Groups Geom. Dyn. 7 (2013), 617–632 DOI 10.4171/GGD/200

**Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics** © European Mathematical Society

# **Sofic measure entropy via finite partitions**

David Kerr

**Abstract.** We give a generator-free formulation of sofic measure entropy using finite partitions and establish a Kolmogorov–Sinai theorem. We also show how to compute the values for general Bernoulli actions in a concise way using the arguments of Bowen in the finite base case.

#### **Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** 37A35.

**Keywords.** Entropy, sofic group.

#### **1. Introduction**

Kolmogorov introduced the notion of entropy for a measure-preserving transformation of a probability space by first definin[g it](#page-15-0) locally on partitions as a limit of averages of the Shannon entropy under iteration and then showing that all generating partitions with finite Shannon entropy yield the same value. To handle the case in which there is no such generating partition, Sinai proposed taking the supremum over all finite partitions, thereby furnishing w[ha](#page-15-0)t i[s n](#page-15-0)ow the standard definition. The Kolmogorov– Sinai theorem asserts that this supremum coincides with Kolmogorov's entropy in the presence of a generator. Ultimately it was realized that this set-up works most generally for measure-preserving actions of amenable groups, and in the case of a countably infinite amenable group Ornstein and Weiss showed that entropy is a complete invariant for Bernoulli actions [7].

Recently Lewis Bowen greatly extended the scope of this classical theory to measure-preserving actions of countable sofic groups by replacing the internal information-theoretic approach of Kolmogorov with the statistical-mechanical idea of counting external finite models [1], [3]. Bowen first defined the dynamical entropy of a finite partition by measuring the exponential growth of the number of models with respect to a fixed sofic approximation sequence for the group, and then he showed that any two generating finite partitions produce the same value. By a limiting process he also extended this analysis to partitions with finite Shannon entropy. Bowen was thereby able to extend the Ornstein–Weiss entropy classification of Bernoulli actions

to a wide class of nonamenable acting groups, including all nontorsion countably infinite sofic groups.

For various r[ea](#page-15-0)sons, including the possibility of formulating a variational principle, one would like to remove the ge[ne](#page-15-0)rator assumption in Bowen's definition. Sinai's solution of taking a supremum over finite partitions does not work in this case, as illustrated by the fact that any two nontrivial Bernoulli actions of a countable group containing the free group  $F_2$  factor onto one another [2]. To circumvent this problem, Hanfeng Li and the author developed an operator algebra approach using [app](#page-15-0)roximate homomorphisms that allows one to broaden the meaning of generator to bounded sequences of functions in  $L^{\infty}$ . This leads to a completely general notion of sofic measure entropy, as well as a topological counterpart and a variational principle relating the two [5]. As in Bowen's setting, when the acting group is amenable one recovers the Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy [6].

The question still remained, however, whether there exists a generator-free definition that uses only finite partitions, in the spirit of Sinai. The aim of this note is to provide such a definition and to establish a Kolmogorov–Sinai theorem for it, which we do in Section 2. We continue to use the homomorphism per[spec](#page-4-0)tive of [5], although we avoid linearizing and work only with algebras of sets. The novelty here is to define the entropy locally with respect to two partitions playing different roles. The sofic modeling of the dynamics is expressed with [re](#page-15-0)s[pec](#page-15-0)t to one partition, as in Lewis Bow[en'](#page-15-0)s original approach, while the second partition is used to expr[ess](#page-10-0) the observ[ati](#page-6-0)onal scale at which we are able to distinguish different models, in analogy with Ruf[us](#page-15-0) Bowen's  $(n, \varepsilon)$ -separated set definition of topological entropy for homeomorphisms. We take an infimum over all partitions playing the first role while holding the second one fixed, and then take a supremum over all partitions playing the second role. We then prove a Kolmogorov–Sinai theorem (Theorem 2.6) which says that to compute the entropy it suffices that all of these partitions range within a given generating  $\sigma$ -algebra. This provides for a relatively concise computation of the entropy of general Bernoulli actions of sofic groups (cf. [1], [4]) which builds on arguments from [1]. We provide complete details of this computation in Section 4. In Section 3 we show that our definition of sofic measure entropy is equivalent to the one from [5] and hence also, in the presence of a generating partition with finite Shannon entropy, to Lewis Bowen's definition.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0900938. I thank Lewis Bowen and Hanfeng Li for corrections and Benjamin Weiss for helpful comments.

### **2. Definitions and a Kolmogorov–Sinai theorem**

Let G be a countable sofic group. We will denote its identity element by  $e$ . Soficity means that there exist a sequence  $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of positive integers and a sequence

<span id="page-2-0"></span> ${\{\sigma_i : G \to \text{Sym}(d_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}}$  which is asymptotically multiplicative and free in the sense that that 1

$$
\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} |\{k \in \{1, ..., d_i\} : \sigma_{i, st}(k) = \sigma_{i, s} \sigma_{i, t}(k)\}| = 1
$$

for all  $s, t \in G$  and

$$
\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} |\{k \in \{1, \ldots, d_i\} : \sigma_{i,s}(k) \neq \sigma_{i,t}(k)\}| = 1
$$

for all distinct  $s, t \in G$ . Throughout the paper  $\Sigma = {\sigma_i : G \to Sym(d_i)}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  will<br>be a fixed such sofic approximation sequence for which  $d_i \to \infty$ . The condition be a fixed such sofic approximation sequence for which  $d_i \rightarrow \infty$ . The condition  $d_i \rightarrow \infty$  permits us to avoid certain pathologies (cf. [5], [6]) and is automatic if G is infinite.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $G \cap X$  a measure-preserving action, which we fix for the purposes of this section and the next.

Write  $\mathcal{P}_d$  for the power set of  $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ , which we view as an algebra. The uniform probability measure on  $\{1, \ldots, d\}$  will always be written  $\zeta$ . For a family  $\Omega$ of subsets of a set X we write  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  for the algebra generated by  $\Omega$ . For a measurable partition  $\alpha$  and a finite set  $F \subseteq G$  we write  $\alpha_F$  for the partition  $\{\bigcap_{s \in F} sA_s : A \in \alpha^F\}$ where  $A_s$  denotes the value of A at s.

We write  $N_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, \rho)$  for the maximal cardinality of an  $(\varepsilon, \rho)$ -separated subset, i.e., a subset which is  $\varepsilon$ -separated with respect to  $\rho$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\alpha$  be a finite measurable partition of X, F a finite subset of G, and  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a map from G to Sym(d) for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define Hom<sub> $\mu$ </sub>( $\alpha$ ,  $F$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\sigma$ ) to be the set of all homomorphisms  $\alpha : A(\alpha_F) \to P$ , such that to be the set of all homomorphisms  $\varphi : A(\alpha_F) \to \mathcal{P}_d$  such that

- (i)  $\sum_{A \in \alpha} |\sigma_s \varphi(A) \Delta \varphi(sA)|/d < \delta$  for all  $s \in F$ , and
- (ii)  $\sum_{A \in \alpha_F} |\zeta(\varphi(A)) \mu(A)| < \delta.$

For a partition  $\xi \le \alpha$ , write  $|\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)|_{\xi}$  for the cardinality of the set of rictions of elements of Hom,  $(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$  to  $\xi$ . Using sums in (i) and (ii) of Defrestrictions of elements of Hom<sub> $\mu$ </sub>( $\alpha$ ,  $F$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\sigma$ ) to  $\xi$ . Using sums in (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.1 ensures the convenient monotonicity property that  $\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma) \supseteq \text{Hom}_{\alpha}(\alpha', F', \delta', \sigma)$  and hence  $\text{Hom}_{\alpha}(\alpha', F, \delta, \sigma)$  is  $\text{Hom}_{\alpha}(\alpha', F', \delta', \sigma)$  when Hom<sub> $\mu$ </sub> ( $\alpha'$ ,  $F'$ ,  $\delta'$ ,  $\sigma$ ) and hence  $\left[\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)\right]_{\xi} \geq \left[\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha', F', \delta', \sigma)\right]_{\xi'}$  when-<br>ever  $\alpha \leq \alpha'$ ,  $F \subset F'$ ,  $\delta > \delta'$ , and  $\xi > \xi'$ ever  $\alpha \le \alpha'$ ,  $F \subseteq F'$ ,  $\delta \ge \delta'$ , and  $\xi \ge \xi'$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let S be a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\xi$  and  $\alpha$  be finite measurable partitions of X with  $\alpha \geq \xi$ . Let F be a nonempty finite subset of G and  $\delta > 0$ . We define

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha, F, \delta) = \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log |\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\xi},
$$
  

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha, F) = \inf_{\delta > 0} h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha, F, \delta),
$$
  

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha) = \inf_{F} h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha, F),
$$

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\mathcal{S}) = \inf_{\alpha} h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha),
$$
  

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\mathcal{S}) = \sup_{\xi} h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\mathcal{S})
$$

where the infimum in the third line is over all nonempty finite subsets of  $G$ , the infimum in the fourth line is over all finite partitions  $\alpha \subseteq S$  which refine  $\xi$ , and the<br>supremum in the last line is over all finite partitions in S. If Hom  $(\alpha \in S, \alpha)$  is supremum in the last line is over all finite partitions in S. If  $\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)$  is empty for all sufficiently large i, we set  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha, F, \delta) = -\infty$ .

**Definition 2.3.** The measure entropy  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G)$  of the action with respect to  $\Sigma$  is defined as  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\mathcal{B})$ .

We now aim to establish in Theorem 2.6 the analogue of the Kolmogorov–Sinai theorem in our context.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\alpha$  be a finite measurable partition of X and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for every subalgebra  $\delta$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\max_{A \in \alpha} \inf_{B \in \mathcal{S}} \mu(A \Delta B) < \delta$  there *exists a homomorphism*  $\theta$ :  $A(\alpha) \to S$  *satisfying*  $\mu(\theta(A)\Delta A) < \varepsilon$  *for all*  $A \in A(\alpha)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$ , and let S be a subalgebra of B such that  $\max_{A \in \alpha} \inf_{B \in \mathcal{S}} \mu(A \Delta B) <$  $δ.$  Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  be an enumeration of the elements of α. For  $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ we recursively define  $\theta(A_i)$  to be an element of S contained in the complement of  $\theta(A_1) \cup \cdots \cup \theta(A_{i-1})$  such that  $\mu(\theta(A_i) \Delta A_i)$  is within  $\delta$  of the infimum of its possible<br>values. Then define  $\theta(A_1)$  to be the complement of  $\theta(A_1) \cup \cdots \cup \theta(A_{i-1})$  which values. Then define  $\theta(A_n)$  to be the complement of  $\theta(A_1) \cup \cdots \cup \theta(A_{n-1})$ , which gives us a homomorphism  $\theta$ :  $\mathcal{A}(\alpha) \to \hat{S}$ . It is then readily seen that if  $\delta$  is small<br>enough as a function of s and  $\alpha$  we will have  $\mu(\theta(A) \wedge A) < s$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ enough as a function of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\alpha$  we will have  $\mu(\theta(A)\Delta A) < \varepsilon$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ .  $\square$ 

Define on the set of all homomorphisms from some subalgebra of  $\mathcal B$  containing  $\xi$  to  $\mathcal{P}_d$  the pseudometric

$$
\rho_{\xi}(\varphi, \psi) = \max_{A \in \xi} \frac{1}{d} |\varphi(A) \Delta \psi(A)|.
$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  set

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi,\varepsilon}(\alpha, F, \delta) = \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log N_{\varepsilon}(\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i), \rho_{\xi}),
$$
  

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi,\varepsilon}(\alpha, F) = \inf_{\delta > 0} h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi,\varepsilon}(\alpha, F, \delta),
$$
  

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi,\varepsilon}(\alpha) = \inf_{F} h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi,\varepsilon}(\alpha, F)
$$

where the last infimum is over the nonempty finite subsets of G.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\gamma$  be a finite measurable partition and let  $\kappa > 0$ . Then there is  $an \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\gamma}(\beta) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\beta) + \kappa$  for all finite measurable partitions  $\beta$ *refining .*

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

<span id="page-4-0"></span>*Proof.* This [f](#page-3-0)ollows from the fact that, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., d\}$ , and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of all  $B \subseteq \{1, ..., d\}$  such that  $\zeta(B \wedge A) \leq c$  has cardinality at most  $\begin{pmatrix} d \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ the set of all  $B \subseteq \{1, ..., d\}$  such that  $\zeta(B\Delta A) < \varepsilon$  has cardinality at most  $\begin{pmatrix} d \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$  $\begin{pmatrix} d \\ \epsilon d \end{pmatrix}$ which by Stirling's approximation is less than  $e^{\kappa d}$  for some  $\kappa > 0$  depending on  $\varepsilon$ but not on d with  $\kappa \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.6.** Let *S* be a generating subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X,G) = h_{\Sigma,\mu}(S)$ .

*Proof.* By symmetry it suffices to show that if  $T$  is another generating subalgebra of B then  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\mathcal{T}) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\mathcal{S}).$ <br>Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a finite partition.

Let  $\gamma$  be a finite partition in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $\kappa > 0$ . By Lemma 2.5 there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\gamma}(\beta) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\gamma,\epsilon}(\beta) + \kappa$  for all finite partitions  $\beta \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  which refine  $\gamma$ .<br>Since S is generating there are a finite partition  $\xi \subseteq S$  and a nonemuon

Since  $S$  is generating there are a finite partition  $\xi \subseteq S$  and a nonempty finite<br>  $K \subset G$  such that for every  $B \in \mathcal{Y}$  there is an  $\Upsilon_B \subset \xi^K$  for which the set set  $K \subseteq G$  such that for every  $B \in \gamma$  there is an  $\Upsilon_B \subseteq \xi^K$  for which the set  $B' = \square_{\gamma \in \gamma} \bigcap_{\gamma \in \gamma} S^{\gamma}$  satisfies  $\mu(B \wedge B') \le \gamma/16$  $B' = \bigcup_{Y \in \Upsilon_B} \bigcap_{s \in K} sY_s$  satisfies  $\mu(B \Delta B') < \varepsilon/16$ .<br>Take a finite partition  $\alpha \subset S$  with  $\alpha > \varepsilon$  a finite

Take a finite partition  $\alpha \subseteq S$  with  $\alpha \ge \xi$ , a finite set  $F \subseteq G$  contai[ning](#page-3-0)  $K \cup \{e\}$ , and a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$
\limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log |\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\xi} \le h_{\Sigma, \mu}^{\xi}(\delta) + \kappa.
$$

By shrinking  $\delta$  if necessary we may assume that it is less than  $\varepsilon/(8|\xi^K||K|)$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is generating, there are a finite partition  $\beta \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  refining  $\gamma$  and a finite set  $E \subseteq G$ <br>containing e such that for every  $A \in \alpha$  there is a  $\Delta_A \subseteq B^E$  for which the set  $A' =$ containing <sup>e</sup> such that for every <sup>A</sup> <sup>2</sup> ˛<sup>F</sup> there is a <sup>ƒ</sup><sup>A</sup> - ˇ<sup>E</sup> for which the set A Containing e such that for every  $A \in \alpha_F$  there is a  $A \subseteq \beta^-$  for which the set  $A = \bigcup_{Y \in A} \bigcap_{s \in E} sY_s$  satisfies  $\mu(A \Delta A') < \delta/(12|\alpha^F|)$ . Applying Lemma 2.4 and using the fact that  $a \in E$  so that  $\beta_{\text{E}}$   $\geq \beta_{\text{E}}$ the fact that  $e \in F$  so that  $\beta_{FE} \ge \beta_E$ , we may assume that the quantities  $\mu(A\Delta A)$ <br>for  $A \in \alpha_E$  are small enough so that there is a homomorphism  $\theta: A(\alpha_E) \to A(\beta_{EF})$ for  $A \in \alpha_F$  are small enough so that there is a homomorphism  $\theta$ :  $\mathcal{A}(\alpha_F) \to \mathcal{A}(\beta_{FE})$ such that  $\mu(\theta(A)\Delta A)$  is less than both  $\delta/(12|\alpha^F|)$  and  $\epsilon/(16|\xi^K|)$  for all  $A \in \alpha_F$ .

Take a  $\delta' > 0$  which is smaller than  $\delta/(9|\alpha F||\beta E||E|)$  and also small enough so that every  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mu}(\beta, FE, \delta', \sigma)$  satisfies  $\zeta(\varphi(B)) \leq 2\mu(B)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{A}(\beta_{FE})$ .<br>Let  $\sigma$  be a man from G to Sym(d) for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  which we assume to be a good

Let  $\sigma$  be a map from G to Sym(d) for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  which we assume to be a good<br>ugh sofic approximation to obtain an estimate below. Let  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}$ ,  $(\beta \text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\beta \text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\alpha \text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\alpha$ enough sofic approximation to obtain an estimate below. Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mu}(\beta, FE, \delta', \sigma)$ .<br>Set  $\varphi^{\parallel} = \varphi \circ \theta$ . We will show that  $\varphi^{\parallel} \in \text{Hom}_{\alpha}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$ . Set  $\varphi^{\natural} = \varphi \circ \theta$ . We will show that  $\varphi^{\natural} \in \text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$ .<br>Let  $t \in F$ . Then for every  $A \in \alpha$  we have assuming

Let  $t \in F$ . Then for every  $A \in \alpha$  we have, assuming that  $\sigma$  is a good enough connection sofic approximation,

$$
\frac{1}{d}|\varphi(tA')\Delta\sigma_t\varphi(A')| \leq \sum_{Y \in \Lambda_A} \sum_{s \in E} \frac{1}{d}|\varphi(tsY_s)\Delta\sigma_t\varphi(sY_s)|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{Y \in \Lambda_A} \sum_{s \in E} \frac{1}{d}(|\varphi(tsY_s)\Delta\sigma_{ts}\varphi(Y_s)| + |\sigma_{ts}\varphi(Y_s)\Delta\sigma_t\sigma_s\varphi(Y_s)| + |\sigma_{ts}\varphi(Y_s)\Delta\sigma_t\sigma_s\varphi(Y_s)|)
$$
\n
$$
< 3|\beta^E||E|\delta' < \frac{\delta}{3|\alpha|}
$$

and  $\mu(\theta(tA)\Delta tA') \leq \mu(\theta(tA)\Delta tA) + \mu(A\Delta A') < \delta/(6|\alpha|)$ , whence

$$
\sum_{A \in \alpha} \frac{1}{d} |\varphi^{\natural}(tA) \Delta \sigma_t \varphi^{\natural}(A)| \leq \sum_{A \in \alpha} \frac{1}{d} (|\varphi(\theta(tA) \Delta t A')| + |\varphi(tA') \Delta \sigma_t \varphi(A')| + |\sigma_t \varphi(A' \Delta \theta(A))|)
$$
  
< 
$$
< 2|\alpha| \mu(\theta(tA) \Delta t A') + \frac{\delta}{3} + 2|\alpha| \mu(A' \Delta \theta(A)) < \delta.
$$

Also, for every  $A \in \alpha_F$  we have  $|\zeta(\varphi(A')) - \mu(A')| < \delta' < \delta/(3|\alpha^F|)$  and  $\mu(\theta(A)\Delta A') \leq \mu(\theta(A)\Delta A) + \mu(A\Delta A') < \delta/(6|\alpha^F|)$  and so

$$
\sum_{A \in \alpha_F} |\zeta(\varphi^{\natural}(A)) - \mu(A)| \leq \sum_{A \in \alpha_F} (\zeta(\varphi(\theta(A)\Delta A')) + |\zeta(\varphi(A')) - \mu(A')|
$$
  
+ 
$$
\mu(A'\Delta A))
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{A \in \alpha_F} (2\mu(\theta(A)\Delta A') + 2 \cdot \frac{\delta}{3|\alpha^F|}) < \delta.
$$

Thus  $\varphi^{\dagger} \in \text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$ .

Let  $\Gamma: \text{Hom}_{\mu}(\beta, FE, \delta', \sigma) \to \text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$  be the map  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^{\natural}$ . Take an <br>> 0 such that  $s' < s/(\mathcal{B}|\xi^K||K|)$ . Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be elements of Hom.  $(\beta, FF, \delta', \sigma)$  $\varepsilon' > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon/(8|\xi^K||K|)$ . Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be elements of  $\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\beta, FE, \delta', \sigma)$ <br>with  $\rho_0(\varphi^{\dagger}|\psi^{\dagger}) < 2\varepsilon'$ . For every  $R \in \mathcal{U}$  we have with  $\rho_{\xi}(\varphi^{\dagger}, \psi^{\dagger}) < 2\varepsilon'$ . For every  $B \in \gamma$  we have

$$
\frac{1}{d}|\varphi^{\natural}(B')\Delta\psi^{\natural}(B')| \leq \sum_{Y \in \Upsilon_B} \sum_{s \in K} \frac{1}{d}|\varphi^{\natural}(sY_s)\Delta\psi^{\natural}(sY_s)|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{Y \in \Upsilon_B} \sum_{s \in K} \frac{1}{d}(|\varphi^{\natural}(sY_s)\Delta\sigma_s\varphi^{\natural}(Y_s)| + |\sigma_s(\varphi^{\natural}(Y_s)\Delta\psi^{\natural}(Y_s))| + |\sigma_s(\varphi^{\natural}(Y_s)\Delta\psi^{\natural}(Y_s))|
$$
\n
$$
< |\xi^K||K|(\delta + 2\varepsilon' + \delta) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

and

$$
\mu(B\Delta\theta(B')) \leq \mu(B\Delta B') + \mu(B'\Delta\theta(B'))
$$
  

$$
< \frac{\varepsilon}{16} + \sum_{Y \in \Upsilon_B} \mu((\bigcap_{s \in K} sY_s)\Delta\theta(\bigcap_{s \in K} sY_s))
$$
  

$$
< \frac{\varepsilon}{16} + |\Upsilon_B| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{16|\xi^K|} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}
$$

<span id="page-6-0"></span>and hence

$$
\rho_{\gamma}(\varphi, \psi) = \max_{B \in \gamma} \frac{1}{d} |\varphi(B) \Delta \psi(B)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \max_{B \in \gamma} \frac{1}{d} (|\varphi(B \Delta \theta(B'))| + |\varphi^{\dagger}(B') \Delta \psi^{\dagger}(B')| + |\psi(\theta(B') \Delta B)|)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq 4 \max_{B \in \gamma} \mu(B \Delta \theta(B')) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon.
$$

It follows that for every  $(\varepsilon, \rho_\gamma)$ -separated set  $Q \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mu}(\beta, FE, \delta', \sigma)$  the image  $\Gamma(Q)$  is  $(\varepsilon' \circ \rho_0)$ -separated and so  $\Gamma(Q)$  is  $(\varepsilon', \rho_{\xi})$ -separated, and so

 $N_{\varepsilon}(\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\beta, FE, \delta', \sigma), \rho_{\gamma}) \leq N_{\varepsilon'}(\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma), \rho_{\xi}) \leq |\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)|_{\xi}.$ 

Consequently,

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\gamma}(\mathcal{T}) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\gamma}(\beta) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\beta) + \kappa
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log N_{\varepsilon}(\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\beta, FE, \delta', \sigma_i), \rho_{\gamma}) + \kappa
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log |\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\xi} + \kappa
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\delta) + 2\kappa \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\delta) + 2\kappa.
$$

[Sin](#page-15-0)ce  $\gamma$  was an arbitrary finite partition in  $\tilde{\tau}$  and  $\kappa$  an arbitrary positive number, we conclude that  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\mathcal{T}) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}(S)$ , as desired.  $\Box$ 

#### **3. [Com](#page-15-0)parison with prior definitions**

In this section we continue the notational conventions of the previous section, with the additional assumption that the probability space  $X$  is standard. Our aim is to prove that  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G)$  agrees with the entropy defined in Section 2 of [5]. By Section 3 of [6], this will also show that  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G)$  agrees with Bowen's entropy in the presence of a generating partition with finite Shannon entropy.

Write  $h'_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G)$  for the sofic measure entropy as defined in Section 2 of [5]. We will use the following equivalent formulation of  $h'_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G)$  in terms of topological models (see Section 3 of  $[6]$ ). Suppose that X is a compact metrizable space, the action of G on X is by homeomorphisms, and  $\rho$  is a compatible metric on X. For a given  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define on the set of all maps from  $\{1, \ldots, d\}$  to X the pseudometrics

$$
\rho_2(\varphi, \psi) = \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=1}^d \rho(\varphi(k), \psi(k))^2\right)^{1/2}
$$

$$
\rho_\infty(\varphi, \psi) = \max_{k=1,\dots,d} \rho(\varphi(k), \psi(k)).
$$

;

Let F be a nonempty finite subset of G, L a finite subset of  $C(X)$ , and  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\sigma$ be a map from G to Sym(d) for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . We write  $\text{Map}_{\mu}(\rho, F, L, \delta, \sigma)$  for the set of all maps  $\omega : \{1, \ldots, d\} \to X$  such that writing t for the action of G on Y set of all maps  $\varphi: \{1, \ldots, d\} \to X$  such that, writing  $\iota$  for the action of G on X,

- (i)  $\rho_2(\varphi \circ \sigma_s, \iota_s \circ \varphi) < \delta$  for all  $s \in F$ , and
- (ii)  $|(\varphi_* \zeta)(f) \mu(f)| < \delta$  for all  $f \in L$ .

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  we define

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\varepsilon}(\rho, F, L, \delta) = \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log N_{\varepsilon}(\text{Map}_{\mu}(\rho, F, L, \delta, \sigma_i), \rho_{\infty}),
$$
  

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\varepsilon}(\rho) = \inf_{F} \inf_{L} \inf_{\delta > 0} h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\varepsilon}(\rho, F, L, \delta),
$$
  

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\rho) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\varepsilon}(\rho),
$$

where in the second line L ranges over the finite subsets of  $C(X)$  and F ranges over the nonempty finite subsets of G. By Proposition 3.4 of [6] we have  $h'_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G) =$ <br> $h_{\Sigma}$  (0) a fact which will be used tacitly in the proof below  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\rho)$ , a fact which will be used tacitly in the proof below.

**Theorem 3.1.**  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G) = h'_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G)$ .

*Proof.* We begin by showing that  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G) \leq h'_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G)$ . Suppose first that we are in the case  $h_{\Sigma}$ ,  $(X, G) \leq \infty$ . Then given a  $\kappa > 0$  we can find a finite measurable are in the case  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X,G) < \infty$ . Then given a  $\kappa > 0$  we can find a finite measurable partition  $\xi$  of X such that  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X,G) \leq h^{\xi}_{\Sigma,\mu}(\mathcal{B}) + \kappa$ . By replacing X with the spectrum of some sensorable unitel G inverse  $\Gamma^*$  subglocking of  $L^{\infty}(X,\mu)$  containst spectrum of some separable unital G-invariant C\*-subalgebra of  $L^{\infty}(X,\mu)$  containing the characteristic functions of the atoms of  $\xi$ , we may assume that X is a compact metrizable space with compatible metric  $\rho$ , the action of G is by homeomorphisms, and  $\xi$  is a clopen partition of X.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be smaller than the minimum of the Hausdorff distances between B and B' over all distinct  $B, B' \in \xi$ . Let F be a finite symmetric subset of G containing e and L a finite subset of  $C(X)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$ , to be further specified. Let  $\delta' > 0$ , to be further specified as a function of  $\delta$ , n,  $|\alpha_F|$ , and L. Let  $\sigma$ :  $G \to Sym(d)$  be a good enough sofic approximation for a purpose to be described shortly.

Let  $\alpha = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$  be a finite measurable partition of X refining  $\xi$  such that each  $A_i$  has diameter less than  $\delta$ . Given a  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta', \sigma)$ , we construct a map  $\hat{\varphi}$ :  $\{1, \ldots, d\} \rightarrow X$  by considering for each  $k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$  the element  $f \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^F$  such that  $k \in \varphi(\bigcap_{t \in F} tA_{f(t)})$  and defining  $\hat{\varphi}(k)$  to be any point in  $\bigcap_{t \in F} tA_{f(t)}$  of  $\varphi(k)$  is equal to be any point in  $\bigcap_{t \in F} tA_{f(t)}$ . Given an  $s \in F$ , set

$$
C_s = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left( \sigma_s^{-1} \varphi(A_i) \cap \varphi(s^{-1} A_i) \right).
$$

Assuming  $\sigma$  is a good enough sofic approximation so that the restrictions of  $\sigma_s^{-1}$ and  $\sigma_{s^{-1}}$  agree on a subset of proportional size sufficiently close to one, we will

have  $|C_s|/d \geq 1 - 2n\delta'$ . Now for  $k \in C_s$  and  $i = 1, ..., n$  we have, writing  $\Upsilon_{e,i}$  for the set of all  $f \in \{1, ..., n\}^F$  such that  $f(e) = i$  and  $\Upsilon_{e,i}$ , for the set of all for the set of all  $f \in \{1, ..., n\}^F$  such that  $f(e) = i$  and  $\Upsilon_{s-1,i}$  for the set of all  $f \in \{1, ..., n\}^F$  such that  $f(s-1) = i$  $f \in \{1, ..., n\}^F$  such that  $f(s^{-1}) = i$ ,

$$
\hat{\varphi}(\sigma_{s}(k)) \in A_{i} = \bigcup_{f \in \Upsilon_{e,i}} \bigcap_{t \in F} t A_{f(t)} \iff \sigma_{s}(k) \in \bigcup_{f \in \Upsilon_{e,i}} \varphi\Big(\bigcap_{t \in F} t A_{f(t)}\Big) = \varphi(A_{i})
$$
\n
$$
\iff k \in \sigma_{s}^{-1} \varphi(A_{i})
$$
\n
$$
\iff k \in \varphi(s^{-1}A_{i}) = \bigcup_{f \in \Upsilon_{s-1,i}} \varphi\Big(\bigcap_{t \in F} t A_{f(t)}\Big)
$$
\n
$$
\iff \hat{\varphi}(k) \in \bigcup_{f \in \Upsilon_{s-1,i}} \bigcap_{t \in F} t A_{f(t)} = s^{-1}A_{i}
$$
\n
$$
\iff \hat{\varphi}(k) \in A_{i}.
$$

Since the diameter of  $A_i$  is less than  $\delta'$  this shows that  $\rho(\hat{\varphi}(\sigma_s(k)), s\hat{\varphi}(k)) < \delta'$ <br>and hence if  $\delta'$  is small enough as a function of  $\delta$  and *n* that  $\rho_0(\hat{\varphi} \circ \sigma_s, l_s \circ \hat{\varphi}) <$ and hence, if  $\delta'$  is small enough as a function of  $\delta$  and n, that  $\rho_2(\hat{\varphi} \circ \sigma_s, \iota_s \circ \hat{\varphi}) < \delta$  for all  $s \in F$  where  $\iota$  denotes the action of  $G$  on  $X$  also for  $A \in \alpha_F$  we  $\delta$  for all  $s \in F$  where  $\iota$  denotes the action of G on X. Also, for  $A \in \alpha_F$  we have  $\hat{\varphi}_* \zeta(1_A) = \zeta(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(A)) = \zeta(\varphi(A))$ , and thus for  $f \in L$  if we find scalars  $\zeta \zeta_A$  such that  $||f| - \sum_{\zeta \in L} \zeta(A) ||g| \le \frac{\delta}{3}$  and take  $\delta'$  small enough so that  $c_{f,A}$  such that  $||f - \sum_{A \in \alpha_F} c_{f,A} 1_A||_{\infty} < \delta/3$  and take  $\delta'$  small enough so that  $\sum_{A \in \alpha_F}^{\infty} |c_{f,A}| |\zeta(\varphi(A)) - \mu(A)| < \delta/3$  we will have

$$
|(\hat{\varphi}_*\xi)(f) - \mu(f)| \le |(\hat{\varphi}_*\xi)\Big(f - \sum_{A \in \alpha_F} c_{f,A}1_A\Big)\Big| + \sum_{A \in \alpha_F} |c_{f,A}||\xi(\varphi(A)) - \mu(A)| + \Big|\mu\Big(\sum_{A \in \alpha_F} c_{f,A}1_A - f\Big)\Big| < \delta.
$$

Consequently  $\hat{\varphi} \in \text{Map}_{\mu}(\rho, F, L, \delta, \sigma)$ . Moreover, if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are elements of Hom<sub> $\mu$ </sub>( $\alpha$ ,  $F$ ,  $\delta'$ ,  $\sigma$ ) whose restrictions to  $\xi$  differ, then  $\rho_{\infty}(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\psi}) > \varepsilon$  by our choice<br>of  $\varepsilon$  and so Hom. ( $\alpha \in \delta'$ ,  $\sigma$ )  $\zeta \le N$ . (Map ( $\alpha \in I$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\sigma$ ),  $\rho_{\infty}$ ). Hence of  $\varepsilon$ , and so  $|\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta', \sigma)|_{\xi} \leq N_{\varepsilon}(\text{Map}_{\mu}(\rho, F, L, \delta, \sigma), \rho_{\infty})$ . Hence

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha, F, \delta') \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\varepsilon}(\rho, F, L, \delta)
$$

and so

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X,G)-\kappa\leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\mathcal{B})\leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\varepsilon}(\rho)\leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\rho)=h_{\Sigma,\mu}'(X,G).
$$

Since *k* was an arbitrary positive number we thus obtain  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X,G) \leq h'_{\Sigma,\mu}(X,G)$ .<br>In the case  $h_{\Sigma}$ ,  $(X,G) = \infty$  we can aroue in the same way only starting with the In the case  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G) = \infty$  we can argue in the same way only starting with the fact that for every  $M > 0$  there is a finite measurable partition  $\xi$  of X satisfying fact that for every  $M > 0$  there is a finite measurable partition  $\xi$  of X satisfying  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\mathcal{B}) \geq M.$ <br>We now est

We now establish the reverse inequality. By replacing X with the spectrum of the unital G-invariant C\*-subalgebra of  $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  generated by the characteristic functions of some countable generating collection of measurable sets, we may assume that X is a zero-dimensional compact metrizable space with compatible metric  $\rho$  and that the action of G is by homeomorphisms. Suppose that we are in the case  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\rho)$  $\infty$ . Then given a  $\kappa > 0$  there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\rho) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\varepsilon}(\rho) + \kappa$ . Pick a clonen partition  $\varepsilon$  of X such that the diameter of each of its atoms is less than  $\varepsilon$ . Take a clopen partition  $\xi$  of X such that the diameter of each of its atoms is less than  $\varepsilon$ . Take a finite measurable partition  $\alpha = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$  of X refining  $\xi$ , a finite symmetric subset F of G containing e, and a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha, F, \delta) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\mathcal{B}) + \kappa$ .

Now suppose that  $\beta = \{B_1, \ldots, B_n\}$  is an ordered measurable partition of X refining  $\xi$  such that max<sub>i=1</sub>,...,n  $\mu(A_i \Delta B_i)$  is smaller than some prescribed  $\lambda > 0$ and for each  $i = 1, ..., n$  the sets  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are contained in the same atom of  $\xi$ . Given a map  $\sigma: G \to Sym(d)$ , define a map  $\theta: A(\alpha_F) \to A(\beta_F)$  by setting  $\theta(\bigcap_{r \in A} A(r)) = \bigcap_{r \in B} A(r)$  of all  $f \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^F$  for which  $\bigcap_{r \in A} A(r) \neq 0$  $\theta(\bigcap_{t\in F} tA_{f(t)}) = \bigcap_{t\in F} tB_{f(t)}$  for all  $f \in \{1, ..., n\}^F$  for which  $\bigcap_{t\in F} tA_{f(t)} \neq \emptyset$ .<br>We would like  $\theta$  to be a homomorphism which restricts to the identity on  $\xi$  and we  $\emptyset$ . We would like  $\theta$  to be a homomorphism which restricts to the identity on  $\xi$ , and we can modify it so as to have this property by taking for each  $C \in \xi$  an  $f_C \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^F$ such that  $\bigcap_{t \in F} tA_{f_C(t)}$  is a nonempty subset of C and redefining  $\theta(\bigcap_{t \in F} tA_{f_C(t)})$ <br>so that  $\theta(C) = C$ . Then if  $\sigma$  is a sufficiently good sofic approximation and  $\lambda$  is small so that  $\theta(C) = C$ . Then if  $\sigma$  is a sufficiently good sofic approximation and  $\lambda$  is small<br>enough, composing an element of Hom.  $(B, F, \lambda/2, \sigma)$  with  $\theta$  will yield an element enough, composing an element of Hom $\mu(\beta, F, \delta/2, \sigma)$  with  $\theta$  will yield an element of  $\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$ , in which case  $\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\beta, F, \delta/2, \sigma)|_{\xi} \leq \text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)|_{\xi}$ <br>since  $\theta$  is the identity on  $\xi$ . By a standard approximation argument one can find such since  $\theta$  is the identity on  $\xi$ . By a standard approximation argument one can find such a  $\beta$  consisting of clopen sets, and so we may assume that  $\alpha$  itself is a clopen partition of  $X$ .

Let  $\delta' > 0$  be smaller than the Hausdorff distance between  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  for all distinct  $i, j = 1, ..., n$ . Write L for the set of characteristic functions of the atoms of  $\alpha_F$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a map from G to Sym(d) for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\delta''$  be a positive number smaller than  $|\alpha_F|^{-1}\delta$  to be further specified. Given a  $\delta''$  be a positive number smaller than  $|\alpha_F|^{-1}\delta$ , to be further specified. Given a  $\alpha \in \text{Man}$  ( $\alpha F L \delta'' \sigma$ ) we construct a homomorphism  $\hat{\alpha} : A(\alpha_F) \to \mathcal{P}$ , by  $\varphi \in \text{Map}_{\mu}(\rho, F, L, \delta'', \sigma)$ , we construct a homomorphism  $\hat{\varphi} \colon \overline{A}(\alpha_F) \to \mathcal{P}_d$  by declering  $\hat{\varphi}(\bigcirc_{k=1}^{\infty} L_k)$  for an  $f \in [1, \ldots, n]$  is to be the set of all  $k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ declaring  $\hat{\varphi}(\bigcap_{t \in F} tA_{f(t)})$  for an  $f \in \{1, ..., n\}^F$  to be the set of all  $k \in \{1, ..., d\}$ <br>such that  $\varphi(k) \in \bigcap_{t \in F} tA_{f(t)}$ . For  $s \in F$  write  $D_t$  for the set of all  $k \in \{1, ..., d\}$ . such that  $\varphi(k) \in \bigcap_{t \in F} tA_{f(t)}$ . For  $s \in F$  write  $D_s$  for the set of all  $k \in \{1, ..., d\}$ <br>such that  $\rho(\varphi(\sigma^{-1}(k)) \circ \tau^{-1}\varphi(k)) \leq \delta'$ . Assuming that  $\delta''$  is sufficiently small such that  $\rho(\varphi(\sigma_s^{-1}(k)), s^{-1}\varphi(k)) < \delta'$ . Assuming that  $\delta''$  is sufficiently small and that  $\sigma$  is a good enough sofic approximation so that the restrictions of  $\sigma_s^{-1}$ and  $\sigma_{s^{-1}}$  agree on a subset of proportional size sufficiently close to one, we have  $|D_s|/d \geq 1 - \delta/n$ . Also, for  $k \in D_s$  and  $i = 1, ..., n$  we have, writing  $\Upsilon_{e,i}$ for the set of all  $f \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^F$  such that  $f(e) = i$  and  $\Upsilon_{s,i}$  for the set of all  $f \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^F$  such that  $f(s) = i$ ,

$$
k \in \sigma_s \hat{\varphi}(A_i) \iff \sigma_s^{-1}(k) \in \hat{\varphi}(A_i) = \bigcup_{f \in \Upsilon_{e,i}} \hat{\varphi}\Big(\bigcap_{t \in F} t A_{f(t)}\Big)
$$
  

$$
\iff \varphi(\sigma_s^{-1}(k)) \in \bigcup_{f \in \Upsilon_{e,i}} \bigcap_{t \in F} t A_{f(t)} = A_i
$$
  

$$
\iff \varphi(k) \in sA_i = \bigcup_{f \in \Upsilon_{s,i}} \bigcap_{t \in F} t A_{f(t)} \quad \text{(by our choice of } \delta')
$$

$$
\iff k \in \bigcup_{f \in \Upsilon_{s,i}} \hat{\varphi} \Big( \bigcap_{t \in F} t A_{f(t)} \Big) = \hat{\varphi}(sA_i).
$$

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Consequently  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\sigma_s \hat{\varphi}(A_i) \Delta \hat{\varphi}(sA_i)| < \delta$  for all  $s \in F$ . Since  $\hat{\zeta}(\hat{\varphi}(A)) =$ <br>(a,  $\hat{\zeta}(A)$  for every  $A \in \alpha_F$  so that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{\zeta}(\hat{\varphi}(A)) - \mu(A)| < |\alpha_F| \delta'' < \delta$  if  $(\varphi_* \zeta)(1_A)$  for every  $A \in \alpha_F$  so that  $\sum_{A \in \alpha_F} |\zeta(\hat{\varphi}(A)) - \mu(A)| < |\alpha_F| \delta'' < \delta$ , it follows that  $\hat{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}$   $(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$ follows that  $\hat{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$ .

Now since the atoms of  $\xi$  all have diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ , we have  $\hat{\varphi}|_{\xi} \neq \hat{\psi}|_{\xi}$  for all  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Map}_{\mu}(\rho, F, L, \delta, \sigma)$  satisfying  $\rho_{\infty}(\varphi, \psi) > \varepsilon$ . Therefore

$$
N_{\varepsilon}(\text{Map}_{\mu}(\rho, F, L, \delta'', \sigma), \rho_{\infty}) \leq |\text{Hom}_{\mu}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)|_{\xi}
$$

and hence  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\varepsilon}(\rho, F, L, \delta'') \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha, F, \delta)$ . We thus deduce that

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\rho)-\kappa\leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\varepsilon}(\rho)\leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha)\leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X,G)+\kappa.
$$

Si[n](#page-2-0)ce *k* was an [arb](#page-2-0)itrary positive number we concl[ude t](#page-2-0)hat  $h'_{\Sigma,\mu}(X,G) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X,G)$ .<br>In the case  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(0) = \infty$  we can apply the same argument only starting from the In the case  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(\rho) = \infty$  we can apply the same argument only [star](#page-4-0)ting from the fact that for every  $M > 0$  there is an  $s > 0$  for which  $h^{\varepsilon}$  (o) > M fact that [fo](#page-15-0)r every  $M > 0$  there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\varepsilon}(\rho) \geq M$ .

## **4. Bernoulli actions**

Here we show how to compute the sofic entropy of Bernoulli actions according to Definition 2.2. This depends crucially on the asymptotic freeness of the sofic approximation sequence, in contrast to Definition 2.2 and Theorem 2.6, which do not depend on it at all. The following lemma will permit us to reduce the computation to Bowen's arguments in the finite base case [1].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let*  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  *be a probability space and*  $G \sim X$  *a measure-preserving action.* Let  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\alpha$  be finite measurable partitions of X such that  $\alpha$  refines  $\xi$ *and . Then*

- (i)  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha) \leq H_{\mu}(\xi),$
- (ii)  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha) \geq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\alpha) H_{\mu}(\eta|\xi).$

*Proof.* First we prove (i). Write  $\xi = \{B_1, \ldots, B_n\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the continuity properties of  $H(\cdot)$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for all  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $\gamma = \{C_1, \ldots, C_n\}$ <br>is an ordered partition of  $\{1, \ldots, d\}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |u(R_i) - \gamma(C_i)| < \delta$  then  $|H_{i}(k)$ is an ordered partition of  $\{1, ..., d\}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu(B_i) - \zeta(C_i)| < \delta$  then  $|H_{\mu}(\xi) - H_{\epsilon}(\psi)| < \epsilon$ .  $H_{\xi}(\gamma) < \varepsilon$ .<br>Fix a d  $\epsilon$ 

Fix a  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Write T for the set of tuples  $(c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in \{1/d, 2/d \ldots, 1\}^n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i = 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu(B_i) - c_i| < \delta$ . For each  $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in T$  write  $W_c$  for the set of all ordered partitions  $\gamma = \{C_1, \ldots, C_n\}$  of  $\{1, \ldots, d\}$  such that

 $|C_i|/d = c_i$  for every  $i = 1, ..., n$ . By our choice of  $\delta$  and Stirling's approximation, we have, assuming  $d$  is sufficiently large,

$$
|W_c| = \frac{d!}{(c_1d)!\cdots(c_nd)!} \le \prod_{i=1}^n c_i^{-c_i d(1+\varepsilon)} \le e^{d(1+\varepsilon)(H_\mu(\xi)+\varepsilon)}
$$

for every  $c \in T$ . Note also that  $|T| \le (2\delta d)^n$  since there are at most  $2\delta d$  choices for the value of each  $c_i$  among the elements of T. The total number of homomorphisms  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}(\xi) \to \mathcal{P}_d$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\zeta(\varphi(B_i)) - \mu(B_i)| < \delta$  is equal to  $|\bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{T}} W_c|$ , and from what we have just observed this is bounded above by  $(2\delta d)^n e^{d(1+\varepsilon)(H_\mu(\xi)+\varepsilon)}$ from what we have just observed this is bounded above by  $(2\delta d)^n e^{d(1+\epsilon)}(H_\mu(\xi)+\epsilon)$ . **Hence** 

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha,\{e\},\delta) \leq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\xi,\{e\},\delta) \leq (1+\varepsilon)(H_{\mu}(\xi)+\varepsilon).
$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was an arbitrary positive number we obtain (i).

Now let us prove (ii). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Write  $\xi = \{B_1, \ldots, B_m\}$ . For each  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ write  $\eta_i = \{C_{i,1},\ldots,C_{i,n_i}\}\$  for the partition of  $B_i$  consisting of the intersections of the members of  $\eta$  with  $B_i$ . By the continuity properties of  $H(\cdot)$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for all  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $\psi : A(\alpha) \to \mathcal{P}_d$  is a homomorphism satisfying  $\sum_{A \in \alpha} |\zeta(\psi(A)) - \psi(A)| < \delta$  then  $|H_{\alpha}(n)| \leq C$  for every  $i = 1$  is much where  $\psi$  is  $|\mu(A)| < \delta$  then  $|H_{\mu_i}(\eta_i) - H_{\xi_i}(\psi(\eta_i))| < \varepsilon$  for every  $i = 1, ..., m$ , where  $\mu_i$  is  $\mu_i(R_i)$  is  $\mu_i(R_i)$  is  $\ell(\psi(R_i))$  is  $\ell(\psi(R_i))$  inner the restriction  $\mu(B_i)^{-1}$  times the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $B_i$  and  $\zeta_i$  is  $\zeta(\psi(B_i))^{-1}$  times the restriction of  $\zeta$  to  $\psi(B_i)$ , unless  $\zeta(\psi(B_i)) = 0$  in which case we interpret  $H_{\zeta_i}(\psi(\eta_i))$  to mean zero.

Let  $\delta' > 0$  be such that  $\delta'(\sum_{i=1}^m H_{\mu_i}(\eta_i)) \leq \delta$ . Suppose we are given a homo-<br>rphism  $\psi: \mathcal{A}(\alpha) \to \mathcal{P}_\ell$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} |\zeta(\psi(A)) - \mu(A)| < \delta'$ . Write  $\Omega_i$  for morphism  $\psi: \mathcal{A}(\alpha) \to \mathcal{P}_d$  satisfying  $\sum_{A \in \alpha} |\xi(\psi(A)) - \mu(A)| < \delta'$ . Write  $Q_i$  for the set of all ordered pertitions  $\{D_i\}$ , of  $\psi(R_i)$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_i} |\mu(C_i)|$ morphism  $\psi: \mathcal{A}(\alpha) \to \mathcal{F}_d$  satisfying  $\sum_{A \in \alpha} |\mathcal{S}(\psi(A)) - \mu(A)| < \delta$ . While  $Q_i$  for<br>the set of all ordered partitions  $\{D_1, \ldots, D_{n_i}\}$  of  $\psi(B_i)$  satisfying  $\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} |\mu(C_{i,j}) - \mathcal{E}(D_i)| < \delta'$ . Then the set of all  $\zeta(D_j) \leq \delta'$ . Then the set of all restrictions to  $\eta$  of homomorphisms  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}(\alpha) \to \mathcal{P}_d$ <br>which satisfy  $\sum |\zeta(\varphi(A)) - \psi(A)| \leq \delta'$  and restrict to  $\psi$  on  $\xi$  has cardinal which satisfy  $\sum_{A \in \alpha} |\zeta(\varphi(A)) - \mu(A)| < \delta'$  and restrict to  $\psi$  on  $\xi$  has cardinal-<br>ity at most  $\prod_{i=1}^{m} |Q_i|$ . By an estimate as in the second paragraph using Stir-<br>ling's approximation assuming d is large enough the ling's approximation, assuming d is large enough the set  $Q_i$  has cardinality at most  $(2\delta' d)^{n_i} e^{d\xi(\psi(B_i))(1+\varepsilon)(H_{\mu_i}(\eta_i)+\varepsilon)}$ , and since

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta(\psi(B_i)) H_{\mu_i}(\eta_i) < \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mu(B_i) + \delta') H_{\mu_i}(\eta_i)
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu(B_i) H_{\mu_i}(\eta_i) + \delta = H_{\mu}(\eta | \xi) + \delta
$$

this gives

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} |Q_i| \le (2\delta'd)^{|\xi| \cdot |\eta|} e^{d(1+\varepsilon)(H_\mu(\eta|\xi) + \delta + \varepsilon)}.
$$

It follows that for every nonempty finite set  $F \subseteq G$  we have

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha, F, \delta') \ge h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\alpha, F, \delta') - (1 + \varepsilon)(H_{\mu}(\eta|\xi) + \delta + \varepsilon)
$$

and hence  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha) \geq h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\alpha) - (1 + \varepsilon)(H_{\mu}(\eta|\xi) + \varepsilon)$  as  $\delta$  can be taken arbitrarily<br>small and  $\delta'$  can always be chosen to be less than  $\delta$ . Since a western arbitrary positive small and  $\delta'$  can always be chosen to be less than  $\delta$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  was an arbitrary positive number, this yields (ii). П

We note in passing that, in the case  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}(X, G) \neq -\infty$ , Lemma 4.1 (ii) [sho](#page-10-0)ws<br>trained a subalgebra S of B, we have that, given a subalgebra  $S$  of  $B$ , we have

$$
|h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\mathcal{S}) - h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\mathcal{S})| \le \max(H_{\mu}(\xi|\eta), H_{\mu}(\eta|\xi))
$$

for all finite partitions  $\xi$ ,  $\eta \subseteq S$ . Indeed, given such  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  and an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take a finite<br>partition  $\alpha \subseteq S$  such that  $\alpha > \xi$  and  $h^{\xi}$ .  $(\alpha) \leq h^{\xi}$ .  $(S) + \varepsilon$  and a finite partition partition  $\alpha \subseteq S$  such that  $\alpha \geq \xi$  and  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha) < h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(S) + \varepsilon$  and a finite partition  $\alpha' \subseteq S$  such that  $\alpha' \ge \eta$  and  $h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\alpha') < h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\beta) + \varepsilon$ . Using monotonicity we may assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are equal by replacing them both with  $\alpha \vee \alpha'$ . Lemma 4.1 (ii) then vields then yields

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\mathcal{S}) - h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\mathcal{S}) < h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\alpha) - h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha) + \varepsilon \le H_{\mu}(\eta|\xi) + \varepsilon
$$

and similarly

$$
h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\mathcal{S}) - h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\mathcal{S}) < h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\alpha) - h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\alpha) + \varepsilon \le H_{\mu}(\xi|\eta) + \varepsilon
$$

so that  $|h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\eta}(\mathcal{S}) - h_{\Sigma,\mu}^{\xi}(\mathcal{S})| \leq \max(H_{\mu}(\xi|\eta), H_{\mu}(\eta|\xi)) + \varepsilon$ , which give[s the](#page-4-0) desired inequality as  $\varepsilon$  was an arbitrary positi[ve n](#page-10-0)umber.

For a probability space  $(Y, v)$  we define  $H(v)$  to be the supremum of  $H<sub>v</sub>(\alpha)$  over all finite measurable partitions  $\alpha$  of Y.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(Y, v)$  be a probability space and let  $G \sim (Y^G, v^G)$  be the *ass[ocia](#page-10-0)ted Bernou[lli](#page-15-0) action. Then*  $h_{\Sigma,\nu}G(Y^G, G) = H(\nu)$ .

*Proof.* Let S be the algebra consisting of those measurable subsets of  $Y^G$  which are cylinder sets over e. Then  $S$  is generating and so it suffices by Theorem 2.6 to show that  $h_{\Sigma,\nu}G(\mathcal{S}) = H(\nu)$ . By Lemma 4.1 (i) we have  $h_{\Sigma,\nu}G(\mathcal{S}) \leq H(\nu)$ , and so we concentrate on the reverse inequality concentrate on the reverse inequality.

Let  $\xi$  and  $\alpha$  be finite partitions in S with  $\alpha \geq \xi$ . We will show that  $h^{\xi}_{\Sigma, \nu^G}(\alpha) \geq$  $H_v G(\xi)$ , from which the desired inequality  $h_{\Sigma,v} G(\xi) \geq H(v)$  ensues. By Lem-<br>ma 4.1 (ii) we need only prove that  $h^{\alpha}$  (c)  $> H_c(\alpha)$ . This is essentially contained ma 4.1 (ii) we need only prove that  $h^{\alpha}_{\Sigma,\nu}$   $(\alpha) \geq H_{\nu}G(\alpha)$ . This is essentially contained<br>in Theorem 8.1 in [11], and we will reproduce the ergument from there in Theorem 8.1 in [1], and we will reproduce the argument from there.

Let  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\eta > 0$  be such that  $2\eta < |\alpha|^{-|F|}\delta$ . Let F be a finite subset  $\beta$  containing  $e \text{ and } d \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a map from G to Sym(d) which is a of G containing e. Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a map from G to Sym(d) which is a sufficiently good sofic approximation to satisfy a couple of conditions to be specified sufficiently good sofic approximation to satisfy a couple of conditions to be specified below. Write V for the set of all  $v \in \{1, ..., d\}$  such that  $\sigma_s^{-1}(v) \neq \sigma_t^{-1}(v)$  for all distinct  $s, t \in F$ distinct  $s, t \in F$ .

Enumerate the elements of  $\alpha$  as  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ . Write  $\kappa$  for the probability measure on  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  determined by  $\kappa(\{i\}) = v^G(A_i)$ . We view  $\{1, \ldots, n\}^d$  as a probability space with the product measure  $\kappa^d$ .

Fix an  $f \in \{1, ..., n\}^F$ . Given a  $\gamma \in \{1, ..., n\}^d$  we can think of it as an ordered<br>tition  $\{y^{-1}(1) = y^{-1}(n)\}$  of  $\{1, ..., n\}^d$  and in accord with this viewpoint we set partition  $\{\gamma^{-1}(1), \ldots, \gamma^{-1}(n)\}$  of  $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ , and in accord with this viewpoint we set<br> $Q_{\alpha, \xi} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_{\alpha} \gamma^{-1}(f(\xi))$  Write  $P_{\xi}$  for  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_{\xi}$  For every  $\gamma \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^d$  $Q_{\gamma,f} = \bigcap_{s \in F} \sigma_s \gamma^{-1}(f(s))$ . Write  $P_f$  for  $\bigcap_{s \in F} sA_{f(s)}$ . For every  $\gamma \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^d$ <br>denote by  $\varphi$ , the homomorphism  $A(\alpha_F) \to \mathcal{P}_d$  determined by  $\varphi_{\gamma}(P_{\gamma}) = O_{\gamma,\beta}$ denote by  $\varphi_{\gamma}$  the homomorphism  $\mathcal{A}(\alpha_F) \to \mathcal{P}_d$  determined by  $\varphi_{\gamma}(P_f) = Q_{\gamma,f}$ . Note that for  $s \in F$  and  $i = 1, ..., n$  we have, writing  $\Upsilon_{s,i}$  for the set of  $f \in$  $\{1, \ldots, n\}^F$  such that  $f(s) = i$ ,

$$
sA_i = sA_i \cap X = sA_i \cap \Big(\bigcap_{t \in F \setminus \{s\}} \prod_{j=1}^n tA_j\Big) = \bigsqcup_{f \in \Upsilon_{s,i}} P_f
$$

and similarly  $\sigma_s \gamma^{-1}(i) = \bigsqcup_{f \in \Upsilon_{s,i}} Q_{\gamma,f}$  so that

$$
|\varphi_{\gamma}(sA_i)\Delta\sigma_s\varphi_{\gamma}(A_i)| \leq |\sigma_s(\gamma^{-1}(i)\Delta\sigma_e\gamma^{-1}(i))|
$$
  

$$
\leq |\{v \in \{1,\ldots,d\} : \sigma_e(v) \neq v\}| < \delta d
$$

assuming  $\sigma$  is a good enough sofic approximation. Thus we get that condition (i) in the definition of Hom<sub>v</sub> $G(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$  is satisfied by  $\varphi_{\gamma}$  for all  $\gamma$ . We now aim to get a lower bound on the number of  $\gamma$  for which  $\varphi_{\gamma}$  satisfies condition (ii) in the same definition and hence lies in Hom<sub>v</sub> $G$   $(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$ .

For  $v \in \{1, ..., d\}$  we let  $Z_v = Z_{v,f}$  be the function (random variable) on  $\{1, \ldots, n\}^d$  which at a point  $\gamma$  takes the value 1 if  $v \in V \cap Q_{\gamma, f}$  and 0 otherwise.<br>White  $\mathbb{F}(x)$  for the expected value of a function on  $(1, \ldots, n)^d$  that is the integral

Write  $\mathbb{E}(\cdot)$  for the expected value of a function on  $\{1, \ldots, n\}^d$ , that is, the integral with respect to  $\kappa^d$ . For  $v \notin V$  we have  $\mathbb{E}(Z_v) = 0$ . For  $v \in V$ , since  $\sigma_s^{-1}(v) \neq \sigma^{-1}(v)$  for distinct  $s, t \in F$  we have  $\sigma_t^{-1}(v)$  for distinct  $s, t \in F$  we have

$$
\mathbb{E}(Z_v) = \kappa^d \left( \{ \gamma \in \{1, ..., n\}^d : \sigma_s^{-1}(v) \in \gamma^{-1}(f(s)) \text{ for every } s \in F \} \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\prod_{s \in F} \kappa(\{ f(s) \}) = \prod_{s \in F} \nu(A_{f(s)}) = \nu^G(P_f).
$$

Set  $Z = \sum_{v=1}^{d} Z_v$ . We will estimate the variance Var $(Z)$ . Let  $v, w \in \{1, ..., d\}$ .<br>If one of v and w is not in *V* then  $Z, Z = 0$  and so  $\mathbb{F}(Z, Z) = 0$ . If  $\sigma^{-1}(v) \neq 0$ If one of v and w is not in V then  $Z_v Z_w = 0$  and so  $\mathbb{E}(Z_v Z_w) = 0$ . If  $\sigma_s^{-1}(v) \neq \sigma^{-1}(w)$  for all s  $t \in F$  then Z and Z are independent i.e.  $\mathbb{E}(Z, Z_v)$  $\sigma_t^{-1}(w)$  for all  $s,t \in F$  then  $Z_v$  and  $Z_w$  are independent, i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}(Z_v Z_w) = \mathbb{E}(Z_v \times V)$  ( $Z_v \in \mathbb{E}(Z_v \times V)$  for which  $Z_v$  and  $Z_v$  $\mathbb{E}(Z_v)\mathbb{E}(Z_w)$ . Thus the number of pairs  $(v, w) \in V \times V$  for which  $Z_v$  and  $Z_w$ are not independent is at most  $|V||F|^2$ , which is bounded above by  $d|F|^2$ . Hence

$$
\mathbb{E}(Z^2) = \sum_{v,w=1}^d \mathbb{E}(Z_v Z_w) \leq \sum_{v,w=1}^d \mathbb{E}(Z_v) \mathbb{E}(Z_w) + d|F|^2 = \mathbb{E}(Z)^2 + d|F|^2.
$$

and so Var $(Z) = \mathbb{E}(Z^2) - \mathbb{E}(Z)^2 \le d |F|^2$ . Chebyshev's inequality then yields, for all  $t > 0$ all  $t>0$ .

$$
\mathbb{P}\big(|Z/d - \mathbb{E}(Z)/d| > t\big) \le \frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{d^2t^2} \le \frac{|F|^2}{dt^2}.
$$

Assuming that  $\sigma$  is a good enough sofic approximation so that  $\zeta(V) \geq 1 - \eta$ , for every  $\nu$  we have every  $\gamma$  we have

$$
\left|\zeta(Q_{\gamma,f}) - \nu^G(P_f)\right| \le \left|\zeta(Q_{\gamma,f}) - \zeta(V \cap Q_{\gamma,f})\right| + \left|(Z/d)(\gamma) - \mathbb{E}(Z)/d\right|
$$

$$
+ \left|\zeta(V)\nu^G(P_f) - \nu^G(P_f)\right|
$$

$$
\le \left|(Z/d)(\gamma) - \mathbb{E}(Z)/d\right| + 2\eta
$$

and thus, for  $t > 2\eta$ ,

$$
\mathbb{P}\big(|\zeta(Q_{\gamma,f})-\nu^G(P_f)|>t\big)\leq \frac{|F|^2}{d(t-2\eta)^2}.
$$

Taking  $t = n^{-|F|}\delta$ , which is larger than  $2\eta$ , we get, assuming d is large enough,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\zeta(Q_{\gamma,f})-\nu^G(P_f)\right|>\frac{\delta}{n^{|F|}}\right)\leq \frac{\delta}{n^{|F|}}.
$$

Thus the probability that a  $\gamma \in \{1, ..., n\}^d$  satisfies  $|\zeta(Q_{\gamma, f}) - \nu^G(P_f)| > n^{-|F|}\delta$ <br>for some  $f \in \{1, ..., n\}^F$  is at most  $\delta$ . If this does not happen for a given  $\nu$  then for some  $f \in \{1, ..., n\}^F$  is at most  $\delta$ . If this does not happen for a given  $\gamma$  then

$$
\sum_{f \in \{1,\ldots,n\}^F} \left| \zeta(\varphi_\gamma(P_f)) - \nu^G(P_f) \right| = \sum_{f \in \{1,\ldots,n\}^F} \left| \zeta(Q_{\gamma,f}) - \nu^G(P_f) \right| < \delta.
$$

Hence the probability that  $\gamma$  satisfies  $\varphi_{\gamma} \in \text{Hom}_{\nu} G(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$  is at least  $1-\delta$ . Now we need to use this to estimate the actual number of  $\nu$  satisfying  $\rho_{\gamma} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}}(G, F, \delta, \sigma)$ need to use this to estimate the actual number of y satisfying  $\varphi_{\gamma} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}G(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$ .<br>With y ranging as usual in the probability space  $\iota_1$  and we have by the law

With  $\gamma$  ranging as usual in the probability space  $\{1, \ldots, n\}^d$  we have, by the law arge numbers and the independence of the coordinates of  $\nu$ of large numbers and the independence of the coordinates of  $\gamma$ ,

$$
\lim_{d \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left( \left| -\frac{1}{d} \log \kappa^d(\{\gamma\}) - H(\kappa) \right| > \delta \right) = 0.
$$

Thus, assuming d is sufficiently large we can find an  $L \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}^d$  for which  $\kappa^d(L) > 1 - \delta$  and  $\kappa^d(\ell y) > \epsilon e^{-d(H(\kappa) - \delta)}$  for all  $y \in L$ . Then writing Le for the  $\kappa^d(L) > 1 - \delta$  and  $\kappa^d(\{\gamma\}) \leq e^{-d(H(\kappa) - \delta)}$  for all  $\gamma \in L$ . Then, writing  $L_0$  for the set of all  $\gamma \in L$  such that  $\alpha \in \text{Hom }_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha, E, \delta, \sigma)$  we have  $\kappa^d(L_0) > 1 - 2\delta$  and set of all  $\gamma \in L$  such that  $\varphi_{\gamma} \in \text{Hom}_{\nu}G(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)$  we have  $\kappa^d(L_0) \geq 1 - 2\delta$  and hence hence

$$
|\mathrm{Hom}_{\nu^G}(\alpha, F, \delta, \sigma)|_{\alpha} \ge |L_0| \ge \kappa^d (L_0) e^{d(H(\kappa) - \delta)} \ge (1 - 2\delta) e^{d(H(\kappa) - \delta)}.
$$

Since  $\delta$  can be taken arbitrarily small, it follows that  $h_{\Sigma,\nu}^{\alpha}G(\alpha, F) \geq H(\kappa) = H_{\alpha}G(\alpha)$ . Since *F* we see or orbitrary finite subset of *C* containing a we seen what  $H_vG(\alpha)$ . Since F was an arbitrary finite subset of G containing e, we conclude that  $h_{\Sigma,\nu}^{\alpha}G(\alpha) \geq H_{\nu}G(\alpha)$ .  $\Box$ 

### **[Refe](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2813530)rences**

- [1] L. Bowen, Measure conjugacy invariants for actions of countable sofic groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), 217–245. [Zbl](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?06004405) [1201.3](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?06004405)[7005](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2854085) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2854085) [255](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2854085)2252
- [2] L. Bowen, Weak isomorphisms between Bernoulli shifts. *Israel J. Math.* **183** (2011), 93–102. Zbl 1253.37008 MR 2811154
- [3] L. Bowen, Sofic entropy and amenable groups. *[Ergodic Theor](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0637.28015)[y Dynam. Sys](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=910005)tems* **32** (2012), 427–466. Zbl 1257.37007 MR 2901354
- [4] D. Kerr and H. Li, Bernoulli actions and infinite entropy. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **5** (2011), 663–672. Zbl 1246.37015 MR 2813530
- [5] D. Kerr and H. Li, Entropy and the variational principle for actions of sofic groups. *Invent. Math.* **186** (2011), 501–558. Zbl 06004405 MR 2854085
- [6] D. Kerr and H. Li, Soficity, amenability, and dynamical entropy. *Amer. J. Math.* **135** (2013), 721–761.
- [7] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss, Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups. *J. Analyse Math.* **48** (1987), 1–141. Zbl 0637.28015 MR 910005

Received November 29, 2011; revised December 27, 2011

D. Kerr, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368, U.S.A. E-mail: kerr@math.tamu.edu

<span id="page-15-0"></span>