a weak log abelian variety with constant degeneration over S .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be a weak log abelian variety with constant degeneration over S . Suppose $A = (G_{\log}^{(Y)}/Y)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}$ for a non-degenerate log 1-motive $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$. Then*

- (1) A is a sheaf on S_{fl}^{\log} ;
- (2) $A = G_{\log}^{(Y)}/Y$, in other words A fits into a canonical short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow G_{\log}^{(Y)} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.3}$$

in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on S_{fl}^{\log} ;

(3) *A fits into a canonical short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, \text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)}/Y \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.4}$$

in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on $S_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{log}}$.

Proof. Part (2) follows from part (1). Since the log 1-motive M is non-degenerate, the map $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, \text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)}$ is injective. Then the short exact sequence in part (3) is induced from the short exact sequences (2.2) and (2.3). We are left with part (1). The proof of part (1) is similar to that for the log étale case in [KKN15, §5].

Consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \tilde{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ of [KKN15, 5.3]. Note that \tilde{A} is nothing but $G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}$ in our situation, however we stick to the notation \tilde{A} for the sake of coherence with [KKN15, 5.3]. The argument showing that \tilde{A} is a log étale sheaf, also shows that \tilde{A} is a log flat sheaf, since representable functors are sheaves for the log flat topology by [KKN15, Thm. 5.2]. We have the canonical map $\delta := m \circ \varepsilon_{\mathbb{F}} : S_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{log}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mathbb{F}}} S_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{cl}} \xrightarrow{m} S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}$ of sites. Applying δ^* and δ_* to $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \tilde{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & \tilde{A} & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & \tilde{A} & \longrightarrow & \delta_* \delta^* A \longrightarrow R^1 \delta_* Y \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where the vertical maps are the ones given by the adjunction (δ^*, δ_*) . To prove that A is a sheaf for the log flat topology, it is enough to show that the canonical map $A \rightarrow \delta_* \delta^* A$ is an isomorphism. This follows from the above commutative diagram with the help of the lemma below. \square

LEMMA 2.4. *The sheaf $R^1 \delta_* Y$ is zero.*

Proof. Since Y is étale locally isomorphic to a finite rank free abelian group, we are reduced to the case $Y = \mathbb{Z}$. Note that Y is a smooth group scheme over S . The proof here is the same as the proof of [Kat91, Thm. 4.1] (see also the proof of [Niz08, Thm. 3.12]) except the very last part where the condition G being affine is used. The reason why the proof there can be generalised to our case lies in the fact that Y is étale over S .

Now we start from [Kat91, the second half of page 22] or [Niz08, the second last paragraph of page 524], since these two parallel parts are the very parts needed to be modified. Let B be a strict local ring, \hat{B} its completion, and let $\alpha \in H^1((\text{Spec} B)_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{log}}, \mathbb{Z})$ such that it vanishes in $H^1((\text{Spec} \hat{B})_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{log}}, \mathbb{Z})$. By fpqc descent, α is a class of a representable \mathbb{Z} -torsor over $\text{Spec} B$ such that its structure morphism is étale. Since B is a strict local ring, the torsor admits a section by [Gro67, Prop. 18.8.1], so α is zero. It follows that [Kat91, Thm. 4.1] also holds for the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$, so $R^1 \varepsilon_{\mathbb{F}*} \mathbb{Z} = 0$. The Leray spectral sequence

gives a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R^1 m_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R^1 \delta_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow m_* R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{Z}$. The sheaf $R^1 m_* \mathbb{Z} = 0$ by [Gro68, Thm. 11.7], it follows that $R^1 \delta_* \mathbb{Z} = 0$. \square

Remark 2.3. Lemma 2.4 can be viewed as a generalisation of Kato’s theorem (see [Kat91, Thm. 4.1] or [Niz08, Thm. 3.12]) to étale locally constant finitely generated torsion-free group schemes.

Now we give a reformulation of [KKN08a, Thm. 7.4] in the context of the log flat topology.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $[Y \rightarrow G_{\log}]$ be a log 1-motive over S of type (X, Y) (see [KKN08a, Def. 2.2]) such that the induced paring $X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \log} / \mathbb{G}_m$ is non-degenerate, and let $[X \rightarrow G_{\log}^*]$ be its dual. Let $A = G_{\log}^{(Y)} / Y$. Then we have:*

- (1) $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(A, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$;
- (2) the sheaf $\delta_* \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$ fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^* \rightarrow \delta_* \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(A, R^1 \delta_* \mathbb{G}_m);$$

- (3) $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}) \cong (G_{\log}^* / X)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}} \cong G_{\log}^* / X$;
- (4) $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(A, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}) = 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, the sheaves \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{G}_{m, \log}$ and A on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ are also sheaves on S_{fl}^{\log} . Then part (4) follows from [KKN08a, Thm. 7.4 (4)] with the help of Lemma 2.1.

Before going to the rest of the proof, we first introduce two spectral sequences. Let F_1 (resp. F_2) be a sheaf on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$ (resp. S_{fl}^{\log}), then we have

$$\delta_* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\delta^* F_1, F_2) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_1, \delta_* F_2).$$

Let θ be the functor sending F_2 to $\delta_* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\delta^* F_1, F_2) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_1, \delta_* F_2)$, then we get two Grothendieck spectral sequences

$$E_2^{p, q} = R^p \delta_* R^q \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\delta^* F_1, -) \Rightarrow R^{p+q} \theta \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$E_2^{p, q} = R^p \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_1, -) R^q \delta_* \Rightarrow R^{p+q} \theta. \tag{2.6}$$

These two spectral sequences give two exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow R^1 \delta_* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\delta^* F_1, F_2) &\rightarrow R^1 \theta(F_2) \rightarrow \delta_* \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\delta^* F_1, F_2) \\ &\rightarrow R^2 \delta_* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\delta^* F_1, F_2) \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_1, \delta_* F_2) \rightarrow R^1 \theta(F_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_1, R^1 \delta_* F_2). \tag{2.8}$$

Let $F_1 = A$, and let F_2 be \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{G}_m or $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}$, part (4) together with Theorem 2.1 and exact sequence (2.7) imply

$$R^1\theta(A) \cong \delta_*\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(A, F_2),$$

so we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}}^{cl}(A, \delta_*F_2) \rightarrow \delta_*\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(A, F_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}}^{cl}(A, R^1\delta_*F_2).$$

Since $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}}^{cl}(A, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong G^*$ by [KKN08a, Thm. 7.4 (2)], the case $F_2 = \mathbb{G}_m$ gives part (2). Since $R^1\delta\mathbb{Z} = 0$ by Lemma 2.4 and $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}}^{cl}(A, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ by [KKN08a, Thm. 7.4 (1)], the case $F_2 = \mathbb{Z}$ gives part (1). The sheaf $R^1\delta_*\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}$ equals zero by Kato’s logarithmic Hilbert 90 [Kat91, Cor. 5.2]. And we have $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}}^{cl}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) \cong (G_{\log}^*/X)_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}}^{cl}$ by [KKN08a, Thm. 7.4 (3)]. Then part (3) follows from the case $F_2 = \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}$. \square

Let A be a weak log abelian variety with constant degeneration over S , and let $M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}]$ be the log 1-motive of type (X, Y) defining A . Then the paring $\langle, \rangle: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m$ associated to M is non-degenerate. Let $M^* = [X \rightarrow G_{\log}^*]$ be the dual log 1-motive of M , then the pairing associated to M^* is the same (up to switching the positions of X and Y) as the paring associated to M , hence it is automatically non-degenerate. If A is further a log abelian variety with constant degeneration, i.e. the log 1-motive M is pointwise polarisable, then M^* is also pointwise polarisable.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let A be a weak log abelian variety with constant degeneration (resp. log abelian variety with constant degeneration) over S . The dual weak log abelian variety with constant degeneration (resp. dual log abelian variety with constant degeneration) of A is the weak log abelian variety with constant degeneration (resp. log abelian variety with constant degeneration) $G_{\log}^{*(X)}/X$ associated to the log 1-motive $M^* = [X \rightarrow G_{\log}^*]$. We denote the dual of A by A^* .

Let $\text{WLAV}_S^{\text{CD}}$ (resp. LAV_S^{CD}) denote the category of weak log abelian varieties with constant degeneration (resp. log abelian varieties with constant degeneration) over S . Then we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *The association of A^* to A gives rise to a contravariant functor*

$$(-)^* : \text{WLAV}_S^{\text{CD}} \rightarrow \text{WLAV}_S^{\text{CD}}$$

which restricts to a contravariant functor

$$(-)^* : \text{LAV}_S^{\text{CD}} \rightarrow \text{LAV}_S^{\text{CD}}.$$

*Moreover the functor is a duality functor, i.e. there is a natural isomorphism from the identity functor to $(-)^{**}$.*

Proof. This follows from [KKN15, 1.7], [KKN08a, Thm. 3.4], and the corresponding duality theory of log 1-motives over S . \square

Remark 2.4. Given an abelian scheme A over the underlying scheme of S , the dual abelian scheme A^* can also be interpreted as $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}}^{\text{cl}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$. One may wonder if something similar happens in the case of (weak) log abelian varieties with constant degeneration. Note that in the log world, $\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}$ plays the role of \mathbb{G}_m in the non-log world. Part (3) of Theorem 2.2 indicates that $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}}^{\text{log}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \cong G_{\text{log}}^*/X$ is not $A^* = G_{\text{log}}^{*(X)}/X$ but closely related to it.

The following is a partial reformulation of [KKN08a, Thm. 7.3].

THEOREM 2.3. *Let X and Y be two finitely generated free \mathbb{Z} -modules, and let $\langle, \rangle: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m$ be a non-degenerate pairing on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$.*

Let G be a commutative group scheme over the underlying scheme of S which is an extension of an abelian scheme B by a torus T over S . Assume that X is the character group of T . Let $T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)} = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}})^{(Y)} \subset T_{\text{log}} = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}})$ (resp. $G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)} \subset G_{\text{log}}$) be the inverse image of

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)} \subset \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m) \cong T_{\text{log}}/T \cong G_{\text{log}}/G.$$

(1) *Let H be a commutative group scheme over the underlying scheme of S . Then we have*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(B, H), \quad \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/G, H) = 0.$$

*If further H satisfies the condition $R^1\delta_*H = 0$, we also have*

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(B, H)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/G, H) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T, H).$$

In particular, since $R^1\delta_\mathbb{Z} = 0$, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(B, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T, \mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$

(2) *We have $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \cong X$, $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/T, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) = 0$, $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/T, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) = 0$, and $\delta_*\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \subset R^2\delta_*X$.*

(3) Let G' be another commutative group scheme over S which is an extension of an abelian scheme B' by a torus T' over S . Let X' be the character group of T' . Then we have

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G, G') \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, G'_{\text{log}})$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X', X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/G, G'_{\text{log}}/G').$$

Our proof of Theorem 2.3 follows the structure of the proof [KKN08a, 7.17-7.21] of [KKN08a, Thm. 7.3]. Firstly we state a lemma which almost corresponds to [KKN08a, 7.17].

LEMMA 2.5. *Let the notation be as in Theorem 2.3. Then the following hold.*

- (1) $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H) = 0$;
- (2) If $R^1\delta_*H = 0$, then $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H) = 0$;
- (3) $\delta_*\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \subset R^2\delta_*X$.

Proof. Part (1) follows from the corresponding result in the classical étale topology of [KKN08a, 7.17], with the help of Lemma 2.1.

We use the exact sequences (2.7) and (2.8) from the proof of Theorem 2.2 to investigate the sheaves $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H)$ and $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}})$. If $R^1\delta_*H = 0$, then the vanishing of $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H)$ and $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H)$ implies part (2) via (2.7) and (2.8). We have

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) = 0$$

by [KKN08a, 7.17] and $R^1\delta_*\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}} = 0$ by Kato's logarithmic Hilbert 90. We also have

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \cong X$$

by [KKN08a, Thm. 7.3 (2)]. Then the inclusion of part (3) follows from (2.7) and (2.8). □

Remark 2.5. In the proof of part (3) of Lemma 2.5, since

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) = R^1\delta_*\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}} = 0,$$

we must have $R^1\delta_*X = 0$ by the exact sequences (2.7) and (2.8). This gives rise to an alternative proof of Lemma 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.3: Firstly we prove part (1). The isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(B, H)$$

comes from the corresponding isomorphism of [KKN08a, Thm. 7.3 (1)] for the classical étale topology with the help of Lemma 2.1. The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)} \rightarrow G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/G \rightarrow 0$ gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/G, H) &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T, H) \\ &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/G, H) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H). \end{aligned}$$

Since we have $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H) = 0$ by part (1) of Lemma 2.5, it follows that $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/G, H) = 0$. Assuming $R^1\delta_*H = 0$, we get $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H) = 0$ by part (2) of Lemma 2.5. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, H) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(B, H), \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/G, H) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T, H).$$

Next we prove part (2). The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)} \rightarrow T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/T \rightarrow 0$ gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/T, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/T, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the map $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}(T, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}})$ is canonically identical to the identity map $1_X : X \rightarrow X$ by [KKN08a, 7.20], so is the map α . Hence we have $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/T, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) = 0$. Since $R^1\delta_*\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}} = 0$ and $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}((T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/T)_{S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) = 0$ by [KKN08a, Thm. 7.3 (2)], we get $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/T, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) = 0$ by the exact sequences (2.7) and (2.8). The inclusion $\delta_*\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \subset R^2\delta_*X$ is just part (3) of Lemma 2.5. The isomorphism $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \cong X$ has been proved in the proof of Lemma 2.5.

At last, we show part (3). The isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G, G') \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}, G'_{\text{log}})$$

comes from the corresponding isomorphism of [KKN08a, Thm. 7.3 (3)] with the help of Lemma 2.1. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow T_{\text{log}}^{(Y)} \rightarrow G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)}/G \rightarrow 0$$

gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(G_{\log}^{(Y)}/G, G'_{\log}/G') &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(T_{\log}^{(Y)}, G'_{\log}/G') \\ &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(T, G'_{\log}/G'). \end{aligned}$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(T, G'_{\log}/G')$ is zero by part (2) of Lemma 2.2, hence we are reduced to compute $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(T_{\log}^{(Y)}, G'_{\log}/G')$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_* \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(T_{\log}^{(Y)}, G'_{\log}/G') &= \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}}(T_{\log}^{(Y)}, \delta_*(G'_{\log}/G')) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}}(T_{\log}^{(Y)}, (G'_{\log}/G')_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality comes from part (1) of Lemma 2.2. By [KKN08a, Thm. 7.3 (3)],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}}(X', X) &\cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}}((G_{\log}^{(Y)}/G)_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}}, (G'_{\log}/G')_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}}) \\ &\cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}}(T_{\log}^{(Y)}, (G'_{\log}/G')_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(T_{\log}^{(Y)}, G'_{\log}/G') \cong \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}}(X', X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(X', X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

□

Since weak log abelian varieties with constant degeneration are defined in terms of log 1-motives, it is natural to try to relate every aspect of weak log abelian varieties with constant degeneration to the corresponding aspect of log 1-motives. In particular, we are keen on the relation on the homomorphisms. The following theorem is a combination of [KKN08a, Thm. 3.4] and [KKN15, 1.7].

THEOREM 2.4. *The functor $[Y \rightarrow G_{\log}] \mapsto (G_{\log}^{(Y)}/Y)_{S_{\mathbb{E}t}^{\text{cl}}} = G_{\log}^{(Y)}/Y$ induces an equivalence from the category of non-degenerate log 1-motives (resp. pointwise polarisable log 1-motives) over S to that of weak log abelian varieties with constant degeneration (resp. log abelian varieties with constant degeneration) over S .*

Proof. See [KKN08a, §8].

□

Let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be a homomorphism between two weak log abelian varieties with constant degeneration over S , and let $M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}]$, $M' = [Y' \rightarrow G'_{\log}]$ be the log 1-motives defining A and A' respectively. By Theorem 2.4, f comes from a homomorphism from M to M' , and we denote it by (f_{-1}, f_0) . The proof of [KKN08a, Thm. 8.1] actually shows that f_0 comes from a unique

homomorphism from G to G' , and we denote it by f_c by convention ². The homomorphism f_c can also be obtained from the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}/Y \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & f_c & & f & & f_d \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}'/Y' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, together with the vanishing of $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G, \mathcal{Q}'/Y')$ (see Lemma 2.6 below). Here the exact rows come from part (3) of Theorem 2.1, and \mathcal{Q} (resp. \mathcal{Q}') denotes the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(X', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y')}$). Furthermore the diagram gives a homomorphism $\mathcal{Q}/Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'/Y'$ which we denote by f_d ³. The procedure of getting f from (f_{-1}, f_0) also gives a homomorphism $\tilde{A} := G_{\text{log}}^{(Y)} \rightarrow G_{\text{log}}'^{(Y')} =: \tilde{A}'$, which we denote by \tilde{f} . The homomorphism \tilde{f} induces a homomorphism $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'$ which we denote by \tilde{f}_d ⁴.

LEMMA 2.6. *We have $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G, \mathcal{Q}'/Y') = 0$.*

Proof. As before, let $\delta : S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}} \rightarrow S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}$ be the canonical map between these two sites. By part (3) of Lemma 2.2, we have a canonical isomorphism $\delta_* \mathcal{Q}' \cong \mathcal{Q}'_{\text{cl}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ with $\mathcal{Q}'_{\text{cl}} := \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(X', (\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}})^{(Y')}$. We also have $\delta_*(\mathcal{Q}'/Y') = (\delta_* \mathcal{Q}'/Y')_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}$ by Lemma 2.4. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G, \mathcal{Q}'/Y') &= \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(G, \delta_*(\mathcal{Q}'/Y')) \\
 &= \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(G, (\delta_* \mathcal{Q}'/Y')_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}) \\
 &= \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(G, ((\mathcal{Q}'_{\text{cl}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})/Y')_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The group $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}}(G, (\mathcal{Q}'_{\text{cl}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/Y')_{S_{\text{Et}}^{\text{cl}}})$ equals zero by the same reason as in [KKN08a, 9.2]. Hence $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(G, \mathcal{Q}'/Y')$ vanishes. \square

For practical reason, we state the following proposition, which is nothing else than a tedious summary of various maps constructed out of $f : A \rightarrow A'$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be a homomorphism of weak log abelian varieties with constant degeneration over S . Then f induces the following four*

²Here the subscript c stands for connected.

³Here the subscript d stands for discrete.

⁴Here the symbol \tilde{f} (resp. \tilde{f}_d) stands for the lifting of f (resp. f_d) to the “universal coverings”, and \tilde{A} (resp. \mathcal{Q}) could be thought of as the “universal covering” of A (resp. \mathcal{Q}/Y).

commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & G_{\log}^{(Y)} & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f_{-1} & & \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow f \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & G_{\log}^{(Y')} & \longrightarrow & A' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & Q/Y \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f_c & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_d \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & Q'/Y' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_{\log}^{(Y)} & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f_c & & \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow \tilde{f}_d \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & G_{\log}^{(Y')} & \longrightarrow & Q' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array} \tag{2.11}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & Q/Y \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f_{-1} & & \downarrow \tilde{f}_d & & \downarrow f_d \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & Q' & \longrightarrow & Q'/Y' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array} \tag{2.12}$$

with exact rows.

3 ISOGENY

In this section we study log abelian varieties over a log point. Note that in this case, log abelian varieties are necessarily log abelian varieties with constant degeneration by [KKN08a, Thm. 4.6 (2)].

Let k be a field, and $S = (\text{Spec } k, M_S)$ an fs log point with log structure induced by a chart $P \rightarrow k$, where P is a sharp fs monoid such that $P \rightarrow (M_S/\mathcal{O}_S^\times)_{\bar{x}}$ is an isomorphism. Here x denotes the underlying point of S and \bar{x} denotes a geometric point above x . Let (fs/S) be the category of fs log schemes over S , and log schemes in this section will always be fs log schemes unless otherwise stated. Let S_{fl}^{\log} (resp. $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{cl}}$) be the log flat (resp. classical flat) site on (fs/S) , and let $S_{\text{ét}}^{\log}$ (resp. $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{cl}}$) be the log étale (resp. classical étale) site on (fs/S) .

3.1 ISOGENY

Firstly we show a few properties of the category $(\text{fin}/S)_r$. See Definition A.1 in the Appendix for the categories $(\text{fin}/S)_f$, $(\text{fin}/S)_r$, $(\text{fin}/S)_d$ and $(\text{fin}/S)_c$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $F_1 \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$ and let F_2 be a subobject of F_1 in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$. Then we have $F_2 \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$. In other words, the category $(\text{fin}/S)_c$ is closed under subobjects in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$.*

Proof. If the field k is of characteristic zero, then F_2 is a log flat locally constant sheaf of finite abelian groups. Hence it corresponds to a $\pi_1^{\text{log}}(S)$ -module by Theorem A.2. Here $\pi_1^{\text{log}}(S)$ denotes the logarithmic fundamental group of S with respect to some log geometric point of S , see [Ill02, §4] for its definition. We have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow I^{\text{log}}(S) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{log}}(S) \xrightarrow{\text{forg}} \pi_1(S) \rightarrow 1$$

from [Ill02, (4.7.1)], where forg is the map to the classical étale fundamental group of S induced by the canonical map from the log étale site to the classical étale site, and the kernel $I^{\text{log}}(S)$ of forg is called the log inertia group of S . Since $F_1 \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$, the action of $I^{\text{log}}(S)$ on F_1 is trivial. It follows that the action of $I^{\text{log}}(S)$ on the subobject F_2 of F_1 is also trivial. Hence we get $F_2 \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$.

Now we are left with the case that the field k is of positive characteristic. Let $0 \rightarrow F_i^\circ \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow F_i^{\text{et}} \rightarrow 0$ be the connected-étale short exact sequence of F_i , see Lemma A.1. It is obvious that we have $F_1^\circ, F_1^{\text{et}} \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$. We have $F_2^{\text{et}} \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ and $F_2^\circ \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$ by Proposition A.2. The inclusion $i : F_2 \hookrightarrow F_1$ gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F_2^\circ & \longrightarrow & F_2 & \longrightarrow & F_2^{\text{et}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow i^\circ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i^{\text{et}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_1^\circ & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \longrightarrow & F_1^{\text{et}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows and injective vertical homomorphisms. Then we have $F_2^{\text{et}} \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$ by applying the same argument as in the characteristic zero case to $F_2^{\text{et}} \subset F_1^{\text{et}}$. Let E be the pullback of the extension F_1 along $i^{\text{et}} : F_2^{\text{et}} \hookrightarrow F_1^{\text{et}}$, then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F_2^\circ & \longrightarrow & F_2 & \longrightarrow & F_2^{\text{et}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow i^\circ & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_1^\circ & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & F_2^{\text{et}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i^{\text{et}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_1^\circ & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \longrightarrow & F_1^{\text{et}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Note that E lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_c$, and E is also the pushout of F_2 along $i^\circ : F_2^\circ \hookrightarrow F_1^\circ$. Now we make use of Kato’s classification theorem

Theorem A.3. Note that the functor Φ of Theorem A.3 is compatible with pushout along the second argument, hence we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_2^{\text{et}}, F_2^{\circ}) & \times & \mathfrak{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_2^{\text{et}}(1), F_2^{\circ}) \otimes P^{\text{gp}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F_2^{\text{et}}, F_2^{\circ}) \\
 \downarrow i_*^{\circ} & & \downarrow i_*^{\circ} & & \downarrow i_*^{\circ} \\
 \mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_2^{\text{et}}, F_1^{\circ}) & \times & \mathfrak{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_2^{\text{et}}(1), F_1^{\circ}) \otimes P^{\text{gp}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F_2^{\text{et}}, F_1^{\circ})
 \end{array}$$

with rows equivalences of categories. Let $[E] \in \mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F_2^{\text{et}}, F_1^{\circ})$ (resp. $[F_2] \in \mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F_2^{\text{et}}, F_2^{\circ})$) denote the class represented by E (resp. F_2). With the help of the above commutative diagram, $[E] \in \mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_2^{\text{et}}, F_1^{\circ})$ implies $[F_2] \in \mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{cl}}}(F_2^{\text{et}}, F_2^{\circ})$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.1. (1) *The category $(\text{fin}/S)_f$ is abelian.*

(2) *The category $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ is a weak Serre subcategory of $(\text{fin}/S)_f$.*

(3) *The category $(\text{fin}/S)_c$ is closed under subobjects and quotient objects in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$, but not closed under extensions in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$.*

Proof. We first show part (1). Let $f : F \rightarrow F'$ be in $(\text{fin}/S)_f$, and $U \rightarrow S$ a log flat cover such that both F_U and F'_U lie in $(\text{fin}/U)_c$. Since S is a log point, we may shrink U such that its underlying scheme is affine (in particular quasi-compact). For each positive integer n , let $S_n := S \times_{\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[P]} \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[P^{1/n}]$ endowed with the log structure associated to $P^{1/n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_n}$. By [Kat91, Prop. 2.7 (2)] or [Niz08, Cor. 2.16]⁵, there exists a log flat cover $V \rightarrow U$ and some positive integer n_0 such that $W := V \times_S S_{n_0} \rightarrow S_{n_0}$ is classically flat. Since both F_W and F'_W are represented by classical finite flat group schemes, so are $F_{S_{n_0}}$ and $F'_{S_{n_0}}$ by classical flat descent theory. Note that the underlying scheme of S_{n_0} is artinian. Since the category of commutative finite flat group schemes over an artinian base is abelian, part (1) follows.

To show part (2), we need to check that $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ is closed under kernels, cokernels and extensions. The closedness under kernels is trivial. The closedness under extensions is given by Proposition A.1. We are left to show the closedness under cokernels. It suffices to show that, for any short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow 0$ with $F_1, F_2 \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$, we must have $F_3 \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$. Let $0 \rightarrow F_i^{\circ} \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow F_i^{\text{et}} \rightarrow 0$ be the connected-étale short exact sequence of

⁵The proof of [Kat91, Prop. 2.7] hasn't been given in the very preprint. One may refer to [Niz08, Cor. 2.16] for the proof, however the statement might have missed the quasi-compact assumption. Nevertheless the proof works under the quasi-compact assumption.

F_i , then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & F_1^\circ & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \longrightarrow & F_1^{\text{et}} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & F_2^\circ & \longrightarrow & F_2 & \longrightarrow & F_2^{\text{et}} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & F_3^\circ & \longrightarrow & F_3 & \longrightarrow & F_3^{\text{et}} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. Both F_1° and F_2° lie in $(\text{fin}/S)_c$, so is F_3° . Hence $F_3 \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ by Proposition A.2.

Now we show part (3). The closedness under subobjects is just Lemma 3.1. The closedness under quotient objects can be proven by a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. The non-closedness is clear by Kato’s classification theorem Theorem A.3. \square

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}], M' = [Y' \rightarrow G'_{\log}]$ be two non-degenerate log 1-motives over S , $(f_{-1}, f_0) : M \rightarrow M'$ a homomorphism of log 1-motives, and $f_c : G \rightarrow G'$ the map induced by f_0 . Let X (resp. X') be the character group of the torus part T (resp. T') of G (resp. G'), \mathcal{Q} (resp. \mathcal{Q}') the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X', \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y')}$), $f_1 : X' \rightarrow X$ the map induced by f_c , and $\tilde{f}_d : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'$ the map induced by f_1 . If f_c is an isogeny, then the map $\tilde{f} : G_{\log}^{(Y)} \rightarrow G_{\log}^{(Y')}$ induced by (f_{-1}, f_0) is surjective with kernel $\text{Ker}(f_c)$, and the map \tilde{f}_d is bijective.*

Proof. Since f_c is an isogeny, the map f_1 is injective and of finite cokernel. We consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_{\log}^{(Y)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f_c & & \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow \tilde{f}_d \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & G_{\log}^{(Y')} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. To show the surjectivity of \tilde{f} , it is enough to show the surjectivity of \tilde{f}_d .

The induced map $T_{\log} \rightarrow T'_{\log}$ is surjective by Proposition 2.3 (5). Furthermore we have the surjectivity of the map

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(X', \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m).$$

Thus for any $\varphi' \in \mathcal{Q}'$, there exists some $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)$ mapped to φ' , i.e. $\varphi' = \varphi \circ f_1$. In order to show the surjectivity of \tilde{f}_d , it suffices to show that $\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let n be a positive integer killing $X/f_1(X')$, and let $\langle, \rangle: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m$ and $\langle, \rangle': X' \times Y' \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m$ be the pairings associated to M and M' respectively. Given any $U \in (\text{fs}/S)$, $u \in U$, $x \in X_{\bar{u}}$, there exists $x' \in X'_{\bar{u}}$ such that $nx = f_1(x')$. By the definition of \mathcal{Q}' , there exist $y'_{u,x',1}, y'_{u,x',2} \in Y'_{\bar{u}}$ such that

$$\langle x', y'_{u,x',1} \rangle' | \varphi'(x') | \langle x', y'_{u,x',2} \rangle' . \tag{3.1}$$

The map f_1 being injective with finite cokernel, together with the non-degeneracy of M and M' , forces f_{-1} to be injective with finite cokernel. If necessary we enlarge n such that it also kills the cokernel of f_{-1} . Then there exist $y_{u,x',1}, y_{u,x',2} \in Y_{\bar{u}}$ such that $ny'_{u,x',1} = f_{-1}(y_{u,x',1})$ and $ny'_{u,x',2} = f_{-1}(y_{u,x',2})$. Raising the relation (3.1) to n -th power, we get a new relation

$$\langle x', f_{-1}(y_{u,x',1}) \rangle' | \varphi'(x')^n | \langle x', f_{-1}(y_{u,x',2}) \rangle' . \tag{3.2}$$

Since $\langle f_1(-), - \rangle = \langle -, f_{-1}(-) \rangle'$, the relation (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$\langle x, y_{u,x',1} \rangle >^n | \varphi(x)^{n^2} | \langle x, y_{u,x',2} \rangle >^n . \tag{3.3}$$

By [KKN15, 18.10], there exist $y_1, y_2 \in Y_{\bar{u}}$ such that

$$\langle x, y_1^n \rangle > | \langle x, y_{u,x',1} \rangle \text{ and } \langle x, y_{u,x',2} \rangle > | \langle x, y_2^n \rangle .$$

Therefore relation (3.3) gives another relation

$$\langle x, y_1 \rangle >^{n^2} | \varphi(x)^{n^2} | \langle x, y_2 \rangle >^{n^2} . \tag{3.4}$$

Removing the exponents from (3.4), we get $\langle x, y_1 \rangle > | \varphi(x) | \langle x, y_2 \rangle$, hence $\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}$.

The injectivity of \tilde{f}_d follows from the injectivity of

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\mathbb{H}}^{\log}}(X', \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m).$$

The identification $\text{Ker}(f_c) = \text{Ker}(\tilde{f})$ follows from the injectivity of \tilde{f}_d . □

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let A be a log abelian variety over S , and $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ be a subsheaf of A . Then:*

- (1) F is an extension of objects of $(\text{fin}/S)_c$.

(2) $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_d$.

(3) The quotient A/F is also a log abelian variety over S .

Proof. Let n be a positive integer such that $nF = 0$, then we have $F \subset A[n] := \text{Ker}(A \xrightarrow{\times n} A)$. Since the map $G \xrightarrow{n_G} G$ is an isogeny, we get a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G[n] \rightarrow A[n] \rightarrow (\mathcal{Q}/Y)[n] \rightarrow 0$ by diagram (2.10), where \mathcal{Q} denotes the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)}$. Since the map $\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathcal{Q}$ is an isomorphism, we get $(\mathcal{Q}/Y)[n] \cong Y/nY$ by diagram (2.12). We also have $A[n] \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ by [KKN15, Prop. 18.1 (1) and (2)]. Now let F' be the kernel of the composition $F \hookrightarrow A[n] \rightarrow (\mathcal{Q}/Y)[n] \cong Y/nY$, and F'' the image of F in Y/nY , then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F' & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & F'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G[n] & \longrightarrow & A[n] & \longrightarrow & Y/nY & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows and injective vertical homomorphisms. As a kernel of a homomorphism between two representable objects, $F' \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$; as a subobject of Y/nY which is a classical finite étale group scheme, $F'' \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$. Applying Lemma 3.1 to the inclusion $F' \subset G[n]$, we conclude $F' \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$, hence part (1) is proven. Part (2) follows from part (1) and Proposition A.1.

Now we show part (3). It suffices to find a polarisable log 1-motive such that A/F is isomorphic to its associated quotient. Consider the pullback E of $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$ along $F \subset A$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & G_{\log}^{(Y)} & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

and let E_{tor} be the torsion subsheaf of E , $Y' := E/E_{\text{tor}}$. Since the sheaf

$$G_{\log}^{(Y)}/G = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(Y)}$$

is torsion-free, E_{tor} maps into G . So we get $E_{\text{tor}} = F'$ and $Y'/Y = F'' \subset Y/nY$, and Y' is étale locally constant. Let $G' = G/E_{\text{tor}} = G/F'$, the inclusion $E \hookrightarrow G_{\log}^{(Y)} \hookrightarrow G_{\log}$ gives a homomorphism $Y' \rightarrow G_{\log}/F' = G'_{\log}$ by taking the quotient by F' . In this way, we get a log 1-motive $M' := [Y' \xrightarrow{u'} G'_{\log}]$ together with a homomorphism $(f_{-1}, f_0) : M := [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}] \rightarrow M'$. By the construction of the homomorphism (f_{-1}, f_0) , it is clear that the multiplication by n map n_M on M factors through (f_{-1}, f_0) . Let $(g_{-1}, g_0) : M' \rightarrow M$ be the homomorphism such that $n_M = (g_{-1}, g_0) \circ (f_{-1}, f_0)$, let $(h_{-1}, h_0) : M \rightarrow M^* = [X \rightarrow G_{\log}^*]$ be a polarisation of M , then $(g_{-1}^* \circ h_{-1} \circ g_{-1}, g_0^* \circ h_0 \circ g_0)$ gives rise to a

polarisation of M' , where $(g_{-1}^*, g_0^*) : M^* \rightarrow M'^* = [X' \rightarrow G'_{\log}]$ is the dual of (g_{-1}, g_0) . By [KKN08a, Thm. 3.4], the homomorphism (f_{-1}, f_0) gives rise to a homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow A'$ of log abelian varieties with constant degeneration, where A' is the associated log abelian variety of M' . By the diagram (2.9) and Lemma 3.2, it is easy to see that $A' = A/F$. \square

DEFINITION 3.1. Let A, A' be two log abelian varieties over S . An isogeny from A to A' is a homomorphism f from A to A' such that f is surjective for the log flat topology and $\text{Ker}(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$.

Remark 3.1. Let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be an isogeny between two log abelian varieties over S . By Proposition 3.2 (2), we have $\text{Ker}(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_d$. Hence we can replace the condition $\text{Ker}(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ by the a priori stronger condition $\text{Ker}(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_d$ in the definition of isogeny. We can also replace the condition $\text{Ker}(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ by the a priori weaker condition $\text{Ker}(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_f$, since $\text{Ker}(f)$ is automatically representable as the kernel of $f|_{A[n]} : A[n] \rightarrow A'[n]$ for n big enough. Here we have used the fact $A[n], A'[n] \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$, see [KKN15, Prop. 18.1].

EXAMPLE 3.1. By Proposition 3.2, a subsheaf $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ of a log abelian variety A over S gives an isogeny $A \rightarrow A/F$ of log abelian varieties.

Isogenies between abelian varieties can be defined by several equivalent conditions, some of which concern the dimension. Here we show the same thing happens for log abelian varieties over S .

Recall that the dimension of a log abelian variety is defined to be the dimension of its semi-abelian part, see [KKN08a, 4.4].

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be a homomorphism of log abelian varieties over S . Let $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ (resp. $M' = [Y' \xrightarrow{u'} G'_{\log}]$) be the log 1-motive defining A (resp. A'), and f_{-1} and f_c the homomorphisms induced by f as in Proposition 2.6. Consider the following conditions:*

- (1) f is an isogeny;
- (2) f is surjective for the log flat topology and $\dim A = \dim A'$;
- (3) $\text{Ker}(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ and $\dim A = \dim A'$;
- (4) f_c is an isogeny and f_{-1} is injective of finite cokernel.

Then we have (2) \Leftrightarrow (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4).

Proof. If f is an isogeny, we have $\text{Ker}(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ and $A' = A/\text{Ker}(f)$. By the construction of $A/\text{Ker}(f)$ as a log abelian variety in the proof of part (3) of Proposition 3.2, we have that f_c is an isogeny of semi-abelian varieties, hence $\dim G = \dim G'$. This shows that (1) implies both (2) and (3).

Now we show that (3) implies (1). The condition $\text{Ker}(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ implies that $\text{Ker}(f_c)$ is a finite group scheme. Since $\dim G = \dim A = \dim A' = \dim G'$,

f_c is an isogeny. Let $\tilde{f} : G_{\log}^{(Y)} \rightarrow G_{\log}^{(Y')}$ be the homomorphism induced by f as in Proposition 2.6. By Lemma 3.2, \tilde{f} is surjective. Then the surjectivity of f follows from the surjectivity of \tilde{f} . Hence f is an isogeny.

At last, we show the equivalence between (3) and (4). Assuming (3), we must have that f_c is an isogeny. Let $\tilde{f}_d : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'$ be the homomorphism induced by f as in Proposition 2.6. Then \tilde{f}_d is bijective by Lemma 3.2. Applying snake lemma to diagrams (2.10) and (2.12), we get $\text{Ker}(f_{-1}) = 0$ and a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_c) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f_{-1}) \rightarrow 0$. Hence (3) implies (4). Conversely, assuming (4), we have that \tilde{f}_d is bijective by Lemma 3.2. Again applying snake lemma to diagrams (2.10) and (2.12), we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_c) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f_{-1}) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\text{Ker}(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ by part (2) of Proposition 3.1, so we get (3). \square

Remark 3.2. One might wonder if (2) implies (1) in Proposition 3.3. Note that the corresponding statement for abelian varieties holds. It is easy to see that the implication follows from the surjectivity of f_c . Unfortunately, it is not clear to the author how to deduce the surjectivity of f_c from the surjectivity of f .

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let A be a log abelian variety over S . Let $M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}]$ be the log 1-motive defining A , $M^* = [X \rightarrow G_{\log}^*]$ the dual of M and $(\lambda_{-1}, \lambda_0) : M \rightarrow M^*$ a polarisation, see [KKN08a, Def. 2.8] for the definition of polarisation. Then the map $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^*$ induced by $(\lambda_{-1}, \lambda_0)$ is an isogeny. One calls λ a polarisation of the log abelian variety A .

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let A be a log abelian variety over S , let g be the dimension of A , and let n be a positive integer.*

- (1) *The multiplication-by- n map $n_A : A \rightarrow A$ is an isogeny.*
- (2) *The rank of $A[n] := \text{Ker}(n_A)$ is n^{2g} .*
- (3) *$A[n] \in (\text{fin}/S)_d$.*
- (4) *Let $(n_A)^*$ be the dual of the map n_A , then $(n_A)^* = n_{A^*}$.*
- (5) *If n is coprime to the characteristic of k , then Kummer étale locally on S , $A[n]$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$.*

Proof. By [KKN15, Prop. 18.1], we have $A[n] \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$, hence part (1) is a corollary of Proposition 3.3. For part (2) and part (5), we refer to [KKN15, Prop. 18.1]. Part (3) follows from part (1) and Remark 3.1. We are left with part (4). Let $M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}]$ be the log 1-motive defining A , then n_A is the map induced by the map n_M . Since the dual of n_M is the map n_{M^*} , where M^* denote the dual of M , the dual of n_A is nothing but n_{A^*} . \square

3.2 THE DUAL SHORT EXACT SEQUENCE

Recall that for an isogeny $f : A \rightarrow A'$ between two abelian varieties over a field, we have that the dual f^* of f is an isogeny with kernel $(\text{Ker}(f))^*$. In this subsection we show that the same thing holds for log abelian varieties over S . Let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be an isogeny between two log abelian varieties over S , and let F be the kernel of f , then we get a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} A' \rightarrow 0$. Applying the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(-, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}})$ to this short exact sequence, we get a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(A', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By Theorem 2.2 (4), $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) = 0$. By Theorem 2.2 (3), the map $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(A', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}})$ is just the map $G'_{\text{log}}^*/X' \rightarrow G_{\text{log}}^*/X$. The torsion-free nature of $\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m$ implies $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, hence we have $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F, \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}) = F^*$, where $F^* = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is the Cartier dual of F (see Definition A.1). We have $A^* = G_{\text{log}}^{*(X)}/X$ and $A'^* = G_{\text{log}}'^*(X')/X'$. And the map $X' \rightarrow G_{\text{log}}'^*$ having its image in $G_{\text{log}}'^*(X')$ gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A'^* \rightarrow G_{\text{log}}'^*/X' \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{R} denotes the quotient sheaf

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(Y', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(Y', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(X')}.$$

Putting all these ingredients together, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & (3.6) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & A'^* & \xrightarrow{f^*} & A^* \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F^* & \longrightarrow & G_{\text{log}}'^*/X' & \longrightarrow & G_{\text{log}}^*/X \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \mathcal{R} & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns.

LEMMA 3.3. *The sheaf \mathcal{R} is torsion-free.*

Proof. We consider the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(Y', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{Q}'^* denotes $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(Y', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)^{(X')}$. To show \mathcal{R} is torsion-free, it is enough to show that any section $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(Y', \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)$, satisfying $\varphi^n \in \mathcal{Q}'^*$, actually lies in \mathcal{Q}'^* . For any $U \in (\text{fs}/S)$, $u \in U$, $y' \in Y'_u$, there exist $x'_{u,y',1}, x'_{u,y',2} \in X'_u$ such that $\langle x'_{u,y',1}, y' \rangle' \mid \varphi^n(y') \mid \langle x'_{u,y',2}, y' \rangle'$. By [KKN15, 18.10], there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X'_u$ such that

$$\langle x_1, y' \rangle'^n \mid \langle x'_{u,y',1}, y' \rangle', \quad \langle x'_{u,y',2}, y' \rangle' \mid \langle x_2, y' \rangle'^n.$$

Then we get a relation $\langle x_1, y' \rangle'^n \mid \varphi^n(y') \mid \langle x_2, y' \rangle'^n$. Removing the exponents, we further get $\langle x_1, y' \rangle' \mid \varphi(y') \mid \langle x_2, y' \rangle'$, which shows that $\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}'^*$. \square

THEOREM 3.1. *We have a canonical short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow A'^* \xrightarrow{f^*} A^* \rightarrow 0,$$

in other words, f^ is an isogeny with kernel the Cartier dual of F .*

Proof. Since $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_d$ by Proposition 3.2 (2), $F^* \in (\text{fin}/S)_d$. The sheaf \mathcal{R} is torsion-free by Lemma 3.3, hence we have $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F^*, \mathcal{R}) = 0$. It follows that the map $F^* \hookrightarrow G'_{\text{log}}/X'$ in the diagram (3.6) factors through A'^* . Furthermore, F^* is actually the kernel of f^* . Since $\dim A'^* = \dim A^*$, f^* is an isogeny by Proposition 3.3. \square

3.3 THE POINCARÉ COMPLETE REDUCIBILITY THEOREM

The Poincaré complete reducibility theorem for abelian varieties plays a very important role in the theory of abelian varieties. In this subsection, we formulate a Poincaré complete reducibility theorem for log abelian varieties admitting a polarisation over S .

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\text{log}}]$ be a log 1-motive over S with a polarisation $(\lambda_{-1}, \lambda_0) : M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\text{log}}] \rightarrow [X \rightarrow G_{\text{log}}^*] = M^*$, and let A be the log abelian variety associated to M . Let $M_1 = [Y_1 \rightarrow G_{1\text{log}}]$ be another log 1-motive with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} Y_1 = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} X_1$, where X_1 is the character group of the torus part of G_1 . Let $(i_{-1}, i_0) : M_1 \rightarrow M$ be a homomorphism of log 1-motives and $i_c : G_1 \rightarrow G$ the homomorphism corresponding to i_0 , and let $\gamma_{-1} := i_{-1}^* \circ \lambda_{-1} \circ i_{-1}$, $\gamma_0 := i_0^* \circ \lambda_0 \circ i_0$. Suppose that i_{-1} is injective and i_c has finite kernel, then we have the following.*

- (1) *The map $(\gamma_{-1}, \gamma_0) : M_1 = [Y_1 \rightarrow G_{1\text{log}}] \rightarrow [X_1 \rightarrow G_{1\text{log}}^*] = M_1^*$ is a polarisation.*

(2) Let A_1 be the log abelian variety associated to M_1 and $i : A_1 \rightarrow A$ the map induced by (i_{-1}, i_0) , then we have $\text{Ker}(i) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$.

Proof. To prove part (1), we need to verify the conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d) of [KKN08a, Def. 2.8]. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 Y_1 & \xrightarrow{i_{-1}} & Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{-1}} & X & \xrightarrow{i_{-1}^*} & X_1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 G_{1\log} & \xrightarrow{i_0} & G_{\log} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_0} & G_{\log}^* & \xrightarrow{i_0^*} & G_{1\log}^*
 \end{array}$$

We also have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & T_1 & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \longrightarrow & B_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow i_t & & \downarrow i_c & & \downarrow i_{ab} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \lambda_t & & \downarrow \lambda_c & & \downarrow \lambda_{ab} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T^* & \longrightarrow & G^* & \longrightarrow & B^* \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow i_t^* & & \downarrow i_c^* & & \downarrow i_{ab}^* \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T_1^* & \longrightarrow & G_1^* & \longrightarrow & B_1^* \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where the rows are the torus and abelian variety decomposition exact sequences of semi-abelian varieties. By the construction of the duality theory of log 1-motives, we have that i_{-1}^* (resp. i_t^*) is induced by i_t (resp. i_{-1}). Then condition (d) follows. Let $\langle, \rangle : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m$ (resp. $\langle, \rangle_1 : X_1 \times Y_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m$) be the pairing associated to M (resp. M_1). For $y \in Y_{1\bar{s}} \setminus \{0\}$, where s denotes the only point of S , we have $i_{-1}(y) \neq 0$ by the injectivity of i_{-1} . Hence we have

$$\langle \gamma_{-1}(y), y \rangle_{1\bar{s}} = \langle \lambda_{-1} \circ i_{-1}(y), i_{-1}(y) \rangle_{\bar{s}} \in (M_{S,\bar{s}}/\mathcal{O}_{S,\bar{s}}^\times) \setminus \{1\}$$

which gives condition (c). For condition (b), it suffices to show the injectivity of γ_{-1} because of $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} Y_1 = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} X_1$. But this already follows from condition (c). At last we show condition (a). Since i_c has finite kernel, i_{ab} must have finite kernel by diagram chasing. Hence i_{ab} is a finite morphism. We want to show $\gamma_{ab} = i_{ab}^* \circ \lambda_{ab} \circ i_{ab}$ is a polarisation of B_1 . Without loss of generality, we may assume $\lambda_{ab} = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}}$ for an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on B , where $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is defined by $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}(b) := t_b^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ for $b \in B$. Then we have $\gamma_{ab} = i_{ab}^* \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{L}} \circ i_{ab} = \varphi_{i_{ab}^* \mathcal{L}}$. Clearly $i_{ab}^* \mathcal{L}$ is ample, so γ_{ab} is a polarisation on B_1 . This finishes the verification of condition (a). Hence (γ_{-1}, γ_0) is a polarisation of M_1 .

Now we prove part (2). By Proposition 2.6, the homomorphism i induces the

following two commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}_1/Y_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow i_c & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i_d \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}/Y \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}_1/Y_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow i_{-1} & & \downarrow \tilde{i}_d & & \downarrow i_d \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}/Y \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Since i_c has finite kernel, i_t has finite kernel too. Hence \tilde{i}_d is injective by part (3) Proposition 2.3. Applying snake lemma to the above two diagrams, we get exact sequences $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i_c) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i_d) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(i_c)$ and $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i_d) \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y/Y_1$.

CLAIM. *The map α maps $\text{Ker}(i_d)$ onto the torsion part $(Y/Y_1)_{\text{tor}}$ of Y/Y_1 .*

Proof of CLAIM. First we show $(Y/Y_1)_{\text{tor}} \subset \text{Im}(\alpha)$. Let $y \in Y$ be such that the quotient class \bar{y} represented by y lies in $(Y/Y_1)_{\text{tor}}$. We have $ny = i_{-1}(y_1)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_1 \in Y_1$. The map $\mathcal{Q}_1 \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathcal{Q}_1$ is bijective by Lemma 3.2, hence there exists $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ such that $\varphi_1^n = \langle -, y_1 \rangle_1$. It follows then $\tilde{i}_d(\varphi_1)^n = \langle -, y \rangle$. Since the map $\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathcal{Q}$ is bijective by Lemma 3.2, we get $\tilde{i}_d(\varphi_1) = \langle -, y \rangle$. Whence $\bar{y} = \alpha(\bar{\varphi}_1)$, where $\bar{\varphi}_1$ denotes the quotient class of φ_1 in \mathcal{Q}_1/Y_1 .

Now we show the converse inclusion. It suffices to show, for $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ with $\tilde{i}_d(\varphi_1) = \langle -, y \rangle$ for some $y \in Y$, $\bar{y} \in (Y/Y_1)_{\text{tor}}$. Since $\gamma_{-1} = i_{-1}^* \circ \lambda_{-1} \circ i_{-1}$ is of finite cokernel by part (1), there exists some positive integer m such that $m i_{-1}^* \circ \lambda_{-1}(y) = i_{-1}^* \circ \lambda_{-1} \circ i_{-1}(y_1)$ for some $y_1 \in Y_1$. Let $w := my - i_{-1}(y_1)$, and we have $i_{-1}^* \circ \lambda_{-1}(w) = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \lambda_{-1}(w), w \rangle &= \langle \lambda_{-1}(w), my \rangle - \langle \lambda_{-1}(w), i_{-1}(y_1) \rangle \\
 &= \tilde{i}_d(\varphi_1)(\lambda_{-1}(w))^m - \langle i_{-1}^* \circ \lambda_{-1}(w), y_1 \rangle_1 \\
 &= \varphi_1(i_{-1}^* \circ \lambda_{-1}(w))^m - \langle 0, y_1 \rangle_1 \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\lambda_{-1}, \lambda_0)$ is a polarisation, we must have $w = 0$. This shows $\bar{y} \in (Y/Y_1)_{\text{tor}}$. □

By the above claim, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i_c) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i) \rightarrow (Y/Y_1)_{\text{tor}} \rightarrow \text{Coker}(i_c).$$

Let F be the kernel of $(Y/Y_1)_{\text{tor}} \rightarrow \text{Coker}(i_c)$. We have $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$. Then the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i_c) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(i) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ forces $\text{Ker}(i) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ by Proposition A.1. \square

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be a homomorphism of log abelian varieties over S . Then there exists a log abelian subvariety $j : A_1 \hookrightarrow A$ such that $f|_{A_1} = 0$, and A_1 possesses the following universal property: for any homomorphism $g : A_2 \rightarrow A$ of log abelian varieties over S such that $f \circ g = 0$, g factors through A_1 uniquely. In other words, A_1 is the kernel of f in the category of log abelian varieties over S .*

Proof. Let $M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}]$ (resp. $M' = [Y' \rightarrow G'_{\log}]$) be the log 1-motive defining A (resp. A'), and let $(f_{-1}, f_0) : M \rightarrow M'$ be the homomorphism defining f .

We first construct the log 1-motive defining A_1 . The homomorphism f induces a homomorphism $f_c : G \rightarrow G'$. Let G_1 be the reduced neutral component of $\text{Ker}(f_c)$, then G_1 is a semi-abelian variety by [Bri15, Rem. 5.4.7. (iii)]. Let j_c be the inclusion $G_1 \subset G$, and let $j_0 : G_{1\log} \rightarrow G_{\log}$ be the map induced by j_c . We consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \longrightarrow & G_{1\log} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X_1, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow j_c & & \downarrow j_0 & & \downarrow \bar{j}_0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f_c & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow \bar{f}_0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & G'_{\log} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X', \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where X_1 (resp. X , resp. X') is the character group of the torus part T_1 (resp. T , resp. T') of G_1 (resp. G , resp. G'). By part (3) of Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\text{Ker}(\bar{f}_0) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\text{Coker}(f_1), \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}),$$

where $f_1 : X' \rightarrow X$ is the map induced by the torus part $f_t : T \rightarrow T'$ of f_c . The above diagram gives rise to another commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \longrightarrow & G_{1\log} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(X_1, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow j_c & & \downarrow j_0 & & \downarrow \bar{j}_0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(f_c) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(f_0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\log}}(\text{Coker}(f_1), \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log})
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Since the map $\text{Coker}(f_1) \rightarrow X_1$ induced by $G_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow G'$ is an isomorphism up to torsion, the map \bar{j}_0 in the above diagram is an isomorphism.

Then we have that α is surjective. By the snake lemma, we get that $G_{1\log}$ is canonically embedded into $\text{Ker}(f_0)$ with finite cokernel $\text{Ker}(f_c)/G_1$. Now let Y_1 be the pullback of $G_{1\log}$ along $\text{Ker}(f_{-1}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ker}(f_0)$, then $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}Y_1 = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Ker}(f_{-1})$. Let j_{-1} be the canonical inclusion $Y_1 \subset Y$, we get a log 1-motive $M_1 := [Y_1 \rightarrow G_{1\log}]$ together with a canonical map $(j_{-1}, j_0) : M_1 \rightarrow M$. In order to apply Lemma 3.4, we need to show $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}Y_1 = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}X_1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that both M and M' admit a polarisation, in particular we have homomorphisms $h : Y \rightarrow X$ and $h' : Y' \rightarrow X'$ which are both injective with finite cokernel. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Coker}(f_{-1}^*) & \times & \text{Ker}(f_{-1}) & & \\
 \uparrow d & & \downarrow a & & \\
 X & \times & Y & \xrightarrow{\langle, \rangle} & \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m \\
 \uparrow f_{-1}^* & & \downarrow f_{-1} & & \parallel \\
 X' & \times & Y' & \xrightarrow{\langle, \rangle'} & \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m \\
 \uparrow c & & \downarrow b & & \\
 \text{Ker}(f_{-1}^*) & \times & \text{Coker}(f_{-1}) & &
 \end{array}$$

in which the parings \langle, \rangle and \langle, \rangle' are compatible with the maps f_{-1}^* and f_{-1} , we have the following relations

- (1) the composition $\text{Ker}(f_{-1}) \xrightarrow{a} Y \xrightarrow{h} X \xrightarrow{d} \text{Coker}(f_{-1}^*)$ is injective, whence $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Ker}(f_{-1}) \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Coker}(f_{-1}^*)$;
- (2) the composition $\text{Ker}(f_{-1}^*) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{c_{\mathbb{Q}}} X' \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{h'_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{-1}} Y' \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{b_{\mathbb{Q}}} \text{Coker}(f_{-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is injective, whence $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Ker}(f_{-1}^*) \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Coker}(f_{-1})$;
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}X = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}Y$, $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}X' = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}Y'$;
- (4) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Ker}(f_{-1}) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Coker}(f_{-1}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}Y - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}Y'$;
- (5) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Coker}(f_{-1}^*) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Ker}(f_{-1}^*) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}X - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}X'$.

The relations (3), (4) and (5) are trivial. For $y \in \text{Ker}(f_{-1})$ such that $d \circ h(y) = 0$, we have $h(y) = f_{-1}^*(x')$ for some $x' \in X'$, hence

$$0 = \langle x', f_{-1}(y) \rangle' = \langle f_{-1}^*(x'), y \rangle = \langle h(y), y \rangle.$$

This implies $y = 0$, hence relation (1). Relation (2) can be shown by a similar argument. Now these five relations together force $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Ker}(f_{-1}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\text{Coker}(f_{-1}^*)$, so we get $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}Y_1 = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}X_1$.

Applying Lemma 3.4 to M_1 (if necessary we take base change to \bar{k} in order to get a polarisation on M), we have that M_1 defines a log abelian variety A_1 and (j_{-1}, j_0) gives a homomorphism $j : A_1 \rightarrow A$. We leave the proof of the injectivity of j to Lemma 3.5.

Now we are left with checking the universal property. Let

$$(g_{-1}, g_0) : M_2 = [Y_2 \rightarrow G_{2\log}] \rightarrow M$$

be the homomorphism defining g . By the equivalence of categories from Theorem 2.4, we have that $f \circ g = 0$ implies $f_{-1} \circ g_{-1} = f_0 \circ g_0 = f_c \circ g_c = 0$. Hence the map g_c factors through the map j_c uniquely, further the map g_0 factors through j_0 uniquely. The equality $f_{-1} \circ g_{-1} = 0$ implies that $g_{-1}(Y_2) \subset \text{Ker}(f_{-1})$. Since Y_1 is defined as the pullback of $G_{1\log}$ along $\text{Ker}(f_{-1}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ker}(f_0)$, the homomorphism $Y_2 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_{-1})$ factors through Y_1 uniquely. It follows that (g_{-1}, g_0) factors through (j_{-1}, j_0) uniquely, and g factors through j uniquely. \square

LEMMA 3.5. *The homomorphism $j : A_1 \rightarrow A$ in the proof of Proposition 3.5 is injective.*

Proof. Let the notation be as in the proof of Proposition 3.5. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Y_1 & \hookrightarrow & \text{Ker}(f_{-1}) & \hookrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{f_{-1}} & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_{1\log} & \hookrightarrow & \text{Ker}(f_0) & \hookrightarrow & G_{\log} & \xrightarrow{f_0} & G'_{1\log}. \end{array}$$

Since the left square is a pullback diagram, we have that the canonical map $G_{1\log}/Y_1 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_0)/\text{Ker}(f_{-1})$ is injective. By diagram chasing, the canonical map $\text{Ker}(f_0)/\text{Ker}(f_{-1}) \rightarrow G_{\log}/Y$ is also injective. Hence the canonical map $G_{1\log}/Y_1 \rightarrow G_{\log}/Y$ is injective. Then the injectivity of j follows. \square

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $i : A_1 \hookrightarrow A$ be an inclusion of log abelian varieties over S . Let $(i_{-1}, i_0) : M_1 = [Y_1 \rightarrow G_{1\log}] \rightarrow [Y \rightarrow G_{1\log}] = M$ be the homomorphism of log 1-motives defining i , and let $i_c : G_1 \rightarrow G$ be the homomorphism of semi-abelian varieties induced by i_0 . Then i_{-1} , i_0 and i_c are all injective.*

Proof. The injectivity of i_c follows from the injectivity of i by diagram (2.10). The injectivity of i_0 follows from the injectivity of i_c by part (4) of Proposition 2.3. The injectivity of i_{-1} follows from that of i_0 by diagram (2.9). \square

THEOREM 3.2 (Poincaré complete reducibility theorem). *Let A be a log abelian variety over S with a polarisation $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^*$, and A_1 a log abelian subvariety of A . Then there is another log abelian subvariety A_2 such that $A_1 \times A_2$ is isogenous to A .*

Proof. Let $M = [Y \rightarrow G_{1\log}]$ and $M_1 = [Y_1 \rightarrow G_{1\log}]$ be the log 1-motives defining A and A_1 respectively. Let i be the inclusion $A_1 \subset A$, let i^* be the

dual of i , and let $f = i^* \circ \lambda$. Let $(f_{-1}, f_0) : M \rightarrow M_1^*$ be the homomorphism defining f . By Proposition 2.6, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}/Y & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \lambda_c & \searrow f_c & \downarrow \lambda & \searrow f & \downarrow \lambda_d & \searrow f_d & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G^* & \longrightarrow & A^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}^*/X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow i_c^* & \searrow i^* & \downarrow i^* & \searrow i^* & \downarrow i_d^* & \searrow i^* & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G_1^* & \longrightarrow & A_1^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}_1^*/X_1 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows.

Firstly we study the homomorphism f_c via λ_c and i_c^* . By Example 3.2, λ is an isogeny, hence $\text{Ker}(\lambda) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ and $\dim G = \dim G^*$ by Proposition 3.3. So λ_c is an isogeny. The construction of i_c^* gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & T^* & \longrightarrow & G^* & \longrightarrow & B^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow i_t^* & & \downarrow i_c^* & & \downarrow i_{ab}^* & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T_1^* & \longrightarrow & G_1^* & \longrightarrow & B_1^* & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where the map $T^* \rightarrow T_1^*$ is induced by $i_{-1} : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ and the map $B^* \rightarrow B_1^*$ is induced by the abelian variety part i_{ab} of i_c . The injectivity of i implies that i_c and i_{-1} are both injective by Lemma 3.6. It follows that i_t^* is surjective. The injectivity of i_c implies that $i_{ab} : B_1 \rightarrow B$ has finite kernel. Hence $i_{ab}^* : B^* \rightarrow B_1^*$ is surjective, and so is i_c^* . Then the surjectivity of f_c follows.

Let $j : A_2 \hookrightarrow A$ be the kernel of f in the category of log abelian varieties guaranteed by Proposition 3.5. The proof of Proposition 3.5 tells us that the log abelian subvariety A_2 could come from a log 1-motive $M_2 = [Y_2 \rightarrow G_{2\log}]$ and a homomorphism $(j_{-1}, j_0) : M_2 \rightarrow M$, such that both j_{-1} and j_0 are injective, and the semi-abelian variety G_2 underlying $G_{2\log}$ is the reduced neutral component of $\text{Ker}(f_c)$.

Let $Y' := Y_1 \times Y_2, G' := G_1 \times G_2$, then we have a natural log 1-motive $M' = [Y' \rightarrow G'_{\log}]$ and a homomorphism $(\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0) : M' \rightarrow M$, where α_{-1} is the map $Y_1 \times Y_2 \rightarrow Y, (y_1, y_2) \mapsto y_1 + y_2$, and α_0 is the map induced by $\alpha_c : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G, (g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1 + g_2$. We claim that α_{-1} is injective and of finite cokernel. Note that $(\gamma_{-1}, \gamma_0) := (i_{-1}^* \circ \lambda_{-1} \circ i_{-1}, i_0^* \circ \lambda_0 \circ i_0)$ is a polarisation on M_1 by Lemma 3.4. For $y \in Y_{1\bar{s}} \cap Y_{2\bar{s}}, \gamma_{-1}(y) = f_{-1}(y) = 0$, hence $0 = \langle 0, y \rangle_{1\bar{s}} = \langle \gamma_{-1}(y), y \rangle_{1\bar{s}}$ implies $y = 0$, and $\text{Ker}(\alpha_{-1}) = Y_1 \cap Y_2 = 0$. Hence α_{-1} has finite cokernel due to rank reason. We also claim that the map α_c is an isogeny. Let $\gamma_c : G_1 \rightarrow G_1^*$ be the homomorphism corresponding to γ_0, γ_{ab} the abelian part of γ_c , and γ_t the torus part of γ_c . Since (γ_{-1}, γ_0) is a polarisation, γ_{ab} is a polarisation and γ_{-1} is injective and of finite cokernel. It follows that both γ_{ab} and γ_t are isogenies. Whence $\gamma_c = i_c^* \circ \lambda_c \circ i_c$ is also an isogeny. Hence for any

$g \in G$, there exists $g_1 \in G_1$ such that $i_c^* \circ \lambda_c \circ i_c(g_1) = i_c^* \circ \lambda_c(g)$, it follows then $g - i_c(g_1) \in \text{Ker}(f_c)$. Since $\text{Ker}(f_c)/G_2$ is a finite group scheme, the map α_c is an isogeny. Note that (α_{-1}, α_0) induces a homomorphism $\alpha : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow A$ of log abelian varieties. By the equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (4) of Proposition 3.3, we deduce that α is an isogeny. \square

Remark 3.3. Since abelian varieties over a field are always projective, they carry an ample line bundle, hence they are always polarisable. For log abelian varieties over a log point, they admit a polarisation after base change to the algebraic closure of the base field by definition. However it is not clear to the author if they actually carry a polarisation over the base log point. But he does think, over a log point, log abelian variety admitting a polarisation serves as the right counterpart of abelian variety for at least two reasons. Firstly, the canonical 1-parameter log abelian variety degeneration ([Zha14]) of an abelian variety transports a polarisation of the generic fibre to the special fibre. In other words the special fibre (which is a log abelian variety over a log point) as the degeneration of the generic fibre (which is an abelian variety over a trivial log point) is necessarily polarisable. Secondly, a polarisation is needed in the proof of Poincaré complete reducibility theorem (see Theorem 3.2), and we know that Poincaré complete reducibility theorem for abelian varieties plays a very important role in the theory of abelian varieties.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let A be a log abelian variety over S , a log abelian subvariety of A is a subsheaf of A which is also a log abelian variety. The log abelian variety A is simple if it has no non-zero proper log abelian subvariety. In other words, if A_1 is a log abelian variety properly contained in A , then A_1 is zero.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be a non-zero homomorphism between log abelian varieties.*

- (1) *If both A and A' are simple, then f is an isogeny.*
- (2) *If f is an isogeny, then there exists an isogeny $g : A' \rightarrow A$ and a positive integer n such that $g \circ f = n_A$.*

Proof. We prove part (1) first. Let A_1 be the kernel of f in the category of log abelian varieties, see Proposition 3.5 for the construction of A_1 . Since A is simple, A_1 has to be zero. Let F be the kernel of f in the category of sheaves of abelian groups, then F lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ by part (2) of Lemma 3.4. Then A/F gives rise to a log abelian subvariety of A' by part (3) of Proposition 3.2. We must have $A' = A/F$ by the simplicity of A' . It follows that f is an isogeny. This shows part (1).

Now we show part (2). Let n be a positive integer which kills F . Then there exists an epimorphism $g : A' \rightarrow A$ such that $g \circ f = n_A$. The kernel-cokernel exact sequence gives a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow A[n] \rightarrow \text{Ker}(g) \rightarrow 0$. We get $\text{Ker}(g) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ by part (2) of Proposition 3.1. Hence g is an isogeny. \square

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let A be a log abelian variety over S admitting a polarisation. Then A is isogenous to a product $A_1^{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_r^{n_r}$, where the A_i 's are simple log abelian varieties and not isogenous to each other. The isogeny type of the A_i and the integers n_i 's are uniquely determined.*

Proof. If A is simple, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, by Theorem 3.2, there exists nonzero log abelian subvarieties A' and A'' of A such that A is isogeneous to $A' \times A''$. By Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.6, both A' and A'' admit polarisations. Hence applying Theorem 3.2 repeatedly, we have that A is isogenous to a product $A_1^{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_r^{n_r}$ for some simple log abelian varieties A_i and some positive integers n_i . By part (1) of Lemma 3.7, such a decomposition is unique up to isogeny. \square

DEFINITION 3.3. Let A, A' be two log abelian varieties over S , we abbreviate $\mathrm{Hom}_{S^{\mathrm{log}}}(A, A') = \mathrm{Hom}_{S^{\mathrm{cl}}_{\mathrm{Et}}}(A, A')$ as $\mathrm{Hom}(A, A')$. We define $\mathrm{Hom}^0(A, A')$ as $\mathrm{Hom}(A, A') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$ as $\mathrm{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{Hom}(A, A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. We define the category LAV_S^0 of log abelian varieties up to isogeny over S , by localising the category LAV_S of log abelian varieties over S at the class of isogenies.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let A be a log abelian variety over S admitting a polarisation. If A is simple, the ring $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$ is a division ring. In general, if A is isogenous to $A_1^{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_r^{n_r}$ with A_i simple and not isogenous to each other, and $D_i = \mathrm{End}^0(A_i)$, then $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{n_r}(D_r)$.*

Proof. For A simple, let f be a nonzero endomorphism of A . Then f is an isogeny by part (1) of Lemma 3.7. By part (2) of Lemma 3.7, f is invertible in the ring $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$. Hence the ring $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$ is a division ring. By part (1) of Lemma 3.7, we have $\mathrm{Hom}^0(A, A') = 0$ for two non-isogenous simple log abelian varieties. Hence the second part follows. \square

LEMMA 3.8. *The abelian group $\mathrm{Hom}(A, A')$ is torsion-free.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, A')$ such that $nf = 0$ for some positive integer n . Since $0 = nf = f \circ n_A$ and n_A is surjective, f must be zero. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}(A, A')$ is torsion-free. \square

DEFINITION 3.4. (1) Let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be an isogeny between two log abelian varieties over S . The degree $\mathrm{deg}(f)$ of f is defined to be the rank of the finite log group object $\mathrm{Ker}(f)$. By convention, if f is not an isogeny, we let $\mathrm{deg}(f) = 0$.

(2) Let $f_{-1} : Y \rightarrow Y'$ be a monomorphism with finite cokernel between two étale locally finite rank free constant sheaf, the degree $\mathrm{deg}(f_{-1})$ of f_{-1} is defined to be the determinant of f_{-1} . By convention, if f is not injective of finite cokernel, we let $\mathrm{deg}(f) = 0$.

(3) Let $f_c : G \rightarrow G'$ be an isogeny between semi-abelian varieties, the degree $\mathrm{deg}(f_c)$ of f_c is defined to be the rank of the finite group scheme $\mathrm{Ker}(f_c)$. By convention, if f_c is not an isogeny, we let $\mathrm{deg}(f_c) = 0$.

LEMMA 3.9. *Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a homomorphism between two log abelian varieties over S . Let $f_{-1} : Y \rightarrow Y$ and $f_c : G \rightarrow G$ be the homomorphisms induced by f as in Proposition 2.6, and let $f_t : T \rightarrow T$ and $f_{ab} : B \rightarrow B$ be the homomorphisms induced by f_c on torus parts and abelian variety parts respectively. Then:*

$$(1) \deg(f) = \deg(f_{-1})\deg(f_t)\deg(f_{ab});$$

$$(2) \text{ let } g : A \rightarrow A \text{ be another homomorphism, and let } h = f + g, \text{ then } h_{-1} = f_{-1} + g_{-1}, h_t = f_t + g_t \text{ and } h_{ab} = f_{ab} + g_{ab}.$$

Proof. Part (2) is obvious. We only need to show part (1).

If f is not an isogeny, then $\deg(f_{-1})\deg(f_t)\deg(f_{ab}) = 0 = \deg(f)$ by Proposition 3.3. Now we suppose that f is an isogeny, so are f_c , f_t and f_{ab} . Also we have f_{-1} is injective and of finite cokernel. By diagram (2.10), we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_c) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_d) \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, we have another short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_t) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_c) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f_{ab}) \rightarrow 0.$$

By diagram (2.12), we get $\text{Ker}(f_d) \cong \text{Coker}(f_{-1})$. Then

$$\deg(f) = \deg(f_{-1})\deg(f_t)\deg(f_{ab}).$$

□

THEOREM 3.3. *The function $f \mapsto \deg(f)$ on $\text{End}(A)$ extends to a homogeneous polynomial function of degree $2g$ on $\text{End}^0(A)$, where g is the dimension of A .*

Proof. Since for any $f \in \text{End}(A)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\deg(nf) = \deg(n_A) \cdot \deg(f) = n^{2g} \cdot \deg(f),$$

it suffices to show that for $f, g \in \text{End}(A)$, the function $P(n) = \deg(nf + g)$ is a polynomial function. By Lemma 3.9, we are reduced to show the functions $\deg(nf_t + g_t)$, $\deg(nf_{ab} + g_{ab})$ and $\deg(nf_{-1} + g_{-1})$ are all polynomial functions. The case for $\deg(nf_{ab} + g_{ab})$ is a standard result for abelian varieties, see [Mum70, §19, Thm. 2]. And $\deg(nf_{-1} + g_{-1})$ as a determinant function is clearly a polynomial function. The case for $\deg(nf_t + g_t)$ is reduced to the case for $\deg(nf_{-1} + g_{-1})$ by taking the character groups of the tori. □

DEFINITION 3.5. Let l be a prime number which is coprime to the characteristic of k . The l -adic Tate module of A is defined to be

$$T_l(A)_{\bar{s}(\text{két})} = \varprojlim_n A[l^n]_{\bar{s}(\text{két})},$$

where $\bar{s}(\text{két})$ denotes a log geometric point of S for the log étale topology. Here we use the notation $\bar{s}(\text{két})$ for the sake of coherence with [KKN15, 18.9], and the log étale topology is called the Kummer étale topology there.

Let π_1^{log} be the log fundamental group of S . By Proposition 3.4, we have $T_l(A)_{\bar{s}(\text{két})}$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_l -module of rank $2g$ endowed with a continuous π_1^{log} -action. Any homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow A'$ induces a homomorphism

$$T_l(f) : T_l(A)_{\bar{s}(\text{két})} \rightarrow T_l(A')_{\bar{s}(\text{két})}$$

which is π_1^{log} -equivariant. It follows that we have a functor

$$T_l : \text{LAV}_S \rightarrow (\pi_1^{\text{log}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)\text{-Mod}$$

from the category of log abelian varieties over the log point S to the category of finite rank \mathbb{Z}_l -modules with continuous π_1^{log} -action. In particular, the functor T_l gives rise to a homomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(A, A') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{(\pi_1^{\text{log}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)\text{-Mod}}(T_l(A)_{\bar{s}(\text{két})}, T_l(A')_{\bar{s}(\text{két})}).$$

The latter is clearly a \mathbb{Z}_l -submodule of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(T_l(A)_{\bar{s}(\text{két})}, T_l(A')_{\bar{s}(\text{két})})$ which is of finite \mathbb{Z}_l -rank. Moreover, we have the following canonical homomorphism

$$T_l : \text{Hom}(A, A') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_l \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(T_l(A)_{\bar{s}(\text{két})}, T_l(A')_{\bar{s}(\text{két})}).$$

We are going to use this map to investigate the finiteness of $\text{Hom}(A, A')$.

THEOREM 3.4. *For A, A' two log abelian varieties over S admitting a polarisation, $\text{Hom}(A, A')$ is a finitely generated free abelian group, and the canonical map*

$$T_l : \text{Hom}(A, A') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_l \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(T_l(A)_{\bar{s}(\text{két})}, T_l(A')_{\bar{s}(\text{két})})$$

is injective, where l is a prime number different from the characteristic of k .

Proof. We have already proven the degree function on $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a homogeneous polynomial function of degree $2g$ in Theorem 3.3. Now the proof of [Mum70, §19, Thm. 3] works verbatim here. \square

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let A, A' be two log abelian varieties over S . Then the canonical map*

$$T_l : \text{Hom}(A, A') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_l \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(T_l(A)_{\bar{s}(\text{két})}, T_l(A')_{\bar{s}(\text{két})})$$

is injective.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.4. \square

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let A, A' be two log abelian varieties over S . Then we have $\text{Hom}(A, A') \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ with $r \leq 4\dim A \cdot \dim A'$.*

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let A be a log abelian variety over S admitting a polarisation. Then $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a finite-dimensional semisimple algebra over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 3.4. \square

A APPENDIX: LOG FINITE FLAT GROUP SCHEMES

Since the theory of log finite flat group schemes is not well-known, we collect some results about them in this appendix. These results are all due to Kato, and the main references are [Kat92] and [MS].

Let S be an fs log scheme. We recall several kinds of finite group objects on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$ defined by Kato.

DEFINITION A.1. The category $(\text{fin}/S)_c$ is the full subcategory of the category of sheaves of finite abelian groups over $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$ consisting of objects which are representable by a classical finite flat group scheme over S . Here classical means the log structure of the representing log scheme is the one induced from S .

The category $(\text{fin}/S)_f$ is the full subcategory of the category of sheaves of finite abelian groups over $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$ consisting of objects which are representable by a classical finite flat group scheme over a log flat cover of S . For $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_f$, let $U \rightarrow S$ be a log flat cover of S such that $F_U := F \times_S U \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$, then the rank of F is defined to be the rank of F_U over U .

The category $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ is the full subcategory of $(\text{fin}/S)_f$ consisting of objects which are representable by a log scheme over S .

Let $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_f$, the Cartier dual of F is the sheaf $F^* := \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}}(F, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

By the definition of $(\text{fin}/S)_f$, it is clear that $F^* \in (\text{fin}/S)_f$.

The category $(\text{fin}/S)_d$ is the full subcategory of $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ consisting of objects whose Cartier duals also lie in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$.

A.1 LOGARITHMIC FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

We have the following well-known theorem.

THEOREM A.1. *Let \mathring{S} be a locally noetherian connected scheme. Let $\text{fin}_{\mathring{S}}^{\text{ét}}$ denote the category of finite étale group schemes over \mathring{S} , $\text{LC}(\mathring{S}_{\text{ét}}^{\circ})$ (resp. $\text{LC}(\mathring{S}_{\text{fl}}^{\circ})$) the category of locally constant sheaves of finite abelian groups for the étale (resp. flat) topology, and $\pi_1(\mathring{S})\text{-fMod}$ the category of finite abelian groups endowed with a continuous $\pi_1(\mathring{S})$ -action.*

(1) *By the theory of fundamental group, there are equivalences of categories:*

$$\text{fin}_{\mathring{S}}^{\text{ét}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{LC}(\mathring{S}_{\text{ét}}^{\circ}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1(\mathring{S})\text{-fMod}.$$

(2) *By flat descent, we further have an equivalence*

$$\text{LC}(\mathring{S}_{\text{ét}}^{\circ}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{LC}(\mathring{S}_{\text{fl}}^{\circ}).$$

For the theory of logarithmic fundamental group, we have the following analogue of Theorem A.1 which is due to Kato.

THEOREM A.2. *Let S be an fs log scheme with underlying scheme locally noetherian and connected. Let $\pi_1^{\text{log}}(S)$ be the logarithmic fundamental group of S , see [Ill02, 4.6] for its definition. Let $\text{fin}_S^{\text{ket}}$ be the subcategory of $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ consisting of objects which are Kummer log étale over S , $\text{LC}(S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{log}})$ (resp. $\text{LC}(S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}})$) the category of locally constant sheaves of finite abelian groups on $S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{log}}$ (resp. $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$), and $\pi_1^{\text{log}}(S)\text{-fMod}$ the category of finite abelian groups endowed with a continuous $\pi_1^{\text{log}}(S)$ -action. Then we have the following equivalences of categories:*

$$(1) \quad \text{fin}_S^{\text{ket}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{LC}(S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{log}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1^{\text{log}}(S)\text{-fMod};$$

$$(2) \quad \text{LC}(S_{\text{ét}}^{\text{log}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{LC}(S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}).$$

Proof. For part (1), see [Ill02, §4]. For part (2), see [MS, Thm. 1.4.5. (2)]. \square

A.2 STRUCTURE OF LOG FINITE FLAT GROUP SCHEMES

PROPOSITION A.1. *Suppose that the underlying scheme of S is locally noetherian. Then the category $(\text{fin}/S)_f$ (resp. $(\text{fin}/S)_r$, resp. $(\text{fin}/S)_d$) is closed under extensions in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on $S_{\text{fl}}^{\text{log}}$.*

Proof. See [Kat92, Prop. 2.3]. \square

LEMMA A.1. *Assume that the underlying scheme of S is the spectrum of a henselian local ring. Let $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_f$, then there is a unique short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow F^\circ \rightarrow F \rightarrow F^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{A.1}$$

in $(\text{fin}/S)_f$, such that over any log flat cover $S' \rightarrow S$ with $F_{S'} \in (\text{fin}/S')_c$, this sequence restricts to the classical connected-étale sequence.

Proof. See [Kat92, 2.6], or [MS, Lem. 2.1.6]. \square

LEMMA A.2. *Let the assumption be as in Lemma A.1. Assume further that F lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ and its underlying scheme is connected. Then F actually lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_c$.*

Proof. See [MS, Prop. 2.1.7], see also [Kat92, Lem. 2.8] for the noetherian strict henselian case. \square

PROPOSITION A.2. *Let the notation and the assumption be as in Lemma A.1. We further assume that the underlying scheme is noetherian.*

- (1) *The sheaf $F^{\text{ét}}$ lies in $\text{fin}_S^{\text{ket}}$, in particular it lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$.*
- (2) *The sheaf F lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ if and only if F° lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_c$.*

Proof. Part (1) follows from Theorem A.2.

Suppose that $F^\circ \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$, then F as an F° -torsor over F^{et} is representable by [Kat91, Thm. 9.1]. Hence $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$. This proves one direction of part (2).

Conversely suppose that $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$, we want to show that $F^\circ \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$. As the kernel of $F \rightarrow F^{\text{et}}$, F° is representable, hence lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$. We claim that the underlying scheme of F° is connected. Taking a covering $U \rightarrow S$ in $S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{log}}$ such that the underlying scheme of U is finite over that of S , and $F_U^\circ \in (\text{fin}/U)_c$. Since the underlying scheme of F_U° is connected, so is that of F° . Now Lemma A.2 applies, and we get $F^\circ \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$. \square

Remark A.1. The proof of Proposition A.2 is the same as the proof of the strict henselian case in [Kat92, Prop. 2.7]. However there is a small condition missing in the proof in [Kat92, Prop. 2.7], so we give a complete proof here.

Let S be an fs log scheme with underlying scheme $\text{Spec}R$, where R is a noetherian henselian local ring with residue characteristic $p > 0$. Let x be the closed point of S , and suppose that S admits a global chart $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ which induces an isomorphism $P \rightarrow (M_S/\mathcal{O}_S^\times)_{\bar{x}}$. Here P is an fs monoid, and \bar{x} is a classical geometric point over x . By Proposition A.2, any $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ is an extension of $F^{\text{et}} \in \text{fin}_S^{\text{ket}}$ by $F^\circ \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$. By Theorem A.2, F^{et} can be understood by the theory of logarithmic fundamental group. Let S' be a Galois cover of S with Galois group $G := \pi_1^{\text{log}}(S)/\pi_1^{\text{log}}(S')$, such that $F^{\text{et}} \times_S S' \in (\text{fin}/S')_c$. Then one can recover F from $F \times_S S'$ and the G -action on $F \times_S S'$ induced from the G -action on $F^{\text{et}} \times_S S'$.

In order to understand F , we may assume $F^{\text{et}} \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$ after replacing S by S' . Hence to understand the category $(\text{fin}/S)_r$, we are reduced to understand the extensions of a classical finite étale group scheme by a classical connected finite flat group scheme on $S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{log}}$. This is done by Kato's classification theorem of logarithmic finite flat group schemes as follows.

THEOREM A.3. *Let S be as above. Let G be a classical finite étale group scheme over S , H a classical finite flat group scheme over S . We denote by $\mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{log}}}(G, H)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{cl}}}(G, H)$) the category of extensions of G by H on $S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{log}}$ (resp. $S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{cl}}$), and $\mathfrak{Hom}_{S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{cl}}}(G(1), H) \otimes P^{\text{gp}}$ the discrete category associated to the group $\text{Hom}_{S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{cl}}}(G(1), H) \otimes P^{\text{gp}}$. Then we have an equivalence of categories*

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{cl}}}(G, H) \times \mathfrak{Hom}_{S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{cl}}}(G(1), H) \otimes P^{\text{gp}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{Ert}_{S_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{log}}}(G, H).$$

Proof. See [MS, Thm. 2.3.1]. See also [Kat92, Thm. 3.3] for the strict henselian case. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bri15] Michel Brion. Some structure theorems for algebraic groups. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.03059>, 2015.

- [Gro66] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné): IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisième partie. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (28):5–255, 1966.
- [Gro67] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné): IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Quatrième partie. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (32):5–361, 1967.
- [Gro68] Alexander Grothendieck. Le groupe de Brauer. III. Exemples et compléments. In *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, volume 3 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 88–188. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [Ill02] Luc Illusie. An overview of the work of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology. *Astérisque*, (279):271–322, 2002. Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques, II.
- [Kat89] Kazuya Kato. Logarithmic degeneration and Dieudonné theory, preprint 1989.
- [Kat91] Kazuya Kato. Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie II: Logarithmic flat topology, (incomplete) preprint 1991.
- [Kat92] Kazuya Kato. Logarithmic Dieudonné theory, preprint 1992.
- [KKN08a] Takeshi Kajiwara, Kazuya Kato, and Chikara Nakayama. Logarithmic abelian varieties. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 189:63–138, 2008.
- [KKN08b] Takeshi Kajiwara, Kazuya Kato, and Chikara Nakayama. Logarithmic abelian varieties. I. Complex analytic theory. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 15(1):69–193, 2008.
- [KKN15] Takeshi Kajiwara, Kazuya Kato, and Chikara Nakayama. Logarithmic abelian varieties, Part IV: Proper models. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 219:9–63, 2015.
- [MS] Keerthi Madapusi Sampath. Log p -divisible groups (D’après Kato). <http://math.uchicago.edu/~keerthi/files/logpdiv.pdf>.
- [Mum70] David Mumford. *Abelian varieties*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 5. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; Oxford University Press, London, 1970.
- [Niz08] Wiesława Nizioł. K -theory of log-schemes. I. *Doc. Math.*, 13:505–551, 2008.

- [Zha14] Heer Zhao. Degenerating abelian varieties via log abelian varieties.
<http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.5651>, 2014.

Heer Zhao
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Duisburg-Essen
Essen 45117
Germany
heer.zhao@gmail.com