

p -ADIC DEFORMATION OF MOTIVIC CHOW GROUPS

ANDREAS LANGER

Received: May 4, 2018

Revised: October 27, 2018

Communicated by Takeshi Saito

ABSTRACT. For a smooth projective scheme Y over $W(k)$ we consider an element in the motivic Chow group of the reduction Y_m over the truncated Witt ring $W_m(k)$ and give a “Hodge” criterion - using the crystalline cycle class in relative crystalline cohomology - for the element to lift to the continuous Chow group of the associated p -adic formal scheme Y_\bullet . The result extends previous work of Bloch-Esnault-Kerz on the p -adic variational Hodge conjecture to a relative setting. In the course of the proof we derive two new results on the relative de Rham-Witt complex and its Nygaard filtration, and work with a relative version of syntomic complexes to define relative motivic complexes for a smooth lifting of Y_m over the ind-scheme $\text{Spec } W_\bullet(W_m(k))$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14F30, 14F40, 19E15

Keywords and Phrases: p -adic arithmetic geometry, relative de Rham-Witt complex, syntomic complex, motivic Chow groups

INTRODUCTION

In a recent work, Bloch, Esnault and Kerz studied a p -adic analogue of Grothendieck’s variational Hodge conjecture on the deformation of algebraic cycles resp. vector bundles. In the context of what is called p -adic variational Hodge Conjecture [B-E-K1], Conjecture 1.2, the above authors gave a Hodge-theoretic condition on the crystalline Chern class when a vector bundle on a smooth projective variety Y_1 over a perfect field k of char p lifts to a vector bundle on a formal lifting Y_\bullet of Y_1 over the Witt vectors $W(k)$. Their method relies on a construction of a motivic pro-complex $\mathbb{Z}_{Y_\bullet}(r)$ in the derived category of pro-complexes with respect to the Nisnevich topology on Y_1 , which is obtained by glueing the Suslin-Voevodsky complex on Y_1 with the syntomic complex of Fontaine-Messing on Y_\bullet along the logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaf in

degree r . The continuous Chow group $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{cont}}^r(Y_\bullet)$ is defined in [B-E-K1] as the hypercohomology of the complex $\mathbb{Z}_{Y_\bullet}(r)$ and is equipped with a canonical map

$$\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{cont}}^r(Y_\bullet) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n H^{2r}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_n}(r)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}^r(Y_1) = H^{2r}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r))$$

to the usual Chow group of Y_1 . The obstruction of deforming an algebraic cycle class from Y_1 to Y_\bullet lies in the cohomology of a certain truncated filtered de Rham complex on Y which is already entailed in the definition of the syntomic complex. The filtered de Rham complex, denoted by $p(r)\Omega_{Y_\bullet}^\bullet$ is — as a procomplex — quasiisomorphic to a filtered version of the de Rham-Witt complex denoted by $q(r)W\Omega_{Y_1/k}$ in the étale/Nisnevich-topology [B-E-K1] Prop. 2.8. Hence the obstruction can be made visible by using the crystalline Chern classes which are induced by Gros’s Chern classes [Gr] with values in the logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology [B-E-K1] Theorem 8.5. In another deep result Bloch-Esnault-Kerz relate the continuous Chow ring $\bigoplus_{r \leq d} \mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{cont}}^r(Y_\bullet)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to continuous K-theory $K_0^{\mathrm{cont}}(Y_\bullet)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ [B-E-K1] Theorem 11.1. This finally enables them to give an equivalent Hodge-theoretic criterion when a vector bundle, rationally, can be lifted from Y_1 to Y_\bullet [B-E-K1], Theorem 1.3.

In the present note I study a relative version of the work of Bloch-Esnault-Kerz, starting from the “motivic” Chow group $H^{2r}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_m}(r))$ for fixed m . The problem is to find a similar criterion when an element in the latter cohomology group (the case $m = 1$ being treated in [B-E-K1]) lifts to the continuous Chow group $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{cont}}^r(Y_\bullet)$. In such a mixed characteristic situation, especially when working with a scheme Y_m defined over the artinian local ring $W_m(k)$, it is reasonable to define the cohomological codimension r Chow group as $H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^r(Y_m, \mathcal{K}_r^{\mathrm{Mil}})$. The graded object is automatically a ring, contravariant in Y_m (see [B-E-K2], §4 for a similar situation in char 0).

There is a canonical map

$$H^{2r}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_m}(r)) \xrightarrow{\pi_r} H^r(Y_m, \mathcal{K}_r^{\mathrm{Mil}})$$

which in some cases can be shown to be an isomorphism or at least an epimorphism. Hence our problem is still related to deforming Chow groups p -adically. Whilst Bloch-Esnault-Kerz entirely work with $\mathbb{Z}_{Y_\bullet}(r)$ as a procomplex, we need to define $\mathbb{Z}_{Y_m}(r)$ at a finite level which requires some additional thoughts related to the divided Frobenius in the definition of the syntomic complex at finite level. For fixed m we consider the smooth projective scheme $Y_m = X_1$ over the ring $R = W_m(k)$ and we assume there exists a compatible system $X_n/\mathrm{Spec} W_n(R)$ of liftings of X_1 which is compatible with the formal lifting Y_\bullet of Y_1 , that is $X_{n+1} \times_{\mathrm{Spec} W_{n+1}(R)} \mathrm{Spec} W_n(R) = X_n$ and $X_n \times_{\mathrm{Spec} W_n(R)} \mathrm{Spec} W_n(k) = Y_n$. Such a system X_n defines an ind-scheme X_\bullet over the ind-scheme $\mathrm{Spec} W_\bullet(R)$ in the sense of [EGA1], Prop. 10.6.3. As multiplication by p is not injective on $W(R)$ we need an alternative definition of the relative syntomic complex $\sigma_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}(r)$, using a divided Frobenius map defined on a filtered version $N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1/R}$ of the relative de Rham-Witt complex $W\Omega_{X_1/R}^\bullet$. If $m = 1$, so

$R = k$, then our complex $\sigma_{X_\bullet}(r)$ and the complex $\sigma_{Y_\bullet}(r)$ of Fontaine-Messing [F-M] resp. Kato [K2] are isomorphic as procomplexes. We formally define a motivic complex $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)$ on X_1 in the same way as Bloch-Esnault-Kerz, by glueing $\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r)$ with $\sigma_{X_\bullet}(r)$ along the relative logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaf $W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1/R, \log}^r$ in degree r and obtain a similar Hodge-theoretic condition to lifting a class in $H^{2r}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_m}(r))$ to $H^{2r}(X_1, \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r))$, by using the crystalline cycle class with values in relative de Rham-Witt resp. relative crystalline cohomology.

As the ind-scheme X_\bullet is assumed to be compatible with Y_\bullet we can give a positive answer to our original problem (Theorem 3.6). We formulate here the main application on deforming elements in motivic Chow groups p -adically (Corollary 3.9):

THEOREM 0.1. *Let $r < p$.*

- (i) *Let Y_\bullet be a formal smooth projective scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}W(k)$. Let $X_1 = Y_m$ for some fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume X_1 admits a smooth lifting X_\bullet , over $\mathrm{Spec}W_\bullet(W_m(k))$ compatible with Y_\bullet . Let $\xi \in H^{2r}(X_1, \mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r))$.*

If $c(\xi)$ is “Hodge” with respect to X_\bullet , i.e. $c(\xi) \in \mathrm{Image}(\mathbb{H}^{2r}(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\geq r}) \rightarrow H^{2r}(X_1, N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1/W_m(k)}^\bullet))$, then ξ lifts to an element $\hat{\xi} \in CH_{\mathrm{cont}}^r(Y_\bullet) = H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{2r}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_\bullet}(r))$.

- (ii) *Let $z \in \mathrm{image}(\pi_r)$. If its crystalline cycle class is “Hodge” with respect to X_\bullet , then z lifts to an element \hat{z} in $\varprojlim_n H^r(Y_n, \mathcal{K}_{Y_n, r}^{\mathrm{Mil}})$.*

The theorem should be compared with [B-E-K1] Theorem 8.5. In the proof we will see that the implications in (i) and (ii) do not depend on the choice of X_\bullet ; Given two liftings X_\bullet, X'_\bullet compatible with Y_\bullet , with respect to which $c(\xi)$ resp. $c(z)$ is “Hodge”, the lifting property of ξ resp. z holds. In the course of the paper we need two technical results on the relative de Rham-Witt complex which play a crucial role in our construction and in the proofs.

In the relative setting the filtered de Rham complex $p(r)\Omega_{Y_\bullet}^\bullet$ mentioned earlier and used in the case $R = k$ in [B-E-K1] is replaced by the complex $(I_R := VW(R))$ denoted by $\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet$:

$$I_R \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} \xrightarrow{pd} I_R \otimes_{W(R)} \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^1 \xrightarrow{pd} \dots \xrightarrow{pd} I_R \otimes \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{r-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^r \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

Then we prove Conjecture 4.1 in [L-Z2] for $r < p$

THEOREM 0.2. *Let $r < p$. The complex $\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet$ is in the derived category isomorphic to the complex, denoted by $N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1/R}^\bullet$*

$$W_\bullet \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \xrightarrow{d} W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1/R}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1/R}^{r-1} \xrightarrow{dV} W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1/R}^r \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

The Theorem already holds at finite level for $X_n/W_n(R)$ for any ring R on which p is nilpotent (see Theorem 1.2).

In a second technical result on the relative de Rham-Witt complex we derive an exact triangle generalizing [II] I 5.7.2 and [B-E-K1] Corollary 4.6 in the case $R = k$.

THEOREM 0.3. (*= Theorem 1.9*). *Let R be artinian local with perfect residue field k and X_1 smooth over $\text{Spec } R$. In the derived category of procomplexes on $(X_1)_{\text{et}}$ we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X_1/R, \log}^r[-r] \longrightarrow N^r W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X_1/R}^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{1-\text{Fr}} W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X_1/R}^{\bullet} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that the complex $q(r)W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X_1/k}^{\bullet}$ appearing in [B-E-K1] Corollary 4.6 is isomorphic as procomplex to $N^r W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X_1/k}^{\bullet}$ by [L-Z2] Proposition 4.4, if $R = k$. Finally, we point out that Theorem 0.2 has been applied in the construction of higher displays ([G-L] Theorem 1.1 and [L-Z2] Conjecture 5.8).

In the equal characteristic p case, Matthew Morrow has recently studied a relative version of another arithmetic conjecture, the Crystalline Tate Conjecture (see [M1], [M2]), which is a characteristic p analogue of Grothendieck’s variational Hodge conjecture.

This paper was prepared during a visit at IHES in Bures-sur-Yvette. The author thanks IHES for their hospitality.

1 RELATIVE SYNTOMIC COMPLEXES

Let X be a smooth scheme X over $\text{Spec } R$ (R artinian local with perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$), admitting a lifting X_{\bullet} as ind-scheme over $\text{Spec } W_{\bullet}(R)$. We are going to define relative syntomic complexes $\sigma_{X_{\bullet}}(r)$ that will be entailed in the construction of the relative motivic complexes $\mathbb{Z}_{X_{\bullet}}(r)$ later on.

The definition of $\sigma_{X_{\bullet}}(r)$ will rely on an appropriate divided Frobenius map Fr on a filtered version of the relative de Rham-Witt complex, denoted by $N^r W_n \Omega_{X/R}^{\bullet}$:

$$W_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d} W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \longrightarrow W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{r-1} \xrightarrow{dV} W_n \Omega_{X/R}^r \xrightarrow{d} W_n \Omega_{X/R}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots$$

(compare the definition in [L-Z2], Definition 2.1). Secondly, we will need a comparison between the complex $N^r W_n \Omega_{X/R}^{\bullet}$ and the following ‘filtered’ de Rham complex on the lifting X_n , denoted by $\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^{\bullet}$, where $I_R = VW_{n-1}(R)$:

$$I_R \otimes_{W_n(R)} \mathcal{O}_{X_n} \xrightarrow{pd} I_R \otimes_{W_n(R)} \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^1 \xrightarrow{pd} \cdots \xrightarrow{pd} I_R \otimes_{W_n(R)} \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^{r-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^r \xrightarrow{d} \cdots$$

We recall the following

CONJECTURE 1.1. ([L-Z2] Conjecture 4.1). *Let R be a ring on which p is nilpotent, $X_n/W_n(R)$ smooth and $X := X_n \times_{W_n(R)} R$. There is an isomorphism in the derived category between the complexes $N^r W_n \Omega_{X/R}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^{\bullet}$.*

We can prove the following

THEOREM 1.2. *The conjecture holds if $r < p$.*

Proof. Assume first that there exists a closed embedding $X_n \hookrightarrow Z_n$ into a smooth $W_n(R)$ -scheme Z_n which is a Witt lift of $Z = Z \times_{W_n(R)} R$ in the sense of [L-Z1] Definition 3.3. That is it is equipped with a map $\Delta_n : W_n(Z) \rightarrow Z_n$ fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W_n(X) & \longrightarrow & W_n(Z) \\
 \uparrow w_0 & & \downarrow \Delta_n \\
 X & \hookrightarrow X_n \longrightarrow & Z_n
 \end{array}$$

Such a Witt-lift always exists locally. Let I be the ideal sheaf of X_n in \mathcal{O}_{Z_n} and $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_n$ be the divided power ideal sheaf of the embedding i_n . Let \mathcal{O}_{D_n} be the PD-envelope of \mathcal{O}_{Z_n} with respect to \mathcal{J} , with underlying scheme D_n . We already know that the complex $\mathcal{O}_{D_n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_n}} \Omega_{Z_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet$ is quasiisomorphic to $\Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet$ ([H], [B-O]). Let $\mathcal{J}^{[r]}$ for $r \geq 1$ be the higher divided power ideal sheaves. To keep notation light we will write \mathcal{O} for \mathcal{O}_{D_n} , Ω^i for $\Omega_{D_n}^i$, $I_R \mathcal{J}^{[j]}$ for $I_R \otimes_{W_n(R)} \mathcal{J}^{[j]}$ and $I_R \mathcal{J}^{[j]} \Omega^s$ for $I_R \otimes_{W_n(R)} (\mathcal{J}^{[j]} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D_n}} \Omega_{D_n}^s)$. Then we consider the following diagram of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 I_R \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{pd} & & & & & \\
 I_R \mathcal{J} & \longrightarrow & I_R \Omega^1 & \xrightarrow{pd} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{pd} & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \ddots & & \\
 I_R \mathcal{J}^{[r-3]} & \xrightarrow{d} & I_R \mathcal{J}^{[r-2]} \Omega^1 & \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} & I_R \Omega^{r-3} & \xrightarrow{pd} & \\
 I_R \mathcal{J}^{[r-2]} & \xrightarrow{d} & I_R \mathcal{J}^{[r-2]} \Omega^1 & \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} & I_R \mathcal{J} \Omega^{r-3} & \xrightarrow{d} & I_R \Omega^{r-2} \\
 I_R \mathcal{J}^{[r-1]} & \xrightarrow{d} & I_R \mathcal{J}^{[r-2]} \Omega^1 & \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} & I_R \mathcal{J}^{[2]} \Omega^{r-3} & \xrightarrow{d} & I_R \mathcal{J} \Omega^{r-2} & \xrightarrow{d} & I_R \Omega^{r-1} \\
 \mathcal{J}^{[r]} & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{J}^{[r-1]} \Omega^1 & \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{J}^{[3]} \Omega^{r-3} & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{J}^{[2]} \Omega^{r-2} & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{J} \Omega^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^r & \xrightarrow{d} \cdots
 \end{array}$$

(1.3)

As in the classical case for $R = k$ (see [B-E-K1] 2.8) it follows from [B-O] Theorem 7.2, applied to $X_n \hookrightarrow Z_n$ and $X_n = X_n$, that the lower horizontal sequence is quasiisomorphic to $\Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^{\geq r}$. All horizontal sequences are - up to the term $I_R \Omega^j$ that is placed on the diagonal - exact because all sheaves $\mathcal{J}^{[j]}$ and Ω^j are - locally - free \mathcal{O}_{X_n} -modules by [B-O] Prop. 3.32. Therefore the

sequence $\mathcal{J}^{[s-\bullet]}\Omega^\bullet$ remains exact after $\otimes_{W_n(R)}R$ because it then coincides with the corresponding sequence for the closed embedding $X = X_n \times_{W_n(R)} R \rightarrow Z_n \times_{W_n(R)} R$. Then $I_R\mathcal{J}^{[s-\bullet]}\Omega^\bullet$ is exact as well.

It is clear that adding up the two lower horizontal sequences degree-wise yields a complex that is quasiisomorphic to

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow I_R\Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^{r-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^r \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^{r+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that adding up degree-wise the $k+1$ lower horizontal sequences up to the sequence starting with $I_R\mathcal{J}^{[r-k]}$ we obtain a complex that is quasiisomorphic to

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow I_R\Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^{r-k} \xrightarrow{pd} \dots \xrightarrow{pd} I_R\Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^{r-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^r \xrightarrow{d} \dots \tag{1.4}$$

The quasiisomorphisms are induced by the canonical maps $\mathcal{O}_{D_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_n}$, $\Omega_{D_n}^j \rightarrow \Omega_{X_n}^j$ etc.

Define $\text{Fil}^r\Omega_{D_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet$ to be the complex obtained by adding up all horizontal sequences degree-wise. Then $\text{Fil}^r\Omega_{D_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet$ is quasiisomorphic to $\mathcal{F}^r\Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet$, the complex that is defined above before Conjecture 1.1.

Now construct a map

$$\Sigma : \text{Fil}^r\Omega_{D_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet \longrightarrow N^rW_n\Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \tag{1.5}$$

The composite map $\Delta_n : \mathcal{O}_{Z_n} \rightarrow W_n(\mathcal{O}_X)$ extends to a map $\sigma : \mathcal{O}_{D_n} \rightarrow W_n(\mathcal{O}_X)$ with induced maps $\Omega_{D_n}^i \xrightarrow{\sigma} W_n\Omega_{X/R}^i$, because the image of $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{Z_n}$ is contained in $VW_{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ which is a PD-ideal in $W_n(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Let $x \in \mathcal{J}$ with image $\sigma(x) = V\eta \in VW_{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Then $\sigma(x^n) = p^{n-1}V(\eta^n)$ hence $\sigma(\gamma_n(x)) = \frac{1}{n!}p^{n-1}V(\eta^n)$. Then for $r \leq p-1$, $j < r$ and $n > j$, the element $\sigma^{(j)} = \frac{1}{n!}p^{n-1-j}V(\eta^n)$ is well-defined. Define $F^{(j+1)}(\gamma_n(x)) = \frac{E}{p}\sigma^{(j)}(\gamma_n(x)) := \frac{1}{n!}p^{n-1-j}\eta^n$ using $FV = p$. Then the map Σ is defined on entries as follows:

Consider a differential in the lower horizontal sequence

$$\mathcal{J}^{[k]}\Omega^{r-k} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{J}^{[k-1]}\Omega^{r-k+1}$$

For $m \geq k$ let $\gamma_m(x)\omega \in \mathcal{J}^{[k]}\Omega^{r-k}$ with $\sigma(x) = V\eta$ as above. Define $F_k(\gamma_m(x)\omega) = F^{(k)}(\gamma_m(x))F\sigma(\omega) = \frac{p^{m-1-(k-1)}}{m!}\eta^m F\sigma(\omega)$ in $W_{n-1}\Omega_{X/R}^{r-k}$. Then

$$dF_k(\gamma_m(x)\omega) = \frac{p^{m-k}}{(m-1)!}\eta^{m-1}d\eta F\sigma(\omega) + \frac{p^{m-k+1}}{m!}\eta^m Fd\sigma(\omega)$$

using $dF = pFd$.

On the other hand $d(\gamma_m(x)\omega) = \gamma_{m-1}(x)d\omega + \gamma_m(x)d\omega$ and hence

$$F_{k-1}(d\gamma_m(x)\omega) = \frac{p^{m-2-(k-2)}}{(m-1)!}\eta^{m-1}d\eta F\sigma(\omega) + \frac{p^{m-1-(k-2)}}{m!}\eta^m Fd\sigma(\omega)$$

Here we have used $FdV\eta = d\eta$. We see that $dF_k(\gamma_m(x)\omega) = F_{k-1}d(\gamma_m(x)\omega)$. Now let for $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$, $x_i \in \mathcal{J}$ and $m = \sum_{i=1}^\ell m_i \geq k$, $\underline{x}^{[m]} = x_1^{[m_1]} \dots x_\ell^{[m_\ell]}$ with $x_i^{[m_i]} = \gamma_{m_i}(x_i) = \frac{x_i^{m_i}}{(m_i)!}$ (an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{J}^{[k]}$). Let $\sigma(x_i) = V(\eta_i)$. Define

$$F^{(k)}(\underline{x}^{[m]}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^\ell \frac{p^{m_i-1}}{(m_i)!} \eta_i^{m_i} \right) \cdot p^{-(k-\ell)}$$

The definition is compatible with the previous case $\ell = 1$. Again we have for $\underline{x}^{[m]} \cdot \omega \in \mathcal{J}^k \Omega^{r-k}$ and $F_k(\underline{x}^{[m]} \cdot \omega) := F^{(k)}(\underline{x}^{[m]}) \cdot F\sigma(\omega)$ the equality

$$dF_k(\underline{x}^{[m]} \cdot \omega) = F_{k-1}d(\underline{x}^{[m]} \cdot \omega)$$

The tedious proof is omitted. So we have a commutative diagram for $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{CD} \mathcal{J}^{[k]} \Omega^{r-k} @>d>> \mathcal{J}^{[k-1]} \Omega^{r-k+1} \\ @V F_k VV @VV F_{k-1} V \\ W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{r-k} @>d>> W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{r-k+1} \end{CD} \tag{1.6.1}$$

We can extend the map F_k to a map

$$F_{k+1} : I_R \mathcal{J}^{[k]} \Omega^{\ell-k} \longrightarrow W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{\ell-k}$$

by

$$F_{k+1}(V\xi \underline{x}^{[m]} \omega) = \xi F_k(\underline{x}^{[m]} \omega)$$

Then

$$\begin{CD} I_R \mathcal{J}^{[k]} \Omega^{\ell-k} @>d>> I_R \mathcal{J}^{[k-1]} \Omega^{\ell-k+1} \\ @V F_{k+1} VV @VV F_k V \\ W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{\ell-k} @>d>> W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{\ell-k+1} \end{CD} \tag{1.6.2}$$

commutes as well for $k \geq 1$. It is also clear that the diagram

$$\begin{CD} I_R \Omega^k @>pd>> I_R \Omega^{k+1} \\ @V F_1 VV @VV F_1 V \\ W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^k @>d>> W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{k+1} \end{CD} \tag{1.6.3}$$

commutes where $F_1(V\xi\omega) = \xi F\omega$, using that $dF\omega = pFd\omega$.

In degree $r - 1$ the maps d commute with dV because we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I_R \Omega^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^r \\
 \downarrow F_1 & & \downarrow \sigma \\
 W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{dV} & W_n \Omega_{X/R}^r
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{J} \Omega^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^r \\
 \downarrow F_1 & & \downarrow \sigma \\
 W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{dV} & W_n \Omega_{X/R}^r
 \end{array}
 \tag{1.6.4}$$

because

$$dV(F_1(V\xi\omega)) = dV(\xi F\sigma(\omega)) = d(V\xi\sigma(\omega)) = V\xi d\sigma(\omega) = V\xi\sigma(d(\omega))$$

and

$$dV(F_1(\gamma_m(x)\omega)) = dV\left(\frac{p^{m-1}}{m!} \eta^m F\sigma(\omega)\right) = d(\sigma(\gamma_m(x))\sigma(\omega)) = \sigma d(\gamma_m(x)\omega)$$

(where $\sigma(x) = V\eta$ as before).

Hence we have constructed a map

$$\Sigma : \text{Fil}^r \Omega_{D_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet \longrightarrow N^r W_n \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \tag{1.6}$$

from the complex constructed in diagram (1.3) into the Nygaard complex.

We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \text{Fil}^r \Omega_{D_n/W_n(R)} \\
 & & \downarrow \Sigma \\
 & & N^r W_n \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet
 \end{array}
 \tag{1.7}$$

If we have two embeddings $X_n \xrightarrow{i_n} Z_n$, $X_n \xrightarrow{i'_n} Z'_n$ into Witt lifts Z_n, Z'_n with corresponding diagrams (1.3) for each embedding and corresponding complexes $\text{Fil}^r \Omega_{D_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet$, $\text{Fil}^r \Omega_{D'_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet$ then by considering the product embedding $X_n \xrightarrow{(i_n, i'_n)} Z_n \times Z'_n$ and the corresponding Fil^r -complex, we see that we get a canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet \longrightarrow N^r W_n \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \tag{1.7.1}$$

in the derived category which does not depend on the choice of the embedding i_n . In order to prove Theorem 1.2 it suffices to show that the map Σ is a quasiisomorphism. This is a local question, hence we may assume that $X_n = Z_n = D_n$ are affine with Frobenius lift F . Then the assertion follows from [L-Z2] Corollary 4.3. This proves the Theorem and Conjecture 4.1 in [L-Z2] for $r < p$ assuming the existence of a global embedding into a Witt lift. If there is no embedding of X_n into a Witt lift one proceeds by simplicial methods as in [II] II.1.1, [L-Z1] §3.2. Let $X_n(i)$, $i \in I$ be a covering of X_n , inducing a covering $X(i)$ of X , and an embedding $X_n(i) \rightarrow Y_n(i)$ which is a Witt lift of

$Y(i) = Y_n(i) \times_{W_n(R)} R$. One gets simplicial schemes $X^\bullet \rightarrow X_n^\bullet \rightarrow D_n^\bullet \rightarrow Y_n^\bullet$ and quasiisomorphisms of simplicial complexes of sheaves

$$\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_n^\bullet/W_n(R)}^\bullet \leftarrow \text{Fil}^r \Omega_{D_n^\bullet/W_n(R)}^\bullet \rightarrow N^r W_n \Omega_{X^\bullet/R}$$

on X^\bullet ; let $\theta : X^\bullet \rightarrow X$ be the natural augmentation. By applying $R\theta_*$ to the quasiisomorphisms we get, by cohomological descent in Zariski/étale topology, an isomorphism (1.7.1) in $D_{\text{ét}}(X)$. \square

There are well known maps of the de Rham-Witt complexes, denoted by “1” and Fr , between $N^r W_n \Omega_{X_R}^\bullet$ and $W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet$:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} W_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{d} & W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^1 & \xrightarrow{d} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{dV} & W_n \Omega_{X/R}^r & \xrightarrow{d} & W_n \Omega_{X/R}^{r+1} & \xrightarrow{d} & \dots \\ p^{r-1} V \downarrow = & & p^{r-2} V \downarrow = & & & & V \downarrow = & & \downarrow F & & \downarrow pF & & \\ W_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{d} & W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^1 & \xrightarrow{d} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{d} & W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^r & \xrightarrow{d} & W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^{r+1} & \xrightarrow{d} & \dots \end{array} \tag{1.8}$$

The diagram commutes because of $FdV = d$, $dF = pFd$ and $Vd = pdV$. $p^i V$ means $p^i V$ composed with the projection from level n to level $n - 1$. The map Fr of complexes also appears in [L-Z2] in the context of (pre-)displays and plays the role of a divided Frobenius.

In the following we will consider the derived category of procomplexes $D_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$ defined as follows: Let $C_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$ be the category of pro-systems of unbounded complexes of sheaves on the small étale site of X . Then $D_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$ is the Verdier localisation of the homotopy category of $C_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$ where all objects are killed which are represented by pro-systems of complexes with level-wise vanishing cohomology sheaves (compare [B-E-K1] Definition A.4).

THEOREM 1.9. *Let R be an artinian local ring with perfect residue field k , $X/\text{Spec } R$ smooth. Then there is an exact sequence of pro-complexes in $D_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$:*

$$0 \longrightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log}^r[-r] \longrightarrow N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \xrightarrow{1-\text{Fr}} W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \longrightarrow 0$$

where $W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log}^r$ is, locally for $X = \text{Spec } A$, generated by $d \log[x_1] \wedge \dots \wedge d \log[x_r]$, with $x_1, \dots, x_r \in A$, as $W_\bullet(\mathbb{F}_p)$ -module.

Proof. Let $l < r$, $i \geq 0$. Consider the map

$$p^i V - \text{id} : W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^l \longrightarrow W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^l$$

Then $(p^i V - \text{id})\alpha = p^i V\alpha - \alpha$ and for given β we have $\beta = (p^i V - \text{id})\alpha$ has the solution $\alpha = -\sum_{m=0}^\infty (p^i V)^m \beta$ hence $p^i V - \text{id}$ is surjective. On the other hand, let $\alpha \in \text{Ker}(p^i V - \text{id})$. Then $\alpha = p^i V\alpha$, hence $\alpha \in (p^i V)^s W_{n-1} \Omega_{X/R}^l$ for all s , so $\alpha = 0$ and thus $1 - \text{Fr}$ is an automorphism in degrees $< r$.

A formal inverse of $(1 - p^s F)$, for $s > 0$, is $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (p^s F)^n = \sum_{n=1}^\infty p^{sn} F^n$. This is an element of the Cartier-Raynaud ring because for any $u > 0$ $p^{sn} \in V^u W(R)$ for almost all n . Hence $\sum_{n \geq 0} p^{sn} F^n$ acts on the completed $W\Omega_{X/R}^l$ and provides an inverse of $1 - p^s F$ on $W\Omega_{X/R}^l$. But then $1 - p^s F$ is also surjective on the prosystem $W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^l$.

Since all assertions in the theorem only need to be checked locally, we may assume now that $X = \text{Spec } B$, where B is étale over a Laurent polynomial algebra $A = R[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1}]$. It is enough to prove the theorem when replacing B by $B \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}^e$ for any $e \geq 1$, where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of R . For $e = 1$ this follows from [III] I Théorème 5.7.2. We will prove the remaining assertions by induction on e . So let B/R be such that $\mathfrak{m}^e R = 0$ and assume the theorem holds for $\bar{B} = B \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}$. To prove the injectivity of $1 - p^s F$, for $s > 0$, on the prosheaf $W_\bullet \Omega_{B/R}^l$ it is enough to show that

$$\ker(1 - p^s F : W_{n+1} \Omega_{B/R}^l \rightarrow W_n \Omega_{B/R}^l)$$

is contained in $\text{Fil}^n W_{n+1} \Omega_{B/R}^l$. (For $e = 1$, this is shown in [III] I, Lemma 3.30). Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} \ker \pi_{n+1} @>1 - p^s F>> \ker \pi_n \\ @VVV @VVV \\ W_{n+1} \Omega_{B/R}^l @>1 - p^s F>> W_n \Omega_{B/R}^l \\ @VVV @VVV \\ W_{n+1} \Omega_{\bar{B}/\bar{R}}^l @>1 - p^s F>> W_n \Omega_{\bar{B}/\bar{R}}^l \end{CD} \tag{1.9.1}$$

Let $A_n = W_n(R)[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1}]$ and $\varphi : A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n$ be the Frobenius, extending $F : W_{n+1}(R) \rightarrow W_n(R)$ by $T_i \rightarrow T_i^p$. The map $A_n \rightarrow W_n(A)$, $T_i \rightarrow [T_i]$ is compatible with Frobenii. As shown in [L-Z1] Prop. 3.2, φ extends to a Frobenius structure $B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_n$, where B_n is a lifting of B over $W_n(R)$, étale over A_n , equipped with a map $B_n \rightarrow W_n(B)$, again compatible with Frobenii. Let now $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p^m W_{n+1}(R) = 0$. Then étale base change for the relative de Rham-Witt complex and the proof of [L-Z1] Theorem 3.5 (applied to $A = R[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1}]$ instead of $R[T_1, \dots, T_d]$) gives isomorphisms of complexes

$$\begin{aligned} W_n \Omega_{B/R}^\bullet &= W_{m+n}(B) \otimes_{W_{m+n}(A), F^n} W_n \Omega_{A/R}^\bullet & (1.9.2) \\ &\cong B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^n} W_n \Omega_{A/R}^\bullet \\ &= B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^n} \Omega_{A_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet \oplus B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^n} (W_n \Omega_{A/R}^\bullet)_{frac} \\ &= (W_n \Omega_{B/R}^\bullet)_{int} \oplus (W_n \Omega_{B/R}^\bullet)_{frac} \end{aligned}$$

The decomposition into an integral and an acyclic fractional part according to weight functions with values in $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ is given in [L-Z1] (3.9) for polynomial

algebras and in [B-M-S] Theorems 10.12 and 10.13 for Laurent polynomial algebras. From the uniqueness statement in the description of $W_n\Omega_{A/R}^\bullet$ as sums of basic Witt differentials we see that

$$\ker(W_n\Omega_{A/R}^\bullet \rightarrow W_n\Omega_{\bar{A}/\bar{R}}^\bullet) = W_n(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})\Omega_{A_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet \oplus (W_n\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}A/R}^\bullet)_{frac}$$

where $(W_n\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}A/R}^\bullet)_{frac}$ consists of sums of basic Witt differentials in $(W_n\Omega_{A/R}^\bullet)_{frac}$ with coefficients in $W_n(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$. Then $\ker \pi_n$, for $\pi_n : W_n\Omega_{B/R}^\ell \rightarrow W_n\Omega_{\bar{B}/\bar{R}}^\ell$, is equal to

$$B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^m} W_n(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})\Omega_{A_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet \oplus B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^m} (W_n\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}A/R}^\bullet)_{frac} \tag{1.9.3}$$

Since for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}^{e-1}$ and $p = [p] + V\eta$ we have $p \cdot [\alpha] = [p \cdot \alpha] + V(\eta \cdot [\alpha]^p) = 0$ we see that $p \cdot x = 0$ for all $x \in W_n(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$ and hence $1 - p^s F : \ker \pi_{n+1} \rightarrow \ker \pi_n$ is the projection map which has kernel $\text{Fil}^n W_{n+1}\Omega_{B/R}^\ell \cap \ker \pi_{n+1}$. By induction hypothesis, on the level \bar{B}/\bar{R} , $\ker(1 - p^s F)$ is contained in $\text{Fil}^n W\Omega_{\bar{B}/\bar{R}}^\ell$. This shows that $1 - p^s F : W_\bullet\Omega_{B/R}^\ell \rightarrow W_\bullet\Omega_{\bar{B}/\bar{R}}^\ell$ is an isomorphism of prosheaves for $s > 0$ and hence the map $1 - \text{Fr}$ in the theorem is bijective in degrees $> r$.

Now we prove the exactness of the complex of prosheaves

$$0 \rightarrow W_\bullet\Omega_{B/R, \log}^r \rightarrow W_\bullet\Omega_{B/R}^r \xrightarrow{1 - \text{Fr}} W_\bullet\Omega_{B/R}^r \rightarrow 0$$

in the étale topology. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \ker \pi_\bullet & \xrightarrow{1 - F} & \ker \pi_\bullet & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W_\bullet\Omega_{B/R, \log}^r & \longrightarrow & W_\bullet\Omega_{B/R}^r & \xrightarrow{1 - F} & W_\bullet\Omega_{B/R}^r \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W_\bullet\Omega_{\bar{B}/\bar{R}, \log}^r & \longrightarrow & W_\bullet\Omega_{\bar{B}/\bar{R}}^r & \xrightarrow{1 - F} & W_\bullet\Omega_{\bar{B}/\bar{R}}^r \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \tag{1.9.4}$$

By induction hypothesis, the lower sequence is exact in the étale topology. To prove the surjectivity of $1 - F$ in the étale topology it suffices to show that $\ker \pi_{n+1} \xrightarrow{1 - F} \ker \pi_n$ is surjective. We use again the description (1.9.3) of $\ker \pi_n$ as a sum of an integral and a fractional part with coefficients in $W_n(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$, and where the fractional part is acyclic, too.

Let $x = [x_0] + V\eta \in W_{n+1}(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$. Then $Fx = [x_0]^p + p \cdot \eta = 0$, so $1 - F$ is the projection from level $n + 1$ to level n on the integral part. In the fractional part of the decomposition (1.9.3) an element $\tilde{f} \otimes V\omega$, with \tilde{f} a lift of $f \in B$ to B_{m+n+1} corresponds to $\varphi^m \tilde{f} V\omega = V(F^{m+1} \tilde{f} \cdot \omega)$ in $W_{n+1}\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}B/R}^r$, where we identify \tilde{f} with its image in $W_{m+n+1}(B)$ and use the compatibility of φ and F under the map $B_{m+n+1} \rightarrow W_{m+n+1}(B)$. Likewise, $\tilde{f} \otimes dV\omega = \varphi^m \tilde{f} dV\omega =$

$d(F^m \tilde{f} V \omega) = dV(F^{m+1} \tilde{f} \cdot \omega)$ because p^m annihilates $W_{n+1}(R)$ and $dF = pFd$. Since $V\omega$ has coefficients in $W_{n+1}(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$ we see that $F \circ V(\omega) = p \cdot \omega = 0$. So again $1 - F$ is the projection from level $n + 1$ to level n on the image of V . On the other hand, $1 - F$ maps the image of dV onto the image of d . The assertion already holds in the Zariski topology. We recall here the argument in [II] I. Prop. 3.26 which also holds for the relative de Rham-Witt complex, using the formula $FdV = d$. Let $x \in W_n \Omega_{B/R}^{r-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= FdVx - dVx + FdV^2x - dV^2x + \dots \\ &= (F - 1)(dVx + \dots + dV^n x) \end{aligned}$$

Since for $y \in W_n \Omega_{B/R}^{r-1}$

$$(F - 1)(dVy) = dy - dVy$$

lies in the image of d , the assertion follows. So in particular, the image of dV in $W_n \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1} B/R}^r$ is contained in the image of $1 - F$. Hence $1 - F : \ker \pi_\bullet \rightarrow \ker \pi_\bullet$ is surjective and therefore $1 - F$ is surjective on the prosheaf $W_\bullet \Omega_{B/R}^r$ in the étale topology.

Now we compute the kernel of $1 - F : \ker \pi_{n+1} \rightarrow \ker \pi_n$. The above considerations show that $1 - F$ is the projection from level $n + 1$ to level n on the integral part of $\ker \pi_{n+1} = W_{n+1} \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1} B/R}^r$ and also on the image of V (because F vanishes there). So the kernel of $1 - F$, when restricted to this integral part and the image of V , is contained in $\text{Fil}^n W_{n+1} \Omega_{B/R}^r \cap \ker \pi_{n+1}$. On the other hand, the image of dV is mapped under $1 - F$ onto the image of d using the formula $FdV = d$.

In the following we prove a uniqueness statement for representing elements in

$$(W_n \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1} B/R}^r)_{\text{frac}} = B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^m} (W_n \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1} A/R}^r)_{\text{frac}}$$

as a sum of “basic” Witt differentials. For this we recall the notion of primitive basic Witt differentials $e(1, k, \mathcal{P})$ associated to primitive weight functions $k : \{1, \dots, d\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ and partitions \mathcal{P} of $\text{supp } k$, $\mathcal{P} = I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_r$ with $I_0 \neq \emptyset$. “Primitive” means that for at least one $i \in I_0$, $p \nmid k_i$. They are defined in [L-Z1] 2.2 and used in the uniqueness statement [L-Z1] Theorem 2.24 for polynomial algebras, where k takes values in \mathbb{N} . But the same statement holds for Laurent polynomial algebras as well by allowing weight functions to take values in $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, where the value $k_i = k(i)$ is ∞ if the variable T_i occurs in a logarithmic differential $d \log[T_i]$. A description of the elements $e(1, k, \mathcal{P})$ in the case of Laurent polynomial algebras is given in [B-M-S], 10.4, Case 1, assuming $v(a|_{I_0}) = v(a|_{I_1}) = \dots = v(a|_{I_{\rho_1}}) = 0$, that is $\rho_1 = 0$ using the notation in [B-M-S].

Then an element z in $(W_n \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1} A/R}^r)_{\text{frac}}$ has a unique representation

$$z = \sum_{(k', \mathcal{P}')} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} V^j \xi'_j e(1, k', \mathcal{P}') + \sum_{(k, \mathcal{P})} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} dV^j \xi_j e(1, k, \mathcal{P}) \tag{1.9.5}$$

where $(k', \mathcal{P}'), (k, \mathcal{P})$ are as above, $\mathcal{P}' = I'_0 \cup \dots \cup I'_r$; $\mathcal{P} = I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{r-1}$, $\xi_j, \xi'_j \in W_{n-j}(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$. For our purposes, namely to compute the kernel of $1 - F$, it is enough to consider the second sum, i.e. we will only consider exact differentials in the fractional part. In order to find elements in the kernel of $1 - F$, we need to include the case $j = 0$ in the above sum, so we will consider elements

$$z = \sum_{(k, \mathcal{P})} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} dV^j \xi_j e(1, k, \mathcal{P})$$

Since the product structure of $W_n(R)$ on $W_n(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$ factors through the action of k :

$$\alpha \cdot (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) = ([\alpha]\xi_0, [\alpha]^p \xi_1, \dots, [\alpha]^{p^{n-1}} \xi_{n-1})$$

we see that \mathfrak{m}^{e-1} , resp. $W_n(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$ become k -vector spaces. (Note that $I_R = VW_{n-1}(R)$ and $W_n(\mathfrak{m})$ both annihilate $W_n(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$.) Then the action of A_n on $(W_n \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}A/R})_{frac}$ factors through $A_k = A \otimes_R k = k[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1}]$. We have an isomorphism for all $m \geq 0$ ([L-Z1], Prop. 3.2, Lemma A.9 and Corollary A.11)

$$(B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^m} A_n) \otimes_{A_n} A_k \cong B_n \otimes_{W_n(R)} k \cong B_k = B \otimes_R k \tag{1.9.6}$$

given by $b \otimes a \otimes 1 \mapsto \bar{b}^{p^m} \cdot \bar{a}$ where \bar{b} , resp. \bar{a} is the image of b , resp. a under the canonical map $B_{m+n} \rightarrow B_k$ resp. $A_n \rightarrow A_k$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{<p^n}$ be the set of all primitive basic Witt differentials $e(1, k, \mathcal{P})$ with $\mathcal{P} = I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{r-1}$ such that $1 \leq k_i < p^n$ or $k_i = \infty$ for all non-zero weights $k_i = k(i)$ occurring in k . Let $\{\rho_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a k -vector space basis of \mathfrak{m}^{e-1} . Since k is perfect $\{V^j[\rho_i]\}_{i \in I}$ is a k -vector space basis for $V^j[\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}] (\subset W_n(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}))$ for all j . Then $\{V^j[\rho_i] \cdot e(1, k, \mathcal{P})\}_{i \in I, e(1, k, \mathcal{P}) \in \mathcal{M}_{<p^n}}$ is a basis of the A_k -action on primitive basic Witt differentials with coefficients in $V^j[\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}]$, for all $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ via $\alpha \cdot \omega = \alpha^{p^n} \cdot \omega$ (compare Prop. 2.2 and Prop. 2.3 and its proof in [D-L-Z]; it also applies to the F -action of Laurent polynomial algebras A_k). Likewise $\{d(V^j[\rho_i]e(1, k, \mathcal{P}))\}_{i \in I, e(1, k, \mathcal{P}) \in \mathcal{M}_{<p^n}}$ is a basis of the A_k -action on d (primitive basic Witt differentials with coefficients in $V^j[\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}]$) for j fixed, $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ via $\alpha d\omega = \alpha^{p^n} d\omega = d\alpha^{p^n} \omega$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{l,n}$ be the k -vector space of primitive basic Witt differentials in degree $r-1$ with coefficients in $W_{n-l}(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{l,n}(j)$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{l,n}$ of those differentials with coefficients in $V^j[\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}] \subset W_{n-l}(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$, $j = 0, \dots, n-l-1$. Then $\{dV^l(V^j[\rho_i]e(1, k, \mathcal{P}))\}_{i \in I, e(1, k, \mathcal{P}) \in \mathcal{M}_{<p^n}}$ is a basis of the A_k -action on $dV^l(\mathcal{M}_{l,n}(j))$ via $\alpha dV^l \omega = \alpha^{p^{n-l}} dV^l \omega = dV^l \alpha^{p^n} \omega$. The isomorphism (1.9.6) shows that for all $m \geq 0$

$$B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^m} (W_n \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}A/R})_{frac}^{exact} \cong B_k \otimes_{A_k, F^m} (W_n \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}A/R})_{frac}^{exact} \tag{1.9.7}$$

Then $B_k \otimes_{A_k, F^{n-l}} (dV^l \mathcal{M}_{l,n}) \cong dV^l (B_k^{p^n} \otimes_{A_k^{p^n}} \mathcal{M}_{l,n})$ and $\{dV^l(V^j[\rho_i]e(1, k, \mathcal{P}))\}_{i \in I, e(1, k, \mathcal{P}) \in \mathcal{M}_{<p^n}}$ is a basis of the B_k -action on $B_k \otimes_{A_k, F^{n-l}} dV^l(\mathcal{M}_{l,n}(j))$ for fixed j .

Summarizing, we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^m} (W_n \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}A/R}^r)_{frac}^{exact} &\cong B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^m} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} dV^l(\mathcal{M}_{l,n}) \right) \\
 &\cong \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}, \varphi^m} dV^l(\mathcal{M}_{l,n})) \\
 &\cong \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} B_k \otimes_{A_k, F^{n-l}} dV^l(\mathcal{M}_{l,n}) \\
 &\cong \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} dV^l(B_k^{p^n} \otimes_{A_k^{p^n}} \mathcal{M}_{l,n}) \quad (1.9.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

(choose $m := n - l$ for each l for the penultimate isomorphism). Then we have proven the following

LEMMA 1.10. *For $z \in B_{m+n} \otimes_{A_{m+n}} (W_n \Omega_{\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}A/R}^r)_{frac}^{exact}$ we have a representation as*

$$z = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} dV^l \left(\sum_{\epsilon(1,k,\mathcal{P}) \in \mathcal{M}_{<p^n}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-l-1} \sum_{i \in I} V^j([\rho_i]) [b_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^n}] \right) e(1, k, \mathcal{P}) \right)$$

with uniquely determined elements $b_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}} \in B_k$ and where $\{\rho_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a k -basis of \mathfrak{m}^{e-1} as before, hence $\{V^j[\rho_i]\}_{i \in I}$ is a basis of $V^j[\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}]$ as a k -vector subspace in $W_{n-l}(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$.

F maps an element $z = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} dV^l(\beta_l)$ as above to $z' = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} dV^{l-1}(\beta_l)$, using the formula $FdV = d$ and that $Fd\beta_0$ vanishes because F annihilates $W_n(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1})$. Now we are looking at a particular summand

$$dV^l \left(V^j([\rho_i]) [b_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^n}] e(1, k, \mathcal{P}) \right)$$

It is easy to see that $b_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^n} e(1, k, \mathcal{P})$ can be written as $g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}} \cdot \omega(k, \mathcal{P})$, where $\omega(k, \mathcal{P})$ is a logarithmic differential (a product of $d \log$'s in variables $[T_1], \dots, [T_d]$) depending only on (k, \mathcal{P}) and $g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}} \in B_k$ (use that $d[T]^s = \frac{[T]^s d \log [T]}{s}$ for $p \nmid s$ and $F^r d[T] = [T]^{p^r} d \log [T]$). Then

$$V^j([\rho_i]) [b_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^n}] e(1, k, \mathcal{P}) = V^j([\rho_i g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^j}]) \omega(k, \mathcal{P})$$

Then, for fixed j and i , F maps (using $F\omega = \omega$)

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1-j} dV^{l+j} [\rho_i g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^j}] \omega(k, \mathcal{P})$$

to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1-j} dV^{l+j-1}[\rho_i g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^j}] \omega(k, \mathcal{P}) &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1-j} dV^{l-1}(V^j[\rho_i] \cdot g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}) \omega(k, \mathcal{P}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1-j} dV^{l-1}(V^j[\rho_i][b_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^n}] e(1, k, \mathcal{P})) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $dV^j[\rho_i g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^j}]$ (the case $l = 0$) vanishes under F because $d(V^{j-1}[\rho_i] \cdot [g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^p]) = 0$. So F maps

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1-j} dV^{l+j}[\rho_i \cdot g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^j}] \omega(k, \mathcal{P})$$

to

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1-j} dV^{l+j-1}[\rho_i][g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^j}] \cdot \omega(k, \mathcal{P})$$

Now let us first look at the case $j = 0$ and consider an element

$$z = d([\alpha] \cdot [g]) \cdot \omega$$

$\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}^{e-1}$, $g \in B_k$, ω a logarithmic differential satisfying $F\omega = \omega$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z &= d([1] + [\alpha][g])\omega \\ &= d([1 + \alpha g])\omega + \sum_{l=1}^n dV^l([x_l])\omega \pmod{\text{Fil}^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

where $x_l = S_l([1], [\alpha g])$ and S_l is the polynomial defining the l -component of the sum of two Witt vectors. It is known that $S_0(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) = X_0 + Y_0$, $S_1(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) = X_1 + Y_1 + \frac{1}{p}(X_0^p + Y_0^p - (X_0 + Y_0)^p)$. We do not need to know S_n for $n \geq 2$. We see that $x_1 = S_1([1], [\alpha g]) = -\alpha g$ and get mod Fil^{n+1}

$$d([1] + [\alpha][g]) = d([1 + \alpha g]) + dV^1(-\alpha g) + \sum_{l=2}^n dV^l[x_l]$$

Now $F[\alpha] = [\alpha]^p = 0$, so we get, using $FdV = d$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Fd([1 + \alpha g]) + d(-\alpha g) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} dV^l[x_{l+1}] \\ &= d \log[1 + \alpha g] + d(-\alpha g) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} dV^l[x_{l+1}] \end{aligned}$$

because

$$Fd([1 + \alpha g]) = [1 + \alpha g]^{p-1}d([1 + \alpha g]) = d\log([1 + \alpha g])$$

since $[1 + \alpha g]^p = 1$. Hence

$$d\log[1 + \alpha g] = -d([- \alpha g]) - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} dV^l[x_{l+1}]$$

Since $d\log[1 + \alpha g]$ is invariant under F , the right hand side is invariant – modulo $\text{Fil}^{n-1}W_n\Omega_{B/R}^r$ – under F as well. This implies, using Lemma 1.10, that $x_l = S_l([1], [\alpha g]) = -\alpha g$ for $l = 2$ and then by induction for all l . Returning to our element z we finally have, since $Fz = 0$ and $F\omega = \omega$,

$$d\log([1 + \alpha g])\omega = \left(-\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} dV^l[-\alpha g] - d[-\alpha g]\right)\omega \tag{1.11}$$

Since $(1 + \alpha g)(1 - \alpha g) = 1$ (because $\alpha^2 = 0$) we have

$$d\log([1 + \alpha g]) = -d\log([1 - \alpha g])$$

and hence (1.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d\log([1 + \alpha g])\omega &= \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} dV^l[\alpha g] + d[\alpha g]\right)\omega \\ &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} dV^l[\alpha g]\right)\omega \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the right hand side is a logarithmic differential η satisfying $F\eta = \eta$. We have seen that for $\rho \in \mathfrak{m}^{e-1}, g \in B_k$

$$[1] + [\rho \cdot g] = [1 + \rho g] + V[-\rho g] + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} V^j[-\rho g]$$

This implies

$$dV^l[\rho g] = dV^l([1] + [\rho g]) = dV^l[1 + \rho g] + \sum_{j \geq l+1} dV^j[-\rho g]$$

or

$$dV^l[1 + \rho g] = dV^l[\rho g] - \sum_{j=l+1}^{\infty} dV^j[-\rho g]$$

Replacing g by g^{p^l} yields

$$dV^l[1 + \rho g^{p^l}] = dV^l[\rho g^{p^l}] - \sum_{j=l+1}^{\infty} dV^j[-\rho g^{p^l}] \tag{1.12}$$

Since $dV^{l-1}[\rho g^{p^l}] = 0$ we have

$$F dV^l[1 + \rho g^{p^l}] = - \sum_{j=l}^{\infty} dV^j[-\rho g^{p^l}] = dV^{l-1}[1 + \rho g^{p^l}]$$

which is invariant under F , because the infinite sum is invariant under F . Then

$$F^{l+1} dV^l[1 + \rho g^{p^l}] = F d[1 + \rho g^{p^l}] = d \log[1 + \rho g^{p^l}] = - \sum_{j=l}^{\infty} dV^j[-\rho g^{p^l}] \quad (1.13)$$

This shows that under the assumption $Fz = z$ modulo Fil^n

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1-j} dV^{l+j}[\rho_i g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^j}] \omega(k, \mathcal{P})$$

is a logarithmic differential modulo Fil^n because $\rho_i g_{i,l,j,k,\mathcal{P}}^{p^j}$ does not depend on l . Using the uniqueness statement in Lemma 1.10, we conclude that

$$\ker(1 - F | \ker \pi_\bullet) \subset W_\bullet \Omega_{B/R, \log}^r$$

This shows that

$$W_\bullet \Omega_{B/R, \log}^r = \ker(W_\bullet \Omega_{B/R}^r \xrightarrow{1-F} W_\bullet \Omega_{B/R}^r)$$

and finishes the proof of Theorem 1.9. □

Now we can define relative syntomic complexes. As at the beginning of this section, let R be artinian local with perfect residue field k of char $p > 0$. Let $X/\text{Spec } R$ be smooth, admitting a lifting X_\bullet as an ind-scheme over $\text{Spec } W_\bullet(R)$. Assume there exists a compatible system of embeddings $i_n : X_n \rightarrow Z_n$ into Witt lifts Z_n which satisfy the properties of [L-Z1] Definition 3.3. The i_n factorise through a compatible system of PD-envelopes D_n . One obtains a compatible system of quasiisomorphisms

$$\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{Fil}^r \Omega_{D_n/W_n(R)}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\cong} N^r W_n \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet$$

and hence an isomorphism of procomplexes

$$\Sigma : \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet \rightarrow N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \quad (1.14)$$

in $D_{\text{pro,Zar}}(X)$ resp $D_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$.

To construct Σ in general, one chooses a covering $\{X(i) = \text{Spec } A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X such that A_i is étale over $R[T_1, \dots, T_d]$. Since $X \hookrightarrow X_n$ is a nilpotent embedding, there exists a covering $\{X_n(i) = \text{Spec } A_{n,i}\}_{i \in I}$ of X_n such that $A_{n,i}$ is étale over $W_n(R)[T_1, \dots, T_d]$ and $A_{n,i} \times_{W_n(R)} W_{n-1}(R) = A_{n-1,i}$, in particular $A_{n,i} \times_{W_n(R)} R = A_i$. Using [L-Z1] Prop. 3.2, the $\{A_{n,i}\}_n$ form a

compatible system of Frobenius lifts, in particular of Witt lifts for all $i \in I$. For $X_n(i_1, \dots, i_s) = X_n(i_1) \cap \dots \cap X_n(i_s)$ and $Z_n(i_1, \dots, i_s) = X_n(i_1) \times_{W_n(R)} \dots \times_{W_n(R)} X_n(i_s)$, the product embeddings $X_n(i_1, \dots, i_s) \rightarrow Z_n(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ with associated PD-envelopes $D_n(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ are embeddings into Witt lifts and induce compatible morphisms of simplicial schemes $X^\bullet \rightarrow X_n^\bullet \rightarrow D_n^\bullet \rightarrow Z_n^\bullet$, hence the isomorphisms (1.7.1) are compatible and induce again an isomorphism (1.14)

$$\Sigma : \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet \rightarrow N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet$$

of procomplexes in $D_{\text{pro,Zar}}(X)$ resp $D_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 0.2.

In the following we always assume $r < p$. Using the composite map of $1 - \text{Fr}$ with Σ :

$$\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\Sigma} N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \xrightarrow{1-\text{Fr}} W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet$$

we can define

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{X_\bullet}(r) = \text{cone} \left(\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet \xrightarrow{1-\text{Fr}} W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \right) [-1].$$

This complex is denoted by $\sigma_X^I(r)$ in [B-E-K1]. It plays the role of a technical variant of the syntomic complex $\sigma_{X_\bullet}(r)$ we are going to define now. Consider the composite map of associated procomplexes:

$$\Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{\geq r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet \xrightarrow{(1-\text{Fr}) \circ \Sigma} W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet$$

which is also denoted by $1 - \text{Fr}$. Here the first arrow is the canonical inclusion of complexes.

DEFINITION 1.15.

$$\sigma_{X_\bullet}(r) = \text{cone} \left(\Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{\geq r} \xrightarrow{1-\text{Fr}} W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \right) [-1]$$

is the relative syntomic complex of the ind-scheme X_\bullet on $(X)_{\text{et}}$ i.e. in $D_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}(r) = \text{cone}(\Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{\geq r} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet)[-1]$. Theorem 1.9 yields an exact triangle

$$\mathcal{M}(r) \longrightarrow \sigma_{X_\bullet}(r) \longrightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log}^r[-r] \xrightarrow{+1}$$

in $D_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(r) &= \text{cone} \left(\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\geq r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet \right) [-1] \\ &= \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{\leq r}[-1] \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get the following Theorem in analogy to [B-E-K1], Theorem 5.4:

THEOREM 1.16 (Fundamental triangle). *There is an exact triangle in $D_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$:*

$$\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{<r}[-1] \longrightarrow \sigma_{X_\bullet}(r) \longrightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log}^r[-r] \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Apply $\tau_{\leq r} R\varepsilon_*$, where $\varepsilon : X_{\text{et}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Nis}}$, to this triangle and use the same argument for the Nisnevich versions of [B-E-K1] Theorem 5.4 to obtain an exact triangle in $D_{\text{pro,Nis}}(X)$.

$$\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{<r}[-1] \longrightarrow \sigma_{X_\bullet,\text{Nis}}(r) \longrightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log,\text{Nis}}^r[-r] \xrightarrow{+1}$$

where $\sigma_{X_\bullet,\text{Nis}}(r) := \tau_{\leq r} R\varepsilon_* \sigma_{X_\bullet}(r)$ and $W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log,\text{Nis}}^r := \varepsilon_* W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log,\text{et}}^r$. We can also prove the analogue of Theorem 6.1 in [B-E-K1]. The statement holds in the étale and Nisnevich topology.

THEOREM 1.17. *The connecting homomorphism*

$$\alpha : W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log}^r[-r] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{<r}$$

resulting from the fundamental triangle is equal to the composite map

$$\beta : W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log}^r[-r] \longrightarrow N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{<r}.$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [B-E-K1]. From the definition of $\sigma_{X_\bullet}(r)$ we get a morphism in $D_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$

$$\sigma_{X_\bullet}(r) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{\geq r}.$$

Define $\sigma'_{X_\bullet}(r) = \text{cone}(\sigma_{X_\bullet}(r) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{\geq r}[-1])$. The morphism $\sigma_{X_\bullet}(r) \rightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log}^r[-r]$ in the fundamental triangle induces a morphism

$$\sigma'_{X_\bullet}(r) \longrightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log}^r[-r].$$

Then we have a chain of isomorphisms in $D_{\text{pro}}(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_{X_\bullet}(r) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{cone}(\tilde{\sigma}_{X_\bullet}(r) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet[-1]) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{cone}\left(\text{cone}\left(N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet \xrightarrow{1-\text{Fr}} W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet\right)[-1] \longrightarrow N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet\right)[-1] \\ &\xleftarrow{\sim} \Sigma(r) := \text{cone}(W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log}^r[-r] \longrightarrow N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet[-1]) \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof of the Theorem follows from the following proposition: □

PROPOSITION 1.18. *There is an exact triangle*

$$\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet[-1] \longrightarrow \sigma'_{X_\bullet}(r) \longrightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R,\log}^r[-r] \xrightarrow{+1}$$

fitting into a commutative diagram of exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet[-1] & \longrightarrow & \Sigma(r) & \longrightarrow & W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log}^r[-r] & \xrightarrow{+1} \\
 \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow (*) & & \uparrow = & \\
 \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^\bullet[-1] & \longrightarrow & \sigma'_{X_\bullet}(r) & \longrightarrow & W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log}^r[-r] & \xrightarrow{+1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & \\
 \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{<r}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \sigma_{X_\bullet}(r) & \longrightarrow & W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log}^r[-r] & \xrightarrow{+1}
 \end{array}$$

where $(*)$ is the composite of the previous isomorphisms and the lower exact triangle is the fundamental triangle.

The proof of the Proposition is the same as for Proposition 6.3 in [B-E-K1]. It implies Theorem 1.17.

For a smooth projective variety Y/k with lifting $Y_n/W_n(k)$ we will also work with the syntomic complex $\sigma_{Y_n}(r)$ at finite level. Our definition differs from the one in [K2] Definition 1.6. But using Proposition 4.4 in [L-Z2] it is easy to see that $\sigma_{Y_\bullet/W_\bullet(k)}(r)$ and the procomplex in [B-E-K1], Definition 4.2 are quasiisomorphic.

PROPOSITION 1.19. *Let*

$$\mathcal{M}_n := \left[W_n \Omega_{Y/k, \log}^r + V^{n-1} \Omega_{Y/k}^r \xrightarrow{d} \text{Fil}^{n-1} W_n \Omega_{Y/k}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{d} \text{Fil}^{n-1} W_n \Omega_{Y/k}^{r+2} \xrightarrow{d} \dots \right] [-r]$$

Then there is an exact triangle on (Y_{et})

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \longrightarrow N^r W_n \Omega_{Y/k}^\bullet \xrightarrow{1-\text{Fr}} W_{n-1} \Omega_{Y/k}^\bullet \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.9 that $1 - \text{Fr}$ is bijective in degrees $< r$ and surjective in degrees $\geq r$. Finally it follows from [B-E-K1] Lemma 4.4 and [II] I Lemma 3.30 that in degrees $> r$ the kernel of $1 - \text{Fr}$ is $\text{Fil}^{n-1} W_n \Omega_{Y/k}^\bullet$. Since $(1 - F)dV^{n-1} \Omega_{Y/k}^{r-1} = dV^{n-2} \Omega_{Y/k}^{r-1} \subset W_{n-1} \Omega_{Y/k}^r$. It follows from [II] I 5.7.2 that the kernel of $1 - F$ in degree r is $W_n \Omega_{Y/k, \log}^r + V^{n-1} \Omega_{Y/k}^r$, as stated. \square

Note that we have an injection $W_n \Omega_{Y/k, \log}^r \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathcal{M}_n)$.

DEFINITION 1.20. *The syntomic complex $\sigma_{Y_n}(r)$ is defined as follows in $D(Y_{\text{et}})$:*

$$\sigma_{Y_n}(r) = \text{cone} \left(\Omega_{Y_n/W_n(k)}^{\geq r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{Y_n/W_n(k)}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} N^r W_n \Omega_{Y/k}^\bullet \xrightarrow{1-\text{Fr}} W_{n-1} \Omega_{Y/k}^\bullet \right) [-1]$$

This is the finite level version of Definition 1.15. for $R = k$. It follows from the definitions and Proposition 1.19. that one has an exact triangle

$$\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{Y_n/W_n(k)}^{<r}[-1] \longrightarrow \sigma_{Y_n}(r) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \xrightarrow{+1} \tag{1.21}$$

We have $\mathcal{H}^j(\sigma_{Y_n}(r)) = \mathcal{H}^j \mathcal{M}$ in degrees $> r$ and an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow p\Omega_{Y_n}^{r-1}/p^2d\Omega_{Y_n}^{r-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\sigma_{Y_n}(r)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathcal{M}_n) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{1.22}$$

For $\varepsilon : (Y)_{\text{et}} \rightarrow (Y)_{\text{Nis}}$ apply again $\tau_{\leq r}R\varepsilon_*$ to 1.23 to get the following exact triangle in $D(Y_{\text{Nis}})$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^r\Omega_{Y_n/W_n(k)}^{\leq r}[-1] \longrightarrow \sigma_{Y_n, \text{Nis}}(r) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{P}[-r] \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.23}$$

where $\sigma_{Y_n, \text{Nis}}(r) := \tau_{\leq r}R\varepsilon_*\sigma_{Y_n}(r)$ and \mathcal{P} is a Nisnevich-sheaf which contains $\varepsilon_*W_n\Omega_{Y/k, \log}^r = W_n\Omega_{Y/k, \log, \text{Nis}}^r$ (compare [B-E-K1] Proposition 2.4.1) as a sub-sheaf.

2 RELATIVE MOTIVIC COMPLEXES

Let $\{Y_n/W_n(k)\}_n$ be a projective smooth formal scheme and let $\mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r)$, for $r < p$, be the Suslin-Voevodsky complex of Y_1/k [S-V]. Bloch-Esnault-Kerz have defined a motivic procomplex $\mathbb{Z}_{Y_\bullet}(r)$ in $D_{\text{pro, Nis}}(Y_1)$ by

$$\mathbb{Z}_{Y_\bullet}(r) = \text{cone} \left(\sigma_{Y_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(r) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r) \xrightarrow{\varphi \oplus (-\log)} W_\bullet\Omega_{Y_1, \log, \text{Nis}}^r[-r] \right) [-1] \tag{2.1}$$

where φ is the map from the fundamental triangle (Theorem 1.16.) and \log is the composite map

$$\mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r))[-r] = \mathcal{K}_{Y_1, r}^{\text{Mil}}[-r] \xrightarrow{d \log[\cdot]} W_\bullet\Omega_{Y_1, \log, \text{Nis}}^r[-r] \tag{2.2}$$

(see [B-E-K1] (7.4)).

Now we fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and define $X := Y_m$. Then at finite level $\mathbb{Z}_X(r)$ is defined as follows on $(X)_{\text{Nis}}$

$$\mathbb{Z}_X(r) = \text{cone} \left(\sigma_{X, \text{Nis}}(r) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r) \xrightarrow{\varphi \oplus (-\log)} \mathcal{P}[-r] \right) [-1] \tag{2.3}$$

where φ is the map in (1.23) and \log is defined as before using the injection $W_m\Omega_{Y, \log, \text{Nis}}^r \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}$. The long exact cohomology sequence associated to 2.3 yields an exact sequence in degree r :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_X(r)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\sigma_{X, \text{Nis}}(r)) \oplus \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r)) \xrightarrow{\varphi \oplus (-\log)} \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.4}$$

The exact sequences 1.22, 1.23 and 2.4 yield the upper exact sequence in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & p\Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{r-1}/p^2d\Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{r-2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_X(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & p\Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{r-1}/p^2d\Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{r-2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X, r}^{\text{Mil}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{Y_1, r}^{\text{Mil}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \tag{2.5}$$

where the bottom row is the exact sequence shown in [B-E-K1], Theorem 12.3 and the middle vertical arrow is Kato’s syntomic regulator map. It is a finite level version of the map (*) in the commutative diagram in [B-E-K1] p. 695 and is constructed similarly as in [K2] Section 3, where Kato constructs a map (using our notation)

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y_{n+1}}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(Y_1, \mathcal{S}_n(1)_{Y_n})$$

with his definition of the syntomic complexes given in [K2] Definition 1.6. The change of level from $n + 1$ to n is due to the fact that the element $p^{-1} \log(\overline{f(a)a^{-p}})$ in [K2] page 216 is only well-defined in \mathcal{O}_{D_n} because multiplication by p on $\mathcal{O}_{D_{n+1}}$ factors through an injection $p : \mathcal{O}_{D_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{n+1}}$. Since we work with a different definition of $\sigma_{Y_n}(r)$ using the de Rham-Witt complex the above level change is unnecessary. In the section after Prop. 2.9 below we make the symbol map explicit in the case $r = 1$. One should read this section in the case $R = k$. The element $\frac{1}{p} \log \frac{F(\tilde{a})}{\tilde{a}^p}$ that occurs there is well-defined in $W_{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1})$, where $\tilde{a} = [\lambda](1 + V\eta)$ is in $W_n(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1})$. Hence we get a symbol map (with $X = Y_m$)

$$\mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\sigma_{X_n, Nis}(1))$$

which induces

$$\mathcal{O}_X^\times \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\sigma_{X, Nis}(r))$$

Analogous to [K2] Prop 3.2 we show that this map factors through the symbol map in the Milnor K -sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{X,r}^{Mil} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\sigma_{X, Nis}(r))$. Similar to [K2] Lemma 3.7.2 one sees that the composite map

$$\mathcal{K}_{X,r}^{Mil} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\sigma_{X, Nis}(r)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$$

is given by $b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_r \mapsto d \log [\bar{b}_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge d \log [\bar{b}_r]$ where \bar{b}_i is the reduction of b_i modulo p . Hence the composite map

$$\mathcal{K}_{X,r}^{Mil} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\sigma_{X, Nis}(r)) \oplus (\mathcal{K}_{Y_1,r}^{Mil} = \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r))) \xrightarrow{\varphi \oplus (-\log)} \mathcal{P}$$

vanishes and this defines a natural map fitting into the diagram (2.5)

$$\mathcal{K}_{X,r}^{Mil} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_X(r))$$

The diagram (2.5) implies that

$$\mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_X(r)) \cong \mathcal{K}_{X,r}^{Mil}. \tag{2.6}$$

It follows from the definition that $\mathbb{Z}_X(r)$ has cohomological degree $\leq r$, because $\mathcal{H}^j(\sigma_{X, Nis}(r)) = \mathcal{H}^j(\mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r)) = 0$ for $j > r$ and $\mathcal{H}^r(\sigma_{X, Nis}(r)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is surjective. Finally it is easy to see that all the properties in [B-E-K1] Proposition 7.2 listed for the procomplex $\mathbb{Z}_{Y_\bullet}(r)$ pass over to $\mathbb{Z}_X(r)$ at finite level except the Kummer triangle Prop. 7.2 (3) which holds only for procomplexes.

In the following, let $R = W_m(k)$ and assume there exists an ind-scheme lifting $X_\bullet / \text{Spec } W_\bullet(R)$ of $X = Y_m/R$ which is compatible with Y_\bullet under the base change $R \rightarrow k$, i.e. $X_n \times_{W_n(R)} W_n(k) = Y_n$, in particular $X_m \times_{W_m(R)} W_m(k) = Y_m$.

DEFINITION 2.7. *As object in $D_{\text{pro,Nis}}(X)$ the motivic procomplex $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)$ is defined for $r < p$ as follows:*

$$\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) = \text{cone} \left(\sigma_{X_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(r) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_X(r) \xrightarrow{\varphi \oplus (-\log)} W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^r[-r] \right) [-1]$$

where φ comes from the fundamental triangle (Theorem 1.16.) for the syntomic procomplex $\sigma_{X_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(r)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_X(r) \xrightarrow{\log} W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^r[-r]$ is the symbol map into the relative logarithmic de Rham-Witt complex, defined as follows

$$\mathbb{Z}_X(r) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_X(r))[-r] = \mathcal{K}_{X,r}^{\text{Mil}}[-r] \xrightarrow{\text{dlog}[\]} W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^r[-r].$$

Here $[\]$ is the Teichmüller lift from \mathcal{O}_X to $W_n(\mathcal{O}_X)$, the definition is analogous to [B-E-K1] (7.4).

PROPOSITION 2.8. *The motivic procomplex $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)$ has support in cohomology degrees $\leq r$. For $r \geq 1$, if the Beilinson-Soulé Conjecture is true, it has support in degrees $[1, r]$.*

Proof. Under the assumptions this holds for $\mathbb{Z}_X(r)$ by [B-E-K1] Prop. 7.2. By definition $\sigma_{X_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(r)$ has support in $[1, r]$; from the definition of $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)$ we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\sigma_{X_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(r)) \oplus \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_X(r)) \rightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^r \rightarrow 0$$

since $\mathcal{H}^r(\sigma_{X_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(r)) \rightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^r$ is surjective by (1.16.). This proves the proposition. □

Note that the map $\text{dlog}[\]$ is an epimorphism in the étale topology because $W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log}^r$ is, by definition, locally generated by symbols. We expect that the corresponding Nisnevich sheaf $W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^r = \varepsilon_* W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{et}}^r$ is again generated by symbols. For $R = k$ this is shown in [B-E-K1], Prop 2.4 and [K1] Proposition 1.

Remark. It is easy to see that there is a canonical product structure

$$\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r') \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r + r')$$

compatible with the product structures on $\sigma_{X_\bullet}(r)$ and on $\mathbb{Z}_X(r)$. The argument is the same as [B-E-K1] Proposition 7.2 (5). On the other hand, property (3) in Proposition 7.2 does not seem to hold; the cone of the Kummer sequence $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)$ is likely to be much more complicated.

However, we do get the following analogy of [B-E-K1] Proposition 7.3:

PROPOSITION 2.9 (Fundamental motivic triangle). *There is a unique commutative diagram of exact triangles*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{<r}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_X(r) & \longrightarrow & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{dlog}[\] & & \\ \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{<r}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \sigma_{X_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(r) & \longrightarrow & W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^r[-r] & \longrightarrow & \end{array}$$

Proof. The right hand side square is homotopy Cartesian by definition, hence the proposition is proven in the same way as Proposition 7.3 in [B-E-K1]. \square

Now we look at the special cases $r = 0, 1$:

For $r = 0$, $\sigma_{X_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(r)$ is isomorphic to $W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^0 = \mathbb{Z}/p^\bullet$, hence $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(0) = \mathbb{Z}_X(0) = \mathbb{Z}$.

For $r = 1$, we construct a map $\mathcal{K}_{X_{n,1}}^{\text{Mil}}[-1] = \mathcal{O}_{X_n}^*[-1] \rightarrow \sigma_{X_n}(1)$ as follows. Assume first that there exists a compatible system $X_n \hookrightarrow Z_n$ into Witt lifts Z_n with PD-envelope D_n as before and induced maps $\mathcal{O}_{D_n} \rightarrow W_n(\mathcal{O}_X)$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_n}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_n}^* \longrightarrow 1$$

so $\mathcal{O}_{X_n}^*[-1]$ is isomorphic to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_n}^* \\ \text{degree } 0 & & \text{degree } 1 \end{array}$$

The complex $\sigma_{X_n}(1)$ is represented by the complex

$$\mathcal{J}_{D_n} \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{O}_{D_n} \otimes \Omega_{Z_n/W_n(R)}^1 \oplus W_{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{d_2} \Omega_{D_n/W_n(R)}^2 \oplus W_{n-1}\Omega_{X/R}^1 \longrightarrow$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 : x &\mapsto (dx, (F_1 - 1)(x)) \\ d_2 : (x, y) &\mapsto (dx, (F_1 - 1)(x) - dy) \end{aligned}$$

and x is identified with its image under $\mathcal{J}_{D_n} \rightarrow VW_{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $F_1(x = V\eta) = \frac{F}{p}(V\eta) = \eta$.

We define a map $(N \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_n}^*) \rightarrow \sigma_{X_n}(1)$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{in degree } 0 & : & N \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{D_n} \\ & & a \longmapsto \log(a) \\ \text{in degree } 1 & : & \mathcal{O}_{Z_n}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_n} \otimes \Omega_{Z_n}^1 \oplus W_{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ & & a \longmapsto \left(d \log a, \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{F\tilde{a}}{a^p} \right) \end{array}$$

Note that $\tilde{a} = [\lambda](1 + V\eta) \in W_n(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the image of a under

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z_n}^* \longrightarrow W_n(\mathcal{O}_X)^*$$

($[\lambda]$ is the Teichmüller element of some $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_X^*$).

Then $F(\tilde{a}) = [\lambda]^p(1 + p\eta)$ and $(\tilde{a})^p = [\lambda]^p(1 + V\eta)^p$ considered as elements in $W_{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Then

$$\frac{F(\tilde{a})}{\tilde{a}^p} = \frac{1 + p\eta}{(1 + V\eta)^p}.$$

Because of the uniqueness of η the elements $\frac{1}{p} \log(1 + p\eta)$ and $\frac{1}{p} \log(1 + V\eta)^p$ are uniquely determined, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{F(\tilde{a})}{\tilde{a}^p} &= \frac{1}{p} \log(1 + p\eta) - \frac{1}{p} \log(1 + V\eta)^p \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log(1 + p\eta) - \log(1 + V\eta) \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined.

This defines a map

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}^*[-1] \longrightarrow \sigma_{X_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(1)$$

of procomplexes, hence a map

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\sigma_{X_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(1)). \tag{2.10}$$

If there is no global system of embeddings $X_n \rightarrow Z_n$ into Witt lifts Z_n one proceeds by simplicial methods as outlined before the definition of $\sigma_{X_\bullet}(r)$ (Def. 1.15.) to construct the map (2.10). We omit the details here.

There is a commutative diagram of Nisnevich sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\sigma_{X_\bullet, \text{Nis}}(1)) & & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}_X(1)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^1 & = & W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^1 \end{array} \tag{2.11}$$

which induces a map

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(1))$$

by the definition of $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(1)$.

LEMMA 2.12. *We have a commutative diagram of exact sequences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I_R \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} \cong 1 + I_R \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}_X(1)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I_R \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} \cong 1 + I_R \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $1 + V(\eta)x \mapsto \log(1 + V(\eta)x)$ is well-defined because p is nilpotent on \mathcal{O}_{X_n} and induces the isomorphism $1 + I_R \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} \rightarrow I_R \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}$. (Recall that $I_R = VW_{n-1}(R)$.)

By assumption $X_n \times_{W_n(R)} R = X$ and so $\mathcal{O}_{X_n}/I_R \mathcal{O}_{X_n} = \mathcal{O}_X$; since I_R is nilpotent we immediately deduce that on units $\mathcal{O}_{X_n}^*/1 + I_R \mathcal{O}_{X_n}^* = \mathcal{O}_X^*$, hence the lower sequence is exact. It is a slight generalisation of the p -adic logarithm isomorphism [B-E-K1] (1.3) that the log map is an isomorphism because $I_R \mathcal{O}_{X_n}$ admits a divided power structure and p is nilpotent.

The upper sequence is exact because of the fundamental motivic triangle (Proposition 2.9).

The Lemma implies that $\mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}^*$ and $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(1))$ are isomorphic, hence

$$\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(1) \cong \mathbb{G}_{m/X_\bullet}[-1]. \tag{2.13}$$

The isomorphism 2.13 and the product structure on $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)$ induce a symbol map (compare the proof of [K2], Proposition 3.2)

$$\mathcal{K}_{X_\bullet, r}^{\text{Mil}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)). \tag{2.14}$$

But in the absence of ([B-E-K1], Theorem 12.3) which cannot be extended to a relative setting we cannot expect that 2.14 is an isomorphism.

3 p -ADIC DEFORMATION OF MOTIVIC CHOW GROUPS

Let $X = Y_m/\text{Spec } W_m(k)$ as before and X_\bullet be a smooth projective lifting of X to $\text{Spec } W_\bullet(R)$, $R = W_m(k)$, which is compatible with Y_\bullet as before. Let $r < p$.

DEFINITION 3.1. *The continuous Chow group of X_\bullet is defined as $\text{Ch}_{\text{cont}}^r(X_\bullet) := H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r))$.*

Note that we also work with continuous cohomology.

The fundamental motivic triangle (Proposition 2.9) gives rise to an exact obstruction sequence to the deformation problem lifting a class in $H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r))$ to a class in $\text{Ch}_{\text{cont}}^r(X_\bullet)$

$$\text{Ch}_{\text{cont}}^r(X_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r)) \xrightarrow{\text{ob}} H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\leq r}). \tag{3.2}$$

Now we construct crystalline cycle classes on $H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r))$. We have a canonical map

$$H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r)) \longrightarrow H^r(X, \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_X(r))) = H^r(X, \mathcal{K}_r^{\text{Mil}}) \xrightarrow{\text{dlog}[\]} H^r(X, W\Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^r).$$

The map of complexes (the first map in Theorem 1.9) in $C_{\text{pro,et}}(X)$

$$W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log}^r[-r] \longrightarrow N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet$$

defines a map of complexes in $C_{\text{pro, Nis}}(X)$

$$W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log, \text{Nis}}^r[-r] = \varepsilon_* W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \log}^r[-r] \rightarrow \varepsilon_* N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet = N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R, \text{Nis}}^\bullet$$

(In the following we omit the subscript 'Nis' as all complexes and cohomology groups are taken in the Nisnevich topology) and yields the refined relative crystalline cycle class map

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r)) &\longrightarrow H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^r) \\ \xi &\longmapsto c(\xi) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Then the relative crystalline cycle class of ξ is the image $c_{\text{cris}}(\xi)$ of $c(\xi)$ in $H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X/R}^{\bullet})$. We have canonical isomorphisms (Theorem 1.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\text{cont}}^i(X, N^r W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X/R}^{\bullet}) &\cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_{\bullet}/W_{\bullet}(R)}^{\bullet}) \\
 \text{and} \\
 H_{\text{cont}}^n(X, W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X/R}^{\bullet}) &\cong \varprojlim_m^n H^n(X, W_m \Omega_{X/R}^{\bullet}) \\
 &\cong H_{\text{cris}}^n(X/W(R)) \\
 &\cong H_{\text{cont}}^n(X_{\bullet}, \Omega_{X_{\bullet}/W_{\bullet}(R)}^{\bullet})
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where the first isomorphism follows from [L-Z1], Corollary 1.14 and the second from the main comparison theorem [L-Z1], Theorem 3.1. Note that in [B-O] §5 the crystalline site/topos and the cohomology of the crystalline structure sheaf is defined for any scheme defined over a PD-scheme S on which p is nilpotent. We apply this to the PD-scheme $S = \text{Spec } W_n(R)$ with PD-ideal $VW_{n-1}(R)$ and consider X as an S -scheme via $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } R \rightarrow S$. Then, by definition, $H_{\text{cris}}^i(X/W(R)) = \varprojlim_n^i H_{\text{cris}}^i(X/W_n(R))$.

DEFINITION 3.5 (Compare [B-E-K1], Definition 8.3).

- (1) One says that $c(\xi)$ is *Hodge with respect to the lifting X_{\bullet}* if and only if $c(\xi)$ lies in the image of $H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, \Omega_{X_{\bullet}}^{\geq r})$ in $H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, \mathcal{F}^n \Omega_{X_{\bullet}/W_{\bullet}(R)}^{\bullet}) = H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, N^r W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X/R}^{\bullet})$.
- (2) One says that $c_{\text{cris}}(\xi)$ is *Hodge modulo torsion with respect to the lifting X_{\bullet}* if and only if $c_{\text{cris}}(\xi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ lies in the image of $H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, \Omega_{X_{\bullet}}^{\geq r}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^{2r}(X/W(R)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Then we have the following

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $X_{\bullet}/\text{Spec } W_{\bullet}(R)$ as before, let $\xi \in H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r))$ and $r < p$. Then*

- (1) $c(\xi)$ is *Hodge with respect to the lifting X_{\bullet}* $\iff \xi$ lies in the image of ∂ in 3.2.
- (2) $c_{\text{cris}}(\xi)$ is *Hodge modulo torsion with respect to the lifting X_{\bullet}* $\iff \xi \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ lies in the image of $\partial \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. We claim that the canonical map

$$H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, N^r W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X/R}^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, W_{\bullet}\Omega_{X/R}^{\bullet})$$

induced by the map “1” (see Theorem 1.9) has kernel and cokernel killed by a power of p : Indeed, this map can be identified, via Theorem 1.2, with the map

$$H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_{\bullet}/W_{\bullet}(R)}^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, \Omega_{X_{\bullet}/W_{\bullet}(R)}^{\bullet})$$

which is induced by the corresponding map of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 I_R \otimes_{W_\bullet(R)} \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} & \xrightarrow{pd} & I_R \otimes_{W_\bullet(R)} \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^1 & \xrightarrow{pd} \cdots \xrightarrow{pd} & I_R \otimes_{W_\bullet(R)} \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^r & \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \\
 \cdot p^{r-1} \downarrow & & \cdot p^{r-2} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^1 & \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^r & \xrightarrow{d} \cdots
 \end{array}$$

The kernel of this map of complexes is a complex of sheaves annihilated by p^{r-1} , hence its hypercohomology is killed by a power of p . The cokernel is a complex of sheaves that admits a filtration in a way that the successive quotients are complexes with entries of the form $\Omega_{X/R}^j$ or $I_R/pI_R\Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^j$. The cohomology of these sheaves is killed by a power of p since p is nilpotent on R . Hence the hypercohomology of the cokernel is killed by a power of p and therefore the map

$$H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(N^r W_\bullet \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^{2r}(X/W(R)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is an isomorphism. Then the first part (1) implies the second part (2). The exact sequence 3.2 can be extended to a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Ch}_{\text{cont}}^r(X_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_{X(r)}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ob}} & H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{\leq r}) \\
 \downarrow c & & \downarrow c & & \downarrow = \\
 H^{2r}(\Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{\geq r}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W_\bullet(R)}^{\leq r})
 \end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

where we have used again the isomorphisms 3.4. By Theorem 1.17. the right hand square commutes. Then the Theorem easily follows. \square

REMARK 3.8.

- (i) We do not need for the proof that the left vertical arrow is well-defined.
- (ii) If the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence of the ind-scheme X_\bullet degenerates, then the map

$$H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(X, \Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\geq r}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{cont}}^{2r}(\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X_\bullet/W(R)}^\bullet)$$

is injective and hence the left vertical arrow is also well-defined.

- (iii) For $r = 1$ we are really dealing with Picard groups. As $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(1) = \mathbb{G}_{m/X_\bullet}[-1]$ we have $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(1)) = \text{Pic}(X_\bullet)$. The system $\{H^0(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, X_n})\}_n (= \{W_n(R)^*\}_n$ if X is connected) is obviously Mittag-Leffler, hence $\varprojlim_n^1 H^0(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, X_n})$ vanishes and we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Ch}_{\text{cont}}^1(X_\bullet) = H_{\text{cont}}^1(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, X_\bullet}) \cong \varprojlim_n \text{Pic}(X_n)$$

DEFINITION AND COROLLARY 3.9. *Let $r < p$. Let $X = Y_m$, Y_\bullet a formal smooth projective scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}W(k)$. Let $\xi \in H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r))$. We say that its refined relative crystalline cycle class $c(\xi)$ is “Hodge” if there exists a smooth, projective lifting X_\bullet of X as ind-scheme over the ind-scheme $\mathrm{Spec} W_\bullet(W_m(k))$, compatible with Y_\bullet , and such that $c(\xi)$ is “Hodge” with respect to X_\bullet . Assume $c(\xi)$ is “Hodge”, then ξ deforms to a class on the formal scheme Y_\bullet , that is it lies in the image of the map*

$$\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{cont}}^r(Y_\bullet) \longrightarrow \lim_{\longleftarrow n} H^{2r}(Y_n, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_n}(r)) \longrightarrow H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r)).$$

Proof. By general homological algebra the first arrow is surjective (as stated in [B-E-K1], p697). For any smooth lifting X_\bullet of $X = Y_m$ over $\mathrm{Spec} W_\bullet(W_m(k))$ compatible with the formal scheme Y_\bullet under the base change $W_m(k) \rightarrow k$ there is a base change map of motivic complexes $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{Y_\bullet}(r)$ inducing $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{cont}}^r(X_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{cont}}^r(Y_\bullet)$ through which the map

$$\delta : \mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{cont}}^r(X_\bullet) \longrightarrow H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r))$$

factors. The Corollary follows from this and Theorem 3.6. □

Remark. Note that $H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = H^{2r}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, hence we do not get any new information with regard to lifting vector bundles (compare [B-E-K1], Theorem 1.3). The implication in Corollary 3.9, i.e. the lifting property of ξ does not depend on the choice of X_\bullet , for which $c(\xi)$ is Hodge.

For an algebraic scheme Z , it is reasonable to define the cohomological Chow group as

$$\mathrm{Ch}^p(Z) := H^p(Z, \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathrm{Mil}}).$$

The graded object $\mathrm{Ch}^*(Z)$ then has a ring structure due to the natural product structure of Milnor K-groups, it is contravariant in Z and coincides with the usual Chow group of codimension p -cycles modulo rational equivalence if Z is regular excellent over an infinite field (see [Ke]). Applying this to $X = Y_m/W_m(k)$ we define

$$\mathrm{Ch}^r(X) := H^r(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,r}^{\mathrm{Mil}}). \tag{3.10}$$

The canonical map $\mathbb{Z}_X(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X,r}^{\mathrm{Mil}}[-r]$ defines a homomorphism.

$$\pi_r : H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r)) \longrightarrow H^r(X, \mathcal{K}_r^{\mathrm{Mil}}) = \mathrm{Ch}^r(X)$$

that we already used in the construction of the crystalline cycle class. We want to give a criterion when this map is surjective or bijective.

With our definition of $\mathbb{Z}_X(r)$ it is easy to see that the fundamental motivic triangle for $\mathbb{Z}_{Y_\bullet}(r)$ holds for $\mathbb{Z}_X(r)$ as well: there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{\leq r}[-1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_X(r) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.11}$$

It induces the following commutative diagram, by taking hypercohomology of 3.11 and applying [B-E-K1], Theorem 12.3 to get the lower exact sequence in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 H^{2r-1}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r)) & \rightarrow & H^{2r-1}(X, \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{<r}) & \rightarrow & H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r)) & \rightarrow & H^{2r}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r)) & \rightarrow & H^{2r}(X, \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{<r}) \\
 \downarrow \cong & & & & & & & & \downarrow \beta \\
 \mathrm{Ch}^r(Y_1, 1) & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \pi_r & & \cong \downarrow \sigma & & \\
 \downarrow \cong & & & & & & & & \\
 H^{r-1}(Y_1, \mathcal{K}_{Y_1,r}^{\mathrm{Mil}}) & \rightarrow & H^r(X, \frac{p\Omega_X^{r-1}}{p^2 d\Omega_X^{r-2}}) & \rightarrow & H^r(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,r}^{\mathrm{Mil}}) & \rightarrow & H^r(Y_1, \mathcal{K}_{Y_1,r}^{\mathrm{Mil}}) & \rightarrow & H^{r+1}(X, \frac{p\Omega_X^{r-1}}{p^2 d\Omega_X^{r-2}})
 \end{array} \tag{3.12}$$

The maps α, β are induced by

$$\mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{<r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{r-1} \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{<r} = \frac{p\Omega_X^{r-1}}{p^2 d\Omega_X^{r-2}}.$$

The isomorphism σ is a standard map (compare [B-E-K1] 7.3). The first isomorphism in the left vertical arrow is shown in [M-V-W], Theorem 19.1, the second is explained in [M], Corollary 5.2 (b).

Let

$$\tau_{\leq r-2} \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{<r} : p\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{pd} p\Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{pd} \dots \xrightarrow{pd} p\Omega_X^{r-3} \xrightarrow{pd} \mathrm{Ker}pd(\subset p\Omega_X^{r-2}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The diagram shows that if $H^{2r}(\tau_{\leq r-2} \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{<r}) = 0$ then π_r is surjective. As the cohomology of each term in the complex $\tau_{\leq r-2} \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{<r}$ vanishes in degrees $> d$ we see that $H^{2r}(\tau_{\leq r-2} \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{<r}) = 0$ for $r > \dim X - 2$ and $H^j(\tau_{\leq r-2} \mathcal{F}^r \Omega_{X/W_m(k)}^{<r}) = 0$ for $j = 2r, 2r - 1$ holds for $r = d = \dim X$. In this case π_d is bijective (compare diagram 3.12) Hence we have shown

LEMMA 3.13. *Let $d = \dim X / \mathrm{Spec} W_m(k)$. Then*

$$\pi_{d-1} : H^{2(d-1)}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(d-1)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}^{d-1}(X)$$

is surjective and

$$\pi_d : H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(d)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ch}^d(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

In both cases one can give a Hodge-theoretic criterion, following 3.9, for lifting an element $z \in \mathrm{Ch}^?(X)$ ($? = d, d - 1$) to an element in the continuous Chow group $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{cont}}^?(Y_\bullet)$ by considering its (refined) crystalline cycle class in the cohomology of the relative de Rham-Witt complex. The precise formulation is clear and omitted here. Moreover, Theorem 0.1 (i) and (ii) follows from Corollary 3.9 and the above definitions.

REFERENCES

[B-M-S] B. Bhatt, M. Morrow, P. Scholze, Integral p -adic Hodge theory, preprint 2016, [arXiv:1602.03148](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03148) [mathAG].

- [B-E-K1] S. Bloch, H. Esnault, M. Kerz, p -adic deformation of algebraic cycle classes, *Inventiones Math.* 195 (2014) pp. 673–722.
- [B-E-K2] S. Bloch, H. Esnault, M. Kerz, Deformation of algebraic cycle classes in characteristic zero, *Algebraic Geometry* 13 (2014) pp. 290–310.
- [B-O] P. Berthelot and A. Ogus, *Notes on crystalline cohomology*. Princeton University Press (1978).
- [D-L-Z] C. Davis, A. Langer, T. Zink, Overconvergent de Rham-Witt Cohomology, *Annals. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup.* 44, no. 2 (2011) pp. 197–262.
- [F-M] J.-M. Fontaine, W. Messing, p -adic periods and p -adic étale cohomology. *Contemporary Maths.* vol. 87 (1987) pp. 179–207.
- [EGA1] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique: I. Le langage des schémas*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 4 (1960).
- [G-L] O. Gregory and A. Langer, Higher displays arising from filtered de Rham-Witt complexes, preprint 2018, [arXiv:1711.09940](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.09940) [math.AG].
- [Gr] M. Gros, Classes de Chern et classes de cycles en cohomologie de Hodge-Witt logarithmique. *Mém. Soc. Math. France* 21 (1985) pp. 1–87.
- [Il] L. Illusie, Complexe de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline, *Annales ENS* (4), 12, pp. 501–661 (1979).
- [K1] K. Kato, Galois cohomology of complete discrete valuation fields, In: *Algebraic K-theory, Part II*, Oberwolfach 1980. LN vol. 967, pp. 215–238.
- [K2] K. Kato, On p -adic vanishing cycles (Application of ideas of Fontaine-Messing), in: *Algebraic Geometry Sendai 1985*, *Adv. Studies Pure Maths.* 10 (1987), pp. 207–251.
- [Ke] M. Kerz, The Gersten Conjecture for Milnor K-theory, *Inventiones Math.* 175 (2009) pp. 1–33.
- [L-Z1] A. Langer and Th. Zink, De Rham-Witt cohomology for a proper and smooth morphism, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 3 (2) (2004), pp. 231–314.
- [L-Z2] A. Langer and Th. Zink, De Rham-Witt cohomology and displays, *Documenta Mathematica* 12 (2007), pp. 147–191.
- [M1] M. Morrow, A variational Tate Conjecture in crystalline cohomology, preprint 2014, [arXiv:1408.6783](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.6783).
- [M2] M. Morrow, K-theory and logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaves of formal schemes in characteristic p , preprint 2015, [arXiv:1512.04703](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.04703).

- [M] S. Müller-Stach, Algebraic cycle complexes: Basic properties, In: Proceedings of the Banff Conference in Arithmetic and Geometry of Algebraic Cycles (2000), pp. 285–305.
- [M-V-W] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, C. Weibel, Lecture notes on motivic cohomology, Clay Math. Monographs 2 (2006).
- [S-V] A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky, Bloch-Kato Conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients, in: The Arithmetic and Geometry of Algebraic Cycles (2000), pp. 117-189.

Andreas Langer
Mathematics
University of Exeter
Exeter EX4 4QF
United Kingdom
A.Langer@exeter.ac.uk