Groups Geom. Dyn. 8 (2014), 485–512 DOI 10.4171/GGD/236

Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics © European Mathematical Society

On inverse semigroup C^{*}-algebras and crossed products

David Milan and Benjamin Steinberg*

Abstract. We describe the C^* -algebra of an E -unitary or strongly 0- E -unitary inverse semigroup as the partial crossed product of a commutative \overline{C}^* -algebra by the maximal group image of the inverse semigroup. We give a similar result for the C^* -algebra of the tight groupoid of an inverse semigroup. We also study conditions on a groupoid C^* -algebra to be Morita equivalent to a full crossed product of a commutative C^* -algebra with an inverse semigroup, generalizing results of Khoshkam and Skandalis for crossed products with groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 46L05, 20M18, 46L55.

Keywords. Crossed products, inverse semigroups, étale groupoids, partial actions.

1. Introduction

E-unitary inverse s[emi](#page-26-0)groups are perhaps the most commonly studied class of inverse semigroups. One reason for this is that many interesting semigroups, including those studied in operator theory, are either E -unitary or strongly 0- E -unitary. Another reason is that there is a very explicit structure theorem for this class known as McAlister's P -Theorem. It describes E -unitary inverse semigroups in terms of a group acting partially on a semilattice. It is natural to try to interpret this result at the level of C^* -algebras using crossed products, and indeed many authors have given descriptions of inverse semigroup algebras that are suggestive of this approach (cf. [12], [21], [5]), but none have been applicable in the same generality as the P-Theorem.

For example, in [12], Khoshkam and Skandalis studied the C^* -algebras of certain locally compact groupoids admitting cocyles. They showed the algebras of such groupoids are Morita equivalent to crossed products. As an application to inverse semigroups, they show that the C^* -algebras of a restricted class of E-unitary inverse semigroups are Morita equivalent to crossed products of the maximal group image by a commutative C^* -algebra related to the idempotents. They also point out that their results do not hold for all E -unitary inverse semigroups.

⁻The second author work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#245268 to Benjamin Steinberg) and by NSERC. Some of this work was done while the second author was at Carleton University

In Section 3 of this paper it is shown that the C^* -algebra of an E -unitary inverse semigroup is isomorphic to a partial crossed product (in the sense of [3] and [18]) of the maximal group image G by the algebra of its subsemigroup of idempotents E . In fact, results are gi[ven](#page-25-0) for both the full and reduced C^* -algebras that correspond to full and reduced partial crossed products respectively.

Next we use groupoid reductions to apply the results of Section 3 to the inverse semigroups that typically appear in the C^* -algeb[ra](#page-25-0) literature. Most contain a zero element, but inverse semigroups with zero are only E -unitary in trivial cases. However, in Section 5 we give crossed product results for strongly $0-E$ -unitar[y in](#page-26-0)verse semigroups, which are p[rec](#page-25-0)is[ely](#page-25-0) the inverse semigroups with zero for whic[h a](#page-25-0) variant of the P-Theorem holds. By considering reducti[ons](#page-26-0) we are also able to give crossed product resul[ts fo](#page-26-0)r the C^* -algebra of the tight groupoid associated with such an inverse semigroup in [4]. This is especially important in light of examples such as the Cuntz algebras \mathcal{O}_n and the C^{*}-algebras of directed graphs that are quotients of inverse semigroup algebras, and have also been identified as the C^* -algebras of the tight groupoid of the relevant inverse semigroups [4].

In the final [sec](#page-26-0)tion we study Morita equivalence with a view toward understanding the relationship between our results, the work of Khoshkam and Skandalis [12], and rel[ated](#page-26-0) work of Abadie [1], [2]. We find that the Morita equivalence in [1] can be viewed as a special case of some of the results in [12]. Also, by replacing the cocycle appearing in [12] with a morphism between [grou](#page-26-0)poids, we study Morita equivalence in a more general context. In particular, we give conditions which guarantee that a locally com[pact](#page-26-0) groupoid is Morita equivalent to a groupoid of germs of an inverse semigroup action. To apply this to inverse semigroups, we investigate the functoriality of the assignment $S \mapsto \mathscr{G}(S)$ of Paterson's universal groupoid to an inverse semigroup S [22]. We define a condition, called the Khoshkam–Skandalis condition, on a morphism of inverse semigroups that generalizes the one in Proposition 3.9 of [12]. It guarantees that a morphism $\varphi : S \to T$ induces a Morita equivalence between $C^*(S)$ and a crossed product of T by a commutative C^* -algebra, generalizing the Morita equivalence in Corollary 3.11 of $[12]$ for the case where T is a group and φ is the maximal group image homomorphism. In particular, we generalize Theorem 3.10 of $[12]$ from E-unitary inverse semigroups to strongly 0-E-unitary inverse semigroups and locally E-unitary inverse semigroups. A key role is played by the identification of a certain category of T-actions with the category of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ -actions.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, compact will always mean compact and Hausdorff. We will use the term *quasi-compactness* for the condition that every open cover has a finite subcover. Note that a locally compact space need not be Hausdorff. Since we are principally interested in operator algebras associated to groupoids and inverse semigroups, all locally compact spaces will be assumed σ -compact and, in particular, all discrete

groups and semigroups will be assumed *countable*. Readers who are not interested in analytic results can safely drop these assumption[s. W](#page-26-0)e have chosen to maintain this convention in order to avoid cumbersome hypotheses in our theorems.

A *groupoid* $\mathscr G$ $\mathscr G$ is [a small](#page-26-0) category in which each arrow is invertible. We will use the "arrows only" approach to groupoids and identify the objects with the space of units \mathscr{G}^0 when convenient. The domain and range of an arrow are denoted d and r respectively. A *topological groupoid* is a groupoid endowed with a topology such that the domain, range, multiplication a[nd](#page-25-0) in[vers](#page-26-0)i[on m](#page-26-0)aps are continuous. A *locally compact groupoid* for us means a topological groupoid with a locally compact topology such that the unit space is Hausdorff. We also assume, following Paterson, that a locally compact groupoid has a left Haar system [22]. This implies, in particular, that the domain and range maps are open. For more on topological and locally compact groupoids, see [24], [22], [12].

An étale groupoid is a topological groupoid $\mathscr G$ whose unit space is locally compact Haus[d](#page-26-0)orff, and such that the domain map $d : \mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{G}^0$ (or equivalently the range map) is a local homeomorphism. We do not assume $\mathscr G$ is Hausdorff. The counting measures give a left Haar system for an étale groupoid [4], [22], [24] and so étale groupoids are locally compact in the above sense.

A semigroup S is an *inverse semigroup* if, for each s in S, there exists unique s^* in S such that

$$
s = s s^* s \quad \text{and} \quad s^* = s^* s s^*.
$$

We state some basic definitions concerning inverse semigroups here; a thorough treatment of the subject can be found in [13].

There is a natural partial order on S defined by $s \le t$ if $s = te$ for some idempotent conditions are the following: $s = ft$ for some idempotent $f : s = ts * s$: e. Equivalent conditions are the following: $s = ft$ for some idempotent f ; $s = ts^*s$;
and $s = ss^*t$. The subsemigroup $F(S)$ of idempotents of S is commutative, and and $s = ss^*t$. The subsemigroup $E(S)$ of idempotents of S is commutative, and
hence forms a (meet) semilattice for the natural partial order where $e \wedge f = ef$ for hence forms a (meet) semilattice for the natural partial order where $e \wedge f = ef$ for e, f in $E(S)$. Every inverse semigroup admits a universal morphism $\sigma: S \to G(S)$
onto a group. The group $G(S)$ is the quotient of S by the congruence $s \sim t$ if and only onto a group. The group $G(S)$ is the quotient of S by the congruence $s \sim t$ if and only if $se = te$ for some e in $E(S)$. It is universal in the sense that all other morphisms from S to a group factor uniquely through σ . One calls $G(S)$ the *maximal group image* of S and σ the *maximal group homomorphism*. The inverse semigroup S is called E-unitary if $\sigma^{-1}(1) = E(S)$. An equivalent condition to being E-unitary is
that $s^*s = t^*t$ and $\sigma(s) = \sigma(t)$ implies $s = t$ that $s^*s = t^*t$ and $\sigma(s) = \sigma(t)$ implies $s = t$.
Given a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Given a locally compact Hausdorff space X , denote by I_X the inverse monoid of all homeomorphisms between open subsets of X , with multiplication given by composition on the largest domain where it is defined. The natural partial order on I_X is given by $f \leq g$ if and only if f is a restriction of g to some open subset of X.
The full C^* algebra $C^*(S)$ of an inverse semigroup S is the universal C^* algebra

The *full* C^* -algebra $C^*(S)$ of an inverse semigroup S is the universal C^* -algebra for representations of S by partial isometries. More precisely, it is a C^* -algebra $C^*(S)$ with a *-homomorphism $\iota: S \to C^*(S)$ (which turns out to be injective)
satisfying the universal property that given any *-homomorphism from $\iota: S \to A$ satisfying the universal property that given any *-homomorphism from $\rho: S \rightarrow A$

with A a C^* -algebra, there is a unique homomorphism of C^* -algebras $\varphi: C^*(S) \to A$ such that the diagram A such that the diagram

commutes. See [22].

The *left regular representation* $\Lambda: S \to \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(S))$ of an inverse semigroup S is defined by

$$
\Lambda_s \delta_t = \begin{cases} \delta_{st} & \text{if } s^*st = t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

By the universal property, Λ extends to $C^*(S)$ and we define the *reduced* C^* -algebra of S by $C_r^*(S) = \Lambda(C^*(S))$. The representation Λ is faithful on S (and in fact on the semigroup algebra C S) but not on $C^*(S)$ in general. For example, they coincide the semigroup algebra $\mathbb CS$) but not on $C^*(S)$ in general. For example, they coincide for a discrete group G if and only if G is amenable. These two algebras have different properties that makes one or the other desirable in certain circumstances. It is usually easier to translate semigroup [the](#page-25-0)orems to $C^*(S)$ because of the universal property, but difficult to make norm computations. Because the reduced algebra is explicitly embedded inside $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(S))$, norm computations are easier in $C_r^*(S)$. See [22] for details.

For inverse se[mig](#page-26-0)roups with zero, it is more convenient to work with the co[ntr](#page-25-0)acted versions of these constructions. The contracted versions are defined in the analogous way but one works in the category of $*$ -semigroups with zero and so identifies the zero of the inverse semigroup and the zero of the C^* -algebra in the case of the universal C^* -algebra. For the left regular representation, one identifies the zero of the inverse semigroup with the zero of $\ell^2(S)$ in the definition of Λ . See [4] for details.

A map $\theta: S \to T$ of inverse semigroups is called a *dual prehomomorphism* if $\theta(s)\theta(s') \leq \theta(s s')$ all s, $s' \in S$. Let G be a discrete group. A *partial action* of G on Y is a dual prehomomorphism $\theta: G \to I_{\mathcal{X}}$ of inverse monoids (so in particular on X is a dual prehomomorphism $\theta: G \to I_X$ of inverse monoids (so, in particular, $\theta(1) = 1_X$); see [11] for the equivalence of this definition with the one in, say [1]. We write X_{g-1} for the domain of $\theta(g)$ and if $x \in X_{g-1}$, then we write gx for $\theta(g)(x)$ (which [is](#page-25-0) an element of X_g). Given a partial action of G on X, one c[an f](#page-26-0)orm a Hausdorff étale groupoid

$$
G \ltimes X = \{(g, x) \mid g \in G, x \in X_{g-1}\}\
$$

with the subspace topology of the product topology. We identify X with $\{1\} \times X$, which will be the unit space. The domain and range maps are given by $d(g, x) = x$ and $r(g, x) = gx$. The product is defined by $(g, x)(h, y) = (gh, y)$, when $x =$ hy. The inverse is given by $(g, x)^{-1} = (g^{-1}, gx)$. This construction appears in Abadie [2], but was considered independently by Lawson and Kellendonk [11] in the

discrete setting. We call $G \ltimes X$ the *partial transformation groupoid* of the partial action θ .

Abadie [2] proved the following result.

Theorem 2.1 (Abadie). Let $\theta: G \to I_X$ be a partial action of a discrete group on a locally compact Hausdorff topological space X. Then the universal C^* -algebra of $G \ltimes X$ *is isomorphic to the universal partial action cross product* $C_0(X) \rtimes G$ *.*

An *action* of an inverse semigroup S on X is a morphism $\theta: S \to I_X$ such that the union of the domains of θ_s with $s \in S$ is X. We write X_e for the domain of θ_e for an idempotent $e \in E(S)$. From an inverse semigroup action one can define the *groupoid of germs*, which is similar to the partial transformation groupoid defined above. Let

$$
\Omega = \{ (s, x) \in S \times X \mid x \in X_{s^*s} \},
$$

and define an equivalence relation on Ω by $(s, x) \sim (t, y)$ if $x = y$ and there exists e in $E(S)$ su[ch](#page-25-0) that $x \in X_e$ and $se = te$. Equivalently, $s \sim t$ if $x = y$ and there exists $u \leq s$, t such that $x \in X_{u^*u}$. The class of (s, x) is denoted by $[s, x]$.
The *arounoid of garms* $S \times X$ of the action θ is the set Q/∞ with mult

The *groupoid of germs* $S \ltimes X$ of the action θ is the set Ω / \sim with multiplication given by $[s, x][t, y] = [st, y]$ provided that $x = \theta_t(y)$. The inverse of $[s, x]$ is $[s^*, \theta_s(x)]$. The topology has basis consisting of all sets of the form

$$
(s, U) = \{ [s, x] \mid x \in U \}
$$

where $s \in S$ and U is an open subset of X. For a detailed construction of this groupoid see [4].

A *semi-character* of a semilattice E is a non-zero semilattice homomorphism $\varphi: E \to \{0, 1\}$. The space \widehat{E} (topologized as a subspace of $\{0, 1\}^E$) of semicharacters [i](#page-25-0)s fundamental because $C^*(E) \cong C_0(E)$. [Eve](#page-26-0)r[y](#page-25-0) in[vers](#page-27-0)e semigroup [S](#page-26-0)
acts on its space of semi-characters. Putting $F = F(S)$ for $e \in F$ let acts on its space of semi-characters. Putting $E = E(S)$, for $e \in E$, let

$$
D(e) = \{ \varphi \in E \mid \varphi(e) = 1 \}.
$$

It is a clopen subset of \hat{E} and the sets of the form $D(e)$ and their complements are a subbasis for the topology on E. Define, for each $s \in S$ a mapping $\beta_s : D(s^*s) \to D(s^*)$ by $\beta_s(\omega)(s) = \omega(s^*s)$ for each $s \in F$, $\omega \in D(s^*s)$. Then $\beta \colon S \to I \circ$ $D(s^*)$ by $\beta_s(\varphi)(e) = \varphi(s^*es)$ for each $e \in E$, $\varphi \in D(s^*s)$. Then $\beta: S \to I_{\hat{E}}$
given by $\beta(s) = \beta$ is an action. Usually we write so for β (o) Paterson's universal given by $\beta(s) = \beta_s$ is an action. Usually, we write $s\varphi$ for $\beta_s(\varphi)$. Paterson's universal groupoid $\mathcal{G}(S)$ is the groupoid of germs of the action β [22], [4], [26]. Paterson [22] proved that $C^*(S) \cong C^*(\mathcal{G}(S))$ and $C_r^*(S) \cong C_r^*(\mathcal{G}(S))$.

3. The C*-algebra of an E-unitary inverse semigroup

Let S be a (countable) E-unitary inverse semigroup with idempotent set E and maximal group image $G = G(S)$. Our goal in this section is to show that $C^*(S)$ and

 $C_r^*(S)$ are partial action [cro](#page-26-0)ss products $C^*(E) \rtimes G$ and $C^*(E) \rtimes_r G$, respectively. The first author in his thesis gave a direct construction of a partial action of G on $C^*(E)$ and showed the corresponding cross product algebra was iso[morp](#page-26-0)hic to the algebra $C^*(S)$. We use here the theory of partial actions of groups on topological spaces. In particular, we show that the universal groupoid $\mathscr{G}(S)$ of S is isomorphic to the partial transformation groupoid $G \ltimes \widehat{E}$ of an appropriate partial action of G on \widehat{E} .

We wish to construct a partial action $\theta: G \to I_{\hat{F}}$. It is well-known in inverse semigroup theory that G acts partially on E : this is one of Lawson's interpretations of McAlister's P-theorem [11]. We extend the partial action to \hat{E} to define θ . In some sense, this result is not unprecedented. In fact, it is known that $\mathcal{G}(S)$ is algebraically the underlying groupoid of a certain inverse semigroup T containing S [17]. It is easy to verify that if S is E -unitary, then T is as well and they both have maximal group image G. Therefore, G acts partially on $E(T) = \hat{E}$ and the underlying groupoid of T is the partial transformation groupoid $G \ltimes \widehat{E}$; this is essentially the content of McAlister's P-theorem as interpreted via [11]. So what we need to do is make sure that everything works topologically. However, we do not assume here any knowledge of the P-theorem or the inverse semigroup structure on $\mathscr{G}(S)$.

Define $\theta: G \to I_{\hat{E}}$ by setting

$$
\theta(g) = \bigcup_{s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)} \beta(s)
$$

where we are viewing partial functions as relations. To show that $\theta(g)$ is a welldefined continuous map, we just need to show that if $\sigma(s) = \sigma(t)$ and $\varphi \in D(s^*s) \cap D(t^*t)$ then s $\omega = te^{i\alpha}$ (where we drop β from the notation). To prove this we need $D(t^*t)$, then $s\varphi = t\varphi$ (where we drop β from the notation). To prove this, we need a well-known fact about F -unitary inverse semigroups a well-known fact about E-unitary inverse semigroups.

Lemma 3.1. Let S be an E-unitary inverse semigroup and suppose $s, t \in S$ satisfy $\sigma(s) = \sigma(t)$. Then $ts^*s = st^*t$ is the meet of s,t in the natural partial order.
Moreover if $u = ts^*s$, then $u^*u = s^*st^*t$ Moreover, if $u = ts * s$, then $u * u = s * st * t$.

To obtain now that $\theta(g)$ is well defined, suppose that $\sigma(s) = \sigma(t)$ and $\varphi \in$
 $\sigma^*(s) \cap D(t^*t)$. Setting $u = ts^*s = st^*t$, we have by Lemma 3.1 that $D(u^*u) =$ $D(s^*s) \cap D(t^*t)$. Setting $u = ts^*s = st^*t$, we have by Lemma 3.1 that $D(u^*u) =$
 $D(s^*st^*t) = D(s^*s) \cap D(t^*t)$ and $u \leq s$, t. Thus $\omega \in D(u^*u)$ and $sa = uu =$ $D(s^*st^*t) = D(s^*s) \cap D(t^*t)$ and $u \leq s,t$. Thus $\varphi \in D(u^*u)$ and $s\varphi = u\varphi = ta$
to S_0 $\theta(\alpha)$ is a well-defined continuous function with open domain X , \vdots $t\varphi$. So $\theta(g)$ is a well-defined continuous function with open domain $X_{g^{-1}} = \bigcup_{s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)} D(s^*s)$. From the definition, it is immediate that $\theta(g^{-1}) = \theta(g)^{-1}$
and so $\theta(g)$: $X \to X$ is a homeomorphism and hence belongs and so $\theta(g)$: $X_{g^{-1}} \to X_g$ is a homeomorphism, and hence belongs to $I_{\hat{E}}$. Since $\bigcup_{e \in E} D(e) = E$, it follows that $\theta(1) = 1_X$. Finally, we verify that θ is a dual prehomomorphism by noting that since $\sigma^{-1}(g)\sigma^{-1}(h) \subseteq \sigma^{-1}(gh)$, it follows that

$$
\theta(g)\theta(h) = \bigcup_{s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)} \beta(s) \cdot \bigcup_{t \in \sigma^{-1}(h)} \beta(t)
$$

$$
= \bigcup_{x \in \sigma^{-1}(g)\sigma^{-1}(h)} \beta(x)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \bigcup_{s \in \sigma^{-1}(gh)} \beta(s)
$$

\n
$$
= \theta(gh).
$$

This proves that θ is a partial action. From now on we suppress the notation β , θ . Next we prove that $G \ltimes \widehat{E}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{G}(S)$ as a topological groupoid. This gives a new proof that $\mathscr{G}(S)$ is Hausdorff for E-unitary inverse semigroups. An exact characterization of inverse semigroups with Hausdorff universal groupoids is given in [26], Theorem 5.17.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* S *be an* E*-unitary inverse semigroup with idempotent set* E *and maximal group image G. Then the universal groupoid* $\mathcal{G}(S)$ *is topologically isomorphic to* $G \ltimes \widehat{E}$ *.*

Proof. Recall that arrows of $\mathcal{G}(S)$ are equivalence classes [s, φ] of pairs (s, φ) with $s \in S$ and $\varphi \in D(s^*s)$, where $(s, \varphi) \sim (t, \psi)$ if and only if $\varphi = \psi$ and there exists $u \in S$ with $u \leq s$ t and $\varphi \in D(u^*u)$. The topology has basis of open sets (s, U) $u \in S$ with $u \leq s, t$ and $\varphi \in D(u^*u)$. The topology has basis of open sets (s, U)
where $U \subset D(s^*s)$ is an open subset of \hat{F} and $(s, U) - f[s, \varphi] \downarrow \varphi \in U$ where $U \subseteq D(s^*s)$ is an open subset of \vec{E} and $(s, U) = \{ [s, \varphi] \mid \varphi \in U \}$.
Define functors $\Phi: \mathscr{G}(S) \to G \ltimes \hat{F}$ and $\Psi: G \ltimes \hat{F} \to \mathscr{G}(S)$ as follow

Define functors $\Phi: \mathscr{G}(S) \to G \ltimes \widehat{E}$ and $\Psi: G \ltimes \widehat{E} \to \mathscr{G}(S)$ as follows. Define $\Phi[s, \varphi] = (\sigma(s), \varphi)$ and $\Psi(g, \varphi) = [s, \varphi]$ where $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$ and $\varphi \in D(s^*s)$. First
we show that Φ and Ψ are well-defined functors beginning with Φ As $(s, \varphi) \sim (t, \psi)$. we show that Φ and Ψ are well-defined functors, beginning with Φ . As $(s, \varphi) \sim (t, \psi)$ implies s and t have a common lower bound, if $(s, \varphi) \sim (t, \psi)$, then $\varphi = \psi$ and $\sigma(s) = \sigma(t)$. Moreover, $\varphi \in X_{g-1}$ by construction. Thus Φ is well-defined. To see that Ψ is well-defined, note that if $(g, \varphi) \in G \ltimes \widehat{E}$, then by definition there exists $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$ so that $\varphi \in D(s^*s)$. If $t \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$ so that $\varphi \in D(t^*t)$, then $u = ts^*s$ is
a common lower bound for s t with $\varphi \in D(s^*s) \cap D(t^*t) = D(u^*u)$ by Lemma 3.1 a common lower bound for s, t with $\varphi \in D(s^*s) \cap D(t^*t) = D(u^*u)$ by Lemma 3.1.
So $(s, \varphi) \sim (t, \varphi)$ and hence Ψ is well-defined. Notice that both Φ and Ψ are the So $(s, \varphi) \sim (t, \varphi)$ and hence Ψ is well-defined. Notice that both Φ and Ψ are the identity map on the unit space \overline{E} .

It is routine to verify that Φ is a functor. Let us verify that Ψ is a functor. Let $(g, \varphi) \in G \ltimes \widehat{E}$ and choose $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$ with $\varphi \in D(s^*s)$. Then $d(\Psi(g, \varphi)) = d[s, \varphi] = \varphi - \Psi(d(g, \varphi))$ and $r(\Psi(g, \varphi)) = r[s, \varphi] = s\varphi = g\varphi - \Psi(r(g, \varphi))$ $d[s, \varphi] = \varphi = \Psi(d(g, \varphi))$ and $r(\Psi(g, \varphi)) = r[s, \varphi] = s\varphi = g\varphi = \Psi(r(g, \varphi)).$ Moreover, if (g, φ) , $(h, \psi) \in G \ltimes \widehat{E}$ with $\varphi = h\psi$ and $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$, $t \in \sigma^{-1}(h)$ with $\varphi \in D(s^*s)$, $\psi \in D(t^*t)$ then $\varphi \in D(s^*s), \psi \in D(t^*t)$, then

$$
\Psi(g,\varphi)\Psi(h,\psi) = [s,\varphi][t,\psi] = [st,\varphi\psi] = \Psi(gh,\psi)
$$

since $\sigma(st) = gh$ and

$$
1 = \varphi(s^*s) = h\psi(s^*s) = t\psi(s^*s) = \psi(t^*s^*st) = \psi((st)^*st).
$$

Next observe that if $[s, \varphi] \in \mathscr{G}(S)$, then $\Psi \Phi[s, \varphi] = \Psi(\sigma(s), \varphi) = [s, \varphi]$ since
 $\varphi \in D(s^*s)$. Also if $(\sigma, \varphi) \in G \ltimes \widehat{F}$ and $s \in \sigma^{-1}(\sigma)$ with $\varphi \in D(s^*s)$, then $\varphi \in D(s^*s)$. Also if $(g, \varphi) \in G \ltimes \widehat{E}$ and $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$ with $\varphi \in D(s^*s)$, then

 $\Phi\Psi(g, \varphi) = \Phi[s, \varphi] = (g, \varphi)$. Thus Φ and Ψ are inverse fu[ncto](#page-26-0)rs. To show they are homeomorphisms it suffices to show that if (s, U) is a basic open set of $\mathscr{G}(S)$, then $\Phi(s, U)$ is open and if U is an open subset of \hat{E} and $g \in G$, then $\Psi({g \} \times U)$ i[s op](#page-4-0)en. But $\Phi(s, U) = {\sigma(s)} \times U$, which is open, whereas

$$
\Psi({g} \times U) = \bigcup_{s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)} (s, U \cap D(s^*s)),
$$

which again is open. This completes the proof.

 \Box

Theorem 3.2 gives an alternate proof of the well-known fact [22] that the universal groupoid of an E-unitary inverse semigroup is Hausdorff.

Since $C^*(\mathscr{G}(S)) \cong C^*(S)$ we obtain a new proof of the following result from first author's thesis by applying Theorem 2.1 the first author's thesis by applying Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 3.3. *Let* S *be an* E*-unitary inverse semigroup with idempotent set* E *and* maximal group image G. Then $C^*(S) \cong C^*(E) \rtimes G \cong C_0(E) \rtimes G$.

We will now show $C_r^*(S) \cong C^*(E) \rtimes_r G$. If Abadie's crossed product result extended to the reduced algebra of the partial transformation groupoid then the isomorphism would be immediate, since $C_r^*({\mathscr G}(S)) \cong C_r^*(S)$. The authors suspect
that such a result is true, but in the absence of a proof, we prove the isomorphism that such a result is true, but in the absence of a proof, we prove the isomorphism directly. The proof makes use of the original construction of the reduced partial cross product $A \rtimes_r G$ of a C^* -algebra A by partial action α of a discrete group G [18]. Given a representation $\pi: A \to B(H)$ of A on a Hilbert space H and the left regular represen[tati](#page-26-0)on λ of the group G, McClanahan constructs a representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of A on $B(H \otimes \ell^2(G))$ satisfying the covariance condition

$$
\lambda_g \widetilde{\pi}(x) \lambda_{g^{-1}} = \widetilde{\pi}(\alpha_g(x)).
$$

Reminiscent of the property that one has for homomorphisms of semidirect products of groups, all representations of partial cross products are of the form $\rho \times u$ where (ρ, u) satisfies this covariance condition. Then $(\tilde{\pi}, \lambda)$ induces a represent[atio](#page-26-0)n $\tilde{\pi} \times \lambda$: $A \rtimes_r G \to B(H \otimes \ell^2(G))$. Moreover, if π is faithful then $\tilde{\pi} \times \lambda$ is also faithful [18], Proposition 3.4. Applying this to the left regular representation of the semilattice, $\pi: C^*(E) \to B(\ell^2(E))$, we get a faithful representation of $C^*(E) \rtimes_r G$
on $B(\ell^2(E) \otimes \ell^2(G))$ Define for $s \in S \cup F : G \to C^*(E)$ by on $B(\ell^2(E) \otimes \ell^2(G))$. Define, for $s \in S$, $F_s : G \to C^*(E)$ by

$$
F_s(g) = \begin{cases} ss^* & \text{if } \sigma(s) = g, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}
$$

The partial crossed product $C^*(E) \rtimes_r G$ is the closed span of the elements F_s [18]. Moreover we can translate covariance in this case to

$$
(\widetilde{\pi} \times \lambda)(F_s)(\delta_e \otimes \delta_g) = \pi(\theta_{g^{-1}\sigma(s^*)})\delta_e \otimes \lambda_{\sigma(s)g}.
$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let* S *be an* E*-unitary inverse semigroup with idempotent set* E *and* maximal group image G. Then $C_r^*(S) \cong C^*(E) \rtimes_r G$.

Proof. Let $U: \ell^2(S) \to \ell^2(E) \otimes \ell^2(G)$ be the unitary operator given by $\delta_s \mapsto$ $\delta_{s^*s} \otimes \delta_{\sigma(s)}$. Note that U is injective on basis elements because S is E-unitary.
Recall that $C^*(S)$ may be viewed as a subalgebra of $R(\ell^2(S))$ via the left regular Recall that $C_r^*(S)$ may be viewed as a subalgebra of $B(\ell^2(S))$ via the left regular representation Λ , where

$$
\Lambda_s \delta_t = \begin{cases} \delta_{st} & \text{if } s^*st = t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

We will show that U intertwines the operators Λ_s and $(\tilde{\pi} \times \lambda)(F_s)$ and therefore implements a \ast -isomorphism from $C_r^*(S)$ to the faithful image of $C^*(E) \rtimes_r G$ inside $B(\ell^2(F) \otimes \ell^2(G))$. Given s , t in S $B(\ell^2(E) \otimes \ell^2(G))$. Given s, t in S,

$$
U\Lambda_s\delta_t=U\delta_{st}=\delta_{(st)^*st}\otimes\delta_{\sigma(st)},
$$

provided that $s^*st = t$. On the other hand,

$$
[(\tilde{\pi} \times \lambda)(F_s)]U\delta_t = (\tilde{\pi} \times \lambda)(F_s)(\delta_{t^*t} \otimes \delta_{\sigma(t)})
$$

\n
$$
= \pi(\theta_{\sigma(t^*)\sigma(s^*)}(ss^*))\delta_{t^*t} \otimes \delta_{\sigma(s)\sigma(t)}
$$

\n
$$
= \pi(t^*s^*st)\delta_{t^*t} \otimes \delta_{\sigma(s)\sigma(t)}
$$

\n
$$
= \delta_{t^*t} \otimes \delta_{\sigma(s)\sigma(t)}
$$
 (provided that $t^*t \leq t^*s^*st$)
\n
$$
= \delta_{(st)^*st} \otimes \delta_{\sigma(st)}.
$$

Notice that the conditions $s^*st = t$, $t^*t = t^*s^*st$, and $t^*t \leq t^*s^*st$ are equiva-
and that both operators are 0 when they fail to hold lent and that both operators are 0 when they fail to hold. П

4. Ideal quotients and reductions

Let S be an inverse semigroup with zero element z. By the *contracted* universal and reduced C^* -algebras of S we mean the quotient of the usual algebras by the one-dimensional, central, closed ideal $\mathbb{C}z$. Since we only will consider contracted algebras for inverse semigroups with zero, we use the notations $C^*(S)$ and $C_r^*(S)$ for the contracted C^* -algebras in this setting. No confusion should arise. These algebras encode $*$ -representations of S that send z to zero. From now on we identify z with the 0 of the algebra and drop the notation z.

Exel defines $[4]$ the universal groupoid in this context. If E is a semilattice with zero, then we redefine \overline{E} to be the space of all non-zero homomorphisms $\varphi : E \to$ $\{0, 1\}$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$. This is a closed invariant subspace of the usual semicharacter space. This abuse of notation should not lead to any confusion.

If $\mathscr G$ is a groupoid and if X is a subspace of the unit space, then the *reduction* $\mathscr{G}|_X$ of \mathscr{G} to X is the full subgroupoid of \mathscr{G} with unit space X and set of arrows

 ${g \in \mathscr{G} \mid d(g), r(g) \in X}$. The universal groupoid $\mathscr{G}(S)$ of an inverse semigroup S with zero is then the reduction of Paterson's universal groupoid to the space of semi-characters sending 0 to 0. One can easily prove, cf. $[4]$, that S and its universal groupoid have the same universal and reduced C^* -algebras in this context.

An *ideal* of a semigroup S is a subset I such that $SI \cup IS \subseteq I$. The *Rees quotient* S/I is the inverse semigroup obtained by identifying all elements of I to a single element, which will be the zero of S/I .

Lemma 4.1. *Let* S *be an inverse semigroup* (*with or without zero*) *and idempotent set* E*. Let* I *be a proper ideal of* S*. Let* **I emma 4.1.** Let *S* be an inverse semigroup (with or without zero) and idempotent
set *E*. Let *I* be a proper ideal of *S*. Let
 $I^{\perp} = \{ \varphi \in \hat{E} \mid \varphi(E \cap I) = 0 \}.$
Then I^{\perp} is a closed subspace of \hat{E} homeomo

$$
I^{\perp} = \{ \varphi \in \widehat{E} \mid \varphi(E \cap I) = 0 \}.
$$

invariant under the action of S. The restriction of the action of S to I^{\perp} factors *then* $I^{\perp} = \{ \varphi \in \hat{E} \mid \varphi(E \cap I) = 0 \}.$
 then I^{\perp} *is a closed subspace of* \hat{E} *homeomorphic to* $\widehat{E(S/I)}$, *invariant under the action of S*. *The restriction of the action through S/I as its usual act*

Proof. It is trivial that a non-zero homomorphism of semilattices with zeroes

$$
\varphi\colon E(S/I)\to\{0,1\}
$$

is the same thing as a morphism

$$
\varphi\colon E(S)\to\{0,1\}
$$

vanishing on I and that the topologies on $\widehat{E(S/I)}$ and I^{\perp} agree under these identifications. Hence $I^{\perp} \cong \widehat{E(S/I)}$. Also I^{\perp} is clearly closed. Suppose that $\varphi \in$ $D(s^*s) \cap I^{\perp}$. Then $\varphi(s^*s) = 1$ implies that $s^*s \notin I$ and hence $s \notin I$. Let us check that $s\varphi \in I^{\perp}$. Indeed, if $e \in E \cap I$, then $s\varphi(e) = \varphi(s^*es) = 0$ since $s^*es \in E \cap I$ and φ vanishes on $E \cap I$. Thus $s\varphi \in$ that $s\varphi \in I^{\perp}$. Indeed, if $e \in E \cap I$, then $s\varphi(e) = \varphi(s^* e s) = 0$ since $s^* e s \in E \cap I$
and φ vanishes on $E \cap I$. Thus $s\varphi \in I^{\perp}$ and φ vanishes on $E \cap I$. Thus $s\varphi \in I^{\perp}$.

Since we saw no element of I is defined on I^{\perp} , it follows that the restricted action factors through S/I . The action is clearly an isomorphic with the usual action of \Box

The following proposition is an immediate consequence.

Proposition 4.2. Let S be an inverse semigroup and I an ideal. Then $\mathcal{G}(S/I)$ is *topologically isomorphic to* $\mathscr{G}(S)|_{I^{\perp}}$.

5. Strongly 0**-**E**-unitary inverse semigroups**

If S is an inverse semigroup with zero and G is a group, then a *partial homomorphism* $\varphi: S \to G$ is a mapping $\varphi: S \setminus \{0\} \to G$ such that $\varphi(st) = \varphi(s)\varphi(t)$ whenever

 $st \neq 0$. Notice that the image of φ need not be a subgroup of G. If $e \in E(S) \setminus \{0\}$, then $\varphi(e)^2 = \varphi(e)$ so $\varphi(e) = 1$. One calls a partial homomorphism $\varphi \colon S \to G$ *idempotent pure* if $\varphi^{-1}(1) = E(S)$. An inverse semigroup S with zero is called 0-*E*-unitary if $s > e \neq 0$ with *e* idempotent implies $s = s^2$. It is called *strongly* 0-E*-unitary* [14], [9] if it admits an idempotent pure partial homomorphism to a group (some authors use the term strongly E^* -unitary). A strongly 0-E-unitary inverse semigroup is $0-E$ -unitary.

Margolis first observed that there is a universal group associated to an inverse semigroup S with zero $[25]$, $[15]$. Let S be an inverse semigroup with zero and define $\mathscr{U}(S)$ to be the group generated by the underlying set of S, subject to the relations $s \cdot t = st$ if $st \neq 0$. Then the identity ma[p on](#page-26-0) S induces a partial homomorphism $\iota: S \to \mathcal{U}(S)$ $\iota: S \to \mathcal{U}(S)$ $\iota: S \to \mathcal{U}(S)$ and all partial homomorphisms from S to a group factor uniquely through this one. Thus S is strongly 0-E-unitary if and only if ι is idempotent pure. Note that if S is finite, one can still have that $\mathcal{U}(S)$ is infinite so the map ι is by no means onto. In fact, the second author showed it is undecidable whether a finite inverse semigroup is strongly 0-E-unitary $[25]$. If S is an E-unitary inverse semigroup, then $S^0 = S \cup \{0\}$ is easily verified to be strongly 0-E-unitary with universal group the maximal group image $G(S)$ $G(S)$ of S.

Nearly all the inverse semigroups studied in C^* -algebra theory are strongly 0-Eunitary. For instance, the Cuntz semigroup [22], also known as the po[lycy](#page-26-0)cl[ic i](#page-26-0)nverse monoid [13], on a set X of cardinality at least 2 is strongly $0-E$ -unitary. Recall that $P_X = \langle X | x^*y = \delta_{xy} \rangle$. Each non-zero element of P_X can be uniquely written wu^*
with w, y positive words over Y . One has $\mathcal{U}(P_Y) = F_Y$ the free group on Y and with w, u positive words over X. One has $\mathcal{U}(P_X) = F_X$, the free group on X, and the natural partial homomorphism $\iota: P_X \to F_X$ takes wu^* to the reduced form of vw^{-1} . The non-zero idempotents of P_X are the elements of the form uu^* and so ι is wu^{-1} . The non-zero idempotents of P_X are the elements of the form uu^* and so ι is idempotent pure. More generally, if Γ is a connected graph (which is not just a single loop), then the graph inverse semigroup [22] associated to Γ is strongly 0-E-unitary with universal group the fundamental group of the underlying graph. Kellendonk's tiling inverse semigroups are also known to be strongly $0-E$ -unitary [10], [15].

It was independently observed by Margolis, McAlister and the second author that strongly 0- E -unitary inverse semigroups are precisely the Rees quotients of E unitary inverse semigroups. Namely, if $\theta: S \to G$ is an idempotent pure partial homomorphism such that G is generated by the image of θ (one can always assume this), then $T = \langle (s, \theta(s)) \rangle \subset S \times G$ is an E-unitary inverse semigroup with [maxi](#page-9-0)mal group image G and $I = (0 \times G) \cap T$ is an ideal with $T/I \cong S$. Conversely, if T is an E -unitary inverse monoid with maximal group image G and I is an ideal, then $S = T/I$ is strongly 0-E-unitary with idempotent pure partial homomorphism defined by restricting $\sigma: T \to G$ to the complement of I.
We now obtain quite easily that the C^* -algebra of a str

We now obtain quite easily that the C^* -algebra of a strongly 0-E-unitary inverse semigroup S with universal group G and idempotent set E is a partial action cross product C^{*}-algebra for an action of G on $C^*(E)$ (remember we are working in the category of inverse semigroups with 0). Indeed, write S as T/I where T is E-unitary with maximal group image G and I is an ideal of T. We saw in Lemma 4.1 that

 $\widehat{E(S)}$ can be identified with the T-invariant closed subspace I^{\perp} of $\widehat{E(T)}$. From 496 D. Milan and B. Steinberg
 $\widehat{E(S)}$ can be identified with the *T*-invariant closed subspace I^{\perp} of $\widehat{E(T)}$. From

the definition of the partial action of G on $\widehat{E(T)}$, if $g\varphi$ is defined for $g \in G$ and
 496 D. Milan and B. Steinberg
 $\widehat{E(S)}$ can be identified with the *T*-invariant closed subspace I^{\perp} of $\widehat{E(T)}$. From

the definition of the partial action of G on $\widehat{E(T)}$, if $g\varphi$ is defined for $g \in G$ and
 $\widehat{E(S)}$ can be identified with the *T*-invariant closed subspace I^{\perp} of $\widehat{E(T)}$. From
the definition of the partial action of *G* on $\widehat{E(T)}$, if $g\varphi$ is defined for $g \in G$ and
 $\varphi \in \widehat{E(T)}$, then there exist $E(S)$ can be identified with the I -invariant closed subspace I^{∞} of $E(I)$. From
the definition of the partial action of G on $\overline{E(T)}$, if $g\varphi$ is defined for $g \in G$ and
 $\varphi \in \widehat{E(T)}$, then there exists an ele obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* S *be a strongly* 0*-*E*-unitary inverse semigroup with universal group* G and idempotent set E. Then there is a partial action of G on \hat{E} such *that* $\mathscr{G}(S) \cong G \ltimes \overline{E}$; in particular, $\mathscr{G}(S)$ is Hausdorff. Consequently, $C^*(S) \cong C^*(E) \rtimes G \simeq C_2(\widehat{E}) \rtimes G$ $C^*(E) \rtimes G \cong C_0(E) \rtimes G$.

The corresponding result for reduced algebras also holds. The proof is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 5.2. *Let* S *be a* 0*-*E*-unitary inverse semigroup with idempotent set* E *and* maximal group image G. Then $C_r^*(S) \cong C^*(E) \rtimes_r G$.

Let S be an inverse semigroup with zero and idempotent set E . Recall [4] that the *tight spectrum* \hat{E}_{tight} of E is the closure in \hat{E} of the space of ultrafilters (where a filter F is identified with its characteristic function, which is a semi-character, and an ultrafilter is a maximal proper filter). This space is invariant under the action of S. The associated groupoid of germs is denoted $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)$. If S is strongly 0-E-unitary with universal group G , then the argument above shows that any invariant closed subspace for the action of S is also invariant for G . Thus w[e i](#page-25-0)mmediately obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.3. *Let* S *be a strongly* 0*-*E*-unitary inverse semigroup* S *with universal group* G *and idempotent set* E. Then there is a partial action of G on \hat{E}_{tight} *and* $\mathscr{G}_{\text{tight}}(S) \cong G \ltimes \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$ *; in particular,* $\mathscr{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)$ *is Hausdorff. Consequently,* $C^*(\mathscr{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)) \cong C_0(\overline{E}_{\text{tight}}) \rtimes G.$

Since many of the classical C^* -algebras associated to étale groupoids come from groupoids of the form $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)$ with S strongly 0-E-unitary [4], the theorem provides a uniform explanation for many of the partial action cross product results in this context.

6. Morita equivalence with full cross products

We recall that all locally compact topological spaces are assumed to be σ -compact and all discrete groups and inverse semigroups are assumed to be countable. Let

 $\theta: G \to I_X$ be a partial action of a discrete group G on a locally compact Hausdorff space X. Define an equivalence relation on $G \times X$ by $(g, x) \sim (h, y)$ if and only if $x \in X_{g-1}$ and $h^{-1}gx = y$. The class of (g, x) will be denoted $[g, x]$. The *enveloping action* [1] or *globalization* [11] of the action consists of the quot[ient](#page-26-0) space $\ddot{X} = (G \times X) / \sim$ (with the quotient topology) equipped with the G-action given by $g'[g, x] = [g'g, x]$. Abadie proved the following result [1].

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that* $\theta: G \to I_X$ *is a partial action of a discrete group* G *on a locally compact Hausdorff space* X *such that* \widetilde{X} *is Hausdorff. Then* $C_0(X) \rtimes G$ *is strongly Morita equivalent to the full cross product* $C_0(\widetilde{X}) \rtimes G$. A similar result holds for reduced C^* -algebras.

Khoshkam and Skandalis prove a more general result than that of Abadie in [12] using different terminology. Let G be a locally compact group and let $\mathscr G$ be a locally compact groupoid. By a *continuous cocycle*, they mean a continuous functor $\rho: \mathscr{G} \to G$. They say the cocycle ρ is

- faithful if the map $\mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{G}_0 \times G \times \mathscr{G}_0$ $\mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{G}_0 \times G \times \mathscr{G}_0$ $\mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{G}_0 \times G \times \mathscr{G}_0$ given by $g \mapsto (r(g), \rho(g), d(g))$ is injective;
- *closed* if the map $g \mapsto (r(g), \rho(g), d(g))$ is closed;
- *transverse* if the map $G \times \mathscr{G} \to G \times \mathscr{G}_0$ given by $(\gamma, g) \mapsto (\gamma \rho(g), d(g))$ is open.

For example, if G is a discrete group acting partially on a locally compact Hausdorff space X, then the projection $\rho: G \ltimes X \to G$ is a faithful, transverse, continuous cocycle. The results of Abadie [1] imply that ρ is closed if and only if the enveloping action is Hausdorff. The implication that ρ closed implies the enveloping action is Ha[usd](#page-26-0)orff is also proved in Lemma 1.7 of [12]. It is easy to see that a transverse cocycle comes from a partial action if and only if the map $g \mapsto (\rho(g), d(g))$ is injective, which is stronger than the cocycle being faithful.

Suppose that we are in the more general situation of a faithful, continuous, transverse cocycle $\rho: \mathscr{G} \to G$. Define the *enveloping action* of ρ as follows. Let $Y = (G \times \mathscr{G}_0)/\sim$ where $(g, x) \sim (h, y)$ if there exists $\gamma : y \to x$ with $\rho(\gamma) = g^{-1}h$ (note that γ is unique by faithfulness if it exists). Write [g, x] for the class of (g, x) and impose the quotient topology on Y. Notice that G acts continuously on Y by $g[h, x] = [gh, x]$. The following is a combination of Lemma 1.7 and Theorem 1.8 of [12].

Theorem 6.2 (Khoshkam and Skandalis). Let $\rho: \mathcal{G} \to G$ be a faithful, continuous, *transverse, closed cocycle. Then the space* Y *of the enveloping action is locally compact Hausdorff and there is a Morita equivalence of* \mathscr{G} *with* $G \ltimes Y$ *. Thus* \mathscr{G} *is* Hausdorff, $C^*(\mathscr{G})$ is strongly Morita equivalent to $C_0(Y) \rtimes G$ and $C^*_r(\mathscr{G})$ is strongly *Morita equivalent to* $C_0(Y) \rtimes_r G$ *.*

Khoshkam and Skandalis used this theorem to deduce that the C^* -algebras of certain E-unitary inverse semigroups are strongly Morita equivalent to cross products of a group with commutative C^* -algebras. This has implications for strongly 0-Eunitary inverse semigroups, as we shall see below. Also their arguments can be made to work more generally. We develop here the general theory for locally compact groupoids (see [22] for the precise definition) and then use it to obtain conditions for a locally compact groupoid to be Morita equivalent to a cross product of an inverse semigroup with a commutative C^* -algebra. Restricting to the case of a group recovers the results of Khoshkam and Skandalis.

Recall that if $\mathscr G$ is a topological groupoid, then an *action* of $\mathscr G$ on a space X consists of a continuous map $p: X \to \mathscr{G}_0$ and a continuous function $\theta: \mathscr{G} \times_{\mathscr{G}_0} X \to X$ (the pullback of d and p), written $(g, x) \mapsto gx$, such that

- $p(x)x = x;$
- $g(hx) = (gh)x$ if $d(h) = p(x)$ and $r(h) = d(g);$
- the diagram

commutes where π_1 : $\mathscr{G} \times_{\mathscr{G}_0} X \to \mathscr{G}$ is the projection.

In this case, we say that X is a $\mathscr G$ -space. There is an obvious notion of a morphism of $\mathscr G$ -spaces.

Given an action (p, θ) of $\mathscr G$ on a space X, we can form the *semidirect product* groupoid given by $\mathscr{G} \ltimes X = \mathscr{G} \times_{\mathscr{G}_0} X$. Here, the units are the elements of the form $(p(x),x)$ and hence $(\mathscr{G} \ltimes X)_0$ can be identified with X, which we do from now on. One has $d(g, x) = x$ and $r(g, x) = gx$. The product is given by

$$
(g, hy)(h, y) = (gh, y)
$$

and the inverse by $(g, x)^{-1} = (g^{-1}, gx)$. It is not hard to verify that [if](#page-26-0) $\mathscr G$ [is](#page-25-0) ét[ale](#page-26-0), then so is $\mathscr{G} \ltimes X$. Of course, this construction agrees with the previous construction of the semidirect product of a group with a space (or transformation groupoid) when $\mathscr G$ is a group. There is a natural projection $\pi : \mathscr G \ltimes X \to \mathscr G$ given by projection to the first coordinate. Notice that if $x \in X$, then $r^{-1}(x) = \{(g, g^{-1}x) | r(g) = p(x)\}\$, which is homeomorphic to $r^{-1}(p(x))$ via the projection π . From this it is easy to see that if $\{\lambda^e\}_{e \in \mathscr{G}_0}$ is a left Haar system for \mathscr{G} , then we can define a left Haar system for $\mathscr{G} \ltimes X$ by putting $\mu^x(B) = \lambda^{p(x)}(\pi(B))$ for a Borel subset $B \subseteq r^{-1}(x)$. The semidirect product is functorial in the space variable.

We need a few more definitions from topological groupoid theory [19], [7], [8]. Let φ : $\mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{H}$ be a continuous functor of topological groupoids. Then there is a

resulting commutative diagram

$$
g \longrightarrow \overline{\varphi}
$$

\n
$$
g_0 \times g_0 \underset{\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0}{\times} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}
$$

\n
$$
g_0 \times g_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0
$$

\n
$$
(6.1)
$$

\n
$$
r \times d
$$

\n
$$
g_0 \times g_0 \longrightarrow \overline{\varphi \times \varphi} \times \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0
$$

coming from the universal property of a pullback. One says that φ is *faithful* if $\overline{\varphi}$ is a topological embedding in (6.1); it is *full* if $\overline{\varphi}$ is an open surjection; and it is *fully faithful* if $\overline{\varphi}$ is a homeomorphism.

A continuous functor $\varphi : \mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{H}$ is called *essentially surjective* if the map $d\pi_2$: $\mathscr{G}_0 \times_{\mathscr{H}_0} \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}_0$ is an open surjection (where the pullback is over the φ and r). A fully faithful, essentially surjecti[ve](#page-25-0) f[unc](#page-26-0)tor is called a *weak equivalence*. Two locally compact groupoids \mathscr{G}, \mathscr{H} are said to be *Morita equivalent* if the[re is](#page-26-0) a locally comp[a](#page-26-0)ct groupoid K and a [di](#page-26-0)agram

$$
\begin{array}{c}\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{H} \\
\varphi \downarrow \\
\mathcal{G},\n\end{array}
$$

where φ and ψ are weak equivalences [7], [8].

A theorem of Renault [23] (in the non-Hausdorff case), see also [20], implies that if $\mathscr G$ and $\mathscr H$ are Morita equivalent locally compact groupoids, then $C^*(\mathscr G)$ and $C^*(\mathcal{H})$ are strongly Morita equivalent, and similarly for $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ and $C_r^*(\mathcal{H})$.

The following is a generalization of the cocycle result of Khoshkam and Skandalis.

Theorem 6.3. Let φ : $\mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{H}$ be a faithful morphism of locally compact groupoids. *Suppose in addition that:*

- (1) *the image of* $\bar{\varphi}$ *from* (6.1) *is closed (or equivalently in light of faithfulness,* $\bar{\varphi}$ *is a closed map);*
- (2) *the map* $\psi : \mathcal{H} \times_{\mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H} \times_{\mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{G}_0$ given by $\psi(h, g) = (h\varphi(g), d(g))$ is *open;*
- (3) $\mathcal{H}_0 \times_{\mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{G}_0$ *is an open subset of* $\mathcal{H} \times_{\mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{G}_0$ *. (This is automatic if* \mathcal{H} *is étale because* \mathcal{H}_0 *is open in* \mathcal{H} *.*)

Then there is a locally compact Hausdorff space X and an action (p, θ) of *H* on *X*,

called the enveloping action of φ , *such that* φ *factors as*

with α *a* weak equivalence. Thus $\mathscr G$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathscr H \ltimes X$.

Proof. Let $Y = \mathcal{H} \times_{\mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{G}_0$ (pullback of d and φ) and define an equivalence relation on Y by $(h, e) \sim (k, f)$ if there exists $g : e \rightarrow f$ such that $\varphi(g) = k^{-1}h$ (and so in particular $r(h) = r(k)$). The equivalence class of (h, e) is denoted $[h, e]$. Let $X = Y/\sim$ and let $q: X \to Y$ be the quotient map. Since Y is locally compact, to prove that X is locally compact Hausdorff, it suffices to show that q is an open map and \sim is a closed equivalence relation.

Let U be an open subset of X; we must show $q^{-1}q(U)$ is open. Let $V = \{(h, g) \in$ $\mathcal{H} \times_{\mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{G} \mid (h, r(g)) \in U$; it is open by continuity of r. From the definition of the equivalence relation \sim , we have $q^{-1}q(U) = \psi(V)$, which is open by (2). Thus q is an open map. We can define a map

$$
\beta\colon Y\times Y\to\mathscr{G}_0\times\mathscr{G}_0\underset{\mathscr{H}_0\times\mathscr{H}_0}{\times}\mathscr{H}
$$

by $((h, e), (k, f)) \mapsto (f, e, k^{-1}h)$. The definition of \sim shows that its graph is precisely $\beta^{-1}(\bar{\varphi}(\mathscr{G}))$, and hence \sim is a closed equivalence by (1). We thus have that X is a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Let us define an action of $\mathcal H$ on X. There is a natural continuous map $p: X \to Y$ \mathcal{H}_0 given by $p([h, e]) = r(h)$. We can define the action map $\theta: \mathcal{H} \times_{\mathcal{H}_0} X \to X$ by $h[k, e] = [hk, e]$ when $d(h) = r(k)$. It is a routine exercise to verify that (p, θ) is indeed an action. Let $\pi: \mathcal{H} \ltimes X \to \mathcal{H}$ be the projection.

Define α : $\mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{H} \ltimes X$ by $\alpha(g) = (\varphi(g), [\varphi(d(g)), d(g)])$. It is immediate that $\varphi = \pi \alpha$. It is routine to verify that α is a continuous functor. Let us verify that it is a weak equivalence. First observe that $\alpha|_{\mathscr{G}_0}:\mathscr{G}_0 \to X$ is an open map. Indeed, as $q: Y \to X$ is open, it suffices to check that $e \mapsto (\varphi(e), e)$ is an open map $\mathscr{G}_0 \to Y$. But this map is a homeomorphism $\mathscr{G}_0 \to \mathscr{H}_0 \times_{\mathscr{H}_0} \mathscr{G}_0$ [who](#page-26-0)se codomain is an open subset of Y by (3) .

First we check that α is essentially surjective. This means that we need that the map $(e, (h, x)) \mapsto x$ is an open surjection $\mathscr{G}_0 \times_X (\mathscr{H} \ltimes X) \to X$. Suppose that $[h, e] \in X$ with $d(h) = \varphi(e)$. Then

$$
(h^{-1}, [h, e])
$$
: $[h, e] \to [\varphi(e), e] = \alpha(e)$.

Thus $(e, (h^{-1}, [h, e]) \mapsto [h, e]$, establishing surjectivity. Next we prove openness. Recall that a groupoid is said to be *open* if d is open [19]. Any locally compact groupoid is open due to the existence of a left Haar system. We claim that if $\rho: \mathcal{K} \to$

 $\mathscr L$ is a continuous functor between open groupoids such that $\rho|_{\mathscr K_0} : \mathscr K_0 \to \mathscr L_0$ is an open map, then $d\pi_2$: $\mathcal{K}_0 \times_{\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}_0$ is open. Indeed, since d is open, it suffices to verify that π_2 is open. But π_2 is open because open maps are stable under pullback. Applying this to our setting (since $\alpha|_{\mathscr{G}_0}$ is open), we conclude that α is essentially surjective.

To prove that α is fully faithful, we need to show that $\bar{\alpha}$ defined by

$$
g \mapsto (r(g), d(g), \alpha(g))
$$

is a homeomorphism of $\mathscr G$ with $\mathscr G_0 \times \mathscr G_0 \times_{X \times X} (\mathscr H \ltimes X)$. Since $\varphi = \pi \alpha$ is faithful, it follows that $\bar{\alpha}$ is injective and a topological embedding. It is also surjective since if $(e, f, (h, [k, x]))$ $(e, f, (h, [k, x]))$ $(e, f, (h, [k, x]))$ is in [the](#page-14-0) pullback, then $[\varphi(f), f] = [k, x]$ and $[\varphi(e), e] = [hk, x]$, whence there exists $g: x \to f$ with $k = \varphi(g)$ and $g' : x \to e$ with $\varphi(g') = h k$. Then $g' g^{-1} : f \to e$ satisfies $\varphi(g' g^{-1}) = h k k^{-1} = h$. Thus $\overline{\alpha}(g' g^{-1}) = (e \circ f (h \circ h) \circ (h \circ h \circ h))$. This completes the proof that α is a weak $(e, f, (h, [\varphi(f), f])) = (e, f, (h, [k, x]))$. This completes the proof that α is a weak equivalence. equivalence.

It is easy to see that Theorem 1.8 of [12] for discrete groups is the special case of the above theorem where \mathcal{H} is a discrete group. We conjecture that assumption (3) is unnecessary, but we were unable to show that $d\pi_2$ is open without it.

Our goal is to apply Theorem 6.3 when $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}(S)$ for an inverse semigroup S. First we want to relate $\mathscr{G}(S)$ -spaces to a clas[s o](#page-25-0)f S-spaces. As usual E denotes the semilattice of idempotents of S. By an S-space, we mean a pair (X, θ) where X is a space and $\theta: S \to I_X$ is a homomorphism such that $X = \bigcup_{e \in E} X_e$ where X_e
is the domain of $\theta(e)$ for $e \in F$. The S-spaces form a category where a morphism is the domain of $\theta(e)$ for $e \in E$. The S-spaces form a category where a morphism $\varphi: X \to Y$ of S-spaces is a continuous map such that

- $\varphi^{-1}(Y_e) = X_e$ for all $e \in E$;
- $\varphi(sx) = s\varphi(x)$ for $x \in X_{s^*s}$.

We say that X is a *special* S-space if X_e is clopen for all $e \in E$. For instance, E is a special S-space. The reader is referred to [4] for basic definitions and notions concerning groupoids of germs.

Theorem 6.4. *The categories of* $\mathcal{G}(S)$ -spaces and special S-spaces are isomorphic. *More, precisely each special S-space X has the structure of a* $\mathcal{G}(S)$ -space and vice *versa. Moreover, the groupoid of germs* $S \times X$ *of the action of* S *on* X *is topologically isomorphic to the semidirect product* $\mathscr{G}(S) \ltimes X$ *.*

Proof. We just verify the isomorphism at the level of objects; the easy verifications of the details for morphisms are omitted. First let X be a $\mathscr{G}(S)$ -space; assume that the action is given by (p, θ) where $p: X \to \widehat{E}$. Define an action of S on X as follows. Let $X_e = p^{-1}(D(e))$. Then since $D(e)$ is clopen in \hat{E} , it follows that X_e is clopen. Also $X = p^{-1}(\widehat{E}) = \bigcup_{e \in E} X_e$ since the $D(e)$ cover \widehat{E} . Define, for $s \in S$, a continuous map $g: X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow W \circ (x) = [g, g(x)]_Y$. This map is well defined since $x \in E$ map $\rho_s: X_{s^*s} \to X_{ss^*}$ by $\rho_s(x) = [s, p(x)]x$. This map is well defined since $x \in$

 X_{s^*s} implies that $p(x) \in D(s^*s)$ and so $[s, p(x)] \in \mathscr{G}(S)$. Continuity is clear from continuity of *n* and the action of $\mathscr{G}(S)$. Also $a * a(x) = [s^* \text{ sn}(x)][s, n(x)]x$ continuity of p and the action of $\mathcal{G}(S)$ $\mathcal{G}(S)$ $\mathcal{G}(S)$. Also $\rho_{s^*} \rho_s(x) = [s^*, sp(x)][s, p(x)]x = [s^*s, p(x)]x - x$ and so a and a sergive proportions $[s^*s, p(x)]x = x$ and so ρ_s and ρ_{s^*} are inverse homeomorphisms.
Next we check that $\alpha: S \to I_{x}$ given by $\rho(s) = \alpha$ is a homon

Next we check that $\rho: S \to I_X$ given by $\rho(s) = \rho_s$ is a homomorphism. First of all we have

$$
X_{ef} = p^{-1}(D(ef)) = p^{-1}(D(e) \cap D(f)) = p^{-1}(D(e) \cap p^{-1}(D(f)) = X_e \cap X_f.
$$

Secondly, if $s \le t$ and $x \in X_{s^*s}$, then $p(x) \in D(s^*s) \subseteq D(t^*t)$ and so $[s, p(x)] =$
It $p(x)$, whence $s \le s$. Thus s is order preserving. Thus to check that s is [t, $p(x)$], whence $\rho_s \leq \rho_t$. Thus ρ is order preserving. Thus to check that ρ is a homomorphism we just need to show that $\rho_0 \rho_t = \rho_0$, whenever $s^*s = tt^*$ a homomorphism, we just need to show that $\rho_s \rho_t = \rho_{st}$ whenever $s^*s = tt^*$
(cf. Theorem 5 in Chapter 3 of [13]). In this case, $\rho_s \rho(t) = \rho_s([t, n(x)]x)$ (cf. Theorem 5 in Chapter 3 of [13]). In this case, $\rho_s \rho_t(x) = \rho_s([t, p(x)]x)$ $[s, tp(x)][t, p(x)]x = [st, p(x)]x = \rho_{st}(x)$. Thus ρ is a special action.

We construct an isomorphism $S \ltimes X \to \mathscr{G}(S) \ltimes X$ by $[s, x] \mapsto ([s, p(x)], x)$ with inverse $([s, p(x)], x) \mapsto [s, x]$. It is routine to verify that these maps are inverse continuous functors.

Next suppose that $\rho: S \to I_X$ gives a special action of S on X. Define $p: X \to \hat{E}$ by

$$
p(x)(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in X_e, \\ 0 & x \notin X_e \end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that $p(x) \in \hat{E}$. The fact that $p(x)$ is a semilattice homomorphism is trivial since $X_e \cap X_f = X_{ef}$. It is non-zero exactly because $x \in X_e$ for some $e \in E$. To show that p is continuous, it suffices to show that $p^{-1}(D(e))$ is clopen for all $e \in E$. But $p(x) \in D(e)$ if and only if $x \in X_e$ and X_e is clopen by definition of a special action. Define the action of $\mathscr{G}(S)$ on X by $[s, p(x)]x = sx$. We must show that this is well defined. First of all $p(x) \in D(s^*s)$ implies that $x \in X_{s^*s}$
and so sx is defined. Also if $[t, p(x)] = [s, p(x)]$ then we can find $y \leq s$ t with and so sx is defined. Also if $[t, p(x)] = [s, p(x)]$, then we can find $u \leq s, t$ with $p(x) \in D(u^*u)$. But then $x \in X$ \star and so $sx - yx - tx$. Thus the action is well $p(x) \in D(u^*u)$. But then $x \in X_{u^*u}$ and so $sx = ux = tx$. Thus the action is well
defined Let us verify continuity. Suppose $p(x) \in D(s^*s)$ and *U* is a neighborhood defined. Let us verify continuity. Suppose $p(x) \in D(s^*s)$ and U is a neighborhood
of sx. Then we can find a neighborhood $V \subset X$, so that $x \in V$ and $sV \subset U$ of sx. Then we can find a neighborhood $V \subseteq X_{s^*s}$ so that $x \in V$ and $sV \subseteq U$.
Then $(\mathscr{U}(S) \times_{\alpha} Y) \cap ((s, D(s^*s)) \times V)$ is a neighborhood of $(s, p(x)]$ x) manned Then $(\mathcal{G}(S) \times_{\hat{E}} X) \cap ((s, D(s^*s)) \times V)$ is a neighborhood [of](#page-14-0) $([s, p(x)], x)$ mapped
by the action into U by the action into U .

We omit the straightforward verification that these two constructions are inverse to each other. \Box

The reader should observe that Paterson's universal property of $\mathscr{G}(S)$ [22] is an immediate consequence of this result.

Let $\mathscr G$ be a locally compact groupoid and S an inverse semigroup. We define a *continuous, faithful, transverse, closed cocycle* φ : $\mathscr{G} \to S$ to be a continuous faithful functor φ : $\mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{G}(S)$ satisfying (1) and (2) of Theorem 6.3 (condition (3) being automatic as $\mathcal{G}(S)$ is étale). The following result generalizes Theorem 1.8 of [12] to inverse semigroups. If S is an inverse semigroup acting on a locally compact Hausdorff space X, then one can form the cross product C^* -algebra $C_0(X) \rtimes S$ [4],

Section 9. Moreover, $C_0(X) \rtimes S \cong C^*(S \ltimes X)$ by Theorem 9.8 of [4]. Exel does not speak of reduced inverse semigroup cross products, but one would presume the not speak of reduced inverse semigroup cross products, but one would presume the analogous result holds. Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.5. Let $\rho: \mathscr{G} \to S$ be a continuous, faithful, transverse, closed cocycle *where* G *is a locally compact groupoid and* S *is an inverse semigroup. Then there is a locally compact Hausdorff space* X *equipped with an action by* S *so that* G *is* Morita equivalent to the groupoid of germs $S \ltimes X$. Consequently, $C^*(\mathscr{G})$ is strongly Morita equivalent to $C_0(X)$ \rtimes S . An analogous result holds for reduced C^* -algebras *if* S *is a group.*

Our next goal is to apply this result to inverse semigroups, in particular to strongly $0-E$ -unitary inverse semigroups. First we address a question that does not seem to have been satisfactorily answered in the literature: the functoriality of the construction $S \mapsto \mathscr{G}(S)$. If $\varphi: E \to F$ is a semilattice homomorphism, then there is obviously a continuous map $\varphi : \hat{F} \to \hat{E}$ induced by precomposition with φ . However, it turns out that under certain circumstances there is also a continuous map $\hat{E} \to \hat{F}$.

A map of topological spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *coherent* if, for each quasicompact open subset U of Y, one has that $f^{-1}(U)$ is quasi-compact open [6]. It is natural to say that f is *locally coherent* if, for each $x \in X$, there is a neighborhood U of x so that $f|_U: U \to Y$ is coherent.

A poset P is naturally a T_0 topological space via the *Alexandrov topology*. The open sets are the downsets where we recall that a *downset* is a subset $X \subseteq P$ such that $y \le x$ and $x \in X$ implies $y \in X$. The continuous maps between posets are precisely the order preserving ones. The quasi-compact open subsets are easily verified to be the order preserving ones. The quasi-compact open subsets are easily verified to be the finitely generated downsets and so a map of posets $f : P \to Q$ is coherent if and only if the preimage of any finitely generated downset is finitely generated. If $p \in P$, then p^{\downarrow} denotes the *principal downset* generated by p.

Recall that α *filter* F on a poset P is a non-empty upset (defined dually to downsets) such that any two elements of F have a common lower bound. For a semilattice, filters are prec[ise](#page-25-0)ly the non-empty subsemigroups which are upsets. In general, if B is a non-empty subsemilattice of E, then the up-closure $B^{\uparrow} = \{x \in \overline{E} \mid x >$ f for some $f \in B$ is a filter. It is easy to see that the semi-characters of a semilattice E are in bijection with the filters via the correspondence $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(1)$ and $F \mapsto \chi_F$. Thus we can identify \hat{E} with the space of filters on E. The compact open $D(e)$ corresponds to those filters containing e.

Let $\varphi: E_1 \to E_2$ be a semilattice homomorphism. We can define a map $\hat{\varphi}: \hat{E}_1 \to$ \hat{E}_2 by $\hat{\varphi}(F) = \varphi(F)^{\uparrow}$. This is well defined since $\varphi(F)$ is a non-empty subsemilattice. The question is: when is the map $\hat{\varphi}$ continuous? The answer when E has a maximum is well known [6] and the adaptation for the general case is not difficult.

Proposition 6.6. *Let* φ : $E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ *be a semilattice homomorphism. Then* $\hat{\varphi}$: $\hat{E_1} \rightarrow$ \widehat{E}_2 *is continuous if and only if* φ *is locally coherent.*

Proof. Suppose first that φ is locally coherent. To establish continuity it suffices to show that $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(D(e))$ is clopen for $e \in E_2$. We claim that

$$
\widehat{\varphi}^{-1}(D(e)) = \bigcup_{f \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow})} D(f),
$$

and hence is open. Indeed, if $\varphi(f) \leq e$ and $f \in F$ where F is a filter, then $e \in \varphi(F)$ \uparrow $\varphi(F)$ and so $\hat{\varphi}(F) \in D(e)$. Conversely if $\hat{\varphi}(F) \in D(e)$ then there $e \in \varphi(F)^{\uparrow} = \hat{\varphi}(F)$ and so $\hat{\varphi}(F) \in D(e)$. Conversely, if $\hat{\varphi}(F) \in D(e)$, then there exists $x \in \varphi(F)$ with $e \ge x$. If $x = \varphi(f)$ with $f \in F$, then $f \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\psi})$ and so $F \in D(f)$. Note that so far we have not used local coherence.

Since \widehat{E}_1 is covered by the compact open sets $D(x)$ with $x \in E_1$, to establish that $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(D(e))$ is closed it suffices to show that $D(x) \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(D(e))$ is closed for each $x \in E_1$. That is, it suffices to show that $\bigcup_{f \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow}) \cap x^{\downarrow}} D(f)$ is closed. Let
 Y be a downset of F_1 , containing x such that $\varphi|_{X}$ is coherent. Then there exists X be a downset of E_1 containing x such that $\varphi|_X$ is coherent. Then there exists $x \in X \cap \varphi^{-1}(\varphi^{\downarrow})$ such that $f \in X \cap \varphi^{-1}(\varphi^{\downarrow})$ if and only if $f \leq x$; for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X \cap \varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow})$ such that $f \in X \cap \varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow})$ if and only if $f \leq x_i$ for some i . So if $f \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow}) \cap x^{\downarrow}$ then one has $f = f x \leq x_i$ for some i . Also some *i*. So if $f \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\psi}) \cap x^{\psi}$, then one has $f = fx \leq x_i x$ for some *i*. Also $x \cdot x \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\psi}) \cap x^{\psi}$ for all *i* As $f \leq x_i x$ implies $D(f) \subseteq D(x, x)$ it follows that $x_j x \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow}) \cap x^{\downarrow}$ for all j. As $f \leq x_i x$ implies $D(f) \subseteq D(x_i x)$ it follows that

$$
\bigcup_{f \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow}) \cap x^{\downarrow}} D(f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D(x_i x)
$$

and hence is closed. This yields the continuity of $\hat{\varphi}$.

Suppose next that $\hat{\varphi}$ is continuous. We claim that, for each $x \in E_1$, one has that $\varphi|_{x^{\downarrow}}: x^{\downarrow} \to E_2$ is coherent. Clearly it suffices to show that if $e \in E_2$, then $\varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow}) \cap x^{\downarrow}$ is finitely generated as a downset. By continuity $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(D(e))$ is a clopen subset of \hat{E}_1 and hence $K = D(x) \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(D(e))$ is compact. The arguments in the previous paragraph yield

$$
K = \bigcup_{f \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow}) \cap x^{\downarrow}} D(f)
$$

and so there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow}) \cap x^{\downarrow}$ such that $f \in \varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow}) \cap x^{\downarrow}$ implies $D(f) \subset D(x_1) \cup \{x \mid f\}$ be the principal filter generated by f if $D(f) \subseteq D(x_1) \cup \cdots \cup D(x_n)$. Let f^{\uparrow} be the principal filter generated by f. If $f^{\uparrow} \in D(x_i)$, then $f \le x_i$. Thus x_1, \ldots, x_n generate $\varphi^{-1}(e^{\downarrow}) \cap x^{\downarrow}$ as a downset. We conclude that φ is locally coherent.

The proof of Proposition 6.6 shows that $\varphi: E_1 \to E_2$ is locally coherent if and only if $\varphi|_{e^{\psi}}: e^{\psi} \to E_2$ is coherent for each $e \in E_1$. We shall use this later.

Note that local coherence is not automatic. Let E_1 consist of a top 1, a bottom 0 and an infinite anti-chain X and let $E_2 = \{0, 1\}$. Let $\varphi : E_1 \to E_2$ send the top of E_1 to 1 and all remaining elements to 0. Since E_1 has a top, the remark above shows that in order for φ to be locally coherent, it must be coherent. But $\varphi^{-1}(0^{\downarrow})$ is not finitely generated as a downset.

Proposition 6.7. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be a locally coherent homomorphism of inverse *semigroups. Then* $\varphi|_{E(S)}$: $E(S) \to E(T)$ *is locally coherent.*

Proof. Put $\psi = \varphi|_{E(S)}$ and suppose that φ is locally coherent. Let $e \in E(S)$ and choose a downset X of S containing e so that $\varphi|_X \colon X \to T$ is coherent. Let $f \in E(T)$ and suppose that s_1,\ldots,s_m generate $X \cap \varphi^{-1}(f^{\downarrow})$ as a downset. Set $e_i = es_i^* s_i$. Since $\varphi(s_i) \leq f$, we have $\varphi(e_i) \leq \varphi(s_i^* s_i) \leq f$ and so the e_i belong
to $u^{-1}(f \downarrow) \cap g \downarrow$. If $x \in u^{-1}(f \downarrow) \cap g \downarrow$ then $x \in g$ implies $x \in Y$. Therefore to $\psi^{-1}(f^{\downarrow}) \cap e^{\downarrow}$. If $x \in \psi^{-1}(f^{\downarrow}) \cap e^{\downarrow}$, then $x \leq e$ implies $x \in X$. Therefore, $x \leq e$ for some *i*. But then $x \leq e$. It follows that $\psi^{-1}(f^{\downarrow}) \cap e^{\downarrow}$ is generated by $x \leq s_i$ for some *i*. But then $x \leq e_i$. It foll[ows](#page-27-0) that $\psi^{-1}(f^{\downarrow}) \cap e^{\downarrow}$ is generated by e_i and so ψ is locally coherent e_1,\ldots,e_m and so ψ is locally coherent.

The converse of the above proposition is false. Let E_1 be the semilattice constructed before the proposition and let $T = E_1 \cup \{z\}$ where $z^2 = 1$ and $za = a = az$ for $a \in X \cup \{0\}$. Then $E_1 = E(T)$ and hence the inclusion ι clearly satisfies $\iota: E_1 \to E(T)$ is locally coherent. However, $\iota: E_1 \to T$ is not locally coherent. If it were, then since E_1 has a maximum, it would have to be coherent. But it is not since $\iota^{-1}(z^{\downarrow}) = X \cup \{0\}$, which is not finitely generated as a downset. This example can be generalized using Theorem 5.17 of [26] to show that if T is an inverse semican be generalized, using Theorem 5.17 of $[26]$ to show that if T is an inverse semigroup such that $\mathscr{G}(T)$ is not Hausdorff, then the inclusion $\iota: E(T) \to T$ satisfies $\iota: E(T) \to E(T)$ is locally coherent but $\iota: E(T) \to T$ is not.

Our next goal is to show that Paterson's universal groupoid construction is functorial if one restricts to inverse semigroup morphisms $\varphi : S \to T$ such that $\varphi|_{E(S)}$ is locally coherent.

Theorem 6.8. Let φ : $S \to T$ be a homomorphism of inverse semigroups such that $\varphi|_{E(S)}$ *is locally coherent. Then there is a continuous homomorphism* $\Phi: \mathscr{G}(S) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{G}(T)$ given by $[s, F] \mapsto [\varphi(s), \widehat{\varphi}(F)]$ where *F* is a filter on $E(S)$ with $s^*s \in F$.

Proof. Let us first show that Φ is well defined. If $[s, F] = [t, F]$, then there exists $u \leq s$, t with $u^*u \in F$. Then $g(u) \leq g(s)$, $g(t)$ and $g(u)^*g(u) \in g(F)$, $\hat{g}(F)$. Thus s, t with $u^*u \in F$. Then $\varphi(u) \leq \varphi(s)$, $\varphi(t)$ and $\varphi(u)^*\varphi(u) \in \varphi(F)^{\uparrow} = \widehat{\varphi}(F)$. Thus $\varphi(s)$ and $\widehat{\varphi}(F) = \varphi(t)$. $\widehat{\varphi}(F)$. To verify continuity, let $[s, F] \in \mathscr{G}(S)$ and suppose $[\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F)] = [\varphi(t), \hat{\varphi}(F)]$. To verify continuity, let $[s, F] \in \mathscr{G}(S)$ and suppose that (t, U) is a basic neighborhood of $[\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F)]$. Then we can find $u \leq \varphi(s), t$
such that $u^*u \in \hat{\varphi}(F)$. Thus there exists $e \in F$ with $\varphi(e) \leq u^*u$. Consider the such that $u^*u \in \hat{\varphi}(F)$. Thus there exists $e \in F$ with $\varphi(e) \leq u^*u$. Consider the neighborhood neighborhood

$$
W = (s, D(s^*s) \cap D(e) \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(U))
$$

of $[s, F]$ in $\mathscr{G}(S)$. If $[s, F'] \in W$, then $\Phi([s, F']) = [\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F')]$ satisfies $\hat{\varphi}(F') \in$
U Also $e \in F'$ implies that $v^*u \in \hat{\varphi}(F')$. It follows that $[\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F')]$ – U. Also $e \in F'$ implies that $u^*u \in \hat{\varphi}(F')$. It follows that $[\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F')] =$
It $\hat{\varphi}(F')| \in (t, U)$. Thus Φ is continuous $[t, \hat{\varphi}(F')] \in (t, U)$. Thus Φ is continuous.
To verify that Φ is a functor first obs

To verify that Φ is a functor, first observe that on objects, $\Phi(F) = \hat{\varphi}(F)$ and so $\Phi(d([s, F])) = \hat{\varphi}(F) = d([\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F)]) = d(\Phi([s, F]))$. On the other hand, $\Phi(r([s, F])) = \hat{\varphi}(sF)$, whereas $r(\Phi([s, F])) = s\hat{\varphi}(F)$. Thus we must show that $\widehat{\varphi}(sF) = \varphi(s)\widehat{\varphi}(F).$

If $e \in \hat{\varphi}(sF)$, then there exists $x \in sF$ with $\varphi(x) \leq e$. But then $s^*xs \in F$
 $\varphi(s^*rs) \leq \varphi(s)^* \varphi(\varphi(s))$. This shows that $\varphi(s)^* \varphi(\varphi(s)) \in \hat{\varphi}(F)$ and hence $e \in F$ and $\varphi(s^*xs) \leq \varphi(s)^*e\varphi(s)$. This shows that $\varphi(s)^*e\varphi(s) \in \widehat{\varphi}(F)$ and hence $e \in \varphi(s)\widehat{\varphi}(F)$. Conversely if $e \in \varphi(s)\widehat{\varphi}(F)$ then $\varphi(s)^*e\varphi(s) \in \widehat{\varphi}(F)$ and so there exists $\varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F)$. Conversely, if $e \in \varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F)$, then $\varphi(s)^*e\varphi(s) \in \hat{\varphi}(F)$ and so there exists $x \in F$ with $\varphi(x) \le \varphi(s)^*e\varphi(s)$. But then $e > \varphi(s)x^{*}$ and $s^*(sx^{*})s \in F$ because $x \in F$ with $\varphi(x) \le \varphi(s)^* e \varphi(s)$. But then $e \ge \varphi(sxs^*)$ and $s^*(sxs^*)s \in F$ because
 $s^*s \in F$. Thus $sxs^* \in sF$ and so $e \in \hat{\omega}(sF)$. We conclude $\varphi(s)\hat{\omega}(F) - \hat{\omega}(sF)$. $s^*s \in F$. Thus $sxs^* \in sF$ $sxs^* \in sF$ and so $e \in \hat{\varphi}(sF)$. We conclude $\varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F) = \hat{\varphi}(sF)$.
Then remaining verification that Φ is a functor is the computation

Then remaining verification that Φ is a functor is the computation

$$
\Phi([s', sF])\Phi([s, F]) = [\varphi(s'), \hat{\varphi}(sF)][\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F)] = [\varphi(s's), \hat{\varphi}(F)]
$$

= $\Phi([s's, F]).$

This completes the proof.

We introduce a condition, called the *Kh[oshk](#page-19-0)am–Skandalis* condition (or *KS conditio[n](#page-20-0)* for short), on an [inv](#page-20-0)erse semigroup homomorphism $\varphi : S \to T$ that guarantees that Theorem 6.3 [ap](#page-26-0)plies to the induced homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{G}(S) \to \mathcal{G}(T)$.

Definition 6.9 (KS condition). Let φ : $S \to T$ be an inverse semigroup homomorphism. Then φ is said to satisfy the *KS condition* if, for all $e, f \in E(S)$, one has $\varphi|_{eSf} : eSf \rightarrow T$ is coherent.

The KS condition on φ implies that it is locally coherent and hence the restriction of φ to $E(S)$ is locally coherent by Proposition 6.7. Thus $\Phi: \mathscr{G}(S) \to \mathscr{G}(T)$ can be defined as per Theorem 6.8. The KS condition was considered by Khoshkam and Skandalis for the special case of the maximal group image homomorphism $\sigma: S \to G$.
In the paper [16] a semigroup homomorphism $\alpha: S \to T$ was defined to be an F

In the paper [16], a semigroup homomorphism $\varphi : S \to T$ was defined to be an F*morphism* if $\varphi^{-1}(t)$ has a maximum element for each $t \in T$. For instance, S is an F*inverse monoid* if and only if $\sigma: S \to G$ is an F-morphism. The original motivation for considering E-morphisms was to study partial actions of inverse semigroups. We for considering F -morphisms was to study partial actions of inverse semigroups. We claim that an F -morphism satisfies the KS condition.

Proposition 6.10. *An* F *-morphism satisfies the KS condition.*

Proof. Let φ : $S \to T$ be an F-morphism and suppose $e, f \in E(S)$. Let $t \in T$ and suppose u is the maximum element in $\varphi^{-1}(t)$. Then we have $\varphi^{-1}(t^{\downarrow}) \cap eSf =$ $(euf)^{\downarrow}$. Indeed, $\varphi(euf) \le t$ and $euf \in eSf$. If $s \in eSf$ and $\varphi(s) \le t$, then $s \le u$
and so $s = eSf \le euf$. This shows that $\varphi|_{s}$ and so is coherent. and so $s = esf \le euf$. This shows that $\varphi|_{eSf}$ is coherent. \Box

We now prove a series of lemmas that will lead to our main result on Morita equivalence.

Lemma 6.11. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be an inverse semigroup homomorphism satisfying *the KS condition. Abusing notation, we write* φ : $\mathscr{G}(S) \rightarrow \mathscr{G}(T)$ *for the induced morphism. Let*

$$
\psi: \mathcal{G}(S) \to \mathcal{G}(S)_0 \times \mathcal{G}(S)_0 \times \mathcal{G}(T) \tag{6.2}
$$

be given by $\psi(g) = (r(g), d(g), \varphi(g))$. Then ψ is a closed map.

 \Box

Proof. Let $X \subseteq \mathscr{G}(S)$ be closed. Since the sets of the form

$$
D(e) \times D(f) \times (t, D(t^*t))
$$

with $e, f \in E(S)$ and $t \in T$ form a cover of the right-hand side of (6.2) by compact open sets, it suffices to show $\psi(X) \cap (D(e) \times D(f) \times (t, D(t^*t)))$ is closed in $D(e) \times D(f) \times (t, D(t^*t))$ for all choices of e. f and t. Let s. $D(e) \times D(f) \times (t, D(t^*t))$ for all choices of e, f and t. Let s_1, \ldots, s_n be a finite generating set for $e \in f \cap \mathcal{L}(t^+)}$ generating set for $eSf \cap \varphi^{-1}(t^{\downarrow}).$

Claim 1. One has that

$$
\psi(X) \cap (D(e) \times D(f) \times (t, D(t^*t))) = \psi\left(X \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (s_i, D(s_i^*s_i))\right)\right)
$$

holds.

Proof of claim. Suppose that $[s, F] \in X \cap (s_i, D(s_i^*s_i))$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $s \leq s$. Then $s^*s \leq s^*s \leq f$. Thus $F \in D(f)$. Similarly we may assume $s \leq s_i$. Then $s^*s \leq s_i^*s_i \leq f$. Thus $F \in D(f)$. Similarly,
 $s s^* \leq s s^* \leq e$ and so $s^*e s > s^* s \in F$ vielding $e \in sF$. Thus to show that $u(f(s, F))$ $ss^* \leq s_i s_i^* \leq e$ and so $s^* e s \geq s^* s \in F$, yielding $e \in sF$. Thus to show that $\psi([s, F])$
belongs to the left-hand side, it remains to show that $[a(s), \hat{a}(F)] \in (t, D(t^* t))$. First belongs to the left-hand side, it remains to show that $[\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F)] \in (t, D(t^*t))$. First
belongs to the left-hand side, it remains to show that $[\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F)] \in (t, D(t^*t))$. First observe that $\varphi(s) \leq \varphi(s_i) \leq t$. Also $t^*t \geq \varphi(s^*s) \in \varphi(F)$ and so $t^*t \in \widehat{\varphi}(F)$. Thus $[\varphi(s) \widehat{\varphi}(F)] = [t \widehat{\varphi}(F)] \in (t \ D(t^*t))$. We conclude $\psi([s \ F])$ belongs to the left- $[\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F)] = [t, \hat{\varphi}(F)] \in (t, D(t^*t))$. We conclude $\psi([s, F])$ belongs to the lefthand side.

Next suppose that $[s, F] \in X$ with $\psi([s, F])$ in the left-hand side. Then $f \in F$ and $e \in sF$, whence $s^*es \in F$. Thus $(esf)^*esf = fs^*esf \in F$. Therefore $[s, F] = [esf, F]$ and so we may assume without loss of generality that $s \in eSf$. Next, since $[\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F)] \in (t, D(t^*t))$, it follows that there exists $u \in T$ with $u \leq \varphi(s)$, t and $u^*u \in \hat{\varphi}(F)$, which in turn means we can find $x \in F$ with $\varphi(x) \leq u^*u$. $\varphi(s)$, t and $u^*u \in \hat{\varphi}(F)$, which in turn means we can find $x \in F$ with $\varphi(x) \le u^*u$.
Then $(sx)^*(sx) = xs^*s \in F$ and so $[s, F] = [sx, F]$. Also $g(sx) \le g(s)u^*u =$ Then $(sx)^*(sx) = xs*s \in F$ and so $[s, F] = [sx, F]$. Also $\varphi(sx) \le \varphi(s)u^*u = u \le t$. Therefore there $u \leq t$. Thus without loss of generality, we may assume $\varphi(s) \leq t$. Therefore, there exists i with $s \leq s$. Then $[s, Fl] = [s, Fl]$ and hence $[s, Fl] \in (s, D(s^*\mathfrak{s}_s))$. Thus exists *i* with $s \leq s_i$. Then $[s, F] = [s_i, F]$ and hence $[s, F] \in (s_i, D(s_i^*s_i))$. Thus $s_i(f|s, f')$ belongs to the right-hand side $\psi([s, f])$ belongs to the right-hand side.

The desired result follows from the claim because the right-hand side is compact and hence the left-hand side is as well. But then the left-hand side is closed in the compact space $D(e) \times D(f) \times (t, D(t^*t)).$ П

Our next lemma establishes that condition (2) of Theorem 6.3 is always fulfilled in the case of a groupoid morphism induced by an inverse semigroup homomorphism.

Lemma 6.12. *Suppose that* $\varphi: S \to T$ *is an inverse semigroup homomorphism such that* $\varphi|_{E(S)}$ *is locally coherent. Again, we use* φ : $\mathscr{G}(S) \to \mathscr{G}(T)$ *for the induced morphism. Let*

$$
\psi : \mathcal{G}(T) \times_{\mathcal{G}(T)_0} \mathcal{G}(S) \to \mathcal{G}(T) \times_{\mathcal{G}(T)_0} \mathcal{G}(S)_0
$$

be given by $(h, g) \mapsto (h\varphi(g), d(g))$ *. Then* ψ *is open.*

Proof. Let $X = \mathscr{G}(T) \times_{\mathscr{G}(T)_0} \mathscr{G}(S)$ and $Y = \mathscr{G}(T) \times_{\mathscr{G}(T)_0} \mathscr{G}(S)_0$. Then a typical element of X is of the form $([t, \varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F)], [s, F])$. It is easy to see that a basic neighborhood of such a point is of the for[m](#page-26-0) $W = ((t, V) \times (s, U)) \cap X$ with $U \subseteq D(s^*s)$ and $V \subseteq D(t^*t) \cap D(\varphi(s^*))$. We claim the image of W is $N = (t\varphi(s), \varphi(s)^*V) \times U \cap Y$ and hence open $N = ((t\varphi(s), \varphi(s)^* V) \times U) \cap Y$ and hence open.
If $(I_t, \varphi(s) \hat{\varphi}(F'))$ is $F') \in W$ then its im-

If $([t, \varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F')], [s, F']) \in W$, then its image is $([\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F')], F') \in N$
ce $\varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F') \in V$ implies $\hat{\varphi}(F') \in \varphi(s)^*V$. Conversely given an element since $\varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F') \in V$ implies $\hat{\varphi}(F') \in \varphi(s)^*V$. Conversely, given an element $(\text{Im}(s), \hat{\varphi}(F')) = N$ we have $[s, F'] \in (s, U)$ and $\varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F') \in \varphi(s^*)V = V$ $([t\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F')], F') \in N$, we have $[s, F'] \in (s, U)$ and $\varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F') \in \varphi(ss^*)V = V$.
Thus $([t, \varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F')]$ $[s, F']) \in W$ with image $([t\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F')]$ $F')$ Thus $([t, \varphi(s)\hat{\varphi}(F')], [s, F']) \in W$ with image $([t\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F')], F')$.
This proves that u_k is open

This proves that ψ is open.

 \Box

A crucial notion from inverse semigroup theory [13] is that of an idempotent pure homomorphism. An inverse semigroup homomorphism $\varphi : S \to T$ is said to be *idempotent pure* if $\varphi^{-1}(E(T)) = E(S)$, that is, $\varphi(s) \in E(T)$ implies $s \in E(S)$. For instance, an inverse semigroup S is E -unitary if and only if the maximal group image homomorphism $\sigma: S \to G$ is idempotent pure. Let us say that $\varphi: S \to T$ is locally idempotent pure if $\varphi \colon S \to T$ is idempotent pure for each $e \in E(S)$ *locally idempotent pure* if $\varphi|_{eSe}$: $eSe \to T$ is idempotent pure for each $e \in E(S)$. An inverse semigroup is said to be *locally* E*-unitary* if eSe is E-unitary for each $e \in E(S)$. Clearly, S is locally E-unitary if and only if $\sigma: S \to G(S)$ is locally idempotent pure is enough to quarantee idempotent pure. It turns out that being locally idempotent pure is enough to guarantee that the corresponding morphism of groupoids is faithful, at least if we put aside topological concerns.

Lemma 6.13. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be a locally idempotent pure homomorphism of inverse *semigroups such that* $\varphi|_{E(S)}$ *is locally coherent. Denote also by* φ *the induced morphism* $\mathcal{G}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(T)$ *. Then the map* ψ given by

$$
g \mapsto (r(g), d(g), \varphi(g))
$$

is injective. In particular, if φ *satisfies the KS condition, then the induced morphism of groupoids is faithful.*

Proof. Suppose that $\psi([s, F]) = \psi([t, F'])$. Then

$$
F = F'
$$
, $sF = tF$ and $[\varphi(s), \hat{\varphi}(F)] = [\varphi(t), \hat{\varphi}(F)].$

We need to find $u \in S$ such that $u^*u \in F$ and $u \leq s,t$. We can find $v \leq \omega(s)$ $\omega(t)$ with $v^*v \in \hat{\omega}(F)$. Hence there exists $x \in F$ with $\omega(x) \leq v^*v$. Then $\varphi(s), \varphi(t)$ with $v^*v \in \hat{\varphi}(F)$. Hence there exists $x \in F$ with $\varphi(x) \leq v^*v$. Then $(s \times v^*(sx) \cdot (tx)^*(tx) \in F$ and so $[s, F] = [sx, F]$ and $[t, F] = [tx, F]$. Moreover $(sx)^*(sx)$, $(tx)^*(tx) \in F$ and so $[s, F] = [sx, F]$ and $[t, F] = [tx, F]$. Moreover,
 $\varphi(sx) = \varphi(s)y^*y\varphi(x) = y\varphi(t)y^*y\varphi(x) = \varphi(tx)$. Thus replacing s by sx $\varphi(sx) = \varphi(s)v^*v\varphi(x) = v\varphi(x) = \varphi(t)v^*v\varphi(x) = \varphi(tx)$. Thus, replacing s by sx and t by tx, we may assume that $\varphi(s) = \varphi(t)$.

Let $f = tt^*st^*$. Then $f \in tt^*St^*$ and, because $\varphi(s) = \varphi(t)$, we have $f = \varphi(t^*)\varphi(s)\varphi(t)^* = \varphi(t^*) \in E(T)$. Thus $f \in E(S)$ since φ is locally $\varphi(f) = \varphi(tt^*)\varphi(s)\varphi(t)^* = \varphi(tt^*) \in E(T)$. Thus $f \in E(S)$ since φ is locally idempotent pure and hence $u = ft \leq t$. But $u = tt^*st^*t \leq s$. It remains to idempotent pure and hence $u = ft \leq t$. But $u = tt^*st^*t \leq s$. It remains to

prove $u^*u \in F$ $u^*u \in F$. First observe that $u^*u = t^*ts^*tt^*st^*t = t^*ts^*tt^*s$. Applying
s $F - tF$ and $t^*t \in F$ yields $tt^* \in tF - sF$ and hence $s^*tt^*s \in F$. Thus $sF = tF$ and $t^*t \in F$ yields $tt^* \in tF = sF$ and hence $s^*tt^*s \in F$. Thus $u^*u = t^*ts^*tt^*s \in F$. Thus establishes $[s, F] = [t, F]$ and so u^k is injective $u^*u = t^*ts^*tt^*s \in F$. This establishes [s, F] = [t, F] and so ψ is injective.
The last statement follows since I emma 6.11 shows that ψ is a closed m

The last statement follows since Lemma 6.11 shows that ψ is a closed mapping and hence a topological embedding, being injective. П

Putting together all these lemmas, we have that if $\varphi : S \to T$ is a locally idempotent pure homomorphism of inverse semigroups satisfying the KS condition, then φ : $\mathscr{G}(S) \to \mathscr{G}(T)$ is a continuous, faithful, transverse, closed cocycle. Thus we have, by an application of Corollary 6.5, the following theorem, which is one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 6.14. *Let* φ : $S \to T$ *be a locally idempotent pure homomorphism of inverse semigroups satisfying the Khoshkam–Skandalis condition that* $\varphi|_{eSf}$ *is coherent for all* $e, f \in E(S)$ *. Then there is a locally compact Hausdorff space* X *acted on by* T such that $\mathscr{G}(S)$ is Morita equivalent to the germ groupoid $T \ltimes X$. Consequently, $C^*(S)$ *is strongly Morita equivalent to* $C_0(X) \rtimes T$ *. Moreover, if* T *is a group, then* $C_r^*(S)$ is strongly Morita equivalent to $C_0(X) \rtimes_r T$.

Our first special case generalizes Theorem 3.10 of [12] and also covers Example 3.12 (b) of $[12]$, where a certain locally E-unitary inverse semigroup that is not E-unitary is conside[red](#page-26-0).

Corollary 6.15. *Let* S *be a locally* E*-unitary inverse semigroup with maximal group image homomorphism* $\sigma: S \to G$ *. Suppose that, for all* $e, f \in E(S)$ *and* $g \in G$ *, one has that* $eSf \cap \sigma^{-1}(g)$ *is finitely generated as a downset. Then there is a locally one has that* $eSf \cap \sigma^{-1}(g)$ *is finitely generated as a downset. Then there is a locally*
compact Hausdorff space X and an action of G on X so that $C^*(S)$ is strongly Morita *compact Hausdorff space* X *and an action of* G *on* X *so that*C-.S /*is strongly Morita equivalent to* $C_0(X) \rtimes G$ *and* $C_r^*(S)$ *is strongly Morita equivalent to* $C_0(X) \rtimes_r G$ *.*

In the E -unitary case, the above condition is equivalent to the enveloping action being Hausdorff by [12], Proposition 3.9.

Recall that if S is a strongly $0-E$ -unitary inverse semigroup with universal group partial homomorphism $\theta: S \to \mathcal{U}(S)$, then $S \cong T/I$ where $T = \{(s, \theta(s)) \mid s \neq 0\}$ $0\} \cup (\{0\} \times \mathcal{U}(S))$ and $I = \{0\} \times \mathcal{U}(S)$. Moreover, T is E-unitary. It is easy to see that T satisfies the conditions of Corollary 6.15 if and only if $(eSf \setminus \{0\}) \cap \theta^{-1}(g)$ is finitely generated as a downset for all $e, f \in E(S)$ and $g \in \mathcal{U}(S)$. Also it is easy to see that if $\gamma : \mathscr{G} \to G$ is a continuous, faithful, transverse, closed cocycle and X is a closed subset of \mathscr{G}_0 , then $\gamma: \mathscr{G}|_X \to G$ is also a continuous, faithful, transverse, closed cocycle. We thus have the following corollary of our previous work.

Corollary 6.16. *Let* S *be a strongly* 0*-E-unitary inverse semigroup and suppose that the universal group partial homomorphism* $\iota: S \to \mathcal{U}(S)$ *satisfies* $eSf \cap \iota^{-1}(g)$ *is finitely generated as a downset for all* $e \cdot f \in F(S) \setminus \{0\}$ *and* $g \in \mathcal{U}(S)$ *. Then there finitely generated as a downset for all e,* $f \in E(S) \setminus \{0\}$ *and* $g \in \mathcal{U}(S)$ *. Then there* is an action of $\mathscr{U}(S)$ on a locally compact Hausdorff space X such that $C^*(S)$ is

strongly Morita equivalent to $C_0(X){\rtimes\mathscr{U}}(S)$ and $C^*_r(S)$ is strongly Morita equivalent to $C_0(X) \rtimes_r \mathcal{U}(S)$. The correspondin[g resu](#page-24-0)lt holds for the tight C^* -algebra of S in *the sense of Exel* [4]*.*

One can imitate the argument of [12], Proposition 3.9, to show that the above condition is equivalent to the enveloping action being Hausdorff.

For example, if $|X| \ge 2$ and P_X is the polycyclic inverse monoid, then $\iota: P_X \to$ F_X is the map taking uw^{*} to the reduced form of uw⁻¹. It is clear that the image of ι consists of all reduced words ν that can be written as a positive word multiplied by the inverse of a positive word. The unique maximal element in $\iota^{-1}(v)$ is v itself. It follows immediately that Corollary 6.16 applies to P_X . More generally, call an inverse semigroup S with zero *strongly* 0-F-inverse if $eSf \cap i^{-1}(g)$ has a maximum
element when not empty, for all $g \in \mathcal{U}(S)$. For an inverse monoid, this is equivalent element, when not empty, for all $g \in \mathcal{U}(S)$. For an inverse monoid, this is equivalent to asking that $\iota^{-1}(g)$ have a maximum element whenever it is not empty. For instance, P_X is strongly 0-F-inverse, as are the graph inverse semigroups of [22].

Corollary 6.17. *Let* S *be a strongly* 0*-*F *-inverse semigroup with universal group* $\mathscr{U}(S)$. Then there is [an action of](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1036.46037) $\mathscr{U}(S)$ [on a lo](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1957674)cally compact Hausdorff space X such that $C^*(S)$ is strongly Morita equivalent to $C_0(X) \rtimes \mathcal{U}(S)$ and $C_r^*(S)$ is *strongly Morita [equivalent to](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1040.46035)* $C_0(X) \rtimes_r \mathcal{U}(S)$ *. The corresponding result holds for th[e](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2045419) tight* C^* -algebra of S in the [sense](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2045419) [of](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2045419) [Ex](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2045419)el [4].

References

- [1] F. Abadie, Enveloping acti[ons](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1173.46035) [and](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1173.46035) [Takai](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1173.46035) [du](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1173.46035)[ality](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2419901) [for](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2419901) [partia](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2419901)l actions. *J. Funct. Anal.* **197** (2003), no. 1, 14–67. Zbl 1036.46037 MR 1957674
- [2] [F. Abadie, On](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1905819) partial actions and groupoids. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** [\(2004\),](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1029.46106) [no.](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1029.46106) [4,](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1029.46106) 1037–1047. Zbl 1040.46035 MR 2045419
- [3] R. Exel, Circle actions on C^* -algebras, partia[l automorphism](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0586.54001)[s, and a gene](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0861951)ralized Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence. *J. Funct. Anal.* **122** (no. 2), 361–401, 1994. Zbl 0808.46091 MR 1276163
- [4] [R. Exel, Inverse](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1071.18001) [semigroups an](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1953060)d combinatorial C^{*}-algebras. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* (N.S.) **39** (2008), no. 2, 191–313. Zbl 1173.46035 MR 2419901
- [5] R. Exel, M. Laca, and J. Quigg, Partial dynamical systems and C^* -algebras generated by partial isometries. *J. Operator Theory* **47** (2002), no. 1, 169–186. Zbl 1029.46106 MR 1905819
- [6] P. T. Johnstone, *Stone spaces.* Reprint of the 1982 edition, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 3, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1986. Zbl 0586.54001 MR 0861951
- [7] P. T. Johnstone, *Sketches of an elephant: a topos theory compendium*. Vol. 1, Oxford Logic Guides 43, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York 2002. Zbl 1071.18001 MR 1953060

- [8] P. T. Johnstone, *Sketches o[f an elephant: a t](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1056.20047)[opos theory com](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2041539)pendium*.Vol. 2, Oxford Logic Guides 44, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2002. Zbl 1071.18002 MR 2063092
- [9] [S. Bulman-Flem](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1029.46082)[ing, J. Fountai](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1900993)n, and V. Gould, Inverse semigroups with zero: covers and their structure. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **67** (1999), no. 1, 15–30. Zbl 0949.20046 MR 1699153
- [10] J. Kellendonk and M.V. Lawson, T[iling](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1079.20505) [semigroups.](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1079.20505) *[J.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1694900) [Algebra](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1694900)* **224** (200), no. 1, 140–150. Zbl 0971.20045 MR 1736698
- [11] J. Kellendonk and M. V. Lawson, Partial actions of groups. *[Internat.](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0946.20039) [J](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0946.20039)[.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1721768) [Algebra](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1721768) [Com](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1721768)put.* **14** (2004), no. 1, 87–114. Zbl 1056.20047 MR 2041539
- [12] [M. Khoshkam](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1061.20056) [and G. Skand](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2023788)alis, Regular representation of groupoid C^* -algebras and applications to inverse semigroups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **546** (2002), 47–72. Zbl 1029.46082 MR 1900993
- [13] M. V. Lawson, *Inverse semigroups*. The th[eory](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1101.20030) [of](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1101.20030) [partial](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1101.20030) [sy](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1101.20030)[mmetries,](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2221438) [Wo](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2221438)rld Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1998. Zbl 1079.20505 MR 1694900
- [14] [M.V. Lawson,](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2465914) The structure of 0-E-unitary inverse semigroups. I. The m[onoid](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1153.22003) [case.](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1153.22003) *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **42** (1999), no. 3, 497–520. Zbl 0946.20039 MR 1721768
- [15] M. V. Lawson, E^* -unitary [inverse semigroup](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0867.46045)s. In *Semigroups, algorithms, automata and languages*(Coimbra, 2001), World Scientifi[c](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1331978) [Publishing,](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1331978) [R](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1331978)iver Edge, NJ, 2002, 195–214. Zbl 1061.20056 MR 2023788
- [16] M. [V. Lawson, S. W.](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0659.18008) [Margolis, and](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0975977) B. Steinberg, Expansions of inverse semigroups. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **80** (2006), no. 2, 205–228. Zbl 1101.20030 MR 2221438
- [17] D. Lenz, On an order-based construction of a topological groupoid from an inverse semigroup. *Pro[c. Edinb. Math.](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1191.46055) [Soc.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2547343)* (2) **51** (2008), no. 2, 387–406. Zbl 1153.22003 MR 2465914
- [18] K. McClanahan, K-theory for p[artial crossed pro](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0809.46058)[ducts by discre](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1241114)te groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **130** (1995), no. 1, 77–117. Zbl 0867.46045 MR 1331978
- [19] I. Moerdijk, Toposes and groupoids. In *[Categorical al](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0913.22001)[gebra and its](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1724106) applications* (Louvain-La-Neuve, 1987), Lecture Notes in Math. 1348, Springer, Berlin 1988, 280– 298. Zbl 0659.18008 MR 0975977
- [20] P. S. Muhly and D. Williams, *Re[nault's](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0659.46058) [equivale](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0659.46058)[nce](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0912813) [theorem](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0912813) [fo](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0912813)r groupoid crossed products*. NYJM Monographs 3, State University of New York, University at Albany, Albany, NY, 2008, 1–87. [Zbl 1191.46](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0433.46049)[055 MR 25473](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0584266)43
- [21] A. Nica, C^* -algebras generated by isometries and Wiener-Hopf operators. *J. Operator Theory* **27** (1992), no. 1, 17–52. Zbl 0809.46058 MR 1241114
- [22] A. L. T. Paterson, *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras*. rogress Math. 170, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1999. Zbl 0913.22001 MR 1724106
- [23] J. Renault, Représentation des produits croisés d'algèbres de groupoïdes. *J. Operator Theory* **18** (1987), no. 1, 67–97. Zbl 0659.46058 MR 0912813
- [24] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to* C^* -algebras. Lecture Notes in Math. 793, Springer, Berlin 1980. Zbl 0433.46049 MR 0584266

- [25] B. Steinberg, The uniform word problem for groups and finite Rees quotients of E -unitary inverse semigroups. *J. Algebra* **266** (2003), no. 1, 1–13. Zbl 1031.20048 MR 1994524
- [26] B. Steinberg, A groupoid approach to discrete inverse semigroup algebras. *Adv. Math.* **223** (2010), no. 2, 689–727. Zbl 1188.22003 MR 2565546

Received November 1, 2011; revised December 28, 2012

D. Milan, Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at Tyler, 3900 University Blvd., Tyler, Tx 75799, U.S.A. E-mail: dmilan@uttyler.edu

B. Steinberg, Department of Mathematics, City College of NewYork, ConventAve at 138th St., New York, New York 10031, U.S.A.

E-mail: bsteinberg@ccny.cuny.edu

