Ergodicity of the mapping class group action on Deroin–Tholozan representations

Arnaud Maret

Abstract. This note investigates the dynamics of the mapping class group action on compact connected components of relative character varieties of surface group representations into $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$, discovered by Deroin and Tholozan. We apply symplectic methods developed by Goldman and Xia to prove that the action is ergodic.

1. Introduction

A character variety consists of conjugacy classes of representations of the fundamental group of a surface Σ into a Lie group G. (A *surface* is a two-dimensional manifold. A surface may have punctures. Unless otherwise stated, surfaces are orientable and have negative Euler characteristic.) Character varieties, or part of them, enjoy a natural symplectic structure provided that G is, for instance, compact or semisimple. In this case, the mapping class group of Σ acts by symplectomorphisms on the character variety (see Section [1.2\)](#page-1-0). The action is known to be ergodic if G is compact, whereas its dynamical nature remains widely unknown if G is not compact, as for instance $G = PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. It is nevertheless proven to be proper and discontinuous on the Teichmüller components of the $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ -character variety of a closed surface and conjectured to be ergodic on the remaining components (see Section [1.3\)](#page-2-0). This paper investigates the mapping class group action on some particularly nice components of the $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -character variety of a punctured sphere.

1.1. The result

Let $n \ge 3$ be an integer and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in (0, 2\pi)^n$ be an *n*-tuple of real numbers. We fix a collection $\{c_1,\ldots,c_n\}$ of generators of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma_{0,n})$ of the *n*-punctured sphere $\Sigma_{0,n}$ that satisfy the sole relation $c_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot c_n = 1$.

Let $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_{0,n}, \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ denote the relative character variety of conjugacy classes of representations $\phi: \pi_1(\Sigma_{0,n}) \to PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ for which $\phi(c_i)$ is an elliptic rotation of angle α_i for every i. Deroin–Tholozan proved in [\[5\]](#page-25-0) the existence of a nonempty compact

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58D29; Secondary 57M05, 37A05, 53E50.

Keywords. Planar surface groups, character variety, mapping class group, symplectic structure, ergodicity, Deroin–Tholozan representations, total ellipticity.

connected component of $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_{0,n}, PSL(2,\mathbb{R}))$ whenever $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n > 2\pi(n-1)$. We refer to these components as *character variety of Deroin–Tholozan representations*^{[1](#page-1-1)} and denote them by

$$
\operatorname{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{DT}}(\Sigma_{0,n}, \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})) \subset \operatorname{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_{0,n}, \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})).
$$

Let $Mod(\Sigma_{0,n})$ denote the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms $\Sigma_{0,n} \to \Sigma_{0,n}$ that fix each puncture individually. Our main result is:

Theorem A. The action of $Mod(\Sigma_{0,n})$ on $Rep_{\alpha}^{DT}(\Sigma_{0,n},PSL(2,\mathbb{R}))$ is ergodic with respect *to the Goldman symplectic measure.*

We prove Theorem [A](#page-1-2) by applying methods developed in [\[16\]](#page-26-0) and [\[23\]](#page-26-1). The argument has a strong symplectic geometry flavor. The cornerstone of the proof relates the action of a Dehn twist in $Mod(\Sigma_{0,n})$ to a certain Hamiltonian flow on $Rep_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_{0,n}, \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})),$ see Proposition [2.10](#page-10-0) for a precise statement. A coarse sketch of the proof consists of the following steps.

- (1) Identify sufficiently many Dehn twists in $Mod(\Sigma_{0,n})$ such that the associated Hamiltonian flows locally act transitively on $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_{0,n}, \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$.
- (2) Prove that this implies that any integrable $Mod(\Sigma_{0,n})$ -invariant function $Rep_{\alpha}^{\mathop{DT}}(\Sigma_{0,n},\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})) \to \mathbb{R}$ must be constant almost everywhere.

Theorem [A](#page-1-2) can be refined to a stronger statement. Namely, we also prove:

Theorem B. For $n \geq 5$, there exists a proper subgroup H of $Mod(\Sigma_{0,n})$ whose action on $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_{0,n}, \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ is ergodic with respect to the Goldman symplectic measure. *Moreover,* H *can be chosen to be finitely generated by* $2(n - 3)$ *Dehn twists.*

A complete parametrization of $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_{0,n}, \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ is detailed in the companion paper [\[24\]](#page-26-2). It consists of action-angle coordinates which are Darboux coordinates for the Goldman symplectic form on an open and dense subspace of $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_{0,n}, \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$. Among the $2(n - 3)$ Hamiltonian flows relevant for the proof of Theorem [B,](#page-1-3) half can be chosen to be the flows of the action coordinates of $Rep_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_{0,n}, \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$.

1.2. A brief note on character varieties

Let $\Sigma_{g,n}$ denote a connected oriented topological surface of genus $g \ge 0$ and with $n \ge 0$ labelled punctures. Let G denote a connected Lie group. The *character variety* associated to the pair $(\Sigma_{g,n}, G)$ is the Hausdorffization of the topological quotient of the space of group homomorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,n}) \to G$ by the conjugacy action of G:

 $Rep(\Sigma_{g,n}, G) := Hom(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,n}), G)/G.$

¹Deroin and Tholozan originally called these representations *supra-maximal*. We prefer to call them *Deroin–Tholozan* representations for the reasons explained in Remark [2.6.](#page-7-0)

Group homomorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,n}) \to G$ are also called *representations* of (the fundamental group of) $\Sigma_{g,n}$ into G.

If n > 0, the character variety is typically partitioned into *relative character varieties*. Consider all the *n*-tuples $C = (C_1, \ldots, C_n)$ of conjugacy classes in G. We denote by $Rep_C(\Sigma_{g,n}, G)$ the subset of $Rep(\Sigma_{g,n}, G)$ consisting of conjugacy classes of representations that map a designated positively oriented loop enclosing the *i*th puncture of $\Sigma_{g,n}$ to an element inside C_i , for every $i = 1, ..., n$.

To uniformize notation, we denote the character variety $\text{Rep}(\Sigma_{g,0}, G)$ of the closed surface $\Sigma_{g,0}$ as a relative character variety by Rep_C ($\Sigma_{g,0}$, G) where $C = \emptyset$. For a detailed introduction to (relative) character varieties and their topology we refer the reader to [\[25\]](#page-26-3).

The *mapping class group* of $\Sigma_{g,n}$ is the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms $\Sigma_{g,n} \to \Sigma_{g,n}$ that fix each puncture (if any) individually. It is denoted^{[2](#page-2-1)} by Mod($\Sigma_{g,n}$). Standard facts about Mod($\Sigma_{g,n}$) include the following, see, e.g., [\[7,](#page-26-4) §§4, 8] for details.

- The mapping class group is finitely presented. Generators can be chosen to be Dehn twists along simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g,n}$.
- The Dehn–Nielsen–Baer theorem identifies $Mod(\Sigma_{g,n})$ with a subgroup of the group of outer automorphisms of $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,n}).$

The latter stresses a natural $Mod(\Sigma_{g,n})$ -action on Rep $(\Sigma_{g,n}, G)$ by precomposition. Any homeomorphism considered in Mod $(\Sigma_{g,n})$ fixes each puncture individually, by definition. Thus, the Mod $(\Sigma_{g,n})$ -action preserves every relative character variety Rep $_C (\Sigma_{g,n}, G) \subset$ $\text{Rep}(\Sigma_{\varrho,n}, G)$.

If the Lie algebra of G admits a non-degenerate symmetric Ad-invariant bilinear form B, then the smooth locus of Rep_C($\Sigma_{g,n}$, G) enjoys a natural symplectic structure [\[11,](#page-26-5) [18\]](#page-26-6). It is denoted by $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ and referred to as the *Goldman symplectic form*. Despite the notation, the symplectic form $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ depends on the bilinear form B. It easily follows from the definition of $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ that Mod($\Sigma_{g,n}$) acts by symplectomorphisms on the symplectic manifold $(\text{Rep}_{C}(\Sigma_{g,n}, G), \omega_{g})$, see, e.g., [\[14\]](#page-26-7). So, if ν_{g} denotes the symplectic measure on $Rep_C(\Sigma_{g,n}, G)$ associated to ω_g , then the Mod $(\Sigma_{g,n})$ -action preserves ν_g :

$$
Mod(\Sigma_{g,n}) \subset (Rep_C(\Sigma_{g,n}, G), \nu_g). \tag{1.1}
$$

As a matter of fact, if G is compact, then $\text{Rep}_{C}(\Sigma_{g,n}, G)$ has finite symplectic volume [\[19,](#page-26-8) Thm. 7.2].

1.3. Historical remarks

Theorem [A](#page-1-2) is the contribution of the author to a series of results about the mapping class group dynamics on character varieties. We briefly provide the reader with an overview of

²In the terminology of [\[7\]](#page-26-4), it is called the *pure mapping class group* of $\Sigma_{g,n}$ and is denoted by PMod($\Sigma_{g,n}$). It contrasts with the mapping class group of $\Sigma_{g,n}$ where homeomorphisms are allowed to permute punctures.

this field which has been studied extensively in the past decades. The list below is certainly non-exhaustive and reflects the taste of the author.

Goldman proved in [\[13\]](#page-26-9) that the mapping class group action is ergodic whenever $\Sigma_{g,n}$ has negative Euler characteristic and G is a Lie group whose simple factors are isomorphic to $SU(2)$. In [\[16\]](#page-26-0) Goldman–Xia provided a new proof of the ergodicity for SU.2/-character varieties relying on the symplectic geometry of the character variety. Goldman conjectured in [\[13,](#page-26-9) Conj. 1.3] that the mapping class group action is ergodic for any compact Lie group. The conjecture was proven by Pickrell–Xia in [\[27,](#page-27-0) [28\]](#page-27-1) for all $\Sigma_{g,n}$ with negative Euler characteristic except $\Sigma_{1,1}$. Goldman–Lawton–Xia established ergodicity for $\Sigma_{1,1}$ and $G = SU(3)$ in [\[15\]](#page-26-10).

If G is not compact, the dynamics of the mapping class group action exhibit a different behavior. It is, for instance, long known that the mapping class group acts properly and discontinuously on Teichmüller space which can be realized as a connected component of $Rep(\Sigma_{g,0}, PSL(2,\mathbb{R}))$. Ergodic actions contrast with proper actions by producing chaotic dynamics. Goldman promotes the following dichotomy in $[14]$. Assume that G is noncompact and semisimple. The action is expected to be "nice" on connected components of the character variety that have a "strong" geometrical meaning (such as Teichmüller space). On the other hand, it is expected to give rise to more "complicated" dynamics on the remaining components. Goldman conjectured, for instance, that the action is ergodic on the non-Teichmüller components of Rep $(\Sigma_{g,0}, PSL(2,\mathbb{R}))$ [\[14,](#page-26-7) Conj. 3.1]. Marché– Wolff proved in [\[22,](#page-26-11) [23\]](#page-26-1) that the conjecture holds for $\Sigma_{2,0}$ on the connected components of Euler class ± 1 and disproved the conjecture for the component of Euler class zero. They also introduce the subspace $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}^k_g$ of Rep($\Sigma_{g,0}$, PSL(2, R)) that consists of representations with Euler class k which map a simple closed curve to a non-hyperbolic element of PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) and prove that the action is ergodic on $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}^k_g$ for $(g, k) \neq (2, 0)$, see [\[22,](#page-26-11) Thm. 1.6]. This shows that Goldman's conjecture is equivalent to $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}^k_{g}$ having full measure in the corresponding connected component.

The counterpart of Goldman's conjecture for non-closed surfaces was formulated more recently by Yang. He investigated in [\[29\]](#page-27-2) the mapping class group action on $Rep_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon,n}, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$, where C is any collection of parabolic conjugacy classes. In the case of a 4-punctured sphere, he proved that the action is ergodic on every connected component of non-extremal Euler class, generalizing a result of Maloni–Palesi–Tan for the components of Euler class ± 1 [\[20\]](#page-26-12). Yang further conjectured that the analogue statement holds for every punctured surfaces [\[29,](#page-27-2) Conj. 1.4].

Several authors have refined the results above by showing that remarkable subgroups of $Mod(\Sigma_{g,n})$ act ergodically on character varieties. For instance, the *Johnson group* is the subgroup of $Mod(\Sigma_{g,n})$ generated by Dehn twists along simple closed curves which are null-homologous in $H_1(\Sigma_{g,n};\mathbb{Z})$. Goldman–Xia proved in [\[17\]](#page-26-13) that the action of the Johnson group on Rep_C ($\Sigma_{1,2}$, SU(2)) is ergodic for generic C. This result was extended to all closed surfaces $\Sigma_{g,0}$ with $g \ge 2$ by Funar–Marché in [\[8\]](#page-26-14). Another remarkable subgroup of $Mod(\Sigma_{g,n})$ is the *Torelli group*. If $n \leq 1$, then the Torelli group is the subgroup of $Mod(\Sigma_{g,n})$ acting trivially on $H_1(\Sigma_{g,n};\mathbb{Z})$. The Johnson group is a subgroup of the Torelli group, see, e.g., [\[7,](#page-26-4) §6] for more details. Bouilly recently proved in [\[2\]](#page-25-1) that the action of the Torelli group on each connected component of $\text{Rep}(\Sigma_{g,0}, G)$ is ergodic for any $g > 2$ and for any compact connected semisimple Lie group G.

The mapping class group action remains of interest on character varieties on which the Goldman symplectic form cannot be defined, for there are ways to define an alternative natural invariant measure, see, e.g., [\[26\]](#page-27-3) and references therein. The first kind of examples are character varieties of non-orientable surfaces. Palesi proved in [\[26\]](#page-27-3) that the mapping class group action is ergodic for every non-orientable surfaces with Euler characteristic at most -2 , including punctured surfaces, and $G = SU(2)$. Maloni–Palesi–Yang studied in [\[21\]](#page-26-15) the mapping class group action on certain representations of the 3-punctured projective plane into $PGL(2,\mathbb{R})$ that map peripheral loops to parabolic isometries. They proved that the action is ergodic on most of the connected components of non-maximal Euler characteristic. They expect ergodicity to hold on the remaining components as well.

The existence of an invariant symplectic structure may also fail for certain Lie groups. An example is the group $Aff(\mathbb{C})$ of affine transformations of the complex plane. Gha-zouani showed in [\[10\]](#page-26-16) that the mapping class group action on Rep $(\Sigma_{\epsilon,0},\text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}))$ does not preserve any symplectic form. There exists however an invariant measure for which the mapping class group is ergodic [\[10\]](#page-26-16).

1.4. Organization of the paper

Section [2](#page-4-0) provides an introduction to Deroin–Tholozan representations, recalling the notion of volume of a representation and the main results of [\[5\]](#page-25-0). Further in Section [2](#page-4-0) we introduce the mapping class group action in details and explain how it connects to the symplectic geometry of the character variety, before ending with a short introduction to ergodic actions.

We explain in Section [3](#page-11-0) how the proof of Theorem [A](#page-1-2) reduces to two technical lemmata that we state in Section [3.2.](#page-14-0) Their proofs are postponed to Sections [4](#page-17-0) and [5.](#page-19-0) In Remark [3.9,](#page-17-1) at the end of Section [3,](#page-11-0) we explain how the proof of Theorem [A](#page-1-2) also implies the stronger statement of Theorem [B.](#page-1-3)

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Deroin–Tholozan representations

Deroin–Tholozan representations of the fundamental group of a punctured sphere into $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ were introduced in [\[5\]](#page-25-0). These representations had already been studied in the case of a 4-punctured sphere by Benedetto–Goldman [\[1\]](#page-25-2). The illustrations in [\[1\]](#page-25-2) of the various topological types of relative character varieties of representations of a 4-punctured sphere into $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ are particularly enlightening.

Recall that $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is the Lie group defined as the quotient of the group $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ of 2×2 real matrices with determinant one by its center $\{\pm I\}$. Deroin–Tholozan representations form compact connected components of certain relative character varieties where simple loops are mapped to elliptic elements of $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$. They are holonomies of hyperbolic metrics on a punctured sphere with prescribed conical singularities. We refer the reader to [\[5\]](#page-25-0) for more details about the geometrization of Deroin–Tholozan representations. In this section we recall the definition and some key properties of Deroin–Tholozan representations.

Let $\mathbb H$ denote the upper half-plane with its standard hyperbolic metric. Recall that $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ can be identified via Möbius transformations with the group of orientationpreserving isometries of \mathbb{H} . Elliptic elements of PSL $(2,\mathbb{R})$ are those that have a unique fixed point inside H. The subspace of elliptic elements in $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{H} \times (0, 2\pi)$. The diffeomorphism identifies an elliptic element $A \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with the pair consisting of its unique fixed point fix $(A) \in \mathbb{H}$ and the unique angle $\vartheta = \vartheta(A) \in$ $(0, 2\pi)$ such that A is conjugate to

$$
rot_{\vartheta} := \pm \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\vartheta/2) & \sin(\vartheta/2) \\ -\sin(\vartheta/2) & \cos(\vartheta/2) \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R}).
$$

The angle $\vartheta(A)$ is called the *rotation angle* of A. The assignment $A \mapsto \vartheta(A)$ is a smooth function of the subspace of elliptic elements of PSL $(2, \mathbb{R})$. One can extend the function ϑ to an upper semi-continuous function $\overline{\vartheta}$: PSL $(2,\mathbb{R}) \to [0, 2\pi]$ by setting

> $\overline{\vartheta}(A) :=$ $\sqrt{2}$ \int \overline{a} $\vartheta(A)$ if A is elliptic, 0 if A is hyperbolic or positively parabolic, 2π if A is the identity or negatively parabolic.

The notions of positively and negatively parabolic elements in $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ are not relevant in the context of Deroin–Tholozan representations.

We abbreviate the *n*-punctured sphere by $\Sigma_n := \Sigma_{0,n}$. The number *n* of punctures is always assumed to be at least 3. We fix generators $\{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ of $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ such that

$$
\pi_1(\Sigma_n)=\langle c_1,\ldots,c_n\mid c_1\cdot\ldots\cdot c_n=1\rangle.
$$

Each c_i is the homotopy class of a positively oriented simple closed curve that encloses the *i*th puncture of Σ_n . If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (0, 2\pi)^n$ denotes an *n*-tuple of angles, then we write $Rep_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, PSL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ for the relative character variety that consists of conjugacy classes $[\phi]$ of homomorphisms $\phi: \pi_1(\Sigma_n) \to \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi(c_i)$ is conjugate to rot_{α_i} for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

A powerful tool to study the topology of relative character varieties is the notion of *volume of a representation* (or Toledo number) introduced by Burger–Iozzi–Wienhard in [\[3\]](#page-25-3). They proved:

Theorem 2.1 ([\[3\]](#page-25-3)). *There exists a continuous and bounded function*

vol:
$$
\text{Rep}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})) \to \mathbb{R}
$$

that satisfies the following properties:

- (1) vol *is locally constant on each relative character variety,*
- (2) vol *is additive, i.e. if* $\Sigma_n = S_1 \sqcup_{\gamma} S_2$ *is the disjoint union of two surfaces* S_1, S_2 *glued along a separating curve , then*

$$
\text{vol}([\phi]) = \text{vol}([\phi \upharpoonright_{\pi_1(S_1)}]) + \text{vol}([\phi \upharpoonright_{\pi_1(S_2)}]),
$$

(3) *for every* $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ *, there exists an integer* $k([\phi])$ *such that*

$$
\text{vol}([\phi]) = 2\pi k([\phi]) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\vartheta}(\phi(c_i)).
$$

Deroin–Tholozan proved in [\[5\]](#page-25-0) that

$$
k([\phi]) \le \max\bigg\{n-2, \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\vartheta}(\phi(c_i))\bigg\}.
$$
 (2.1)

Remark 2.2. The integer $k([\phi])$ is called the *relative Euler class* of $[\phi]$. It is a generalization of the notion of Euler class associated to representations of closed surfaces. In that respect, inequality (2.1) can be thought of as a generalization of the celebrated Milnor– Wood inequality.

For $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$, the last property of Theorem [2.1](#page-5-0) reads

$$
vol([\phi]) = 2\pi k([\phi]) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i.
$$
 (2.2)

Since $k([\phi])$ is an integer and $\alpha_i \in (0, 2\pi)$ for all i, inequality [\(2.1\)](#page-6-0) implies $k([\phi]) \leq n - 1$ for every $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$. Moreover, $k([\phi]) = n - 1$ is possible only if

$$
\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n > 2\pi(n-1). \tag{2.3}
$$

Definition 2.3. An *n*-tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (0, 2\pi)^n$ that fulfils [\(2.3\)](#page-6-1) is said to satisfy the *angles condition*. Let

$$
\lambda := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n - 2\pi(n-1).
$$

The number $\lambda \in (0, 2\pi)$ is called the *scaling parameter*.

Because of [\(2.2\)](#page-6-2), the inequality $k([\phi]) \leq n - 1$ is equivalent to vol $([\phi]) \leq -\lambda$. As above, vol $([\phi]) = -\lambda$ is possible only if $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ where α satisfies the angles condition.

Convention 2.4. Unless otherwise stated, any *n*-tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (0, 2\pi)^n$ below is assumed to satisfy the angles condition (2.3) .

Definition 2.5. The *character variety of Deroin–Tholozan representations* is defined to be the subspace of $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ that maximizes the volume:

$$
Rep_{\alpha}^{DT}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})) := Rep_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})) \cap \text{vol}^{-1}(\{-\lambda\}).
$$

We abbreviate $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ by $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$.

Remark 2.6. Deroin and Tholozan originally called these representations *supra-maximal* because their relative Euler class exceeds $-\chi(\Sigma_n) = n - 2$. However, these representations do not have maximal volume and are thus not *maximal* in the sense of Burger–Iozzi– Wienhard. They even tend to minimize the volume in absolute value. Indeed, by definition, the volume of a Deroin–Tholozan representation is $-\lambda \in (-2\pi, 0)$. The range of the volume over the whole character variety is $[-2\pi(n-2), 2\pi(n-2)]$, see [\[3\]](#page-25-3). To avoid any further confusion we prefer the terminology of Deroin–Tholozan representations instead of that of supra-maximal representations.

Theorem 2.7 ([\[5\]](#page-25-0)). *The character variety of Deroin–Tholozan representations* $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ *is nonempty and forms a compact connected component of the relative character variety* $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$. It is moreover a smooth symplectic manifold of dimension $2(n - 3)$.

The Goldman symplectic structure $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ on Rep_{α}^{DT} is the one associated to the bilinear form

$$
\text{tr}: \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (A, B) \mapsto \text{tr}(AB).
$$

Here, we identified the Lie algebra of PSL $(2, \mathbb{R})$ with the Lie algebra $\sharp_2 \mathbb{R}$ of 2×2 traceless real matrices.

The proof of Theorem [2.7](#page-7-1) by Deroin–Tholozan is built around a beautiful application of Delzant's classification of symplectic toric manifolds. A *symplectic toric manifold* is a symplectic manifold equipped with a maximal effective Hamiltonian torus action, see, e.g., [\[4,](#page-25-4) §XI] for more details. Deroin–Tholozan first constructed such an action on (Rep_{α}, ω _g). They observed then that the associated moment polytope is a rescaling by λ of the standard $(n - 3)$ -simplex. The standard $(n - 3)$ -simplex is known to be the moment polytope for the standard torus action on \mathbb{CP}^{n-3} equipped with the Fubini–Study symplectic form $\omega_{\mathcal{FS}}$ of total volume $\pi^{n-3}/(n-3)!$. Delzant's classification thus implies the existence of an equivariant symplectomorphism

$$
(\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}, 1/\lambda \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong (\mathbb{CP}^{n-3}, \omega_{\mathcal{FS}}). \tag{2.4}
$$

The isomorphism [\(2.4\)](#page-7-2) implies that $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ has finite symplectic volume. Let $\nu_{\mathcal{G}}$ denote the multiple of the symplectic measure on $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ associated to $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $v_{\mathcal{G}}(\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}) = 1$. It follows from the definition of the volume of a representation in [\[3\]](#page-25-3) that $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ is invariant under the mapping class group action (1.1) . Therefore, there is a well-defined measurepreserving action

$$
Mod(\Sigma_n) \subset \left(Rep_{\alpha}^{DT}, \nu_{\mathcal{G}} \right).
$$

We end this short introduction by stating a crucial property of Deroin–Tholozan representations: namely, Deroin–Tholozan representations are *totally elliptic*. It is meant to be understood as follows.

Proposition 2.8. Let $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$. Then the image under the representation $\phi: \pi_1(\Sigma_n) \to$ PSL $(2,\mathbb{R})$ *of any non-trivial homotopy class of loops freely homotopic to a simple closed curve is elliptic.*

Proposition [2.8](#page-8-0) is a slight generalization of [\[5,](#page-25-0) Lemma 3.2]. Even if, technically speaking, Deroin–Tholozan only consider specific curves, Proposition [2.8](#page-8-0) is essentially proven in [\[5\]](#page-25-0).

Proof of Proposition [2.8](#page-8-0). Let $a \in \pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ be a non-trivial homotopy class of loops freely homotopic to a simple closed curve. This simple closed curve is uniquely determined up to free homotopy. In a slight abuse of notation we denote by a both the homotopy class and the associated simple closed curve.

If a is homotopic to a puncture, then $\phi(a)$ is elliptic by definition of the relative character variety. Otherwise, a separates Σ_n into two surfaces $S_1 \sqcup_a S_2 = \Sigma_n$ of negative Euler characteristic. Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 denote the restrictions of ϕ to $\pi_1(S_1)$ and $\pi_1(S_2)$. The curve a also determines a partition of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into two subsets J_1 and J_2 of respective cardinality m_1 and m_2 . Theorem [2.1](#page-5-0) implies

$$
\text{vol}([\phi_i]) = 2\pi k([\phi_i]) - \sum_{j \in J_i} \alpha_j - \overline{\vartheta}(\phi_i(a)), \quad i = 1, 2.
$$

Since $\lbrack \phi \rbrack$ is Deroin–Tholozan,

$$
vol([\phi]) = 2\pi(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i.
$$

By additivity of the volume (Theorem [2.1\)](#page-5-0), vol $([\phi]) = \text{vol}([\phi_1]) + \text{vol}([\phi_2])$ and thus

$$
2\pi(n-1) + \overline{\vartheta}(\phi_1(a)) + \overline{\vartheta}(\phi_2(a)) = 2\pi (k([\phi_1]) + k([\phi_2])). \tag{2.5}
$$

Because of inequality [\(2.1\)](#page-6-0), it holds $k([\phi_i]) \leq m_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. So, recalling that $m_1 +$ $m_2 = n$, we deduce from [\(2.5\)](#page-8-1) that

$$
\overline{\vartheta}(\phi_1(a))+\overline{\vartheta}(\phi_2(a))\leq 2\pi.
$$

By construction $\phi_1(a) = \phi_2(a)^{-1}$. Thus, the sum $\overline{\vartheta}(\phi_1(a)) + \overline{\vartheta}(\phi_2(a))$, being at most 2π , is either 0 or 2π .

Assume first that $\overline{\vartheta}(\phi_1(a)) + \overline{\vartheta}(\phi_2(a)) = 0$. Then both $\overline{\vartheta}(\phi_1(a))$ and $\overline{\vartheta}(\phi_2(a))$ van-ish. With this extra information, our application of inequality [\(2.1\)](#page-6-0) to $[\phi_i]$ can be refined and now gives $k([\phi_i]) \leq m_i - 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. This contradicts [\(2.5\)](#page-8-1).

Assume now that $\overline{\vartheta}(\phi_1(a)) + \overline{\vartheta}(\phi_2(a)) = 2\pi$. Then [\(2.5\)](#page-8-1), together with the inequalities $k([\phi_i]) \leq m_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, imply that $k([\phi_i]) = m_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. For inequality [\(2.1\)](#page-6-0)

to hold for $[\phi_1]$ and $[\phi_2]$, one must necessarily have $\overline{\vartheta}(\phi_1(a)) > 0$ and $\overline{\vartheta}(\phi_2(a)) > 0$. Therefore, $\overline{\vartheta}(\phi_i(a)) \in (0, 2\pi)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and we conclude that $\phi(a)$ is elliptic.

Remark 2.9. If $n = 3$ or $n = 4$, then the converse of Proposition [2.8](#page-8-0) holds. Namely, if $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ is totally elliptic and α satisfies the angles condition [\(2.3\)](#page-6-1), then $\lbrack \phi \rbrack$ is Deroin–Tholozan. This relies on the following dichotomy for the case $n = 3$ [\[5,](#page-25-0) §1.2]. If $n = 3$ and $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$, then one of the following holds:

- $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \in (0, 2\pi]$ and $k([\phi]) = 1$, or
- $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \in [4\pi, 6\pi)$ and $k([\phi]) = 2$.

In particular, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 > 4\pi$ implies $k([\phi]) = 2$ and hence $[\phi]$ is Deroin–Tholozan. If $n = 4$, consider the pants decomposition $\Sigma_4 = S_1 \sqcup_{b_1} S_2$, where b_1 is a simple closed curve in the free homotopy class of $c_2^{-1}c_1^{-1}$ $c_2^{-1}c_1^{-1}$ $c_2^{-1}c_1^{-1}$ (see Figure 2 in Section [3.1\)](#page-11-1). Let $[\phi] \in$ $Rep_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, PSL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ and denote by $[\phi_i]$ the restriction of $[\phi]$ to $\pi_1(S_i)$. Assume that α satisfies the angles condition. Because of the above dichotomy, it must hold $k([\phi_i]) = 2$ for $i = 1, 2$, otherwise $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 < 6\pi$, contradicting the angles conditions. Hence $[\phi]$ is Deroin–Tholozan. The same argument does not apply if $n \geq 5$ and the question whether totally elliptic representations are Deroin–Tholozan remains open.

2.2. Relation to symplectic geometry

To prove that the $Mod(\Sigma_n)$ -action on Rep^{DT} is ergodic we follow a method developed by Goldman–Xia in [\[16\]](#page-26-0) and used by Marché–Wolff in [\[23\]](#page-26-1). It relies essentially on the observation that a Dehn twist τ_a along a non-trivial simple closed curve a on Σ_n is closely related to some Hamiltonian flow. This crucial observation is explained in this section.

Recall that we introduced a function ϑ that maps smoothly elliptic elements in $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ to their rotation angle in $(0, 2\pi)$. Proposition [2.8](#page-8-0) says that for any non-trivial homotopy class $a \in \pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ freely homotopic to a simple closed curve and any Deroin– Tholozan representation $\phi: \pi_1(\Sigma_n) \to \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, the image $\phi(a)$ is elliptic. Consider the smooth function

$$
\vartheta_a: \operatorname{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{DT}} \to (0, 2\pi), \quad [\phi] \mapsto \vartheta(\phi(a)). \tag{2.6}
$$

Let Φ_a^t : $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}} \to \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ denote the Hamiltonian flow of ϑ_a at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The flow Φ_a^t is called the *twist flow* of (ϑ, a) . Twists flows were introduced by Goldman in [\[12\]](#page-26-17).

Recall that $a \in \pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ determines a unique (up to free homotopy) simple closed curve which we also denote by a. Cutting Σ_n along a determines two surfaces $S_1 \sqcup_a S_2 = \Sigma_n$. The computations conducted in [\[5,](#page-25-0) Prop. 3.3] from the original definition of twist flows by Goldman show that

$$
\Phi_a^{\vartheta_a([\phi])/2}([\phi]):c_i \mapsto \begin{cases} \phi(c_i) & \text{if } c_i \in \pi_1(S_1), \\ \phi(a)\phi(c_i)\phi(a)^{-1} & \text{if } c_i \in \pi_1(S_2). \end{cases} (2.7)
$$

Goldman–Xia observed in $[16]$ that the representation (2.7) corresponds precisely to the representation obtained by letting the Dehn twist $\tau_a \in Mod(\Sigma_n)$ along the curve a act on $[\phi]$. This is the crucial observation mentioned in the introduction that connects the symplectic geometry of $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ to the action of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_n)$. Formally, the following holds.

Proposition 2.10. Let $a \in \pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ be a non-trivial homotopy class of loops freely homo*topic to a simple closed curve on* Σ_n . Then

$$
\tau_a[\phi] = \Phi_a^{\vartheta_a([\phi])/2}([\phi]), \quad \forall [\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}.
$$

Proposition [2.10](#page-10-0) is used as such in [\[23,](#page-26-1) Prop. 6.5]. The analogue of Proposition [2.10](#page-10-0) for $SU(2)$ -character varieties can be found in [\[16,](#page-26-0) Prop. 5.1].

2.3. Ergodic actions

A measure preserving action of a group G on a probability measure space (X, μ) is *ergodic* if for all measurable sets $U \subset X$

$$
gU = U, \quad \forall g \in G \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mu(U) \in \{0, 1\}.
$$

Ergodicity means that the dynamical system induced by the G -action on X admits no non-trivial subsystems. Ergodic systems exhibit a certain level of chaos through their dynamics: mixing systems are ergodic and ergodic systems have almost only dense orbits (provided that the measure is Borel). The standard example of ergodic actions are irrational rotations of the circle, see, e.g., [\[6,](#page-26-18) Prop. 2.16].

Ergodicity can be characterized in terms of invariant functions. The regularity class of those functions can be restricted as long as it contains the indicator functions of all measurable sets. For the purpose of this note, and in view of Lemma [3.5,](#page-14-1) we choose to characterize ergodicity in terms of integrable functions.

Lemma 2.11. *A measure preserving action of a group* G *on a probability measure space* (X,μ) is ergodic if and only if every G-invariant integrable function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is constant *almost everywhere.*

We refer the reader to [\[6\]](#page-26-18) for the proof of Lemma [2.11](#page-10-1) and for further consideration on ergodic actions.

Checking that a function is constant almost everywhere can be done locally. This strategy was employed by Marché–Wolff in [\[23\]](#page-26-1). The statement is the following. Assume that X is a topological space and μ is a strictly positive Borel measure on X, i.e. $\mu(U) > 0$ for every nonempty open set $U \subset X$.

Lemma 2.12. Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function. Assume that there exists an open $set \& \subset X$ *such that*

- (1) E *is connected,*
- (2) $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = 1$,
- (3) *for all* $x \in \mathcal{E}$ *, there exists an open set* $U_x \subset \mathcal{E}$ *containing* x *such that* f *is constant almost everywhere on* Ux*.*

Then f *is constant almost everywhere.*

Proof. Define the function $F: \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
F(x) := \frac{1}{\mu(U_x)} \int_{U_x} f d\mu.
$$

Informally, $F(x)$ is the constant value reached by f almost everywhere on U_x . For every $y \in U_x$, the set $U_x \cap U_y$ is nonempty and thus has positive measure by assumption. Moreover,

$$
\frac{1}{\mu(U_x)}\int_{U_x} f d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(U_x \cap U_y)}\int_{U_x \cap U_y} f d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(U_y)}\int_{U_y} f d\mu.
$$

So, $F(x) = F(y)$. This means that F is locally constant on $\mathcal E$ (and not only almost everywhere). For $\mathcal E$ is connected, F is thus constant on $\mathcal E$.

Now, because $F \upharpoonright_{U_x}$ is constant, f and F coincide almost everywhere on U_x for every $x \in \mathcal{E}$. Hence $f = F$ almost everywhere on \mathcal{E} . Since F is constant on \mathcal{E} and $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = 1$, we conclude that f is constant almost everywhere.

3. The skeleton of the proof

According to Lemma [2.11,](#page-10-1) it is sufficient to show that every $Mod(\Sigma_n)$ -invariant integrable function $f: \mathsf{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{DT}} \to \mathbb{R}$ is constant almost everywhere in order to prove Theorem [A.](#page-1-2) The tool for this is Lemma [2.12.](#page-10-2) We apply the latter by constructing an open set $\mathcal E$ that satisfies the required hypotheses for any $Mod(\Sigma_n)$ -invariant integrable function $f : Rep_{\alpha}^{DT} \to \mathbb{R}$.

In this section, we first define the open set \mathcal{E} . We then state two technical lemmata, namely Lemma [3.3](#page-14-2) and Lemma [3.5.](#page-14-1) Their proofs are postponed to Sections [4](#page-17-0) and [5.](#page-19-0) In a third and last part, we prove that $\mathcal E$ satisfies all three conditions of Lemma [2.12,](#page-10-2) assuming that the two lemmata mentioned above hold.

3.1. The set $\mathcal E$

Recall that we fixed generators $\{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ of $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ such that $c_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot c_n = 1$. We introduce the following $2(n-3)$ elements of $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$: for every $i = 1, ..., n - 3$, let

$$
b_i := c_{i+1}^{-1} c_i^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1^{-1}, \quad d_i := c_{i+2}^{-1} c_{i+1}^{-1}.
$$

The free homotopy classes of loops corresponding to c_i , b_i , d_i can be represented by oriented simple closed curves, also denoted c_i , b_i , d_i , as illustrated on Figure [1.](#page-12-0)

Deroin–Tholozan proved in [\[5,](#page-25-0) Prop. 3.3] that the Hamiltonian flows of $\vartheta_{b_1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{b_{n-3}}$ are π -periodic and define a symplectic toric manifold structure on $(\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}, \omega_{\mathcal{G}})$. The associated moment map $\mu := (\vartheta_{b_1}, \dots, \vartheta_{b_{n-3}})$ maps Rep_a^{DT} to a convex polytope Δ inside \mathbb{R}^{n-3} . We denote by $\stackrel{\circ}{\Delta}$ the interior of $\stackrel{\circ}{\Delta}$. The subspace $\mu^{-1}(\stackrel{\circ}{\Delta}) \subset \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ is open and dense. The fibres of μ over $\tilde{\Delta}$ are Lagrangian tori.

Figure 1. The simple closed curves b_1, \ldots, b_{n-3} and d_1, \ldots, d_{n-3} , and the peripheral curves c_1, \ldots, c_n . This illustration is modelled on [\[5,](#page-25-0) Fig. 2].

Because of the symplectic toric structure on Rep_{α}, for any $i = 1, ..., n - 3$, the Hamiltonian flow Φ_{b_i} has the following orbit structure. Its orbits are either fixed points or circles of length π . Since any of the curves d_1, \ldots, d_{n-3} can be mapped to b_1 by a cyclic permutation of the punctures, the Hamiltonian flows $\Phi_{d_1}, \ldots, \Phi_{d_{n-3}}$ have the same orbit structure as Φ_{b_1} .

Definition 3.1. We call the orbit of $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ under the combined Hamiltonian flows $\Phi_{b_1}, \ldots, \Phi_{b_{n-3}}$ *regular* if it is homeomorphic to an $(n-3)$ -torus, equivalently if $\mu([\phi]) \in$ Δ . It is called *irrational* if it is regular and $\vartheta_{b_i}([\phi]) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \pi \mathbb{Q}$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n-3$, equivalently $\mu([\phi]) \in \stackrel{\circ}{\Delta} \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \pi\mathbb{Q})^{n-3}$.

As for any symplectic manifold, there is a Poisson bracket on $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ associated to $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$:

$$
\{\cdot,\cdot\}\colon C^\infty(\operatorname{Rep}^{\operatorname{DT}}_\alpha)\times C^\infty(\operatorname{Rep}^{\operatorname{DT}}_\alpha)\to C^\infty(\operatorname{Rep}^{\operatorname{DT}}_\alpha).
$$

It is defined as follows: for two smooth functions ζ_1, ζ_2 : $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}} \to \mathbb{R}$ with Hamiltonian vector fields X_{ζ_1} , X_{ζ_2} , let

$$
\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2\} := \omega_{\mathcal{B}}(X_{\zeta_1}, X_{\zeta_2}) = d\zeta_2(X_{\zeta_1}).\tag{3.1}
$$

We denote by $(\tau_{b_i})^{m_i} d_i$ the simple closed curve obtained from d_i by applying m_i iterations of the Dehn twist τ_{b_i} . Inspired by the work of Marché–Wolff [\[22\]](#page-26-11), we introduce

$$
\mathcal{E}:=\big\{[\phi]\in\mu^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta}): \forall i=1,\ldots,n-3,\ \exists\,m_i\in\mathbb{Z},\ \{\vartheta_{b_i},\vartheta_{(\tau_{b_i})^{m_i}d_i}\}([\phi])\neq 0\big\}.
$$

Note that $\mathcal{E} \subset \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ is open and measurable since

$$
\varepsilon = \mu^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-3} \bigcup_{m_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \{ \vartheta_{b_i}, \vartheta_{(\tau_{b_i})^{m_i} d_i} \}^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}).
$$

We claim that $\mathcal E$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Lemma [2.12.](#page-10-2) This follows from Lemma [3.7](#page-16-0) and Lemma [3.8](#page-16-1) below.

Remark 3.2. It is worth pointing out that the definition of the set ε does not depend on the function $f : \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}} \to \mathbb{R}$ that we fixed previously. One may wonder if \mathcal{E} is actually

Figure 2. On top: the 4-punctured sphere and the curves b_1, d_1 . On the bottom: the flows of Φ_{h_1} and Φ_{d_1} seen as rotations around two perpendicular axes of the 2-sphere when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4$.

distinct from $\mu^{-1}(\hat{\Delta})$. The answer in general remains unknown to the author; however there exist special symmetric cases where the answer is yes.

Assume for simplicity that $n = 4$. Recall that for $n = 4$ the character variety of Deroin– Tholozan representations is symplectomorphic to the 2-sphere. Assume further that $\alpha_1 =$ $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4$. In this case, the Hamiltonian flows Φ_{b_1} and Φ_{d_1} are rotations around two perpendicular axes of the 2-sphere. We can think of the two fixed points of Φ_{b_1} as the poles of the sphere and the two fixed points of Φ_{d_1} as two diametrically opposite points on the equator (see Figure [2\)](#page-13-0). Denote the fixed points of Φ_{d_1} by $[\phi_1]$ and $[\phi_2]$. The equator is the Φ_{b_1} -orbit characterized by $(\vartheta_{b_1})^{-1}(\pi)$. Hence it holds $\tau_{b_1}[\phi_1] = [\phi_2]$ and $\tau_{b_1}[\phi_2] = [\phi_1]$ by Proposition [2.10.](#page-10-0) In particular, because the Hamiltonian vector field of ϑ_{d_1} vanishes at $[\phi_1]$ and $[\phi_2]$, it holds

$$
\{\vartheta_{b_1},\vartheta_{d_1}\}\big((\tau_{b_1})^m[\phi_1]\big)=0, \quad \forall m\in\mathbb{Z}.
$$

Anticipating Lemma [3.6,](#page-14-3) we deduce that

$$
\{\vartheta_{b_1},\vartheta_{(\tau_{b_1})^m d_1}\}([\phi_1]) = \{\vartheta_{b_1},\vartheta_{(\tau_{b_1})^m d_1}\}([\phi_2]) = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Therefore $[\phi_1], [\phi_2] \in \mu^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}) \setminus \mathcal{E}$.

3.2. Two technical lemmata

The proof that $\mathcal E$ is connected and has full measure relies on the following key lemma and its corollary. The proof of the key lemma is postponed to Section [5](#page-19-0) and that of its corollary to Section [3.3](#page-14-4) below.

Lemma 3.3 (Key lemma). *For every* $i = 1, \ldots, n - 3$, every orbit of the Hamiltonian flow Φ_{b_i} contained inside $\mu^{-1}(\stackrel{\circ}{\Delta})$ contains at most two points at which $\{\vartheta_{b_i}, \vartheta_{d_i}\}$ vanishes.

Moreover, if two such points exist, then they are diametrically opposite, i.e. they are images of each other under $\Phi_{h_i}^{t_0}$ $\frac{1}{b_i}$ where t_0 is half the minimal period of the corresponding *orbit (here* $t_0 = \pi/2$).

A particular case where two diametrically opposite points as in the conclusion of Lemma [3.3](#page-14-2) exist is described in Remark [3.2](#page-12-1) and illustrated on Figure [2.](#page-13-0) Lemma [3.3](#page-14-2) has the following implication on the structure of $\mathcal E$.

Corollary 3.4. *The set* E *contains all irrational orbits of the Hamiltonian flows* $\Phi_{b_1}, \ldots, \Phi_{b_{n-3}}.$

The third hypothesis of Lemma [2.12,](#page-10-2) namely that f is locally constant almost everywhere on \mathcal{E} , is a consequence of the ergodicity of irrational circle rotations and of the following result. Consider the unit hypercube $[0, 1]^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For $i = 1, ..., n$, denote by $\pi_i: [0, 1]^n \to [0, 1]^{n-1}$ the projection map defined by forgetting the *i*th component.

Lemma 3.5 (Rectangle trick). Let $\varphi \in L^1([0,1]^n)$. Assume that there exist full-measure sets $E_1, \ldots, E_n \subset [0, 1]^{n-1}$ such that for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and for all $x \in E_i$, $\varphi \upharpoonright_{\pi_i^{-1}(x)}$ is *constant almost everywhere. Then* φ *is constant almost everywhere.*

The case $n = 2$ of Lemma [3.5](#page-14-1) reads as follows: any integrable function which is constant almost everywhere on almost every vertical and horizontal line in a rectangle is constant almost everywhere on the rectangle. Lemma [3.5](#page-14-1) is certainly known to experts. However, there is a lack of concrete references in the existing literature and therefore we provide a proof of Lemma [3.5](#page-14-1) in Section [4.](#page-17-0) We now prove that $\mathcal E$ satisfies the three hypotheses of Lemma [2.12.](#page-10-2)

3.3. First and second hypotheses

We start with a useful formula.

Lemma 3.6. Let a, b be two simple closed curves on Σ_n . Then, for any integer m, it holds

$$
\{\vartheta_a,\vartheta_{(\tau_a)^m b}\}([\phi]) = \{\vartheta_a,\vartheta_b\}((\tau_a)^m[\phi]), \quad \forall [\phi] \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{DT}}.
$$

Proof. Let $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$. It suffices to check that

$$
\{\vartheta_a, \vartheta_{\tau_a b}\}([\phi]) = \{\vartheta_a, \vartheta_b\}(\tau_a[\phi]).
$$

The general formula follows by induction. We compute

$$
\vartheta_{\tau_a b}([\phi]) = \vartheta(\phi(\tau_a b)) = \vartheta((\tau_a \phi)(b)) = \vartheta_b(\tau_a[\phi]).
$$

The first and third equalities are an application of the definition of the functions $\vartheta_{\tau_a b}$ and ϑ_b (see [\(2.6\)](#page-9-1)). For the second equality, recall that $Mod(\Sigma_n)$ acts on Rep^{DT} by precomposition. Using Proposition [2.10,](#page-10-0) we conclude that

$$
\vartheta_{\tau_a b}([\phi]) = \vartheta_b \circ \Phi_a^{\vartheta_a([\phi])/2}([\phi]). \tag{3.2}
$$

Let X_a denote the Hamiltonian vector field of ϑ_a . For every time t it holds

$$
X_a(\Phi_a^t([\phi])) = (d\Phi_a^t)_{[\phi]}(X_a([\phi])).
$$

In particular, for $t = \vartheta_a([\phi])/2$ we get

$$
X_a(\tau_a[\phi]) = X_a(\Phi_a^{\vartheta_a([\phi])/2}([\phi])) = (d\Phi_a^{\vartheta_a([\phi])/2})_{[\phi]}(X_a([\phi])). \tag{3.3})
$$

Thus

$$
\{\vartheta_a, \vartheta_b\}(\tau_a[\phi]) \stackrel{\text{(3.1)}}{=} (d\vartheta_b)_{\tau_a[\phi]}(X_a(\tau_a[\phi]))
$$

$$
\stackrel{\text{(3.3)}}{=} (d\vartheta_b)_{\tau_a[\phi]} \circ (d\Phi_a^{\vartheta_a([\phi])/2})_{[\phi]}(X_a([\phi]))
$$

$$
= d(\vartheta_b \circ \Phi_a^{\vartheta_a([\phi])/2})_{[\phi]}(X_a([\phi]))
$$

$$
\stackrel{\text{(3.2)}}{=} (d\vartheta_{\tau_a b})_{[\phi]}(X_a([\phi]))
$$

$$
\stackrel{\text{(3.1)}}{=} \{\vartheta_a, \vartheta_{\tau_a b}\}([\phi]).
$$

The middle equality is an application of the chain rule. This concludes the proof of the lemma.

We now proceed with the proof of Corollary [3.4](#page-14-5) assuming that Key Lemma [3.3](#page-14-2) holds.

Proof of Corollary [3.4](#page-14-5). Let $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ be a point on some irrational orbit of the Hamiltonian flows $\Phi_{b_1}, \ldots, \Phi_{b_{n-3}}$. We want to prove that $[\phi] \in \mathcal{E}$.

Assume *ab absurdo* that $[\phi] \notin \mathcal{E}$, i.e. there exists $i \in \{1, ..., n-3\}$ such that

$$
\{\vartheta_{b_i}, \vartheta_{(\tau_{b_i})^m d_i}\}([\phi]) = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Proposition [2.10](#page-10-0) implies that $\vartheta_{b_i}(\tau_{b_i}[\phi]) = \vartheta_{b_i}([\phi])$ and hence

$$
(\tau_{b_i})^m[\phi] = \Phi_{b_i}^{m\vartheta_{b_i}([\phi])/2}([\phi]), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

So, by Lemma [3.6](#page-14-3) we obtain

$$
\{\vartheta_{b_i},\vartheta_{d_i}\}\big(\Phi_{b_i}^{m\vartheta_{b_i}([\phi])/2}([\phi])\big)=0, \quad \forall m\in\mathbb{Z}.
$$

Since by assumption $\vartheta_{b_i}([\phi]) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \pi \mathbb{Q}$, all the points $\Phi_{b_i}^{m \vartheta_{b_i}([\phi])/2}$ $\int_{b_i}^{m v_{b_i}(\{\varphi\})/2} (\lbrack \phi \rbrack)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a dense subset of the Φ_{b_i} -orbit of [ϕ]. Hence, by continuity, the function $\{\vartheta_{b_i}, \vartheta_{d_i}\}$ vanishes on the whole Φ_{b_i} -orbit of [ϕ]. This is a contradiction to Key Lemma [3.3.](#page-14-2) So, we conclude as expected that $\lbrack \phi \rbrack \in \mathcal{E}$.

Lemma 3.7. *The set* \mathcal{E} *is connected and satisfies* $\nu_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{E}) = 1$ *.*

Proof. The toric manifold structure on $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ implies that $\mu^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}) \subset \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ is symplectomorphic to the product of Δ with the standard $(n - 3)$ -torus. So, Corollary [3.4](#page-14-5) immediately implies that $\nu_g(\mathcal{E}) = 1$ because $\mathring{\Delta} \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \pi \mathbb{Q})^{n-3}$ has full measure in $\mathring{\Delta}$ and $\nu_{\mathcal{G}}(\mu^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta})) = 1.$

We now prove that $\mathcal E$ is connected. The proof essentially uses that $\hat \Delta$ is connected. Assume that $\mathcal{E} = A \cup B$ where $A, B \subset \mathcal{E}$ are open and disjoint. We prove that under these assumptions either A or B is empty.

By construction $\mu(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathring{\Delta}$. Corollary [3.4](#page-14-5) says that any irrational orbit is entirely contained in $\mathcal E$. Moreover, Key Lemma [3.3](#page-14-2) also implies that any orbit $\mu^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in$ $\Delta \setminus (\mathbb{R} \setminus \pi \mathbb{Q})^{n-3}$ must intersect \mathcal{E} . So,

$$
\mu(A) \cup \mu(B) = \mathring{\Delta}.
$$

Tori being connected, each irrational orbit is contained either in A or in B. Because $\Delta \setminus$ $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \pi \mathbb{Q})^{n-3}$ is dense in $\stackrel{\circ}{\Delta}$ and both A and B are open, $\mu^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{E}$ must be contained either in A or in B for every $x \in \stackrel{\circ}{\Delta} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \setminus \pi \mathbb{Q})^{n-3}$. Hence,

$$
\mu(A) \cap \mu(B) = \emptyset.
$$

Recall that moment maps are open maps. So, both $\mu(A)$ and $\mu(B)$ are open subsets of Δ . Because Δ is connected, it follows that either $\mu(A)$ or $\mu(B)$ is empty, and consequently that either A or B is empty. This concludes the proof of the lemma.

3.4. The third hypothesis

We use the rectangle trick (Lemma [3.5\)](#page-14-1) to prove the following result.

Lemma 3.8. *For every* $[\phi] \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{[\phi]} \subset \mathcal{E}$ of $[\phi]$ such *that* f *is constant almost everywhere on* $U_{[\phi]}$ *.*

Proof. Let $[\phi] \in \mathcal{E}$. By definition of \mathcal{E} there exists for every $i = 1, ..., n - 3$ an integer m_i such that

$$
\{\vartheta_{b_i},\vartheta_{(\tau_{b_i})^{m_i}d_i}\}([\phi])\neq 0.
$$

This means that the tangent spaces to $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ in a neighbourhood of $[\phi]$ are generated by the $2(n - 3)$ Hamiltonian vector fields

$$
X_{b_i}, X_{(\tau_{b_i})^{m_i} d_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n-3.
$$

Therefore, $[\phi]$ admits a rectangular neighbourhood denoted R such that R is isometric to [0, 1]²⁽ⁿ⁻³⁾ and \Re is fibred perpendicularly to its faces by the flow lines of Φ_{b_i} and $\Phi_{(\tau_{b_i})^{m_i} d_i}$. Since \mathcal{E} is open, we can assume $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{E}$.

On almost all circle orbits of the Hamiltonian flows Φ_{b_i} and $\Phi_{(\tau_{b_i})^{m_i}d_i}$ crossing \mathcal{R} , the corresponding $2(n - 3)$ Dehn twists

$$
\tau_{b_i}, \tau_{(\tau_{b_i})^{m_i}d_i}, \quad i=1,\ldots,n-3.
$$

act by irrational rotation. Indeed, this follows from Proposition [2.10](#page-10-0) and from full-measureness of irrational numbers. Since irrational rotations are ergodic and f is by assumption $Mod(\Sigma_n)$ -invariant, it is a consequence of Lemma [2.11](#page-10-1) that f is constant almost everywhere on almost every orbit of the flows crossing $\mathcal R$. Lemma [3.5](#page-14-1) (the rectangle trick) implies that f is constant almost everywhere on R. This concludes the proof of the lemma.

Remark 3.9. For any $i = 1, \ldots, n - 3$, it holds

$$
\tau_{(\tau_{b_i})^{m_i} d_i} = (\tau_{b_i})^{m_i} \tau_{d_i} (\tau_{b_i})^{-m_i} \in \text{Mod}(\Sigma_n).
$$

This is a general fact about Dehn twists, see, e.g., [\[7,](#page-26-4) §3]. Therefore, we actually proved that the action of the subgroup $\mathcal H$ of $Mod(\Sigma_n)$ generated by the Dehn twists $\tau_{b_1},\ldots,\tau_{b_{n-3}},$ $\tau_{d_1}, \ldots, \tau_{d_{n-3}}$ on Rep_{α} is ergodic. Now, note the following. Lemma 4.1 in [\[9\]](#page-26-19) (see also [\[7,](#page-26-4) §9.3]) implies that the minimum number of (Dehn twist) generators of $Mod(\Sigma_n)$ is $\binom{n-1}{2}$ $\binom{-1}{2} - 1$ for $n \ge 3$ (recall that $Mod(\Sigma_n)$ is trivial for $n = 0, 1, 2$). Hence, for $n \ge 5$, H is a proper subgroup of Mod (Σ_n) because $\binom{n-1}{2}$ $\binom{-1}{2} - 1 > 2(n-3)$. This proves Theorem [B.](#page-1-3)

4. Proof of the rectangle trick

This section is dedicated to the proof of Lemma [3.5.](#page-14-1) For clarity, we only give a proof for the case $n = 2$. The proof immediately generalizes to higher-dimensional rectangles by induction.

The proof uses the following density result. Let $C_0^{\infty}([0, 1])$ denote the space of smooth functions of the interval with zero integral and let $L_0^1([0,1])$ denote the space of integrable functions of the interval with zero integral.

Lemma 4.1. *The space* $C_0^{\infty}([0, 1])$ *is dense inside* $L_0^1([0, 1])$ *.*

Proof. It is a well-known fact that $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ is dense inside $L^1([0, 1])$. Let $\varphi \in L^1_0([0, 1])$ $\subset L^1([0, 1])$. We want to approximate φ with a sequence of smooth functions with zero integral.

Because of the density of $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ in $L^1([0, 1])$, we can approximate φ with a sequence of smooth functions $\varphi_i \in C^\infty([0, 1])$. Consider the sequence of smooth functions

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}_i := \varphi_i - \int \varphi_i.
$$

By construction $\tilde{\varphi}_i \in C_0^{\infty}([0, 1])$. Since φ is assumed to be integrable, the sequence of integrals $\int \varphi_i$ converges to $\int \varphi = 0$. So, the sequence $\tilde{\varphi}_i \in C_0^{\infty}([0, 1])$ converges to $\varphi \in$ $L_0^1([0,1]).$ \blacksquare

Proof of Lemma [3.5](#page-14-1). Let φ : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function. We assume that φ is constant almost everywhere on almost every vertical and horizontal segment. In other words, we assume that there exist Lebesgue measurable sets E_h , $E_v \subset [0, 1]$ such that

- E_h and E_v have measure 1,
- $\phi \upharpoonright_{\{x\} \times [0,1]}$ is constant almost everywhere for every $x \in E_h$,
- $\phi \nmid_{[0,1] \times \{y\}}$ is constant almost everywhere for every $y \in E_v$.

We prove that under these assumptions φ is constant almost everywhere.

Consider the functions $c^v: E_h \to \mathbb{R}$ and $c^h: E_v \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
c^{v}(x) := \int_0^1 \varphi(x, y) \, dy, \quad c^h(y) := \int_0^1 \varphi(x, y) \, dx.
$$

In other words, $c^v(x)$ is the value of the constant reached almost everywhere by the function φ on the vertical segment $\{x\} \times [0, 1]$, i.e. $\varphi(x, y) = c^v(x)$ for every $x \in E_h$ and for almost every $y \in [0, 1]$. The analogue statement holds for the function c^h . Fubini's theorem implies that both functions c^h and c^v are measurable and of class L^1 . It is sufficient to prove that $c^h\colon E_v\to\mathbb{R}$ is constant almost everywhere to deduce that $\varphi\colon [0,1]\times [0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ is constant almost everywhere.

For the purpose of showing that c^h is constant almost everywhere, we introduce a test function $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}([0, 1])$. Using Fubini's theorem, we compute

$$
\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi(x, y) \zeta(y) \, dx \, dy = \int_0^1 \zeta(y) \int_0^1 \varphi(x, y) \, dx \, dy
$$

$$
= \int_{E_v} \zeta(y) c^h(y) \, dy
$$

Fubini's theorem also gives

$$
\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi(x, y) \zeta(y) dx dy = \int_{E_h} \int_{E_v} \varphi(x, y) \zeta(y) dy dx
$$

=
$$
\int_{E_v} \zeta(y) dy \int_{E_h} c^v(x) dx.
$$

The last expression vanishes because ζ was chosen to have zero integral. Hence

$$
\int_{E_v} \zeta(y) c^h(y) dy = 0 \tag{4.1}
$$

for every test function $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}([0, 1]).$

By Lemma [4.1](#page-17-2) we can approximate the function $c^h - \int c^h \in L_0^1([0, 1])$ with a sequence of functions $\zeta_i \in C_0^{\infty}([0, 1])$. Because of [\(4.1\)](#page-18-0) we have

$$
\int_{E_v} \zeta_i(y) \Big(c^h(y) - \int c^h \Big) dy = 0
$$

for every *i*. Therefore $c^h - \int c^h$ is the zero function in $L^1([0, 1])$. This means that c^h is constant almost everywhere and thus that φ is constant almost everywhere.

5. Proof of the key lemma

This section is dedicated to the proof of Lemma [3.3.](#page-14-2) The proof is technical and requires to make explicit computations of the Hamiltonian vector fields $X_{b_1}, \ldots, X_{b_{n-3}}$ and of the exterior derivatives of $\vartheta_{d_1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{d_{n-3}}$. To that end we start with a short recap of the local structure of relative character varieties.

5.1. Tangent spaces to relative character varieties

A representation $\phi: \pi_1(\Sigma_n) \to \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ equips the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sI}_2\mathbb{R}$ of PSL $(2,\mathbb{R})$ with the structure of a $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ -module via

$$
\pi_1(\Sigma_n) \stackrel{\phi}{\to} \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \stackrel{\mathrm{Ad}}{\to} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R}).
$$

The $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ -module obtained in this way is denoted $(\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R})_\phi$. A first-order deformations argument (see, e.g., [\[11,](#page-26-5) [18\]](#page-26-6)) shows that the tangent space to $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ at $[\phi]$ is given by the first parabolic group cohomology of $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ with coefficients in $(\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R})_{\phi}$:

$$
T_{[\phi]} \operatorname{Rep}_{\alpha}(\Sigma_n, \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})) \cong H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma_n); (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{R})_{\phi}). \tag{5.1}
$$

The identification [\(5.1\)](#page-19-1) depends on the choice of a preferred representative ϕ of the class $[\phi]$ (namely, the one that gives $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R}$ the structure of a $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ -module).

Recall that the first parabolic group cohomology of $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ can be defined as the quotient

$$
H_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma_n);(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{R})_{\phi}) = \frac{Z_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma_n);(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{R})_{\phi})}{B^1(\pi_1(\Sigma_n);(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{R})_{\phi})},\tag{5.2}
$$

where

 \bullet $Z_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma_n);(\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R})_{\phi})$ is the set of maps $v:\pi_1(\Sigma_n)\to (\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R})_{\phi}$ satisfying the cocycle condition

$$
v(xy) = v(x) + \mathrm{Ad}(\phi(x))v(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \pi_1(\Sigma_n), \tag{5.3}
$$

and the coboundary conditions

$$
\exists \xi_i \in (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{R})_{\phi}, \quad v(c_i) = \xi_i - \mathrm{Ad}(\phi(c_i))\xi_i, \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n,
$$

• $B^1(\pi_1(\Sigma_n);(\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R})_{\phi})$ is the set of maps $v:\pi_1(\Sigma_n)\to (\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R})_{\phi}$ satisfying the coboundary condition

$$
\exists \xi \in (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{R})_{\phi}, \quad v(x) = \xi - \mathrm{Ad}(\phi(x))\xi, \quad \forall x \in \pi_1(\Sigma_n).
$$

Since $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ is a full-dimensional connected component of the relative character variety Rep_α(Σ_n , PSL(2, \mathbb{R})), the tangent space of Rep_α^{DT} at [ϕ] is also identified with the first parabolic group cohomology of $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$:

$$
T_{\llbracket \phi \rrbracket} \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}} \cong H_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma_n); (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{R})_{\phi}).
$$

We consequently denote an arbitrary element of $T_{[\phi]} \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ by the equivalence class [v] of a cocycle $v \in Z_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma_n);(\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R})_{\phi})$, accordingly to the quotient [\(5.2\)](#page-19-2).

Remark 5.1. To clarify the notion of parabolic group cohomology we point out the following isomorphism. Let $\hat{\Sigma}_n$ denote the surface with boundary obtained from Σ_n by performing a real blow-up at each puncture. The boundary $\partial \hat{\Sigma}_n$ of $\hat{\Sigma}_n$ consists of the disjoint union of *n* circles. The long exact sequence in cohomology for the pair $(\hat{\Sigma}_n, \partial \hat{\Sigma}_n)$ contains the morphism

$$
j: H^1(\hat{\Sigma}_n, \partial \hat{\Sigma}_n; \mathbb{R}) \to H^1(\hat{\Sigma}_n; \mathbb{R}).
$$

The first parabolic group cohomology $H_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma_n);\mathbb{R})$ (with coefficients in the trivial $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ -module $\mathbb R$) is isomorphic to the image of the morphism j inside $H^1(\hat{\Sigma}_n; \mathbb R)$. The analogue statement remains true for a different coefficients module. In other words, parabolic cocycles are cocycles that are exact on the boundary (without the choice of a primitive).

5.2. Some preliminary computations

Our first computations concern the zeros of the exterior derivatives of the functions $\vartheta_{d_1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{d_{n-3}}$. Similar computations were already conducted in [\[5\]](#page-25-0); we include them here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 5.2. Let $a \in \pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ be a non-trivial homotopy class of loops freely homo*topic to a simple closed curve. Let* $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ *with preferred representative* ϕ *and* $[v] \in H^1_{\text{par}}(\pi_1(\Sigma_n);(\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R})_\phi)$ a tangent vector at $[\phi]$. Then

$$
(d\vartheta_a)_{[\phi]}([v]) = 0 \iff \text{tr}(\phi(a)v(a)) = 0.
$$

Proof. Consider a smooth path $[\phi_t]$ inside $\text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ with $[\phi_0] = [\phi]$ and whose tangent vector at $t = 0$ is [v]. Let $\vartheta_a(t) := \vartheta_a([\varphi_t])$. By definition of the exterior derivative:

$$
(d\vartheta_a)_{[\phi]}([v]) = \vartheta'_a(0).
$$

We choose smooth lifts in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ of $\phi_t(a) \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ which we also denote by $\phi_t(a)$. Since the trace is conjugacy invariant and $\phi_t(a)$ is conjugate to rot $_{\vartheta_a(t)}$, by definition of the function ϑ_a (see [\(2.6\)](#page-9-1)), it follows that

$$
2\cos(\vartheta_a(t)/2) = \pm \operatorname{tr}(\phi_t(a)).
$$

 \blacksquare

Applying a derivative at $t = 0$, we get

$$
-2\vartheta'_a(0)\sin(\vartheta_a(0)/2) = \pm \operatorname{tr}(v(a)\phi(a)).
$$

Since $\vartheta_a(0) \in (0, 2\pi)$ by definition of ϑ_a , it follows that $\sin(\vartheta_a(0)/2) \neq 0$ and thus

$$
\vartheta_a'(0) = 0 \iff \text{tr}(\phi(a)v(a)) = 0.
$$

The next computation concerns the Hamiltonian vector fields $X_{b_1}, \ldots, X_{b_{n-3}}$. It is convenient to introduce the following convention. Let us first fix an index $i \in \{1, \ldots, \}$ $n - 3$ with the understanding that we are working towards the proof of Lemma [3.3.](#page-14-2)

Convention 5.3. Anytime we write $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ below, we assume that ϕ is a representative of $[\phi]$ such that the unique fixed point of $\phi(b_i)$ in the upper half-plane is the complex unit. Such a representative always exists because $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on the upper half-plane.

For convenience, we introduce the notation

$$
\Xi := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{R}.
$$

Note that Ξ also belongs to $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ and projects to rot_{π} inside PSL $(2,\mathbb{R})$. Recall moreover that

$$
rott = \pm \begin{pmatrix} cos(t/2) & sin(t/2) \\ -sin(t/2) & cos(t/2) \end{pmatrix} = \pm exp(t/2 \Xi).
$$

Lemma 5.4. The Hamiltonian vector field X_{b_i} at $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ is represented by the *parabolic cocycle*

$$
X_{b_i}([\phi]):c_j \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, i+1, \\ \mathbb{E} - \mathrm{Ad}(\phi(c_j))\mathbb{E} & \text{for } j = i+2, \dots, n. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. The action of twist flow Φ_{b_i} on $[\phi]$ was computed in [\[5,](#page-25-0) Prop. 3.3]:

$$
\Phi_{b_i}^t([\phi]):c_j \mapsto \begin{cases} \phi(c_j) & \text{for } j = 1,\ldots, i+1, \\ \text{rot}_{2t} \phi(c_j) \text{rot}_{2t}^{-1} & \text{for } j = i+2,\ldots, n. \end{cases}
$$
(5.4)

Observe that [\(5.4\)](#page-21-0) is a generalization of [\(2.7\)](#page-9-0) which is the special case $t = \vartheta_{b_i}([\phi])/2$. The Hamiltonian flow Φ_{b_i} and the vector field X_{b_i} are related by

$$
\Phi_{b_i}^t([\phi])(c_j) = \exp\big(tX_{b_i}([\phi])(c_j)\big)\phi(c_j).
$$

So, $X_{b_i}([\![\phi]\!])(c_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, ..., i + 1$, and for $j = i + 2, ..., n$ we compute

$$
X_{b_i}([\phi])(c_j) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Phi_{b_i}^t([\phi])(c_j) \cdot \phi(c_j)^{-1} = \Xi - \text{Ad}(\phi(c_j))\Xi.
$$

For the last equality we used [\(5.4\)](#page-21-0) and $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}$ rot_{2t} = Ξ .

П

We combine Lemma [5.4](#page-21-1) and the cocycle formula [\(5.3\)](#page-19-3) to evaluate the parabolic cocycle $X_{b_i}([\phi])$ at $d_i = c_{i+2}^{-1} c_{i+1}^{-1}$:

$$
X_{b_i}([\phi])(d_i) = X_{b_i}([\phi])(c_{i+2}^{-1}) + \text{Ad}(\phi(c_{i+2}^{-1})) \underbrace{X_{b_i}([\phi])(c_{i+1}^{-1})}_{=0}
$$

= $\Xi - \text{Ad}(\phi(c_{i+2}^{-1})) \Xi.$ (5.5)

5.3. A reformulation of the key lemma

We make use of the previous computations to reformulate what it means for the Poisson bracket of ϑ_{b_i} and ϑ_{d_i} to vanish.

Lemma 5.5. *The Poisson bracket* $\{\vartheta_{b_i}, \vartheta_{d_i}\}$ *vanishes at* $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$ *if and only if*

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\Xi \cdot \phi(c_{i+2}^{-1})\phi(c_{i+1}^{-1})) = \operatorname{tr}(\Xi \cdot \phi(c_{i+1}^{-1})\phi(c_{i+2}^{-1})).
$$

Proof. Combining Lemma [5.2](#page-20-0) and [\(5.5\)](#page-22-0), it follows that $\{\vartheta_{b_i}, \vartheta_{d_i}\}([\phi]) = 0$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(d_i)\big(\Xi-\operatorname{Ad}(\phi(c_{i+2}^{-1}))\Xi\big)\big)=0.
$$

Because the trace is invariant under conjugation, and since

$$
Ad(\phi(c_{i+2}))\phi(d_i) = \phi(c_{i+1}^{-1})\phi(c_{i+2}^{-1}),
$$

the latter is equivalent to

$$
\text{tr}\big(\Xi \cdot \phi(d_i)\big) = \text{tr}\big(\Xi \cdot \phi(c_{i+1}^{-1})\phi(c_{i+2}^{-1})\big)
$$

which proves the lemma.

Consider an arbitrary point $[\phi_t] := \Phi_{b_i}^t([\phi])$ on the Φ_{b_i} -orbit of $[\phi] \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\alpha}^{\text{DT}}$. Thanks to [\(5.4\)](#page-21-0), Lemma [5.5](#page-22-1) implies that $\{\vartheta_{b_i}, \vartheta_{d_i}\}(\phi_t] = 0$ if and only if

$$
\text{tr}\big(\Xi \cdot \text{rot}_{2t} \,\phi(c_{i+2}^{-1})\,\text{rot}_{2t}^{-1} \,\phi(c_{i+1}^{-1})\big) = \text{tr}\big(\Xi \cdot \phi(c_{i+1}^{-1})\,\text{rot}_{2t} \,\phi(c_{i+2}^{-1})\,\text{rot}_{2t}^{-1}\big). \tag{5.6}
$$

What Lemma [3.3](#page-14-2) claims is that [\(5.6\)](#page-22-2) is satisfied for at most two different values of $t \in$ [0, π), provided that [ϕ] belongs to $\mu^{-1}(\hat{\Delta})$.

We now intend to compute [\(5.6\)](#page-22-2) further in terms of the representation ϕ . Let us introduce the notation

$$
\phi(c_{i+2}^{-1}) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi(c_{i+1}^{-1}) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Lemma 5.6. *Relation* [\(5.6\)](#page-22-2) *holds if and only if*

$$
\cos(2t)\big((a-d)(y+z)-(b+c)(x-w)\big) \n= \sin(2t)\big((x-w)(d-a)-(b+c)(y+z)\big).
$$
\n(5.7)

The proof of Lemma [5.6](#page-22-3) is a foolish computation and is postponed to the end of this section. For now, we prove Lemma [3.3](#page-14-2) under the assumption that Lemma [5.6](#page-22-3) holds.

Proof of Lemma [3.3](#page-14-2). The function $tan(2t)$ is two-to-one for $t \in [0, \pi)$. So, if [\(5.7\)](#page-22-4) holds for at least three different values of t in [0, π), then one must have

$$
\begin{cases}\n(a-d)(y+z) = (b+c)(x-w), & \text{and} \\
(x-w)(d-a) = (b+c)(y+z).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(5.8)

We claim that the system (5.8) only has trivial solutions over the real numbers, namely

$$
\begin{cases} a = d & \text{and} \quad b = -c, \quad \text{or} \\ x = w & \text{and} \quad y = -z. \end{cases}
$$

Indeed, if $a = d$, then $b = -c$, or $x = w$ and $y = -z$. Similarly, if $x = w$, then $y = -z$, or $a = d$ and $b = -c$. The case $y = -z$ leads to the analogue conclusion. If $a \neq d$, $x \neq w$ and $y \neq -z$, then

$$
\frac{y+z}{x-w} = \frac{b+c}{a-d} = -\frac{x-w}{y+z}
$$

and so $(x - w)^2 + (y + z)^2 = 0$. This is a contradiction.

In the first case, when $a = d$ and $b = -c$, we observe that $\phi(c_{i+2}^{-1})$ commutes with Ξ , and thus it commutes with rot_{θ} for all θ . Hence, if $i \neq n-3$, then [\(5.4\)](#page-21-0) implies

$$
\Phi_{b_i}^{\theta}([\phi]) = \Phi_{b_{i+1}}^{\theta}([\phi]) \text{ for every } \theta,
$$

and if $i = n - 3$, then [\(5.4\)](#page-21-0) implies

$$
\Phi_{b_{n-3}}^{\theta}([\phi]) = [\phi] \text{ for every } \theta.
$$

Both conclusions are in contradiction with the assumption that $[\phi] \in \mu^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta})$.

In the second case, when $x = w$ and $y = -z$, we observe that $\phi(c_{i+1}^{-1})$ commutes with Ξ . An analogue argument to the previous case leads to a contradiction.

Therefore, there are at most two different $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \pi)$ that satisfy [\(5.7\)](#page-22-4). Moreover, if they exist, then $|t_2 - t_1| = \pi/2$ and the corresponding points on the Φ_{b_i} -orbit are diametrically opposite. This concludes the proof of Lemma [3.3.](#page-14-2) \blacksquare

5.4. A last computation

It remains to prove Lemma [5.6](#page-22-3) to conclude the proof of Theorem [A.](#page-1-2)

Proof of Lemma [5.6](#page-22-3). To simplify the notation we will abbreviate $c = \cos(t)$ and $\epsilon =$ $sin(t)$. We first compute the left-hand side of [\(5.6\)](#page-22-2), namely

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & \epsilon \\ -\epsilon & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & -\epsilon \\ \epsilon & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}\right).
$$
(5.9)

First, note that

$$
\begin{pmatrix} c & \mathfrak{s} \\ -\mathfrak{s} & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac + cs & bc + d\mathfrak{s} \\ -a\mathfrak{s} + cc & -b\mathfrak{s} + dc \end{pmatrix}
$$

and

$$
\begin{pmatrix} c & -\mathfrak{s} \\ \mathfrak{s} & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xc - z\mathfrak{s} & yc - w\mathfrak{s} \\ x\mathfrak{s} + zc & y\mathfrak{s} + wc \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{pmatrix} c & \mathfrak{s} \\ -\mathfrak{s} & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & -\mathfrak{s} \\ \mathfrak{s} & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \star & l_1 \\ l_2 & \star \end{pmatrix},
$$

where

$$
l_1 = ayc^2 - awcs + cycs - cw s^2 + bycs + bwc^2 + dy s^2 + dwc s,
$$

$$
l_2 = -axcs + az s^2 + cxc^2 - czcs - bs s^2 - bzcs + dxc s + dzc^2.
$$

So, [\(5.9\)](#page-23-1) is equal to $l_2 - l_1$. We now compute the right-hand side of [\(5.6\)](#page-22-2), namely

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & \epsilon \\ -\epsilon & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & -\epsilon \\ \epsilon & c \end{pmatrix}\right).
$$
(5.10)

Because the trace is conjugacy invariant, [\(5.10\)](#page-24-0) is equal to

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & -\tilde{\mathbf{s}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & \tilde{\mathbf{s}} \\ -\tilde{\mathbf{s}} & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right).
$$

Since Ξ and rot_{2t} commute, [\(5.10\)](#page-24-0) is further equal to

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\-1&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}c&-s\\s&c\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x&y\\z&w\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}c&s\\-s&c\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a&b\\c&d\end{pmatrix}\right).
$$

Now, we can use the previous computations to get

$$
\begin{pmatrix} c & -\mathfrak{s} \\ \mathfrak{s} & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & \mathfrak{s} \\ -\mathfrak{s} & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \star & r_1 \\ r_2 & \star \end{pmatrix},
$$

where

$$
r_1 = bx^2 + dxc = -bz^2 - dy^2 - byc = + dyc^2 + bw^2 - dwc =
$$

$$
r_2 = axc = + cxs^2 + azc^2 + czc = -ay^2 + cyc = -awc = + cwc^2.
$$

So, [\(5.10\)](#page-24-0) is equal to $r_2 - r_1$.

Therefore, [\(5.6\)](#page-22-2) holds if and only if $l_2 - l_1 = r_2 - r_1$. It holds $l_2 - l_1 = r_2 - r_1$ if and only if

$$
-axcs + azs2 + cxc2 - czcs - bxs2 - bzcs + dxcs + dzc2
$$

\n
$$
-ayc2 + awcs - cycs + cws2 - bycs - bwc2 - dys2 - dwcs
$$

\n
$$
= axcs + cxs2 + azc2 + czcs - ays2 + cycs - awcs + cwc2
$$

\n
$$
-bxc2 - dxcs + bzcs + dzs2 + bycs - dyc2 - bws2 + dwcs.
$$

We group all the terms containing cs on the left-hand side and all the terms containing $c²$ and ϵ^2 on the other side:

$$
2cs(-ax - cz - bz + dx + aw - cy - by - dw)
$$

= $(c^2 - s^2)(-cx + az + ay + cw - bx - dz - dy + bw).$

We factorize and use that $c^2 - s^2 = \cos(2t)$ and $2c\mathfrak{s} = \sin(2t)$:

$$
\sin(2t)\big((x-w)(d-a)-(b+c)(y+z)\big) \\
= \cos(2t)\big((a-d)(y+z)-(b+c)(x-w)\big).
$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma [5.6.](#page-22-3)

Acknowledgments. I am deeply grateful to my doctoral advisers Peter Albers and Anna Wienhard whose office doors were always open for inspirational discussions. I would like to thank Nicolas Tholozan for suggesting the project. A special thank goes to my friend Andy Sanders who always showed a great moral support in the dark hours of doctoral research.

I would like to address my gratitude to Nguyen-Thi Dang and to my old friend Quentin Posva for fruitful conversations that led to the proof of Lemma [3.5.](#page-14-1) I also thank Yohann Bouilly and Julien Marché for pointing out relevant articles and for sharing unpublished work.

Funding. This work is supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC-2181/1-390900948 (the Heidelberg STRUCTURES Excellence Cluster), the Transregional Collaborative Research Center CRC/TRR 191 (281071066) and the Research Training Group RTG 2229 (281869850).

References

- [1] R. L. Benedetto and W. M. Goldman, The topology of the relative character varieties of a quadruply-punctured sphere. *Experiment. Math.* 8 (1999), no. 1, 85–103 Zbl [0957.57003](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0957.57003&format=complete) MR [1685040](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1685040)
- [2] Y. Bouilly, On the Torelli group action on compact character varieties. 2020, arXiv[:2001.08397v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.08397v1)
- [3] M. Burger, A. Iozzi, and A. Wienhard, Surface group representations with maximal Toledo invariant. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 172 (2010), no. 1, 517–566 Zbl [1208.32014](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1208.32014&format=complete) MR [2680425](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2680425)
- [4] A. Cannas da Silva, *Lectures on symplectic geometry*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1764, Springer, Berlin, 2001 Zbl [1016.53001](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1016.53001&format=complete) MR [1853077](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1853077)
- [5] B. Deroin and N. Tholozan, Supra-maximal representations from fundamental groups of punctured spheres to PSL $(2, \mathbb{R})$. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* (4) **52** (2019), no. 5, 1305–1329 Zbl [1473.57045](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1473.57045&format=complete) MR [4057784](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4057784)

п

- [6] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward, *Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory*. Grad. Texts in Math. 259, Springer, London, 2011 Zbl [1206.37001](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1206.37001&format=complete) MR [2723325](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2723325)
- [7] B. Farb and D. Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*. Princeton Math. Ser. 49, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012 Zbl [1245.57002](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1245.57002&format=complete) MR [2850125](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2850125)
- [8] L. Funar and J. Marché, The first Johnson subgroups act ergodically on SU_2 -character varieties. *J. Differential Geom.* 95 (2013), no. 3, 407–418 Zbl [1294.30087](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1294.30087&format=complete) MR [3128990](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3128990)
- [9] T. Ghaswala and R. R. Winarski, The liftable mapping class group of balanced superelliptic covers. *New York J. Math.* 23 (2017), 133–164 Zbl [1402.57003](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1402.57003&format=complete) MR [3611078](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3611078)
- [10] S. Ghazouani, Mapping class group dynamics on Aff (\mathbb{C}) -characters. Ann. Inst. Fourier *(Grenoble)* 66 (2016), no. 2, 729–751 Zbl [1355.57003](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1355.57003&format=complete) MR [3477889](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3477889)
- [11] W. M. Goldman, The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces. *Adv. in Math.* 54 (1984), no. 2, 200–225 Zbl [0574.32032](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0574.32032&format=complete) MR [762512](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=762512)
- [12] W. M. Goldman, Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations. *Invent. Math.* 85 (1986), no. 2, 263–302 Zbl [0619.58021](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0619.58021&format=complete) MR [846929](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=846929)
- [13] W. M. Goldman, Ergodic theory on moduli spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 146 (1997), no. 3, 475– 507 Zbl [0907.57009](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0907.57009&format=complete) MR [1491446](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1491446)
- [14] W. M. Goldman, Mapping class group dynamics on surface group representations. In *Problems on mapping class groups and related topics*, pp. 189–214, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 74, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006 Zbl [1304.57025](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1304.57025&format=complete) MR [2264541](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2264541)
- [15] W. M. Goldman, S. Lawton, and E. Z. Xia, The mapping class group action on SU(3)-character varieties. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 41 (2021), no. 8, 2382–2396 Zbl [1472.22013](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1472.22013&format=complete) MR [4283277](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4283277)
- [16] W. M. Goldman and E. Z. Xia, Ergodicity of mapping class group actions on SU(2)-character varieties. In *Geometry, rigidity, and group actions*, pp. 591–608, Chicago Lectures in Math., Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2011 Zbl [1283.22016](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1283.22016&format=complete) MR [2807844](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2807844)
- [17] W. M. Goldman and E. Z. Xia, Action of the Johnson-Torelli group on representation varieties. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 140 (2012), no. 4, 1449–1457 Zbl [1244.57007](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1244.57007&format=complete) MR [2869130](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2869130)
- [18] K. Guruprasad, J. Huebschmann, L. Jeffrey, and A. Weinstein, Group systems, groupoids, and moduli spaces of parabolic bundles. *Duke Math. J.* 89 (1997), no. 2, 377–412 Zbl [0885.58011](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0885.58011&format=complete) MR [1460627](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1460627)
- [19] J. Huebschmann, Symplectic and Poisson structures of certain moduli spaces. I. *Duke Math. J.* 80 (1995), no. 3, 737–756 Zbl [0852.58037](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0852.58037&format=complete) MR [1370113](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1370113)
- [20] S. Maloni, F. Palesi, and S. P. Tan, On the character variety of the four-holed sphere. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 9 (2015), no. 3, 737–782 Zbl [1330.57025](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1330.57025&format=complete) MR [3420542](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3420542)
- [21] S. Maloni, F. Palesi, and T. Yang, On type-preserving representations of thrice punctured projective plane group. *J. Differential Geom.* 119 (2021), no. 3, 421–457 Zbl [1483.57023](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1483.57023&format=complete) MR [4333027](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4333027)
- [22] J. Marché and M. Wolff, The modular action on $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -characters in genus 2. *Duke Math. J.* 165 (2016), no. 2, 371–412 Zbl [1353.37056](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1353.37056&format=complete) MR [3457677](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3457677)
- [23] J. Marché and M. Wolff, Six-point configurations in the hyperbolic plane and ergodicity of the mapping class group. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 13 (2019), no. 2, 731–766 Zbl [1431.37029](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1431.37029&format=complete) MR [3950649](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3950649)
- [24] A. Maret, Action-angle coordinates for surface group representations in genus zero. 2022, arXiv[:2110.13896](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.13896)
- [25] G. Mondello, Topology of representation spaces of surface groups in $PSL₂(\mathbb{R})$ with assigned boundary monodromy and nonzero Euler number. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 12 (2016), no. 3, 399– 462 Zbl [1394.30030](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1394.30030&format=complete) MR [3767231](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3767231)
- [26] F. Palesi, Ergodic actions of mapping class groups on moduli spaces of representations of non-orientable surfaces. *Geom. Dedicata* 151 (2011), 107–140 Zbl [1213.57005](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1213.57005&format=complete) MR [2780741](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2780741)
- [27] D. Pickrell and E. Z. Xia, Ergodicity of mapping class group actions on representation varieties. I. Closed surfaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 77 (2002), no. 2, 339–362 Zbl [1004.22010](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1004.22010&format=complete) MR [1915045](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1915045)
- [28] D. Pickrell and E. Z. Xia, Ergodicity of mapping class group actions on representation varieties. II. Surfaces with boundary. *Transform. Groups* 8 (2003), no. 4, 397–402 Zbl [1038.22007](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1038.22007&format=complete) MR [2015257](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2015257)
- [29] T. Yang, On type-preserving representations of the four-punctured sphere group. *Geom. Topol.* 20 (2016), no. 2, 1213–1255 Zbl [1347.57002](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1347.57002&format=complete) MR [3493103](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3493103)

Received 12 January 2021.

Arnaud Maret

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 205, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; amaret@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de