

# Vanishing Theorems for Weakly 1-Complete Manifolds, II

(Dedicated to Professor Jōyō Kanitani for his 80th birthday)

By

Shigeo NAKANO

## §1. Introduction

This note is a continuation of the previous paper [6] of the author. Here we shall prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$ , weakly 1-complete with respect to a plurisubharmonic function  $\Psi$ . If  $B$  is a positive line bundle on  $X$ , then we have*

$$H^q(X, \Omega^p(B))=0 \quad \text{for } p+q>n.$$

We shall also give a differential geometric proof of the following

**Theorem 2.** *If  $X$  is strongly 1-complete, then for any holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$ , we have*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}(E))=0 \quad \text{for } q \geq 1.$$

Since strongly 1-complete manifolds are nothing but Stein manifolds, this is a special case of the famous theorem A for Stein manifolds. Also it is a special case of a theorem of Kazama [3]. We present our proof because it is purely differential geometric.

## §2. Proof of Theorem 1

The idea of the proof is completely similar to that given in [6].

We shall make use of notations in [6], §2, unless we make explicit changes.

What we have to do is the following:

*Given a  $B$ -valued  $(p, q)$ -form  $\varphi$  on  $X$ , find a complete Hermitian metric on  $X$  and metrics along fibres of  $B$ , such that  $B$  is  $W^{p, q}$ -elliptic and  $(\varphi, \varphi) < \infty$ .*

As before, we start with a system of metrics  $\{a_j\}$  along the fibres in the sense of [6] such that, for a local coordinate system  $(z_j^\alpha)$  in  $U_j$ ,

$$(1) \quad (g_{j, \alpha\bar{\beta}}) = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \log a_j}{\partial z_j^\alpha \partial \bar{z}_j^\beta} \right) > 0,$$

and define  $ds^2$  by

$$(2) \quad ds^2 = \sum g_{j, \alpha\bar{\beta}} dz_j^\alpha d\bar{z}_j^\beta.$$

(Here we change  $a_j^{(0)}$ ,  $g_{j, \alpha\bar{\beta}}^{(0)}$  and  $ds_0^2$  in [6] into  $a_j$ ,  $g_{j, \alpha\bar{\beta}}$  and  $ds^2$  respectively. Accordingly we change notations as in the following formulas.) Then we choose a suitable function  $\lambda(t)$  and set

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} A_j = e^{\lambda(\Psi)} a_j, \quad \Gamma_{j, \alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{\partial^2 \log A_j}{\partial z_j^\alpha \partial \bar{z}_j^\beta}, \\ d\sigma^2 = \sum \Gamma_{j, \alpha\bar{\beta}} dz_j^\alpha d\bar{z}_j^\beta, \end{cases}$$

and we assert that the conditions are satisfied by  $\{A_j\}$  and  $d\sigma^2$ .

As for the completeness of  $d\sigma^2$  and  $W^{p, q}$ -ellipticity for  $p+q > n$ , it is all right as in [6]. Let us examine the condition  $(\varphi, \varphi) < \infty$ .

Set

$$(4) \quad u(x) = \sum g_j^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z_j^\alpha} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \bar{z}_j^\beta},$$

where  $(g_j^{\bar{\beta}\alpha})$  denote the inverse of the matrix  $G_j = (g_{j, \alpha\bar{\beta}})$ .  $u(x)$  does not depend on the local coordinate system  $(z_j^\alpha)$  and is a non-negative  $C^\infty$  function of  $x \in X$ . Next we take a matrix function  $T$  on  $U_j$ , such that  $G_j = {}^t T \cdot \bar{T}$ , and consider  ${}^t T^{-1} (\partial^2 \Psi / \partial z_j^\alpha \partial \bar{z}_j^\beta) \bar{T}^{-1}$ . The eigen values  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of this matrix do not depend on local coordinates. We denote by  $v(x)$

the maximum of these eigen values at  $x \in X$ :

$$(5) \quad v(x) = \max(v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

$v(x)$  is a non-negative continuous function on  $X$ .

For a given  $\varphi = \{\varphi_j\} \in C^{p,q}(X, B)$ , we express  $\varphi_j$  as

$$(6) \quad \varphi_j = \sum \varphi_{j\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \bar{\beta}_1 \dots \bar{\beta}_q} dz_{j^1}^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{j^p}^{\alpha_p} \wedge d\bar{z}_{j^1}^{\bar{\beta}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_{j^q}^{\bar{\beta}_q}.$$

We omit the suffix  $j$  indicating coordinate system in some places, and write down the integrand of  $(\varphi, \varphi)$  for two sets of metrics:

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{A_j} \varphi_j \wedge * \bar{\varphi}_j = K \cdot \frac{1}{a_j} \det(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) \{ \sum g^{\bar{\gamma}_1 \alpha_1} \dots g^{\bar{\gamma}_p \alpha_p} g^{\bar{\beta}_1 \delta_1} \dots g^{\bar{\beta}_q \delta_q} \\ \times \varphi_{j\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \bar{\beta}_1 \dots \bar{\beta}_q} \bar{\varphi}_{j\bar{\gamma}_1 \dots \bar{\gamma}_p \delta_1 \dots \delta_q} \} dz^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^n \wedge \\ d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^n,$$

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{A_j} \varphi_j \wedge \star \bar{\varphi}_j = K \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda(\Psi)}}{a_j} \det(\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) \{ \sum \Gamma^{\bar{\gamma}_1 \alpha_1} \dots \Gamma^{\bar{\gamma}_p \alpha_p} \Gamma^{\bar{\beta}_1 \delta_1} \dots \Gamma^{\bar{\beta}_q \delta_q} \\ \times \varphi_{j\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \bar{\beta}_1 \dots \bar{\beta}_q} \bar{\varphi}_{j\bar{\gamma}_1 \dots \bar{\gamma}_p \delta_1 \dots \delta_q} \} \\ dz^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^n.$$

Here  $*$  and  $\star$  indicate the formation of adjoint forms with respect to  $ds^2$  and  $d\sigma^2$  respectively and  $(\Gamma^{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$  is the inverse of  $(\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ .  $K$  is a constant common in two formulas.\*)

We have  $\Gamma = (\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) = G + W$  where  $W \geq 0$ . From this we see that  $\Gamma^{-1} \leq G^{-1}$  as in [6], formula (2.13) and what follows, and hence the sum in  $\{ \}$  in the formula (8) is not greater than that in the formula (7). Hence we have only to show that, by a choice of  $\lambda$ , we can achieve

$$(9) \quad \int_X e^{-\lambda(\Psi)} \frac{\det(\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})}{\det(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})} a_0[\varphi] dv < \infty,$$

where  $dv$  is the volume element in the metric  $ds^2$  and the non-negative function  $a_0[\varphi](x)$  for  $x \in X$  is defined by

\*) In [6], this constant and another to be multiplied to the expression for  $A\eta$  were missing. It does not affect the main line of the proof.

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{a_j} \varphi_j \wedge * \bar{\varphi}_j = a_0[\varphi] dv.$$

We can assume that  $\inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x) = 0$ . Then we set

$$(11) \quad v(t) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in X_t} (a_0[\varphi](x) + u(x) + v(x)) & t > 0, \\ 0, & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $v(t)$  is a non-decreasing function of  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . We take a strictly increasing continuous function  $v_1(t)$  such that  $v_1(t) \geq v(t)$ . We also choose a non-decreasing continuous function  $\rho(t)$  ( $\geq 0$ ) such that

$$(12) \quad \int_X e^{-\rho(\Psi(x))} dv < \infty.$$

Now we have the following lemma:

**Lemma.** *Given a real valued, continuous and strictly increasing function  $\mu(t)$  in  $0 \leq t < \infty$  with  $\mu(0) = 0$ ,  $\mu(t) \rightarrow \infty$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we can find a  $C^\infty$  function  $\lambda(t)$  in  $-\infty < t < \infty$  such that*

$$\lambda'(t) \geq 0, \quad \lambda''(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } t,$$

$$\lambda'(t) \geq \mu(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq c, \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda'(t) \leq K \cdot \lambda(t)^2 \text{ and } \lambda''(t) \leq K \cdot \lambda(t)^3 \quad \text{for } t \geq c',$$

with some constants  $c$ ,  $c'$  and  $K > 0$ .

Suppose this lemma has been proved. We apply it to  $\mu(t) = v_1(t) + 2\rho(t)$  and take  $\lambda(t)$  as in the lemma. Let us estimate  $\det \Gamma / \det G$  in the expression (9). We have

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \lambda'(\Psi) \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} + \lambda''(\Psi) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z^\alpha} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta}.$$

Hence, if we choose  $T$  such that  $G = {}^t T \cdot \bar{T}$  and  ${}^t T^{-1} (\partial^2 \Psi / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta) \bar{T}^{-1}$  is diagonal, then we have

$$(\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) = {}^t T \left\{ I_n + \lambda'(\Psi) \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & v_n \end{pmatrix} + y_\alpha y_{\bar{\beta}} \right\} \bar{T},$$



$$g(x) < f(x),$$

$$g \text{ is } C^1 \text{ and } g'(x) = \frac{xf(x) - \int_0^x f(z) dz}{x^2} > 0.$$

Consider the inverse function  $x = \mu_2(t)$  of  $t = g(x)$ . Then  $\mu_2$  is again continuous, strictly increasing in  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $\mu_2(t) > \mu_1(t)$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\mu_2$  is  $C^1$  for  $t > 0$ . We have

$$\mu_2'(t) = (g'(x))^{-1} = \frac{\mu_2(t)^2}{xf(x) - \int_0^x f(z) dz},$$

where  $x = \mu_2(t)$ . We set

$$h(x) = xf(x) - \int_0^x f(z) dz,$$

then for  $x > y > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) - h(y) &= xf(x) - yf(y) - \int_y^x f(z) dz \\ &= (x - y)f(x) - \int_y^x f(z) dz + y(f(x) - f(y)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can find  $c_1 > 0$  and  $K > 0$  such that

$$h(x) \geq 1/K \quad \text{for } x > c_1,$$

and we see

$$\mu_2'(t) \leq K \cdot \mu_2(t)^2 \quad \text{for } t > c_2 \quad (= g(c_1)).$$

We note that  $\mu_2$  is of class  $C^\infty$  in  $(0, \infty)$  if  $\mu_1$  is so.

*Step II.* We set

$$\mu_3(t) = K \cdot \int_0^t \mu_2(\tau)^2 d\tau + L,$$

where  $K$  is as above and  $L$  is to be chosen suitably. Then we have

$$\mu_3'(t) = K \cdot \mu_2(t)^2 > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

and

$$\mu_3'(t) > \mu_2'(t) \quad \text{for } t > c_2.$$

Hence we can achieve, by a suitable choice of  $L$ , that

$$\mu_2(t) \leq \mu_3(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Then we see

$$\mu_3'(t) = K \cdot \mu_2(t)^2 \leq K \cdot \mu_3(t)^2,$$

$$\mu_3''(t) = 2K\mu_2(t)\mu_2'(t) \leq 2K^2\mu_3(t)^3 \quad \text{for } t > c_2.$$

*Step III.* As  $\mu_1(t)$  in Step I, we take a function which is  $C^\infty$  in  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\geq \mu$ . In order to obtain the desired function  $\lambda(t)$ , we take a non-decreasing  $C^\infty$  function  $\mu_4(t)$  on the whole line  $-\infty < t < \infty$  such that

$$\mu_4(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t < 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } t > 2, \end{cases}$$

and set

$$\lambda(t) = \mu_3(t) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^t \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \mu_4(\sigma) d\sigma \right) d\tau.$$

It is easy to see that this  $\lambda(t)$  fills the need of the lemma.

#### §4. Strongly 1-Complete Manifold

$X$  is strongly 1-complete if there exists a strictly plurisubharmonic function  $\Psi$  which exhausts  $X$ . It is well known that such  $X$  is a Stein manifold.

**Proposition 1.** *On a strongly 1-complete manifold  $X$ , every holomorphic vector bundle is positive in the strong sense.*

*Proof.*  $\Psi$  will denote the exhaustion function. For a holomorphic vector bundle  $E$ , we take Hermitian metrics  $\{h_j\}$  along fibres of  $E$ .

We form the curvature form of  $\{h_j\}$  and form  $H=(H_{\bar{\nu}\bar{\mu},\mu\alpha})$  given by the formula (2.15) of [6]. Since  $(v_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})=(\partial^2\Psi/\partial z^\alpha\partial\bar{z}^\beta)$  is positive definite at every point of  $X$ , we can find a  $C^\infty$  increasing convex function  $\lambda(t)$  of  $t\in\mathbf{R}$  such that

$$H+\lambda'(\Psi)(h_{\bar{\nu}\bar{\mu}}v_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})>0$$

at every point of  $X$ .

When we replace  $h_j$  by  $e^{-\lambda(\Psi)}h_j$ , then  $H$  is replaced by  $H+\lambda'(\Psi)(h_{\bar{\nu}\bar{\mu}}v_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})+\lambda''(\Psi)\left(h_{\bar{\nu}\bar{\mu}}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial z^\alpha}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\bar{z}^\beta}\right)$ , and we see that  $E$  is positive.

Now we quote standard arguments in the discussion of vector bundle valued cohomologies: If  $E$  is a holomorphic bundle of vector spaces  $\mathbf{C}^r$  over a complex manifold  $M$ , we denote the associated  $\mathbf{P}^{r-1}$ -bundle by  $P(E)$ , i.e.  $P(E)=\{E-(0\text{-section})\}/\mathbf{C}^*$ .  $L(E)$  denotes the complex line bundle over  $P(E)$ , associated to the principal bundle  $E-(0)\rightarrow P(E)$ . It is well known that

$$(A) \quad H^q(M, \mathcal{O}(W\otimes S^k E^*)) \cong H^q(P(E), \mathcal{O}(\pi^*W\otimes L(E)^{-k})),$$

where  $S^k E^*$  denotes the  $k$ -ple symmetric product of the dual bundle  $E^*$  of  $E$ ,  $W$  denotes any complex line bundle over  $M$ , and  $\pi$  means the projection  $P(E)\rightarrow M$ .

(B) Canonical bundles  $K_M$  and  $K_{P(E)}$  of  $M$  and  $P(E)$  are in the relation

$$K_{P(E)}=L(E)^r\pi^*(K_X\det E^*).$$

(C) We understand positivity and semi-positivity of a holomorphic vector bundle in terms of the curvature, say in the sense of Griffiths [2]. Then,

$$L(E^*)^{-1} \text{ is positive if } E\rightarrow M \text{ is positive.}$$

([4] Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, or [2], (1.9), (2.36) and (2.38). Compactness of  $M$  is not necessary in these arguments.)

On the other hand, as was first pointed out to the author by Hironaka,

(D) If  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  is a proper holomorphic map and if  $X$  is weakly 1-complete with respect to  $\Psi$ , then so is  $Y$  with respect to  $\pi^*\Psi$ .

Combining these facts with our Theorem 1, we obtain the counterpart of [4], Theorem 2.3 for weakly 1-complete manifolds. In particular, Corollary 2.4 becomes

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly 1-complete manifold,  $E$  a holomorphic vector bundle over  $X$  and  $F$  a complex line bundle over  $X$ . If either  $E > 0$  and  $K_X \cdot \det E \cdot F^{-1} \leq 0$  or  $E \geq 0$  and  $K_X \cdot \det E \cdot F^{-1} < 0$ , then we have  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}(S^k E \otimes F)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 1$ .*

If  $X$  is strongly 1-complete,  $E$  and  $(K_X \det E)^{-1}$  are positive by Proposition 1 for every  $E$ . Hence we conclude:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $X$  is strongly 1-complete, then for any holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$ , we have*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}(E)) = 0 \quad \text{for } q \geq 1.$$

It is true that the argument leading to [5], Theorem 1 (with correction in [1]) and a result of H. Kazama give our Theorem 2, directly from Proposition 1. Kazama makes use of an approximation theorem which is typical in the theory of functions of several complex variables. The present proof intends to avoid the direct use of this method. The author does not know if the definition of positivity due to Kobayashi and Ochiai has a nice function theoretic characterization in case of non-compact weakly 1-complete manifold. This is the reason why he adopted the definition of positivity in terms of curvatures.

A. Fujiki has pointed out that the argument of J. Le Potier (Comptes Rendus Acad. Sc. Paris, 276 (1973) Ser. A pp. 535–537) and ours, combined together, will give the counterpart of Potier's Theorem 1 for weakly 1-complete base manifold. My thanks are due to him for pointing out this and for calling my attention to that our  $v(t)$  may not be continuous.

### References

- [ 1 ] Fujiki, A. and S. Nakano, Supplement to “On the inverse of monoidal transformation”, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **7** (1971–72) pp. 637–644.
- [ 2 ] Griffiths, P. A., Hermitean differential geometry, Chern classes, and positive vector bundles, *Global Analysis — papers in honor of K. Kodaira* — (1969) pp. 185–251. University of Tokyo Press and Princeton University Press.
- [ 3 ] Kazama, H., Approximation theorem and application to Nakano’s vanishing theorem for weakly 1-complete manifolds, *Mem. Fac. Sci, Kyushu Univ.*, **27** (1973) pp. 221–240.
- [ 4 ] Kobayashi, S. and Ochiai, T., On complex manifolds with positive tangent bundles, *J. of Math. Soc. Japan*, **22** (1970) pp. 499–525.
- [ 5 ] Nakano, S., On the inverse of monoidal transformation, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **6** (1970–71) pp. 483–502.
- [ 6 ] Nakano, S., Vanishing theorems for weakly 1-complete manifolds, *Number theory, algebraic geometry and commutative algebra — in honor of Yasuo Akizuki* — (1973) pp. 169–179, Kinokuniya, Tokyo.