

## The size of the solvable residual in finite groups

Silvio Dolfi, Marcel Herzog, Gil Kaplan and Arieh Lev

*Dedicated to our colleague and friend Avinoam Mann  
on the occasion of his retirement*

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be a finite group. The *solvable residual* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{Res}(G)$ , is the smallest normal subgroup of  $G$  such that the respective quotient is solvable. We prove that every finite non-trivial group  $G$  with a trivial Fitting subgroup satisfies the inequality  $|\text{Res}(G)| > |G|^\beta$ , where  $\beta = \log(60)/\log(120(24)^{1/3}) \approx 0.700265861$ . The constant  $\beta$  in this inequality can not be replaced by a larger constant.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000).** 20B05, 20D25, 20F14.

**Keywords.** Commutator subgroup, centre, Frattini subgroup, Fitting subgroup, solvable residual.

### 1. Introduction

All groups in this paper are finite. We use the standard notation  $Z(G)$ ,  $\Phi(G)$ ,  $F(G)$  and  $k(G)$  for the centre, the Frattini subgroup, the Fitting subgroup and the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ , respectively. The *solvable residual* of  $G$ ,  $\text{Res}(G)$ , is the smallest normal subgroup of  $G$  such that the respective quotient is solvable; this is the minimal term in the derived series of  $G$ . The *nilpotent residual*,  $U(G)$ , is defined similarly, and, of course, the commutator subgroup  $G'$  is the *abelian residual* of  $G$ . As usual, we denote by  $S_n$  and  $A_n$  the symmetric and alternating groups on  $n$  letters.

In [5] and [7] the authors obtained the following bounds for the commutator subgroup and the nilpotent residual:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a non-abelian group such that  $\Phi(G) = 1$ . Then*

$$|G'| > [G : Z(G)]^{1/2}.$$

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G$  be a non-abelian group such that  $\Phi(G) = 1$  and let  $U = U(G)$ , the nilpotent residual of  $G$ . If  $G$  is of odd order, then*

$$|U| > (2[G : Z(G)])^{1/2},$$

*and if  $G$  is of even order not divisible by a Mersenne or a Fermat prime, then*

$$|U| > [G : Z(G)]^{1/2}.$$

Other related results may be found in [1], [5], [7], [8] and [9]. In their recent paper [6], Guralnick and Robinson proved that  $k(G) \leq (|F(G)||G|)^{1/2}$ , which implies the inequality of Theorem A under the assumption that  $F(G) = 1$ .

In this paper we prove a respective result for  $\text{Res}(G)$ :

**Theorem C.** *Let  $G \neq 1$  be a group such that  $F(G) = 1$ . Then*

$$|\text{Res}(G)| > |G|^{2/3}.$$

*More precisely,*

$$|\text{Res}(G)| > |G|^\beta,$$

*where  $\beta = \log(60)/\log(120(24)^{1/3}) \approx 0.700265861$ .*

Theorem C improves the result of Proposition 2.4 in [7] ( $|\text{Res}(G)| > |G|^{1/2}$  if  $F(G) = 1$ ). In the proof of Theorem C we use the method of that proposition and the classification of the finite non-abelian simple groups. Both proofs rely heavily on J. D. Dixon's Theorem 3 in [3], where it is shown that the order of a solvable subgroup of  $S_n$  is bounded by  $24^{(n-1)/3}$ . The proof of this and related results can be also found in Section 5.8 of [4]; see also [11].

**Remark 1.** The assumption  $F(G) = 1$  can not be omitted in Theorem C. Furthermore, it is not enough to assume  $\Phi(G) = 1$  in Theorem C (unlike Theorem A and Theorem B). Indeed, by taking a direct product of a "large" elementary abelian group and a simple non-abelian group, one can find examples of non-solvable groups  $G$  satisfying  $\Phi(G) = 1$  and  $|\text{Res}(G)| < |G|^\varepsilon$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Remark 2.** The choice of the constant  $\beta$  given in Theorem C is best possible, as the following example shows.

**Example 1** (see [4], Exercise 5.8.6). Let  $H = A_5 \cong \text{PSL}(2, 4)$ . Then  $|H| = 60$  and  $|\text{Aut}(H)| = 120$ . Denote  $L_4 = S_4$ ,  $L_{16} = L_4 \text{ wr } L_4$ , and by induction:  $L_{4^k} = L_{4^{k-1}} \text{ wr } L_4$  for every integer  $k \geq 2$ . Then  $L_{4^k}$  is a solvable subgroup of  $S_{4^k}$  of order  $24^{(4^k-1)/3}$ .

Denote  $m = 4^k$  and let  $G_m = \text{Aut}(H) \text{ wr } L_m$ . Then  $G_m$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(H^m)$  (see [12], Lemma 9.24), and one can verify that  $F(G_m) = 1$ . Furthermore, we have  $\text{Res}(G_m) = \text{Inn}(H)^m$ , and so  $|\text{Res}(G_m)| = 60^m$ , while  $|G_m| = 120^m 24^{(m-1)/3}$ .

Let  $\beta_m = \log(60)/\log(120(24)^{\frac{m-1}{3m}})$ . Then  $|\text{Res}(G_m)| = |G_m|^{\beta_m}$ . Since  $\{\beta_m\}$  is a decreasing sequence and since  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \beta_m = \beta$ , we have that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $|\text{Res}(G_m)| < |G_m|^{\beta+\varepsilon}$ . Thus the choice of  $\beta$  in Theorem C is best possible.

### 2. Proof of Theorem C

We will make use of the following proposition:

**Proposition D.** *Let  $S$  be a non-abelian simple group. Then, for every positive integer  $\alpha$ ,*

$$((24)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{3\alpha}} |\text{Out}(S)|)^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} < |S|,$$

where  $\beta = \log(60)/\log(120(24)^{(1/3)})$ .

*Proof.* Since the sequence  $\{\frac{\alpha-1}{3\alpha}\}$  is an increasing sequence which converges to  $1/3$ , it suffices to show that

$$((24)^{1/3} |\text{Out}(S)|)^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} \leq |S|.$$

We note first that if  $|\text{Out}(S)| = 2, 3$  or  $4$ , then, for the above inequality to hold, it suffices that the value of  $|S|$  will be at least  $60, 155$  and  $304$ , respectively. Note further that  $24^{1/3} < 2.9$  and  $\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} < 2.4$ . We will use the above values in the sequel.

In the following, we refer to tables 5.1.A, 5.1.B and 5.1.C in [10].

First, observe that if  $S$  is sporadic or alternating, then  $|\text{Out}(S)| \leq 2$ , with the exception  $|\text{Out}(A_6)| = 4$  (see [2], remark on p. ix and Table 1). Then, since  $|S| \geq 60$  and  $|A_6| = 360$ , we are done in this case.

Hence, we are left with the simple groups of Lie type over a field of  $q$  elements. As in [10], we denote  $q = p^f$ , where  $p$  is a prime. We start with the simple classical groups and consider the following cases:

I)  $S = A_{n-1}(q)$  (i.e.  $S = \text{PSL}_n(q)$ ),  $n \geq 2$ . Here

$$|S| = \frac{1}{d} q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^n (q^i - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{Out}(S)| = \begin{cases} df & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 2df & \text{if } n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

where  $d = (n, q - 1)$ .

We consider first the case  $n \geq 3$ . Using the fact that  $d \leq q - 1$  and by the remark at the beginning of the proof, it suffices to show that  $(2.9 \cdot 2(q - 1)f)^{2.4} \leq |S|$ .

Since the left hand side of the inequality is independent of  $n$  and since the right hand side is an increasing function of  $n$ , it suffices to show that  $(2.9 \cdot 2(q - 1)f)^{2.4} \leq \frac{1}{q-1}q^3(q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1) = \frac{1}{q-1}(q - 1)^2q^3(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)$ , and in particular, it is enough to show that  $(2.9 \cdot 2(q - 1)f)^{2.4} \leq (q - 1)q^6$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $(5.8f)^{2.4} \leq q^{4.6} = p^{4.6f}$ . This inequality holds for all integers  $p \geq 2$  and  $f \geq 1$  with the only exception  $p = 2$  and  $f = 1$  (i.e.  $q = 2$ ). However, in that case we have  $|\text{Out}(S)| = 2$ ,  $|S| = 168$  and the result follows.

Consider now the case  $n = 2$ . Since  $S$  is not simple for  $q = 2, 3$ , we consider the case  $q \geq 4$ . Since  $|\text{Out}(S)| = 2$  for  $q = 4, 5, 7$  (while of course  $|S| \geq 60$ ), and since  $|\text{Out}(S)| \leq 4$  for  $q \leq 25$  (while  $|S| \geq 304$  for  $q \geq 8$ ), it is enough to check the case  $q \geq 27$ . As before, it suffices to show that  $(2.9 \cdot df)^{2.4} \leq |S| = \frac{1}{d}q(q^2 - 1) = \frac{1}{d}p^f(p^{2f} - 1)$ . If  $p = 2$  then  $d = 1$  and (by the above considerations)  $f \geq 5$ , while the last inequality reduces to  $(2.9f)^{2.4} \leq 2^f(2^{2f} - 1)$  which holds for every  $f \geq 5$ . Therefore, we may assume that  $p \geq 3$ . Furthermore, if  $p = 3$  or  $5$  then  $f \geq 3$ , while  $f \geq 1$  otherwise. Since the inequality  $(5.8f)^{2.4} \leq \frac{1}{2}p^f(p^{2f} - 1)$  holds when either  $p = 3$  or  $5$  and  $f \geq 3$  or  $p \geq 7$  and  $f \geq 1$ , the result follows.

II)  $S = {}^2A_{n-1}(q) = U_n(q)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Here we have

$$|S| = \frac{1}{d}q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^n (q^i - (-1)^i) \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{Out}(S)| = \begin{cases} df & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 2df & \text{if } n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

where  $d = (n, q + 1)$ .

If  $n = 2$ , then  $|S|$  and  $|\text{Out}(S)|$  are the same as in the previous case. Assume now that  $n = 3$ . Then  $|S| = \frac{1}{d}q^3(q^2 - 1)(q^3 + 1)$ , where  $d \in \{1, 3\}$ . If  $q = 2$  then  $|S| = 72$  and  $S$  is not simple. When  $q \geq 3$  it is enough to set  $d = 3$  (the ‘‘worst case’’) and check the inequality  $(2.9 \cdot 2 \cdot 3f)^{2.4} \leq \frac{1}{3}q^7 = \frac{1}{3}p^{7f}$ , which is easily verified for every  $p \geq 3, f \geq 2$ . When  $p = 3$  and  $f = 1$  we have  $|\text{Out}(S)| = 2$ , and so we may apply the remark at the beginning of the proof.

If  $n \geq 4$ , then it is enough to check (the ‘‘worst case’’  $d = q + 1$ ) that  $(2.9 \cdot 2 \cdot (q + 1)f)^{2.4} \leq \frac{1}{q+1}q^6(q^2 - 1)(q^3 + 1)(q^4 - 1)$ . Thus it certainly suffices to check that  $(5.8 \cdot 2qf)^{2.4} \leq q^{12}$ , i.e.  $(5.8 \cdot 2p^f f)^{2.4} \leq p^{12f}$  for every  $p \geq 2, f \geq 1$ . This inequality is easily verified and so we are done in this case.

III) Either  $S = \text{PSp}_{2m}(q) = C_m(q)$  (where  $m \geq 2$ ) or  $S = \Omega_{2m+1}(q) = B_m(q)$  (where  $m \geq 2$  and  $q$  is odd). Here we have

$$|S| = \frac{1}{d}q^{m^2} \prod_{i=1}^m (q^{2i} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{Out}(S)| = \begin{cases} 2f & \text{if } m = 2 \\ df & \text{if } m \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

with  $d = (2, q - 1)$  if  $S = \text{PSp}_{2m}(q)$ , while  $d = 2$  if  $S = \Omega_{2m+1}(q)$ . Except the case  $S = \text{PSp}_4(q)$  (where  $m = 2$ ) with  $q$  even, it is clear that  $|S|$  exceeds  $|\text{PSL}_m(q)|$ ,

while  $|\text{Out}(S)|$  does not exceed  $|\text{Out}(\text{PSL}_m(q))|$ . Thus, with the above exception, the validity of the required inequality follows by the arguments given in case I. However, in the case  $S = \text{PSP}_4(q)$  we have  $|\text{Out}(S)| = 2f$  and  $|S| = p^{4f}(p^{2f} - 1)(p^{4f} - 1)$ . Since the inequality  $(2.9 \cdot 2f)^{2.4} \leq p^{4f}(p^{2f} - 1)(p^{4f} - 1)$  holds for every  $p \geq 2$  and  $f \geq 1$  (it suffices to check the case  $p = 2$  and  $f = 1$ ), the checking of this case is completed.

IV) Either  $S = P\Omega_{2m}^+(q) = D_m(q)$ , where  $m \geq 3$  and

$$|S| = \frac{1}{d}q^{m(m-1)}(q^m - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (q^{2i} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{Out}(S)| = \begin{cases} 2df & \text{if } m \neq 4 \\ 6df & \text{if } m = 4 \end{cases}$$

with  $d = (4, q^m - 1)$ , or  $S = P\Omega_{2m}^-(q) = {}^2D_m(q)$ , where  $m \geq 2$  and

$$|S| = \frac{1}{d}q^{m(m-1)}(q^m + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (q^{2i} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{Out}(S)| = 2df$$

with  $d = (4, q^m + 1)$ .

We notice that in this case some of the groups are isomorphic to groups discussed in previous cases (see [2], p. xii). However, for the convenience of the reader we provide a direct argument for all the groups considered.

Consider first the case that  $q = 2$ . Then, except the case  $S = P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$ ,  $m = 4$ , we have  $|\text{Out}(S)| = 2$ , and so we are done by the remark at the beginning of the proof. If  $S = P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$ ,  $m = 4$ , then  $|\text{Out}(S)| = 6$ , while  $|S| = 2^{12}(2^4 - 1) \cdot (2^2 - 1)(2^4 - 1)(2^6 - 1)$ . Since  $(2.9 \cdot 6)^{2.4} \leq |S|$ , the case that  $q = 2$  is completed.

The case  $S = P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$ ,  $m = 4$  for  $q \geq 3$  is checked by verifying the inequality  $(2.9 \cdot 24f)^{2.4} \leq \frac{1}{4}p^{12f}(p^{4f} - 1)(p^{2f} - 1)(p^{4f} - 1)(p^{6f} - 1)$  for every  $p \geq 3$ ,  $f \geq 1$  and for  $p = 2$ ,  $f \geq 2$ .

Assume now  $q = 3$ ,  $S = P\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$ ,  $m = 2$ . Then  $|\text{Out}(S)| = 4$  and  $|S| = 360 \geq 304$ , hence the result follows by the remark at the beginning of the proof. The case  $q = 3$ ,  $m \geq 3$  for  $P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$  and  $P\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$  (excluding the possibility  $S = P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$ ,  $m = 4$ , which was already done) is done by verifying the inequality  $(2.9 \cdot 8)^{2.4} \leq \frac{1}{4}3^6 \cdot (3^3 - 1) \cdot (3^2 - 1) \cdot (3^4 - 1)$  (the right hand side is a lower bound for  $|S|$  in this case).

In the case  $q = 4$ , for  $P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$  and  $P\Omega_{2m}^-(q)$  (excluding the possibility  $S = P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$ ,  $m = 4$ , which was already done), we have  $d = 1$ ,  $|\text{Out}(S)| = 4$ , and so it suffices to verify the inequality  $(2.9 \cdot 4)^{2.4} \leq 4^2 \cdot (4^2 + 1) \cdot (4^2 - 1)$  (the right hand side is a lower bound for  $|S|$ ).

It is left to consider the case  $q \geq 5$ . Since the inequality  $(2.9 \cdot 8f)^{2.4} \leq \frac{1}{4}p^f m(m-1)(p^{fm} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (p^{2fi} - 1)$  holds for every  $p \geq 5$ ,  $m \geq 2$ ,  $f \geq 1$  (it suffices to check for  $p = 5$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $f = 1$ ), we are done. Hence case IV is completed.

It remains to consider the simple exceptional groups. Assume first that  $|\text{Out}(S)| = f$  (see [10], Table 5.1.B). Then  $|S| \geq q^4 = p^{4f}$ . Hence, we have only to consider the inequality  $(2.9f)^{2.4} \leq p^{4f}$ . Since this inequality holds for every  $p \geq 2$  and  $f \geq 1$ , we are done in this case. Assume now that  $|\text{Out}(S)| > f$ . Then we observe (Table 5.1.B again) that  $|\text{Out}(S)| \leq 6f$  and  $|S| \geq q^{12}$  in this case. Hence, since the inequality  $(1.9 \cdot 6f)^{2.4} \leq q^{12} = p^{12f}$  holds for every  $p \geq 2$  and  $f \geq 1$ , the proof of Proposition D is completed.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem C.* Apply induction on  $|G|$ . Let  $N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and let  $N_1/N$  be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of  $G/N$ . Then  $F(G/N_1) = 1$ . Suppose first that  $N_1 < G$ . Then from  $F(N_1) \leq F(G) = 1$  it follows by induction that

$$(1) \quad |\text{Res}(G) \cap N_1| \geq |\text{Res}(N_1)| > |N_1|^\beta.$$

By applying further the inductive hypothesis to  $G/N_1$ , we obtain

$$(2) \quad |\text{Res}(G) : \text{Res}(G) \cap N_1| = |\text{Res}(G)N_1/N_1| = |\text{Res}(G/N_1)| > |G/N_1|^\beta.$$

Now from (1) and (2) follows  $|\text{Res}(G)| > |G|^\beta$ , as claimed.

Thus we may assume from now on that  $N_1 = G$ , i.e.,  $G/N$  is solvable and  $\text{Res}(G) = N = T^\alpha$ , a direct product, where  $T$  is a simple non-abelian group (recall that  $F(G) = 1$ ) and  $\alpha \geq 1$  is an integer. We notice that  $N$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Indeed, suppose on the contrary that there exists another minimal normal subgroup, say  $M$ , of  $G$ . Then  $M \cap N = 1$  and  $M$  is embedded in the solvable group  $G/N$ , contradicting  $F(G) = 1$ .

We deduce that  $C_G(N) = 1$  and  $N \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(N) = \text{Aut}(T) \text{ wr } S_\alpha$  (see [12], Lemma 9.24). Thus  $G/N$  is a solvable group embedded in  $\text{Out}(T) \text{ wr } S_\alpha$ . Any element of  $G/N$  has the form  $(b, \sigma)$ , where  $b$  belongs to the base subgroup of  $\text{Out}(T) \text{ wr } S_\alpha$  and  $\sigma \in S_\alpha$ . Then the function  $(b, \sigma) \mapsto \sigma$  is a homomorphism from  $G/N$  into  $S_\alpha$ . Denote the image of this homomorphism by  $D$ . Then  $D$  is a solvable subgroup of  $S_\alpha$  and thus, by [3], Theorem 3,  $|D| \leq f(\alpha) := 24^{(\alpha-1)/3}$ . Since  $|G/N| \leq |\text{Out}(T)|^\alpha \cdot |D|$ , it follows that  $|G/N| \leq |\text{Out}(T)|^\alpha \cdot f(\alpha)$ . Since  $\text{Res}(G) = N$  and since we want to show that  $|N| > |G|^\beta$ , it suffices to show that  $|G/N| < |N|^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} = |T|^{\alpha \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}$ . For that, it is enough to check that  $|\text{Out}(T)| f(\alpha)^{1/\alpha} < |T|^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}$  for each simple non-abelian group  $T$ . Thus, it suffices to show that  $(24^{\frac{\alpha-1}{3\alpha}} |\text{Out}(T)|)^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} < |T|$  holds for every positive integer  $\alpha$  and every non-abelian simple group  $T$ . Since this inequality holds by Proposition D, the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Bianchi, A. Gillio, H. Heineken, and L. Verardi, Groups with big centralizers. *Istit. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. A* **130** (1996), 25–42 (1997). [MR 1620400](#)
- [2] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*. Oxford University Press, Oxford 1985. [Zbl 0568.20001](#) [MR 0827219](#)
- [3] J. D. Dixon, The Fitting subgroup of a linear solvable group. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **7** (1967), 417–424. [Zbl 0153.04001](#) [MR 0230814](#)
- [4] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation groups*. Grad. Texts in Math. 163, Springer-Verlag, New York 1996. [Zbl 0951.20001](#) [MR 1409812](#)
- [5] S. Dolfi, M. Herzog, G. Kaplan, and A. Lev, On the size of the nilpotent residual in finite groups. *J. Group Theory* **10** (2007), 299–305. [Zbl 05166574](#) [MR 2320969](#)
- [6] R. M. Guralnick and G. R. Robinson, On the commuting probability in finite groups. *J. Algebra* **300** (2006), 509–528. [Zbl 1100.20045](#) [MR 2228209](#)
- [7] M. Herzog, G. Kaplan, and A. Lev, The size of the commutator subgroup of a finite group, *J. Algebra*, to appear.
- [8] G. Kaplan and A. Lev, The existence of large commutator subgroups in factors and subgroups of non-nilpotent groups. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **85** (2005), 197–202. [Zbl 1093.20009](#) [MR 2172377](#)
- [9] G. Kaplan and A. Lev, On groups satisfying  $|G'| > [G : Z(G)]^{1/2}$ . *Beiträge Algebra Geom.* **47** (2006), 271–274. [Zbl 1102.20021](#) [MR 2246538](#)
- [10] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990. [Zbl 0697.20004](#) [MR 1057341](#)
- [11] P. P. Pálffy, A polynomial bound for the orders of primitive solvable groups. *J. Algebra* **77** (1982), 127–137. [Zbl 0489.20004](#) [MR 665168](#)
- [12] J. S. Rose, *A course on group theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1978; reprinted by Dover Publications, New York, 1994. [Zbl 0371.20001](#) [MR 0498810](#)

Received December 13, 2006; revised January 25, 2007

S. Dolfi, Dipartimento di Matematica “U. Dini”, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Firenze, 50134 Italy

E-mail: [silvio.dolfi@math.unifi.it](mailto:silvio.dolfi@math.unifi.it)

M. Herzog, School of Mathematical Sciences, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel

E-mail: [herzogm@post.tau.ac.il](mailto:herzogm@post.tau.ac.il)

G. Kaplan, School of Computer Sciences, The Academic College of Tel-Aviv-Yaffo, 4 Antokolsky St., Tel-Aviv 64044, Israel

E-mail: [gilk@mta.ac.il](mailto:gilk@mta.ac.il)

A. Lev, School of Computer Sciences, The Academic College of Tel-Aviv-Yaffo, 4 Antokolsky St., Tel-Aviv 64044, Israel

E-mail: [arieh@mta.ac.il](mailto:arieh@mta.ac.il)