A CHARACTERIZATION OF PERMUTATION MODULES EXTENDING A THEOREM OF WEISS

JOHN W. MACQUARRIE AND PAVEL A. ZALESSKII

Received: December 18, 2019 Revised: August 16, 2020

Communicated by Julia Pevtsova

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite p-group with normal subgroup N. A celebrated theorem of A. Weiss gives a sufficient condition for a \mathbb{Z}_pG -lattice to be a permutation module, looking only at its restriction to N and its N-fixed points. In case N has order p, we extend the condition of Weiss to a characterization.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20C11 Keywords and Phrases: Permutation modules, finite *p*-groups

1 INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article let G be a finite p-group. Let A denote either the field \mathbb{F}_p or the p-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p . Let U be an AG-module and N a normal subgroup of G. Denote by $U \downarrow_N$ the restricted AN-module, namely U with coefficients restricted to AN. Denote by U^N (resp. U_N) the N-invariants (resp. N-coinvariants) of U – that is, the largest AG submodule (resp. AG quotient module) of U on which N acts trivially. Both U^N and U_N are thus A[G/N]-modules.

An AG-permutation module is an AG-lattice (that is, a finitely generated AGmodule that is free as an A-module) having an A-basis preserved set-wise by the action of G. Permutation modules are extremely well-behaved, and act as a fundamental starting point when trying to understand more general modules for G. However, given a lattice U, it is a surprisingly difficult task to identify whether or not U is a permutation module. The most remarkable detection theorem for \mathbb{Z}_pG -permutation modules is due to A. Weiss. In certain circumstances, it identifies a lattice U as being a permutation module by looking only at modules for strictly smaller subgroups:

THEOREM 1 ([9, Theorem 2]). Let U be a \mathbb{Z}_pG -lattice and suppose there is a normal subgroup N of G for which

• $U \downarrow_N$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -module and

1160

• U^N is a permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/N]$ -module.

Then U itself is a permutation \mathbb{Z}_pG -module.

Weiss' Theorem has important applications to group rings and block theory, and is considered nowadays to be a fundamental theorem of integral representation theory. However, it is not a characterization of permutation modules, because a permutation module need not be free over N. Of course, a permutation \mathbb{Z}_pG -module is necessarily a permutation \mathbb{Z}_pN -module (a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis preserved by G is preserved by N), but there are lattices U for which both the restricted \mathbb{Z}_pN -lattice $U \downarrow_N$ and the lattice U^N of N-invariants are permutation modules, but which are not themselves permutation modules (see Section 4). Our main theorem gives a characterization of permutation \mathbb{Z}_pG -modules in terms of modules for a group of order strictly less than |G|:

THEOREM 2. Let U be a \mathbb{Z}_pG -lattice and let N be a normal subgroup of G of order p. Then U is a permutation module if, and only if

- 1. U^N and U_N are permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/N]$ -modules and
- 2. $(U/U^N)_N$ is a permutation $\mathbb{F}_p[G/N]$ -module.

A characterization of this type has until now only been obtained in case G is cyclic [8, Proposition 6.12].

In Section 2 we give the definitions and preliminary observations required for the discussion, in Section 3 we prove Theorem 2, and in Section 4 we provide some illustrative examples.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the anonymous referee. The first author was partially supported by a FAPEMIG PPM Grant. The second author wishes to acknowledge the financial support of CNPq and FAPDF.

2 Preliminaries

Notations introduced here will be used throughout our discussion. In what follows, G is always a finite p-group and N a normal subgroup of G. If ever U is a \mathbb{Z}_pG -lattice, we denote by \overline{U} the \mathbb{F}_pG -module U/pU. We will at times write \overline{X} when X is a submodule of U – this notation is unambiguous because our submodules will always be \mathbb{Z}_p -direct summands of U, so the two possible interpretations, X/pX or (X + pU)/pU, will coincide.

LEMMA 3. Let U be a \mathbb{Z}_pG -lattice such that \overline{U} is free as an \mathbb{F}_pG -module. Then U is free.

Proof. Let X be an \mathbb{F}_pG -basis of \overline{U} and let F be the free \mathbb{Z}_pG -module with basis X. The natural map $F \to \overline{U}$ lifts to a homomorphism $\gamma: F \to U$, which is an isomorphism modulo p. By Nakayama's lemma [1, Lemma 1.2.3] γ is surjective, and is thus an isomorphism because U is a lattice.

LEMMA 4. Let U be a permutation \mathbb{Z}_pG -module and let $\overline{U} = X' \oplus Y'$ be an \mathbb{F}_pG -module decomposition. There is a \mathbb{Z}_pG -module decomposition $U = X \oplus Y$ with $\overline{X} = X'$ and $\overline{Y} = Y'$.

Proof. Let $a', b' \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_pG}(\overline{U})$ be the projections onto X' and Y', respectively. As U is a permutation module, the natural map $\operatorname{End}(U) \to \operatorname{End}(\overline{U})$ is surjective by [1, Corollary 3.11.4], so that

$$\operatorname{End}(\overline{U}) = \overline{\operatorname{End}(U)}.$$

By [1, Theorem 1.9.4] there is thus a decomposition into orthogonal idempotents $\mathrm{id}_U = a + b$ such that $\overline{a} = a', \overline{b} = b'$. Accordingly, U is a direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}_p G$ -modules $X \oplus Y$ with $\overline{X} = X', \overline{Y} = Y'$.

LEMMA 5. Let $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of \mathbb{Z}_pG -lattices. If A and C are permutation modules then the sequence splits.

Proof. This is a special case of [6, Corollary 6.8]. For the convenience of the reader we sketch a proof, following the argument of [5, Lemma 4.1]. As A, C are permutation modules, using the additivity of Ext, the Mackey decomposition and Shapiro's Lemma, we see that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_p G}^1(C, A)$ is a direct sum of modules of the form

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}K}(\mathbb{Z}_{p},\mathbb{Z}_{p}[K/L])\cong H^{1}(L,\mathbb{Z}_{p})$$

for subgroups $L \leq K$ of G. But each $H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, being isomorphic to group homomorphisms $L \to \mathbb{Z}_p$, is 0. Hence $\text{Ext}^1(C, A) = 0$ and the sequence splits.

Let U be a \mathbb{Z}_pG -lattice and N a normal subgroup of G. The $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/N]$ -modules of N-invariants U^N and N-coinvariants U_N are, respectively, the largest submodule and the largest quotient module of U on which N acts trivially. Explicitly

$$U^{N} = \{ u \in U \mid nu = u \ \forall n \in N \}$$
$$U_{N} = U/I_{N}U$$

where I_N , the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p N$, is the kernel of the natural map $\mathbb{Z}_p N \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ sending $\sum_{n \in N} \lambda_n n$ to $\sum \lambda_n$. If N is cyclic with generator n_0 , then I_N is generated as an ideal by $n_0 - 1$. If ever u is an element of U, we denote by \tilde{u} its image in U_N . There is a natural map $\psi : U^N \to U_N$ sending u to \tilde{u} , which is injective (because its composition with the map $\varphi : U_N \to U^N$ sending \tilde{u} to $\sum_{n \in N} nu$ is multiplication by |N|) but not usually surjective.

For the rest of the article we will suppose that $N = \langle n_0 \rangle$ has order p. By [4, Theorem 2.6] there are three isomorphism classes of indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -lattice, being the trivial module \mathbb{Z}_p , the free module $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ and a non-permutation module S of \mathbb{Z}_p -rank p-1, which can be described in any of the following equivalent ways:

$$S = I_N = \mathbb{Z}_p N / (\mathbb{Z}_p N)^N = \mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta),$$

where the latter is the totally ramified extension of \mathbb{Z}_p by a primitive *p*th root of unity ζ , on which n_0 acts as multiplication by ζ . Note that *S* has no non-zero *N*-fixed points. If *V* is an indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -lattice, then V/V^N is 0 if *V* is trivial, and is isomorphic to *S* if *V* is free or *S*. In the latter cases, $(V/V^N)_N$ is a non-zero indecomposable *N*-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -module on which *p* acts as 0, and hence it is \mathbb{F}_p . It follows that for any $\mathbb{Z}_p G$ -lattice *U*, the module $(U/U^N)_N$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p[G/N]$ -module.

LEMMA 6. Let U be a \mathbb{Z}_pG -lattice with $U\downarrow_N$ a permutation module and choose a decomposition $U\downarrow_N = T \oplus F$ with T trivial and F free.

- 1. The $\mathbb{F}_p N$ -submodule \overline{T} of $\overline{U_N}$ does not depend on the choice of decomposition and is G-invariant.
- 2. The \mathbb{F}_pG -modules $\overline{U_N}/\overline{T}$ and $(U/U^N)_N$ are naturally isomorphic.
- Proof. 1. The homomorphism ψ induces an \mathbb{F}_pG -homomorphism $\overline{\psi}: \overline{U^N} \to \overline{U_N}$. The image of $\overline{\psi}$ is an \mathbb{F}_pG -submodule X of $\overline{U_N}$. But on the other hand writing $\overline{U^N} = \overline{T} \oplus \overline{F^N}$ the image of $\overline{\psi}$ is \overline{T} , because \overline{T} in $\overline{U^N}$ goes isomorphically onto \overline{T} in $\overline{U_N}$, while elements of $\overline{F^N}$ have the form $\sum_{n \in N} nf$ for $f \in \overline{F}$, and hence go to 0 in $\overline{U_N}$. Thus $\overline{T} = X$ is unique and G-invariant.
 - 2. The natural surjection of $\mathbb{Z}_p G$ -modules $\rho: U \to U/U^N$ induces a surjection $\overline{\rho_N}: \overline{U_N} \to (U/U^N)_N$. Treating this map as an N-module homomorphism we see that its kernel is exactly $\overline{T}: (U/U^N)_N = (F/F^N)_N$, so \overline{T} clearly goes to 0. Furthermore, $\overline{\rho_N}|_{\overline{F_N}}$ is an isomorphism because the modules $\overline{F_N}$ and $(F/F^N)_N$ have the same dimension (the number of $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -summands of F).

Remark 1. We observe in passing that the conditions of Weiss' Theorem 1 imply the conditions of Theorem 2: if $U \downarrow_N$ is free then $\varphi : U_N \to U^N$ defined before Lemma 6 is an isomorphism so that if U^N is a permutation module then so is U_N , and hence so is $(U/U^N)_N$, being isomorphic by Lemma 6 to $\overline{U_N}/\overline{T} = \overline{U_N}$.

LEMMA 7. Let U be a permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -lattice with maximal trivial summand T. If L' is a complement of \overline{T} in \overline{U}_N , there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -complement F of T in U such that $\overline{F_N} = L'$.

Documenta Mathematica 25 (2020) 1159-1169

1163

Proof. Using Lemma 4 (applied with G = 1) we obtain a decomposition $U_N = T' \oplus L$ with $\overline{T'} = \overline{T}$ and $\overline{L} = L'$.

We claim that L is a complement to T in U_N : the intersection $T \cap L$ is pure because T, L are and \mathbb{Z}_p is torsion free, but is 0 modulo p and hence is 0. Further $T + L = U_N$ by Nakayama's lemma, because $\overline{T + L} = \overline{U_N}$. This proves the claim.

The submodule L of U_N is the image of a map from $(U/T)_N$ and hence we obtain the diagram



Projectivity of U/T yields a $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -module homomorphism $U/T \to U$ whose image is the submodule F we require.

The reader might wonder why, in Theorem 2, we are not required to make any hypotheses at all on $U\downarrow_N$. This is because U_N being a lattice already has strong implications for $U\downarrow_N$:

LEMMA 8. Let N be a cyclic group of order p and V a \mathbb{Z}_pN -lattice. Then V_N is a lattice if, and only if, V is a permutation module.

Proof. This is a simple consequence of the classification and discussion of indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -lattices given before Lemma 6: the *N*-coinvariants of the indecomposable trivial and free $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -modules are \mathbb{Z}_p -lattices of rank 1, whereas $S_N = \mathbb{F}_p$ is not a lattice.

The following theorem generalizes [10, Theorem 2.6]

THEOREM 9. Let U be a \mathbb{Z}_pG -lattice and suppose that U_N is a permutation module. Let T be a maximal trivial summand of $U\downarrow_N$ and suppose that \overline{T} has an \mathbb{F}_pG -complement in $\overline{U_N}$. Then U is a permutation module.

Proof. By Lemma 8, $U \downarrow_N$ is a permutation module. Note that \overline{T} is *G*-invariant in $\overline{U_N}$ by Lemma 6. By Lemma 7 there is a $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -decomposition $U \downarrow_N = F \oplus T$ such that the $\mathbb{Z}_p G$ -complement to \overline{T} in $\overline{U_N}$ given in the statement is $\overline{F_N}$. By Lemma 4 we obtain a decomposition of $\mathbb{Z}_p G$ -modules $U_N = X \oplus Y$, with $\overline{X} = \overline{T}, \overline{Y} = \overline{F_N}$. The natural projection $\gamma : U \to U_N \to X$ now yields a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_p G$ -modules

$$0 \to K \to U \xrightarrow{\gamma} X \to 0,$$

where $K = \text{Ker}(\gamma)$. We have the following commutative diagram, in which the

rows are exact:



The module X, being a summand of the permutation module U_N , is a permutation module by [3, Lemma 0.3]. If we show that K is also a permutation module, then the sequence splits by Lemma 5. For this we use Weiss' Theorem.

• $K \downarrow_N$ is free: We have that $\overline{F} \subseteq \overline{K}$, because the diagram above yields by taking the upper row modulo p the commutative diagram

An element $\overline{f} \in \overline{F} \subseteq \overline{U}$ drops modulo N into $\overline{F}_N = \overline{F}_N$ and hence by exactness of the lower sequence goes to 0 in \overline{T} , as claimed. But both \overline{F} and \overline{K} complement \overline{T} , and hence they are equal. Thus $\overline{K} \downarrow_N$ is free, so by Lemma 3, $K \downarrow_N$ is free.

• K_N is a permutation module: since $K \downarrow_N, X \downarrow_N$ are permutation modules, the sequence splits when restricted to N. Hence applying coinvariants the sequence

$$0 \to K_N \to U_N \xrightarrow{\gamma_N} X \to 0$$

is exact. Thus K_N is isomorphic to the kernel of the split surjection γ_N and is thus a permutation module, being isomorphic to a summand of U_N .

As K, X are permutation modules, the sequence splits by Lemma 5 and so $U \cong K \oplus X$ is also a permutation module, as required.

We have already observed that the $\mathbb{Z}_p G$ -module U/U^N is, as a $\mathbb{Z}_p N$ -module, isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$ with a fixed generator of N acting as ζ . That is, we may treat U/U^N as an RG-lattice, where $R = \mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$. If ever H is a finite p-group, an RH-lattice is said to be *monomial* (or a *generalized permutation* module) if its indecomposable summands are induced from rank 1 lattices for subgroups L of H, whose action from L necessarily comes via a group homomorphism $L \to \langle \zeta \rangle$.

Documenta Mathematica 25 (2020) 1159–1169

1164

LEMMA 10. If $(U/U^N)_N$ is a permutation \mathbb{F}_pG -module, then U/U^N is a monomial RG-module.

Proof. Taking N-coinvariants corresponds to quotienting out by the maximal ideal of R, and hence this result is [9, Theorem 3].

A \mathbb{Z}_pG -module V is projective relative to proper subgroups if, whenever a \mathbb{Z}_pG module homomorphism onto V splits when restricted to any proper subgroup, then it splits. The module V is projective relative to proper subgroups if, and only if, every indecomposable summand of V is a direct summand of a module induced from a proper subgroup of G [2, Lemma 2.2.3]. In particular, a permutation \mathbb{Z}_pG -module is projective relative to proper subgroups if, and only if, it has no trivial summands. For later use we also recall that a \mathbb{Z}_pG -module V is projective relative to the subgroup H if every \mathbb{Z}_pG -module homomorphism onto V that splits over H also splits over G. This is the case if, and only if, V is a direct summand of a \mathbb{Z}_pH -module induced up to G.

LEMMA 11. Suppose that N is contained in the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ of G. If $U \downarrow_N$ and $(U/U^N)_N$ are permutation modules, then $(U/U^N)_N$ is projective relative to proper subgroups of G.

Proof. Being a permutation $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module by hypothesis, we must check that $(U/U^N)_N$ does not possess a trivial summand. By the Krull-Schmidt Theorem and because U/U^N is monomial by Lemma 10, if $(U/U^N)_N$ had a trivial summand then some indecomposable monomial summand of U/U^N would be trivial modulo N. This summand is necessarily of the form $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$, where G acts on ζ via a group homomorphism $\varphi : G \to \langle \zeta \rangle$. The module $U/U^N = F/F^N$ has no N-fixed points, so that N is not in the kernel of φ . But the kernel has index p, and hence $G = N \times \text{Ker}(\varphi)$, contradicting the hypothesis that $N \leq \Phi(G)$.

3 Proof of the main theorem

Proof. (of Theorem 2) To show the forward implication, we may suppose that $U = \mathbb{Z}_p[G/H]$ for some subgroup H of G, in which case U^N and U_N are both isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/HN]$. If N is contained in H then $(U/U^N)_N = 0$ and if not, then $(U/U^N)_N$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p[G/HN]$.

In order to show the reverse implication, We work by induction on |G|. Note that by Lemma 8, $U \downarrow_N$ is a permutation module. The strategy of the proof is to find an \mathbb{F}_pG -complement in $\overline{U_N}$ to the image of a maximal N-trivial summand of U and then apply Theorem 9. There are two cases, which we treat separately. Either $N \leq \Phi(G)$ or $G = N \times H$ for some maximal subgroup H of G.

<u>CASE 1:</u> $N \leq \Phi(G)$.

Fix a subgroup H of index p in G. Then H contains N since N is in the Frattini subgroup. Note that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied for $U \downarrow_H$, so that $U \downarrow_H$ is a permutation module by the induction hypothesis.

So write $U \downarrow_H = T \oplus F$, where the indecomposable summands of T are N-trivial and the indecomposable summands of F are N-free. Lemma 6 tells us that \overline{T} is G-invariant in $\overline{U_N}$ and that the short exact sequence of \mathbb{F}_pG -modules

$$0 \to \overline{T} \to \overline{U_N} \to \overline{U_N} / \overline{T} \to 0$$

does not depend on H. Moreover, the sequence splits over H for any choice of H, and hence over every proper subgroup of G. As $\overline{U_N}/\overline{T} \cong (U/U^N)_N$, the sequence thus splits over G by Lemma 11. The result now follows from Theorem 9.

<u>CASE 2:</u> N is a direct factor of G.

If $G = N \times H$, observe that U/U^N can be treated as a $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)H$ -module with ζ acting as a fixed generator of N. Since $(U/U^N)_N$ is a permutation module, U/U^N is monomial by Lemma 10.

Let $\rho: U \to U/U^N$ be the canonical projection and let A be an indecomposable G-summand of U/U^N . Then either A is projective relative to a proper subgroup containing N, or $A = \mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$. In both cases, treated separately, we will find a G-submodule Z_A of $\overline{U_N}$ mapping isomorphically onto A_N under $\overline{\rho_N}$.

- First suppose that $A = \mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta) \uparrow_{N \times L}^{N \times H}$ for some complement H of N and proper subgroup L of H, and where N acts as ζ on $\mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$. The module $U \downarrow_{N \times L}$ is a permutation module, so write it as a direct sum $T \oplus F$ with T trivial over N and F free over N. As observed in the proof of Part 2 of Lemma 6, $\overline{\rho_N}$ has kernel \overline{T} and it splits as an $\mathbb{F}_p[N \times L]$ -module homomorphism because $U \downarrow_{N \times L}$ is a permutation module. As A_N is a summand of $(U/U^N)_N$, the induced map $\overline{U_N} \to A_N$ also splits over $N \times L$ and so, since A_N is projective relative to $N \times L$, it also splits over G. Define Z_A to be the image of this splitting.
- $A = \mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$. Let Y be the inverse image of A under the G-homomorphism $\rho : U \to U/U^N$. We claim that $Y \downarrow_N$ is a permutation module. If $U \downarrow_N = T \oplus F$ with T trivial and F free, then $\rho|_F : F \to U/U^N$ is surjective, and so there is $z \in Y \cap F$ generating an N-free summand of Y and such that $\rho(z)$ generates A. Now, $Y = \langle z \rangle + U^N$ because $Y/U^N \cong A$. Choose any \mathbb{Z}_p -complement T_Y of $\langle z \rangle$ (in Y) that is contained inside U^N . The direct sum $Y = \langle z \rangle \oplus T_Y$ is a direct sum of N-modules, the first being N-free and the second being N-trivial. So $Y \downarrow_N$ is a permutation module.

The action of G on A is given by a group homomorphism $G \to \langle \zeta \rangle$, whose kernel, a subgroup S of index p complementing N, acts trivially on A. It follows that the first and third terms in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to U^N \downarrow_S \to Y \downarrow_S \xrightarrow{\rho} A \downarrow_S \to 0$$

are permutation modules, so the sequence splits via $\alpha : A \downarrow_S \to Y \downarrow_S$. If A is generated by a as a G-module, consider $y = \alpha(a)$. The G-module

generated by y is equal to the N-module generated by y, because S acts trivially on y. The module $Z = \langle y \rangle$ is not N-trivial, because N doesn't act trivially on $\rho(y) = a$. Also $Z \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_p(\zeta)$ because if it were, as Y is a permutation module, Z would be in the kernel of the map $Y \to Y_N$. But $A_N \neq 0$ and so

$$0 \neq \widetilde{a} = \rho_N(\alpha_N(\widetilde{a})) = \rho_N(\widetilde{y}),$$

showing that $\tilde{y} \neq 0$ in Y_N . Hence Z is N-free and we take $Z_A = \overline{Z_N}$.

So, for each indecomposable summand A in a decomposition of U/U^N we have found an \mathbb{F}_pG -submodule Z_A of $\overline{U_N}$ mapping isomorphically onto A. We claim that

$$\overline{U_N} = \overline{T} \oplus \bigoplus_A Z_A.$$

One easily checks that $W := \sum Z_A = \bigoplus Z_A$ (because each $\overline{\rho_N} : Z_A \to A_N$ is injective) and that $W \cap \overline{T} = 0$ (because the kernel of $\overline{\rho_N}$ is \overline{T}), so we need only check that $\overline{T} + W = \overline{U_N}$. But this follows because we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \overline{T} \to \overline{U_N} \to (U/U^N)_N \to 0$$

and, since $\overline{T} \cap W = 0$ and $W \cong (U/U^N)_N$, we have

$$\dim(\overline{U_N}) = \dim(\overline{T}) + \dim((U/U^N)_N) = \dim(\overline{T}) + \dim(W).$$

Thus $\overline{T} + W = \overline{U_N}$. The result now follows by Theorem 9.

For certain groups we may remove hypotheses.

COROLLARY 12. Let G be a generalized quaternion group (cf. [7, §5.3] for example) and let N be a normal subgroup of order 2. The \mathbb{Z}_2G -lattice U is a permutation module if, and only if

- 1. U_N is a permutation $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/N]$ -module and
- 2. $(U/U^N)_N$ is a permutation $\mathbb{F}_p[G/N]$ -module.

Proof. For such a group, N is contained in $\Phi(H)$ for every non-trivial subgroup H of G. It follows that we only ever use Case 1 of the proof of Theorem 2, which does not require that U^N be a permutation module.

4 Examples

If G is a cyclic p-group and N a subgroup of G, then [8, Proposition 6.12] shows that a \mathbb{Z}_pG -lattice is a permutation module if, and only if, $U \downarrow_N$ and U^N are permutation modules for \mathbb{Z}_pN and $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/N]$ respectively. However, the following examples show that in general we cannot remove either the hypothesis that U^N is a permutation module, nor that U_N is a permutation module, from Theorem 2.

Example 1. Let $G = C_2 \times C_2 = \langle n \rangle \times \langle h \rangle$ and let $N = \langle n \rangle$. Consider the \mathbb{Z}_2G -lattice U with \mathbb{Z}_2 -basis a, b, x and action

$$na = b, nb = a, nx = x,$$
$$= -a, hb = -b, hx = x + a + b.$$

ha

Then $U \downarrow_N$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 N \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ and U^N is free as a $\mathbb{Z}_2[G/N]$ -module. The module U/U^N has rank 1 with n acting as multiplication by -1, so $(U/U^N)_N = \mathbb{F}_2$ is also a permutation module. But U itself is not a permutation module, as can be seen by observing that U^G has \mathbb{Z}_2 -rank 1, which is impossible for a \mathbb{Z}_2G -permutation module of \mathbb{Z}_2 -rank 3. Note that in this example U_N is not a permutation module, being isomorphic as a $\mathbb{Z}_2[G/N]$ -module to the trivial module with basis $\widehat{a} + x$ plus the sign module (wherein h acts as multiplication by -1) with basis \widehat{a} .

Example 2. With notation as in Example 1, let U have the same action from N but multiplication

$$ha = a + x$$
, $hb = b + x$, $hx = -x$.

One checks as above that U_N and $(U/U^N)_N$ are permutation modules, while U itself is not. In this case, U^N is not a permutation module.

References

- D. J. Benson. Representations and cohomology. I. Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, volume 30 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [2] S. Bouc. Tensor induction of relative syzygies. J. Reine Angew. Math., 523:113–171, 2000.
- [3] M. Broué. On Scott modules and p-permutation modules: an approach through the Brauer morphism. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 93(3):401–408, 1985.
- [4] A. Heller and I. Reiner. Representations of cyclic groups in rings of integers. I. Ann. of Math. (2), 76:73–92, 1962.
- [5] I. Lima and P. Zalesskii. Virtually free groups and integral representations. *Journal of Algebra*, 500:303–315, 2018.
- [6] J. W. MacQuarrie, P. Symonds, and P. A. Zalesskii. Infinitely generated pseudocompact modules for finite groups and Weiss' Theorem. Advances in Mathematics, 361:106925, 2020.

- [7] D. J. S. Robinson. A course in the theory of groups, volume 80 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1996.
- [8] B. Torrecillas and T. Weigel. Lattices and cohomological Mackey functors for finite cyclic *p*-groups. *Advances in Mathematics*, 244:533–569, 2013.
- [9] A. Weiss. Rigidity of p-adic p-torsion. Ann. of Math. (2), 127(2):317–332, 1988.
- [10] P. A. Zalesskii. Infinitely generated virtually free pro-p groups and p-adic representations. J. Topol., 12(1):79–93, 2019.

John W. MacQuarrie Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais Brazil john@mat.ufmg.br Pavel A. Zalesskii Universidade de Brasília Brazil pz@mat.unb.br

1170