

Ergodic Properties of the Equilibrium Process Associated with Infinitely Many Markovian Particles

By

Tokuzo SHIGA* and Yoichiro TAKAHASHI**

§0. Introduction

Consider a system of independent identically distributed Markov processes which have an invariant measure λ . It is known that if each process starts from each point of a λ -Poisson point process at time zero, these particles are λ -Poisson distributed at every later time $t > 0$ [1].

In the present paper we are concerned with *the ergodic properties of the stationary processes obtained from such a system of particles, which is called the equilibrium process*. Sinai's ideal gas model is a special example of the equilibrium processes [4]. In §1 we will give some preliminaries and the definition of the equilibrium process, and §2 is devoted to the study of *the ergodic properties (metrical transitivity, mixing properties and pure nondeterminism) of the equilibrium processes*. In §3 we will discuss *the Bernoulli property in the strong sense of the shift flow $\{\theta_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}$ defined in §1*. The shift flow induced by the equilibrium process is a factor flow of $\{\theta_t\}$. In §4 we prove a central limit theorem. Finally the authors would like to express their hearty gratitude to Professor H. Tanaka for his valuable advice.

§1. Preliminaries

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \lambda)$ be a σ -finite measure space, and denote by $\mathcal{X}(X)$ a

Communicated by H. Araki, April 24, 1973.

* Department of Mathematics, Nara Women's University, Nara.

** College of General Education, University of Tokyo, Tokyo.

family of all the counting measures on X , i.e. each element of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is an integer-valued measure with a countable set as its support. $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is equipped with a σ -field \mathcal{G} which is generated by $\{\rho \in \mathcal{K}(X) : \rho(A) = n\}$, $n \geq 0, A \in \mathcal{B}_X$. An element ρ of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is represent by $\rho = \sum_i \delta_{x_i}$; where $\delta_x(A) = 1$ if $x \in A$ and $\delta_x(A) = 0$ if $x \notin A$.

Definition 1.1. Let Π_λ be a probability measure on $(\mathcal{K}(X), \mathcal{G})$. Π_λ is λ -Poisson point process if it satisfies the following conditions;

(1.1) for any disjoint system A_1, \dots, A_n of \mathcal{B}_X such that $\lambda(A_i) < +\infty, i=1, \dots, n$ $\rho(A_1), \dots, \rho(A_n)$ are independent random variables on $(\mathcal{K}(X), \mathcal{G}, \Pi_\lambda)$ and $\Pi_\lambda\{\rho; \rho(A_i) = n\} = \frac{[\lambda(A_i)]^n}{n!} \exp[-\lambda(A_i)], i=1, \dots, n$.

Here we summarize some elementary facts on λ -Poisson point process.

Lemma 1.2.

- (a) For any σ -finite measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \lambda)$ there exists a λ -Poisson point process.
 (b) A probability measure Π_λ on $(\mathcal{K}(X), \mathcal{G})$ is a λ -Poisson point process if and only if

(1.2) $\int e^{-\langle \varphi, \rho \rangle} \Pi_\lambda(d\rho) = e^{-\langle 1 - e^{-\varphi}, \lambda \rangle}$ for every non-negative measurable function φ on (X, \mathcal{B}_X) ,

and moreover (1.2) is equivalent to the following condition;

(1.3) $\int e^{i\langle \varphi, \rho \rangle} \Pi_\lambda(d\rho) = e^{-\langle 1 - e^{i\varphi}, \lambda \rangle}$ for every λ -integrable function φ .

For each A of \mathcal{B}_X , denote by $\mathcal{G}(A)$ the σ -field generated by $\{\rho \in \mathcal{K}(X); \rho(B) = n\}, n \geq 0, B \in \mathcal{B}_X, B \subset A$.

Lemma 1.3.

- (a) If A_1, \dots, A_n are mutually disjoint, $\mathcal{G}(A_1), \dots, \mathcal{G}(A_n)$ are mutually independent σ -fields w.r.t. Π_λ .

1) For a function φ and a measure $\lambda \langle \varphi, \lambda \rangle = \int \varphi(x) \lambda(dx)$.

(b) If $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}_X$ is non-increasing and $\bigcap_n A_n = \phi$, $\{\mathcal{G}(A_n)\}$ is also non-increasing and $\bigcap_n \mathcal{G}(A_n) = \{\phi, \mathcal{K}(X)\} \pmod{\Pi_\lambda}$.

Next, we define the equilibrium processes associated with Markovian particles.

Let X be a locally compact separable Hausdorff space and \mathcal{B}_X be the topological Borel field of X . Denote by \mathcal{W} the path space of X , that is, each element of \mathcal{W} is a X -valued right continuous function with left limit defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$, and define the shift operators $\{\theta_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}$ of \mathcal{W} as usual; $(\theta_t f)_s = f_{t+s}$ for each f of \mathcal{W} .

Put $S = \mathcal{K}(X)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{W})$. Denote by $\{\Theta_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}$ the shift operators on \mathcal{Q} induced by the shift operators $\{\theta_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}$ on \mathcal{W} , i.e.

$$(1.4) \quad \Theta_t \omega = \sum_i \delta_{\theta_t f^i} \quad \text{if} \quad \omega = \sum_i \delta_{f^i}.$$

Define S -valued process $\{\xi_t(\omega)\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}$ on \mathcal{Q} as follows;

$$(1.5) \quad \xi_t(\omega) = \sum_i \delta_{f_t^i} \quad \text{if} \quad \omega = \sum_i \delta_{f^i}.$$

Then $\xi_t(\omega)$ is right continuous in t in a natural topology.

In our situation a motion of one particle is given as a Markov process on X and denote by $\{P_t(x, dy)\}$ its transition probabilities.

Assumption.

$\{P_t(x, dy)\}$ is a conservative Feller Markov process and have a Radon invariant measure λ , that is, $\{P_t(x, dy)\}$ induces a semi-group of contraction operators $\{T_t\}$ on $C_\infty(X)$, and $\int T_t f(x) \lambda(dx) = \int f(x) \lambda(dx)$ for every f of $C_0(X)$.²⁾

Under this assumption $\{T_t\}$ is, also, a semi-group of contraction operators on $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \lambda)$.

Lemma 1.4. *There is only one σ -finite measure Q on $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{W}})$ ³⁾ such that*

2) $C_\infty(X)$ is the family of all the continuous functions vanishing at infinity, and $C_0(X)$ is the family of all the continuous functions with compact supports.
 3) $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the σ -algebra generated by all the cylindrical subsets of \mathcal{W} .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.6) \quad & \text{for } -\infty < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < +\infty \text{ and } \{A_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \\
 & Q[f; f_{t_1} \in A_1, f_{t_2} \in A_2, \dots, f_{t_n} \in A_n] \\
 & = \int_{A_1} \lambda(dx_1) \int_{A_2} P_{t_2-t_1}(x_1, dx_2) \dots \int_{A_n} P_{t_n-t_{n-1}}(x_{n-1}, dx_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular Q is $\{\theta_t\}$ -invariant.

Denote by \mathbb{B} the σ -field generated by $\{\omega \in \Omega; \omega(A) = n\}$, $n \geq 0$, $A \in \mathcal{B}_X$ and put $\mathbb{P} = \Pi_Q$ (Q -Poisson point process). We consider $(\Omega, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P})$ as our basic probability space.

Proposition 1.5. $\{\Omega, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P}; \{\xi_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}\}$ is a right-continuous Markov stationary process with Π_λ as its absolute law.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the following formula;

$$(1.7) \quad \text{for } -\infty < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < \infty \text{ and } \{\varphi_i\} \geq 0 \text{ measurable functions on } X$$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\langle \varphi_1, \xi_{t_1} \rangle} \dots e^{-\langle \varphi_n, \xi_{t_n} \rangle}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\langle \varphi_1, \xi_{t_1} \rangle} \dots e^{-\langle \varphi_{n-1}, \xi_{t_{n-1}} \rangle} e^{-\langle \log T_{t_n-t_{n-1}} e^{-\varphi_n}, \xi_{t_{n-1}} \rangle}]. \quad 4)$$

Put $\theta(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(f_{t_i})$.

The left-hand side of (1.7) $= \mathbb{E}[e^{-\langle \theta, \omega \rangle}] = \exp - \langle 1 - e^{-\theta}, Q \rangle$

$$= \exp - \int \lambda(dx_1) \int P_{t_2-t_1}(x_1, dx_2) \dots \int P_{t_n-t_{n-1}}(x_{n-1}, dx_n) [1 - e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x_i)}]$$

$$= \exp - \int \lambda(dx_1) \int P_{t_2-t_1}(x_1, dx_2) \dots$$

$$\dots \int P_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}}(x_{n-2}, dx_{n-1}) [1 - e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varphi_i(x_i)} T_{t_n-t_{n-1}} e^{-\varphi_n}(x_{n-1})]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[e^{-\langle \varphi_1, \xi_{t_1} \rangle} \dots e^{-\langle \varphi_{n-1} - \log T_{t_n-t_{n-1}} e^{-\varphi_n}, \xi_{t_{n-1}} \rangle}]$$

= the right-hand side of (1.7).

In particular $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\langle \varphi, \xi_t \rangle}] = \exp - \langle 1 - e^{-\varphi}, \lambda \rangle$.

Definition 1.6. The Markov stationary process $(\Omega, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P}; \{\xi_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty})$

4) \mathbb{E} denotes the expectation by \mathbb{P} .

is called the equilibrium process associated with $[\{T_t\}, \lambda]$.

The following calculations are immediate from (1.7).

Proposition 1.7.

- (i) $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\langle \varphi, \xi_t \rangle} | \xi_s] = e^{\langle \log T_{t-s} e^{-\varphi}, \xi_s \rangle}$ for $\forall \varphi \geq 0$ and $s < t$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{E}[\langle \varphi, \xi_t \rangle | \xi_s] = \langle T_{t-s} \varphi, \xi_s \rangle$ for $\forall \varphi \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \lambda)$.
- (iii) $\mathbb{E}[\langle \varphi, \xi_t \rangle \langle \psi, \xi_t \rangle | \xi_s] = \langle T_{t-s} \varphi, \xi_s \rangle \langle T_{t-s} \psi, \xi_s \rangle$
 $+ \langle T_{t-s}(\varphi\psi), \xi_s \rangle - \langle T_{t-s} \varphi, T_{t-s} \psi, \xi_s \rangle$
 for $\forall \varphi, \psi \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \lambda) \cap L^1(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \lambda)$.

§2. Ergodic Properties

In this section we discuss the ergodic properties of the equilibrium processes.

Proposition 2.1. *The following (i)~(iii) are equivalent.*

- (i) $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P}; \{\xi_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty})$ is metrically transitive.
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \int_K P_s(x, K) \lambda(dx) ds = 0$ for every compact subset K of X .
- (iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (T_s f, g)_{L^2(\lambda)} ds = 0$ for all f and g of $L^2(X, \lambda)$

Proof. It is easy to show the equivalence of (ii) and (iii). Moreover (i) is equivalent to

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \varphi_i, \xi_{s_i} \rangle} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^m \langle \psi_j, \xi_{r_j} \rangle} \right] du = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \varphi_i, \xi_{s_i} \rangle} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\sum_{j=1}^m \langle \psi_j, \xi_{r_j} \rangle} \right],$$

for any $-\infty < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n < \infty$, $-\infty < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_m$ and any $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$, $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1, \dots, m}$ of $C_0^+(X)$.⁵⁾

However it suffices to prove (2.1) for $m=1$ because of the Markov property of $\{\xi_t\}$. For $s_n < r + u$,

5) $C_0^+(X)$ is the family of non-negative elements of $C_0(X)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}\left[e^{-\sum_1^n \langle \xi_i, \xi_{s_i} \rangle} e^{-\langle \phi, \xi_{r+u} \rangle}\right] \\
&= \exp - \int \lambda(dx) (1 - e^{-\varphi_1} T_{s_2-s_1} e^{-\varphi_2} \cdots e^{-\varphi_n} T_{r+u-s_n} e^{-\phi}) \\
&= \exp - \int \lambda(dx) ((1 - e^{-\varphi_1} T_{s_2-s_1} e^{-\varphi_2} \cdots T_{s_n-s_{n-1}} e^{-\varphi_n}) \\
&\quad + e^{-\varphi_1} T_{s_2-s_1} e^{-\varphi_2} \cdots T_{s_n-s_{n-1}} e^{-\varphi_n} T_{r+u-s_n} (1 - e^{-\phi})) \\
&= \mathbf{E}\left[e^{-\sum_1^n \langle \varphi_i, \xi_{s_i} \rangle}\right] \mathbf{E}\left[e^{-\langle \phi, \xi_r \rangle}\right] \times \\
&\quad \exp \int \lambda(dx) [I - e^{-\varphi_1} T_{s_2-s_1} \cdots T_{s_n-s_{n-1}} e^{-\varphi_n}] T_{r+u-s_n} (1 - e^{-\phi}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbf{E}\left[e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \varphi_i, \xi_{s_i} \rangle} e^{-\langle \phi, \xi_{r+u} \rangle}\right] du = \mathbf{E}\left[e^{-\sum_1^n \langle \varphi_i, \xi_{s_i} \rangle}\right] \mathbf{E}\left[e^{-\langle \phi, \xi_r \rangle}\right]$$

is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \exp \left[\int \lambda(dx) [I - e^{-\varphi_1} T_{s_2-s_1} e^{-\varphi_2} \cdots T_{s_n-s_{n-1}} e^{-\varphi_n}] \times \right. \\ \left. T_u (1 - e^{-\phi}) \right] du = 1$$

or

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left[\int \lambda(dx) [I - e^{-\varphi_1} T_{s_2-s_1} e^{-\varphi_2} \cdots T_{s_n-s_{n-1}} e^{-\varphi_n}] \right. \\ \left. T_u (1 - e^{-\phi}) \right] du = 0.$$

Note $1 - e^{-\varphi_1} T_{s_2-s_1} e^{-\varphi_2} \cdots T_{s_n-s_{n-1}} e^{-\varphi_n} \in L^2(X, \lambda)$ and $(1 - e^{-\phi}) \in L^2(X, \lambda)$.

Hence (iii) implies (i). On the other hand it is obvious (2.4) implies (ii) by putting $n=1$, $\varphi_1 = \phi \in C_0^+(X)$.

Corollary 2.2. *If $\{\xi_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}$ is metrically transitive, then $\lambda(X) = \infty$.*

Proposition 2.3. *The following three statements are equivalent.*

(i) $(\Omega, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}; \{\xi_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty})$ has the mixing property.

- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \lambda(dx) P_t(x, K) = 0$ for every compact subset K of X .
- (iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (T_t f, g)_{L^2(X, \lambda)} = 0$ for all f and g of $L^2(X, \lambda)$.

Since the proof of Proposition 2.3 can be carried out by the similar method as Proposition 2.1, it is omitted.

Next, we consider the pure non-determinism of the equilibrium processes. In general, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \{z_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty})$ be a Markov stationary process on X associated with $\{P_t(x, dy), \mu(dy)\}$, where $P_t(x, dy)$ is a transition probability measure and μ is an invariant probability measure. Then the following criterion for the pure non-determinism is applicable.

Lemma 2.4. $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \{z_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty})$ is purely non-deterministic i.e. $\bigcap_t \mathcal{F}_t(z) = \{\phi, \Omega\} \pmod{P}$ where $\mathcal{F}_t(z)$ is the σ -field generated by $\{z_s; s \leq t\}$, if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int \left[\int P_t(x, dy) f(y) - \int \mu(dy) f(y) \right]^2 \mu(dx) = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall f \in L^2(X, \mu).$$

This lemma can be found in [6].

Proposition 2.5. The following three statements are equivalent.

- (i) $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P; \{\xi_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty})$ is purely non-deterministic.
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \lambda(dx) [P_t(x, K)]^2 = 0$ for every compact subset K of X .
- (iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T_t f\|_{L^2(X, \lambda)} = 0$ for every f of $L^2(X, \lambda)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 (i) is equivalent to

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{E}[e^{-\langle \varphi, \xi_t \rangle} | \xi_0] - \mathbf{E}[e^{-\langle \xi_0, \varphi \rangle}])^2] = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall \varphi \in C_0^+(X).$$

Using Proposition 1.7 and Poisson properties, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{E}[e^{-\langle \varphi, \xi_t \rangle} | \xi_0] - \mathbf{E}[e^{-\langle \xi_0, \varphi \rangle}])^2] = \mathbf{E}[(e^{\langle \log T_t e^{-\varphi}, \xi_0 \rangle} - e^{-\langle 1 - e^{-\varphi}, \lambda \rangle})^2] \\ & = \mathbf{E}[e^{\langle \log(T_t e^{-\varphi})^2, \xi_0 \rangle} - e^{-2\langle 1 - e^{-\varphi}, \lambda \rangle}] = e^{-\langle 1 - (T_t e^{-\varphi})^2, \lambda \rangle} - e^{-2\langle 1 - e^{-\varphi}, \lambda \rangle} \\ & = (e^{\langle T_t(1 - e^{-\varphi})^2, \lambda \rangle} - 1) e^{-2\langle 1 - e^{-\varphi}, \lambda \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we used $\langle T_t(1 - e^{-\varphi}), \lambda \rangle = \langle 1 - e^{-\varphi}, \lambda \rangle$. Therefore

the equivalence of (2.6) and the statement (ii) is obvious. Moreover the equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is trivial.

Proposition 2.6. $(\Omega, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P}; \{\xi_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty})$ is purely non-deterministic if and only if

$E[\xi_t | \xi_0]$ converges to λ vaguely in probability, i.e. for every φ of $C_0(X)$ $E[\langle \varphi, \xi_t \rangle | \xi_0]$ converges to $\langle \varphi, \lambda \rangle$ in probability.

Proof. By Proposition 1.7 and Poisson properties we have

$$E[(E[\langle \varphi, \xi_t \rangle | \xi_0] - \langle \varphi, \lambda \rangle)^2] = \langle (T_t \varphi)^2, \lambda \rangle = \|T_t \varphi\|_{L^2(X, \lambda)}^2.$$

Therefore Proposition 2.6 follows from Proposition 2.5.

Remark 2.7. If $\{T_t\}$ has no finite invariant measure, the corresponding equilibrium process is metrically transitive.

Remark 2.8. If the equilibrium process associated with $[\{T_t\}, \lambda]$ is metrically transitive and $\{T_t\}$ are symmetric on $L^2(X, \lambda)$, then it is purely non-deterministic.

Remark 2.9. The equilibrium process associated with uniform motions on R^n are mixing, but not purely non-deterministic. However the equilibrium processes associated with all the additive processes on R^n except uniform motions are purely non-deterministic.

Remark 2.10. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_x, \{x_t\}_{t \geq 0})$ be a Hunt Markov process corresponding to $\{T_t\}$. If the equilibrium process associated with $[\{T_t\}, \lambda]$ is metrically transitive, for almost all x (w.r.t. λ) and any compact subset K , $P_x[\omega; \tau_{K^c} < +\infty] = 1$, where τ_{K^c} denote the first hitting time for K^c .

§3. The Bernoulli Property of the Shifts Flow

It is easy to see that $\{\Theta_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}$, which is defined by (1.4) in §1, is a flow on the probability space $(\Omega = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}), \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P} = \Pi_Q)$. So, we discuss the Bernoulli property in the strong sense of the flow $\{\Theta_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}$.

Definition 3.1. $(\Omega, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P}; \{\Theta_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty})$ is called Bernoulli flow if it

satisfies the following conditions;

(3.1) There exists a system of σ -subfields $\{\zeta_r^s\}$, $r < s$, of \mathbb{B} which satisfies

- (i) $\theta_t \circ \zeta_r^s = \zeta_{r+t}^{s+t}$ for every $r < s$ and t ,
- (ii) $\zeta_r^t = \zeta_r^s \vee \zeta_s^t$ for $r < s < t$,
- (iii) ζ_r^s and ζ_s^t are mutually independent for $r < s < t$,
- (iv) $\bigvee_{r < s} \zeta_r^s = \mathbb{B}$ (mod. \mathbb{P})

The following lemma is essentially due to H. Tanaka, and is a generalization of the Sinai-Volkoviskii's result on the K -property of the ideal gas model [4].

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that there exists a real measurable function $\tau(f)$ on the σ -finite measure space $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{W}}, Q)$ such that for almost all $f(Q)$

- (a) $-\infty < \tau(f) < +\infty$
- (b) $\tau(f) = t + \tau(\theta_t f)$ for all t of R^1 .⁶⁾

Then, $(\Omega, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P}; \{\theta_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty})$ is a Bernoulli flow.

Proof. May assume every f of \mathcal{W} satisfies the conditions (a), (b). Put $\mathcal{W}_r^s = \{f; -r \geq \tau(f) > -s\}$. Then $\theta_t \mathcal{W}_r^s = \{f; -r-t \geq \tau(f) > -s-t\}$ by the condition (b). Obviously we have

$$(3.2) \quad \theta_t \mathcal{W}_r^s = \mathcal{W}_{r+t}^{s+t}, \quad \bigcup_{r < s} \mathcal{W}_r^s = \mathcal{W}$$

So, we denote by ζ_r^s the σ -subfield $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{W}_r^s)$ which is generated by $\{\omega; \omega(A) = n\}$, $n \geq 0$, $A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{W}}$, $A \subset \mathcal{W}_r^s$. Noting $\theta_t \{\omega; \omega(A) = n\} = \{\omega; \omega(\theta_t A) = n\}$, we can see $\zeta_{r+t}^{s+t} = \theta_t \circ \zeta_r^s = \mathcal{G}(\theta_t \mathcal{W}_r^s)$. Therefore ζ_r^s satisfies the conditions (i)~(iv) in Definition 3.1 by Lemma 1.3.

Proposition 3.3. Suppose that $\{T_t\}$ is transient in following sense; $\int_0^\infty (T_t \varphi, \varphi)_{L^2(X, \lambda)} dt < +\infty$ for every φ of $C_0^+(X)$. Then, $(\Omega, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P}; \{\theta_t\})$ is a Bernoulli flow.

Proof. First, we will show

6) Such a random time $\tau(\omega)$ is called L -time which was introduced by M. Nagasawa [7].

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(f_s) ds < +\infty \text{ for almost all } f \text{ (} Q \text{) for every } \varphi \text{ of } C_0^+(X).$$

For any φ and ψ of $C_0^+(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathscr{W}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(f_s) ds \cdot \psi(f_0) \right] Q(df) &= \int_{\mathscr{W}} \left[\int_0^{\infty} \varphi(f_s) ds \cdot \psi(f_0) \right] Q(df) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathscr{W}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi(f_s) ds \cdot \psi(f_0) \right] Q(df) \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} (T_s \varphi, \psi)_{L^2(X, \lambda)} ds + \int_0^{\infty} (\varphi, T_s \psi)_{L^2(X, \lambda)} ds < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.3) holds.

Next, choose a countable sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ of $C_0^+(X)$ such that $\bigcup_n \{x \in X; \varphi_n(x) > 0\} = X$. Putting $\mathscr{W}_1 = \{f; 0 < \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_1(f_s) ds < +\infty\}$, $\theta_t \mathscr{W}_1 = \mathscr{W}_1$. And define \mathscr{W}_{n+1} by $\{f; 0 < \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_{n+1}(f_s) ds < +\infty\} \setminus \mathscr{W}_n$. Thus we have a sequence of disjoint subsets of \mathscr{W} which are $\{\theta_t\}$ -invariant. So define $\tau(f) = \sup \{t; \int_{-\infty}^t \varphi_n(f_u) du \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_n(f_u) du\}$ if $f \in \mathscr{W}_n$. Then we have $\{f; -\infty < \tau(f) < +\infty\} = \bigcup_n \mathscr{W}_n = \mathscr{W} \pmod{Q}$, and if $f \in \mathscr{W}_n$ $\theta_s f \in \mathscr{W}_n$ and $\tau(\theta_s f) = \sup \{t; \int_{-\infty}^t \varphi_n(f_{u+s}) du \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_n(f_u) du\} = \tau(f) - s$. Therefore $\tau(f)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.2.

Remark 3.4. *The equilibrium process $\{\xi_t\}$ induces a factor flow of $\{\theta_t\}$. Since a Bernoulli flow $\{\theta_t\}$ in the sense of (3.1) is a Bernoulli flow in the weak sense (i.e. the automorphism θ_t is Bernoulli for each $t \neq 0$), the shift flow induced by $\{\xi_t\}$ is also a Bernoulli flow in the weak sense by the theorem of Ornstein. [2]. But, perhaps, it may be a Bernoulli flow in the sense of (3.1).*

Remark 3.5. *In the ideal gas model of Sinai-Volkovskii [4], the path space \mathscr{W} is identified to $R^n \times R^n$ and ζ_r^s in (3.1) is the σ -algebra generated by the functions $\omega \rightsquigarrow \omega(E)$, $E \subset V_r^s$, where $V_r^s = \{f = (q_1, \dots, q_n, v_1, \dots, v_n) \in R^n \times R^n \mid -r \leq \sum_1^n q_i v_i \leq -s\}$. In this case the function $\tau(f)$ in Lemma 3.2 is given by the last exit time of the set V_0^∞ for each $f \in R^n \times R^n$.*

§4. A Central Limit Theorem

Finally we will prove a central limit theorem related to the equilibrium process. Denote by $G\varphi(x) = \int_0^\infty T_t\varphi(x) dt$ if the integral is well-defined.

Proposition 4.1. Consider any function $\varphi \in L^2(X, \lambda)$ which satisfies $(G|\varphi|, |\varphi|)_{L^2(X, \lambda)} < +\infty$, and $(G(|\varphi|G|\varphi|), |\varphi|)_{L^2(X, \lambda)} < +\infty$.

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\omega; \alpha < \frac{\int_0^t \langle \varphi, \xi_s \rangle ds - t \langle \varphi, \lambda \rangle}{\sqrt{2(\varphi, G\varphi)_{L^2(X, \lambda)} \cdot t}} < \beta \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_\alpha^\beta e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \text{ for } \forall \alpha < \forall \beta.$$

Proof. It suffices to show

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp iz \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \left(\int_0^t \langle \varphi, \xi_s \rangle ds - t \langle \varphi, \lambda \rangle \right) \right] = \exp(-z^2(\varphi, G\varphi)_{L^2(X, \lambda)}).$$

$\Phi(f) = \int_0^t \varphi(f_s) ds$ is a function on \mathscr{W} and satisfies $\langle \Phi, \omega \rangle = \int_0^t \langle \varphi, \xi_s(\omega) \rangle ds$.

$\mathbb{E}[\exp iz \int_0^t \langle \varphi, \xi_s \rangle ds] = \mathbb{E}[e^{iz \langle \Phi, \omega \rangle}] = \exp \int_{\mathscr{W}} (e^{iz\Phi(f)} - 1) Q(df)$ by Lemma

1.2. Thus we have

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\exp iz \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \left(\int_0^t \langle \varphi, \xi_s \rangle ds - t \langle \varphi, \lambda \rangle \right) \right] = \exp \int_{\mathscr{W}} (e^{\frac{iz}{\sqrt{t}} \Phi(f)} - 1 - iz\sqrt{t} \langle \varphi, \lambda \rangle) Q(df).$$

Noting $e^{ix} - 1 - ix - \frac{(ix)^2}{2} = O(|x|^3)$,

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\mathscr{W}} (e^{\frac{iz}{\sqrt{t}} \Phi(f)} - 1 - iz\sqrt{t} \langle \varphi, \lambda \rangle) Q(df)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{iz}{\sqrt{t}} \int_{\mathscr{W}} \Phi(f) Q(df) - iz\sqrt{t} \langle \varphi, \lambda \rangle \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathscr{W}} \left(\frac{iz}{\sqrt{t}} \Phi(f) \right)^2 Q(df) \\
&\quad + O \left(\left| \int_{\mathscr{W}} \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{t}} \Phi(f) \right)^3 Q(df) \right| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The first term vanishes because of $\int_{\mathscr{W}} \Phi(f) Q(df) = \int_0^t \left[\int_{\mathscr{W}} \varphi(f_s) Q(df) \right] ds = t \langle \varphi, \lambda \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathscr{W}} \Phi(f)^2 Q(df) &= \int_0^t du \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathscr{W}} \varphi(f_s) \varphi(f_u) Q(df) \\
&= 2 \int_0^t ds \int_0^s du \int_{\mathscr{W}} \varphi(f_s) \varphi(f_u) Q(df) \\
&= 2 \int_0^t ds \int_0^s dv (\varphi, T_v \varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the second term of the right-hand side of (4.3) converges to $-z^2(G\varphi, \varphi)_{L^2(X, \lambda)}$. By the similar calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int \Phi(f)^3 Q(df) \right| &= 6 \left| \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^{s-u} (T_v \varphi T_u \varphi, \varphi)_{L^2(\lambda)} du dv ds \right| \\
&\leq 6t(G(|\varphi|G|\varphi|), |\varphi|)_{L^2(\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the third term converges to zero. Thus, we can complete the proof of Proposition 4.1.

References

- [1] Doob, J.L., *Stochastic processes*, Wiley, New York, (1953).
- [2] Ornstein, D.S., Factors of Bernoulli shifts are Bernoulli shifts, *Adv. in Math.* **5**, (1970), 349-364.
- [3] Port, S., Equilibrium processes, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **124**, (1966), 168-184.
- [4] Sinai Y.G. and Volkoviskii, K.L., Ergodic properties of the ideal gas with infinitely many degrees of freedom, *Funk. Anal. and its Appl.*, No. **3**, (1971), 19-21 (in Russian).
- [5] Spitzer, F., Random processes defined through the interaction of an infinite many particle system, *Lecture Note in Mathematics*, No. **89**, Springer, (1969), 201-223.
- [6] Totoki, H., A class of special flow, *Z. Wahr. und verw. Geb.*, **15** (1970), 157-167.
- [7] Nagasawa, M., The time reversion of Markov processes, *Nagoya Math. Jour.*, **24** (1964), 177-204.