

Ergodic Automorphisms of T^∞ Are Bernoulli Transformations

By

Nobuo AOKI* and Haruo TOTOKI

§ 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove the fact stated by the title. After Ornstein and Friedman [5], [1], several authors studied the Bernoulli properties of various transformations. Especially Katznelson [3] proved that every ergodic automorphism of a finite-dimensional torus is a Bernoulli transformation extending the results by Sinai-Ornstein-Friedman [9], [1]. The present result is on the way towards the conjecture that every ergodic automorphism of a compact metrizable abelian group is a Bernoulli transformation.

Let X be a compact metrizable group and μ be its normalized Haar measure. Then (X, μ) is a Lebesgue space (cf. [10]). Let σ be an (group) automorphism of X , then σ is an invertible measure-preserving transformation of (X, μ) . Our problem is concerned with measure-theoretic properties of σ . We call σ a *Bernoulli transformation* if there exists a measurable partition ξ of X such that $\{\sigma^n \xi\}_{-\infty < n < \infty}$ are independent and $\bigvee_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma^n \xi = \varepsilon$ the partition of X into individual points.

We assume $X = T^\infty$ an infinite-dimensional torus i.e. X is a compact metrizable abelian group which is connected, locally connected and infinite-dimensional. Further we assume naturally that the automorphism σ is ergodic i.e. any σ -invariant measurable set has measure 0 or 1.

Our result is the following

Received April 17 1974.

* Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Setagaya, Tokyo.

Theorem. *If σ is an ergodic (group) automorphism of an infinite-dimensional torus \mathbf{T}^∞ , then σ is a Bernoulli transformation.¹⁾*

§ 2. Preliminary Discussions

Let σ be an ergodic automorphism of $X = \mathbf{T}^\infty$. To prove the theorem, the character group G of X plays an essential role. G is countable and discrete. Since X is connected, G is torsionfree. Let $\langle g \rangle$ denote the free cyclic group generated by $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$. Then we have $G = \bigotimes_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle g_n \rangle$ (a direct product of discrete groups).

Conventions. We make use of multiplications for the group operations instead of additions. The units of X and G are denoted by e and 1 respectively.

The automorphism σ of X induces the dual automorphism U of G . We classify elements of G into two classes. The first class is characterized by the condition

(A) *for $g \in G$ there exist integers $k \geq 0, n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k$ such that $(n_0, \dots, n_k) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ and $g^{n_0} U g^{n_1}, \dots, U^k g^{n_k} = 1$.*

Let G_A be the set of all $g \in G$ satisfying the condition (A), then G_A is U -invariant (i.e. $U G_A = G_A$). It is not hard to see that G_A is a subgroup.

Let $K(g)$ denote the subgroup of G generated by

$$\{U^n \langle g \rangle; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}^2 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad K(g) = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \prod_{n=-N}^N U^n \langle g \rangle.$$

Lemma 1. *If $g \in G \setminus G_A$, then (i) $K(g) = \bigotimes_{-\infty}^{\infty} U^n \langle g \rangle$ and (ii) $G_A \cap K(g) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. To prove (i) it is enough to show that $\prod_{-N}^N U^n \langle g \rangle = \bigotimes_{-N}^N U^n \langle g \rangle$ for each $N \geq 1$. If $f \in \langle g \rangle \cap U \langle g \rangle$ then $f = g^{n_0} = U g^{n_1}$ for some n_0 and n_1 . Since g does not satisfy (A), $n_0 = n_1 = 0$ and so $f = 1$. If $f \in (\langle g \rangle \otimes U \langle g \rangle) \cap U^2 \langle g \rangle$ then $f = 1$ by the same reason as above, and so on.

1) After the preparation of the manuscript, the authors were informed that D. Lind obtained the same result which will appear in the Israel Journal.

2) \mathbf{Z} denotes the set of all integers.

To prove (ii) take any $f \in G_A \cap K(g)$. Then f is of the form $f = U^{i_1} g^{m_1} \dots U^{i_s} g^{m_s}$ and f satisfies the condition (A): $f^{n_0} U f^{n_1} \dots U^k f^{n_k} = 1$. Putting the above expression of f into the last equation, we see that $g \in G_A$ unless $f = 1$.

We will prove the theorem by the following steps:

- Case 1. $G = G_A$.
- Case 2. $G = K(g)$, $g \in G \setminus G_A$.
- Case 3. $G = G_A K(g_1) \dots K(g_N)$, $g_i \in G \setminus G_A$, $1 \leq i \leq N$.
- Case 4. *General case.*

§ 3. Cases 1 and 2

Case 1. We assume $G = G_A$. Let $G = \{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ and G_n the subgroup generated by $\{U^k f_i; k \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 2. Rank $(G_n) < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. By the condition (A) we have

$$f_i^{n_0(i)} = U f_i^{n_1(i)} \dots U^{k_i} f_i^{n_{k_i}(i)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let H be the subgroup generated by $\{U^k f_i; 1 \leq k \leq k_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Then it is easy to see that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ there is $m \neq 0$ such that $U^k f_i^m \in H$. Therefore for any $g \in G_n$ there is $m \neq 0$ such that $g^m \in H$. This implies that $\text{rank}(G_n) \leq k_1 + \dots + k_n < \infty$.

Let $X_n = \text{ann}(G_n)$ (the annihilator of G_n), then G_n is the character group of X/X_n . It is known that $\dim(X/X_n) = \text{rank}(G_n)$ (cf. [8]). Thus the factor group X/X_n is a finite-dimensional torus, indeed it is compact, metrizable, abelian, connected, locally connected and finite-dimensional. Since $UG_n = G_n$, we have $\sigma X_n = X_n$ and so σ induces a factor automorphism σ_n of X/X_n , which is obviously ergodic. By the theorem of Katznelson [3], σ_n is a Bernoulli transformation. Since $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$, $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n = G$, we have $X_n \supset X_{n+1}$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty X_n = \{e\}$, and hence the following Lemma 3 implies that σ is a Bernoulli transformation.

Lemma 3. (Ornstein [6]). *Let σ be an ergodic invertible measure-preserving transformation on a Lebesgue space (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) . Assume that there is a sequence of sub- σ -fields $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$, $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_n) = \mathcal{F}_n$, $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}$ and each factor $\sigma_n = \sigma|_{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is a Bernoulli transformation. Then σ is itself a Bernoulli transformation.*

Remark. Ornstein [6] proved the above lemma under the additional assumption that the entropy $h(\sigma_n) < \infty$ for all n . But it is easy to see that we can remove this assumption using

Lemma 4. (Ornstein [7]). *Every non-trivial factor of a Bernoulli transformation is Bernoullian.*

Case 2. We assume $G = K(g)$. By Lemma 1, $K(g) = \bigotimes_{n \neq 0} U^n \langle g \rangle$. Therefore Pontrjagin duality theorem ([8]) implies $X = \bigotimes_{n \neq 0} \sigma^n X_0$ (a direct product of compact groups) where $X_0 = \text{ann}(\bigotimes_{n \neq 0} U^n \langle g \rangle)$. Then σ is Bernoullian, indeed σ acts on X as $(x_n)_{n \neq 0} \rightarrow (\sigma x_{n-1})_{n \neq 0}$ and so $\varepsilon(X_0) \otimes \bigotimes_{n \neq 0} \sigma^n(\nu(X_0))$ is a Bernoulli generator of σ , where ν denotes the trivial partition.

§ 4. Case 3

We assume that $G = G_A G_0$ where $G_0 = K_1 \dots K_N$ and $K_j = K(g_j)$, $g_j \in G \setminus G_A$, $1 \leq j \leq N$. Let k be the largest integer such that (renumbering if necessary)

$$(K_1 \otimes \dots \otimes K_k) \cap K_j \neq \{1\}, \quad k+1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Lemma 5. $G_A \cap (K_1 \otimes \dots \otimes K_k) = \{1\}$.

Proof. Take any $f \in G_A \cap (K_1 \otimes \dots \otimes K_k)$, then we have $f = f_1 \dots f_k$, $f_j \in K_j$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, and $f^{n_0} U f^{n_1} \dots U^s f^{n_s} = 1$ for $(n_0, \dots, n_s) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. Hence we get $f_1^{n_0} \dots U^s f_1^{n_s} = (f_2 \dots f_k)^{-n_0} \dots U^s (f_2 \dots f_k)^{-n_s}$ which implies $f_1 = 1$ and inductively all $f_j = 1$, and so $f = 1$.

Thus we have $G = (G_A \otimes K_1 \otimes \dots \otimes K_k) K_{k+1} \dots K_N$. If $k = N$, there

is nothing to prove. Indeed we then have $X = X_A \otimes X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_N$ where the character group of X_A and X_j are G_A and K_j for $j=1, \dots, N$, and so Cases 1 and 2 imply that σ is a Bernoulli transformation as a direct product of Bernoulli transformations.

Let us assume $k < N$ and denote

$$G_1 = K_1 \otimes \dots \otimes K_k.$$

We will make use of a divisible extension \bar{G} of G , namely \bar{G} is a minimal divisible (=complete) group containing G (cf. [4]). In order to clear the structure of \bar{G} we have the following Lemma 6, of which proof is given in Appendix.

Lemma 6. *Let $K = \overset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\otimes}} U^n \langle g \rangle$ where g is free. Then there exists a divisible extension $\bar{K} = \overset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\otimes}} \bar{U}^n Q_0$ of K , where Q_0 is an abelian group isomorphic to the (additive) group \mathbb{Q} of all rational numbers and \bar{U} is an automorphism of \bar{K} which is an extension of U .*

Using Lemma 6, it is not hard to see that there exists a divisible extension $\bar{G} = (\bar{G}_A \otimes \bar{K}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{K}_k) \bar{K}_{k+1} \dots \bar{K}_N$ of G , where $\bar{K}_j = \overset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\otimes}} \bar{U}^n Q_j$, $Q_j \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and \bar{U} is an automorphism of \bar{G} which is an extension of U . We remark that \bar{G} is also torsionfree (cf. [4]). Let us put

$$\bar{G}_1 = \bar{K}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{K}_k$$

which is a divisible extension of G_1 .

Lemma 7. $G_0 \subset \bar{G}_1$.

Proof. In order to prove the lemma it is enough to show that for any fixed $j = k+1, \dots, N$, there is an integer $n \neq 0$ such that $g_j^n \in G_1$.

Let $G_2 = \{g \in G_1 K_j; g^n \in G_1 \text{ for some } n \neq 0\}$, then G_2 is a subgroup of $G_1 K_j$ such that $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \bar{G}_1$ and $U G_2 = G_2$. Take $1 \neq f \in G_1 \cap K_j$ then f has the form $f = U^{m_1} g_j^{n_1} \dots U^{m_s} g_j^{n_s}$. Hence multiplying G_2 to the both sides of the last equation and operating some U^m , we have an equation of the form

$$g_j^{n_0} U g_j^{n_1} \dots U^p g_j^{n_p} G_2 = G_2, \quad n_0 \neq 0, \quad n_p \neq 0. \tag{1}$$

Assume that p is the smallest non-negative integer which assures the equation (1). If $p=0$, (1) implies $g_j^{n_0} \in G_2$ and so $g_j^{n_0 n} \in G_1$ for some $n \neq 0$. Assuming $p > 0$ we will show a contradiction.

Let us denote $\bar{K}_{j,p} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^p \bar{U}^i Q_j$ which is a divisible extension of $K_{j,p} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^p U^i \langle g_j \rangle$, and put $\hat{H}_i = G_2 \bar{U}^i \bar{K}_{j,p}$ and $H_i = G_2 U^i K_{j,p}$. Take any $\bar{g} \in G_2 \cap \bar{U}^i \bar{K}_{j,p}$ then $\bar{g} G_2 = G_2$ and there is $r \neq 0$ such that $U^{-i} \bar{g}^r \in K_{j,p}$. Hence we have $U^{-i} \bar{g}^r = U_j^{r_1} \dots U_j^p g_j^{r_p}$ and so $G_2 = U^{-i} \bar{g}^r G_2 = U g_j^{r_1} \dots U^p g_j^{r_p} G_2$ for some $r_1, \dots, r_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ which implies $\bar{g} = 1$ by the minimality of p . Thus we have $\hat{H}_i = G_2 \otimes \bar{U}^i \bar{K}_{j,p}$ and $H_i = G_2 \otimes U^i K_{j,p}$ for all i . The equation (1) implies that $g_j^{n_0} \in H_0$ and inductively that $U^i g_j^{n_0 i} \in H_0$ for some $n_i \neq 0$ for all i . Therefore for any $g \in K_j$ there is $n \neq 0$ such that $g^n \in H_0$.

We will prove

$$G_2 \bar{K}_j = G_2 \otimes \bar{K}_{j,p}. \tag{2}$$

First notice that $G_2 K_j / G_2$ is torsionfree. Indeed if $g^m G_2 = G_2$ for $g \in K_j$ and $m \neq 0$ then $g^m \in G_2$ and so $g^{mn} \in G_1$ for some $n \neq 0$, which implies $g \in G_2$. Let $\overline{G_2 K_j / G_2}$ be a divisible extension of $G_2 K_j / G_2$, then it is also torsionfree ([4]). We have

$$\hat{H}_i / G_2 \subset \overline{G_2 K_j / G_2} \subset G_2 \bar{K}_j / G_2, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

since $G_2 \bar{K}_j / G_2 (\supset G_2 K_j / G_2)$ is divisible and \hat{H}_i / G_2 is a divisible extension of $H_i / G_2 (\subset G_2 K_j / G_2)$. Take any $F \in \overline{G_2 K_j / G_2}$ then there is $m \neq 0$ such that $F^m \in G_2 K_j / G_2$, and so there is $g \in K_j$ such that $F^m = g G_2$. For this g and fixed $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is $n \neq 0$ such that $g^n \in H_i$. Hence g^n is decomposed into $g^n = f k$, $f \in G_2$, $k \in U^i K_{j,p}$. For this k there is $\bar{k} \in \bar{U}^i \bar{K}_{j,p}$ such that $\bar{k}^{mn} = k$. Therefore we have $F^{mn} = \bar{k}^{mn} G_2$ which implies $F = \bar{k} G_2 \in \hat{H}_i / G_2$, because $\overline{G_2 K_j / G_2}$ is torsionfree. Thus we have $\hat{H}_i / G_2 = \overline{G_2 K_j / G_2} = \hat{H}_0 / G_2$ for all i , which implies $\hat{H}_i = \hat{H}_0$ for all i and so $\bar{K}_j \subset \hat{H}_0$. This also implies the equation (2).

Take any $\bar{g} \in \overline{G_2 \cap K_j}$, where $\overline{G_2 \cap K_j}$ is a divisible extension of $G_2 \cap K_j$, then there is $n \neq 0$ such that $\bar{g}^n \in G_2 \cap K_j$ and so $\bar{g}^n (G_2 \cap \bar{K}_j) = G_2 \cap \bar{K}_j$. Since $\bar{K}_j / G_2 \cap \bar{K}_j \cong G_2 \bar{K}_j / G_2 = (G_2 \otimes \bar{K}_{j,p}) / G_2 \cong \bar{K}_{j,p}$ is torsionfree we have $\bar{g} \in G_2 \cap \bar{K}_j$. Therefore we have $\overline{G_2 \cap K_j} \subset G_2 \cap \bar{K}_j \subset G$, which

implies $G_2 \cap K_j = \{1\}$ and so $G_1 \cap K_j = \{1\}$ because the character group X of G is locally connected (cf. [8]). Thus we arrive at a contradiction, which proves Lemma 7.

Now let us prove the Bernoulli property of (X, σ) . Let $\hat{X} = X_A \otimes \bar{X}_1$ be the character group of $G_A \otimes \bar{G}_1$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ the dual automorphism of \hat{X} induced by \bar{U} . Then the factors $(X_A, \bar{\sigma})$ and $(\bar{X}_1, \bar{\sigma})$ are Bernoullian by Case 1 and the same reason as Case 2 respectively. Therefore $(\hat{X}, \bar{\sigma})$ is also Bernoullian. Since (X, σ) is a factor of $(\hat{X}, \bar{\sigma})$ (i.e. $X = \hat{X}/\text{ann}(G)$), (X, σ) is a Bernoulli transformation by Lemma 4.

§ 5. General Case

There is a sequence $\{g_n\} \subset G \setminus G_A$ such that putting

$$G_n = G_A K(g_1) K(g_2) \dots K(g_n), \quad n \geq 1,$$

we have $UG_n = G_n$, $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n = G$. Let $X_n = \text{ann}(G_n)$, then $\sigma X_n = X_n$ and G_n is the character group of X/X_n . Hence σ on X/X_n is Bernoullian by Case 3. Since $X_n \supset X_{n+1}$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty X_n = \{e\}$, Lemma 3 implies that σ on X is itself a Bernoulli transformation. Thus the proof of our theorem is completed.

§ 6. Examples

Lemma 1 and the argument of Case 2 imply that if $G \neq G_A$ then the entropy $h(\sigma) = \infty$. This applies also to an automorphism of a finite-dimensional torus, and we have $G = G_A$ for it because it has a finite entropy. The first example is like a finite-dimensional one.

Example 1. Let $\{n_i; i \geq 1\}$ be an infinite non-decreasing sequence of integers such that $n_1 \geq 2$. Let σ_i be an ergodic automorphism of the torus T^{n_i} and φ_i be a continuous homomorphism from T^{n_i} into $T^{n_{i+1}}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Define an infinite-dimensional torus $T^\infty = \bigotimes_{i=1}^\infty T^{n_i}$. Denoting $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in T^\infty$ where $x_i \in T^{n_i}$, $i \geq 1$, we define a mapping

$$\sigma(x) = (\sigma_1(x_1), \varphi_1(x_1)\sigma_2(x_2), \varphi_2(x_2)\sigma_3(x_3), \dots).$$

It is easy to see that σ is an automorphism of the topological group T^∞ . Since the subgroup $\bigotimes_{i=k+1}^\infty T^{n_i}$ is σ -invariant, σ induces the factor automorphism $\sigma^{(k)}$ of the factor group $T^{(k)} = T^\infty / \bigotimes_{i=k+1}^\infty T^{n_i} = T^{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes T^{n_k}$:

$$\sigma^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (\sigma_1(x_1), \varphi_1(x_1)\sigma_2(x_2), \dots, \varphi_{k-1}(x_{k-1})\sigma_k(x_k)).$$

It can be proved inductively using the following lemma that each $\sigma^{(k)}$ is ergodic. Hence σ is itself ergodic.

Lemma 8. *Let σ_i be an ergodic automorphism of a compact abelian metrizable group X_i ($i=1, 2$) and φ be a continuous homomorphism from X_1 into X_2 . Define an automorphism σ of $X_1 \otimes X_2$ by*

$$\sigma(x_1, x_2) = (\sigma_1(x_1), \varphi(x_1)\sigma_2(x_2)).$$

Then σ is ergodic.

Proof. Let G_i be the character group of X_i ($i=1, 2$), then $G_1 \otimes G_2$ is the character group of $X_1 \otimes X_2$. Denote the dual automorphisms of σ , σ_1 and σ_2 by U_σ , U_{σ_1} and U_{σ_2} respectively. Since

$$\sigma^n(x_1, x_2) = (\sigma_1^n(x_1), \psi_n(x_1)\sigma_2^n(x_2))$$

where

$$\psi_n(x_1) = \varphi(\sigma_1^{n-1}(x_1))\sigma_2(\varphi(\sigma_1^{n-2}(x_1)) \dots \sigma_2^{n-1}(\varphi(x_1))),$$

we have

$$U_\sigma^n(g_1 \otimes g_2) = (U_{\sigma_1}^n g_1)(g_2 \circ \psi_n) \otimes U_{\sigma_2}^n g_2$$

for $g_i \in G_i$, $i=1, 2$. Hence for $g_1 \otimes g_2 \neq 1 \otimes 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & (g_1 \otimes g_2, U_\sigma^n(g_1 \otimes g_2))_{L^2(X_1 \otimes X_2)} \\ &= (g_1, (U_{\sigma_1}^n g_1)(g_2 \circ \psi_n))_{L^2(X_1)} (g_2, U_{\sigma_2}^n g_2)_{L^2(X_2)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because U_{σ_1} and U_{σ_2} have no finite orbit except 1. Thus U_σ has no finite orbit except $1 \otimes 1$, and hence σ is ergodic.

Next we will show that $G = G_A$ where G is the character group of

T^∞ . Let G_i be the character group of T^{n_i} , $i \geq 1$, then $G = \bigotimes_{i=1}^\infty G_i$. Let U and $U^{(k)}$ denote the dual automorphisms of G and $G^{(k)} = G_1 \otimes \dots \otimes G_k$ (a subgroup of G) induced by σ and $\sigma^{(k)}$ respectively. Each $g \in G$ has the form $g = \bigotimes_{i=1}^\infty g_i$ where $g_i = 1$, $i \geq k+1$, for some k . Hence we can consider $g \in G^{(k)}$ and $Ug = U^{(k)}g$. Since $\sigma^{(k)}$ is an automorphism of a finite-dimensional torus, we have $g \in G_A$ for $U^{(k)}$ and so $g \in G_A$ for U .

Example 2. Let $T^\infty = \bigotimes_{i=-\infty}^\infty T_i$, $T_i \cong T^1$, be an infinite-dimensional torus and $G = \bigotimes_{i=-\infty}^\infty G_i$ the character group of T^∞ . Let U_0 be the shift automorphism of G : $(U_0g)_i = g_{i-1}$, $-\infty < i < \infty$. Let U'_1 be an automorphism of $G_0 \otimes G_1$ which is dual to an ergodic automorphism of $T_0 \otimes T_1 \cong T^2$. Define an automorphism U_1 of G by

$$(U_1g)_i = \begin{cases} g_i, & \text{if } i \neq 0, 1, \\ (U'_1(g_0, g_1))_i, & \text{if } i = 0, 1. \end{cases}$$

Then we define automorphism $U = U_0U_1$ of G . We take $U'_1(g_0, g_1) = (g_0^2g_1, g_0g_1)$ for simplicity. Notice that U'_1 is given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in the usual notation of addition. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &U(\dots, g_{-1}, g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots) \\ &= (\dots, g_{-2}, g_{-1}, g_0^2g_1, g_0g_1, g_2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

First we will show that U has no finite orbit except 1 and so the dual automorphism of T^∞ induced by U is ergodic. Indeed if $U^n g = g$ for $g = (g_i)_{-\infty < i < \infty} \in G$ then $g_i = g_{n+i}$ for $i \geq 2$, $g_i = g_{-n+i}$ for $i \leq 0$ and $g_0g_1 = g_{n+1}$. Since $g_i = 1$ except a finite number of i , we have $g_i = 1$ for all $-\infty < i < \infty$ i.e. $g = 1$.

Next we will show that $G_A = \{1\}$. Assume $g^{n_0}Ug^{n_1}\dots U^k g^{n_k} = 1$ for $g = (g_i)_{-\infty < i < \infty} \in G$. Then we have $g_{k+i}^{n_0}g_{k+i-1}^{n_1}\dots g_i^{n_k} = 1$ for $i \geq 2$ and $g_i^{n_0}g_{i-1}^{n_1}\dots g_{i-k}^{n_k} = 1$ for $i \leq 0$, and so $g_i = 1$ for $i \neq 1$. We have also $g_i^{n_0}(g_0^2g_1)^{n_1}\dots (g_{-k+1}^2g_{-k+2}^2\dots g_0^2g_1)^{n_k} = 1$ and so $g_1 = 1$. Thus we get $g = 1$.

Appendix

We suppose that the fact stated in Lemma 6 is well known. But we

can not find it in literatures, so we will give here its proof for the completeness.

Since each $U^n \langle g \rangle$ is isomorphic to the (additive) group \mathbb{Z} of all integers, there is a divisible extension $\bar{K} = \bigotimes_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_n$ of K where each Q_n is isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} (cf. [4]). Let us define \bar{U} as follows. For each $f \in \bar{K}$ there is k such that $\bar{f}^k \in K$, and then there is unique $\bar{f} \in \bar{K}$ such that $\bar{f}^k = Uf^k$. It is easy to see that f does not depend on the choice of k . Thus $\bar{U}f = \bar{f}$ defines a transformation \bar{U} on \bar{K} .

Let us now prove that \bar{U} is an automorphism of \bar{K} . Let $f, h \in \bar{K}$ and take i and k such that $f^i, h^k \in K$. Then $(\bar{U}fh)^{ik} = U(fh)^{ik} = Uf^{ik}Uh^{ik} = (\bar{U}f)^{ik}(\bar{U}h)^{ik} = (\bar{U}f\bar{U}h)^{ik}$ and so $\bar{U}fh = \bar{U}f\bar{U}h$; \bar{U} is a homomorphism. Let $f \in \bar{K}$ and $f^k \in K$. Take $\bar{f} \in \bar{K}$ such that $\bar{f}^k = U^{-1}f^k$. Then $(\bar{U}\bar{f})^k = U\bar{f}^k = f^k$ and so $\bar{U}\bar{f} = f$; \bar{U} is onto. Assume $\bar{U}f = 1$ and $f^k \in K$, then $Uf^k = (\bar{U}f)^k = 1$ and so $f^k = 1$ which implies $f = 1$; \bar{U} is one-to-one.

Next let us prove $\bar{U}Q_n = Q_{n+1}$. Take any $f \in Q_n$ and k such that $f^k \in U^n \langle g \rangle$. Then $(\bar{U}f)^k = Uf^k \in U^{n+1} \langle g \rangle$ and hence $\bar{U}f \in Q_{n+1}$. Conversely take any $f \in Q_{n+1}$ and k such that $f^k \in U^{n+1} \langle g \rangle$. Then $(\bar{U}^{-1}f)^k = U^{-1}f^k \in U^n \langle g \rangle$ and so $f \in \bar{U}Q_n$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] Friedman, N. A. and Ornstein, D. S., On isomorphism of weak Bernoulli transformations, *Advances in Math.* **5** (1971), 365-394.
- [2] Husain, T., *Introduction to Topological Groups*, Saunders Co. Philadelphia and London, 1966.
- [3] Katznelson, Y., Ergodic automorphisms of T^n are Bernoulli shifts, *Israel J. Math.* **10** (1971), 186-195.
- [4] Kurosh, A. G., *The Theory of Groups I*. Chelsea, New York, 1960.
- [5] Ornstein, D. S., Bernoulli shifts with the same entropy are isomorphic, *Advances in Math.* **4** (1970), 337-352.
- [6] ———, Two Bernoulli shifts with infinite entropy are isomorphic, *ibid.* **5** (1971), 339-348.
- [7] ———, Factors of Bernoulli shifts are Bernoulli shifts, *ibid.* **5** (1971), 349-364.
- [8] Pontrjagin, L., *Topological Groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1946.
- [9] Sinai, Ya. G., Markov partitions and C-diffeomorphisms, *Func. Anal. and its Appl.* **2** (1968), 61-82.
- [10] Rohlin, V. A., On the fundamental ideas of measure theory, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. I.* **10** (1962), 1-54.