# There are no exotic actions of diffeomorphism groups on 1-manifolds

## Lei Chen and Kathryn Mann

**Abstract.** Let *M* be a manifold and *N* a 1-dimensional manifold. Assuming that  $r \neq \dim(M) + 1$ , we show that any nontrivial homomorphism  $\rho$ :  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M) \to \text{Homeo}(N)$  has a standard form: necessarily *M* is 1-dimensional, and there are countably many embeddings  $\phi_i : M \to N$  with disjoint images such that the action of  $\rho$  is conjugate (via the product of the  $\phi_i$ ) to the diagonal action of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  on  $M \times M \times \cdots$  on  $\bigcup_i \phi_i(M)$ , and trivial elsewhere. This solves a conjecture of Matsumoto. We also show that the groups  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  have no countable index subgroups.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\operatorname{Diff}_{c}^{r}(M)$  denote the identity component (in the compact-open  $C^{r}$  topology) of the group of compactly supported  $C^{r}$  diffeomorphisms of a manifold M, for  $0 \le r \le \infty$ . These groups are locally contractible, so in fact  $\operatorname{Diff}_{c}^{r}(M)$  agrees with the group of diffeomorphisms which are isotopic to the identity through a compactly supported isotopy. When we speak of  $\operatorname{Diff}_{r}^{r}(M)$ , we assume that manifolds admit a  $C^{r}$  structure, and a metric structure in the  $C^{0}$  case, but are otherwise arbitrary. In this paper, we prove the following statement.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let M be a connected manifold, and  $\rho$ : Diff $_{c}^{r}(M) \to \text{Homeo}(N)$  is a nontrivial homomorphism, where  $N = S^{1}$  or  $N = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \neq \dim(M) + 1$ . Then  $\dim(M) = 1$ and there are countably many disjoint embeddings  $\phi_{i} : M \to N$  such that  $\rho(g)|_{\phi_{i}(M)} = \phi_{i}g\phi_{i}^{-1}$  and  $N - \bigcup_{i} \phi_{i}(M)$  is globally fixed by the action.

This proves [12, Conjecture 1.3] and generalizes works of Mann [8], Militon [13], and Matsumoto [12], but with an independent proof. Matsumoto's work [12] proves an analogous result when the target is Diff<sup>1</sup>(N) using rigidity theorems of [3] for solvable affine subgroups of Diff<sup>1</sup>( $\mathbb{R}$ ). This generalized [8], which proved the result for homomorphisms to Diff<sup>2</sup>(N) using Kopell's lemma. Militon [13] studies homomorphisms where the source is the group of *homeomorphisms* of M. Our proof here is comparatively short, and is self-contained modulo the standard but difficult result that Diff $_c^r(M)$ , for  $r \neq \dim(M) + 1$ , is a simple group, due to Anderson, Mather, and Thurston [1, 10, 11, 18]. Whether simplicity holds for  $r = \dim(M) + 1$  is an open question; this is responsible for our restrictions on dimension in the statement.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57S05; Secondary 57M60, 54H15, 37E10. *Keywords*. Homeomorphism groups, diffeomorphism groups, group actions on a circle.

Theorem 1.1 is already known in the case where  $\rho$  is assumed to be continuous; it is a consequence of the orbit classification theorem of [5], and was likely known to others before. In the case where the target is the group of smooth diffeomorphisms of N, this also follows from work of Hurtado [6] who proves additionally that any such homomorphism is necessarily (weakly) continuous. Here we make no assumptions on continuity, however, our proof suggests that diffeomorphism groups exhibit "automatic continuity"—like properties. Specifically, we show the following *small index property*.

**Theorem 1.2** (The small index property of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$ ). If  $r \neq \dim(M) + 1$ , then  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  has no proper countable index subgroup. Equivalently,  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  has no nontrivial homomorphism to the permutation group  $S_{\infty}$ .

This is in stark contrast with the case for finite dimensional Lie groups, where we have the following.

Theorem 1.3 (Thomas [17] and Kallman [7]). There is an injective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \to S_\infty.$$

Thus, one consequence of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 is that there is no nontrivial homomorphism from  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  into a linear group. Of course, this is nearly immediate if one considers only continuous homomorphisms, since  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  is infinite dimensional, and one may simply quote the invariance of domain theorem.

If G is a group with a non-open subgroup H of countable index, then the action of G on the coset space G/H gives a discontinuous homomorphism to  $S_{\infty}$ . This is one of very few known general recipes for producing discontinuous group homomorphisms (see [16]), so it gives some (weak) evidence that  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  might have the automatic continuity property already known to hold for Homeo(M) by [9]. Automatic continuity also holds for homomorphisms between groups of smooth diffeomorphisms by work of Hurtado [6].

Theorem 1.1 also gives new examples of left orderable groups that do not act on the line. It is a well-known fact that any *countable* group with a left-invariant total order admits a faithful homomorphism to Homeo<sub>+</sub>( $\mathbb{R}$ ). For r > 0, the groups Diff<sup>r</sup><sub>c</sub>( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) for r > 0 are known to be left-orderable: the Thurston stability theorem [19] implies that they are locally indicable (any finitely generated subgroup surjects to  $\mathbb{Z}$ ), which implies that they are left-orderable by the Burns-Hale theorem ([4], see also [14, Corollary 2]). Thus, we have the following.

**Corollary 1.4.** For r > 0, the group  $\text{Diff}_c^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is left-orderable but has no faithful action on the line or the circle.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 uses the idea from the first step of the proof of automatic continuity for homeomorphism groups of [9], following Rosendal [15]. This result is then used to prove Theorem 1.1 by constraining the supports and fixed sets of elements for the action on N. We are then able to use this information to build a map from M to N.

#### 2. Proof of the small index property

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. The proof follows a strategy in [9, 15] used in the proof of automatic continuity of Homeo(M).

*Proof.* Let M be a manifold and  $r \neq \dim(M) + 1$ . Let  $G = \text{Diff}_c^r(M)$ , and for an open subset  $U \subset M$ , denote by  $G_U$  the subgroup of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  consisting of maps with compact support contained in U and isotopic to the identity via an isotopy compactly supported in U. Thus,  $G_U \cong \text{Diff}_c^r(U)$ . (Note that  $\text{Diff}_c^r(U)$  is locally contractible, and in particular path connected, for all  $0 \le r \le \infty$ .)

Suppose for contradiction that  $H \subset G$  is a countable index subgroup. We will show in Step 1 that there is some ball U in M such that  $G_U \subset H$ . After this, we will show (Step 2) that H acts transitively on M, thus every  $x \in M$  is contained in some open set  $U_x$  such that  $G_{U_x} \subset H$ . The *fragmentation property* states that  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  is generated by the union of such sets  $G_{U_x}$  (this is true for any collection of sets  $U_x$  which form an open cover of M; see [2, Chapter 1]), so this is sufficient to prove H = G.

Step 1: there is some open ball U in M such that  $G_U \subset H$ . Let  $g_1H, g_2H, \ldots$  denote the left cosets of H. Let  $B \subset M$  be an open ball, and take a sequence of disjoint balls  $B_i \subset B$  such that  $\bigcup B_i \subset B$ , with diameter tending to 0 and such that the sequence  $B_i$  Hausdorff converges to a point inside B.

We first claim that there exists some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and a neighborhood  $U_j$  of the identity element of  $G_{B_j}$  such that the following holds:

(\*) for every  $f \in \mathcal{U}_j$ , there exists  $w_f \in g_j H \cap G_B$  such that the restriction of  $w_f$  to  $\mathcal{U}_j$  agrees with f.

Given (\*), then we have  $w_{id}^{-1}w_f \in Hg_j^{-1}g_jH = H$ , and  $w_{id}^{-1}w_f$  restricts to f on  $B_j$ . This shows that every element in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  agrees with the restriction of an element of H to  $B_j$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_j$  is an identity neighborhood of  $G_{B_j}$  and  $G_{B_j}$  is by definition connected,  $\mathcal{U}_j$  generates  $G_{B_j}$  and we conclude that every element of  $G_{B_j}$  agrees with the restriction of an element of an element of an element of H to  $B_j$ .

We prove this claim by contradiction, using a standard diagonal argument. Inductively, choose neighborhoods  $\mathcal{U}_i$  of the identity in  $G_{B_i}$  so that for any sequence of diffeomorphisms  $f_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ , the infinite composition  $\prod_i f_i$  defines an element of G. Supposing that our claim is not true for any  $\mathcal{U}_j$ , then for each i we can find  $f_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$  such that there does not exist any  $w_i \in g_i H$  supported in B satisfying  $w_i|_{B_i} = f|_{B_i}$ . Let  $w = \prod_i f_i$ . Then  $w \in g_j H$  for some j since  $\bigcup_k g_k H = G$ . Moreover, the support of w is in B, the restriction of w and that of f on  $B_j$  are the same and we have  $w \in g_j H$ . This is a contradiction and proves the claim.

Now we use a commutator trick. Apply the same argument as above using  $B_j$  in place of B. We find a smaller ball  $B' \subset B_j$  such that every element  $f \in G_{B'}$  agrees with the restriction to B' of an element  $v_f \in H$ , and  $v_f$  is supported on  $B_j$ . Since  $\text{Diff}_c^r(B')$  is perfect [1, 10, 11, 18], any element  $f \in \text{Diff}_c^r(B')$  may be written as a product of commutators  $f = \prod_{i=1}^k [a_i, b_i]$ . The commutator length k of course depends on f, but this is unimportant to us. We have  $[a_i, b_i] = [v_{a_i}, w_{b_i}]$  since the supports of  $v_{a_i}$  and  $w_{b_i}$  intersect only in B', and so  $f = \prod [v_{a_i}, w_{b_i}] \in H$ . This ends the proof of the first step.

**Step 2: transitivity.** To prove transitivity, let B' be the ball from Step 1, and let  $x \in B'$ . Suppose that  $y \in M$  is some point *not* in the orbit of x. Let  $f_t$  be a flow such that  $f_t(y) \in B'$  for all  $t \in (1, 2)$ . Such a flow can be defined to have support on a neighborhood of a path from x to y. Since B' lies in the orbit of x under H, we have that  $f_t \notin H$  for  $t \in (1, 2)$ . We know that  $H \cap \{f_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a countable index subgroup of  $\{f_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \cong \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, it must intersect every open interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ ; this gives the desired contradiction. As explained above, Steps 1 and 2 together with fragmentation complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

As an immediate consequence, we can conclude that any fixed point free action of such a group on the line or circle is minimal.

**Corollary 2.1.** With the same restrictions on r as above, if  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $S^1$  without global fixed points, then there are no invariant open sets. In particular, every orbit is dense.

*Proof.* Suppose that the action has an invariant open set. Then  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  permutes the (countably many) connected components of U. The stabilizer of an interval is a countable index subgroup, so, by Theorem 1.2, the permutation action is trivial. Thus each interval is fixed and their endpoints are global fixed points.

# 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we set the following notation. As in the previous section, we fix some  $r \neq \dim(M) + 1$  and when  $U \subset M$  is an open set we denote by  $G_U$  the subgroup of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  consisting of maps with compact support contained in U and isotopic to the identity via an isotopy compactly supported in U. We additionally use the notation  $G^U \subset \text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  for the set of elements that pointwise fix U. The *open support* of a homeomorphism g is the set Osupp(g) := M - Fix(g); as is standard, the *support* of g is defined to be the closure of Osupp(g).

*Proof.* We will assume that the action on N has no global fixed points, since if the action does have fixed points, then  $N - Fix(\rho)$  is a union of open intervals, each with a fixed-point free action of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$ , so it suffices to understand such actions. In this case, we will show that there is a single homeomorphism  $\phi : M \to N$  such that the action on N is induced by conjugation by  $\phi$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For any action, if  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , then  $Osupp(\rho(G_U)) \cap Osupp(\rho(G_V)) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G_U$  and  $G_V$  commute,  $\rho(G_V)$  preserves  $Osupp(\rho(G_U))$ , permuting its connected components. By Theorem 1.2, this action is trivial. Let I be a connected component of  $Osupp(\rho(G_U))$ . Suppose that  $\rho(G_V)$  acts nontrivially on I. Since  $G_V$  is a simple group, its action on I is faithful. Since  $G_V$  is *not* abelian, Hölder's theorem implies that some nontrivial  $\rho(g) \in \rho(G_V)$  acts with a fixed point. But then  $\rho(G_U)$  permutes the connected

components of  $I - \text{Osupp}(\rho(g))$ , and this permutation action is trivial. Thus,  $\rho(G_U)$  has a fixed point in I, contradicting that  $I \subset \text{Osupp}(\rho(G_U))$ .

We observe the following consequence of the fragmentation property:

**Observation 3.2.** If  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$ , then  $G^U$  and  $G^V$  generate  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  because  $G^U \supset$  $G_{M-\overline{U}}$  and  $G^V \supset G_{M-\overline{V}}$ , and  $M-\overline{V}$  and  $M-\overline{U}$  cover M. Consequently, our assumption that there are no global fixed points for the action implies that

Fix 
$$(\rho(G^U)) \cap$$
 Fix  $(\rho(G^V)) = \emptyset$ .

Our next goal is to define a map from M to N. For each  $x \in M$ , pick a neighborhood basis  $U_n(x)$  of x so  $\bigcap_n U_n(x) = \{x\}$ . Let  $S_x = \bigcap_n \text{Osupp}(\rho(G_{U_n(x)}))$  and let  $T_x = \bigcap_n \operatorname{Fix}(\rho(G^{U_n(x)}))$ . Note that the sets  $S_x$  and  $T_x$  are independent of the choice of neighborhood basis.

**Lemma 3.3.** If  $x \neq y$ , then  $S_x \cap S_y = \emptyset$  and  $T_x \cap T_y = \emptyset$ . Also,  $S_x$  and  $T_x$  have empty interior.

*Proof.* The first assertion follows immediately from Lemma 3.1 and the second because  $T_x \cap T_y$  would be globally fixed by  $\rho$  by our observation above. Furthermore, if g(x) = y, then  $\rho(g)(U_n(x))$  is a neighborhood basis of y, so we have

$$\rho(g)S_x = \bigcap_n \rho(g) \operatorname{Osupp} \left( \rho(G_{U_n(x)}) \right)$$
$$= \bigcap_n \operatorname{Osupp} \left( \rho(gG_{U_n(x)}g^{-1}) \right)$$
$$= \bigcap_n \operatorname{Osupp} \left( \rho(G_{g(U_n(x))}) \right) = S_y$$

Similarly, we have  $T_y = \rho(g)T_x$ . Thus, if some  $S_x$  has nonempty interior, disjointness of  $S_x$  and  $S_y$  would give an uncountable family of disjoint open sets in N, a contradiction. The same applies to the sets  $T_x$ .

We next prove that these sets, though defined differently, are in fact the same.

**Lemma 3.4.** For all x, we have  $S_x = T_x$ .

*Proof.* Fix x and let  $U_n = U_n(x)$  be a neighborhood basis of x with the property that  $U_n \supset \overline{U_{n+1}}$  for all *n*. Thus, by Lemma 3.1,  $\rho(G_{U_{n+1}})$  and  $\rho(G_{M-\overline{U_n}})$  have disjoint open supports. Since  $G_{M-\overline{U_n}} \supset \rho(G^{U_n})$ , we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Osupp}\left(\rho(G_{U_{n+1}})\right) = N - \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho(G_{U_{n+1}})\right) \subset \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho(G_{M-\overline{U_n}})\right) \subset \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho(G^{U_n})\right).$$

Also, since  $U_n$  and  $M - U_n - 1$  have disjoint closures, Observation 3.2 implies that  $\operatorname{Fix}(\rho(G^{U_n})) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(\rho(G^{M-Un-1})) = \emptyset$ , so

$$\operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho(G^{U_n})\right) \subset \operatorname{Osupp}\left(\rho(G^{M-Un-1})\right) \subset \operatorname{Osupp}\left(\rho(G_{U_{n-2}})\right)$$

Combining the two equations above and taking a limit as  $n \to \infty$  shows that  $S_x \subset T_x \subset S_x$ , as desired.

95

Thus  $S_x \subset T_x$ . For the reverse inclusion, suppose that  $z \in T_x - S_x$ . Then

$$z \notin \text{Osupp}\left(\rho(G_{U_n})\right)$$

for some *n*; i.e.,  $z \in Fix(\rho(G_{U_n}))$ . Also  $z \in Fix(\rho(G^{U_{n+1}}))$  by the definition of  $T_x$ . But  $G_{U_n}$  and  $G^{U_{n+1}}$  together generate  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  (this again is the *fragmentation property*), so this implies that z is a global fixed point.

### **Lemma 3.5.** $S_x$ is nonempty.

*Proof.* If  $N = S^1$ , this follows immediately since  $S_x = T_x$  is the intersection of nested, nonempty closed sets. If  $N = \mathbb{R}$ , the same is true provided that  $Fix(\rho(G^{U_n(x)}))$  (or equivalently  $Osupp(\rho(G_{U_n(x)})))$  does not leave every compact set as  $n \to \infty$ . Note that this holds for some x if and only if it holds for all x because  $\rho(g)S_x = S_y$  when g(x) = y.

We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that, for each  $x \in M$ , as  $n \to \infty$  we have that  $Osupp(\rho(G_{U_n(x)}))$  does leave every compact set. Fixing some compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ , this means that for each  $x \in M$  there is a neighborhood U(x) of x such that

Osupp 
$$(\rho(G_{U(x)})) \cap K = \emptyset$$
.

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the open cover formed by such sets U(x). By fragmentation,  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  is generated by the subgroups G(U(x)). Thus,  $\text{Osupp}(\rho(\text{Diff}_c^r(M))) \cap K = \emptyset$ , contradicting the fact that  $\rho$  has no global fixed points.

**Construction of**  $\phi$ . To finish the proof, we wish to show that  $S_x$  is a singleton, and the assignment  $\phi : x \mapsto S_x$  is a homeomorphism conjugating  $\rho$  with the standard action of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  on M. We will actually show first that  $x \mapsto S_x$  is a local homeomorphism, use this to *conclude* that  $S_x$  is discrete, and proceed from there.

Step 1: definition of  $\phi$  locally. Let I = (a, b) be a connected component of  $N - S_x$ , chosen so that  $a \neq -\infty$  if  $N = \mathbb{R}$ . If  $N = S^1$  and  $S_x$  is a singleton, it is possible that both "endpoints" of this interval agree. For simplicity, we treat the case where  $a \neq b$ ; the case a = b on the circle can be handled with exactly the same strategy and in fact the argument simplifies quite a bit since  $S_x$  is already a singleton.

Fix a neighborhood basis  $U_n \supset U_{n+1} \supset \cdots$  of x. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $O_n$  the connected component of  $Osupp(\rho(G_{U_n}))$  that contains a. Since  $\bigcap_k O_k \subset S_x$  and it contains a, and since  $(a, b) \subset N - S_x$ , we can conclude that for all k sufficiently large a is the rightmost point of  $S_x \cap O_k$ .

Fix such a k. We will show that, for  $y \in U_k$ , the set  $S_y \cap O_k$  also has a rightmost point. This allows us to define a map from  $U_k$  to  $O_k$ , sending y to this rightmost point, which we will then show to be the desired local homeomorphism. First, to see that  $S_y \cap O_k$  has a rightmost point, take some  $g \in G_{U_k}$  with g(x) = y. Thus  $\rho(g)(S_x) = S_y$ . Since  $\rho(g)$ fixes endpoints of  $O_k$  by definition, we know that  $\rho(g)(a) \in S_y$  and it is the rightmost point of  $S_y \cap O_k$ . This proves our claim.

Define  $\phi : U_k \to O_k$  by setting  $\phi(y)$  to be the rightmost point of  $S_y \cap O_k$ . An equivalent definition of  $\phi$  is that  $\phi(y) := \rho(g)(a)$ , where g is any diffeomorphism in  $G_{U_k}$  such

that g(x) = y. Our argument above shows that this is independent of choice of g. Furthermore, if we repeat the definition using  $U_{k+1}$  instead of  $U_k$ , the map we will obtain is simply the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $U_{k+1}$ .

Step 2: local continuity of  $\phi$  on  $U_k$ . We first show that  $\phi$  is continuous at x. Suppose that  $x_n \to x$  is a convergent sequence. Passing to a subsequence and reindexing if needed, we may assume that  $x_n \in U_n$  and that our index set starts at k. Then we may take  $g \in G_{U_n}$  so that  $g(x) = x_n$ , so  $\phi(x_n) = \rho(g)(a)$ . Since the sequence of connected components of Osupp $(\rho(G_{U_n}))$  containing x converges to x, we get that  $\phi(x_n) \to a$ .

To show that  $\phi$  is continuous on  $U_k$ , let  $x' \in U_k$ , and take a sequence  $x'_n \to x'$  in  $U_k$ . There exists  $g \in G_{U_k}$  such that g(x) = x' and  $g^{-1}(x'_n)$  is a sequence converging to x. It follows from continuity at x that  $\phi(g^{-1}(x'_n))$  converges to  $\phi(x)$ . By definition,

$$\rho(g)\phi(g^{-1}(x'_n)) = \phi(x'_n),$$

so we conclude that  $\phi(x'_n)$  converges to  $\phi(x')$ .

Note also that  $\phi$  is injective by Lemma 3.3. Thus, by invariance of domain, we conclude that M is one-dimensional so equal to  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $S^1$ , and  $\phi$  gives a homeomorphism from  $U_k$  onto an open interval A containing a in N. In particular, this shows that a is an isolated point of  $S_x$ .

**Step 3: extension of \phi globally.** The last step is to show that  $\phi$  extends to a globally defined homeomorphism  $M \to N$ ; to do this we actually work with the inverse of  $\phi$ . First, note that the orbit of A under  $\rho(G)$  is an open,  $\rho(G)$ -invariant set, so by Corollary 2.1,  $\rho(G)(A) = N$ .

This topological transitivity implies that, for all x, every point of  $S_x$  is an isolated point, i.e.,  $S_x$  is discrete. Extend  $\phi^{-1}$  to a map  $\psi$  defined on N by setting  $\psi(S_x) = x$ . The work in step 2 and the fact that  $\rho(g)(S_x) = S_{g(x)}$  implies that  $\psi$  is a local homeomorphism, hence a covering map, and is equivariant with respect to the actions of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$ by its standard action on M and by  $\rho$  on N. If  $M = \mathbb{R}$ , we immediately conclude that  $N = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\psi$  conjugates  $\rho$  to the standard action of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(\mathbb{R})$ .

If  $M = S^1$ , we can also conclude that  $N = S^1$  because  $\text{Diff}_c^r(S^1)$  contains torsion, so cannot faithfully act on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus,  $\psi : S_x \mapsto x$  is a finite cover, and  $\rho$  is a lift of the standard action of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(S^1)$  on  $S^1$ . Identifying the rotation subgroup SO(2) with  $S^1$ , and considering  $\rho(\text{SO}(2))$  which is a continuous lift, covering space theory tells us the degree of the cover must be 1. Alternatively, one can derive a contradiction by looking at the action of finite order elements: an order two rotation lifted to a degree *d* cover will have order 2*d*.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank an anonymous referee for the help with the writing.

**Funding.** Research of the first author supported by NSF 2005409. Research of the second author supported by DMS-1844516 and a Sloan fellowship.

### References

- R. D. Anderson, The algebraic simplicity of certain groups of homeomorphisms. *Amer. J. Math.* 80 (1958), 955–963 Zbl 0090.38802 MR 98145
- [2] A. Banyaga, *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*. Math. Appl. 400, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997 Zbl 0874.58005 MR 1445290
- [3] C. Bonatti, I. Monteverde, A. Navas, and C. Rivas, Rigidity for C<sup>1</sup> actions on the interval arising from hyperbolicity I: solvable groups. *Math. Z.* 286 (2017), no. 3-4, 919–949 Zbl 1433.37030 MR 3671566
- [4] R. G. Burns and V. W. D. Hale, A note on group rings of certain torsion-free groups. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 15 (1972), 441–445 Zbl 0244.16006 MR 310046
- [5] L. Chen and K. Mann, Structure theorems for actions of homeomorphism groups. 2019, arXiv:1902.05117
- [6] S. Hurtado, Continuity of discrete homomorphisms of diffeomorphism groups. *Geom. Topol.* 19 (2015), no. 4, 2117–2154 Zbl 1322.57026 MR 3375524
- [7] R. R. Kallman, Every reasonably sized matrix group is a subgroup of  $S_{\infty}$ . Fund. Math. 164 (2000), no. 1, 35–40 Zbl 0967.20002 MR 1784652
- [8] K. Mann, Homomorphisms between diffeomorphism groups. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 35 (2015), no. 1, 192–214 Zbl 1311.57047 MR 3294298
- K. Mann, Automatic continuity for homeomorphism groups and applications. With an appendix by Frédéric Le Roux and Mann. *Geom. Topol.* 20 (2016), no. 5, 3033–3056
  Zbl 1362.57044 MR 3556355
- [10] J. N. Mather, Commutators of diffeomorphisms. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 49 (1974), 512–528
  Zbl 0289.57014 MR 356129
- [11] J. N. Mather, Commutators of diffeomorphisms. II. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 50 (1975), 33–40
  Zbl 0299.58008 MR 375382
- [12] S. Matsumoto, Actions of groups of diffeomorphisms on one-manifolds by C<sup>1</sup> diffeomorphisms. In *Geometry, dynamics, and foliations 2013*, pp. 441–451, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 72, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017 Zbl 1388.57027 MR 3726723
- [13] E. Militon, Actions of groups of homeomorphisms on one-manifolds. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 10 (2016), no. 1, 45–63 Zbl 1373.57053 MR 3460330
- [14] D. Rolfsen, A topological view of ordered groups. In *Knots in Poland III. Part III*, pp. 357–369, Banach Center Publ. 103, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2014 Zbl 1316.06020 MR 3363818
- [15] C. Rosendal, Automatic continuity in homeomorphism groups of compact 2-manifolds. *Israel J. Math.* 166 (2008), 349–367 Zbl 1155.54025 MR 2430439
- [16] C. Rosendal, Automatic continuity of group homomorphisms. *Bull. Symbolic Logic* 15 (2009), no. 2, 184–214 Zbl 1173.03037 MR 2535429
- [17] S. Thomas, Infinite products of finite simple groups. II. J. Group Theory 2 (1999), no. 4, 401–434
  Zbl 0938.20025 MR 1718758
- [18] W. Thurston, Foliations and groups of diffeomorphisms. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 80 (1974), 304–307 Zbl 0295.57014 MR 339267
- W. P. Thurston, A generalization of the Reeb stability theorem. *Topology* 13 (1974), 347–352
  Zbl 0305.57025 MR 356087

Received 21 July 2020.

### Lei Chen

Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA; chenlei@umd.edu

#### Kathryn Mann

Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA; k.mann@cornell.edu