

# On an Invariant Defined by Using $P(n)_*(-)$ Theory

By

Nobuaki YAGITA\*

## Introduction

In this paper, we shall study stable homotopy invariants  $s(X)$  which explain *the complexity of the torsion* of a finite complex  $X$  in such a way that  $s(X)=0$  if  $X$  is torsion free, and  $s(X)>0$  otherwise.

As an example of such invariants,  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*}BP_*(X)$  has been studied by many authors. Especially, Johnson-Wilson [3] proved that  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*}BP_*(X) \leq n$  iff  $BP\langle n \rangle_*(X) \simeq BP\langle n \rangle_* \otimes_{BP_*} BP_*(X)$  where  $BP\langle n \rangle_*(-)$  is the bordism theory with the coefficient  $BP\langle n \rangle_* \simeq BP_*/(v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots)$ .

Moreover, Johnson-Wilson [4] defined another invariant  $t(X)$  as follows:  $t(X) \leq n$  iff there is a  $BP_*$ -module isomorphism  $P(n)_*(X) \simeq P(n)_* \otimes H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  where  $P(n)_*(-)$  is the bordism theory with the coefficient  $P(n)_* \simeq BP_*/I_n = BP_*/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ .

This invariant  $t(X)$  appears to have better properties and to be more easily computable than  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*}BP_*(X)$ . In this paper, we shall study it in comparison with  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*}BP_*(X)$ .

In §1 we shall give the definition of  $t(X)$  and consider its geometric meaning. In §2 we shall study the properties of  $t(X)$  in connection with skeletons of  $X$ , the Spanier-Whitehead duality, cohomology operations of  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ , the  $BP_*$ -module structure of  $BP_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ , the smash product, and the cofiber.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank Professor S. Sasao

---

Communicated by N. Shimada, February 10, 1977.

\* Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguroku, Tokyo 152, Japan.

for his helpful suggestions in preparing this paper.

**§ 1. Definition**

In this paper, we shall always assume that  $X, Y$  are *finite* complexes.

Let  $BP_*(-)$  be the Brown-Peterson homology theory at a *prime*  $p \geq 3$  and denote its coefficient  $BP_* \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots]$ . Let  $S_n = (p = v_{i_0}, v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_{n-1}})$  be a sequence of elements  $v_{i_j}$ . By using manifolds with singularities, we can construct the bordism theory  $BP(S_n)_*(-)$  with the coefficient  $BP_*/(S_n)$  [11].

Let  $P(n)_*(-)$  be  $BP(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})_*(-)$  [4]. By the Sullivan's (Bockstein) exact sequence, it is easily proved [4] that the following (1) – (3) are equivalent.

- (1)  $P(n)_*(X) \simeq P(n)_* \otimes H_*(X; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ .
- (2)  $P(n)_*(X)$  is  $P(n)_*$ -free.
- (3) The natural homomorphism  $i: P(n)_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(X; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  is epic.

Let  $k(n)_*(-)$  be the bordism theory with the coefficient  $k(n)_* \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p[v_n] \simeq BP_*/(p, \dots, v_n, \dots)$ . Johnson-Wilson [4] proved also that the following (4) – (6) are equivalent to (1) – (3).

- (4)  $k(n)_*(X) \simeq k(n)_* \otimes H_*(X; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ .
- (5)  $k(n)_*(X)$  is  $k(n)_*$ -free.
- (6) The natural homomorphism  $i: k(n)_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(X; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  is epic.

Now we *define*  $t(X) \leq n$  iff (1) – (6) are satisfied. This is well defined, since there is the tower of homology theories [4],

$$BP_*(-) \rightarrow P(1)_*(-) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P(n)_*(-) \rightarrow P(n+1)_*(-) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_*(-; \mathbf{Z}_p).$$

Geometrically,  $t(X) \leq n$  means that all elements of  $H_*(X; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  can be represented by manifolds with singularities type  $I_n = (p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$  [11]. Let  $S = (p, v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots)$  be an infinite sequence and let  $S_n = (p, \dots, v_{i_{n-1}})$ . We can analogously define an invariant  $t_s(-)$ , i. e.,  $t_s(X) \leq n$  iff  $BP(S_n)_*(X) \simeq BP(S_n)_* \otimes H_*(X; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . However, from the following fact,  $t_s(X)$  depends on  $t(X)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $S = (p, v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_m, \dots)$  and  $i_m \neq m, i_j < i_{j+1}$ . If  $n \geq m$ , then,  $t_s(X) \leq n$  iff  $t(X) \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* If  $t(X) \leq m$  then it is clear that  $t_s(X) \leq n$  by the Sullivan's exact sequence. Let  $BP(S_n)_*(X) \simeq BP_*/(S_n) \otimes H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Then, since  $S_n \subset (p, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_{m+1}, \dots) = (p, \dots, \hat{v}_m, \dots)$ , we have an isomorphism  $k(m)_*(X) \simeq k(m)_* \otimes H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Hence from (4) we have proved  $t(X) \leq m$ .

**§ 2. Properties of  $t(X)$ .**

Let  $X^q$  be a  $q$ -dimensional skeleton of  $X$ . When  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(X) \leq 2$ , Johnson showed [2] that  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(X^q) \leq \text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(X)$ . However in general case, it is unknown whether the inequality holds or not. We can easily prove the following theorem by descending induction on  $q$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $t(X^q) \leq t(X)$  and  $t(X/X^q) \leq t(X)$ .

It is known [3] that  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(X)$  is not necessarily equal to  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP^*(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $DX$  be a Spanier-Whitehead dual of  $X$ . Then  $t(X) = t(DX)$ , i. e.,  $P(n)_*(X) \simeq P(n)_* \otimes H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  iff  $P(n)^*(X) \simeq P(n)^* \otimes H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $t(X) \leq n$ , then by the definition, the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence  $H_*(X; P(n)_*) \Rightarrow P(n)_*(X)$  is trivial. Hence, by Lemma 4. 2 in [1], we have

$$P(n)^*(X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{P(n)_*}(P(n)_*(X), P(n)_*).$$

Therefore  $P(n)^*(X)$  is  $P(n)^*$ -free. The same argument for  $DX$  shows that if  $P(n)^*(X)$  is  $P(n)^*$ -free then  $P(n)_*(X)$  is  $P(n)_*$ -free.

We now consider the relation of  $t(X)$  to the action of cohomology

logy operations of  $H^*(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Let  $Q_i$  be the Milnor operation, i. e.,  $Q_0$  is the Bockstein operation and  $Q_i$  is defined by  $Q_{i-1}\mathcal{P}^{p^i} - \mathcal{P}^{p^i} Q_{i-1}$ . Conner proved (see [3]) that if  $Q_{i_1}\dots Q_{i_n}x \neq 0$  for some element  $x \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  then  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(X) > n$ .

**Theorem 4.** *If there is an element  $x \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  such that  $Q_n x \neq 0$  then  $t(X) \geq n+1$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the Sullivan's exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 k(n)^*(X) & \xrightarrow{v_n} & k(n)^*(X) \\
 & \searrow \delta & \swarrow i \\
 & H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) &
 \end{array}$$

By [11],  $i\delta = Q_n$ , and since  $i\delta(x) \neq 0$ ,  $i$  is not epic. Hence from (6) of the definition, we have  $t(X) \geq n+1$ .

*Remark.* The geometrical meaning of Theorem 4 is as follows. First, note that we shall consider in the homology theory taking the Spanier-Whitehead duality. Let  $x = [A, f]$ , where  $[A, f]$  is a manifold with singularities type  $(p, v_1, v_2, \dots)$  in  $X$ . By [11],  $Q_n[A, f] = [A(n+1), f(n+1)]$  where  $[A(n+1), f(n+1)]$  is the normal factor of  $(n+1)$ -th boundary, i. e.,  $\partial_{n+1}A \simeq v_n \times A(n+1)$  (for details see [11], [9]). Since  $[A(n+1), f(n+1)] \neq 0$ ,  $[A, f]$  has the singularity type  $v_n$ . Therefore  $[A, f]$  is not representable by a manifold with singularities type  $I_n = (p, \dots, v_{n-1})$ . Hence  $t(X) > n$ .

**Examples 1.**

(1) Let  $L^{2m+1}(p)$  be a  $2m+1$  dimensional  $p$ -Lens space. Let  $p^j \leq m < p^{j+1}$ . It is well known [7] that for  $0 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $H^{2i}(L^{2m+1}(p); \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq H^{2i+1}(L^{2m+1}(p); \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and generators are  $\beta^i$  and  $\alpha\beta^i$  satisfying  $Q_i\alpha = \beta^{p^i}$ . Hence from Theorem 4, we have  $t(L^{2m+1}(p)) > j$ . It is also well known that  $BP^*(L^{2m+1}(p)) \simeq BP^*[x]/([p], x^{2m+1})$  where  $[p]$  is the  $p$ -product of the formal group law of  $BP_*$ . By using the Sullivan's exact sequence, we can prove that  $P(j+1)^*(L^{2m+1}(p)) \simeq$

$BP^*/I_{j+1}(x \oplus x^2 \oplus \dots \oplus x^m \oplus y_1 \oplus y_2 \dots \oplus y_m)$  where  $Q_0 y_i = x^i$ . Thus we have  $t(L^{2m+1}(p)) = j+1$ .

(2) Let  $V(n)$  be the finite complex such that  $BP_*(V(n)) \simeq P(n+1)_*$ .  $V(n)$  exists for the following cases:  $n=0$ ;  $n=1$  and  $p \geq 3$ ;  $n=2$  and  $p \geq 5$ ;  $n=3$  and  $p \geq 7$ . If  $V(n)$  exists then  $t(V(n)) = n+1$  [10].

(3) The converse of Theorem 4 is not true. Indeed, when  $X = S^0 \cup_{p^2} e^1$ , we have  $Q_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , but  $t(X) = 1$ .

We next consider the relation of  $t(X)$  to the  $BP_*/p$ -module structure of  $P(1)_*(X) = BP_*(X; \mathcal{Z}_p)$ . Let  $BP_*/p \supset \mathcal{Z}_p[v_N, v_{N+1}, \dots] = P[N]_*$ . Then we can take  $N$  so large that  $P(1)_*(X)$  is a free  $P[N]_*$ -module [5], [12]. And if  $P(1)_*(X)$  is  $P[N]_*$ -free then  $\text{homdim}_{P(1)_*} P(1)_*(X) \leq N$  [4], [12].

**Theorem 5.** *If  $P(1)_*(X)$  is a free  $P[N]_*$ -module then  $t(X) \leq N$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the Sullivan's exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P(1)_*(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad v_1 \quad} & P(1)_*(X) \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & P(2)_*(X) &
 \end{array}$$

Then  $v_1$ -images of  $P[N]_*$ -module generators of  $P(1)_*(X)$  are also  $P[N]_*$ -module generators except the case of zero. Hence  $(\text{co}) \ker v_1$  is also  $P[N]_*$ -free. Thus  $P(2)_*(X)$  is also  $P[N]_*$ -free. Continuing this argument, we see that  $P(N)_*(X)$  is  $P[N]_*$ -free. Since  $P(N)_*(X)$  is a  $P(N)_*$ -module, it is  $P(N)_*$ -free, hence the proof is completed.

**Example 2.** The converse of this theorem is not true. If  $p \geq 5$ , by [8], there are a finite complex  $X$  and a map  $v_2^*: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $BP_*(X) \simeq BP_*/(p, v_1^*)$  and  $(v_2^*)_* = v_2^*$ . Since there is a map  $v_1: X$

$\rightarrow X$  such that  $(v_1)_* = v_1$ , if  $Y = X \cup_p CX$  then  $BP_*(Y) \simeq BP_*/(\rho, v_1^2, v_1 v_2^2)$ . Hence  $P(1)_*(Y)$  is not  $P[2]_*$ -free. However, from the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \longrightarrow & P(2)_*(X) & \xrightarrow{(v_1 v_2^2)_*} & P(2)_*(X) & \longrightarrow & P(2)_*(Y) & \longrightarrow & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

here  $(v_1 v_2^2)_* = 0$  in  $P(2)_*(X)$ , we can prove  $t(Y) = 2$ .

The behavior of  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(-)$  with respect to the smash product is somewhat complicated, in the following sense.

- (1)  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(L^{2^j+1}(\rho)) = 1$  and  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(L^{2^j+1}(\rho) \wedge \dots \wedge_{j\text{-factors}} L^{2^j+1}(\rho)) \geq j$ .

- (2) There is a finite complex  $V$  such that  $BP_*(V) \simeq BP_*/(\rho^2, \rho v_1)$  [10]. Then  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(V) = 2$  but  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(S^0 \cup_p e^1 \wedge V) = 1$ .

**Theorem 6.**  $t(X \wedge Y) = \max(t(X), t(Y))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t(Y) \geq t(X)$  and  $t(X) \leq n$ . Then  $P(n)_*(X)$  is a free  $P(n)_*$ -module. The product of  $P(n)_*(-)$  theory [9] induces the following map

$$\mu: P(n)_*(X) \otimes_{P(n)_*} P(n)_*(Y) \longrightarrow P(n)_*(X \wedge Y).$$

By the exact functor theorem [12],  $P(n)_*(X) \otimes_{P(n)_*} P(n)_*(-)$  and  $P(n)_*(X \wedge -)$  are homology theories with the same coefficient  $P(n)_*(X)$ . Hence  $\mu$  is an isomorphism. Therefore we have  $t(X \wedge Y) = t(Y)$ . This completes the proof.

Let  $S^N \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$  be a cofiber. Then Johnson-Wilson [3] questioned whether  $\text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(Y) \leq \text{homdim}_{BP_*} BP_*(X) + 1$  holds or not.

**Example 3.** Let  $X, Y$  be complexes defined by the following cofiber

$$\begin{aligned} S^0 &\hookrightarrow V(1) \longrightarrow Y \\ X &\hookrightarrow Y \longrightarrow S^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $BP_*(Y) \simeq \text{Ideal}(p, v_1) \simeq BP_*\sigma \oplus BP_*\tau/p\sigma = v_1\tau$  and  $BP_*(X) \simeq BP_{*+2p-1} \oplus BP_{*+1}$ , so we have  $t(X) = t(S^{2p}) = 0$  but  $t(Y) = 2$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $S^N \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$  be a cofiber. Then  $t(Y) \leq t(X) + m$  where  $m$  is the number of  $Z_p$ -basis of  $H_*(X; Z_p)$ .*

*Proof.* Using the Spanier-Whitehead duality, we shall consider in cohomology theories. Let  $t(X) \leq n$ . Then we have the exact sequence

$$\boxed{\longrightarrow P(n)^*(S^M) \xrightarrow{f^*} P(n)^* \otimes H^*(DX; Z_p) \longrightarrow P(n)^*(DY) \longrightarrow}$$

Let  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$  be a system of  $P(n)^*$ -basis of  $P(n)^*(DX)$  with  $\dim \sigma_i \leq \dim \sigma_{i+1}$ , and let  $\tau$  be a  $P(n)^*$ -module generator of  $P(n)^*(S^M)$ . Let  $f^*\tau = \sum k_i \sigma_i$  where  $k_i \in P(n)^*$ .

To prove the theorem, we may assume that  $t(DY) \geq n + m$ . By induction on  $t$  for  $1 \leq t \leq m$ , we assume that

$$k_i = 0 \pmod{(v_n, \dots, v_{n+t-2})} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t-1.$$

Then, by the Cartan formula of  $r_\alpha, \alpha > 0$  in  $P(n)^*(-)$  theory [11], we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f^* r_\alpha \tau = r_\alpha f^* \tau = r_\alpha (\sum k_i \sigma_i) \\ &= (r_\alpha k_i) \sigma_i + \sum_{j>t} k'_j \sigma_j \pmod{(v_n, \dots, v_{n+t-2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $r_\alpha k_i = 0 \pmod{(v_n, \dots, v_{n+t-2})}$ .

From Proposition 2.11 in [5], we have  $k_i = \lambda v_{n+t-1}^i$  or  $\lambda \pmod{(v_n, \dots, v_{n+t-2})}$  where  $\lambda \in Z_p$ .

Suppose  $k_i = \lambda \neq 0 \pmod{(v_n, \dots, v_{n+t-2})}$ . Then consider the exact sequence of  $P(n+t)^*(-)$  theory

$$\boxed{\longrightarrow P(n+t)^*(S^M) \xrightarrow{f^*} P(n+t)^*(DX) \longrightarrow P(n+t)^*(DY) \longrightarrow}$$

Since  $f^*\tau$  is a  $P(n+t)^*$ -module generator of  $P(n+t)^*(DX)$ ,

$P(n+t)^*(DY)$  is also  $P(n+t)^*$ -free, and so  $t(Y) \leq n+t$ . This contradicts to the first assumption  $t(Y) \geq n+m$ . Hence  $k_i = 0 \pmod{(v_n, \dots, v_{n+t-1})}$ . Therefore we have  $k_i = 0 \pmod{(v_n, \dots, v_{n+m-1})}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

Consider the exact sequence of  $P(n+m)^*(-)$  theory

$$\boxed{\longrightarrow P(n+m)^*(S^M) \xrightarrow{f^*} P(n+m)^*(DX) \longrightarrow P(n+m)^*(DY) \longrightarrow}$$

Since  $f^*\tau = \sum k_i \sigma_i = 0$  in  $P(n+m)^*(DX)$ ,  $P(n+m)^*(DY)$  is also  $P(n+m)^*$ -free. This completes the proof.

**Examples 4.**

(1) When  $X$  is a 2-cell complex, we have  $BP_*(X) \simeq BP_* \oplus BP_*$  or  $BP_*/\lambda p^i$ . Hence  $t(X) \leq 1$ .

(2) When  $X$  is a 3-cell complex, we have  $BP_*(X) \simeq BP_* \oplus BP_*/\lambda p^i$ ,  $BP_* \oplus BP_* \oplus BP_*$ , or  $BP_*\sigma \oplus BP_*\tau/p^i\sigma = v_1\tau$ . Therefore  $t(X) \leq 2$ .

(3) When  $X$  is a 4-cell complex, consider a cofibering  $S^N \xrightarrow{f} Y \longrightarrow X$  where  $Y$  is a 3-cell complex. If  $BP_*(Y) \simeq BP_* \oplus BP_* \oplus BP_*$  then from Theorem 7,  $t(X) \leq 3$ . Otherwise, let  $BP^*$ -module generators of  $BP^*(DY)$ ,  $BP^*(DS^N)$  be  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \tau$  where  $\dim \sigma_1 \leq \dim \sigma_2$ . Let  $f^*\tau = k_1\sigma_1 + k_2\sigma_2$ . Then take the operation  $r_\alpha$  for  $|\alpha| > 0$ ,

$$0 = r_\alpha(f^*(\tau)) = (r_\alpha k_1)\sigma_1 + \sum_{\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, |\alpha_1| > 0} r_{\alpha_1} k_1 \cdot r_{\alpha_2} \sigma_1 + (r_\alpha k_2)\sigma_2.$$

From (2),  $r_\alpha k_1 = 0 \pmod p$ , hence  $k_1 = 0 \pmod{(p, v_1)}$  or  $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , so we have  $r_\alpha k_2 = 0 \pmod{(p, v_1)}$ . Hence, if  $t(X) \geq 3$ ,  $k_2 = 0 \pmod{(p, v_1, v_2)}$ . Therefore, if  $t(X) \geq 3$ ,  $f^* = 0$  in  $P(3)^*(DY)$ . Thus we have  $t(X) \leq 3$ .

*Question 1. If  $X$  is an  $n$ -cell complex,  $t(X) \leq n-1$ ?*

It is clear that  $P(n)_*(X)$  is not necessarily decided by the  $BP_*$ -module structure of  $BP_*(X)$ , in fact  $BP_*(V(1)^{2^p-1}) \simeq BP_*/I_2 \oplus BP_{*+2^p-1} \simeq BP_*(V(1) \vee S^{2^p-1})$  but  $P(n)_*(V(1)^{2^p-1}) \not\simeq P(n)_*(V(1) \vee S^{2^p-1})$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

*Question 2. Is  $t(X)$  decided by the  $BP_*$ -module structure of  $BP_*(X)$ ?*

### References

- [ 1 ] Adams, J. F., Quillen's work on formal group laws and complex cobordism, *Lecture Note, University of Chicago* (1970).
- [ 2 ] Johnson, D. C., Skeleta of complexes with low  $MU$  projective dimension, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **32** (1972), 599-604.
- [ 3 ] ——— and Wilson, W. S., Projective dimension and Brown-Peterson homology, *Topology*, **12** (1973), 327-353.
- [ 4 ] ———,  $BP$  operation and Morava's extraordinary  $K$ -theories, *Math. Z.*, **144** (1975), 55-75.
- [ 5 ] Landweber, P. S., Anihilator ideals and primitive elements in complex bordism, *Ill. J. Math.*, **17** (1973), 273-284.
- [ 6 ] ———, Homological properties of comodule over  $MU_*(MU)$  and  $BP_*(BP)$ , *Amer. J. Math.*, **98** (1976), 591-610.
- [ 7 ] Milnor, J., The Steenrod algebra and its dual, *Ann. Math.*, **67** (1958), 150-171.
- [ 8 ] Oka, S., A new family in the stable homotopy groups of spheres, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **5** (1975), 87-114.
- [ 9 ] Shimada, N. and Yagita, N., Multiplications in the complex bordism theory with singularities, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **12** (1976), 256-293.
- [10] Smith, L., On realizing complex bordism modules II, *Amer. J. Math.*, **93** (1970), 226-263.
- [11] Yagita, N., On the algebraic structure of cobordism operations with singularities, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **16** (1977), 131-141.
- [12] ———, The exact functor theorem for  $BP_*/I_n$ -theory, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **52**(1976), 1-3.

