Groups Geom. Dyn. 10 (2016), 1149[–1210](#page-61-0) DOI 10.4171/GGD/379

Commensurating endomorphisms of acylindrically hyperbolic groups and applications

Yago Antolín,^{1,2} Ashot Minasyan,¹ and Alessandro Sisto³

Abstract. We prove that the outer automorphism group $Out(G)$ is residually finite when the group G is virtually compact special (in the sense of Haglund and Wise) or when G is isomorphic to the fundamental group of some compact 3-manifold.

To prove these results we characterize commensurating endomorphisms of acylindrically hyperbolic groups. An endomorphism φ of a group G is said to be commensurating, if for every $g \in G$ some non-zero power of $\varphi(g)$ is conjugate to a non-zero power of g. Given an acylindrically hyperbolic group G , we show that any commensurating endomorphism of G is inner modulo a small perturbation. This generalizes a theorem of Minasyan and Osin, which provided a similar statement in the case when G is relatively hyperbolic. We then use this result to study pointwise inner and normal endomorphisms of acylindrically hyperbolic groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 20F65, 20F67, 20F28, 20E26, 20F34, 57M05.

Keywords. Acylindrically hyperbolic groups, hyperbolically embedded subgroups, commensurating endomorphisms, pointwise inner automorphisms, right angled Artin groups, outer automorphism groups, 3-manifold groups.

¹The work of the first two authors was partially supported by the EPSRC grant EP/H032428/1.

² The work of the first author was partially supported by the MCI (Spain) through project MTM2011-25955.

³ The work of the third author was partially funded by the EPSRC grant "Geometric and analytic aspects of infinite groups".

Contents

1. Introduction

A group G is said to be *residually finite* if for any distinct $x, y \in G$ there is a finite group Q and a homomorphism $\psi: G \to Q$ such that $\psi(x) \neq \psi(y)$ in Q. Informally speaking this means that G can be approximated by its finite quotients, in which case these quotients can be used to study the group G . For example, two classical theorems of Mal'cev state that finitely presented residually finite groups have solvable word problem $[32]$, and finitely generated residually finite groups are Hopfian [\[33\]](#page-60-1). Thus residual finiteness is a very basic property, so given any infinite group G , one of the first questions we could ask about G is whether it is residually finite.

The goal of this work is to prove residual finiteness of the outer automorphism group $Out(G) = Aut(G)/ Inn(G)$, where G belongs to one of the following large classes of groups:

- the class of virtually compact special groups (in the sense of F. Haglund and D. Wise [\[23\]](#page-59-0)),
- the class of fundamental groups of compact 3-manifolds.

Before formulating the main results, let us recall some background of the problem. A well-known theorem of G. Baumslag $[8]$ asserts that for a finitely generated residually finite group G its group of automorphisms, $Aut(G)$, is also residually finite. In $[40]$ the second author and Osin showed that in the case when G has infinitely many ends, the same assumptions on G (finite generation and residual finiteness) also imply that the group of outer automorphisms $Out(G)$ is

residually finite. However, this 'outer' version of Baumslag's result may not hold if G is 1-ended. Indeed, Bumagin and Wise $[12]$ proved that for any finitely presented group S there is a finitely generated residually finite (1-ended) group G such that $Out(G) \cong S$.

A classical criterion for establishing residual finiteness of outer automorphism groups was discovered by Grossman [\[21\]](#page-59-2). This criterion imposes stronger assumptions on the group: G must be conjugacy separable and any pointwise inner automorphism of G must be inner. Recall that a group is *conjugacy separable* if for any pair of non-conjugate elements $x, y \in G$ there exists a finite quotient Q, of G, in which the images of x, y are non-conjugate. An automorphism $\alpha \in Aut(G)$ is said to be *pointwise inner* if $\alpha(g)$ is conjugate to g for each $g \in G$. The set of all pointwise inner automorphism, $Aut_{\text{ni}}(G)$, forms a normal subgroup of $Aut(G)$.

In $[21]$ Grossman proved the following theorem: if G is a finitely generated conjugacy separable group such that $Aut_{\text{ni}}(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$ then $Out(G)$ is residually finite. Unfortunately, it is usually hard to show that a given group is conjugacy separable, as it is a much more delicate condition than residual finiteness (for example conjugacy separability may not pass to subgroups or overgroups of finite index – see $[35, 20]$ $[35, 20]$).

One class of groups for which conjugacy separability is known is the class VR consisting of virtual retracts of finitely generated right angled Artin groups – see [\[38\]](#page-60-4). Let AVR denote the class of groups which contain a finite index subgroup from the class $\vartheta \mathcal{R}$. The significance of the class $\vartheta \mathcal{R}$ can be seen from the work of Haglund and Wise [\[23\]](#page-59-0), who proved that every virtually compact special group belongs to this class (recall that a group G is said to be *virtually compact special* if G contains a finite index subgroup which is the fundamental group of a compact special cube complex in the sense of $[23]$). The list of virtually compact special groups is quite large and includes most Coxeter groups, 1-relator groups with torsion and finitely generated fully residually free (limit) groups – see [\[24,](#page-59-4) [51\]](#page-61-1). Therefore the following theorem covers a wide range of groups:

Theorem 1.1. *For any group* $G \in \mathcal{AVR}$ *the group* $Out(G)$ *is residually finite.*

As discussed above, Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) together with results from [\[23\]](#page-59-0) yields

Corollary 1.2. *If* G *is virtually compact special then* $Out(G)$ *is residually finite.*

It is worth mentioning that residual finiteness of $Out(G)$, when G is itself a nitely generated right angled Artin group, was proved by the second author in [\[38\]](#page-60-4) and, independently, by Charney and Vogtmann in [\[16\]](#page-59-5). On the other hand, there exist finitely generated groups H such that H is a subgroup of some finitely generated right angled Artin group and $Out(H)$ is not residually finite. Such examples can be easily found using the modification of the Rips's construction proposed by Haglund and Wise in [\[23\]](#page-59-0).

It is easy to produce examples of groups from AVR which possess pointwise inner automorphisms that are not inner (one can simply take the direct product of the free group of rank 2 with a finite group M for which $\text{Aut}_{\text{pi}}(M) \neq \text{Inn}(M)$; see $[13]$ for a construction of such finite groups). Also, it is unknown whether all groups from AVR are conjugacy separable, thus to prove Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) one cannot simply apply Grossman's criterion, and a more elaborate approach is required.

The original application of Grossman's criterion was the proof that $Out(\pi_1(\Sigma))$ is residually finite for any compact orientable surface Σ (see [\[21\]](#page-59-2)). Our second theorem extends this result to $Out(\pi_1(\mathcal{M}))$, where M is a compact 3-manifold:

Theorem 1.3. Let G be a group containing a finite index subgroup that is isomor*phic to the fundamental group of some compact* 3-manifold M *. Then* $Out(G)$ *is* residually finite.

For fundamental groups of Seifert fibered 3-manifolds (with two exceptions), the residual finiteness of outer automorphism groups was proved by Allenby, Kim and Tang [\[2,](#page-58-2) [3\]](#page-58-3). Evidently, Theorem [1.3](#page-3-0) cannot be further generalized to 4-dimensional manifolds, as it is well-known that for any finitely presented group G there is a closed 4-manifold M such that $G \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{M})$.

It is well-known that, for a manifold M, the group $Out(\pi_1(M))$ is closely related to the *mapping class group* (i.e., the group of isotopy classes of selfhomeomorphisms) $H(M)$ of M. For example, Waldhausen [\[49\]](#page-61-2) proved that if M is an irreducible orientable Haken 3-manifold with incompressible boundary such that M is not a line bundle, then $H(M)$ embeds into $Out(\pi_1(M))$. A similar statement when M is non-orientable (but still Haken and \mathbb{P}^2 -irreducible) was proved in $[27]$. If M is not irreducible then the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}) \to \text{Out}(\pi_1(\mathcal{M}))$ will not, in general, be injective – see [\[36\]](#page-60-5).

Thus Theorem [1.3](#page-3-0) yields

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that* M *is a compact irreducible orientable Haken* 3*-manifold with incompressible boundary that is not a line bundle. Then the mapping class group* $H(M)$ *is residually finite.*

Thanks to a recent result of Hamilton, Wilton and Zalesskii [\[26\]](#page-59-8), stating that the fundamental group of any orientable compact 3-manifold is conjugacy separable, we can prove Theorem [1.3](#page-3-0) using an approach which is similar to the one employed in Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0) Namely, in both theorems we use the techniques from geometric group theory, of groups acting on hyperbolic spaces, to prove a strong version of the fact that pointwise inner automorphisms are inner, which constitutes the second ingredient of Grossman's criterion.

1.1. Details of the proof. Let us now discuss how the two theorems above are proved in more detail.

A group G is called *acylindrically hyperbolic* if it admits a non-elementary acylindrical action on a hyperbolic metric space – see Subsection [2.5.](#page-13-0) This definition was originally proposed by D. Osin in $[42]$, where he proved that the class of such groups coincides with other large classes, previously studied by Bestvina and Fujiwara [\[9\]](#page-58-4), Dahmani, Guirardel and Osin [\[17\]](#page-59-9), Hamenstädt [\[25\]](#page-59-10) and the third author [\[47\]](#page-61-3). The class of acylindrically hyperbolic groups is rather extensive: it includes all non-elementary relatively hyperbolic groups, non-(virtually cyclic) groups acting properly on proper CAT(0)-spaces with at least one rank 1-element (see [\[42\]](#page-60-6)), mapping class groups of compact surfaces of genus at least 1, outer automorphism groups of free groups of rank at least 2 (see [\[17\]](#page-59-9)), many groups acting on simplicial trees (see [\[39\]](#page-60-7)), etc. In [\[39\]](#page-60-7) the second author and Osin proved that for any compact irreducible 3-manifold M , $\pi_1(M)$ is either acylindrically hyperbolic or virtually polycyclic, or M is Seifert fibered.

Two elements g; h of a group G are said to be *commensurable* if there are $z \in G$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $g^n = zh^m z^{-1}$ in G. In this we case we will write $g \approx h$. Otherwise, if g and h are non-commensurable, we will write $g \not\approx h$. Note that commensurability is an equivalence relation on the set of elements of G. Given a subgroup H of a group G and a homomorphism $\varphi: H \to G$, we will say that φ is *commensurating* if $h \stackrel{G}{\approx} \varphi(h)$ for all $h \in H$.

Commensurating homomorphisms were introduced and studied by the second author and Osin in the context of relatively hyperbolic groups in [\[40\]](#page-60-2). To prove Theorems [1.1](#page-2-0) and [1.3](#page-3-0) we study such homomorphisms for an acylindrically hyperbolic group G . Our main technical result (Theorem [7.1](#page-36-1) in Section [7\)](#page-36-0), generalizing the work from [\[40\]](#page-60-2), states that if H is a sufficiently large subgroup of G , then every commensurating homomorphism $H \to G$ is induced by an inner automorphism of G modulo a small perturbation (which disappears when one restricts to some finite index subgroup of H). In Theorem [7.5](#page-41-0) we apply this result to the case when $H = G$ to obtain a characterization of commensurating endomorphisms of an acylindrically hyperbolic group. In particular, we get the following

Corollary 1.5. For any acylindrically hyperbolic group G, Inn(G) has finite index *in* Aut_{pi} (G) *. Moreover, if* G *has no non-trivial finite normal subgroups then* $Aut_{\text{pi}}(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$ *.*

Sections [3](#page-14-0)[–6](#page-33-0) of the paper develop the theory of acylindrically hyperbolic groups, which is necessary to prove the main technical theorem. In particular, in Section $\overline{3}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{3}$ we investigate the necessary and sufficient conditions for adding a subgroup to an existing family of hyperbolically embedded subgroups, generalizing Osin's work from [\[43\]](#page-60-8) (this has also been independently done by M. Hull [\[29\]](#page-60-9)).

The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) uses the following quite general statement:

Theorem 1.6. *Let H be a non-abelian subgroup of a finitely generated right angled Artin group. Then every commensurating endomorphism* $\varphi: H \to H$ is *an inner automorphism of* H*.*

While Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) employs the characterization of commensurating endomorphisms of acylindrically hyperbolic groups from Theorem [7.5,](#page-41-0) it is not a straightforward consequence of it, as not all subgroups of right angled Artin groups are acylindrically hyperbolic. The proof of Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) occupies Section [9.](#page-46-0)

The final ingredient in the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 is a new criterion for residual finiteness of outer automorphism groups – see Proposition 10.6 in Section [10.](#page-50-0) This criterion could be of independent interest: for example, it gives a short proof of the fact that $Out(\pi_1(\mathcal{M}))$ is residually finite for any Seifert fibered space M , which was conjectured by Allenby, Kim and Tang in $\overline{3}$ – see Lemma [12.1.](#page-56-1)

The last application of Theorem [7.5](#page-41-0) that we discuss here concerns normal endomorphisms. We will say that an endomorphism $\varphi: G \to G$ is *normal* if $\varphi(N) \subseteq N$ for every normal subgroup $N \prec G$. Normal automorphisms (with a slightly more restrictive definition requiring that $\varphi(N) = N$ for all $N \vartriangleleft G$) have been studied by several authors before. For instance, Lubotzky [\[31\]](#page-60-10) showed that all normal automorphisms of free groups are inner. A similar statement was proved for non-trivial free products [\[41\]](#page-60-11) and non-elementary relatively hyperbolic groups with trivial finite radical $[40]$; see $[40]$ for more results and references.

It is known that every acylindrically hyperbolic group G contains a unique maximal finite normal subgroup (see [\[17,](#page-59-9) Theorem 2.24] or Lemma [5.6](#page-30-0) below). This subgroup, sometimes called the *finite radical* of G, will be denoted by $E_G(G)$ $(K(G))$ is the notation used in [\[17\]](#page-59-9)), in line with Lemma [5.6](#page-30-0) below. Combining The-orem [7.5](#page-41-0) with the theory of Dehn fillings for hyperbolically embedded subgroups, developed by Dahmani, Guirardel and Osin in [\[17\]](#page-59-9) we show that almost all normal endomorphisms of acylindrically hyperbolic groups are commensurating, and so their structure is described by Theorem [7.5.](#page-41-0)

Theorem 1.7. Let G be an acylindrically hyperbolic group and let $\varphi: G \to G$ be *a normal endomorphism. Then either* $\varphi(G) \subseteq E_G(G)$ *or* φ *is commensurating. In particular, if* $E_G(G) = \{1\}$ *and* $\varphi(G) \neq \{1\}$ *then* φ *is an inner automorphism of* G*.*

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Denis Osin for helpful discussions. We are also grateful to Henry Wilton for suggesting and discussing the applications of the main result to 3-manifold groups.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation. In this subsection we fix the notation and recall some basic concepts that will be used throughout the paper.

Let (S, d) be a metric space. Given a subset $A \subseteq S$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote by $N_{\varepsilon}(A)$ the closed ε -neighborhood of A, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(A) = \{x \in \mathcal{S} \mid d(x, A) \le \varepsilon\}.
$$

Similarly, we denote by $B(x, \varepsilon) = \{s \in S \mid d(x, s) \leq \varepsilon\}$, the closed ball of center $x \in S$ and radius ε .

Recall that for $A, B \subseteq S$, the Hausdorff distance is given by

$$
d_{Hau}(A, B) := \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} d(a, b), \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} d(a, b) \right\}.
$$

An isometric action of a group G on (S, d) is *metrically proper* if for any bounded subset $B \subseteq S$, the set $\{g \in G \mid B \cap g \circ B \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.

Recall that a *path* in S is a continuous function $p: [0, 1] \rightarrow S$, and the *length* of p is

$$
\ell(p) = \sup_{0 = t_0 \le t_1 \le \dots \le t_n = 1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(p(t_i), p(t_{i+1})).
$$

The path p is rectifiable if $\ell(p)$ is finite. We denote by p_{-} and p_{+} the initial and the final points of p .

The metric d is a *length metric* if for every $x, y \in S$,

 $d(x, y) = inf{ \ell(p) | p \text{ a rectifiable path from } x \text{ to } y } .$

If the metric d is a length metric, (S, d) is called a *length space*. If the infinum above is always realized (i.e., for any $x, y \in S$ there is a rectifiable path p with $\ell(p) = d(x, y)$, then $(8, d)$ is said to be a *geodesic metric space*.

Let G be a group. Suppose that X is a set equipped with a map $\pi: X \to G$. We will say that G generated by X if $G = \langle \pi(X) \rangle$. The set X will be called *symmetric* if $\pi(X) = \pi(X)^{-1}$ in G. In this case one can define the *Cayley graph* $\Gamma(G, X, \pi)$, of G with respect to X and π , as the graph with vertex set G and edge set $G \times X$, where the initial vertex of (g, x) is g and the final vertex is $g\pi(x)$. Note that this definition allows the Cayley graph to have multiple edges joining two vertices. When the map π is clear we will abuse the notation and simply write $\Gamma(G, X)$ instead of $\Gamma(G, X, \pi)$. Given a word U over X, |U|| will denote the length of U. For any other word V over X, we will write $U \equiv V$ to denote the graphical (letter-by-letter) equality between words U and V .

If X generates G and $g \in G$ then $|g|_X$ will denote the length of a shortest word over X representing g in G. We will denote by d_X the *graph metric* on $\Gamma(G, X)$, that is d_X is the metric of the geometric realization of the graph where all the edges are isometric to the unit interval. Thus if $g, h \in G$ then $d_X(g, h) = |g^{-1}h|_X$.

In the context of graphs, we will consider combinatorial paths. A *combinatorial path* in $\Gamma(G, X)$ is a formal sequence $p = e_1, \ldots, e_n$ where e_1, \ldots, e_n are edges and the initial vertex of e_i is the terminal vertex of e_{i-1} , $i = 2, ..., n$. In this case, the *length* $\ell(p)$ of p is n; p^{-1} will be the path, inverse to p (i.e., $p^{-1} = e_n^{-1}, \ldots, e_1^{-1}$, where e_j^{-1} is the the edge inverse to e_j). Furthermore, $p_$ and p_+ will denote the initial and the terminal vertices of p respectively. If p is a combinatorial path in a labelled directed graph (e.g., a Cayley graph), we will use $\text{Lab}(p)$ to denote its label.

Given a subgroup H of a group G and a subset $E \subseteq G$,

$$
C_H(E) := \{ h \in H \mid he = eh, \text{ for all } e \in E \}
$$

will denote the centralizer of E in H , and

$$
N_G(H) := \{ g \in G \mid gHg^{-1} = H \}
$$

will denote the normalizer of H in G. We will also use $\langle E \rangle^G \prec G$ to denote the normal closure of E in G .

2.2. Hyperbolic spaces. A geodesic metric space (\mathcal{S}, d) is called δ -*hyperbolic* if for any geodesic triangle, every side of the triangle is contained in the δ -neighborhood of the union of the other two sides. A metric space is said to be *hyperbolic* if it is geodesic and δ -hyperbolic for some $\delta \geq 0$.

A subset A of S is σ -quasi-convex, for some $\sigma \geq 0$, if for every geodesic path p in S with $p_-, p_+ \in A$, one has $p \subset N_{\sigma}(A)$. A set is *quasi-convex* if it is σ -quasi-convex for some $\sigma > 0$.

The following observation is an easy exercise on the definitions:

Remark 2.1. Suppose that Q is a subgroup of a group G acting by isometries on some δ -hyperbolic space (S, d) . If the orbit $Q \circ s$ is σ -quasi-convex for some $s \in S$ and $\sigma \ge 0$ then for any $s' \in S$ the orbit $Q \circ s'$ is σ' -quasi-convex, where $\sigma' := 2\delta + 2d(s, s') + \sigma.$

If (T, e) is another metric space, then a map $f: T \rightarrow S$ is a *quasi-isometric embedding* if there exist $\lambda > 1$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda}e(x, y) - c \le d(f(x), f(y)) \le \lambda e(x, y) + c \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{T}.
$$

If the quasi-isometric embedding f is *quasi-surjective*, i.e., $S = N_e(f(\mathcal{T}))$ for some $\varepsilon \ge 0$, then f is said to be a quasi-isometry. The spaces (\mathcal{T}, e) and (\mathcal{S}, d) are quasi-isometric if there exists a quasi-isometry $f: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{S}$.

We will say that a path p in $(8, d)$ is a (λ, c) -quasi-geodesic for some $\lambda \geq 1$, $c > 0$ if for any subpath q of p we have

$$
\ell(q) \leq \lambda d(q_-, q_+) + c,
$$

where $\ell(q)$ is the length of q and q_-, q_+ are the initial and terminal points of q respectively.

We now collect a series of well known facts about quasi-geodesic paths in hyperbolic spaces.

Lemma 2.2 ([\[11,](#page-58-5) III.H.1.7]). *For any* $\delta \ge 0$, $\lambda \ge 1$, $c \ge 0$, *there exists a constant* $x = x(\delta, \lambda, c) \geq 0$ *such that in a* δ *-hyperbolic space any two* (λ, c) -quasi-geodesics with the same endpoints belong to the closed x-neighbor*hoods of each other.*

Two paths p, q in a metric space (S, d) are called k-*connected*, if

$$
\max\{d(p_-, q_-), d(p_+, q_+)\} \le k.
$$

The paths p and q are k-close for some $k > 0$ if p is k-connected with either q or q^{-1} .

The next lemma is a simplification of Lemma 25 from [\[45\]](#page-60-12). Basically it says that if some sides of a geodesic polygon are much longer than the rest, then there is a pair of the long sides having sufficiently long subsegments which travel close to each other.

Lemma 2.3. Let *P* be a geodesic *n*-gon in a *δ*-hyperbolic space whose sides p1; : : : ; pⁿ *are divided into two subsets* S*,* T *. Denote the total length of all sides from* S *by* σ *and the total length of all sides from* T *by* ρ *, and assume that* $\sigma \geq \max\{10^3an, 10^3\rho\}$ for some $a \geq 30\delta$. Then there are two distinct sides $p_i, p_j \in S$, and 138-close subsegments *u* and *v* of p_i and p_j , respectively, such *that* $min\{\ell(u), \ell(v)\} > a$.

For our purposes we need the following version of the Švarc-Milnor Lemma.

Lemma 2.4 (The Švarc-Milnor Lemma). *Let* (S, d) *be a length space. Suppose that a group* G *acts by isometries on* S *and the action is cobounded. Then there exists a symmetric generating set* X *of* G *such that for any* $s \in S$ *, the map* $g \mapsto g \circ s$ *is a quasi-isometry from* (G, d_X) *to* (\mathcal{S}, d) *.*

Moreover if the action is metrically proper then X can be chosen to be finite.

Proof. This is proved in [\[11,](#page-58-5) I.8.19] with the assumption that the action is metrically proper, which is only used to conclude that X is finite. \Box **Lemma 2.5.** If G acts by isometries on a hyperbolic space (\mathcal{S}, d) , $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Q \leq G$ *then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *the orbit* $Q \circ s$ *is quasi-convex and the induced action of* Q *on* S *is metrically proper;*
- (2) Q is generated by a finite set of elements Y and there exist $\mu \geq 1, c \geq 0$ s.t. $|g|_Y \leq \mu d(s, g \circ s) + c$ for all $g \in Q$.

Proof. Assume (1). Let $s \in S$ be such that $Q \circ s$ is σ -quasi-convex for some $\sigma \geq 0$. Let d_{θ} be the induced length metric on $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(Q \circ s)$, i.e., $d_{\theta}(x, y)$ is the infimum of the lengths of all the paths from x to y contained in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(Q \circ s)$. Since $Q \circ s$ is σ -quasi-convex in S, the inclusion map $(N_{\sigma}(Q \circ s), d_{Q}) \rightarrow (S, d)$ is a quasi-isometric embedding.

Note that the action of Q on $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(Q \circ s)$ is metrically proper, by isometries and cobounded. Hence Švarc-Milnor lemma (Lemma [2.4\)](#page-8-0) implies the existence of some finite generating set Y of Q such that $(N_{\sigma}(Q \circ s), d_{Q})$ and (Q, d_{Y}) are quasi-isometric. Since the natural inclusion of $(Q \circ s, d_Q)$ into (S, d) is a quasiisometric embedding, there exist $\mu \geq 1$ and $c \geq 0$ such that $|g|_Y \leq \mu d(s, g \circ s) + c$ for all $g \in Q$, implying that (2) holds.

Now, assume (2). For every $R > 0$ we have $|Q \circ s| \cap B(s, R)| < \infty$, so that the induced action of Q on S is metrically proper.

To prove that the orbit $Q \circ s$ is σ -quasi-convex, for some $\sigma \geq 0$, take any geodesic path p in S with endpoints in $Q \circ s$. We are going to show that $p \text{ }\subseteq \text{ }\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(Q \circ s)$, where σ will be determined later. Since Q is a group acting by isometries on S, without loss of generality we can assume that $p_ - = s$.

Define $m := \max\{d(s, y \circ s) \mid y \in Y\}$ and choose $g \in Q$ with $g \circ s = p_+$. Suppose that $y_1y_2 \ldots y_n$ is a shortest word in $Y^{\pm 1}$ representing g. Let q be the path obtained by concatenating the geodesic segments $[(y_1 \cdots y_i) \circ s, (y_1 \cdots y_{i+1}) \circ s]$ of length at most m, for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$. Then $q_ - = s = p_ -$ and $q_ + = g \circ s = p_ +$.

We are now going to show that q is a quasi-geodesic.

Consider an arbitrary subpath r of q . By the construction of q , there is a subpath r' of q such that $r' = (y_1 \cdots y_i) \circ s$, $r'_{+}(y_1 \cdots y_j) \circ s$, for some $0 \le i \le j \le n$, $d_S(r_-, r_-') \le m/2$, $d_S(r_+, r_+') \le m/2$ and $\ell(r) \le \ell(r') + m$. Then we have

$$
\ell(r) \le \ell(r') + m \le m(j - i) + m = m|y_{i+1} \cdots y_j|_Y + m.
$$

On the other hand, recalling (2) we get

$$
|y_{i+1} \cdots y_j|_Y \le \mu \mathrm{d}_\mathrm{S}(s, (y_{i+1} \cdots y_j) \circ s) + c
$$

= $\mu \mathrm{d}_\mathrm{S}((y_1 \cdots y_i) \circ s, (y_1 \cdots y_j) \circ s) + c$
= $\mu \mathrm{d}_\mathrm{S}(r'_-, r'_+) + c$.

Combining the two inequalities above with the fact that

$$
d_{\mathcal{S}}(r'_{-}, r'_{+}) \leq d_{\mathcal{S}}(r_{-}, r_{+}) + m,
$$

we obtain

$$
\ell(r) \leq m\mu d_S(r'_-, r'_+) + m(c+1) \leq m\mu d_S(r_-, r_+) + m(m\mu + c + 1).
$$

Thus the path q is $\left(\frac{m\mu}{m} + c + 1\right)$ -quasi-geodesic in S. Let

$$
\varkappa = \varkappa(\delta, m\mu, m(m\mu + c + 1))
$$

be the constant provided by Lemma [2.2,](#page-8-1) so that p is lies in the χ -neighborhood of q. Since $q \text{ }\subset \mathcal{N}_{m/2}(Q \circ s)$, we see that $p \subset \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(Q \circ s)$, where $\sigma := \varkappa + m/2$. \Box

2.3. Loxodromic WPD elements. Let (S, d) be a hyperbolic metric space and let G be a group acting on S by isometries.

Definition 2.6. An element $h \in G$ will be called *loxodromic* (with respect to the action on S), if for some $s \in S$, the map $\mathbb{Z} \to S$, $n \mapsto h^n \circ s$ is a quasi-isometric embedding. By Lemma [2.5,](#page-9-0) this is equivalent to the requirements that the orbit $\langle h \rangle$ \circ s is quasi-convex and the induced action of $\langle g \rangle$ on S is metrically proper.

An element $h \in G$ enjoys the *weak proper discontinuity* condition (or h is a *WPD element*) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $x \in S$, there exists $N = N(\varepsilon, x)$ such that

$$
|\{g \in G \mid d(x, g \circ x) < \varepsilon, d(h^N \circ x, gh^N \circ x) < \varepsilon\}| < \infty.
$$

Figure 1. The WPD property requires the existence of finitely many g 's as in the picture.

WPD elements originally were introduced by Bestvina and Fujiwara in [\[9\]](#page-58-4). Further in the text we will use $\mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ to denote the set of all elements $g \in G$ that are loxodromic WPD with respect to the action of G on S.

Remark 2.7. An element $h \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ if and only if $h^n \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

To see this, fix some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $d_{Hau}(\langle h^n \rangle \circ y, \langle h \rangle \circ y)$ is finite for all $y \in \mathcal{S}$, h is loxodromic if and only if $hⁿ$ is loxodromic. On the other hand, assuming that the element h is loxodromic, $[17,$ Lemma 6.4] shows that h is WPD if and only if h^n is WPD.

It is an easy exercise to prove the following result:

Remark 2.8. Suppose that g, h are conjugate elements of G. If g is loxodromic WPD then so is h .

Remark [2.7](#page-10-0) and [2.8](#page-11-0) together imply that if $g \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and $h \stackrel{G}{\approx} g$ then $h \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S}).$

Recall that a group is said to be *elementary* if it contains a cyclic subgroup of finite index.

Lemma 2.9. [\[17,](#page-59-9) Lemma 6.5, Corollary 6.6] *Suppose that* S *is a hyperbolic space,* G is a group acting on S by isometries and $h \in G$ a loxodromic WPD element. *Then there is a unique maximal elementary subgroup* $E_G(h) \leq G$ *that contains* h. Moreover, for every $x \in G$ the following are equivalent:

- (a) $x \in E_G(h)$;
- (b) $xh^n x^{-1} = h^{\pm n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) $xh^k x^{-1} = h^l$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$

Furthermore, set

 $E_G^+(h) := \{x \in G \mid \text{there exist } k, l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } xh^k x^{-1} = h^l\}.$

Then $E_G^+(h)$ *is a subgroup of index at most* 2 *in* $E_G(h)$ *, and there exists* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $E_G^+(h) = C_G(h^n)$.

Remark 2.10. If G is an arbitrary group and $h \in G$ is any element, it is easy to check that the subset $E_G(h) \subseteq G$, defined by

$$
E_G(h) \coloneqq \{ x \in G \mid xh^k x^{-1} = h^l \text{ for some } k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \},
$$

is a subgroup of G containing the centralizer $C_G(h)$. Lemma [2.9](#page-11-1) above describes the structure of this subgroup in the case when G acts on a hyperbolic space S and h is a loxodromic WPD element.

Remark 2.11. Suppose that $g, h \in G$ are loxodromic WPD elements for an action of G on some hyperbolic space S.

- If $|E_G(g) \cap E_G(h)| = \infty$ then $g^m = h^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$
- If $g^m = h^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then $E_G(g) = E_G(h)$.

Indeed, the first claim immediately follows from Lemma 2.9 , stating that $|E_G(g) : \langle g \rangle| < \infty$ and $|E_G(h) : \langle h \rangle| < \infty$. The second claim can be quickly derived from part (b) of that lemma.

2.4. Hyperbolically embedded subgroups. In this subsection we recall some basic concepts which were originally introduced by Dahmani, Guirardel and Osin in [\[17\]](#page-59-9).

Let G be a group and let $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}}$ be a family of subgroups of G. Suppose that X is a *relative generating set* of G with respect to $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}}$ (i.e., $G = \langle X \cup \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_{\lambda} \rangle$). Note that X could be infinite; we also assume that it is symmetric, i.e., $X = X^{-1}$ in G. Denote

$$
\mathcal{H} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (H_{\lambda} \setminus \{1\}). \tag{1}
$$

As discussed in Subsection [2.1,](#page-6-1) the disjoint union $X \sqcup \mathcal{H}$ can be considered as a 'generating alphabet' for G, even though some letters from $X \sqcup \mathcal{H}$ may represent the same element in G. Let $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ be the corresponding Cayley graph of G. We also let Γ_{λ} denote the Cayley graphs $\Gamma(H_{\lambda}, H_{\lambda} \setminus \{1\})$, which we think of as complete subgraphs of $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$. By $E \Gamma_{\lambda}$ we denote the set of edges of Γ_{λ} .

Definition 2.12 ([\[17,](#page-59-9) Definition 4.2]). For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the *relative metric* $\hat{d}_\lambda: H_\lambda \times H_\lambda \to [0, \infty) \sqcup \{\infty\}$ is defined as follows. For any $g, h \in H_\lambda$, $\hat{d}_\lambda(g, h)$ is the length of a shortest path in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H}) \setminus E\Gamma_{\lambda}$ that joins g to h. If there is no such path, one sets $\hat{d}_{\lambda}(g, h) := \infty$.

It is easy to see that \hat{d}_λ is an extended metric on H_λ .

Definition 2.13 ([\[17,](#page-59-9) Definition 4.25]). The family $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}}$ is *hyperbolically embedded* in G with respect to X (notation: $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$), if the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ is hyperbolic and the metric space $(H_{\lambda}, \hat{d}_{\lambda})$ is locally finite for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

We will say that $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is *hyperbolically embedded* in G (notation: $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \hookrightarrow h$ G) if there exists a (possibly infinite) relative generating set X, of G with respect to $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, such that $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \hookrightarrow_{h} (G, X)$.

The concept of hyperbolically embedded subgroups has been introduced by Dahmani, Guirardel and Osin in [\[17\]](#page-59-9), where they also give an equivalent definition in terms of relative isoperimetric functions [\[17,](#page-59-9) Theorem 4.24] (see also [\[48,](#page-61-4) Theorem 6.4] or Theorem [3.9](#page-19-0) below for more equivalent conditions).

Definition 2.13 immediately implies the following observation (cf. [\[17,](#page-59-9) Remark 4.26]):

Remark 2.14. Consider any subset $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda$ and set

$$
\Lambda_2:=\Lambda\setminus\Lambda_1.
$$

If $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in {\Lambda}}} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ then

$$
\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_1),
$$

where $X_1 := X \cup \bigcup_{\mu \in \Lambda_2} H_{\mu}$.

The following lemma will be useful:

Lemma 2.15 ([\[17,](#page-59-9) Corollary 4.27]). *Suppose that* G *is a group*, $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ *is a family of subgroups of* G and $X_1, X_2 \subseteq G$ are relative generating sets of G, with *respect to* $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, such that $|X_1 \Delta X_2| < \infty$. Then $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_1)$ if and *only if* $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_2)$.

Definition 2.16 ($[17$, Definition 4.5]). Let q be a path in the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \perp \mathcal{H})$ and let $\lambda \in \Lambda$. A non-trivial subpath p of q is called an H_{λ} -*component*, if all the edges of p are labelled by letters from $H_{\lambda} \setminus \{1\}$ and p is a maximal subpath of Q with this property. A *component* of q is an H_{λ} -component for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Two H_{λ} -components p_1 , p_2 of paths q_1, q_2 , respectively, in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ are said to be *connected* if all vertices of p_1 and p_2 lie in the same left coset of H_λ in G (this is equivalent to the existence of an edge e between any two distinct vertices of p_1 and p_2 with $\text{Lab}(e) \in H_\lambda \setminus \{1\}$. A component p of a path q is *isolated* if it is not connected to any other component of q .

A path q in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ is said to be *without backtracking* if all of its components are isolated.

Below we formulate one of the main technical tools for working with hyperbolically embedded subgroups. This statement is proved in [\[17\]](#page-59-9) and is analogous to the relatively hyperbolic case (cf. [\[44,](#page-60-13) Lemma 2.7]).

Lemma 2.17 ([\[17,](#page-59-9) Lemma 4.11 and Theorem 4.24]). *Suppose that* $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}}$ *is hyperbolically embedded in* (G, X) *. Then for each* $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *there exists a finite subset* $\Omega_{\lambda} \subseteq H_{\lambda}$ *and a constant* $K \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that the following holds. Let q be a cycle in* $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$, let p_i be isolated H_{λ_i} -components of q for $i = 1, ..., k$, *and let* h_1, \ldots, h_k *be the elements of G represented by* $\text{Lab}(p_1), \ldots, \text{Lab}(p_k)$ *respectively. Then* h_i *belongs to the subgroup* $\langle \Omega_{\lambda_i} \rangle \leq G$ *for every* $i = 1, ..., k$ *,* and the word lengths of h_i 's with respect to Ω_{λ_i} satisfy

$$
\sum_{i=1}^k |h_i|_{\Omega_{\lambda_i}} \leq K\ell(q).
$$

2.5. Acylindrically hyperbolic groups. Suppose that a group G acts by isometries on a metric space (\mathcal{S}, d) . Following Bowditch [\[10\]](#page-58-6) we will say that this action is *acylindrical* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $R, N > 0$ such that for any pair of points $x, y \in S$ with $d(x, y) \ge R$ one has

$$
|\{g \in G \mid d(x, g \circ x) \le \varepsilon \text{ and } d(y, g \circ y) \le \varepsilon\}| \le N.
$$

Commensurating endomorphisms of acylindrically hyperbolic groups 1163

Comparing this with the definition of a loxodromic WPD element above, we immediately obtain

Remark 2.18. If a group G acts acylindrically on a hyperbolic space S then every loxodromic element of \overline{G} satisfies the WPD condition.

The action of G on S is *non-elementary* if for some (equivalently, for any) $s \in \mathcal{S}$, the set of limit points $\Lambda(G \circ s)$ of the orbit $G \circ s$ in the Gromov boundary ∂S has at least 3 points.

In [\[42\]](#page-60-6) Osin proved the following theorem:

Theorem 2.19 ([\[42,](#page-60-6) Theorem 1.2]). *For any group* G *the following are equivalent:*

- (i) G *admits a non-elementary acylindrical action on some hyperbolic space;*
- (ii) *there is a symmetric generating subset* X *of* G *such that the Cayley graph* $\Gamma(G, X)$ *is hyperbolic, the natural action of* G *on* $\Gamma(G, X)$ *is acylindrical* and the Gromov boundary $\partial \Gamma(G, X)$ has more than two points;
- (iii) G *is non-elementary and there exists a hyperbolic space* S *such that* G *acts on S coboundedly and by isometries and* $\mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$;
- (iv) G contains a proper infinite hyperbolically embedded subgroup.

Remark that in [\[42,](#page-60-6) Theorem 1.2] the statement (iii) of Theorem [2.19](#page-14-1) is formulated in a weaker form, without the requirement for the action to be cobounded. However, (ii) clearly implies (iii) with this additional condition: assuming (ii), one can simply take S to be the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X)$ on which G acts acylindrically (the hypothesis that $\partial \Gamma(G, X) \neq \emptyset$ implies that the unique G-orbit of vertices in $S = \Gamma(G, X)$ is unbounded, hence $\mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S) \neq \emptyset$ by Remark [2.18](#page-14-2) and the classification of acylindrical actions of groups on hyperbolic spaces obtained by Osin in [\[42,](#page-60-6) Theorem 1.1]).

Theorem [2.19](#page-14-1) allows one to say that a group G is *acylindrically hyperbolic* if it satisfies one of the equivalent conditions (i) – (iv) from its claim.

3. Adding subgroups to a family of hyperbolically embedded subgroups

In this section we give necessary and sufficient conditions that allow to add a finite family of subgroups to the existing family of hyperbolically embedded subgroups. This is analogous to Osin's theorem [\[43\]](#page-60-8), where a similar criterion was developed for relatively hyperbolic groups.

3.1. Necessary conditions. In this subsection we suppose that G is a group, X_1 is a generating set of G and Q_1, \ldots, Q_n is a collection of subgroups of G such that $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_1)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For each* $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ *there exists a finite generating set* Y_i *of* Q_i *and constants* $\mu_i \geq 1$, $c_i \geq 0$ *such that*

$$
|h|_{Y_i} \leq \mu_i |h|_{X_1} + c_i
$$

for all $h \in Q_i$.

Proof. Obviously, it is enough to prove the statement for $i = 1$. Let

$$
X_2 := X_1 \cup \bigcup_{j=2}^n Q_j.
$$

Then X_2 generates G and $Q_1 \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_2)$ by Remark [2.14.](#page-12-1) Let $\Omega_1 \subseteq Q_1$ and $K > 0$ be the finite subset and the constant provided by Lemma [2.17.](#page-13-1)

Consider any element $h \in Q_1 \setminus \{1\}$. Since X_2 generates G, we can let W to be a shortest word over X_2 such that $h = W$ in G. Therefore, in the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X_2 \sqcup Q_1 \setminus \{1\}),$ there is a cycle q with $\text{Lab}(q) \equiv Wh^{-1}$. Evidently, q has exactly one Q₁-component labelled by h^{-1} , hence it must be isolated in it. Consequently, by Lemma [2.17,](#page-13-1) $h \in \langle \Omega_1 \rangle$ and

$$
|h|_{\Omega_1} \le K\ell(q) = K||W|| + K = K|h|_{X_2} + K \le K|h|_{X_1} + K.
$$

Thus Q_1 is generated by the finite set Ω_1 and the required inequality for the word lengths is satisfied. \Box

Lemma 3.2. Let $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $g \in G$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R = R(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that diameter diam_{X₁} $(Q_i \cap N_{\varepsilon}(gQ_j)) < R$ whenever $i \neq j$ *or* $i = j$ *and* $g \notin Q_i$ (here $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(gQ_j) := \{z \in G \mid d_{X_1}(z, gQ_j) \leq \varepsilon\}$).

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Definition [2.13.](#page-12-0) Indeed, this definition implies that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $I_l := \{ h \in Q_i \mid \hat{d}_l(1,h) \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon \}$ is finite, where \hat{d}_l is the relative metric on Q_l induced from the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X_1 \sqcup Q)$ with $Q := \bigsqcup_{k=1}^n Q_k$. Hence we can let $R := \max\{|h|_{X_1} | h \in$ $I_l, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\} + 1.$

Now, for any distinct $h_1, h_2 \in Q_i \cap N_{\varepsilon}(gQ_i)$ there are $f_1, f_2 \in G$ such that $|f_k|_{X_1} \leq \varepsilon$ and $h_k \in gQ_j f_k$ for $k = 1, 2$. Therefore $h_1^{-1}h_2 = Q_i \cap f_1^{-1}tf_2$ for some $t \in Q_i$. For $k = 1, 2$, let U_k be a word over X_1 of length at most ε representing f_k in G, and let $T \in \mathcal{H}$ be the letter representing t. Consider the path p in $\Gamma(G, X_1 \sqcup Q)$ starting at 1 and labelled by the word $U_1^{-1}TU_2$. If $i \neq j$ or $g \notin Q_i$ then the path p has no edges from $E\Gamma_i$ (indeed, if $i = j$ but $g \notin Q_i$ then $f_1^{-1} \notin Q_i$ and $p_+ = h_1^{-1}h_2$. Since $\ell(p) \leq 2\varepsilon + 1$ we see that $h_1^{-1}h_2 \in I_i$, which implies that $d_{X_1}(h_1, h_2) = |h_1^{-1}h_2|_{X_1} < R$ as required.

3.2. Sufficiency

Notation 3.3. Throughout this section G is a group, X_1 is a generating set of G such that $\Gamma = \Gamma(G, X_1)$ is δ -hyperbolic, for some $\delta \geq 0$, and Q_1, \ldots, Q_n is a finite collection of subgroups of G. We use d to denote the graph metric on Γ .

We will consider the following properties for the family Q_1, \ldots, Q_n .

(Q1) $({Q}_i, {n \choose i-1}$ *is geometrically separated*) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R = R(\varepsilon)$ such that for $g \in G$ if

$$
\text{diam}(Q_i \cap \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(g Q_j)) \geq R
$$

then $i = j$ and $g \in Q_i$ (here the distances are measured with respect to the graph metric d on Γ).

- (Q2) (*Finite generation*) For each i, there exists a finite subset $Y_i \subset G$ generating Q_i .
- (Q3) (*Quasi-isometrically embedded*) There exist $\mu \geq 1$ and $c \geq 0$ such that for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and all $h \in Q_i$ one has $|h|_{Y_i} \le \mu |h|_{X_1} + c$.

Remark 3.4. Under the previous notation, suppose that $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_n\}$ is hyperbolically embedded in (G, X_1) , then by Lemma [3.2](#page-15-0) the family $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_n\}$ satisfies (Q1), and by Lemma [3.1](#page-15-1) $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_n\}$ satisfies (Q2) and (Q3) with $\mu := \max\{\mu_i \mid i = 1, ..., n\}$ and $c := \max\{c_i \mid i = 1, ..., n\}.$

The goal of this section is prove the converse result. Namely, if Q_1, \ldots, Q_n satisfy (Q1)–(Q3) then $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_n\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_1)$.

The next lemma says that if a pair of geodesics, labelled by elements of some Q_i 's, have sufficiently long k-connected subpaths, then the endpoints of these geodesics belong to the same coset of Q_i .

Lemma 3.5. *In the Notation* [3.3](#page-16-0)*, suppose that* Q_1, \ldots, Q_n *satisfy* (Q1)–(Q3).

For every $k > 0$ *there exists* $A = A(k) > 0$ *such that the following holds. Suppose that* p, q are geodesic paths in Γ such that $\text{Lab}(p)$ (resp. $\text{Lab}(q)$) *represents an element of* Qⁱ *(resp.* Q^j *), and that there exist two* k*-close subpaths* u and v of p and q. If $\max\{l(u), l(v)\} \geq A$, then $i = j$ and the label of an *arbitrary path connecting any endpoint of* p *with any endpoint of* q *represents an element of* Qⁱ *.*

Proof. By Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0) and Remark [2.1,](#page-7-0) there exists $\sigma \ge 0$ such that Q_i and Q_j (considered as subsets of Γ) are σ -quasi-convex. Let $\varepsilon := k + 2\sigma$ and $R = R(\varepsilon)$ be given by (Q1); set $A := R + 2\sigma$.

Without loss of generality we can assume that u and v are k-connected, $p_ - = 1$ and $\ell(u) \geq A$. Denote $g := q_-\$. Then there are vertices $a_-, a_+ \in Q_i$ and $b_-, b_+ \in Q_i$ gQ_j such that $d(a_-, u_-) \leq \sigma$, $d(a_+, u_+) \leq \sigma$, $d(b_-, v_-) \leq \sigma$ and $d(b_+, v_+) \leq \sigma$. Consequently, $d(a_-, b_-), d(a_+, b_+) \le k + 2\sigma$, thus $a_-, a_+ \in Q_i \cap N_{\epsilon}(gQ_i)$. One can also note that

$$
d(a_-, a_+) \ge \ell(u) - d(u_-, a_-) - d(u_+, a_+) \ge A - 2\sigma \ge R,
$$

hence $i = j$ and $g \in Q_i$ by the assumption (Q1), finishing the proof of the lemma. \Box

 $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i \subseteq X_1$. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (Q_i \setminus \{1\})$ and $\Gamma' = \Gamma(G, X_1 \sqcup \mathcal{Q})$. We will denote **Notation 3.6.** In the Notation [3.3,](#page-16-0) suppose that Q_1, \ldots, Q_n satisfy (Q1)–(Q3) and by d' the graph metric on Γ' .

For every $i = 1, ..., n$ and every $h \in Q_i$, fix a shortest word $V(h)$ over $Y_i^{\pm 1}$ representing h. Since Γ and Γ' have the same vertex set G, we can define a map

 φ : {paths in Γ' } \longrightarrow {paths in Γ }

just by replacing each edge e, labelled by some $h \in Q_i$ in Γ' , with the (unique) path φ (e), labelled by $V(h)$ and having the same initial and terminal vertices as e in Γ . In particular, $\varphi(p) = p$ and $\varphi(p) = p$ for any path p in Γ' .

Our goal now is to show that if p is a geodesic in Γ' then $\varphi(p)$ is a quasigeodesic in Γ . In order to do so we will use the following lemma that deals with the situation when for some path p the path $\varphi(p)$ is "far" from being a geodesic. The conclusion is that in this case p backtracks, i.e., it goes through a coset of some Q_i twice.

Lemma 3.7. In the Notation [3.6](#page-17-0), there exists $D \ge 1$ such that for all $r \ge 1$, $k \geq 0$ and every path p in Γ' satisfying $\ell(p) \leq r$ and $d(p_-, p_+) \leq k$, *if* $\ell(\varphi(p)) \geq D(r + k)$ *then there exist* $l \in \{1, ..., n\}$ *and two distinct edges* e_1 *and* e_2 *of* p that are labelled by letters from $Q_l \setminus \{1\}$, so that all the endpoints *of* e_1 and e_2 belong to the same left coset of Q_l in G.

Proof. Let $A = A(13\delta)$ be the constant provided by Lemma [3.5,](#page-16-1) where δ is the hyperbolicity constant of Γ , and set $a := A + 30\delta$.

We now fix $r \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$ and a path p in Γ' such that $\ell(p) \leq r$ and $d(p_-, p_+) \leq k$.

Suppose that $\text{Lab}(p) \equiv W_0h_1W_1h_2... W_{m-1}h_mW_m$ where each $h_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ and each W_i is a (possibly empty) word in X_1 , in particular $m \leq r$. We have that

$$
\mathbf{Lab}(\varphi(p)) \equiv W_0V(h_1)W_1V(h_2)\ldots W_{m-1}V(h_m)W_m.
$$

Let U_i be a shortest word over X_1 representing the same element of G as W_i , $i = 0, \ldots, m$, and let V_i be the shortest word over X_1 representing the element h_i , $j = 1, ..., m$. Consider the path q in Γ with the same endpoints as $\varphi(p)$ and with

Lab $(q) \equiv U_0 V_1 U_1 V_2 ... U_{m-1} V_m U_m$. Recall that $\sum_{i=0}^{m} ||W_i|| \le \ell(p) \le r$, hence, in view of (Q3), we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \|V_j\| \ge \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \|V(h_j)\| - mc \ge \frac{1}{\mu} \ell(\varphi(p)) - (c+1)r.
$$
 (2)

Observe that q can be written as the concatenation of geodesic paths t_0, s_1, \ldots t_{m-1} , s_m , t_m in Γ , where $\text{Lab}(t_i) \equiv U_i$ and $\text{Lab}(s_j) \equiv V_j$. Let t_{m+1} be a geodesic path in Γ from q_+ to q_- ; then $\ell(t_{m+1}) = d(q_-, q_+) = d(p_-, p_+) \leq k$. The polygon $\mathcal{P} := t_0 s_1 \dots s_m t_m t_{m+1}$ is a geodesic $(2m + 2)$ -gon in Γ and we partition its sides into two subsets $S := \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$ and $T := \{t_0, \ldots, t_{m+1}\}.$

By the assumptions we have that

$$
\rho := \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} \ell(t_i) \le \ell(p) + d(p_-, p_+) \le r + k,
$$

and

$$
\sigma := \sum_{j=1}^{m} \ell(s_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} ||V_j|| \ge \frac{1}{\mu} \ell(\varphi(p)) - (c+1)r
$$

by [\(2\)](#page-18-0). Choose a constant $D \ge 1$ (independent of r and k) so that

$$
\frac{D}{\mu}(r+k)-(c+1)r \ge \max\{10^3a(2r+2),10^3(r+k)\},\
$$

and suppose that $\ell(\varphi(p)) \ge D(r+k)$. Since $2m+2 \le 2r+2$, all the conditions of Lemma [2.3](#page-8-2) will then be satisfied, hence there will be $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}, i \neq j$, and two 138-close subsegments u of s_i and v of s_j such that $min\{\ell(u), \ell(v)\} > a \geq A$. It remains to apply Lemma [3.5,](#page-16-1) claiming that there is $l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $h_i, h_j \in Q_l$ and all the endpoints of the corresponding edges of Γ' belong to the same left coset of Q_l . .

We are now ready to show that $\varphi(p)$ is a geodesic when p is a geodesic. The key observation, which allows us to use the previous lemma, is that a geodesic does not backtrack. (We also apply this to subpaths of p .)

Lemma 3.8. *In the Notation* [3.6](#page-17-0)*, let* $D \ge 1$ *be the constant provided by* Lemma [3.7](#page-17-1). Then for any geodesic path p in Γ' , the path $\varphi(p)$ is $(2D, 5D)$ *-quasigeodesic in* Γ .

Proof. As before, suppose that $\text{Lab}(p) \equiv W_0h_1W_1h_2... W_{m-1}h_mW_m$ where each $h_i \in \Omega$ and each W_i is a (possibly empty) word in X_1 . Consider any (combinatorial) subpath p' of $\varphi(p)$ in Γ . Let us assume that $\text{Lab}(p')$ starts with

a suffix $V'(h_{\alpha})$ of $V(h_{\alpha})$ and ends with a prefix W'_{β} of W_{β} for some $\alpha, \beta \in$ $\{1, \ldots, m\}, \alpha \leq \beta$, as the other cases can be treated similarly. Thus $\text{Lab}(p') \equiv$ $V'(h_{\alpha})W_{\alpha}V(h_{\alpha+1})\dots V(h_{\beta})W_{\beta}'$. Since $V'(h_{\alpha})$ is a geodesic word over $Y_i^{\pm 1}$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, it represents an element $h' \in Q_i$ and $||V'(h_\alpha)|| = ||V(h')||$. Let q be the path in Γ' with $q_- = p'_-$ and $\text{Lab}(q) \equiv h'W_{\alpha}h_{\alpha+1} \dots h_{\beta}W'_{\beta}$. Then **Lab** $(\varphi(q)) \equiv V(h')W_{\alpha}V(h_{\alpha+1})\ldots V(h_{\beta})W_{\beta}',$ which implies that $q_+ = \varphi(q)_+ =$ p'_+ and $\ell(\varphi(q)) = \ell(p').$

Let s be the subpath of q with $\text{Lab}(s) \equiv W_{\alpha} h_{\alpha+1} \dots h_{\beta} W_{\beta}'$. Then s is geodesic in Γ' , as it is also a subpath of p, $\ell(s) \geq \ell(q) - 2$, and the endpoints of s lie at distance at most 1 from the corresponding endpoints of q in Γ' .

Set $r := \ell(q) + 1$ and $k := d(q_-, q_+)$. Then $r \leq k + 5$ because

$$
k = d(q_-, q_+) \ge d'(q_-, q_+) \ge d'(s_-, s_+) - 2 = \ell(s) - 2 \ge \ell(q) - 4 = r - 5.
$$

Since p is geodesic in Γ' , all Q-components of p consist of single edges and no two components of p are connected. The latter also holds for q since any component of q is connected to a component of p . Therefore Lemma [3.7](#page-17-1) implies that $\ell(\varphi(q))$ < $D(r + k)$. Consequently,

$$
\ell(p') = \ell(\varphi(q)) < D(2k+5) = 2Dd(q_-, q_+) + 5D = 2Dd(p'_-, p'_+) + 5D,
$$

which shows that $\varphi(p)$ is $(2D, 5D)$ -quasi-geodesic in Γ .

The following is the main result of this section. It generalizes [\[43,](#page-60-8) Theorem 1.5].

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose that* G *is a group*, $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ *is a collection of subgroups of* G and X is a relative generating set of G with respect to $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, such that $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$. Set $X_1 := X \sqcup \mathfrak{H}$.

A family $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of subgroups of G satisfies (Q1)–(Q3) if and only if the family ${H_{\lambda}}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sqcup {Q_i}_{i=1}^n$ is hyperbolically embedded in (G, X) .

Proof. The necessity is given by Remark [3.4,](#page-16-2) so we only have to show that if ${Q_i}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies (Q1)–(Q3) then ${H_\lambda}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \cup {Q_i}_{i=1}^n \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$.

Since the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is finite, without loss of generality we can suppose that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \subset X \subset X_1$ (see Lemma [2.15\)](#page-13-2). Using Notation [3.6,](#page-17-0) let $D \geq 1$ be the constant provided by Lemma [3.7.](#page-17-1)

Take any $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. We will denote by Γ_i the Cayley graph $\Gamma(Q_i, Q_i \setminus \{1\})$ and by Γ_λ the Cayley graph $\Gamma(H_\lambda, H_\lambda \setminus \{1\})$. The set of edges of Γ_i and Γ_λ will be denoted $E\Gamma_i$ and $E\Gamma_\lambda$ respectively. By \hat{d}_λ and \hat{d}'_λ we denote the metrics on H_{λ} induced by graph metric on $\Gamma \setminus E\Gamma_{\lambda}$ and $\Gamma' \setminus E\Gamma_{\lambda}$, respectively. The metric $\hat{d'}_i$ on Q_i is defined similarly.

We now break the proof in three claims.

Claim 1. For every $i = 1, ..., n$ the metric space (Q_i, \hat{d}_i) is locally finite.

Let $a \in Q_i \setminus \{1\}$ and let p_1 be a shortest path from 1 to a in $\Gamma' \setminus E\Gamma_i$. Let e be the edge of Γ_i from (p_1) = 1 to (p_1) + = a. Define p to be the cycle in Γ' obtained by concatenating p_1 with e. Suppose that $\ell(\varphi(p)) \ge D\ell(p) = D(\ell(p_1) + 1)$. Then, by Lemma [3.7,](#page-17-1) there are $l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and two distinct edges e_1 and e_2 of p, labelled by some letters from $Q_l \setminus \{1\}$, such that all endpoints of these edges belong to the same left coset gQ_l .

Note that if $l = i$ then $g \notin Q_i$, as otherwise both e_1 and e_2 would have belonged to $E\Gamma_i$, but the only edge of p from $E\Gamma_i$ is e. In particular, $e_1 \neq e$ and $e_2 \neq e$. It follows that the subsegment of p_1 starting with e_1 and ending with e_2 can be substituted by a single edge e' , labelled by a letter from Q_l , so that the resulting path p'_1 still lies in $\Gamma' \setminus E\Gamma_i$, connects 1 with a and $\ell(p'_1) < \ell(p_1)$, which contradicts the choice of p_1 . Therefore

$$
d(1, a) \leq \ell(\varphi(p_1)) \leq \ell(\varphi(p)) < D\ell(p) = D(\ell(p_1) + 1) = D\hat{d'}_i(1, a) + D.
$$

By (Q2) and (Q3), for each R there are only finitely many elements in Q_i of X_1 -length at most $DR + D$. This completes the proof of Claim 1.

Claim 2. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the metric space $(H_{\lambda}, \hat{d'}_{\lambda})$ is locally finite.

Recall that by hypothesis $(H_{\lambda}, \hat{d}_{\lambda})$ is locally finite. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that for some $r \geq 1$, there exist infinitely many $h \in H_{\lambda}$ such that $\widehat{\mathrm{d}}'_{\lambda}(1,h) \leq r.$

Since $(H_\lambda, \hat{d}_\lambda)$ is locally finite, there exists $h_0 \in H_\lambda$ such that $\hat{d}'_\lambda(1, h_0) \le r$ and $\hat{d}_{\lambda}(1, h_0) > D(r + 1)$. Let p be a shortest path in $\Gamma' \setminus E\Gamma_{\lambda}$ from 1 to h_0 , with $\ell(p) \leq r$. Notice that, by construction, $\varphi(p)$ is a path in $\Gamma \setminus E\Gamma_{\lambda}$. Since $d(1, h_0) = d(p_-, p_+) = 1$, the inequality $\hat{d}_{\lambda}(1, h_0) > D(r + 1)$ implies that $\ell(\varphi(p)) > D(r + d(p_-, p_+))$. Hence, we can use Lemma [3.7](#page-17-1) to argue as above that the path p can be shortened, yielding the required contradiction.

Claim 3. *The graph* Γ' *is* δ' *-hyperbolic.*

Consider any geodesic triangle $\Delta = p_1 p_2 p_3$ in Γ' and vertex $v \in p_1$. By Lemma [3.8,](#page-18-1) the triangle $\varphi(\Delta) := \varphi(p_1)\varphi(p_2)\varphi(p_3)$ is $(2D, 5D)$ -quasigeodesic in Γ . Let $x = x(\delta, 2D, 5D)$ be the constant from Lemma [2.2.](#page-8-1)

Note that v is also a vertex of $\varphi(p_1)$, and any vertex $u \in \varphi(p_i)$, regarded as an element of G (and thus as a vertex of Γ'), lies within d'-distance 1 of a vertex of p_i in Γ' , $i = 1, 2, 3$. Now, since the graph Γ is δ -hyperbolic, there is a vertex

 $u \in \varphi(p_2) \cup \varphi(p_3)$ such that $d(v, u) \leq \delta + 2\varkappa$. Observe that $d'(v, u) \leq d(v, u)$ by definition, hence there is a vertex $w \in p_2 \cup p_3$ such that

$$
d'(v, w) \le d'(v, u) + 1 \le \delta + 2\kappa + 1.
$$

Thus the graph Γ' is δ' -hyperbolic, for $\delta' := \delta + 2\kappa + 1$.

Claims 1–3 imply that the family of subgroups $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sqcup \{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is hyperbolically embedded in G, and so the theorem is proved.

The following corollary gives and alternative proof of [\[17,](#page-59-9) Theorem 4.42] when the action of G on S is cobounded. During the work on this paper the authors learned that this corollary was independently proved by Hull in [\[29,](#page-60-9) Theorem 3.16]. See also [\[48,](#page-61-4) Theorem 6.4] for other equivalent conditions.

Corollary 3.10. *Let* G *be a group acting by isometries on a hyperbolic space* $(8, d)$ *. Suppose that this action is cobounded and* $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ *is a finite family of subgroups of G. Fix any* $s \in \mathcal{S}$ *. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) *The family* $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ *satisfies the conditions*:
	- (i) $Q_i \circ s$ *is quasi-convex and the induced action of* Q_i *on S is metrically proper,* $i = 1, \ldots, n$;
	- (ii) *for every* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* R *such that for* $g \in G$ *if*

 $\text{diam}(Q_i \circ s \cap \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(gQ_i \circ s)) > R,$

then $i = j$ *and* $g \in Q_i$.

(b) The family $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is hyperbolically embedded in (G, X_1) , where X_1 is a *generating set of* G *provided by Lemma* [2.4](#page-8-0)*.*

Proof. By the Švarc–Milnor lemma (Lemma [2.4\)](#page-8-0), the map $g \mapsto g \circ s$ is a G-equivariant quasi-isometry between G, endowed with the metric from $\Gamma(G, X_1)$, and (S, d). In particular, $\Gamma(G, X_1)$ is hyperbolic and $\emptyset \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_1)$.

If we show that (i) – (ii) are equivalent to $(Q1)$ – $(Q3)$, the result will follow from Theorem [3.9.](#page-19-0) Indeed, by Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0) and as $\Gamma(G, X_1)$ is quasi-isometric to (\mathcal{S}, d) , the family $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies (i) if and only if it satisfies (Q2) and (Q3). On the other hand, (ii) is a restatement of $(Q1)$.

As a corollary we obtain the following statement (cf. [\[29,](#page-60-9) Corollary 4.14]):

Corollary 3.11. *Let* G *be a group acting coboundedly on a hyperbolic space* $(8, d)$ and let X_1 be a generating set of G given by Lemma [2.4](#page-8-0). If h_1, \ldots, h_k *is a collection of pairwise non-commensurable loxodromic WPD elements with respect to the action of* G *on* S *then* $\{E_G(h_1), \ldots, E_G(h_k)\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_1)$ *.*

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Since h_i is loxodromic, there is $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that the orbit $\langle h_i \rangle$ \circ s is quasi-convex and the action of $\langle h_i \rangle$ on S is metrically proper. Thus the condition (a).(i) from Corollary 3.10 is satisfied.

The geometric separability condition (a).(ii) from Corollary [3.10](#page-21-0) for the family $\{E(h_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is proved in [\[17,](#page-59-9) Theorem 6.8]. Hence, $\{E(h_i)\}_{i=1}^n \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_1)$ by Corollary [3.10.](#page-21-0)

One can note that Corollary [3.11](#page-21-1) resembles [\[17,](#page-59-9) Theorem 6.8]. The main difference is that we require the action to be cobounded, but because of this we are able to specify that the relative generating set X_1 comes naturally from the action of G on S (this will be important for the rest of the paper).

Similarly, Theorem [3.9](#page-19-0) can also be used to obtain the following strengthening of Corollary [3.11:](#page-21-1)

Corollary 3.12. *Let* G *be a group with a family of subgroups* $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}}$ *and a relative generating set* X *(with respect to* ${H_{\lambda}}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ *), such that*

$$
\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X).
$$

Set

$$
\mathcal{H} := \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (H_{\lambda} \setminus \{1\}).
$$

If h_1, \ldots, h_k *is a collection of pairwise non-commensurable loxodromic WPD elements with respect to the action of* G *on* Γ (G, X \Box H) *then the family* $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}} \Box$ ${E_G(h_i)}_{i=1}^k$ is hyperbolically embedded in (G, X) .

4. Combinatorics of paths

This section provides some technical geometric tools which will later be used to develop the theory of acylindrically hyperbolic groups similarly to the theory of relatively hyperbolic groups. Let G be a group, let $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of subgroups of G and let X be a symmetric relative generating set of G with respect to $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}}$. As usual, we set $\mathcal{H} := \sqcup_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}} (H_{\lambda} \setminus \{1\}).$

Definition 4.1. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{O} = {\Omega_{\lambda}}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a collection of finite subsets of G. Define $W(0, m, X, \mathcal{H})$ to be the set of all words W over the alphabet $X \cup H$ that have the following form:

$$
W \equiv x_0 h_1 x_1 h_2 \dots x_{l-1} h_l x_l,
$$

where $l \in \mathbb{Z}, l \ge -1$ (if $l = -1$ then W is the empty word; if $l = 0$ then $W \equiv x_0$), h_i and x_i are considered as single letters and

- (1) for every $i = 0, 1, ..., l$ either $x_i \in X$ or x_i is the empty word, and for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$, there exists $\lambda(i) \in \Lambda$ such that $h_i \in H_{\lambda(i)}$;
- (2) if $\lambda(i) = \lambda(i + 1)$ then $x_{i+1} \notin H_{\lambda(i)}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, l 1;$
- (3) $h_i \notin \{h \in \langle \Omega_{\lambda(i)} \rangle \mid |h|_{\Omega_{\lambda(i)}} \leq m\}, i = 1, \ldots, l.$

Finally, let $W_0(0, m, X, \mathcal{H})$ be defined as the subset of all words

$$
W \equiv h_1 x_1 h_2 \dots x_{l-1} h_l x_l \in \mathcal{W}(0, m, X, \mathcal{H})
$$

such that $l \ge 1$ and if $\lambda(l) = \lambda(1)$ then $x_l \notin H_{\lambda(1)}$. Thus $W_0(0, m, X, \mathcal{H})$ can be thought of as the set of cyclically reduced words from $W(0, m, X, \mathcal{H})$.

For the remainder of this section assume that $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is hyperbolically embedded in (G, X) . Choose the collection of finite subsets $\mathcal{O} = {\Omega_{\lambda}}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of G (so that $\Omega_{\lambda} \subseteq H_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$) and the constant $K > 0$ according to the claim of Lemma [2.17.](#page-13-1)

The following lemmas are taken from [\[37,](#page-60-14) Section 6], where they were established in the case when G is hyperbolic relative to the family $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}$. Their proofs only use the combinatorial properties of the paths with labels from $W(0, m, X, \mathcal{H})$, together with the claim of [\[37,](#page-60-14) Lemma 6.1]. Using Lemma [2.17](#page-13-1) instead of the latter, the proofs transfer verbatim to the more general settings of the present paper.

Lemma 4.2. Let q be a path in the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ with $\text{Lab}(q) \in$ $W(0, m, X, \mathcal{H})$ and $m \geq 5K$. Then q is without backtracking.

Proof. See the proof of [\[37,](#page-60-14) Lemma 6.2].

Lemma 4.3. Let $o = rqr'q'$ be a cycle in the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$, $\textit{such that } \textbf{Lab}(q), \textbf{Lab}(q') \in \mathcal{W}(0, m, X, \mathcal{H})$ *. Suppose that* $m \geq 7K$ *and denote* $C = \max\{\ell(r), \ell(r')\}.$ Then

- (a) if $C \leq 1$ then no component of q or q' is isolated in o;
- (b) *if* $C \geq 2$ *then each of q and q' can have at most* 4*C isolated components*;
- (c) *if* l is the number of components of q, then at least $(l 6C)$ of components *of* q *are connected to components of* q ⁰ *and are not connected to components of* r *or* r 0 *; two distinct components of* q *cannot be connected to the same component of q'. Similarly for q'.*

Proof. See the proof of [\[37,](#page-60-14) Lemma 6.3].

Lemma 4.4. In the notations of Lemma [4.3](#page-23-0), let $m \geq 7K$ and $C = \max{\{\ell(r), \ell(r')\}}$. *For any positive integer d there exists a constant* $L = L(C, d) \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that if* $\ell(q) \geq L$ *then there are d consecutive components* p_s, \ldots, p_{s+d-1} *of* q and $p'_{s'}, \ldots, p'_{s'+d-1}$ of q'^{-1} , so that p_{s+i} is connected to $p'_{s'+i}$ for each $i = 0, \ldots, d - 1.$

Proof. See the proof of [\[37,](#page-60-14) Lemma 6.5].

Corollary 4.5. *If* $m \geq 12K$ *then every path p in* $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ *, with* $\text{Lab}(p) \in$ W.O; m; X; H/*, is* .4; 1/*-quasi-geodesic.*

Proof. Let p be a path in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ such that $\text{Lab}(p) \in \mathcal{W}(0, m, X, \mathcal{H})$. Then $p = r_0 p_1 r_1 \cdots p_l r_l$ where p_1, \ldots, p_l are the edges labelled by elements of H , and r_0, \ldots, r_l are either trivial paths or edges labelled by elements of X. Let $\lambda(1), \ldots, \lambda(l) \in \Lambda$ be such that $h_i = \text{Lab}(p_i) \in H_{\lambda(i)}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l$. Since any combinatorial subpath p' of p still satisfies $\text{Lab}(p') \in \mathcal{W}(0, m, X, \mathcal{H})$, to prove the lemma it is enough to show that $\ell(p) \leq 4\ell(q) + 1$, where q is a geodesic path from p_+ to p_- in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$. Note that $\ell(q) \leq \ell(p) \leq 2l + 1$.

If $\ell(p) \leq 1$ the claim is obvious, so we assume that $\ell(p) \geq 2$, hence $l \geq 1$. Note that by the definition of p, each p_i is a component of p. Let $I \subseteq \{1, ..., l\}$ be the set of all indices i such that p_i is not connected to a component of q in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$. Lemma [4.2](#page-23-1) implies that for each $i \in I$ such p_i is an isolated component of the cycle pq. Therefore, by Lemma [2.17,](#page-13-1) we have $h_i \in \langle \Omega_{\lambda(i)} \rangle$ and

$$
\sum_{i\in I} |h_i|_{\Omega_{\lambda(i)}} \leq K\ell(pq) \leq K(4l+2).
$$

However, since for $i \in I$, $|h_i|_{\Omega_{\lambda(i)}} > 12K$, we achieve $|I| \leq K(4l + 2)/(12K) \leq$ $6Kl/(12K) = l/2.$

Let $I^c := \{1, ..., l\} \setminus I$. Then $|I^c| \ge l/2$, and for every $i \in I^c$ the component p_i of p is connected to a component of q, and no two such components of p can be connected to the same component of q (as p is without backtracking by Lemma [4.2\)](#page-23-1). Therefore, q has at least $|I^c|$ distinct components and hence

$$
\ell(q) \ge |I^c| \ge l/2 \ge \frac{1}{2}(\ell(p)/2 - 1/2) = \frac{\ell(p) - 1}{4}.
$$

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 4.6. Suppose that $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$. Take $\mathcal{O} = {\{\Omega_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda \in \Lambda}} }$ and K > 0 *according to the claim of Lemma* [2.17](#page-13-1)*. Let* W *be any word from* $\mathcal{W}_0(\mathcal{O}, 12K, X, \mathcal{H})$ and let $g \in G$ be the element represented by the word W. *Then* g *is loxodromic WPD with respect to the action of* G *on* $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ *.*

Proof. Suppose that $W = h_1x_1h_2 \ldots x_{l-1}h_lx_l$, where $x_i \in X$ (or x_i is the empty word) and $h_i \in H_{\lambda(i)}$ for some $\lambda(i) \in \Lambda$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$. Observe that according to the definition of $W_0(0, 12K, X, \mathcal{H})$, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $W^n \in$ $W(0, 12K, X, \mathcal{H})$, hence any path labelled by W^n in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ is $(4, 1)$ -quasi-geodesic by Corollary [4.5.](#page-24-0) It follows that the map $n \mapsto g^n$ is a quasi-isometric embedding from $\mathbb Z$ to $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$. Hence g is loxodromic with respect to the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$.

Let us prove the WPD property. Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in G$, and choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $lN > 6\varepsilon_1 + 1$, where $\varepsilon_1 := 2|x|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} + \varepsilon$. Suppose that $f \in G$ satisfies

$$
d_{X \cup \mathcal{H}}(x, fx) < \varepsilon \text{ and } d_{X \cup \mathcal{H}}(g^N x, fg^N x) < \varepsilon. \tag{3}
$$

Then

$$
|f|_{X\cup\mathcal{H}} \leq d_{X\cup\mathcal{H}}(1,x) + d_{X\cup\mathcal{H}}(x,fx) + d_{X\cup\mathcal{H}}(fx,f) < 2|x|_{X\cup\mathcal{H}} + \varepsilon = \varepsilon_1.
$$

Similarly, $|g^{-N} f^{-1} g^N|_{X \cup \mathcal{H}} < \varepsilon_1$.

Choose words R and R' over $X \cup \mathcal{H}$ representing the elements f and $g^{-N} f^{-1} g^N$ in G with $||R||, ||R'|| < \varepsilon_1$. Let $o = rqr'q'$ be the cycle in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ starting at 1 such that $\text{Lab}(r) \equiv R$, $\text{Lab}(q) \equiv W^N$, $\text{Lab}(r') \equiv R'$ and $\mathbf{Lab}(q') \equiv W^{-N}$.

Let p_1, \ldots, p_{N} and p'_1, \ldots, p'_{N} be the lists of components of q and q'^{-1} in the order of their occurrence. By Lemma [4.3.](#page-23-0)(c) there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \le 6\varepsilon_1 + 1$, such that p_k is connected to a component of $p'_{k'}$ of q'^{-1} and p_k is not connected to any component of r. Thus there is a path s from (p_k) to $(p'_{k'})$ in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$, such that s is labelled either by the empty word (if (p_k) = (p'_k)) or by a letter from $H_{\lambda(j)}$, for some $j \in \{1, ..., l\}$ (see Figure [2\)](#page-25-0). Then $\ell(s) \leq 1$ and one can consider the cycle $o_1 = r q_1 s q_1'$ in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$, where q_1 is the initial segment of q from $q_- = f$ to (p_k) and q'_1 is the terminal segment of q' from $(p'_{k'})$ to $q'_{+} = 1.$

Figure 2.

Then $p'_1, \ldots, p'_{k'-1}$ is the list of components of q_1^{-1} and if $k'-1 > 6\varepsilon_1 + 1$, one can apply Lemma [4.3.](#page-23-0)(c) again to the cycle o_1 to find $k'_1 \le 6\varepsilon_1 + 1$ such that p'_l κ_1' is connected to a component p_{k_1} of q_1 and is not connected to a component of r. In this case we replace k with k_1 and k' with k'_1 . Thus, without loss of generality, we can further assume that $\max\{k, k'\} \le 6\varepsilon_1 + 2$. It follows that $\ell(q_1) \leq 2(k-1) + 1 \leq 12\varepsilon_1 + 3$; similarly, $\ell(q'_1) \leq 12\varepsilon_1 + 3$.

Let y, z and h be the elements of G represented by the words $\text{Lab}(q_1^{-1})$, **Lab** $(q_1'^{-1})$ and **Lab** (s^{-1}) respectively. Then $f = zhy$ in G, where $h \in H_{\lambda(j)}$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}.$

By construction, y, z belong to the subgroup of G generated by the finite set of elements $A := \{x_1, \ldots, x_l, h_1, \ldots, h_l\}$ and $|y|_A, |z|_A \leq 12\varepsilon_1 + 3$. On the other hand, note that if $h \neq 1$ in G then s must be an isolated $H_{\lambda(i)}$ -component of the cycle o_1 (because q and q' are without backtracking by Lemma [4.2](#page-23-1) and p_k is not connected to a component of r). Hence we can use Lemma [2.17](#page-13-1) to conclude that $h \in \langle \Omega_{\lambda(j)} \rangle$ and $|h|_{\Omega_{\lambda(j)}} \leq K\ell(o_1) \leq K(25\varepsilon_1 + 7).$

Let $B \subseteq G$ be the finite subset defined by $B = \{z \in \langle A \rangle \mid |z|_A \leq 12\epsilon_1 + 3\}.$ We have shown that any element f satisfying (3) belongs to the subset

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} (B \cdot \{ h \in \langle \Omega_{\lambda(i)} \rangle \mid |h|_{\Omega_{\lambda(i)}} \leq K(25\varepsilon_1 + 7) \} \cdot B),
$$

which is finite as a finite union of products of finite subsets. Thus we have shown that the element g is WPD.

5. Special elements in acylindrically hyperbolic groups

In this section we fix a group G and a hyperbolic space $(8, d)$ where G acts by isometries and coboundedly. By Lemma [2.4,](#page-8-0) there is a generating set X of G such that (G, d_X) is equivariantly quasi-isometric to S. It follows that $g \in G$ is a loxodromic WPD element with respect to the action of G on S if and only if g is a loxodromic WPD element with respect to the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X)$. Thus, without loss of generality, we can work with either S or $\Gamma(G, X)$.

The following observation will be useful.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that* X¹ *is a subset of* G *containing* X*. If* g *is a loxodromic WPD element with respect to the action of* G *on* $\Gamma(G, X_1)$ *then* $g \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ *.*

Proof. It is enough to show that g is loxodromic WPD with respect to the G-action on $\Gamma(G, X)$. Since the action of g is loxodromic on $\Gamma(G, X_1)$ there exist $\mu \geq 1$ and $c \ge 0$ such that $|n| \le \mu |g^n|_{X_1} + c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $|h|_{X_1} \le |h|_{X_1}$ for all $h \in G$, we get $|n| \leq \mu |g^n|_X + c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which shows that g acts as a loxodromic element on $\Gamma(G, X)$.

Similarly, since $d_X(x, y) \ge d_{X_1}(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in G$, it easily follows that any WPD element with respect to the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X_1)$ is also a WPD element with respect to the G-action on $\Gamma(G, X)$.

5.1. Creating new loxodromic WPD elements. The purpose of this section is to develop basic tools for working with loxodromic WPD elements and producing new loxodromic WPD elements from a number of old ones.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of subgroups of G that is hyperbolically *embedded in* (G, X) *. Set* $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (H_{\lambda} \setminus \{1\})$ and take an arbitrary finite subset $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l\} \subseteq \Lambda, l \geq 1$. Consider any subset F of G such that $|F \setminus X| < \infty$ and *if* $l = 1$ *then* $F \cap H_{\lambda_1} = \emptyset$ *. Then there exists a finite subset* $\Phi \subseteq G$ *such that for any* $f_i \in F$ *and* $g_i \in H_{\lambda_i} \setminus \Phi$, $i = 1, ..., l$, the element $g := g_1 f_1 g_2 f_2 ... g_l f_l$ *has the following properties:*

- (a) g *is a loxodromic WPD element with respect to the action on* $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$; *in particular,* $g \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$;
- (b) g is not commensurable with any element $h \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_{\lambda}$ in G.

Proof. By Lemmas [2.15](#page-13-2) and [5.1,](#page-26-1) we can replace X with $X \cup F$ to assume that $F \subseteq X$. Let $\mathcal{O} = {\Omega_{\lambda}}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ and $K \in \mathbb{N}$ be the collection of finite subsets and the constant from the claim of Lemma [2.17.](#page-13-1) We can then define the finite subset $\Phi \subseteq G$ by setting $\Phi := \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} \{ h \in (\Omega_{\lambda_j}) \mid |h|_{\Omega_{\lambda_j}} \leq 12K \}$. Now part (a) follows from the assumptions together with the claims of Theorem [4.6](#page-24-1) and Lemma [5.1.](#page-26-1)

To prove part (b) notice that for every $h \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_{\lambda}$, the cyclic subgroup $\langle h \rangle$ acts with bounded orbits on the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$. On the other hand, all the orbits of $\langle g \rangle$ are unbounded because g is loxodromic by part (a). Thus a non-zero power of g cannot be conjugate to a power of h in G, i.e., (b) holds.

Applying Lemma [5.2](#page-27-0) in the special case when $l = 1$ we obtain the following statement, generalizing [\[17,](#page-59-9) Corollary 6.12]:

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose that* $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ *. Then for any* $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *and* $f \in G \setminus H_\lambda$, there exists a finite subset $\Phi \subset G$ such that for all $g \in H_\lambda \setminus \Phi$ the *element* gf *is loxodromic WPD with respect to the action of* G *on* Γ (G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H}); *in particular,* $gf \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ *.*

Recall that by Lemma [2.9,](#page-11-1) every $g \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ belongs to the virtually cyclic subgroup

$$
E_G^+(g) = \{ f \in G \mid fg^n f^{-1} = g^n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \} \le E_G(g),
$$

and $|E_G(g): E_G^+(g)| \le 2$. This lemma also implies that $E_G(g) = E_G^+(g)$ if and only if $E_G(g)$ has infinite center.

Lemma 5.4. Let $\{g_1, \ldots, g_l\}$ be a non-empty family of pairwise non-commensu*rable loxodromic WPD elements with respect to the action of* G *on* S*. Consider any subset* $F \subseteq G$ *such that* $|F \setminus X| < \infty$ *and if* $l = 1$ *then* $F \cap E_G(g_1) = \emptyset$ *.*

Then there exists $N_1 = N_1(F) \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for arbitrary* $f_i \in F$ *and* $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $|m_i| \ge N_1$, $i = 1, ..., l$, the element $g := g_1^{m_1} f_1 g_2^{m_2} f_2 ... g_l^{m_l}$ l f^l *belongs to* $\mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and is not commensurable with any g_i , $i = 1, \ldots, l$. Moreover,

- (i) if $l = 1$ then for every $y \in E_G(g)$ there exist $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g^{\xi}yg^{\xi} \in$ $E_G(g) \cap E_G(g_1)$;
- (ii) *if* $l \geq 3$ *and* $f_l = 1$ *then* $E_G(g) = E_G^+(g)$ *and for every* $y \in E_G(g)$ *there* \exists *exist* $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}$ *satisfying* $g^{\xi}yg^{\xi} \in E_G(g_l) \cap E_G(g_1)$ *.*

Proof. Recall that by Corollary [3.11](#page-21-1) the family $\{E_G(g_i)\}_{i=1}^l$ is hyperbolically embedded in (G, X) . As before, in view of Lemmas [2.15](#page-13-2) and [5.1,](#page-26-1) we can assume that $F \subseteq X$. Set $\mathcal{H} := \sqcup_{i=1}^l (E_G(g_i) \setminus \{1\}),$ and let the finite subsets $\Omega_i \subset E_G(g_i)$, $i = 1, ..., l$, and $K \in \mathbb{N}$ be chosen according to Lemma [2.17.](#page-13-1) Take $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $g_i^m \notin \Phi := \bigcup_{j=1}^l \{h \in \{ \Omega_j \} \mid |h|_{\Omega_j} \leq 12K \}$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, l$, whenever $|m| \ge N_1$. Consider any $g = g_1^{m_1} f_1 g_2^{m_2} f_2 \dots g_l^{m_l}$ $\int_{l}^{m_l} f_l$ with $f_i \in F$ and $|m_i| \geq N_1$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$. By Lemma [5.2,](#page-27-0) $g \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and it is not commensurable with with any g_i , $i = 1, ..., l$. So, it remains to prove claims (i) and (ii).

Consider any $y \in E_G(g)$. By Lemma [2.9,](#page-11-1) there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that

$$
y g^m y^{-1} = g^{\epsilon m}.
$$
 (4)

Let $L = L(C, 2l)$ be the constant provided by Lemma [4.4,](#page-24-2) where $C :=$ $d_{X \sqcup \mathcal{H}}(1, y)$. Evidently we can take m in [\(4\)](#page-28-0) to be large enough so that $ml \geq L$.

Let U be a word over $X \sqcup \mathcal{H}$ representing y, with $||U|| = C$, and let $W \equiv$ $h_1 f_1 h_2 f_2 \dots h_l f_l$ be the word from $\mathcal{W}(0, 12K, X, \mathcal{H})$ representing g, where $h_i :=$ $g_i^{m_i} \in E_G(g_i) \setminus \{1\}$ and $0 = {\Omega_j}\big|_{j=1}^l$. Consider a cycle $o = rqr'q'$ in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$, where $\text{Lab}(r) \equiv U$, $\text{Lab}(q) \equiv W^m$, $\text{Lab}(r') \equiv U^{-1}$ and $\text{Lab}(q') \equiv W^{-\epsilon m}$. Then $\ell(q) \geq ml \geq L$, hence by Lemma [4.4](#page-24-2) there are 2l consecutive components of q connected to 2l consecutive components of q^{-1} .

Suppose, first, that $l = 1$. Then there is an $E_G(g_1)$ -component p of q connected to p', an $E_G(g_1)$ -component of q'^{-1} . That is, there is a path s in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ with $s_- = p_-, s_+ = p'_-$ such that $\text{Lab}(s)$ represents an element $z \in E_G(g_1)$. Note that **Lab** $(p) \equiv h_1$ and **Lab** $(p') \equiv h_1^{\epsilon}$.

Let q_1 be the subpath of q starting at $r_+ = q_-$ and ending at $p_- = s_-$; let q'_1 be the subpath of q' starting at $s_+ = p'_-$ and ending at $q'_+ = r_-$. Consider the cycle $o_1 = rq_1sq'_1$ in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$. If $\epsilon = 1$ we see that $\mathbf{Lab}(q'_1) \equiv W^{\xi}$ for some integer $\xi \le 0$ and $\text{Lab}(q_1) \equiv W^{\xi}$ for an integer $\zeta \ge 0$. Therefore $g^{\xi}yg^{\xi} = z^{-1}$ in G. Recall that $z^{-1} \in E_G(g_1)$ and the left hand side of the latter equality belongs to $E_G(g)$, hence $g^{\xi}yg^{\xi} \in E_G(g) \cap E_G(g_1)$. Similarly, in the case when $\epsilon = -1$ we see that $g^{\xi} y g^{\xi} = g_1^{m_1} z^{-1} \in E_G(g) \cap E_G(g_1)$ for some $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus part (i) is proved.

To prove part (ii), assume that $l \geq 3$. Then three consecutive components p_1 , p_2 , p_3 of q, with $\text{Lab}(p_i) \equiv h_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are connected to three consecutive components p'_1 , p'_2 , p'_3 , of q'^{-1} . Since an $E_G(g_i)$ -component cannot be connected to an $E_G(g_j)$ -component if $i \neq j$, we see that p'_i must be labelled by h_i^{ϵ} , $i = 1, 2, 3$. However, if $\epsilon = -1$, any triple of consecutive components of q^{-1} would be labelled by a cyclic permutation of the sequence h_3^{-1} , h_2^{-1} , h_1^{-1} , which cannot give the sequence h_1^{-1} , h_2^{-1} , h_3^{-1} . Thus $\epsilon = 1$, implying that $y \in E_G^+(g)$. Since the latter is true for any $y \in E_G(g)$ we can conclude that $E_G(g) = E_G^+(g)$.

For the last claim of part (ii), suppose that $f_l = 1$ and choose consecutive components p_l and p_1 of q that are connected to consecutive components p'_l and p'_1 of q'^{-1} , so that p_i and p'_i are $E_G(g_i)$ -components of the corresponding paths for $i = 1, l$. It follows that for any path s in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ joining $(p_l)_+ = (p_1)_$ with $(p'_l)_+ = (p'_1)_-, \text{Lab}(s)$ represents an element $z \in E_G(g_l) \cap E_G(g_1)$. Since $f_l = 1$ and $\epsilon = 1$ the label of the subpath of q' from $\left(\frac{p'_l}{+}\right) = s_+$ to $q'_+ = r_$ represents a negative power of g, and the label of the subpath of q from $r_{+} = q_{-}$ to $(p_l)_+ = s_-$ represents a positive power of g. Thus there are integers $\xi < 0$ and $\zeta > 0$ such that $g^{\xi} y g^{\xi} = z^{-1} \in E_G(g_l) \cap E_G(g_1)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let* $g \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ *and* $f \in G \setminus E_G(g)$ *. For any finite subset* Y *of* G, there exists $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^n f \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and is not commensurable *with any* $y \in Y$ *whenever* $|n| \geq N_2$.

Proof. By Corollary [3.11](#page-21-1) $E_G(g) \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$. Let $Y_1 \subseteq Y$ be a maximal subset of pairwise non-commensurable elements such that each $y \in Y_1$ is loxodromic WPD with respect to the action of G on S and is not commensurable with g . By Corollary [3.11,](#page-21-1) $\{E_G(g)\}\sqcup \{E_G(y) \mid y \in Y_1\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$, hence we can apply Lemma [5.2](#page-27-0) to find $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the element $g^n f$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and is not commensurable with any element from the subset $\{g\} \cup Y_1$ whenever $|n| \geq N_2$.

Suppose that there is an integer *n* such that $|n| \geq N_2$ and $g^n f$ is commensurable with some $z \in Y$. Then $z \in Y \setminus Y_1$, z is not commensurable with any element of $\{g\} \cup Y_1$ and $z \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ by Remarks [2.7](#page-10-0) and [2.8.](#page-11-0) This contradicts the maximality of Y_1 . Thus the lemma is proved.

5.2. Special elements. Let H be a subgroup of G. In this subsection we develop the theory of H-special elements. Many ideas and statements in this subsection are similar to those of [\[40,](#page-60-2) Section 3] (see also [\[17,](#page-59-9) Subsection 6.2] for the case $H = G$).

Lemma 5.6. *Let* H *be a non-elementary subgroup of* G *such that*

$$
H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset.
$$

Then the subgroup

$$
E_G(H) := \bigcap_{h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G,\mathcal{S})} E_G(h)
$$

is the unique maximal nite subgroup of G *normalized by* H*.*

Proof. If a finite subgroup $F \le G$ is normalized by H, then $|H : C_H(F)| < \infty$, where $C_H(F)$ denotes the centralizer of F in H. Therefore for every $h \in H$ and $f \in F$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f h^n f^{-1} = h^n$. Hence, by Lemma [2.9\(](#page-11-1)b), $F \leqslant E_G(h)$ for all $h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$, thus $F \leqslant E_G(H)$.

Let $g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$. Since H is non-elementary, there exists $a \in$ $H \setminus E_G(g)$. Then $aga^{-1} \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ by Remark [2.8.](#page-11-0) If the intersection $E_G(g) \cap E_G(aga^{-1})$ is infinite then, according to Remark [2.11,](#page-11-2) there exist $m, n \in$ $\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$ such that $ag^n a^{-1} = g^m$, which implies that $a \in E_G(g)$ (by Lemma [2.9.](#page-11-1)(c)). This contradiction shows that $E_G(H) \le E_G(aga^{-1}) \cap E_G(g)$ is finite. The fact that $E_G(H)$ is normalized by H follows from its definition together with Remark [2.8](#page-11-0) and Lemma [2.9:](#page-11-1) the latter two statements imply that for any $h \in$ $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S)$ and any $f \in H$, $f h f^{-1} \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S)$ and $f E_G(h) f^{-1} =$ $E_G(fhf^{-1}).$ \Box

Remark 5.7. In the case when $H = G$, the statement of Lemma [5.6](#page-30-0) is proved in [\[17,](#page-59-9) Lemma 6.15], where $K(G)$ is used to denote the largest finite normal subgroup of G, which is $E_G(G)$ in our notation.

Set $\mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}^+(G, \mathcal{S}) := \{ g \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S}) \mid E_G(g) = E_G^+(g) \}.$

Lemma 5.8. Let $H \leq G$ be a non-elementary subgroup such that $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq 0$ \emptyset *. For every finite subset* $Y \subset G$ *there exists* $h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\rm WPD}^+(G, \mathcal{S})$ *that is not com*mensurable in G with any element of Y . In particular, $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\rm WPD}^+(G, \mathcal{S})$ contains *innitely many pairwise non-commensurable (in* G*) elements.*

Proof. Let $Y_1 = \{g_1, \ldots, g_l\} \subset Y$ be a maximal subset consisting of pairwise non-commensurable loxodromic WPD elements (thus any element from $Y \cap$ $\mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ is commensurable to some element from Y_1). If $l = 0$ we understand that Y_1 is empty.

Take any element $g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$. Since H is non-elementary, there exists $f \in H \setminus E_G(g)$ and we can apply Lemma [5.5,](#page-29-0) to find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_{l+1} := g^n f \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and g_{l+1} is not commensurable with any element of Y_1 . Applying this lemma two more times, we get elements $g_{l+2}, g_{l+3} \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ such that g_i is not commensurable to g_j whenever $1 \le i \le j \le l + 3$.

Now, by Lemma [5.4,](#page-27-1) there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the element

$$
h := g_1^m g_2^m \dots g_{l+3}^m \in H
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{\rm WPD}^+(G, \mathcal{S})$ and is not commensurable with any element from $\{g_1, \ldots,$ g_{l+3} . Finally, if h was commensurable to some $z \in Y$ then $z \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ (by Remarks [2.7](#page-10-0) and [2.8\)](#page-11-0) and z would be non-commensurable with any $y \in Y_1$, contradicting the choice of Y_1 . Thus the lemma is proved.

Lemma 5.9. Given two non-commensurable elements $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{L}^+_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$, there *exists* $h \in (g_1, g_2) \cap \mathcal{L}^+_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ *with the properties that h is not commensurable with* g_i , $i = 1, 2$, $E_G(h) = \langle h \rangle \cdot (E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2))$ and $h \in C_G(E_G(h))$, that is $E_G(h) \cong \langle h \rangle \times (E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2)).$

Proof. By Lemma [2.9,](#page-11-1) Remarks [2.7](#page-10-0) and [2.11,](#page-11-2) we can replace g_i with its power to assume that g_i is central in $E_G(g_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. The subgroup $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \le G$ is non-elementary because g_1 and g_2 are non-commensurable, hence, according to Lemma [5.8,](#page-30-1) there is $g_3 \in \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \cap \mathcal{L}^+_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ that is not commensurable with g_1 and g_2 .

Now, by Lemma [5.4,](#page-27-1) we can choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that the element

$$
h := g_1^m g_3^m g_2^m
$$

belongs to $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \cap \mathcal{L}^+_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$, is not commensurable with g_1 and g_2 , and satisfies

$$
E_G(h) \subseteq \langle h \rangle \left(E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2) \right) \langle h \rangle.
$$

Thus

$$
E_G(h) \leq \langle h, E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2) \rangle.
$$

But each of g_1 and g_2 commutes with $E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2)$, hence so does h, and so Lemma [2.9](#page-11-1) yields that

 $E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2) \leq E_G(h).$

Thus

 $E_G(h) = \langle h, E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2) \rangle$.

Finally, note that h has infinite order and

 $|E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2)| < \infty$

by Remark [2.11,](#page-11-2) which implies that

 $\langle h \rangle \cap E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2) = \{1\}.$

Therefore

$$
E_G(h) \cong \langle h \rangle \times (E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2)),
$$

as claimed. \Box

Lemma 5.10. *Let* $H \le G$ *be a non-elementary subgroup such that*

$$
H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset.
$$

Then

$$
E_G(H) = \bigcap_{g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}^+(G,\mathcal{S})} E_G(g).
$$

Proof. By Lemma [5.8,](#page-30-1) there exist two non-commensurable elements $g_1, g_2 \in$ $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}^+(G, \mathcal{S})$. Then $E_G(g_1) \cap E_G(g_2)$ is finite (Remark [2.11\)](#page-11-2), and therefore $\bigcap_{g\in H\cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}^+(G, \mathcal{S})} E_G(g)$ is finite. Notice that the set $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}^+(G, \mathcal{S})$ is closed under H-conjugation and $E_G(hgh^{-1}) = hE_G(g)h^{-1}$ for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and any $h \in H$. Hence H normalizes the finite subgroup $\bigcap_{g \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}^+(H, \mathcal{S})} E_G(g) \leq G$. Clearly $E_G(H) = \bigcap_{g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G,\mathcal{S})} E_G(g) \leq \bigcap_{g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}^+(G,\mathcal{S})} E_G(g)$. To obtain the desired equality, it remains to recall that $E_G(H)$ is the unique maximal finite subgroup of G normalized by H by Lemma [5.6.](#page-30-0) \Box

Definition 5.11. Let H be a non-elementary subgroup of G. An element $g \in H$ will be called H-special if $g \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}), E_G(g) = \langle g \rangle \cdot E_G(H)$ and $g \in$ $C_G(E_G(H))$ (i.e., $E_G(g) \cong \langle g \rangle \times E_G(H)$). The set of all H-special elements will be denoted by $S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$.

The next statement is an analogue of [\[5,](#page-58-7) Lemma 3.8.(ii)].

Lemma 5.12. Let $H \leq G$ be a non-elementary subgroup such that $H \cap$ $\mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$. Then $S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$ is non-empty.

Proof. Let B be the set of all elements $h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}^+(G, \mathcal{S})$ such that $E_G(h)$ is the direct product of $\langle h \rangle$ with some finite subgroup K_h of G. By Lemma [5.8](#page-30-1) there exists two non-commensurable elements in $H \cap \mathcal{L}^+_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$, and so, by Lemma [5.9](#page-31-0) and Remark [2.11,](#page-11-2) the set B is non-empty. Let $h \in B$ be such that $|K_h|$ is minimal. We will show that $K_h = E_G(H)$ and thus $h \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$.

Notice that $E_G(H) \leq K_h$, as $E_G(H) \leq E_G(h)$ and K_h is the unique maximal finite subgroup of $E_G(h)$ by definition. Arguing by contradiction, assume that there exists a finite order element $x \in K_h \setminus E_G(H)$. Then, according to Lemma [5.10,](#page-31-1) there is $g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}^+(G, \mathcal{S})$ such that $x \notin E_G(g)$. If g and h are non-commensurable, using Lemma [5.9](#page-31-0) we can find $f \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}^+(G, \mathcal{S})$ such that $E_G(f) = \langle f \rangle \cdot (E_G(h) \cap E_G(g))$ and $f \in C_G(E_G(h) \cap E_G(g))$. Moreover, Remark [2.11](#page-11-2) shows that $E_G(h) \cap E_G(g)$ is finite, and so it is contained in K_h . Thus $f \in B$ and, as $x \notin E_G(h) \cap E_G(g)$, we have that $|K_f| = |E_G(h) \cap E_G(g)| < |K_h|$, contradicting the minimality of $|K_h|$.

It remains to consider the case when g is commensurable with h . By Lemma [5.8,](#page-30-1) there exists $g' \in H \cap \mathcal{L}^+_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ non-commensurable with g. Then, by Lemma [5.9,](#page-31-0) we can find $f \in H \cap \mathcal{L}^+_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ such that

$$
E_G(f) = \langle f \rangle \cdot (E_G(g') \cap E_G(g)), \quad f \in C_G(E_G(g') \cap E_G(g)),
$$

and f is not commensurable with g, and hence $f \underset{\infty}{\not\approx} h$. Moreover, since $x \notin$ $E_G(g)$, we have that $x \notin E_G(f)$ as the torsion of $E_G(f)$ is exactly $E_G(k) \cap E_G(g)$. Then f has the same properties as g in the previous paragraph, which leads to a contradiction with the minimality of $|K_h|$. Therefore $K_h = E_G(H)$ and so $h \in S_G(H, S) \neq \emptyset.$

The following lemma is similar to $[40, \text{Lemma } 3.6]$:

Lemma 5.13. *Suppose that* $H \leq G$, $g \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$ *and* $x \in C_H(E_G(H)) \setminus E_G(g)$ *. Then there exists* $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $g^n x \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$ *for any* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *with* $|n| \geq N_3$ *.*

Proof. By Lemma [5.4](#page-27-1) there exists $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|n| \ge N_3$, $h := g^n x \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and this element is not commensurable with g. Part (i) of this lemma also shows that $E_G(h) \subseteq \langle h \rangle (E_G(g) \cap E_G(h)) \langle h \rangle$. Since g is H-special and the subgroup $E_G(g) \cap E_G(h)$ is finite (by Remark [2.11\)](#page-11-2), we see that $E_G(g) \cap E_G(h) \leq E_G(H)$. Recalling Lemma [5.6,](#page-30-0) we obtain

$$
E_G(h) \le \langle h, E_G(H) \rangle = \langle h \rangle E_G(H) \le E_G(h),
$$

thus $E_G(h) = \langle h \rangle E_G(H)$. It remains to observe that $h \in C_H(E_G(H))$ because both g and x belong to this centralizer by the assumptions. Hence $h \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$, as claimed. \Box

Proposition 5.14. Let H be a non-elementary subgroup of G with $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq$ \emptyset . Then $C_H(E_G(H))$ is generated by the set $S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$ *. In particular* $\langle S_G(H, \mathcal{S}) \rangle$ *has nite index in* H*.*

Proof. The proof is omitted, as it is identical to the proof of [\[40,](#page-60-2) Proposition 3.3], modulo Lemmas [5.12](#page-32-0) and [5.13.](#page-33-1) \Box

6. Technical lemmas

The goal of this section is to prove several auxiliary statements that will help in establishing the claim of the main Theorem [7.1.](#page-36-1) All of these statements are analogous to the ones from [\[40,](#page-60-2) Section 4]. Throughout this section G will denote a group acting coboundedly by isometries on a hyperbolic space $(8, d)$. Let X be

the generating set of G given by Lemma [2.4,](#page-8-0) so that $\Gamma(G, X)$ is equivariantly quasi-isometric to S.

The main technical tool is the following lemma, which generalizes [\[40,](#page-60-2) Lemma 4.4]. Roughly speaking, it says that the products of large powers of WPD loxodromic elements are commensurable only in the "obvious" cases.

Lemma 6.1. Let $\{g_1, \ldots, g_l\} \subseteq L_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S}), l \geq 2$, be a set of pairwise non*commensurable loxodromic WPD elements. Let* F *be a subset of* G *such that* $|F \setminus X| < \infty$ (e.g., F could be finite).

There exists $N_4 \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for any permutation* σ of $\{1, \ldots, l\}$ *and arbitrary elements* $h_i \in E_G(g_{\sigma(i)})$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$, of infinite order, the following holds. *Suppose that* $(g_1^{m_1}g_2^{m_2}\dots g_l^{m_l})$ $\binom{m_l}{l}$ ^s is conjugate to $(h_1^{n_1} f_1 h_2^{n_2} \cdots h_l^{n_l})$ $\int_l^{n_l} f_l$ ⁿ in G, for some $f_i \in F$, $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|m_i| \geq N_4$, $|n_i| \geq N_4$ for all $i = 1, ..., l$. *Then* $\eta = \zeta$ *and there is* $k \in \{0, \ldots, l-1\}$ *such that* σ *is a cyclic shift by* k, *that* $is \sigma(i) \equiv i + k \pmod{l}$ *for all* $i \in \{1, 2, ..., l\}$ *, and* $f_j \in E_G(g_{\sigma(i)})E_G(g_{\sigma(i+1)})$ *when* $j = 1, 2, ..., l - 1$, $f_l \in E_G(g_{\sigma(l)})E_G(g_{\sigma(1)})$.

Proof. This proof is very similar to the proof of [\[40,](#page-60-2) Lemma 4.4(2)], using the appropriate references.

By Corollary [3.11](#page-21-1) the family $\{E_G(g_i)\}_{i=1}^l$ is hyperbolically embedded in (G, X) , and, by Lemma [2.15,](#page-13-2) we can enlarge X to ensure that $F \subseteq X$. Set $\mathcal{H} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} (E_G(g_i) \setminus \{1\})$ and let the finite subsets $\Omega_i \subset E_G(g_i), i = 1, \ldots, l$ and $K \in \mathbb{N}$ be chosen according to Lemma [2.17.](#page-13-1) Let S be the finite subset of G given by $S := \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} \{h \in \left\langle \Omega_j \right\rangle \mid |h|_{\Omega_j} \leq 7K\}.$

First, let us show that for each *i* there is $K_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^k \notin S$ whenever $g \in E_G(g_i)$ is an element of infinite order and $|k| \geq K_i$. Indeed, since $|E_G(g_i)| < \infty$ we see that every infinite order element $g \in E_G(g_i)$ in fact belongs to the subgroup $E_G^+(g_i)$. Note that the center of $E_G^+(g_i)$ has finite index in it (e.g., by the last assertion of Lemma 2.9). Hence all the elements of finite order form a finite normal subgroup $T_i \ll E_G^+(g_i)$, and the quotient $E_G^+(g_i)/T_i$ is an infinite cyclic group, generated by the coset yT_i , for some $y \in E_G^+(g_i)$. Since y has infinite order and the set ST_i is finite, there exists $K_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y^k \notin ST_i$ provided $|k| \ge K_i$. Then for any infinite order element $g \in E_G(g_i)$ there is $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ with $g \in y^m T_i$. Thus for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $g^k \in y^{km} T_i$. But if $|k| \ge K_i$ then $|km| \ge K_i$ and hence $y^{km}T_i \cap S = \emptyset$, implying that $g^k \notin S$, as required.

Now, set $N_4 := \max\{K_i \mid i = 1, ..., l\}$. Choose arbitrary elements $f_1, ...,$ $f_l \in F$ and assume that

$$
b(g_1^{m_1}g_2^{m_2}\dots g_l^{m_l})^{\xi}b^{-1} = (h_1^{n_1}f_1h_2^{n_2}f_2\dots h_l^{n_l}f_l)^{\eta}
$$

in G, for some infinite order elements $h_i \in E_G(g_{\sigma(i)})$, where σ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, l\}$, and some $b \in G$, $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|m_i|, |n_i| \geq N_4$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
b(g_1^{m_1}g_2^{m_2}\dots g_l^{m_l})^{n\xi}b^{-1} = (h_1^{n_1}f_1h_2^{n_2}f_2\dots h_l^{n_l}f_l)^{n\eta}.
$$
 (5)

Let U_i , V_i and W_i be the letters from H and from X representing the elements $h_i^{n_i}$, $g_i^{m_i}$ and f_i , $i = 1, ..., l$, respectively. By our choice of m_i and n_i , the words $(V_1V_2 \tldots V_l)^{n\zeta}$, and $(U_1W_1U_2W_2 \tldots U_lW_l)^{n\eta}$ are in $W(0, 7K, X, \mathcal{H})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $0 \coloneqq {\{\Omega_j\}}_{j=1}^l$.

Choose a shortest word B over $X \cup \mathcal{H}$ representing b in G. Set $\varepsilon = |B|$ and let $L = L(\varepsilon, 2l) \in \mathbb{N}$ be the constant given by Lemma [4.4.](#page-24-2) Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to be sufficiently large so that $nl > 6\varepsilon$ and $n\zeta l \geq L$.

In the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ equation [\(5\)](#page-35-0) gives rise to a cycle $o = rqr'q'$, in which $\text{Lab}(r) \equiv B, q = r_+$, $\text{Lab}(q) \equiv (V_1V_2 \dots V_l)^{n\zeta}, r' = q_+$, $\text{Lab}(r') \equiv$ $B^{-1}, q' = r'_+, \text{Lab}(q') \equiv (U_1 W_1 U_2 W_2 \dots U_l W_l)^{-n\eta}.$

By construction, the paths q and q' have exactly $n\zeta l$ and $n\eta l$ components respectively. Suppose that ζ > η . By Lemma [4.3.](#page-23-0)(c), at least $n\zeta$ - 6 ε > $nl(\zeta - 1) \geq nl\eta$ components of q must be connected to components of q', hence two distinct components of q will have to be connected to the same component of q', contradicting Lemma [4.3.](#page-23-0)(c). Hence $\zeta \leq \eta$. A symmetric argument shows that $\eta \leq \zeta$. Consequently $\zeta = \eta$.

Since $\ell(q) = n\zeta l \geq L$, we can apply Lemma [4.4](#page-24-2) to find 2l consecutive components of q that are connected to 2l consecutive components of q'^{-1} . Therefore there are consecutive components p_1, \ldots, p_{l+1} of q and p'_1, \ldots, p'_{l+1} of q'^{-1} such that p_j is connected to p'_j for each j, and $\text{Lab}(p_i) \equiv V_i$ for $i = 1, ..., l$, **Lab** $(p_{l+1}) \equiv V_1$ (see Figure [3\)](#page-36-2). Therefore **Lab** $(p'_i) \in E_G(g_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$, $\text{Lab}(p'_{l+1}) \in E_G(g_1)$. From the form of $\text{Lab}(q'^{-1})$ it follows that there is $k \in \{0, 1, ..., l - 1\}$ such that $\text{Lab}(p'_j) \equiv U_{j+k}$ for $j = 1, ..., l + 1$ (indices are added modulo *l*). Thus $U_{j+k} = h_{j+k}^{n_{j+k}}$ $j+k \atop j+k \in E_G(g_j)$. On the other hand, $h_{i+k}^{n_{j+k}}$ $j+k \in E_G(g_{\sigma(j+k)})$ and it has infinite order by the assumptions, hence $g_{\sigma(j+k)}$ is commensurable with g_j in G by Remark [2.11.](#page-11-2) The latter yields that $\sigma(j + k) = j$ for all *j*. Therefore σ is a cyclic shift (by $l - k$) of $\{1, \ldots, l\}$.

To prove the last claim of the lemma, note that the subpath w_i of q'^{-1} between (p'_i) and (p'_{i+1}) is labelled by $W_{i+k} \equiv W_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$. As we showed, the vertex $(p_i)_+ = (p_{i+1})_-$ is connected to $(w_i)_-$ by a path s_i with $\text{Lab}(s_i) \in E_G(g_i)$, and to $(w_i)_+$ by a path t_i with $\text{Lab}(t_i) \in E_G(g_{i+1}), i = 1, \ldots, l$ (here we use the convention that $g_{l+1} = g_1$). Considering the cycle $t_i^{-1} s_i w_i$ we achieve the desired inclusion: $f_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} = \text{Lab}(w_i) \in E_G(g_i)E_G(g_{i+1}), i = 1, ..., l.$

Figure 3.

Let $H \le G$ be a non-elementary subgroup such that $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$. The following three lemmas are analogues of $[40,$ Lemmas 4.5, 4.6, and 4.7] respectively. The proofs are exactly the same as in [\[40\]](#page-60-2) once one uses Lemma [5.4](#page-27-1) instead of [\[40,](#page-60-2) Lemma 4.4.(i)], Lemma [6.1](#page-34-0) instead of [\[40,](#page-60-2) Lemma 4.4.(ii)] and Lemma $2.9(c)$ instead of $[40,$ Lemma $2.4(b)$].

Lemma 6.2. Suppose that $\varphi: H \to G$ is a homomorphism such that $\varphi(h) \stackrel{G}{\approx} h$ for *all* $h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ *. Then for any* $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ *, satisfying* $g_i \not\approx g_j$ for $i \neq j$, there exists $N_5 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for arbitrary $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$, G *with* $|n_i| \ge N_5$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and for $g = g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} g_3^{n_3}$, one has $g \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and $(\varphi(g))^\zeta = eg^\zeta e^{-1}$, for some $e \in G$ and $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma 6.3. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ be non-commensurable elements and let $y, z \in G$. There exists $N_6 \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that the following holds. Suppose that* $a^{k'}y b^{l'}z \stackrel{G}{\approx} a^k b^l$ for some integers k, l, k', l' with |k|, |l|, |k'|, |l'| $\geq N_6$. Then $y \in E_G(a)E_G(b)$ *and* $z \in E_G(b)E_G(a)$ *.*

Lemma 6.4. Assume that $g \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$ and $\psi: H \to G$ is a homomorphism satisfying $\psi(g^n) = g^n z$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in E_G(H)$ *. Then there is* $f \in E_G(H)$ such that $\psi(g) = gf$.

7. Commensurating homomorphisms

This section is dedicated to proving our main technical theorem:

Theorem 7.1. *Let* G *be a group acting coboundedly by isometries on a hyperbolic space* S. Let $H \le G$ *be a non-elementary subgroup of* G *and let* φ : $H \rightarrow G$ *be a homomorphism. Suppose that* $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$ and $\varphi(h) \stackrel{G}{\approx} h$ for all $h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}).$

Then there exists a set map ε : $H \to E_G(H)$ *, whose restriction to* $C_H(E_G(H))$ *is a homomorphism, and an element* $w \in G$ *such that for every* $h \in H$, $\varphi(h)$ = $w(h\varepsilon(h))w^{-1}$. Moreover, if $\varphi(H) = H$ then $w \in N_G(HE_G(H))$.

We need two auxiliary lemmas in order to prove the theorem. As usual, G is a group acting isometrically and coboundedly on a hyperbolic space S and $H \leq G$ is a non-elementary subgroup with $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 7.2. Let $\psi: H \to G$ be a homomorphism such that $\psi(h) \stackrel{G}{\approx} h$ for *all* $h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ *. Suppose that* $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ *is a triple of pairwise non-commensurable (in G) elements with* $g_1 \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$ *and* $g_2, g_3 \in C_H(E_G(H))$. Then for any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $n_1, n_2, n_3, n'_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such *that* $a := g_1^{ln_1} g_2^{mn_2} g_3^{n_3}$ *and* $b := g_1^{n'_1} g_2^{mn_2} g_3^{n_3}$ *satisfy the following properties:*

- $a, b \in S_G(H, S)$;
- the elements a, b, g_1, g_2, g_3 *are pairwise non-commensurable in* G ;
- there exist $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $u, v \in G$ such that $\psi(a^{\mu}) = ua^{\mu}u^{-1}$ and $\psi(b^{\nu}) =$ $vb^{\nu}v^{-1}.$

Proof. Let $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be given by Lemma [5.4](#page-27-1) applied to the set $\{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ and $F = \emptyset$. Choose $N_5 \in \mathbb{N}$ according to an application of Lemma [6.2](#page-36-3) to ψ , g_1, g_2, g_3 and let $n_3 := \max\{N_1, N_5\}$. By Lemma [5.5,](#page-29-0) there is $n_2 \ge \max\{N_1, N_5\}$ such that $g_2^{mn_2}g_3^{n_3} \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G,\mathcal{S})$ and this element is not commensurable with g_1 in G. g_2 g_3 $\in H \cap \infty$ wpp(Θ , Θ) and thus element is not commensurable with g_1 in Θ .
It follows that the element $g_2^{m_2}g_3^{n_3} \in C_H(E_G(H))$ has infinite order, and thus it cannot belong to the virtually cyclic subgroup $E_G(g_1)$. Since g_1 is H-special, we can use Lemma [5.13](#page-33-1) to find $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_1^n g_2^{mn_2} g_3^{n_3} \in S_G(H, \delta)$ whenever $n \geq N_3$. Take $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $ln_1 \geq max\{N_1, N_3, N_5\}$, and apply Lemma [5.5](#page-29-0) to find $n'_1 > ln_1$ such that the elements $a = g_1^{ln_1} g_2^{mn_2} g_3^{n_3}$ and $b = g_1^{n'_1} g_2^{mn_2} g_3^{n_3}$ are non-commensurable in G.

By Lemma [5.13](#page-33-1) we have $a, b \in S_G(H, S)$, and by Lemma [5.4](#page-27-1) neither of these two elements is commensurable to any g_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$. Finally, using Lemma [6.2,](#page-36-3) one can conclude that there exist $u, v \in G$, $\mu, v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi(a^{\nu}) = ua^{\nu}u^{-1}$ and $\psi(b^{\nu}) = v b^{\nu} v^{-1}$. В последните поставите на селото на се
Селото на селото на

Lemma 7.3. Let $\psi: H \to G$ be a homomorphism such that $\psi(h) \stackrel{G}{\approx} h$ for all $h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ *. Suppose that there are two non-commensurable elements* $a, b \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$ such that $\psi(a^{\mu}) = a^{\mu}$ and $\psi(b^{\nu}) = b^{\nu}$ for some $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Then *for every* $g \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$ *there is* $f = f(g) \in E_G(H)$ *such that* $\psi(g) = gf$ *.*

Proof. Consider any $g \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$. If $g \in E_G(a)$ then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^n \in \langle a^\mu \rangle$ because $|E_G(a): \langle a^\mu \rangle| < \infty$. Hence $\psi(g^n) = g^n$ and then by Lemma [6.4,](#page-36-4) $\psi(g) = gf$ for some $f \in E_G(H)$.

Suppose now that $g \notin E_G(a)$. Recall that $g \in C_H(E_G(H))$ because this element is H -special. Now, combining Lemmas [5.13](#page-33-1) and [5.5,](#page-29-0) we can find some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d := a^{l\mu} g \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$ and d is not commensurable with a and b in G.

By Lemma [7.2,](#page-37-0) we can find $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c := a^{n_1 \mu} b^{n_2 \nu} d^{n_3} \in \mathbb{N}$ $S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$, $c \not\approx a$, $c \not\approx b$ and $\psi(c^n) = ec^n e^{-1}$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{N}$ and $e \in G$.

By Lemma [5.4,](#page-27-1) $a^{k\mu}c^{k\eta} \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ for every sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $a^{k\mu} e^{k\eta} e^{-1} = \psi(a^{k\mu} c^{k\eta}) \stackrel{G}{\approx} a^{k\mu} c^{k\eta}$ whenever k is sufficiently large. So, Lemma [6.3](#page-36-5) shows that $e \in E_G(a)E_G(c)$. Thus $e = a^p c^s f$ for some $p, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in E_G(H)$. This implies that $\psi(c^{\eta}) = a^p c^{\eta} a^{-p}$ since $c \in C_H(E_G(H))$.

Similarly one proves that $e \in E_G(b)E_G(c)$, and thus there is $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi(c^{\eta}) = b^q c^{\eta} b^{-q}$. Hence $(a^{-p} b^q) c^{\eta} (a^{-p} b^q)^{-1} = c^{\eta}$ and therefore $a^{-p} b^q \in$ $E_G(c)$ by Lemma [2.9.](#page-11-1)(b).

Assume, first, that $p \neq 0$. If $a^{-p}b^{q} \in E_G(c)$ has finite order, then $a^{-p}b^{q} \in$ $E_G(H)$ because $c \in S_G(H, \mathcal{S})$. Hence, $a^p \in b^q E_G(H) \subset E_G(b)$ contradicting the assumption that $a \not\approx b$. Thus $a^{-p}b^q$ must have infinite order, and so there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(a^{-p}b^q)^\alpha = c^\beta$.

Since $\psi(a^{\mu}) = a^{\mu}$ and $\psi(b^{\nu}) = b^{\nu}$, by Lemma [6.4](#page-36-4) there exist $f_1, f_2 \in E_G(H)$ such that $\psi(a) = af_1$ and $\psi(b) = bf_2$. Since $a, b \in C_H (E_G(H))$ we obtain that

$$
\psi(c^{\beta}) = \psi((a^{-p}b^{q})^{\alpha}) = (a^{-p}b^{q})^{\alpha} f_3 = c^{\beta} f_3 \text{ for some } f_3 \in E_G(H).
$$

Then for $\gamma := \beta \eta |E_G(H)|$ we get that $c^{\gamma} = \psi(c^{\gamma}) = a^{\gamma} c^{\gamma} a^{-\gamma}$, implying that $a^p \in E_G(c)$, which contradicts $a \not\approx c$.

Therefore, $p = 0$ and, thus, $\psi(c^{\eta}) = c^{\eta}$. By Lemma [6.4,](#page-36-4) there exists $f_4 \in E_G(H)$ such that $\psi(c) = cf_4$. Since $c = a^{n_1 \mu} b^{n_2 \nu} d^{n_3}$ and a^{μ} , b^{ν} are fixed by ψ , we see that $\psi(d^{n_3}) = d^{n_3} f_4$. Applying Lemma [6.4](#page-36-4) again, we find $f_5 \in E_G(H)$ such that $\psi(d) = df_5$. Finally, since $d = a^{l\mu}g$, we achieve that $\psi(g) = gf_5$ as needed.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem [7.1](#page-36-1). Since $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma [5.12](#page-32-0) there is at least one element $g_1 \in S_G(H, S)$. Since H is non-elementary and $C_H(E_G(H))$ has finite index in it, $C_G(E_G(H))$ is non-elementary itself. On the other hand, $E_G(g_1)$ is elementary by Lemma [2.9,](#page-11-1) hence there exists $y \in C_H(E_G(H)) \setminus E_G(g_1)$.

By Lemma [5.5,](#page-29-0) there is $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_2 := g_1^{k_2} y \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and $g_2 \not\approx g_1$. Using the same lemma again, we can find $k_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_3 := g_1^{k_3} y \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and $g_3 \not\approx g_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Note that, by construction, $g_2, g_3 \in C_H(E_G(H))$, so one can use Lemma [7.2](#page-37-0) to find non-commensurable elements $a, b \in S_G(H, S)$ such that $\varphi(a^{\mu}) = ua^{\mu}u^{-1}$ and $\varphi(b^{\nu}) = v b^{\nu} v^{-1}$ for some $u, v \in G$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\chi: H \to G$ be the homomorphism defined by $\chi(h) = u^{-1} \varphi(h) u$ for all $h \in H$. Then $\chi(a^{\mu}) = a^{\mu}$, $\chi(b^{\nu}) = (u^{-1}v)b^{\nu}(u^{-1}v)^{-1}$. Note that $\chi(h) \stackrel{G}{\approx} h$ for every $h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$. By Lemma [5.4,](#page-27-1) $(a^{\mu})^k (b^{\nu})^k \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough. Therefore

$$
a^{k\mu}(u^{-1}v)b^{k\nu}(u^{-1}v)^{-1} = \chi(a^{k\mu}b^{k\nu}) \stackrel{G}{\approx} a^{k\mu}b^{k\nu}
$$

for every sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, by Lemma [6.3,](#page-36-5) $u^{-1}v \in$ $E_G(a)E_G(b)$, thus $u^{-1}v = a^s b^t f$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in E_G(H)$. Hence $\chi(b^{\nu}) = (a^{s}b^{t} f)b^{\nu}(a^{s}b^{t} f)^{-1}$, and since $b \in C_{H}(E_{G}(H)), \chi(b^{\nu}) = a^{s}b^{\nu}a^{-s}$. Let $w := ua^s \in G$ and let the homomorphism $\psi: H \to G$ be defined by $\psi(h) = w^{-1}\varphi(h)w = a^{-s}\chi(h)a^s$ for all $h \in H$. By construction

$$
\psi(a^{\mu}) = a^{\mu}, \varphi(b^{\nu}) = b^{\nu}
$$
 and $\psi(h) \stackrel{G}{\approx} h$ for each $h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \delta)$.

Now we are under the hypothesis of Lemma [7.3,](#page-37-1) claiming that for every $g \in$ $S_G(H, S)$ there exists $f = f(g) \in E_G(H)$ such that $\psi(g) = gf$.

By Proposition [5.14,](#page-33-2) $C_H(E_G(H))$ is generated by $S_G(H, S)$, therefore for each $x \in C_H(E_G(H))$ there is $\tilde{\varepsilon}(x) \in E_G(H)$ such that $\psi(x) = x\tilde{\varepsilon}(x)$. Since the map ψ is a homomorphism, the map $\tilde{\varepsilon}$: $C_H(E_G(H)) \to E_G(H)$ will also be a homomorphism. By construction, we have $\varphi(x) = w \psi(x) w^{-1} = w x \tilde{\varepsilon}(x) w^{-1}$ for all $x \in C_H (E_G (H)).$

Now we need to extend the homomorphism $\tilde{\varepsilon}$: $C_H(E_G(H)) \to E_G(H)$ to a set map $\varepsilon: H \to E_G(H)$. Define

$$
l := |H : C_H(E_G(H))|, \quad m := |E_G(H)|, \quad n := ml \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Since $E_G(H)$ is normalized by H, the centralizer $C_H(E_G(H))$ is a normal subgroup of H. Consequently, for any $z \in H$ we have that $z^l \in C_H(E_G(H))$ and

$$
\psi(z^n) = z^n \tilde{\varepsilon}(z^l)^m = z^n. \tag{6}
$$

Take an arbitrary $h \in H$. For any $g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ we have $\psi(h)g^n \psi(h)^{-1} =$ $\psi(hg^n h^{-1}) = hg^n h^{-1}$, implying that $h^{-1}\psi(h) \in E_G(g)$. Since g was an arbitrary element of $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$, we conclude that $h^{-1}\psi(h) \in E_G(H) =$ $\bigcap_{g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G,\mathcal{S})} E_G(g)$ (see Lemma [5.6\)](#page-30-0).

After defining the $\varepsilon(h) := h^{-1}\psi(h)$ for each $h \in H$, one immediately sees that $\varepsilon: H \to E_G(H)$ is a map with the required properties. Evidently the restriction of ε to $C_H(E_G(H))$ is the homomorphism $\tilde{\varepsilon}$.

It remains to prove the last claim of the theorem. Assume that $\varphi(H) = H$. Consider any element $f \in E_G(H)$. By the above assumption, for any $g \in$ $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ there is $h \in H$ such that $\varphi(h) = g$. Recalling [\(6\)](#page-39-0) and the definition of ψ we achieve $g^n = \varphi(h^n) = w \psi(h^n) w^{-1} = wh^n w^{-1}$. But $h^n \in C_H(E_G(H))$, therefore

$$
wfw^{-1}g^{n}(wfw^{-1})^{-1} = wfh^{n}f^{-1}w^{-1} = wh^{n}w^{-1} = g^{n}.
$$

Hence, $wf w^{-1} \in E_G(g)$ for every $g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$; consequently $wf w^{-1} \in$ $E_G(H)$. The latter implies that $wE_G(H)w^{-1} \subseteq E_G(H)$ and since $E_G(H)$ is finite, we conclude that w normalizes $E_G(H)$.

Observe that $\hat{H} := HE_G(H)$ is a subgroup of G because $E_G(H)$ is nor-malized by H (see Lemma [5.6\)](#page-30-0). For any $h \in H$ we have that whw^{-1} = $wh\epsilon(h)w^{-1}w\epsilon(h)^{-1}w^{-1} \in HE_G(H)$; thus $wHw^{-1} \leq \hat{H}$. Since $w^{-1}\varphi(h)w =$ $h \in \hat{H}$ and $\varphi(H) = H$, one gets $w^{-1}Hw \subseteq \hat{H}$. Therefore $w\hat{H}w^{-1} \subset$ $\hat{H} w E_G(H) w^{-1} = \hat{H}$, $w^{-1} \hat{H} w \subseteq \tilde{H} w^{-1} E_G(H) w = \hat{H}$, i.e., $w \in N_G(\hat{H})$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Theorem [7.1](#page-36-1) allows us to generalize Corollaries 5.3 and 5.4 from [\[40\]](#page-60-2).

Corollary 7.4. *Let* G *be group acting coboundedly and by isometries on a hyperbolic space* S. Suppose that $H \leq G$ *is a non-elementary subgroup, with* $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$, and $\varphi: H \to G$ is a homomorphism. The following are *equivalent:*

- (a) φ *is commensurating*;
- (b) $\varphi(g) \stackrel{G}{\approx} g$ *for every* $g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ *;*
- (c) *there is a set map* ε : $H \to E_G(H)$ *, whose restriction to* $C_H(E_G(H))$ *is a* homomorphism, and an element $w \in G$ such that for every $g \in G$, $\varphi(g) = w(g\varepsilon(g))w^{-1}.$

In particular, if $E_G(H) = \{1\}$ *then every commensurating homomorphism from* H *to* G *is the restriction to* H *of an inner automorphism of* G*.*

Proof. (a) implies (b) by definition, and (b) implies (c) by Theorem [7.1.](#page-36-1) It remains to show that (c) implies (a). Indeed, let the homomorphism φ satisfy (c), and let g be an arbitrary element of H. Thus $\varphi(g) = w(g\varepsilon(g))w^{-1}$ for some $w \in G$ and $\varepsilon(g) \in E_G(H)$.

Since $E_G(H)$ is a finite subgroup of G normalized by H, the subgroup $C_H(E_G(H))$ is normal and of finite index in H. Set $m := |E_G(H)| \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l := |H|$: $C_H(E_G(H))| \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $g^l \in C_H(E_G(H))$ and $\varepsilon(g^{lm}) = \varepsilon(g^l)^m = 1$ in G by the assumptions of (c). Therefore

$$
\varphi(g)^{lm} = \varphi(g^{lm}) = wg^{lm}\varepsilon(g^{lm})w^{-1} = wg^{lm}w^{-1}.
$$

Hence $\varphi(g) \stackrel{G}{\approx} g$ for all $g \in H$, as required.

An application of the above corollary to the case $H = G$ gives rise to the following characterization of commensurating endomorphisms, which is very similar to the result for relatively hyperbolic groups from [\[40,](#page-60-2) Corollary 1.4]:

Theorem 7.5. *Let* G *be an acylindrically hyperbolic group. An endomorphism* $\varphi: G \to G$ is commensurating if and only if there is a set map $\varepsilon: G \to E_G(G)$, *whose restriction to* $C_G(E_G(G))$ *is a homomorphism, and an element* $w \in G$ *such that* $\varphi(g) = w(g\varepsilon(g))w^{-1}$ *for every* $g \in G$ *. In particular, if* $E_G(G) = \{1\}$ *then every commensurating endomorphism is an inner automorphism of* G*.*

Proof. By Theorem [2.19,](#page-14-1) since G is acylindrically hyperbolic, it is non-elementary and admits a cobounded action on a hyperbolic space S such that $\mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$. Now the claim follows from Corollary [7.4](#page-40-0) applied to the case when $H = G$. \Box

Remark 7.6. If G is a finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic group then Theorem [7.5](#page-41-0) easily implies that $\text{Inn}(G)$ has finite index in the group $\text{Aut}_{\text{com}}(G)$ of all commensurating automorphisms of G . On the other hand, it is not difficult to show that this is not true for $F_{\infty} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, the direct product of the free group of countably infinite rank and the cyclic group of order 2 (in fact this group has uncountably many commensurating automorphisms).

The above remark shows that to establish Corollary [1.5](#page-4-0) we need to work a bit more since the group G may not be finitely generated (however, the proof is very similar to that of $[40,$ Corollary 5.4]).

Proof of Corollary [1.5](#page-4-0)*.* Again, by Theorem [2.19,](#page-14-1) G is non-elementary and admits a cobounded action on a hyperbolic space S such that $\mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$. Applying Corollary [7.4](#page-40-0) to the case when $H = G$, we see that for any automorphism $\varphi \in Aut_{\text{pi}}(G)$, there exist $w \in G$ and a map $\varepsilon: G \to E_G(G)$ such that $\varphi(h) =$ $wge(g)w^{-1}$ for each $g \in G$. Take any element $h \in S_G(G, \mathcal{S})$. Then h commutes with $\varepsilon(h) \in E_G(G)$, and, consequently, $(\varphi(h))^m = wh^m w^{-1}$ where $m :=$ $|E_G(G)| \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, since φ is a pointwise inner automorphism of G, there is $x \in G$ such that $\varphi(h) = xhx^{-1}$. Hence $xh^mx^{-1} = \varphi(h^m) = wh^mw^{-1}$, i.e., $w^{-1}x \in$ $E_G(h) \cong \langle h \rangle \times E_G(G)$, hence $w^{-1}x \in C_G(h)$. Consequently, we have $h =$ $w^{-1}xh(w^{-1}x)^{-1} = h\varepsilon(h)$, which implies that $\varepsilon(h) = 1$. Since the latter holds for any $h \in S_G(G, \mathcal{S})$, it follows from Proposition [5.14](#page-33-2) that $\varepsilon(C_G) = \{1\}$, where $C_G := C_G(E_G(G)).$

Note that $|G : C_G| < \infty$, hence there are $g_1, \ldots, g_l \in G$ such that $G =$ $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{l} C_G g_i$. For any $g \in G$ there are $a \in C_G$ and $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$ such that $g = ag_i$,

and one has

$$
\varphi(a)\varphi(g_i) = \varphi(g)
$$

= $wg\varepsilon(g)w^{-1}$
= $(waw^{-1})(wg_i\varepsilon(ag_i)w^{-1})$
= $\varphi(a)(\varphi(g_i)w(\varepsilon(g_i))^{-1}\varepsilon(ag_i)w^{-1}).$

Therefore $\varepsilon(g) = \varepsilon(ag_i) = \varepsilon(g_i)$, i.e., the map ε is uniquely determined by the images of g_1, \ldots, g_l . Since $\varphi(g) = w(g \varepsilon(g_i)) w^{-1}$, the automorphism $\varphi \in$ Aut_{pi} (G) , up to composition with an inner automorphism of G, is completely determined by the finite collection of elements $\varepsilon(g_1), \ldots, \varepsilon(g_l) \in E_G(G)$, and since $E_G(G)$ is finite, we can conclude that $|\text{Aut}_{\text{pi}}(G) : \text{Inn}(G)| < \infty$.

Finally, if $E_G(G) = \{1\}$ we have $\varphi(g) = wgw^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$, that is $\varphi \in \text{Inn}(G).$

Combining Grossman's criterion with Corollary [1.5,](#page-4-0) we obtain the following

Corollary 7.7. Let G be a finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic group. If G is conjugacy separable and contains no non-trivial finite normal subgroups $then$ $Out(G)$ *is residually finite.*

In [\[15,](#page-59-11) Corollary 1.6] Caprace and the second author showed that any pointwise inner automorphism of a finitely generated Coxeter group W is inner. Theorem [7.5](#page-41-0) can be used to say much more in the case when W is acylindrically hyperbolic.

Lemma 7.8. *Suppose that* W *is a finitely generated infinite irreducible non-affine Coxeter group. Then W is acylindrically hyperbolic and* $E_W(W) = \{1\}$ *.*

Proof. The assumptions imply that W is not virtually cyclic and W contains a rank 1 isometry for the natural action on the associated Davis CAT(0) complex; see $[14]$. Therefore *W* is acylindrically hyperbolic by $[47]$.

It remains to note that $E_W(W) = \{1\}$ because any finite normal subgroup of a Coxeter group is contained in a finite normal parabolic subgroup, but an infinite irreducible Coxeter group cannot have any proper normal parabolic subgroups (the normalizer of a parabolic subgroup $P \leq W$ is itself a parabolic subgroup, which is isomorphic to the direct product $P \times R$, where R is the orthogonal complement of P in W – see [\[18,](#page-59-13) [30\]](#page-60-15)).

A combination of Lemma [7.8](#page-42-0) with Theorem [7.5](#page-41-0) immediately yields the following:

Corollary 7.9. *If W* is a finitely generated infinite irreducible non-affine Coxeter *group then every commensurating endomorphism of* W *is an inner automorphism.*

8. Normal endomorphisms of acylindrically hyperbolic groups

This section is dedicated to proving Theorem [1.7.](#page-5-1) Our argument uses the powerful machinery of algebraic Dehn fillings, developed for hyperbolically embedded subgroups by Dahmani, Guirardel and Osin [\[17\]](#page-59-9):

Theorem 8.1 ([\[17,](#page-59-9) Theorem 7.19]). Let G be a group, X a subset of G, $\{H_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ *a collection of subgroups of G. Suppose that* ${H_{\lambda}}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ *. Then there exists a family of finite subsets* $F_{\lambda} \subseteq H_{\lambda} \setminus \{1\}, \lambda \in \Lambda$ *, such that for every collection of normal subgroups* $\mathfrak{N} = \{N_{\lambda} \prec H_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, satisfying $N_{\lambda} \cap F_{\lambda} = \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the following hold:

- *(a)* $N \cap H_{\lambda} = N_{\lambda}$ *for all* $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *, where* $N := \langle N_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle$ $\big\langle G \big\rangle$
- *(b) every element of* N *is either conjugate to an element of* $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_{\lambda} \subseteq G$ *or is loxodromic with respect to the action of* G *on* $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ *, where* $\mathfrak{H} := \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (H_{\lambda} \setminus \{1\});$
- *(c)* N *is isomorphic to the free product of copies of groups from* N*.*

Combining the above result with Corollary [3.11](#page-21-1) one obtains the following statement:

Lemma 8.2. *Assume that* G *is a group acting isometrically and coboundedly on a* hyperbolic space S. For any element $g \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S)$ there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such *that if* $|m| \geq M$ and $\langle g^m \rangle \vartriangleleft E_G(g)$ then the normal closure $\langle g^m \rangle\!\rangle^G \vartriangleleft G$ is free *and every non-trivial element in it is loxodromic (with respect to the action of* G *on* S*).*

Proof. Let X be a symmetric generating set of G given by Lemma [2.4.](#page-8-0) By Corollary [3.11,](#page-21-1) $E_G(g) \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$, therefore we can apply Theorem [8.1,](#page-43-1) which claims that there exists a finite subset $F \subseteq E_G(g) \setminus \{1\}$ such that for every normal subgroup $N_0 \triangleleft E_G(g)$, with $N_0 \cap F = \emptyset$, the normal closure $N := \mathbb{C}N_0\mathbb{C}^G$ is isomorphic to the free product of some copies of N_0 , and every element of N is either conjugate to an element of N_0 in G or is loxodromic with respect to the action of G on the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup E_G(g) \setminus \{1\}).$

Since the order of g is infinite, there is $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle g^m \rangle \cap F = \emptyset$ whenever $|m| \geq M$. So, if m satisfies this inequality and $\langle g^m \rangle \langle E_G(g), g \rangle$ the previous paragraph we see that $\langle g^m \rangle\!\!\!\rangle^G \prec G$ is isomorphic to the free product of infinite cyclic groups (hence, it is free) and every element $h \in \langle \hspace{-.08in} \langle g^m \rangle \rangle^G \setminus \{1\}$ is either conjugate to some non-zero power of g in G or is loxodromic with respect to the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup E_G(g) \setminus \{1\})$. Therefore such h is loxodromic with respect to the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X)$: in the former case this is true because $g \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and in the latter case this is demonstrated in the first paragraph of the proof of Lemma [5.1](#page-26-1) (one can take $X_1 := X \cup E_G(g) \setminus \{1\}$). It follows that h is loxodromic with respect to the action of G on S. \Box

Proof of Theorem [1.7](#page-5-1)*.* The argument will be split in two cases.

CASE 1. $E_G(G) = \{1\}$. We need to show that either $\varphi(G) = \{1\}$ or φ is an inner automorphism of G. Arguing by contradiction suppose that $\varphi(G) \neq \{1\}$ and $\varphi \notin \text{Inn}(G)$. Let us first prove the following claim:

there is some $g_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ such that $\varphi(g_1) \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and $\varphi(g_1) \not\approx g_1$. (7)

Since G is acylindrically hyperbolic, it has a symmetric generating set X such that $S := \Gamma(G, X)$ is hyperbolic, $|\partial S| > 2$, and G acts on S acylindrically. Then G is non-elementary and $\mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$ (as explained in Theorem [2.19](#page-14-1) and in the paragraph after it), hence G is generated by the G -special elements (by Proposition [5.14\)](#page-33-2).

Therefore there must exist $g \in S_G(G, S)$ such that $\varphi(g) \neq 1$. Choose $M \in \mathbb{N}$ according to Lemma [8.2.](#page-43-2) Then for any $m \geq M$, $\langle g^m \rangle \langle A \rangle E_G(g) = \langle g \rangle$ and every non-trivial element of $N := \langle g^m \rangle^G$ is loxodromic. It follows that $N \setminus \{1\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ by Remark [2.18](#page-14-2) and the fact that the action of G on S is acylindrical. Since $\varphi(g) \neq 1$, there exists $m \geq M$ such that $\varphi(g^m) \neq 1$. On the other hand, $\varphi(N) \subseteq N$ as φ is a normal endomorphism, hence we can conclude that $\varphi(g^m) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$. Consequently, $\varphi(g) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ by Remark [2.7.](#page-10-0)

If $\varphi(g) \not\approx g$, then claim [\(7\)](#page-44-0) is true for $g_1 = g$. So, suppose that $\varphi(g) \stackrel{G}{\approx} g$. Since $E_G(G) = \{1\}$ and $\varphi \notin \text{Inn}(G)$, φ is not commensurating by Theo-rem [7.5.](#page-41-0) Hence, according to Corollary [7.4,](#page-40-0) there exists $h \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ such that $\varphi(h) \ncong h$. Recall that $E_G(h)$ is virtually cyclic, hence there is $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle h^l \rangle \lhd E_G(h)$ whenever l is divisible by L. Therefore, we can apply Lemma [8.2](#page-43-2) as before to find $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle h^l \rangle^G \setminus \{1\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$. Again, since φ is normal, it must map this normal closure into itself. So, if $\varphi(h^l) \neq 1$ then $\varphi(h^l) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$, consequently $\varphi(h) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and $g_1 = h$ satisfies claim [\(7\)](#page-44-0).

Thus it remains to consider the case when $\varphi(h^l) = 1$. Then $h^l \notin E_G(g) = \langle g \rangle$, and by Lemma [5.5,](#page-29-0) there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the element $g_1 := g^n h^l$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ and is not commensurable with g in G. But $\varphi(g_1) = \varphi(g^n) \stackrel{G}{\approx} g$ by the assumption above, therefore $\varphi(g_1) \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ (by Remarks [2.7](#page-10-0) and [2.8\)](#page-11-0) and $\varphi(g_1) \not\approx g_1$ (as $g \not\approx g_1$). Thus we have shown the validity of claim [\(7\)](#page-44-0).

So, let $g_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, \mathcal{S})$ be as in claim [\(7\)](#page-44-0). Then, according to Corol-lary [3.11,](#page-21-1) the family $\{E_G(g_1), E_G(\varphi(g_1))\}$ is hyperbolically embedded in G. Now we can use the theory of algebraic Dehn fillings: let $F_1 \subset E_G(g_1) \setminus \{1\}$ and $F_2 \subset E_G(\varphi(g_1)) \setminus \{1\}$ be the finite subsets given by Theorem [8.1.](#page-43-1) Evidently, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle g_1^n \rangle \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $\langle g_1^n \rangle \langle F_1 \rangle$ $\langle F_2(g_1) \rangle$. Then we can take $N_1 := \langle g_1^n \rangle \prec E_G(g_1)$ and $N_2 := \{1\} \prec E_G(\varphi(g_1)).$ Since $N_i \cap F_i = \emptyset$, Theorem [8.1](#page-43-1) claims that for $N := \langle N_1, N_2 \rangle \rangle^G = \langle \langle g_1^n \rangle \rangle^G \langle G \rangle$ one has

$$
N \cap E_G(g_1) = N_1 = \langle g_1^n \rangle
$$
 and $N \cap E_G(\varphi(g_1)) = N_2 = \{1\}.$

Thus the image of g_1 in G/N has finite order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the image of $\varphi(g_1)$ has infinite order in G/N . On the other hand, since $\varphi: G \to G$ is a normal endomorphism, $\varphi(N) \subseteq N$, hence it naturally induces an endomorphism $\bar{\varphi}$: $G/N \to G/N$, defined by the formula $\bar{\varphi}(fN) := \varphi(f)N$ for all $f \in G$. This yields a contradiction, as the order of $\bar{\varphi}(g_1N)$ does not divide the order of g_1N in G/N . Therefore, the proof under the assumption of Case 1 is complete.

CASE 2. $E_G(G) \neq \{1\}$. In this case $\overline{G} := G/E_G(G)$ is also acylindrically hyperbolic and $E_{\vec{G}}(\vec{G}) = \{1\}$ (see [[29](#page-60-9), Lemma 5.10]). Since $\varphi: G \to G$ is normal, it naturally induces an endomorphism $\bar{\varphi}$: $\overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G}$. Clearly $\bar{\varphi}$ will be a normal endomorphism of \overline{G} . Therefore we can apply Case 1 to \overline{G} and $\overline{\varphi}$, concluding that either $\bar{\varphi}(\bar{G}) = \{1\}$ or there exists an element $\bar{w} \in \bar{G}$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(\bar{f}) = \bar{w} \bar{f} \bar{w}^{-1}$ for all $\bar{f} \in \bar{G}$.

If $\bar{\varphi}(\bar{G}) = \{1\}$ then $\varphi(G) \subseteq E_G(G)$, as required. In the remaining case, pick some preimage $w \in G$ of $\overline{w} \in \overline{G}$. Then for every $f \in G$ there exists $\varepsilon(f) \in G$ $E_G(G)$ such that $\varphi(f) = wf\varepsilon(f)w^{-1}$. Clearly, since φ is an endomorphism, the restriction of ε to $C_G(E_G(G))$ is a homomorphism from G to $E_G(G)$, hence, by Corollary [7.4,](#page-40-0) φ is commensurating.

Remark 8.3. Now that we have proved Theorem [1.7,](#page-5-1) one can show that if G is acylindrically hyperbolic then $Inn(G)$ has finite index in the group of all normal automorphisms $\text{Aut}_n(G) \leq \text{Aut}(G)$. If G is finitely generated, then this is a consequence of Remark [7.6.](#page-41-1) If G is not finitely generated, then one can use a more involved argument similar to the one from [[40](#page-60-2), Theorem 6.4 and Corollary 6.5].

Remark 8.4. If the finite radical of an acylindrically hyperbolic group G is nontrivial, then it may possess non-commensurating normal automorphisms with non-trivial finite images. Indeed, let F be the free group of rank 2 and let Q be a non-abelian finite simple group. Let $G := F \times Q$ be the direct product of F and O, so that G is hyperbolic and $E_G(G) = O$. Then G has a natural endomorphism $\varphi: G \to G$, which is the projection onto Q. It is not difficult to check that every normal subgroup $N \leq G$ either contains Q or is contained in ker(φ) = F. It follows that φ is a normal endomorphism of G with $\varphi(G) = Q$.

9. Commensurating endomorphisms of subgroups of right angled Artin groups

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) from the Introduction.

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a simplicial graph with the vertex set $V\Gamma = V$ and the edge set $E\Gamma = E$. The associated *right angled Artin group* $A = A(\Gamma)$ is the group given by the presentation

$$
\langle V \parallel [u, v] = 1, \text{ for all } \{u, v\} \in E \rangle.
$$

The cardinality $|V|$ is said to be the *rank* of A. Algebraically, the rank of A is exactly the smallest cardinality of a generating set of A (this can be justified by looking at the abelianization of A, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{|V|}$).

Right angled Artin groups are special cases of *graph products of groups*, when all the vertex groups are infinite cyclic (see $[4,$ $[4,$ $[4,$ Subsection 2.2] for some background on graph products).

Lemma 9.1. *Suppose that* A *is a right angled Artin group and* $H \leq A$ *is any subgroup.*

- (i) If $N \leq H$ *is a normal subgroup which does not contain non-abelian free subgroups, then* N *is central in* H*.*
- (ii) *The quotient of H by its center* $Z = Z(H)$ *is centerless.*

Proof. To prove (i), suppose that N is not central in H . Then there exist $h \in H \setminus \{1\}$ and $g \in N \setminus \{1\}$ such that $hg \neq gh$. By a theorem of Baudisch [[7](#page-58-9)] (see also $[4, Corollary 1.6]$ $[4, Corollary 1.6]$ $[4, Corollary 1.6]$), the latter implies that h and g generate a free subgroup F, of rank 2, in A. Since $g \in F \cap N$, this intersection is a non-trivial normal subgroup of F , hence it is a non-abelian free group. This contradicts the assumption that N has no non-abelian free subgroups. Therefore N must be central in H .

To verify (ii), let $N \leq H$ be the full preimage of the center of H/Z under the homomorphism $H \to H/Z$. Then N is nilpotent of class at most 2, hence it satisfies the assumptions of (i), and therefore it must be central in H . Thus $N \leq Z$; on the other hand $Z \leq N$ by the definition of N. It follows that $N = Z$, and so the image of N in H/Z (i.e., the center of H/Z) is trivial.

For any subset U of V the subgroup $A_U := \langle U \rangle$ is said to be a *full subgroup* of A. It is not difficult to show that A_U is naturally isomorphic to the right angled Artin group $A(\Gamma_U)$, where Γ_U the full subgraph of Γ spanned on the vertices from U (see, for example, [[38](#page-60-4), Section 6]). For every $U \subset V$ there is a *canonical retraction* $\rho_U: A \to A_U$ defined on the generators of A by $\rho_U(x) = x$, if $x \in U$ and $\rho_U(x) = 1$ if $x \notin U$.

A subgroup $H \leq A(\Gamma)$ is called *parabolic* if it is conjugate to a full subgroup, i.e., there exist $U \subseteq V$ and $a \in A$ such that $H = a^{-1} A_U a$; we will say that H is a *proper parabolic subgroup* of $A(\Gamma)$ if $U \neq V$. If the graph Γ is finite then any subgroup $H \leq A(\Gamma)$ is contained in a unique minimal parabolic subgroup $Pc_{\Gamma}(H)$, called the *parabolic closure* of H in $A(\Gamma)$ (see [[4](#page-58-8), Proposition 3.10]).

Using the terminology from [[4](#page-58-8)], we will say that a graph Γ is *reducible* if there exists a partition $V = A \sqcup B$ into non-empty disjoint subsets A and B such that every vertex from A is adjacent to every vertex from B in Γ . Otherwise, Γ is said to be *irreducible*. Alternatively, Γ is irreducible if and only if the *complement graph* Γ^c is connected (recall that Γ^c is defined by $V\Gamma^c := V$ and $E\Gamma^c := (V \times V) \setminus E$).

Every finite graph Γ can be decomposed into irreducible subgraphs; this means that there is a partition $V = U_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup U_k$, where $U_i \neq \emptyset$, Γ_{U_i} is irreducible for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and for any pair of indices $i \neq j$, every vertex of U_i is adjacent with every vertex of U_j in Γ (this corresponds to the decomposition of Γ^c into the union of its connected components). Using this we obtain the *standard factorization* of the right angled Artin group $A = A(\Gamma)$:

$$
A = A_0 \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_l,
$$

where A_0 is a free abelian group (i.e., the right angled Artin group corresponding to a complete subgraph of Γ) and each A_i , $i = 1, ..., l$, is a right angled Artin group corresponding to a full irreducible subgraph Γ_i , of Γ , with $|V\Gamma_i| \geq 2$. We will say that A_0 is the *abelian factor* of A and A_1, \ldots, A_l are the *irreducible factors* of A. Note that A_0 is central in A by definition (in fact A_0 coincides with the center of A, which, for example, follows from Lemma [9.4](#page-47-0) below).

The following fact was proved in [[4](#page-58-8), Corollary 3.15]:

Lemma 9.2. Let Γ be a finite irreducible graph and let $A = A(\Gamma)$ be the *associated right angled Artin group. Suppose that* $H \leq A$ *and* $N \leq H$ *is a non-trivial normal subgroup of H. If* $Pc_{\Gamma}(H) = A$ *then* $Pc_{\Gamma}(N) = A$ *.*

We will also need the following statement, which is a special case of $[39, 10]$ $[39, 10]$ $[39, 10]$ Corollary 6.20].

Lemma 9.3. Let $A = A(\Gamma)$ be a right angled Artin group corresponding to *some finite irreducible graph* Γ *with* $|V\Gamma| \geq 2$ *. Then A acts simplicially and coboundedly by isometries on a simplicial tree* T *so that the following holds. For any subgroup* $H \leq A$ *with* $\text{Pc}_{\Gamma}(H) = A$ *one has* $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{WPD}}(A, \mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset$.

Note that the geometric realization of a simplicial tree is 0-hyperbolic. Therefore, Lemma [9.3](#page-47-1) shows that the theory which we developed in Section [7](#page-36-0) can be applied to any such H .

Lemma 9.4. Let Γ be a finite irreducible graph and let $A = A(\Gamma)$ be the *corresponding right angled Artin group. Suppose that* $H \leq A$ *is a non-cyclic subgroup such that* $Pc_{\Gamma}(H) = A$ *. Then* H *has trivial center and there is* $h \in$ $H \setminus \{1\}$ such that $E_A(h) = \langle h \rangle \subseteq H$, where the subgroup $E_A(h) \leq A$ is defined *as in Remark* [2.10](#page-11-3)*.*

Proof. Since H is not cyclic, $|V\Gamma| > 2$, and so we can apply Lemma [9.3](#page-47-1) to find a simplicial tree $\mathcal T$ such that A acts on $\mathcal T$ isometrically and coboundedly, and $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(A, \mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset$. Recall that right angled Artin groups are torsion-free, hence $E_A(H) = \{1\}$ (see Lemma [5.6\)](#page-30-0) and H is non-elementary (because it is not cyclic, and a torsion-free elementary group is cyclic). Therefore we can apply Lemma [5.12](#page-32-0) to find an infinite order element $h \in H$ such that $E_A(h) = \langle h \rangle$. Moreover, by Lemma [5.8,](#page-30-1) there is an element $g \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(A, \mathcal{T})$ such that g is not commensurable with h in A. In view of Remark [2.11,](#page-11-2) the latter implies that $E_A(h) \cap E_A(g) = \{1\}$. Since this intersection contains the center of H, H must be centerless. \Box

The following simple observation will be useful:

Remark 9.5. If H is a free abelian group then the only commensurating endomorphisms of H are endomorphisms of the form $h \mapsto h^s$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and for all $h \in H$.

We can now prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0). Choose a finite graph Γ , with the smallest possible $|V\Gamma|$, so that the corresponding right angled Artin group $A = A(\Gamma)$ contains (an isomorphic copy of) H. Let $A = A_0 \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_l$ be the standard factorization of A, where A_0 is the abelian factor of A and A_1, \ldots, A_l are the irreducible factors of A. Observe that A_0 is a finitely generated free abelian group and $l \geq 1$ as H is non-abelian. Let $\rho_i: A \to A_i$ denote the canonical retraction (in other words, ρ_i is the *i*-th coordinate projection), $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l$.

Note that for every $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$, the image $\rho_i(H)$ cannot be isomorphic to a subgroup of a right angled Artin group G whose rank is strictly smaller than the rank of A_i . Indeed, otherwise H would embed into the direct product

$$
P := A_0 \times A_1 \times A_{i-1} \times G \times A_{i+1} \times \cdots \times A_l,
$$

which would be a right angled Artin group of smaller rank than A, contradicting the choice of Γ . It follows that for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, $\rho_i(H)$ cannot be cyclic (as the rank of A_i is at least 2 by the definition of irreducible factors) and the parabolic closure of $\rho_i(H)$ in A_i is A_i .

One can also deduce that $N_i := H \cap A_i \prec H$ is non-trivial whenever $i = 1, \ldots, l$, because otherwise H would embed into the direct product of $A_0 \times A_1 \times A_{i-1} \times A_{i+1} \times \cdots \times A_l$, which is a right angled Artin group of smaller rank than A. Observe that $N_i = \rho_i(N_i) \langle \rho_i(H) \rangle$, hence $\text{Per}_i(N_i) = A_i$ by Lemma [9.2,](#page-47-2) where Γ_i is the full irreducible subgraph of Γ corresponding to A_i , $i = 1, \ldots, l$. Moreover, N_i cannot be cyclic in view of Lemma [9.1.](#page-46-1)(i) as the center of $\rho_i(H)$ is trivial by Lemma [9.4.](#page-47-0) Hence we can apply Lemma [9.4](#page-47-0) to A_i and N_i in to find an element $h_i \in N_i \setminus \{1\}$ such that $E_{A_i}(h_i) = \langle h_i \rangle \subseteq N_i, i = 1, \ldots, l$.

Now consider any commensurating endomorphism $\varphi: H \to H$. For each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, l\}$ let $B_i \lhd A$ denote the product of all $A_i, j \neq i$; thus $A = A_i B_i \cong$ $A_i \times B_i$ and $B_i = \ker \rho_i$. By the hypothesis, for any $g \in H \cap B_i$, $\varphi(g) \in H$ and $\varphi(g)^m = u g^n u^{-1} \in B_i$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $u \in A$. And since $A/B_i \cong A_i$ is torsion-free, we can conclude that $\varphi(g) \in B_i$. The latter shows that φ preserves the kernel of the restriction of ρ_i to H, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l$. Therefore φ naturally induces an endomorphism $\varphi_i : \rho_i(H) \to \rho_i(H)$ for $i = 0, 1, ..., l$, defined by the formula $\varphi_i(\rho_i(g)) := \rho_i(\varphi(g))$ for all $g \in H$.

Evidently, φ_i will be a commensurating endomorphism of $\rho_i(H)$ for each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l$. Therefore, according to Remark [9.5,](#page-48-0) there must exist $s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\varphi_0(a) = a^s$ for all $a \in \rho_0(H)$. On the other hand, if $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$, we can recall that A_i is an irreducible factor of A and $\rho_i(H)$ is a non-elementary subgroup of A_i such that the parabolic closure of $\rho_i(H)$ in A_i is A_i . Therefore, in view of Lemma 9.3 , all the assumptions of Theorem [7.1](#page-36-1) are satisfied, hence there exists $w_i \in A_i$ such that $\varphi_i(a) = w_i a w_i^{-1}$ for all $a \in \rho_i(H)$ (here we used the fact that $E_{A_i}(\rho_i(H)) = \{1\}$ as A_i is torsion-free), $i = 1, \ldots, l$.

Let $\psi \in \text{Inn}(A)$ be the inner automorphism defined by $\psi(g) := wgw^{-1}$ for all $g \in A$, where $w := w_1 \cdots w_l \in A$. Let us show that the endomorphism φ is actually the restriction of ψ to H. The preceding paragraph implies that this is true if the abelian factor A_0 is trivial, because in this case for every $g \in H$ one would have $g = \rho_1(g) \cdots \rho_l(g)$, and so

$$
\varphi(g) = \rho_1(\varphi(g)) \dots \rho_l(\varphi(g))
$$

= $\varphi_1(\rho_1(g)) \dots \varphi_l(\rho_l(g))$
= $\rho_1(g)^{w_1} \dots \rho_l(g)^{w_l}$
= g^w .

On the other hand, if A_0 is non-trivial, then $N_0 := H \cap A_0$ is also non-trivial (by the minimality of the rank of A). So, pick any $h_0 \in N_0 \setminus \{1\}$. Let $h_1 \in N_1 = H \cap A_1$ be the element constructed above. Since φ is commensurating and $h_0h_1 \in H$, there must exist $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $u \in H$ such that

$$
\varphi(h_0h_1)^m = u(h_0h_1)^n u^{-1} = h_0^n u_1 h_1^n u_1^{-1}, \quad \text{where } u_1 := \rho_1(u) \in A_1.
$$

But we also have $\varphi(h_0 h_1) = \varphi_0(h_0) \varphi_1(h_1) = h_0^s w_1 h_1 w_1^{-1}$. Therefore

$$
h_0^{sm} w_1 h_1^m w_1^{-1} = h_0^n u_1 h_1^n u_1^{-1}.
$$

Applying ρ_0 and ρ_1 to the above equation we obtain

$$
h_0^{sm} = h_0^n \quad \text{and} \quad u_1^{-1} w_1 h_1^m w_1^{-1} u_1 = h^n.
$$

The former yields that $n = sm$; and the latter shows that $u_1^{-1}w_1 \in E_{A_1}(h_1)$ $\langle h_1 \rangle$, in particular this element commutes with h_1 . Thus $h_1^m = h_1^n$, and so $m = n$. Consequently, $s = 1$, which implies that $\varphi(g) = wgw^{-1} = \psi(g)$ for all $g \in H$. If $w \in H$ then the proof would have been finished. However, this may not be the case, so one more step is needed.

Let $h_i \in N_i = H \cap A_i$, $i = 1, ..., l$, be the elements constructed above so that $E_{A_i}(h_i) = \langle h_i \rangle \subseteq H$, and set $h := h_1 \cdots h_l \in H$. By the assumption, there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $u \in H$ such that $\varphi(h)^m = uh^n u^{-1}$. On the other hand, we know that $\varphi(h) = whw^{-1}$. Combining these two equalities one gets $wh^mw^{-1} = uh^nu^{-1}$ in A. Applying ρ_i yields that $u_i^{-1}w_i \in E_{A_i}(h_i) = \langle h_i \rangle$, where $u_i := \rho_i(u) \in A_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, l$. It follows that for every $i = 1, \ldots, l$, there exists $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $w_i = u_i h_i^{t_i}$ in A_i . Thus, denoting $u_0 := \rho_0(u) \in A_0$, we achieve

$$
w = w_1 \dots w_l = u_1 h_1^{t_1} \dots u_l h_l^{t_l} = u_0^{-1} u h_1^{t_1} \dots h_l^{t_l} = u_0^{-1} v,
$$

where the element $v := uh_1^{t_1} \cdots h_l^{t_l}$ $\mu_l^{(l)}$ belongs to H by construction. Since $u_0 \in A_0$ is central in A, we see that $\varphi(g) = wgw^{-1} = vgv^{-1}$ for all $g \in H$, thus φ is indeed an inner automorphism of H .

Remark 9.6. The claim of Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) would be no longer true if one dropped the assumption that the ambient right angled Artin group is finitely generated. Indeed, let G be the direct product of infinitely (countably) many copies of the free group of rank 2. Then G is a normal subgroup in the cartesian (i.e., unrestricted) product P of these free groups and any inner automorphism of P induces a pointwise inner automorphism of G . It follows that G has uncountably many pointwise inner (hence, commensurating) but non-inner automorphisms.

10. Criteria for residual finiteness of outer automorphism groups

Recall that, given a group G , the *profinite topology* on G is the topology whose basic open sets are cosets to normal subgroups of finite index in G . It is easy to see that group operations and group homomorphisms are continuous with respect to this topology. In particular, G, equipped with this topology, is a topological group. One can also observe that the profinite topology is Hausdorff if and only if

 ${1}$ is a closed subset of G if and only if G is residually finite. It follows that any finite subset of a residually finite group is closed (in the profinite topology).

If $N \triangleleft G$, then G/N is residually finite if and only if N is closed in G. Thus if G is residually finite and $|N| < \infty$ then G/N is also residually finite. Finally, residual finiteness is preserved under taking subgroups or overgroups of finite index.

Remark 10.1. Suppose that G is a group and for every $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ there is a homomorphism ψ from G to a residually finite group K such that $\psi(g) \neq 1$. Then G is residually finite.

In this section we discuss various conditions one can impose on G to ensure residual finiteness of $Out(G)$. One set of conditions is given by Grossman's criterion [[21](#page-59-2)], mentioned in the Introduction. In particular, since any pointwise inner automorphism is commensurating, we can combine this criterion with Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) to obtain

Corollary 10.2. Let G be a finitely generated conjugacy separable subgroup of a $right angled Artin group. Then Out(G) is residuals in the interval f in$

In [[38](#page-60-4), Corollary 2.1] the second author proved that groups from the class \mathcal{VR} (i.e., virtual retracts of nitely generated right angled Artin groups) are conjugacy separable. Since these groups are finitely generated (and even finitely presented), as virtual retracts of nitely presented groups, we can apply Corollary [10.2](#page-51-0) to achieve

Corollary 10.3. *If* $G \in \mathcal{VR}$ *then* $Out(G)$ *is residually finite.*

Another useful tool for establishing residual finiteness of $Out(G)$ is given by the following observation:

Lemma 10.4 ([[22](#page-59-14), Lemma 5.4]). *Suppose that* G *is a finitely generated group*, *and N is a centerless normal subgroup of finite index in G. Then some finite index subgroup* $Out_0(G) \le Out(G)$ *is isomorphic to a quotient of a subgroup of* Out(N) by a finite normal subgroup. In particular, if Out(N) is residually finite *then so is* $Out(G)$ *.*

For our purposes we will also need a criterion (see Proposition [10.6](#page-52-0) below) which applies when the center of N is non-trivial.

Given a subgroup $H \leq G$, define

$$
Aut(G; H) := \{ \alpha \in Aut(G) \mid \alpha(H) = H \} \leq Aut(G),
$$

and let $Out(G; H)$ be its image in $Out(G)$. Since a finitely generated group contains only finitely many subgroups of any given finite index, the following observation can be made:

Remark 10.5. If G is a finitely generated group and $H \leq G$ has finite index then $|\text{Aut}(G): \text{Aut}(G; H)| < \infty$ and $|\text{Out}(G): \text{Out}(G; H)| < \infty$.

If Q is an *abelian* group and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $Q^n := \{z^n \mid z \in Q\}$ is called a *congruence subgroup* of Q . Clearly, every finite index subgroup of Q contains Q^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If, additionally, Q is finitely generated, then $|Q: Q^n| < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence the profinite topology of Q is generated by the congruence subgroups. It follows that for any fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the profinite topology on Q is also generated by the collection $\{Q^{mn} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

Let us also specify some notation. If x, y are elements of a group G , we will write x^y for the conjugate yxy^{-1} and $[x, y]$ for the commutator $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. If $E \subseteq G$ then E^y and $[E, x]$ will denote the subsets $\{e^y \mid e \in E\} \subseteq G$ and $\{[e, x] \mid e \in E\} \subseteq G$ respectively.

Proposition 10.6. Let G be a finitely generated group, let $N \leq G$ be a normal subgroup of finite index such that the center $Z = Z(N)$, of N, is finitely gener*ated. Suppose that* $Out(G/Z)$ *is residually finite and there is* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $Out(G/Z^{mn})$ *is residually finite for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then Out(G) *is also residually* $finite.$

Proof. In view of Remark [10.5](#page-51-1) and since Out($G: N$) \le Out($G: Z$) (because Z is a characteristic subgroup of N), it is enough to prove that $Out(G; Z)$ is residually finite. So, consider any $\alpha \in Aut(G; Z) \setminus Inn(G)$ (note that $Inn(G) \le Aut(G; Z)$) as $Z \triangleleft G$) and let $\bar{\alpha} \in Out(G; Z)$ denote its image in Out(G).

Note that $\alpha(Z^n) = Z^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence α naturally induces an automorphism of G/Z^n (as it permutes the cosets of Z^n in G). This gives rise to the following commutative diagram between automorphism groups:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Aut}(G;Z) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(G/Z^n; Z/Z^n) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(G/Z) \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\text{Out}(G;Z) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(G/Z^n; Z/Z^n) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(G/Z)\n\end{array}
$$

In view of the assumptions and Remark [10.1,](#page-51-2) to prove the proposition it is enough to show that there exists $s \in \{1\} \cup mN$ such that the image of $\bar{\alpha}$ in $Out(G/Z^s)$ (coming from the commutative diagram above) is non-trivial.

If α induces a non-inner automorphism of G/Z , then the image of $\bar{\alpha}$ will be non-trivial in Out(G/Z). Thus, we can now suppose that α induces an inner automorphism of G/Z . This means that we can replace α by its composition with an inner automorphism of G (this does not affect $\bar{\alpha}$) to further assume that α induces the identity on G/Z . In other words, $\alpha(g)g^{-1} \in Z$ for all $g \in G$.

Choose a finite generating set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ of G. Then for every $i = 1, \ldots, k$, there is $z_i \in Z$ such that $\alpha(x_i) = z_i x_i$. Let C be the full preimage in G of the center $Z(G/Z)$, and set $C_1 := C \cap N$.

Let $P = G \times \cdots \times G$ be the k-th direct power of G, let $Q = Z \times \cdots \times Z \leq P$ be the k-th direct power of Z, and let $D := \{(g, \ldots, g) | g \in G\} \leq P$ be the corresponding diagonal subgroup of P.

Observe that for any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, α induces an inner automorphism of G/Z^n if and only if there exists $a \in C$ such that $\alpha(x_i) \equiv ax_ia^{-1} \pmod{Z^n}$ for every $i = 1, ..., k$. The latter equality can be re-written as $z_i \equiv [a, x_i] \pmod{Z^n}$ in G. Thus α induces an inner automorphism of G/Z^n if and only if $(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \in$ $[E, (x_1, \ldots, x_k)] \pmod{Q^n}$, where $E := (C \times \cdots \times C) \cap D \leq P$. Note that $[E, (x_1, \ldots, x_k)] \subseteq Q$ as $[a, g] \in Z$ for all $a \in C$, $g \in G$, by the definition of C.

Observe that the subgroup $E_1 := (C_1 \times \cdots \times C_1) \cap D \leq Q$ has finite index in E (because $|C : C_1| < \infty$). Moreover, if $c, c' \in C_1$ then $[cc', g] = [c, g][c', g]$ for any $g \in G$. This can be derived from the commutator identities, because [c, g], [c', g] $\in Z$, and Z is an abelian subgroup centralized by $C_1 \le N$. It follows that $[E_1, (x_1, \ldots, x_k)]$ is actually a subgroup of the finitely generated abelian group Q. Therefore, $[E_1, (x_1, \ldots, x_k)]$ is closed in the profinite topology of Q. (in fact any subgroup $H \leq Q$ is closed because the quotient Q/H is again a finitely generated abelian group, and so it is residually finite as a direct sum of cyclic groups).

By construction, there exist $e_1, \ldots, e_l \in E$ such that $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} e_j E_1$. Utilizing commutator identities once again, we get

$$
[E, (x_1, \ldots, x_k)] = \bigcup_{j=1}^l [E_1, (x_1, \ldots, x_k)]^{e_j} [e_j, (x_1, \ldots, x_k)].
$$

This shows that $[E, (x_1, \ldots, x_k)]$ is also a closed subset of Q, as finite union of closed subsets. Recall, that $\alpha \notin \text{Inn}(G)$, therefore $(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \notin [E, (x_1, \ldots, x_k)]$ in Q. It follows that we can find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \notin [E, (x_1, \ldots, x_k)]$ (mod Q^{mn}). The latter demonstrates that α induces a non-inner automorphism of G/Z^{mn} , which finishes the proof of the proposition.

Remark 10.7. The proof of Proposition 10.6 actually shows that if G is a finitely generated group and $N \leq G$ is a finite index normal subgroup such that the center Z, of N, is finitely generated then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, Out $(G; Z)$ embeds into the cartesian product $Out(G/Z) \times \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Out(G/Z^{mn}).$

11. Residual niteness of outer automorphism groups of groups from AVR

In this section we will prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0) In view of Corollary [10.3](#page-51-3) and Lemma 10.4 , essentially it remains to deal with the case when a finite index normal subgroup $N \in \mathcal{VR}$ of a group $G \in \mathcal{AVR}$ has non-trivial center.

Lemma 11.1. Let A be the right angled Artin group corresponding to a finite graph Γ and let $A = A_0 \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_l$ be its standard factorization, where A_0 *is the abelian factor and* A_1, \ldots, A_l *are the irreducible factors of A. Suppose that* $H \leq A$ *is a subgroup such that* $\text{Pc}_{\Gamma}(H) = A$ *and* $\rho_i(H)$ *is not cyclic, for each* $i = 1, \ldots, l$, where $\rho_i: A \to A_i$ denotes the canonical retraction. Then the center *of* H *is equal to the intersection of* H *with* A_0 *.*

Proof. Consider any $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$ and observe that if $\rho_i(H)$ is contained in a proper parabolic subgroup $a B_i a^{-1}$ of A_i (where $a \in A_i$ and B_i is a full subgroup of A_i , and, hence, of A), then H is contained in the subgroup $a(A_0A_1 \ldots A_{i-1}B_iA_{i+1} \ldots A_l)a^{-1}$, which is a proper parabolic subgroup of A, contradicting the assumption that $Pc_{\Gamma}(H) = A$. Therefore the parabolic closure of $\rho_i(H)$ in A_i is the whole of A_i , $i = 1, \ldots, l$.

Let Z denote the center of H. Then $\rho_i(Z)$ is contained in the center of $\rho_i(H)$, which is trivial for $i = 1, ..., l$, by Lemma [9.4.](#page-47-0) Thus $\rho_i(Z) = \{1\}$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, which implies that $Z \leq A_0$. Evidently, $H \cap A_0 \leq Z$ because A_0 is central in A, hence $Z = H \cap A_0$, as claimed.

It is not difficult to see that the class \mathcal{VR} is closed under taking subgroups of finite index (see $[38,$ $[38,$ $[38,$ Remark 9.4]). To prove the main result of this section we will also need the fact that this class is closed under taking quotients by the center:

Proposition 11.2. Let C be a finitely generated right Angled Artin group, *let* $H \leq C$ *be an arbitrary subgroup and let* Z *be the center of* H.

- (a) *For any subgroup* $Z_1 \leq Z$, Z_1 *is finitely generated and the quotient* H/Z_1 i *s* residually finite.
- (b) If H is a virtual retract of C then $H/Z \in \mathcal{VR}$.

Proof. Since C has finite rank, there exists a right angled Artin subgroup $A \leq C$ which contains H and has minimal rank (among all such subgroups of C). Let Γ be the finite simplicial graph corresponding to A and let $A = A_0 \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_l$ be the standard factorization of A, where A_0 is the abelian factor and A_1, \ldots, A_l are the irreducible factors of A. If $l = 0$ then the groups H and $A = A_0$ are free abelian of finite rank, hence both statements are evidently true. Therefore we can assume that $l \geq 1$. Let $\rho_i: A \to A_i$ denote the canonical projection of A onto A_i , $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l.$

We remark that $Pc_{\Gamma}(H) = A$, by the choice of A. If $\rho_i(H)$ is a cyclic subgroup B of A_i for some $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$, then H embeds into the subgroup $P \leq A$ where

$$
P := A_0 A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_l \cong A_0 \times A_1 \times \dots \times A_{i-1} \times B \times A_{i+1} \times \dots \times A_l,
$$

which is a right angled Artin group of strictly smaller rank than A , contradicting the choice of A. Therefore we can conclude that $\rho_i(H)$ is non-cyclic for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$. Thus we are able to apply Lemma [11.1,](#page-53-1) claiming that $Z = H \cap A_0$.

Consider any subgroup $Z_1 \leq Z \leq A_0$. Since A_0 is a finitely generated abelian group we see that Z_1 is also finitely generated. Moreover, the quotient H/Z_1 naturally embeds into the quotient $A/Z_1 \cong A_0/Z_1 \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_l$. Therefore A/Z_1 (and hence H/Z_1) is residually finite, as a direct product of residually finite groups: A_0/Z_1 is a finitely generated abelian group and A_i , $i = 1, ..., l$, are right angled Artin groups, whose residual finiteness is well-known (see $[19,$ $[19,$ $[19,$ Chapter 3, Theorem 1.1] or $[28,$ $[28,$ $[28,$ Corollary 3.5]). Thus (a) is proved.

To prove (b) assume that H is a virtual retract of C. This implies that for any subgroup $D \leq C$ such that $H \subseteq D$, H is a virtual retract of D. In particular, H will also be a virtual retract of A. Thus A contains a finite index subgroup K such that $H \subseteq K$ and there is a retraction $\theta: K \to H$. Since A_0 is central in A, $K \cap A_0$ is central in K, and so $\theta(K \cap A_0) \subseteq Z$.

Consider the canonical projection $\xi: A \to A/A_0 \cong A_1 \times \cdots \times A_l$, and observe that

$$
\theta(K \cap \ker \xi) = \theta(K \cap A_0) \subseteq Z = H \cap A_0 \subseteq K \cap \ker \xi.
$$

It follows (see [[38](#page-60-4), Lemma 4.1]) that θ naturally induces a retraction $\bar{\theta}$ of $\xi(K)$ onto its subgroup $\xi(H)$. Thus $\xi(H)$ is a retract of $\xi(K)$, and the latter has finite index in the finitely generated right angled Artin group A/A_0 , because $|A: K| < \infty$. It remains to recall that $H \cap \ker \xi = H \cap A_0 = Z$, hence $\xi(H) \cong H/Z$. Thus $H/Z \in \mathcal{VR}$, and the proposition is proved.

Remark 11.3. (1) Part (a) of Proposition [11.2](#page-54-0) can actually be derived from more general results. Indeed, it is known that the finitely generated right angled Artin group C can be embedded into $GL_k(\mathbb{Z})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore every solvable subgroup $B \le H \le GL_k(\mathbb{Z})$ is polycyclic (hence, finitely generated) and is closed in the profinite topology of $GL_k(\mathbb{Z})$ by a result of Segal [[46](#page-61-5), 4.C, Theorem 5]. Since the profinite topology of H is finer than the topology induced by the profinite topology of $GL_k(\mathbb{Z})$, we can conclude that B is closed in H.

(2) Since right angled Artin groups are CAT(0), it is easy to prove a weaker version of Proposition [11.2.](#page-54-0)(b), that $H/Z \in \mathcal{AVR}$, using the Flat Torus Theorem $[11, II.7.1. (5)].$ $[11, II.7.1. (5)].$ $[11, II.7.1. (5)].$

Combining Proposition [11.2](#page-54-0) with Lemma [9.1.](#page-46-1)(ii) one immediately obtains

Corollary 11.4. *Suppose that* $H \in \mathcal{VR}$ *and* Z *is the center of* H *. Then the group* H=Z *is centerless and belongs to* VR*.*

Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0). Let G be any group from the class AVR. This means that G contains a finite index subgroup $H \in \mathcal{VR}$; in particular, G is finitely generated. Note that $N := \bigcap_{g \in G} H^g$ is a finite index normal subgroup of G, and $N \in \mathcal{VR}$ because the class \tilde{v} R is closed under taking finite index subgroups.

Let Z denote the center of N . We are going to check that all the assumptions of the criterion from Proposition 10.6 are satisfied. First, the fact that Z is finitely generated follows from Proposition [11.2.](#page-54-0)(a). Second, take any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and note that $N/Zⁿ$ is residually finite, also by Proposition [11.2.](#page-54-0)(a). Hence there is a finite index normal subgroup $M \triangleleft N/Z^n$ such that M has trivial intersection with the finite subgroup Z/Z^n in N/Z^n . Again, we can replace M with the intersection of all its conjugates in G/Z^n to further assume that $M \lhd G/Z^n$.

By construction, M injects into the quotient N/Z under the natural epimorphism $N/Z^n \to N/Z$. Let $\overline{M} \cong M$ denote the image of M in $N/Z \le G/Z$. Since $N/Z \in \mathcal{VR}$ by Proposition [11.2.](#page-54-0)(b) and \overline{M} has finite index in N/Z , we see that $\overline{M} \in \mathcal{VR}$. Hence, according to Corollary [10.3,](#page-51-3) Out $(\overline{M}) \cong$ Out (M) is residually finite. Moreover, since the center of $N/Z \in \mathcal{VR}$ is trivial (Lemma [9.1.](#page-46-1)(ii)), the center of $Z(\overline{M})$ must be trivial as well (because $Z(\overline{M})$ is an abelian normal subgroup of N/Z and so it is central in N/Z by Lemma [9.1.](#page-46-1)(i)). Therefore $M \cong \overline{M}$ is a centerless finite index normal subgroup in $G/Zⁿ$ with a residually finite outer automorphism group. Consequently, Lemma 10.4 yields that $Out(G/Zⁿ)$ is residually finite. Since this works for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that all the assumptions of Proposition [10.6](#page-52-0) are satisfied. It remains to apply this proposition to conclude that $Out(G)$ is residually finite, which finishes the proof of the theorem. \Box

12. Outer automorphisms of 3**-manifold groups**

This last section of the paper is dedicated to proving Theorem [1.3.](#page-3-0) We start with the following lemma, which allows to deal with the Seifert fibered case.

Lemma 12.1. *Suppose that* G *is a finitely generated group containing a finite index subgroup* H *that fits into the short exact sequence*

 $\{1\} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow \{1\},\$

where K *is a cyclic group and* L *has a nite index subgroup which embeds into the fundamental group of a compact surface. Then* $Out(G)$ *is residually finite.*

Proof. Since K is cyclic, its automorphism group is finite. Moreover, H acts on K by conjugation because $K \triangleleft H$, and the kernel of this action is the centralizer of K in H. It follows that $|H : C_H(K)| < \infty$. Combining this with the other assumptions on G and H, we can find a finite index normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ such that $N \leq H$, $Z := N \cap K$ is central in N and N/Z is a subgroup of some compact surface group. Note that N is finitely generated, as this is true for G by the hypothesis, hence the quotient N/Z is itself isomorphic to the fundamental group of some compact surface Σ (because any finitely generated subgroup of a surface group is itself a surface group). Evidently we can also assume that Σ is orientable. It follows that $N/Z \cong \pi_1(\Sigma)$ is either abelian (isomorphic to $\{1\}$, \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2) or is non-elementary torsion-free hyperbolic. In the former case, N is polycyclic, hence G is virtually polycyclic and so $Out(G)$ is residually finite (according to a theorem of Wehrfritz [[50](#page-61-6)], $Out(G)$ is linear over \mathbb{Z}). Thus we can assume that N/Z is a torsion-free hyperbolic group, which, in particular, implies that it is centerless and so the cyclic subgroup Z is equal to the center of N .

Now, in order to apply Proposition [10.6,](#page-52-0) we check that $Out(G/Zⁿ)$ is residually finite for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, observe that Z/Z^n is a finite central subgroup of N/Z^n such that the quotient $\left(\frac{N}{Z^n}\right) / \left(\frac{Z}{Z^n}\right) \cong \frac{N}{Z}$ is isomorphic to the surface group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. It follows that N/Z^n possesses a finite index normal subgroup $M \le N/Z^n$ which intersects Z/Z^n trivially (see [[34](#page-60-16), Lemma 4.2]). Thus the image \overline{M} , of M in N/Z , is naturally isomorphic to M and has finite index in $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. Consequently, \overline{M} is itself isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact orientable surface, which finitely covers Σ . By Grossman's theorem [[21](#page-59-2)], $Out(M) \cong Out(\overline{M})$ is residually finite; moreover, $M \cong \overline{M}$ is centerless because it is a non-elementary torsion-free hyperbolic group (as it has finite index in N/Z). Since M has finite index in G/Z^n , Lemma [10.4](#page-51-4) implies that Out (G/Z^n) is residually finite for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore we can use Proposition [10.6](#page-52-0) to conclude that $Out(G)$ is residually finite.

One of the main ingredients of the proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-3-0) is the following beautiful result of Hamilton, Wilton and Zalesskii, which is based on the deep work of Wise [[51](#page-61-1)] and Agol [[1](#page-58-10)] mentioned in the Introduction.

Theorem 12.2 ([[26](#page-59-8), Theorem 1.3]). *If* M *is a compact orientable* 3*-manifold, then* $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$ *is conjugacy separable.*

The other ingredient comes from the following trichotomy, established by the second author and Osin:

Theorem 12.3 ([[39](#page-60-7), Theorem 5.6]). *Let* M *be a compact* 3*-manifold and let* H *be a subgroup of* $\pi_1(M)$ *. Then exactly one of the following three conditions holds.*

- (I) *H* is acylindrically hyperbolic with $E_H(H) = \{1\}$;
- (II) H *contains an infinite cyclic normal subgroup* K *such that* H/K *is virtually a subgroup of the fundamental group of a compact surface;*
- (III) H *is virtually polycyclic.*

Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-3-0). By the assumptions $H := \pi_1(\mathcal{M})$ has finite index in G, thus both H and G are finitely generated because M is compact. Moreover, after replacing H with some finite index subgroup, we can assume that $H \triangleleft G$.

If H is virtually polycyclic then so is G , hence $Out(G)$ is residually finite by Wehrfritz's theorem $[50]$ $[50]$ $[50]$. If H satisfies condition (II) of Theorem [12.3](#page-57-0) then $Out(G)$ is residually finite by Lemma [12.1.](#page-56-1)

Thus, in view of Theorem 12.3 , we can assume that H is acylindrically hyperbolic and $E_H(H) = \{1\}$. Therefore we can apply Corollary [1.5,](#page-4-0) stating that every pointwise inner automorphism of H is inner. Recall that H is finitely generated and conjugacy separable by Theorem [12.2,](#page-57-1) hence $Out(H)$ is residually finite by Grossman's criterion [[21](#page-59-2), Theorem 1].

It remains to observe that the center $Z(H)$, of H, is finite because H is acylindrically hyperbolic (see [[42](#page-60-6), Corollary 4.34]), hence $Z(H) \le E_H(H) =$ $\{1\}$, i.e., H is centerless. Consequently, Lemma [10.4](#page-51-4) implies that Out(G) is residually finite. \Box

References

- [1] I. Agol, The virtual Haken conjecture. *Doc. Math.* **18** (2013), 1045–1087. With an appendix by I. Agol, D. Groves, and J. Manning. [Zbl 1286.57019](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1286.57019) [MR 3104553](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3104553)
- [2] R. B. J. T. Allenby, G. Kim, and C. Y. Tang, Outer automorphism groups of certain orientable Seifert 3-manifold groups. In B. Fine, A. M. Gaglione, and D. Spellman (eds.), *Combinatorial group theory, discrete groups, and number theory.* (Fairfield, CT, 2004 and Annandale-On-Hudson, N.Y., 2005.) Contemporary Mathematics, 421. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2006, 15–22. [Zbl 1125.20024](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1125.20024) [MR 2303821](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2303821)
- [3] R. B. J. T. Allenby, G. Kim, and C. Y. Tang, Outer automorphism groups of Seifert 3manifold groups over non-orientable surfaces. *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), no. 4, 957–968. [Zbl 1192.57002](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1192.57002) [MR 2537665](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2537665)
- [4] Y. Antolín and A. Minasyan, Tits alternatives for graph products. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **704** (2015), 55–83. [Zbl 06455992](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:06455992) [MR 3365774](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3365774)
- [5] G. Arzhantseva, A. Minasyan, and D. Osin, The SQ-universality and residual properties of relatively hyperbolic groups. *J. Algebra* **315** (2007), no. 1, 165–177. [Zbl 1132.20022](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1132.20022) [MR 2344339](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2344339)
- [6] M. Aschenbrenner, S. Friedl, and H. Wilton, 3*-manifold groups.* EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2015. [Zbl 1326.57001](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1326.57001) [MR 3444187](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3444187)
- [7] A. Baudisch, Subgroups of semifree groups. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **38** (1981), no. 1-4, 19–28. [Zbl 0417.20033](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0417.20033) [MR 0634562](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0634562)
- [8] G. Baumslag, Automorphism groups of residually nite groups. *J. London Math. Soc.* **38** (1963), 117–118. [Zbl 0124.26003](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0124.26003) [MR 0146271](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0146271)
- [9] M. Bestvina and K. Fujiwara, Bounded cohomology of subgroups of mapping class groups. *Geom. Topol.* **6** (2002), 69–89. [Zbl 1021.57001](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1021.57001) [MR 1914565](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1914565)
- [10] B. Bowditch, Tight geodesics in the curve complex. *Invent. Math.* **171** (2008), no. 2, 281–300. [Zbl 1185.57011](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1185.57011) [MR 2367021](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2367021)
- [11] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 319. Springer, Berlin, 1999. [Zbl 0988.53001](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0988.53001) [MR 1744486](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1744486)
- [12] I. Bumagin and D. Wise, Every group is an outer automorphism group of a finitely generated group. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **200** (2005), no. 1-2, 137–147. [Zbl 1082.20021](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1082.20021) [MR 2142354](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2142354)
- [13] W. Burnside, On the outer isomorphisms of a group. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **11** (1913), 40–42. [JFM 43.0198.03](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:43.0198.03) [MR 1577234](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1577234)
- [14] P.-E. Caprace and K. Fujiwara, Rank-one isometries of buildings and quasimorphisms of Kac–Moody groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **19** (2010), no. 5, 1296–1319. [Zbl 1206.20046](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1206.20046) [MR 2585575](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2585575)
- [15] P.-E. Caprace and A. Minasyan, On conjugacy separability of some Coxeter groups and parabolic-preserving automorphisms. *Illinois J. Math.* **57** (2013), no. 2, 499–523. [Zbl 1306.20043](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1306.20043) [MR 3263043](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3263043)
- [16] R. Charney and K. Vogtmann, Subgroups and quotients of automorphism groups of RAAGs. In M. Usher, *Low-dimensional and symplectic topology.* (Athens, GA, 2009.) Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 82. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011, 9–27. [Zbl 1235.20034](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1235.20034) [MR 2768650](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2768650)
- [17] F. Dahmani, V. Guirardel, and D. Osin, Hyperbolically embedded subgroups and rotating families in groups acting on hyperbolic spaces. To appear in *Memoirs of the AMS.* Preprint 2011. [arXiv:1111.7048v5](http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.7048v5) [math.GR]
- [18] V. V. Deodhar, On the root system of a Coxeter group. *Comm. Algebra* **10** (1982), no. 6, 611–630. [Zbl 0491.20032](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0491.20032) [MR 0647210](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0647210)
- [19] C. Droms, *Graph groups.* Ph.D. thesis, Syracuse University, Syracuse, N.Y., 1983. <http://educ.jmu.edu/~dromscg/vita/thesis/>
- [20] A. V. Goryaga, Example of a finite extension of an FAC-group that is not an FACgroup. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **27** (1986), no. 3, 203–205, 225. In Russian. [MR 0853899](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0853899)
- [21] E. Grossman, On the residual finiteness of certain mapping class groups. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **9** (1974/75), 160–164. [Zbl 0292.20032](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0292.20032) [MR 0405423](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0405423)
- [22] V. Guirardel and G. Levitt, The outer space of a free product. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **94** (2007), no. 3, 695–714. [Zbl 1168.20011](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1168.20011) [MR 2325317](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2325317)
- [23] F. Haglund and D. T. Wise, Special cube complexes. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **17** (2008), no. 5, 1551–1620. [Zbl 1155.53025](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1155.53025) [MR 2377497](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2377497)
- [24] F. Haglund and D. T. Wise, Coxeter groups are virtually special. *Adv. Math.* **224** (2010), no. 5, 1890–1903. [Zbl 1195.53055](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1195.53055) [MR 2646113](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2646113)
- [25] U. Hamenstädt, Bounded cohomology and isometry groups of hyperbolic spaces. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **10** (2008), no. 2, 315–349. [Zbl 1139.22006](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1139.22006) [MR 2390326](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2390326)
- [26] E. Hamilton, H. Wilton, and P. Zalesskii, Separability of double cosets and conjugacy classes in 3-manifold groups. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **87** (2013), no. 1, 269–288. [Zbl 1275.57031](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1275.57031) [MR 3022716](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3022716)
- [27] W. Heil, On P 2 -irreducible 3-manifolds. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969), 772–775. [Zbl 0176.21401](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0176.21401) [MR 0251731](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0251731)
- [28] T. Hsu and D. T. Wise, On linear and residual properties of graph products. *Michigan Math. J.* **46** (1999), no. 2, 251–259. [Zbl 0962.20016](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0962.20016) [MR 1704150](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1704150)
- [29] M. Hull, Small cancellation in acylindrically hyperbolic groups. To appear in *Groups Geom. Dyn.* Preprint 2013. [arXiv:1308.4345](http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.4345) [math.GR]
- [30] D. Krammer, The conjugacy problem for Coxeter groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **3** (2009), no. 1, 71–171. [Zbl 1176.20032](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1176.20032) [MR 2466021](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2466021)
- [31] A. Lubotzky, Normal automorphisms of free groups. *J. Algebra* **63** (1980), no. 2, 494–498. [Zbl 0432.20025](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0432.20025) [MR 0570726](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0570726)
- [32] A. I. Mal'cev, On homomorphisms onto finite groups. *Uchen. Zap. Ivanovskogo Gos. Ped. Inst.* **18** (1958), 49–60. In Russian. English translation, *Transl., Ser.* 2*, Am. Math. Soc.* **119** (1983), 67–79. [Zbl 0511.20026](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0511.20026)
- [33] A. I. Mal'cev, On the faithful representation of infinite groups by matrices. *Mat. Sb.*, *N. Ser.* **8**(50) (1940), no. 3, 405–422. In Russian. English translation, *Am. Math. Soc., Transl.,* II. *Ser.* **45** (1965), 1–18. [Zbl 0158.02905](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0158.02905)
- [34] A. Martino, A proof that all Seifert 3-manifold groups and all virtual surface groups are conjugacy separable. *J. Algebra* **313** (2007), no. 2, 773–781. [Zbl 1129.57002](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1129.57002) [MR 2329568](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2329568)
- [35] A. Martino and A. Minasyan, Conjugacy in normal subgroups of hyperbolic groups. *Forum Math.* **24** (2012), no. 5, 889–910. [Zbl 1270.20051](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1270.20051) [MR 2988566](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2988566)
- [36] D. McCullough, Topological and algebraic automorphisms of 3-manifolds. In R. A. Piccinini (ed.), *Groups of self-equivalences and related topics.* (Montreal, 1988.) Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1425. Springer, Berlin, 1990. 102–113. [Zbl 0713.57009](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0713.57009) [MR 1070580](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1070580)
- [37] A. Minasyan, Groups with nitely many conjugacy classes and their automorphisms. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **84** (2009), no. 2, 259–296. [Zbl 1180.20033](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1180.20033) [MR 2495795](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2495795)
- [38] A. Minasyan, Hereditary conjugacy separability of right angled Artin groups and its applications. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **6** (2012), no. 2, 335–388. [Zbl 1280.20040](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1280.20040) [MR 2914863](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2914863)
- [39] A. Minasyan and D. Osin, Acylindrical hyperbolicity of groups acting on trees. *Math. Ann.* **362** (2015), no. 3-4, 1055–1105. [Zbl 06469760](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:06469760) [MR 3368093](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3368093)
- [40] A. Minasyan and D. Osin, Normal automorphisms of relatively hyperbolic groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), no. 11, 6079–6103. [Zbl 1227.20041](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1227.20041) [MR 2661509](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2661509)
- [41] V. N. Obraztsov, Embedding into groups with well-described lattices of subgroups. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **54** (1996), no. 2, 221–240. [Zbl 0864.20018](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0864.20018) [MR 1411532](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1411532)
- [42] D. Osin, Acylindrically hyperbolic groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), no. 2, 851–888. [Zbl 06560446](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:06560446) [MR 3430352](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3430352)
- [43] D. Osin, Elementary subgroups of relatively hyperbolic groups and bounded generation. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **16** (2006), no. 1, 99–118. [Zbl 1100.20033](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1100.20033) [MR 2217644](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2217644)
- [44] D. Osin, Peripheral llings of relatively hyperbolic groups. *Invent. Math.* **167** (2007), no. 2, 295–326. [Zbl 1116.20031](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1116.20031) [MR 2270456](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2270456)
- [45] A. Yu. Olshanskii, Periodic quotients of hyperbolic groups. *Mat. Sbornik* **182** (1991), 4, 543–567. In Russian. English translation, *Math. USSR Sbornik* **72** (1992), 2, 519–541.
- [46] D. Segal, Polycyclic groups. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 82. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983. [Zbl 0516.20001](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0516.20001) [MR 0713786](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0713786)
- [47] A. Sisto, Contracting elements and random walks. To appearin *J. Reine Angew. Math.* Preprint 2011. [arXiv:1112.2666](http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.2666) [math.GT]
- [48] A. Sisto, On metric relative hyperbolicity. Preprint 2012. [arXiv:1210.8081](http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.8081) [math.GR]
- [49] F. Waldhausen, On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **87** (1968), 56–88. [Zbl 0157.30603](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0157.30603) [MR 0224099](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0224099)
- [50] B. A. F. Wehrfritz, Two remarks on polycyclic groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **26** (1994), no. 6, 543–548. [Zbl 0819.20036](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0819.20036) [MR 1315604](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1315604)
- [51] D. T. Wise, The structure of groups with a quasiconvex hierarchy. Preprint 2011. <http://www.math.mcgill.ca/wise/papers.html>

Received May 18, 2015

Yago Antolín, Vanderbilt University, 1326 Stevenson Center, Nashville, TN 37240, USA e-mail: yago.anpi@gmail.com

Ashot Minasyan, Mathematical Sciences, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

e-mail: aminasyan@gmail.com

Alessandro Sisto, Department of Mathematics, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland e-mail: sisto@math.ethz.ch