

Distal strongly ergodic actions

Eli Glasner and Benjamin Weiss

Abstract. Let η be an arbitrary countable ordinal. Using results of Bourgain, Gamburd, and Sarnak on compact systems with spectral gap, we show the existence of an action of the free group on three generators F_3 on a compact metric space X , admitting an invariant probability measure μ , such that the resulting dynamical system (X, μ, F_3) is strongly ergodic and distal of rank η . In particular, this shows that there is an F_3 system which is strongly ergodic but not compact. This result answers the open question whether such actions exist.

1. Introduction

In this note we construct a strongly ergodic, distal, non-compact system for the free group F_3 on three generators. This answers a question of Ibarlucía, Le Maitre, Tsankov, and Tucker-Drob. Moreover, we show that for an arbitrary countable ordinal η , there is a strongly ergodic, distal system of rank η .

2. Some preliminaries

2.1. Structure theory

Let Γ be a discrete countable infinite group. A Γ -dynamical system is a quadruple $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu, T)$, where (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) is a standard probability space and $\gamma \mapsto T_\gamma$ is a homomorphism from Γ into the Polish group $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ of invertible measure preserving transformation of (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) . When there is no room for confusion, we write γx instead of $T_\gamma x$. When \mathbf{X} and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu, S)$ are two dynamical systems, we say that \mathbf{Y} is a *factor* of \mathbf{X} (or that \mathbf{X} is an *extension* of \mathbf{Y}) if there is a measurable map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\pi_*(\mu) = \nu$ and such that $\pi(T_\gamma x) = S_\gamma \pi(x)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and μ almost every $x \in X$. The map π is called a *factor map* (or an *extension*).

The system \mathbf{X} is *ergodic* if every Γ -invariant set $A \in \mathcal{X}$ (i.e., $T_\gamma A = A \pmod{\mu}$) for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$) is trivial (i.e., $\mu(A)(1 - \mu(A)) = 0$).

Let \mathbf{Y} be a dynamical system and (U, \mathcal{U}, ρ) a standard probability space. Let $\alpha : \Gamma \times Y \rightarrow \text{Aut}(U, \rho)$ be a measurable cocycle; that is α satisfies the *cocycle equation*

$$\alpha(\gamma\gamma', y) = \alpha(\gamma, \gamma'y)\alpha(\gamma', y).$$

We define the *skew-product system* $\mathbf{Y} \times_{\alpha} (U, \rho)$ to be the system $(Y \times U, \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{U}, \mu \times \rho, \Gamma)$, where $\gamma(y, u) = (\gamma y, \alpha(\gamma, y)u)$. (Check that this indeed defines an action of Γ on $X = Y \times U$.) When $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ with the measure-preserving invertible map T as the generator of the \mathbb{Z} -system, a cocycle α is completely determined by the map $\alpha(y) = \alpha(T, y)$ and we have

$$\alpha(n, y) = \begin{cases} \alpha(T^{n-1}y) \cdots \alpha(Ty)\alpha(y) & \text{for } n \geq 1, \\ \text{id} & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \alpha(T^n y)^{-1} \cdots \alpha(T^{-1}y)^{-1} & \text{for } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

In the special case where U is a compact group and ρ is its normalized Haar measure, any measurable function $\alpha : Y \rightarrow U$ defines a skew product by the formula

$$T(y, u) = (Ty, \alpha(y)u), \quad y \in Y, u \in U.$$

We have the following basic theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Rohlin). *Let $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a factor map of dynamical systems with \mathbf{X} ergodic, then \mathbf{X} is isomorphic to a skew-product over \mathbf{Y} . Explicitly, there exist a standard probability space (U, \mathcal{U}, ρ) and a measurable cocycle $\alpha : \Gamma \times Y \rightarrow \text{Aut}(U, \rho)$ with $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y} \times_{\alpha} (U, \rho) = (Y \times U, \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{U}, \nu \times \rho, \Gamma)$, where $\gamma(y, u) = (\gamma y, \alpha(\gamma, y)u)$.*

The topology on $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ is induced by a complete metric

$$D(S, T) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} (\mu(SA_n \Delta TA_n) + \mu(S^{-1}A_n \Delta T^{-1}A_n)),$$

with $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a dense sequence in the measure algebra (\mathcal{X}, d_{μ}) , where $d_{\mu}(A, B) = \mu(A \Delta B)$. Equipped with this topology, $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ is a Polish topological group and we say that the dynamical system \mathbf{X} is *compact* if the image $\{T_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a precompact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$.

Example 2.2. Let $\Gamma = F_2$, the free group of rank 2. Let

$$X = \varprojlim \{ \Gamma/N : N \triangleleft \Gamma, \text{ with } [\Gamma : N] < \infty \}.$$

This is the *profinite completion* of Γ . It is a compact metrizable topological group and thus admits a unique normalized Haar measure μ . There is a canonical embedding $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ with a dense image and for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we let $T_{\gamma}x = \phi(\gamma)x, x \in X$. With \mathcal{X} the algebra of Borel subsets of $X, \mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu, T)$ is an ergodic compact F_2 dynamical system.

It turns out that, in fact, every ergodic compact Γ system \mathbf{X} has the form $X = K/H$, where K is a compact metrizable topological group, $H < K$ is a closed subgroup, μ is the induced Haar measure on X , and the action of Γ on X is via a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow K$ with dense image so that $T_{\gamma}kH = \phi(\gamma)kH, k \in K$.

The notion of compactness can now be relativized as follows.

An extension $\pi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a *compact extension* if there is a compact metrizable group K , a closed subgroup $H < K$ and a cocycle $\alpha : \Gamma \times Y \rightarrow K$ such that

$$\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y} \times_{\alpha} (K/H, \rho) = (Y \times K/H, \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{K}, \nu \times \rho, \Gamma),$$

where ρ is the Haar measure on K/H and for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma(y, kH) = (\gamma y, \alpha(\gamma, y)kH)$.

This construction can be iterated and a dynamical system \mathbf{X} is called *distal* if it is an iteration of countably many compact extensions, where in the possibly transfinite construction at a limit ordinal one takes an inverse limit. The so-called *distal tower* is unique if at each stage one takes the maximal compact extension (within \mathbf{X}). The height of this tower (a countable ordinal) is called the *rank* of the distal system \mathbf{X} , so the height of a compact action is 1.

An extension of dynamical systems $\pi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is called a *weakly mixing extension* when the corresponding relative product $(X \times_Y X, \mu \times_{\nu} \mu, \Gamma)$ is ergodic. In particular, \mathbf{X} is *weakly mixing* when the product system $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ is ergodic.

We now can state the following.

Theorem 2.3 (Furstenberg–Zimmer structure theorem). *Every ergodic system \mathbf{X} has (uniquely) a largest distal factor $\pi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and the extension π is a weakly mixing one.*

In [1] Beleznay and Foreman show that, for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, for every countable ordinal η there is an ergodic distal system of height η .

We refer, e.g., to [5] for more details on structure theory in ergodic theory.

2.2. Strong ergodicity, Kazhdan’s property and expanders

Recall that a probability measure preserving action (X, \mathcal{X}, μ, G) is called *strongly ergodic* if there is no sequence of sets $A_n \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\mu(A_n) = 1/2, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim \mu(gA_n \Delta A_n) = 0, \quad \forall g \in G.$$

(Informally, we say that \mathbf{X} admits no *almost invariant sets*.)

Theorems of Connes and Weiss [4]; Connes, Feldman, and Weiss [3]; and Schmidt [9, Theorems 2.4 and 2.5] assert that

- (i) the group G is amenable iff every nontrivial ergodic G -system is not strongly ergodic [3, 9],
- (ii) the group G has Kazhdan’s property T iff every ergodic G -system is strongly ergodic [4].

The *Cheeger constant* of a finite k -regular graph \mathcal{G} is defined as

$$h(\mathcal{G}) = \min \left\{ \frac{|\partial A|}{|A|} : A \subset V(\mathcal{G}), 0 < |A| \leq \frac{|V(\mathcal{G})|}{2} \right\}.$$

The graph \mathcal{G} is an ε -*expander* if $h(\mathcal{G}) > \varepsilon$.

A family $\{G_i\}$ of finite groups is called an *expander family* if for some k and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are generating sets Σ_i for G_i with $|\Sigma_i| \leq k$, such that all the Cayley graphs $\mathcal{G}(G_i, \Sigma_i)$ are ε -expanders.

Example 2.4. Let S be a set of elements in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If $\langle S \rangle$, the group generated by S , is a finite index subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, Selberg’s theorem [10] implies (see, e.g., [8, Theorem 4.3.2 and Example 4.3.3 (D)]) that $\mathcal{G}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), S_p)$, the Cayley graphs of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to S_p , the natural projection of S modulo p , form a family of expanders as $p \rightarrow \infty$. For example we can take

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Remark 2.5. Suppose that G is a finite group generated by $S \subset G$, such that

$$h(\mathcal{G}(G, S)) > \varepsilon.$$

Then for $A \subset G$ with $|A| \approx \frac{|G|}{2}$ we have $\partial A \geq \varepsilon|A|$ and $|SA \Delta A| \geq \varepsilon|A|$. We can interpret this as the absence of almost invariant subsets.

In our construction we will have a finite set $S \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ that generates a Zariski dense subgroup Λ and we will consider the diagonal action of Λ on products of the form

$$K_P = \prod \{SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) : p \in P\}$$

taken over an infinite set of primes P . In order to ensure that this action is strongly ergodic we need to know that finite products

$$K_F = \prod \{(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), S_p) : p \in F\},$$

where $F \subset P$ is finite and S_p is the image of S in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, form an expander family. In our situation S_p in the product will generate K_F and since such a finite product is isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)$, where $q = \prod\{p : p \in F\}$, we will need to know that the family $\{(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q), S_q)\}$ is a family of expanders. This latter fact is established in the following theorem of Bourgain, Gamburd, and Sarnak [2, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 2.6. *Let Λ be a Zariski dense subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and let S be a finite symmetric set of generators for Λ . Then for q square-free the family of Cayley graphs $\mathcal{G}(\Lambda/\Lambda(q), S)$ is an expander family.*

In a sharp contrast to the result of Belezney and Foreman [1] mentioned above, Chifan and Peterson (unpublished) and, independently, Ibarlucía and Tsankov [7] show that for Γ with Kazhdan’s property T, every distal system is already compact. (In fact, they show that every distal extension of an ergodic system is a compact extension.)

This raises the question whether a group G which is neither amenable nor a Kazhdan group can admit a strongly ergodic distal action which is not compact. In the next section we will answer this question positively for the free group on three generators $G = F_3$.

3. An example of a strongly ergodic, distal but not compact F_3 dynamical system

Our goal is to construct an F_3 action which is strongly ergodic, distal but not compact.

We want to find compact metrizable groups K and L with the following properties:

- K contains three elements $a, b,$ and c such that the subgroup $\Lambda = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ generated by them is free and dense in $K, \bar{\Lambda} = K;$
- the subgroup $\Lambda_0 = \langle a, b \rangle < \Lambda$ is also dense in $K, K = \bar{\Lambda}_0;$
- L contains two elements f, g such that the subgroup $\Sigma = \langle f, g \rangle$ generated by them is free and dense in $L, L = \bar{\Sigma}.$

Moreover, denoting by F_2, F_3 the free groups on two and three generators, respectively, all of the following actions (under left multiplication and with respect to the corresponding Haar measures) are strongly ergodic:

- (1) the action of F_3 via Λ on $K,$
- (2) the action of F_2 via Λ_0 on $K,$
- (3) the action of F_2 via Σ on $L,$
- (4) the diagonal action of F_2 via $\langle (a, f), (b, g) \rangle$ on $K \times L.$

(Of course conditions (1)–(3) follow from condition (4).)

Here is a construction of such groups.

Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ be two elementary matrices generating a free group, which we call $H,$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (it is actually of finite index). Let $a = x^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $b = y^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$ and let c be another element in H which together with a and b generate a free group Λ on 3 generators (such an element clearly exists as a and b generate a subgroup of infinite index in H). Now set

$$K = \prod \{ \Lambda_p : p \text{ prime, and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \},$$

$$L = \prod \{ \Lambda_p : p \text{ prime, and } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \},$$

where Λ_p is the image of Λ in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p).$

Note that, by the Chinese remainder theorem, as $a = x^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $b = y^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$ we have that, for any finite set of distinct primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t ($p_i \neq 2$), denoting $q = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t,$ the image of Λ_0 in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)$ is all of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q).$

The strong ergodicity of F_2 via Λ_0 on K follows from Theorem 2.6. Indeed if $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the indicator function of an almost invariant set A with measure $\approx 1/2$ in $K,$ then its projection onto a sufficiently large finite product will give rise to an almost invariant nontrivial function, contrary to the fact that the family is a family of expanders.

Of course the arguments for the actions on L and $K \times L$ are analogous.

Next let $K_1 = \overline{\langle c \rangle} < K.$ By [11] (see also [6]) there is a cocycle $\phi_0 : K_1 \rightarrow L$ such that the corresponding \mathbb{Z} -action on $K_1 \times L$ given by

$$T_c(x, y) = (cx, \phi_0(x)y), \quad x \in K_1, y \in L,$$

is ergodic. Note that, as L is non-commutative, this distal \mathbb{Z} -action is necessarily not compact. To see this recall that T_c is ergodic and if it would be compact, as is well known, its centralizer would be commutative. In fact, ergodic compact \mathbb{Z} -actions are rotations of compact monothetic groups and the centralizer is the compact group itself.

Define $\phi : K \rightarrow L$ by the formula

$$\phi(x) = \phi_0(k_t^{-1}x) \quad \text{for } x \text{ in the coset } k_t K_1,$$

where $t \mapsto k_t, K/K_1 \rightarrow K$ is a Borel section for the map $K \rightarrow K/K_1$.

On $X \times Y := K \times L$ define an F_3 action $\{T_t\}_{t \in F_3} : K \times L \rightarrow K \times L$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_a(x, y) &= (ax, fy), \\ T_b(x, y) &= (bx, gy), \\ T_c(x, y) &= (cx, \phi(x)y). \end{aligned}$$

With this data at hand we can now prove our main result.

Theorem 3.1. *The T action of F_3 on $K \times L$ is distal, not compact, and strongly ergodic.*

Proof. Clearly the T action on $K \times L$ is distal (of order 2). It cannot be compact because the restriction to the \mathbb{Z} -action $T_c : K_1 \times L \rightarrow K_1 \times L$ is not compact. Finally, by construction, the F_2 -action on $K \times L$ is strongly ergodic and, a fortiori, so is the T -action. Our proof is complete. ■

4. Distal strongly ergodic F_3 -systems of arbitrary countable rank

With only some minor modifications the proof of Theorem 3.1 can be applied to prove the following stronger result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let η be an arbitrary countable ordinal. Then there exists an action of the free group on three generators F_3 on a compact metric space X , admitting an invariant probability measure μ , such that the resulting dynamical system (X, μ, F_3) is strongly ergodic and distal of rank η .*

Proof. We keep the notations introduced in the previous section with the following modifications. Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and let H be the finite index subgroup $\langle x, y \rangle$. Let $a = x^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $b = y^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and let c be another element in H which together with a and b generate a free group Λ on 3 generators. Recall that for sufficiently large p , the image of $S_0 = \{a, b\}$ (and a fortiori that of the set $S = \{a, b, c\}$) in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z})/SL_2(p\mathbb{Z})$ generates $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let P be the set of primes for which this is true.

Let $P = \bigcup_{j=0}^\infty P_j$ be some partition of P , with each cell P_j being infinite. Choose an arbitrary bijection

$$\Phi : \{1, 2, \dots\} \leftrightarrow \{\alpha < \eta : \alpha \text{ is a successor ordinal}\}$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \prod \{\Lambda_p : p \in P_0\}, \\ K_{\Phi(j)} &= \prod \{\Lambda_p : p \in P_j\}, \end{aligned}$$

where Λ_p is the image of Λ in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

We now consider the action of S , induced by left multiplication, on K and on each K_α . As above all of these actions are strongly ergodic, and so is the action on the product space

$$X = K \times L = K \times \prod_{\alpha} K_{\alpha}. \tag{4.1}$$

We now recall the following result from [6] (adapted to our needs here).

Theorem 4.2. *Given an arbitrary countable ordinal η and a transfinite sequence*

$$\{G_{\alpha} : \alpha = 0 \text{ and } \alpha < \eta, \alpha \text{ a successor ordinal}\},$$

where for each α , G_{α} is an infinite compact second countable topological group, with the only requirement that G_0 be an infinite monothetic compact group (G_0, c) , there exists an ergodic distal system $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu, A)$ of rank η such that, in its canonical distal tower, for each successor α the extension $\mathbf{X}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{\alpha-1}$ is a $G_{\alpha-1}$ -extension, and such that the induced action of A on G_0 is via multiplication by c .

We let $K_0 = \overline{\langle c \rangle} < K$, and we consider (K_0, c) as a (compact) \mathbb{Z} -dynamical system. Applying Theorem 4.2, with $G_{\alpha} = K_{\alpha}$ for all $0 \leq \alpha < \eta$, we can now realize an action of the group $\langle c \rangle$ on the space $K_0 \times L$ by $T_c(x) = Ax$ (where for a limit ordinal $\xi \leq \eta$ the corresponding system is determined as the inverse limit of the preceding systems directed by $\{\alpha : \alpha < \xi\}$).

Next we extend the T_c action to the space $X = K \times L$ in (4.1) by defining for $x = (k, l) \in K_0 \times L$,

$$T_c(k, l) = A(k_t^{-1}k, l), \quad \text{for } k \text{ in the coset } k_t K_0,$$

where $t \mapsto k_t, K/K_0 \rightarrow K_0$ is a Borel section for the map $K_0 \rightarrow K/K_0$.

Finally, on $K \times L$ define an F_3 action $\{T_t\}_{t \in F_3} : K \times L \rightarrow K \times L$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_a(k, l) &= (ak, al), \\ T_b(k, l) &= (bk, gl), \\ T_c(k, l) &\text{ as above.} \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous section this completes our proof. ■

Acknowledgments. The question discussed in this note was brought to our attention in a conversation during the conference entitled “Structure and Geometry of Polish groups” held in Oaxaca, Mexico, June, 2017. The first author thanks the Banff International Research Station (BIRS) and the organizers for the invitation to participate in this very successful conference. We thank Alex Lubotzky for calling the work [2] to our attention. We also thank the anonymous referee for his helpful remarks.

References

- [1] F. Beleznyay and M. Foreman, The complexity of the collection of measure-distal transformations. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **16** (1996), no. 5, 929–962 Zbl [0869.58032](#) MR [1417768](#)
- [2] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd, and P. Sarnak, Affine linear sieve, expanders, and sum-product. *Invent. Math.* **179** (2010), no. 3, 559–644 Zbl [1239.11103](#) MR [2587341](#)
- [3] A. Connes, J. Feldman, and B. Weiss, An amenable equivalence relation is generated by a single transformation. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **1** (1981), no. 4, 431–450 (1982) Zbl [0491.28018](#) MR [662736](#)
- [4] A. Connes and B. Weiss, Property t and asymptotically invariant sequences. *Israel J. Math.* **37** (1980), no. 3, 209–210 Zbl [0479.28017](#) MR [599455](#)
- [5] E. Glasner, *Ergodic Theory via Joinings*. Math. Surveys Monogr. 101, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003 Zbl [1038.37002](#) MR [1958753](#)
- [6] E. Glasner and B. Weiss, A generic distal tower of arbitrary countable height over an arbitrary infinite ergodic system. *J. Mod. Dyn.* **17** (2021), 435–463 MR [4342210](#)
- [7] T. Ibarlucía and T. Tsankov, A model-theoretic approach to rigidity of strongly ergodic, distal actions. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **54** (2021), no. 3, 751–777 Zbl [07452923](#) MR [4311098](#)
- [8] A. Lubotzky, *Discrete Groups, Expanding Graphs and Invariant Measures. With an appendix by Jonathan D. Rogawski*. Progr. Math. 125, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994 Zbl [0826.22012](#) MR [1308046](#)
- [9] K. Schmidt, Amenability, Kazhdan’s property t , strong ergodicity and invariant means for ergodic group-actions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **1** (1981), no. 2, 223–236 Zbl [0485.28019](#) MR [661821](#)
- [10] A. Selberg, On the estimation of Fourier coefficients of modular forms. In *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. VIII*, pp. 1–15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1965 Zbl [0142.33903](#) MR [0182610](#)
- [11] R. J. Zimmer, Random walks on compact groups and the existence of cocycles. *Israel J. Math.* **26** (1977), no. 1, 84–90 Zbl [0344.28010](#) MR [425080](#)

Received 4 June 2020.

Eli Glasner

Department of Mathematics, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel;
glasner@math.tau.ac.il

Benjamin Weiss

Institute of Mathematics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel;
weiss@math.huji.ac.il