

Noncommutative ε -graded connections*

Axel de Goursac, Thierry Masson, and Jean-Christophe Wallet

Abstract. We introduce the new notion of ε -graded associative algebras which takes its roots from the notion of commutation factors introduced in the context of Lie algebras ([39]). We define and study the associated notion of ε -derivation-based differential calculus, which generalizes the derivation-based calculus on associative algebras. A corresponding notion of noncommutative connection is also defined. We illustrate these considerations with various examples of ε -graded commutative algebras, in particular some graded matrix algebras and the Moyal algebra. This last example also permits us to interpret mathematically a noncommutative gauge field theory.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 17B75, 46L87, 81T75.

Keywords. Derivation-based differential calculus, ε -graded associative algebra, ε -derivations and connections.

1. Introduction

Noncommutative geometry finds its origins in the fact that spaces are dual to commutative algebras. For instance, a topological (Hausdorff, locally compact) space can be equivalently described by the algebra of its continuous functions, which is a commutative C^* -algebra. The noncommutative C^* -algebras would then correspond to some “noncommutative topological spaces”. This correspondence also takes place in measure theory and in differential geometry, which gives rise to noncommutative geometry ([7], [26]). This in particular has led in physics to theories describing the standard model of particle physics ([6]) coupled to gravitation in the spectral triple approach, and others as candidates for new physics beyond the standard model.

While the spectral triple approach ([7]) is actually a natural way to construct noncommutative extension of differential calculus and is intensively studied presently, the differential calculus based on the derivations of an associative algebra provides another (not so widely explored) way to produce noncommutative differential calculus. The differential calculus based on the derivations of an associative noncommutative algebra has been introduced in [12] (see also [18], [14]). Besides, further developments with possible applications to the construction of noncommutative versions of

*Work supported by ANR grant NT05-3-43374 “GenoPhy”.

Yang–Mills–Higgs type models have been carried out in [15], [16] for the algebra of matrix-valued functions and in [17], [33].

On another side, graded algebra has been studied for a long time ([37]), and its most well-known applications are the theories of supermanifolds, and of graded Lie algebras ([20]). Note also that a recent work on graded associative algebras, and in particular graded matrix algebras, has been done ([2]). A natural generalization of \mathbb{Z} -grading (or \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading) is the Γ -grading, where Γ is an abelian group. However, in order to recover similar properties as for \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie algebras, one has to define an additional structure, introduced for instance in [4] for associative algebras and studied by Scheunert [39] in the context of Lie algebras, called the commutation factor.

One can now ask for a noncommutative geometry adapted to this setting of graded algebras. It would then correspond to some “graded noncommutative spaces”. Note that projective varieties are precisely such objects at the level of noncommutative algebraic geometry (see [1] and references therein). In this paper, we study the notion of ε -graded associative algebra in relation to the derivation-based non commutative geometry. An ε -graded algebra, as it is defined here, is an associative algebra endowed with a commutation factor ε , to which one can associate canonically a structure of generalized Lie algebra ([38]) or ε -Lie algebra ([39]). The main contribution of this paper is to generalize the defining features of the differential calculus based on derivations of an associative algebra to the case of ε -graded algebras and their ε -derivations. We show in particular that the differential calculus based on ε -derivations of an ε -graded associative algebra is a general and natural framework including all the works mentioned above. Finally, we illustrate these constructions on various examples.

The notion of ε -graded algebras as it is defined and considered here is related to some constructions introduced in [4], Chapter III, in order to study in an unifying way some particular graded algebras: the tensor, the symmetric and the exterior algebras associated to modules. Let us also mention that our definition is close to some definitions of color (Lie) algebras, where a commutation factor is also used under the name of bicharacter. Our terminology is deliberately chosen from the one by Scheunert [39] who studied ε -Lie algebras. This choice is motivated by the fact that some ε -Lie algebras will be naturally associated to ε -graded associative algebras, so that it is very convenient to use his nomenclature.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1, we recall the notion of commutation factor and give relation to the theory of Schur’s multipliers. We introduce the notion of an ε -graded algebra and its ε -derivations in Section 2.2, and then we construct the differential calculus based on ε -derivations in Section 2.3 and its theory of (noncommutative) ε -connections in Section 2.4. As an illustration, we apply this formalism in Section 3.1 to ε -graded commutative algebras, for a supermanifold. Then, we study in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 the matrix algebra endowed with elementary and fine gradings, which produces interesting examples of ε -graded algebras. We

study the general properties of these ε -graded matrix algebras, their differential calculus and their space of ε -connections. Section 3.4 is related to the case of the Moyal algebra. An ε -graded algebra is constructed from the Moyal space. We apply this construction to find naturally the recently constructed candidate for a renormalizable gauge action on Moyal space ([10]) as built from a graded curvature.

2. Noncommutative geometry based on ε -derivations

2.1. Commutation factors. We recall here the principal features of the commutation factors and the Schur's multipliers. For commutation factors, we refer mainly to the extended study by Scheunert [39] for the general features, and to [4] for applications to associative algebras. Let \mathbb{K} be a field, \mathbb{K}^* its multiplicative group, and Γ an abelian group.

Definition 2.1. A *commutation factor* is a map $\varepsilon: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(i, j)\varepsilon(j, i) &= 1_{\mathbb{K}}, \\ \varepsilon(i, j + k) &= \varepsilon(i, j)\varepsilon(i, k), \\ \varepsilon(i + j, k) &= \varepsilon(i, k)\varepsilon(j, k) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $i, j, k \in \Gamma$.

Note that as trivial consequences, one has the useful relations

$$\varepsilon(i, 0) = \varepsilon(0, i) = 1_{\mathbb{K}}, \quad \varepsilon(i, i) \in \{1_{\mathbb{K}}, -1_{\mathbb{K}}\}, \quad \varepsilon(j, i) = \varepsilon(i, -j) = \varepsilon(i, j)^{-1}$$

for all $i, j \in \Gamma$.

A very simple and well-known example of such structure concerns the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ for which $\varepsilon(p, q) = (-1)^{pq}$ is a commutation factor. In fact, from a general result which will be mentioned later on, this is the only non-trivial commutation factor on \mathbb{Z} , the trivial one being $\varepsilon(p, q) = 1$. We will call this non-trivial commutation factor the natural one on \mathbb{Z} .

One can define an equivalence relation on the commutation factors of an abelian group in the following way:

Definition 2.2. Two commutation factors ε and ε' are called equivalent if there exists $f \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, the group of automorphisms of Γ , such that

$$\varepsilon'(i, j) = f^* \varepsilon(i, j) = \varepsilon(f(i), f(j)).$$

for all $i, j \in \Gamma$.

Due to the axioms (2.1), one defines the signature function $\psi_\varepsilon: \Gamma \rightarrow \{-1_{\mathbb{K}}, 1_{\mathbb{K}}\}$ of ε by $\psi_\varepsilon(i) = \varepsilon(i, i)$ for all $i \in \Gamma$, which satisfies $\psi_{f^* \varepsilon} = f^* \psi_\varepsilon$ for all $f \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let ε be a commutation factor. Let us define*

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon^0 = \{i \in \Gamma \mid \varepsilon(i, i) = 1_{\mathbb{K}}\}, \quad \Gamma_\varepsilon^1 = \{i \in \Gamma \mid \varepsilon(i, i) = -1_{\mathbb{K}}\}.$$

Then ε is called proper if $\Gamma_\varepsilon^0 = \Gamma$. This property is compatible with the equivalence relation on the commutation factors. If ε is not proper, Γ_ε^0 is a subgroup of Γ of index 2, and Γ_ε^0 and Γ_ε^1 are its residues.

With these notations, we define the signature factor of the commutation factor ε by

$$s(\varepsilon)(i, j) = \begin{cases} -1_{\mathbb{K}} & \text{if } i \in \Gamma_\varepsilon^1 \text{ and } j \in \Gamma_\varepsilon^1, \\ 1_{\mathbb{K}} & \text{if not,} \end{cases}$$

for all $i, j \in \Gamma$. $s(\varepsilon)$ is also a commutation factor such that $s(f^* \varepsilon) = f^* s(\varepsilon)$ for all $f \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let ε_1 and ε_2 be two commutation factors respectively on the abelian groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 , over the same field \mathbb{K} . Then the map ε defined by*

$$\varepsilon((i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)) = \varepsilon_1(i_1, j_1) \varepsilon_2(i_2, j_2), \quad (2.2)$$

for all $i_1, j_1 \in \Gamma_1$ and all $i_2, j_2 \in \Gamma_2$, is a commutation factor on the abelian group $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$, over \mathbb{K} .

Proposition 2.5. *Let Γ be a finitely generated abelian group and \mathbb{K} a field. Then Γ is the direct product of a finite number of cyclic groups, whose generators are denoted by $\{e_r\}_{r \in I}$. Any commutation factor on Γ over \mathbb{K} takes the form*

$$\varepsilon(i, j) = \prod_{r, s \in I} \varepsilon(e_r, e_s)^{\lambda_r \mu_s},$$

for all $i = \sum_{r \in I} \lambda_r e_r$, $j = \sum_{s \in I} \mu_s e_s \in \Gamma$ ($\lambda_r, \mu_s \in \mathbb{Z}$), and the following condition holds: If $m_{r,s}$ is the greatest common divisor of the orders $m_r \geq 0$ of e_r and $m_s \geq 0$ of e_s in Γ , then

$$\varepsilon(e_r, e_r) = \begin{cases} 1_{\mathbb{K}} & \text{if } m_r \text{ is odd for all } r \in I, \\ \pm 1_{\mathbb{K}} & \text{if } m_r \text{ is even for all } r \in I, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varepsilon(e_r, e_s)^{m_{r,s}} = 1_{\mathbb{K}} \quad \text{for all } r, s \in I.$$

The proof is straightforward and was given in [39]. This proposition gives the explicit form of a commutation factor on a finitely generated abelian group, but this is not a classification of such factors. In general, it is not easy to obtain this classification, and it is related to the theory of multipliers as we will see below, but in the following example, taken from [39], things become more simple.

Example 2.6. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, with p a prime number, let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic different from p , and let $\alpha \neq 1_{\mathbb{K}}$ be a p -th root of unity in \mathbb{K} . Then any commutation factor on Γ over \mathbb{K} takes the unique form

$$\varepsilon(i, j) = \alpha^{\varphi(i, j)},$$

for all $i, j \in \Gamma$, where φ is a bilinear form on the vector space \mathbb{Z}_p^n over the field \mathbb{Z}_p , which is symmetric if $p = 2$, and is skew-symmetric if $p \geq 3$. In the latter case, ε is proper. To equivalent commutation factors correspond equivalent (in the sense of linear algebra) bilinear forms.

Proof. We recall here the proof in [39]. Let ε be a commutation factor on Γ over \mathbb{K} . Since p is prime and different from the characteristic of \mathbb{K} , there exists a p th root of unity in \mathbb{K} , $\alpha \neq 1_{\mathbb{K}}$, and all the p th roots of unity are powers of α . Using Proposition 2.5, if $\{e_r\}_{r \in I}$ are the canonical generators of $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$, for all $r, s \in I$, $\varepsilon(e_r, e_s)^p = 1_{\mathbb{K}}$, so that there exists $m_{rs} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\varepsilon(e_r, e_s) = \alpha^{m_{rs}}$ and $m_{sr} = -m_{rs}$.

Then, for all $i = \sum_{r \in I} \lambda_r e_r$, $j = \sum_{s \in I} \mu_s e_s \in \Gamma$ ($\lambda_r, \mu_s \in \mathbb{Z}$), $\varepsilon(i, j) = \alpha^{\varphi(i, j)}$, where $\varphi(i, j) = \sum_{r, s \in I} \lambda_r \mu_s m_{rs}$ is a bilinear form. If $p \geq 3$, then $m_{rr} = 0$ for all $r \in I$, and φ is skew-symmetric. If $p = 2$, then $m_{sr} = -m_{rs} = m_{rs}$ for all $r, s \in I$, and φ is symmetric. \square

2.1.1. Schur multipliers. We will now present the theory of multipliers of an abelian group, due to Schur (also for non-abelian groups), which is related to the classification of commutation factors. Let us recall the standard definition of a factor set, closely related to a projective representation.

Definition 2.7. Let Γ be an abelian group, and \mathbb{K} a field. A *factor set* is a map $\sigma: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ such that

$$\sigma(i, j + k)\sigma(j, k) = \sigma(i, j)\sigma(i + j, k) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $i, j, k \in \Gamma$. Two factor sets σ and σ' are said to be equivalent if there exists a map $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ such that

$$\sigma'(i, j) = \sigma(i, j)\rho(i + j)\rho(i)^{-1}\rho(j)^{-1}$$

for all $i, j \in \Gamma$. The quotient of the set of factor sets by this equivalence relation is an abelian group, for the product of \mathbb{K} , and is called the multiplier group M_Γ of Γ . Each class $[\sigma] \in M_\Gamma$ is called a *multiplier*.

If σ is a factor set and $f \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, the pullback $f^*\sigma$ defined by

$$f^*\sigma(i, j) = \sigma(f(i), f(j)),$$

for all $i, j \in \Gamma$, is also a factor set. Moreover, this operation is compatible with the above equivalence relation so that the pullback can now be defined on the multipliers:

$f^*[\sigma] = [f^*\sigma]$. This defines an equivalence relation on the multipliers which is not compatible with the product.

A more refined equivalence relation involves also subgroups of Γ : if $[\sigma]$ and $[\sigma']$ are multipliers and Γ_0 and Γ_1 are subgroups of Γ , $([\sigma], \Gamma_0)$ and $([\sigma'], \Gamma_1)$ are called equivalent if there exists $f \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $f(\Gamma_1) = \Gamma_0$ and $[\sigma'] = f^*[\sigma]$.

Note that the equation (2.3) is related to the definition of cocycles of the group Γ , while the equivalence of factor sets can be reexpressed in terms of coboundaries, so that multipliers are in fact exponentials of the cohomology classes $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{K})$ of the group Γ .

2.1.2. Relation between commutation factors and multipliers. If ε is a commutation factor on Γ over \mathbb{K} , notice that, due to Definition 2.1, it is a factor set of Γ . But there is a deeper relation between commutation factors and factor sets, given by the following theorem ([39]):

Theorem 2.8. *Let Γ be an abelian group, and let \mathbb{K} be a field.*

(i) *Any multiplier $[\sigma]$ defines a unique proper commutation factor ε_σ on Γ by*

$$\varepsilon_\sigma(i, j) = \sigma(i, j)\sigma(j, i)^{-1} \quad (2.4)$$

for all $i, j \in \Gamma$.

(ii) *If Γ is finitely generated, any proper commutation factor ε on Γ can be constructed from a multiplier $[\sigma]$ by (2.4).*

(iii) *If, in addition, \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, then ε is constructed from a unique multiplier $[\sigma]$.*

(iv) *For Γ finitely generated and \mathbb{K} algebraically closed, if two proper commutation factors ε_σ and $\varepsilon_{\sigma'}$ are equivalent, then $[\sigma']$ is a pullback of $[\sigma]$.*

Proof. This theorem was partly proved in [39]. We recall and complete here the proof.

(i) Let σ be a factor set, and let $\varepsilon_\sigma(i, j) = \sigma(i, j)\sigma(j, i)^{-1}$ for $i, j \in \Gamma$. Then $\varepsilon_\sigma(i, j)\varepsilon_\sigma(j, i) = 1_{\mathbb{K}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_\sigma(i, j+k)\varepsilon_\sigma(i, j)^{-1}\varepsilon_\sigma(i, k)^{-1} \\ = \sigma(i, j+k)\sigma(i, j)^{-1}\sigma(i, k)^{-1}(\sigma(j+k, i)\sigma(j, i)^{-1}\sigma(k, i)^{-1})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j, k \in \Gamma$. By using three times the property (2.3), one obtains

$$\sigma(j+k, i) = \sigma(i, j+k)\sigma(j, i)\sigma(k, i)\sigma(i, j)^{-1}\sigma(i, k)^{-1},$$

which proves that $\varepsilon_\sigma(i, j+k) = \varepsilon_\sigma(i, j)\varepsilon_\sigma(i, k)$. The third axiom of Definition 2.1 can be proved in a similar way. If $\sigma'(i, j) = \sigma(i, j)\rho(i+j)\rho(i)^{-1}\rho(j)^{-1}$, then $\sigma'(i, j)\sigma'(j, i)^{-1} = \sigma(i, j)\sigma(j, i)^{-1}$.

(ii) Let us suppose that Γ is finitely generated, and let $\{e_r\}_{r \in I}$ be a system of generators, where I is an ordered (finite) set. Let ε be a proper commutation factor on Γ . Define $\sigma : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ by

$$\sigma(i, j) = \prod_{r < s} \varepsilon(e_r, e_s)^{\lambda_r \mu_s}$$

for all $i = \sum_{r \in I} \lambda_r e_r, j = \sum_{s \in I} \mu_s e_s \in \Gamma$ ($\lambda_r, \mu_s \in \mathbb{Z}$). Since $\varepsilon(e_r, e_r) = 1_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\varepsilon(e_r, e_s) = \varepsilon(e_s, e_r)^{-1}$ for all $r, s \in I$, one has $\varepsilon(i, j) = \sigma(i, j)\sigma(j, i)^{-1}$. And

$$\sigma(i, j+k)\sigma(j, k) = \prod_{r < s} \varepsilon(e_r, e_s)^{\lambda_r \mu_s + \lambda_r \nu_s + \mu_r \nu_s} = \sigma(i, j)\sigma(i+j, k)$$

for all $k = \sum_{r \in I} \nu_r e_r \in \Gamma$ ($\nu_r \in \mathbb{Z}$).

(iii) Let Γ be a finitely generated abelian group, \mathbb{K} an algebraically closed field, and ε a commutation factor on Γ over \mathbb{K} . Suppose that ε is constructed through (2.4) from two factor sets σ and σ' . Then $\sigma(i, j)\sigma'(i, j)^{-1} = \sigma(j, i)\sigma'(j, i)^{-1}$ for all $i, j \in \Gamma$, which means that $\sigma\sigma'^{-1}$ is a symmetric factor set. Since \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, $\sigma\sigma'^{-1}$ is equivalent to one, and σ and σ' are in the same multiplier.

(iv) If Γ is finitely generated and \mathbb{K} algebraically closed, consider two equivalent commutation factors ε and ε' : $\varepsilon' = f^*\varepsilon$, with $f \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Then there exists a unique multiplier $[\sigma]$ such that $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\sigma$. For all $i, j \in I$,

$$\varepsilon'(i, j) = \sigma(f(i), f(j))\sigma(f(j), f(i))^{-1} = \varepsilon_{f^*\sigma}(i, j).$$

By unicity of the associated multiplier of ε' , we obtain the result. □

Corollary 2.9. *Let Γ be a finitely generated abelian group, and let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field. Then*

- (i) *the proper commutation factors on Γ are classified by the equivalence classes (by pullback) of the multipliers of Γ ,*
- (ii) *the non-proper commutation factors on Γ are classified by the equivalence classes of multipliers and subgroups of index 2 of Γ .*

Proof. (i) is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.8.

For (ii), if ε_1 and ε_2 are non-proper equivalent commutation factors on Γ , then there exists $f \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $\varepsilon_2 = f^*\varepsilon_1$, and $f(\Gamma_{\varepsilon_2}^0) = \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1}^0$. For all $\alpha = 1, 2$, we decompose $\varepsilon_\alpha = s(\varepsilon_\alpha)\tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha$, with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ proper commutation factors. Using now Theorem 2.8, there exist unique multipliers $[\sigma_\alpha]$ such that $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha = \varepsilon_{\sigma_\alpha}$, and they satisfy $[\sigma_2] = f^*[\sigma_1]$. Then $([\sigma_1], \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1}^0)$ and $([\sigma_2], \Gamma_{\varepsilon_2}^0)$ are equivalent.

Conversely, if $\varepsilon_\alpha = s(\varepsilon_\alpha)\varepsilon_{\sigma_\alpha}$ for all $\alpha = 1, 2$, with $[\sigma_\alpha]$ multipliers such that $([\sigma_1], \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1}^0)$ and $([\sigma_2], \Gamma_{\varepsilon_2}^0)$ are equivalent, then there exists $f \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $f(\Gamma_{\varepsilon_2}^0) = \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1}^0$ and $[\sigma_2] = f^*[\sigma_1]$. This means that $s(\varepsilon_2) = f^*s(\varepsilon_1)$ and $\varepsilon_{\sigma_2} = f^*\varepsilon_{\sigma_1}$ so that $\varepsilon_2 = f^*\varepsilon_1$. □

Thus, by studying the equivalence classes of multipliers and of multipliers and subgroups of index 2 of a given abelian group Γ , one can characterize all the commutation factors on Γ up to equivalence.

2.2. ε -graded associative algebras. Let \mathbb{K} be a field, \mathbb{K}^* its multiplicative group, and Γ an abelian group. The latter notion of commutation factors has been introduced in the context of graded Lie algebras by Scheunert and gives rise to the following definition:

Definition 2.10 (ε -Lie algebra, [39]). Let \mathfrak{g}^\bullet be a Γ -graded vector space, let ε be a commutation factor on Γ , and let $[-, -]_\varepsilon: \mathfrak{g}^\bullet \times \mathfrak{g}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\bullet$ be a bilinear product homogeneous of degree 0 satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b]_\varepsilon &= -\varepsilon(|a|, |b|)[b, a]_\varepsilon, \\ [a, [b, c]_\varepsilon]_\varepsilon &= [[a, b]_\varepsilon, c]_\varepsilon + \varepsilon(|a|, |b|)[b, [a, c]_\varepsilon]_\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{g}^\bullet$ homogeneous, where $|a| \in \Gamma$ is the degree of $a \in \mathfrak{g}^\bullet$. The couple $(\mathfrak{g}^\bullet, [-, -]_\varepsilon)$ is called an ε -Lie algebra.

An ε -Lie algebra for which the product $[-, -]_\varepsilon$ is always 0 will be called an abelian ε -Lie algebra.

Notice that the notion of ε -Lie algebras was generalized in [28] to quasi-hom-Lie algebras.

In this paper, following [4], we introduce the following structure (the terminology is chosen to be close to the one by Scheunert):

Definition 2.11 (ε -graded associative algebra). Let A^\bullet be an associative unital Γ -graded \mathbb{K} -algebra, endowed with a commutation factor ε on Γ , then (A^\bullet, ε) will be called an ε -graded (associative) algebra.

Notice that the ε -structure is only related to the algebra A^\bullet through the grading abelian group Γ . In particular, the product in the algebra is not connected to this structure. In the following, such an ε -graded (associative) algebra will be denoted simply by A_ε^\bullet or even A^\bullet if no confusion arises.

Any \mathbb{Z} -graded associative algebra is an ε -graded associative algebra for the natural commutation factor on \mathbb{Z} , so that the theory described below can be applied to any \mathbb{Z} -graded (associative) algebra.

Remark 2.12. Using Lemma 2.4, if $A^{\bullet, \bullet}$ is an associative unital $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ -bigraded \mathbb{K} -algebra equipped with two commutation factors ε_1 and ε_2 for the two gradings separately, then it is also an ε -graded algebra for the product grading $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ with ε defined by (2.2).

If A^\bullet is an ε -graded algebra, one can construct its underlying ε -Lie algebra using the bracket defined by

$$[a, b]_\varepsilon = a \cdot b - \varepsilon(|a|, |b|) b \cdot a$$

for all $a, b \in A^\bullet$ homogeneous. We will denote this structure by $A_{\text{Lie}, \varepsilon}^\bullet$.

Definition 2.13 (ε -graded commutative algebra). A_ε^\bullet is called an ε -graded commutative algebra if $A_{\text{Lie}, \varepsilon}^\bullet$ is an abelian ε -Lie algebra.

For the case of \mathbb{Z} -graded algebras, depending on the commutation factor, one obtains as ε -graded commutative algebras either commutative and graded algebras (for the trivial commutation factor) or graded commutative algebras (for the natural commutation factor).

Definition 2.14 (ε -center). Let A_ε^\bullet be an ε -graded algebra. The ε -center of A_ε^\bullet is the ε -graded commutative algebra

$$\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \{a \in A^\bullet \mid [a, b]_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ for all } b \in A^\bullet\}.$$

Depending on the choice of the ε -structure on a Γ -graded algebra, this ε -center can be very different. Nevertheless, one has $|\mathbb{1}| = 0$ and $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$.

Let us now mention some elementary constructions using ε -graded algebras. Let A^\bullet and B^\bullet be two ε -graded algebras with the same commutation factor ε .

A morphism of ε -graded algebras is defined to be a morphism of associative unital Γ -graded algebras $\chi: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$. As a consequence, χ is also a morphism of ε -Lie algebras between $A_{\text{Lie}, \varepsilon}^\bullet$ and $B_{\text{Lie}, \varepsilon}^\bullet$.

As in [4], the ε -graded tensor product of two ε -graded algebras A^\bullet and B^\bullet is the ε -graded algebra defined as the Γ -graded vector space $(A \otimes B)^\bullet$ for the total grading, equipped with the product given by

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (c \otimes d) = \varepsilon(|b|, |c|)(a \cdot c) \otimes (b \cdot d)$$

for all $a, c \in A^\bullet$ and all $b, d \in B^\bullet$ homogeneous.

Lemma 2.15. *Let A_ε^\bullet and B_ε^\bullet be two ε -graded commutative algebra. Then their ε -graded tensor product is an ε -graded commutative algebra.*

When no confusion arises, we refer to this ε -graded tensor product as the tensor product of ε -graded algebras.

An ε -trace on A^\bullet is a linear map $T: A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, which satisfies

$$T(a \cdot b) = \varepsilon(|a|, |b|)T(b \cdot a) \tag{2.6}$$

for all $a, b \in A^\bullet$ homogeneous.

The structure of a module compatible with an ε -graded algebra A^\bullet is simply the structure of a Γ -graded module. M^\bullet is a Γ -graded module on A^\bullet if it is a Γ -graded vector space and a module on A^\bullet such that $M^i A^j \subset M^{i+j}$ (for right modules) for all $i, j \in \Gamma$. The space of homomorphisms of M^\bullet is an ε -graded algebra and will be denoted by $\text{Hom}_A^\bullet(M, M)$.

Let us now introduce the key object which will permit us to introduce a differential calculus adapted to this situation.

Definition 2.16 (ε -derivations). An ε -derivation on the ε -graded algebra A^\bullet is a linear map $\mathfrak{X}: A^\bullet \rightarrow A^\bullet$ such that if \mathfrak{X} is homogeneous (as map of graded spaces) of degree $|\mathfrak{X}| \in \Gamma$, then

$$\mathfrak{X}(a \cdot b) = \mathfrak{X}(a) \cdot b + \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |a|) a \cdot \mathfrak{X}(b) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $a, b \in A$ with a homogeneous.

We denote by $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ the Γ -graded space of ε -derivation on the ε -graded algebra A^\bullet .

Notice that this definition makes explicit reference to the ε -structure, so that $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ really depends on it. Moreover, the ε -derivations are a particular case of the notion of (σ, τ) -derivations ([25]).

Proposition 2.17 (Structure of $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$). *The space $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ is an ε -Lie algebra for the bracket*

$$[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon = \mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{Y} - \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|)\mathfrak{Y}\mathfrak{X}.$$

It is also a $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ -bimodule for the product structure

$$(z \cdot \mathfrak{X})(a) = \varepsilon(|z|, |\mathfrak{X}|)(\mathfrak{X} \cdot z)(a) = z \cdot (\mathfrak{X}(a)) \quad (2.8)$$

for all $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$, all $z \in \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ and all $a \in A^\bullet$ homogeneous.

Notice that the left and right module structures are equivalent modulo the factor $\varepsilon(|z|, |\mathfrak{X}|)$. So that we will mention it as a module structure, not as a bimodule one. In order to take into account this extra factor, it would be convenient to introduce the notion of ε -central bimodule, as a straightforward adaptation of the notion of central bimodule defined in [18] and [19]. We will not go further in this direction here.

As usual, an inner ε -derivation on A^\bullet is an ε -derivation which can be written as

$$b \mapsto \text{ad}_a(b) = [a, b]_\varepsilon$$

for an $a \in A^\bullet$. We denote by

$$\text{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \{\text{ad}_a \mid a \in A^\bullet\}$$

the space of inner ε -derivations on A^\bullet .

Proposition 2.18. $\text{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ is an ε -Lie ideal and a $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ -module.

This permits one to define the quotient

$$\text{Out}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) / \text{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$$

as an ε -Lie algebra and a $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ -module. This is the space of outer ε -derivations on A^\bullet .

From these considerations, one then gets the two short exact sequences of ε -Lie algebras and $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) \rightarrow A^\bullet \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \text{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \text{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \text{Out}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is possible to define the notion of ε -derivations on A^\bullet taking values in a bimodule. We will not make use of it in the following.

For the remainder of this section, all linear structures are defined over the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

Let us now take a look at the notion of involution in this framework. In order to do that, one has to further constrain the notion of commutation factors, which we require to satisfy the hermitian condition

$$\overline{\varepsilon(i, j)} = \varepsilon(j, i) \tag{2.9}$$

for all $i, j \in \Gamma$. Equivalently, $|\varepsilon(i, j)| = 1$ for all $i, j \in \Gamma$.

Definition 2.19 (Involution, unitarity and reality). An involution on an ε -graded algebra A^\bullet (over the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$) is an antilinear map $A^i \rightarrow A^{-i}$, for any $i \in \Gamma$, denoted by $a \mapsto a^*$, such that

$$(a^*)^* = a, \quad (a \cdot b)^* = b^* \cdot a^* \tag{2.10}$$

for all $a, b \in A^\bullet$.

The unitary group of A^\bullet associated to $*$ is defined and denoted by

$$\mathcal{U}(A) = \{g \in A^\bullet \mid g^* \cdot g = \mathbb{1}\}.$$

An ε -derivation decomposed into its homogeneous elements as $\mathfrak{X} = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{X}_k$, where $|\mathfrak{X}_k| = k$, is called real if $(\mathfrak{X}_k(a))^* = \varepsilon(|a|, |\mathfrak{X}_k|) \mathfrak{X}_{-k}(a^*)$ for all $a \in A^\bullet$ homogeneous and all $k \in \Gamma$.

An ε -trace T on A^\bullet is real if $T(a^*) = \overline{T(a)}$ for all $a \in A^\bullet$,

Finally, a hermitian structure on a right A^\bullet -module M^\bullet is a sesquilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle: M^i \times M^j \rightarrow A^{j-i}$, for $i, j \in \Gamma$, such that

$$\langle m, n \rangle^* = \langle n, m \rangle, \quad \langle ma, nb \rangle = a^* \langle m, n \rangle b \tag{2.11}$$

for all $m, n \in M^\bullet$ and all $a, b \in A^\bullet$.

Remark 2.20 (Involution and ε -structure). Notice that the definition of the involution as it is given by (2.10) does not make direct reference to the ε -structure, as well as the hermitian structure defined by (2.11). In Section 3, some examples of ε -graded matrix algebras are exposed, for which the usual involution is compatible with the present definition.

An other natural definition of the involution can be considered, where an explicit reference to ε appears in the second relation of (2.10) and in the two relations of (2.11).

2.3. The differential calculus based on ε -derivations. The derivation-based differential calculus was introduced in [12], [18], [19]. It has been studied for various algebras in [15], [16], [31], [32], [17], [33], [43], [5], [44] (see also [13], [14], [34], [35] for reviews), and some propositions to generalize this construction to graded algebras were presented, for instance, in [29], [22].

Remark 2.21. For an associative algebra A , we recall that the derivation-based differential calculus is given by

$$\underline{\Omega}_{\text{Der}}^n(A) = \{\omega: \text{Der}(A)^n \rightarrow A \mid \mathcal{Z}(A)\text{-multilinear and antisymmetric}\}.$$

Thus, two important notions enter in this definition: the notion of derivation and of center (related to the bracket of the underlying Lie algebra of A). We saw that the center and the derivations can be generalized to the center of quasi-hom-Lie algebras ([28]) and (σ, τ) -derivations ([25]), but in this paper we only consider the notion of ε -center and of ε -derivation, since they are compatible with each other. Indeed, the same commutation factor is used for the bracket and the Leibniz relation, and therefore the framework of ε -graded algebras seems to be well adapted for the definition of a derivation-based differential calculus.

In the notations of the last section, let A^\bullet be an ε -graded algebra and M^\bullet a right A^\bullet -module.

Definition 2.22. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \Gamma$, let $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{n,k}(A, M)$ be the space of n -linear maps ω from $(\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A))^n$ to M^\bullet such that, for all $\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ and all $z \in \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ homogeneous,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n) &\in M^{k+|\mathfrak{X}_1|+\dots+|\mathfrak{X}_n|}, \\ \omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n z) &= \omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n)z, \\ \omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_i, \mathfrak{X}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n) &= -\varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_i|, |\mathfrak{X}_{i+1}|)\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{i+1}, \mathfrak{X}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n), \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

and $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{0,k}(A, M) = M^k$, where $\mathfrak{X} \cdot z$ is defined by (2.8).

From this definition, it follows that the vector space $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, M)$ is $\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma$ -graded, and $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{n,\bullet}(A, M)$ is a right module on A^\bullet .

In the case $M^\bullet = A^\bullet$, we write $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A) = \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, A)$.

Proposition 2.23. *Endowed with the following product and differential, $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A)$ is a differential algebra: for all $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{p,|\omega|}(A)$, $\eta \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{q,|\eta|}(A)$, and all $\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ homogeneous, the product is*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\omega \cdot \eta)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q}) \\ &= \frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{p+q}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} f_1 \omega(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p)}) \cdot \eta(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+q)}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and the differential is

$$\begin{aligned} & d\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1}) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{m+1} f_2 \mathfrak{X}_m \omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \overset{m}{\underset{\cdot}{\cdot}} \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq p+1} (-1)^{m+n} f_3 \omega([\mathfrak{X}_m, \mathfrak{X}_n]_\varepsilon, \dots, \overset{m}{\underset{\cdot}{\cdot}} \dots \overset{n}{\underset{\cdot}{\cdot}} \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where the factors f_i are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \prod_{\substack{m < n \\ \sigma(m) > \sigma(n)}} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(n)}|, |\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(m)}|) \prod_{m \leq p} \varepsilon(|\eta|, |\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(m)}|), \\ f_2 &= \varepsilon(|\omega|, |\mathfrak{X}_m|) \prod_{a=1}^{m-1} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_a|, |\mathfrak{X}_m|), \\ f_3 &= \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_n|, |\mathfrak{X}_m|) \prod_{a=1}^{m-1} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_a|, |\mathfrak{X}_m|) \prod_{a=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_a|, |\mathfrak{X}_n|). \end{aligned}$$

$\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A)$ is an $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ -graded algebra for the abelian group $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma$ and the commutation factor $\tilde{\varepsilon}((p, i), (q, j)) = (-1)^{pq} \varepsilon(i, j)$. Furthermore, d is an $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ -derivation of $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A)$ of degree $(1, 0)$ satisfying $d^2 = 0$.

For any right A^\bullet -module M^\bullet , $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, M)$ is a right module on $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A)$ with respect to the action

$$\begin{aligned} & (\omega \eta)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q}) \\ &= \frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{p+q}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} f_1 \omega(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p)}) \eta(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+q)}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

for all $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{p,|\omega|}(A, M)$, all $\eta \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{q,|\eta|}(A)$, and all $\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ homogeneous, where f_1 is still the one given above.

Proof. It is a straightforward calculation to check that (2.13) and (2.14) are compatible and make $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(A)$ a $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -graded differential algebra, in the same way that (2.15) turns $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(A, M)$ into a right $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(A)$ -module. By Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.12 the proposition is proved. \square

Remark 2.24 (Notion of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -graded differential algebra). In the previous proposition, we made use of the terminology of a “ $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -graded differential algebra”, or more simply “differential algebra”, without defining precisely these notions. In this case, the meaning is obviously to denote the differential d in the \mathbb{Z} -direction on the $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -graded algebra, which satisfies the following properties: it is an $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ -derivation of degree $(1, 0)$ such that $d^2 = 0$.

It could be convenient and desirable to define a good notion of ε -graded differential algebra in general. In order to do that, one starts with an ε -graded associative algebra A^\bullet and an associated differential d which is an ε -derivation whose square is zero. But the degree of this differential is difficult to constrain to be “minimal” in some “direction” in the associated grading group Γ , except for special situations, as the ones where this group is freely generated by a finite number of generators. In these cases, a differential could be required to have as degree one of these generators. Then there could be as many differentials as there are generators, a situation very similar to the one for usual bigraded algebras ($\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$).

In low degrees (in the \mathbb{Z} -part), the expression of the differential takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} da(\mathfrak{X}) &= \varepsilon(|a|, |\mathfrak{X}|)\mathfrak{X}(a), \\ d\omega(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) &= \varepsilon(|\omega|, |\mathfrak{X}|)\mathfrak{X}(\omega(\mathfrak{Y})) - \varepsilon(|\omega| + |\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|)\mathfrak{Y}(\omega(\mathfrak{X})) - \omega([\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A^\bullet$, $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{1, \bullet}(A)$, $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ homogeneous.

One has to be aware of the fact that even if the ε -Lie algebra of derivations is finite-dimensional, the vector space $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(A)$ can be infinite-dimensional. For instance, this is indeed the case for Example 3.12, where the symmetric part of this differential calculus amounts to an infinite-dimensional part.

Proposition 2.25. *If A^\bullet is an ε -graded commutative algebra then $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(A)$ is an $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ -graded commutative algebra.*

Proof. This is a straightforward computation. \square

Definition 2.26 (Restricted differential calculus). Let \mathfrak{g}^\bullet be an ε -Lie subalgebra of $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ and a module on $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$. The restricted differential calculus $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(A|\mathfrak{g})$ associated to \mathfrak{g}^\bullet is defined as the space of n -linear maps ω from $(\mathfrak{g}^\bullet)^n$ to A^\bullet satisfying the axioms (2.12), with the above product (2.13) and differential (2.14). It is also a $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -graded differential algebra.

Proposition 2.27 (Cartan operation). *Let \mathfrak{g}^\bullet be an ε -Lie subalgebra of $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$. Then \mathfrak{g}^\bullet defines canonically a Cartan operation on $(\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A), d)$ in the following way.*

For each $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$, the inner product with \mathfrak{X} is the map $i_{\mathfrak{X}}: \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{n,k}(A) \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{n-1,k+|\mathfrak{X}|}(A)$ such that, for all $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{n,|\omega|}(A)$ and all $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}^\bullet$ homogeneous,

$$i_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}) = \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\omega|)\omega(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}), \quad (2.16)$$

and $i_{\mathfrak{X}}\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{0,\bullet}(A) = 0$. The map $i_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is then an $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ -derivation of the algebra $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A)$ of degree $(-1, |\mathfrak{X}|)$.

The associated Lie derivative $L_{\mathfrak{X}}$ to $i_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is

$$L_{\mathfrak{X}} = [i_{\mathfrak{X}}, d] = i_{\mathfrak{X}}d + di_{\mathfrak{X}}: \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{n,k}(A) \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{n,k+|\mathfrak{X}|}(A), \quad (2.17)$$

which makes it into an $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ -derivation of $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A)$ of degree $(0, |\mathfrak{X}|)$, where the bracket in (2.17) comes from the commutation factor $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ of $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A)$.

Then the following properties are satisfied for all $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \mathfrak{g}^\bullet$ homogeneous:

$$\begin{aligned} [i_{\mathfrak{X}}, i_{\mathfrak{Y}}] &= i_{\mathfrak{X}}i_{\mathfrak{Y}} + \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|)i_{\mathfrak{Y}}i_{\mathfrak{X}} = 0, \\ [L_{\mathfrak{X}}, d] &= L_{\mathfrak{X}}d - dL_{\mathfrak{X}} = 0, \\ [L_{\mathfrak{X}}, i_{\mathfrak{Y}}] &= L_{\mathfrak{X}}i_{\mathfrak{Y}} - \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|)i_{\mathfrak{Y}}L_{\mathfrak{X}} = i_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon}, \\ [L_{\mathfrak{X}}, L_{\mathfrak{Y}}] &= L_{\mathfrak{X}}L_{\mathfrak{Y}} - \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|)L_{\mathfrak{Y}}L_{\mathfrak{X}} = L_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For all $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{n,|\omega|}(A)$, $\eta \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{p,|\eta|}(A)$ and all $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-2} \in \mathfrak{g}^\bullet$ homogeneous, one has

$$i_{\mathfrak{X}}(\omega \cdot \eta) = (i_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega) \cdot \eta + (-1)^n \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\omega|)\omega(i_{\mathfrak{X}}\eta),$$

due to the definition of $i_{\mathfrak{X}}$ (2.16) and of the product (2.13). Then the third axiom of (2.12) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\mathfrak{X}}i_{\mathfrak{Y}}\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-2}) &= \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}| + |\mathfrak{Y}|, |\omega|)\varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|)\omega(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-2}) \\ &= -\varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|)i_{\mathfrak{Y}}i_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-2}), \end{aligned}$$

so that $[i_{\mathfrak{X}}, i_{\mathfrak{Y}}] = 0$. Furthermore, $L_{\mathfrak{X}}d = di_{\mathfrak{X}}d = dL_{\mathfrak{X}}$. We have

$$[L_{\mathfrak{X}}, i_{\mathfrak{Y}}] = i_{\mathfrak{X}}di_{\mathfrak{Y}} + di_{\mathfrak{X}}i_{\mathfrak{Y}} - \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|)(i_{\mathfrak{Y}}i_{\mathfrak{X}}d + i_{\mathfrak{Y}}di_{\mathfrak{X}}).$$

By a long but straightforward calculation, using (2.14) and (2.16), one finds that $[L_{\mathfrak{X}}, i_{\mathfrak{Y}}] = i_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon}$. Finally, the above results permit one to show that

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{\mathfrak{X}}, L_{\mathfrak{Y}}] &= L_{\mathfrak{X}}(i_{\mathfrak{Y}}d + di_{\mathfrak{Y}}) - \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|)(i_{\mathfrak{Y}}d + di_{\mathfrak{Y}})L_{\mathfrak{X}} \\ &= i_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon}d + di_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon} = L_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

2.4. ε -connections. Let us now generalize the notion of noncommutative connections ([15], [16], [17], [14], [34]) and gauge theory to this framework of ε -graded algebras. Let M^\bullet be a right module on the ε -graded algebra A^\bullet .

Definition 2.28 (ε -connections). A homogeneous linear map of degree 0,

$$\nabla: M^\bullet \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{1,\bullet}(A, M),$$

is called an ε -connection if

$$\nabla(ma) = \nabla(m)a + mda \quad (2.18)$$

for all $a \in A^\bullet$ and all $m \in M^\bullet$.

Proposition 2.29. *Let ∇ be an ε -connection on M^\bullet . Then it can be extended to a linear map*

$$\nabla: \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{p,\bullet}(A, M) \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{p+1,\bullet}(A, M)$$

using the relation, for all $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{p,|\omega|}(A, M)$ and all $\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ homogeneous,

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla(\omega)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1}) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{m+1} f_4 \nabla(\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \overset{m}{\vee} \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1}))(\mathfrak{X}_m) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq p+1} (-1)^{m+n} f_5 \omega([\mathfrak{X}_m, \mathfrak{X}_n]_\varepsilon, \dots, \overset{m}{\vee} \dots \overset{n}{\vee} \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where the factors f_i are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_4 &= \prod_{a=m+1}^{p+1} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_m|, |\mathfrak{X}_a|), \\ f_5 &= \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_n|, |\mathfrak{X}_m|) \prod_{a=1}^{m-1} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_a|, |\mathfrak{X}_m|) \prod_{a=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_a|, |\mathfrak{X}_n|). \end{aligned}$$

Then ∇ satisfies the relation

$$\nabla(\omega\eta) = \nabla(\omega)\eta + (-1)^p \omega d\eta \quad (2.20)$$

for all $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{p,|\omega|}(A, M)$, $\eta \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{q,|\eta|}(A)$ homogeneous.

The obstruction for ∇ to be a homomorphism of right A^\bullet -modules is measured by its curvature $R = \nabla^2$, a homogeneous linear map of degree 0, which takes the form

$$R(m)(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|) \nabla(\nabla(m)(\mathfrak{Y}))(\mathfrak{X}) - \nabla(\nabla(m)(\mathfrak{X}))(\mathfrak{Y}) - \nabla(m)([\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon)$$

for all $m \in M^\bullet$, $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ homogeneous.

Proof. The formula (2.19) is inspired by the formula (2.14) in order to get this extension well defined. Proving (2.20) is therefore like proving that d is an $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ -derivation of degree $(1, 0)$ in Proposition 2.23, which is a tedious but straightforward computation. \square

Proposition 2.30. *The space of all ε -connections on M^\bullet is an affine space modeled on the vector space $\text{Hom}_A^0(M^\bullet, \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{1,\bullet}(A, M))$. Furthermore, the curvature R associated to an ε -connection ∇ is a homomorphism of right A^\bullet -modules.*

Proof. Let ∇ and ∇' be two ε -connections, and let $\Psi = \nabla - \nabla'$. Then

$$\Psi(ma) = \nabla(m)a - \nabla'(m)a = \Psi(m)a$$

for all $a \in A^\bullet$ and $m \in M^\bullet$. Therefore, $\Psi \in \text{Hom}_A^0(M^\bullet, \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{1,\bullet}(A, M))$.

In the same way, from $R = \nabla^2$, using (2.18) and (2.20), one obtains

$$R(ma) = \nabla^2(m)a - \nabla(m)da + \nabla(m)da + md^2a = R(m)a. \quad \square$$

Definition 2.31 (Gauge group). The gauge group of M^\bullet is defined as the group of automorphisms of degree 0 of M^\bullet as a right A^\bullet -module, $\text{Aut}_A^0(M, M)$. Its elements are called gauge transformations.

Each gauge transformation Φ is extended to an automorphism of degree $(0, 0)$ of $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, M)$, considered as a right $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A)$ -module, in the following way:

$$\Phi(\omega)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_p) = \Phi(\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_p))$$

for all $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{p,|\omega|}(A, M)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_p \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$.

Proposition 2.32. *The gauge group of M^\bullet acts on the space of its ε -connections in the following way: for $\Phi \in \text{Aut}_A^0(M, M)$ and ∇ an ε -connection,*

$$\nabla^\Phi = \Phi \circ \nabla \circ \Phi^{-1}$$

is again an ε -connection. The induced action of Φ on the associated curvature is given by

$$R^\Phi = \Phi \circ R \circ \Phi^{-1}.$$

Proof. The axioms (2.18) are satisfied for ∇^Φ : for all $a \in A^\bullet$ and $m \in M^\bullet$, we have

$$\nabla^\Phi(ma) = \Phi \circ \nabla(\Phi^{-1}(m)a) = (\nabla^\Phi(m)a) + mda.$$

Since $|\Phi| = |\Phi^{-1}| = 0$, ∇^Φ is homogeneous of degree 0. The proof is trivial for $R^\Phi = \nabla^\Phi \circ \nabla^\Phi$. \square

Let A^\bullet be an ε -graded involutive (\mathbb{C} -)algebra, and let M^\bullet be a right A^\bullet -module with a hermitian structure.

A gauge transformation Φ is called unitary if

$$\langle \Phi(m), \Phi(n) \rangle = \langle m, n \rangle$$

for all $m, n \in M^\bullet$.

Proposition 2.33. *An ε -connection ∇ is called hermitian if*

$$\sum_{k \in \Gamma} \varepsilon(-|m| + |n|, k) \langle \nabla(m)(\mathfrak{X}_k), n \rangle + \langle m, \nabla(n)(\mathfrak{X}) \rangle = d(\langle m, n \rangle)(\mathfrak{X})$$

for all $m, n \in M^\bullet$ homogeneous and $\mathfrak{X} = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{X}_k$ a real ε -derivation decomposed in homogeneous components, with $|\mathfrak{X}_k| = k$.

Then the space of hermitian ε -connections on M^\bullet is stable under the group of unitary gauge transformations.

Proof. Let Φ be a unitary gauge transformation, ∇ a hermitian ε -connection, $\mathfrak{X} = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{X}_k$ a real ε -derivation, and $m, n \in M^\bullet$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \varepsilon(-|m| + |n|, k) \langle \nabla^\Phi(m)(\mathfrak{X}_k), n \rangle + \langle m, \nabla^\Phi(n)(\mathfrak{X}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \varepsilon(-|m| + |n|, k) \langle \nabla \circ \Phi^{-1}(m)(\mathfrak{X}_k), \Phi^{-1}(n) \rangle + \langle \Phi^{-1}(m), \nabla \circ \Phi^{-1}(n)(\mathfrak{X}) \rangle \\ &= d(\langle \Phi^{-1}(m), \Phi^{-1}(n) \rangle)(\mathfrak{X}) \\ &= d(\langle m, n \rangle)(\mathfrak{X}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3. Applications to various examples of ε -graded algebras

The aim of this section is to illustrate the previous definitions with some results for particular ε -graded algebras. Four typical examples have been chosen here. First, we consider examples of ε -graded commutative algebras and the case of a particular supermanifold. Then we study two cases of noncommutative ε -graded algebras: matrix algebras with elementary grading, for which the same properties as in the non-graded case ([15]) occur, and matrix algebras with fine grading, which is very different from the previous case. Finally, we consider a generalization of matrix algebras, the Moyal algebra, from which one can construct a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra, and we give some mathematical explanations about a particular gauge theory on the Moyal space resulting from this superalgebra.

3.1. ε -graded commutative algebras. First, a non-graded associative algebra can be seen as an ε -graded algebra, so that the differential calculus based on the derivations of an associative algebra, as, for instance, presented in [12], is a particular case of the

formalism of this chapter. Consequently, for the commutative non-graded algebra $A = C^\infty(M)$ of the functions of a smooth compact manifold M , the differential calculus of Section 2.3 is the de Rham complex for the manifold M .

Let us consider the case of the finite-dimensional \mathbb{Z} -graded commutative algebra $A^\bullet = \bigwedge^\bullet V$ with its usual grading, where V is a vector space of dimension q . The commutation factor is taken to be $\varepsilon(p, q) = (-1)^{pq}$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1, \dots, q}$ a basis of V . Then $A^\bullet = \bigwedge^\bullet(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q)$ where in this algebra the θ_i 's are anticommuting variables of degree 1. The ε -center of this algebra is the whole algebra: $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = A^\bullet$.

Using (2.7), any ε -derivation \mathfrak{X} on A^\bullet homogeneous of degree r is completely determined by its values on the generators θ_i . Because we are dealing with a graded commutative algebra, one can easily verify that any values $\mathfrak{X}_i = \mathfrak{X}(\theta_i) \in A^{r+1}$ are acceptable. Notice the shift in the degrees between the one of \mathfrak{X} as a graded derivation and the degrees of the \mathfrak{X}_i 's as elements in A^\bullet . Denote by $\{\alpha^j\}_{j=1, \dots, q}$ the dual basis of $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1, \dots, q}$. Then α^j defines a derivation of degree -1 : $\theta_i \mapsto \delta_i^j \mathbb{1}$. As a module over the ε -center, one has $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = A^{\bullet+1} \otimes V^*$, where the module structure is the one on A^\bullet and V^* is the dual vector space of V . One can explicitly write $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_i \otimes \alpha^i$ with the previous notations.

The structure of ε -Lie algebra of $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ can be described as follows. The ε -Lie bracket on $\mathbb{1} \otimes V^*$ is zero and for any ε -derivations \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} of degrees r and s , one has $[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon = \mathfrak{X}_i \alpha^i(\mathfrak{Y}_j) \otimes \alpha^j - (-1)^{rs} \mathfrak{Y}_j \alpha^j(\mathfrak{X}_i) \otimes \alpha^i$ with obvious notations. This ε -Lie bracket is a Nijenhuis–Richardson type bracket.

Using the structure of $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$, any n -form $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{n,k}(A)$ is completely given by its values on the derivations in $\mathbb{1} \otimes V^*$, i.e., on the α^i 's. For instance, 1-forms of degree k are elements in $A^k \otimes V$ where we identify $V^{**} = V$. For n -forms, notice that one has $\omega(\alpha^{i_1}, \dots, \alpha^{i_p}, \alpha^{i_{p+1}}, \dots, \alpha^{i_n}) = \omega(\alpha^{i_1}, \dots, \alpha^{i_{p+1}}, \alpha^{i_p}, \dots, \alpha^{i_n})$ because the α^i 's are of odd degree as derivations. The vector space of n -forms of degree k is then $\bigwedge^{(k-n)} V \otimes S^n V$, where $S^n V$ is the symmetric algebra defined on V .

In order to be precise, we define $\theta_{i_1} \vee \dots \vee \theta_{i_n} \in S^n V$ as the n -form of degree n :

$$(\theta_{i_1} \vee \dots \vee \theta_{i_n})(\alpha^{j_1}, \dots, \alpha^{j_n}) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \theta_{i_1}(\alpha^{j_{\sigma(1)}}) \dots \theta_{i_n}(\alpha^{j_{\sigma(n)}}).$$

With this definition, the product of 2 forms $\omega = \omega^a \otimes P_a \in \bigwedge^k V \otimes S^m V$ and $\eta = \eta^b \otimes Q_b \in \bigwedge^\ell V \otimes S^n V$ is just the product in the graded commutative algebra $\bigwedge^\bullet V \otimes S^\bullet V$: $\omega \eta = (-1)^{m\ell} \omega^a \wedge \eta^b \otimes P_a \vee Q_b$, so that as a graded commutative algebra $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A) = \bigwedge^\bullet V \otimes S^\bullet V$.

Applied to the α^i 's, the definition of the differential, (2.14), simplifies because the second sum is zero. Its explicit expression on forms as elements of the algebra

$\bigwedge^\bullet V \otimes \mathbf{S}^\bullet V$ then is

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{i_k}) \otimes (\theta_{j_1} \vee \cdots \vee \theta_{j_n}) \mapsto \\ & (-1)^n \sum_{\ell=1}^k (-1)^{k-\ell} (\theta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{i_{\ell-1}} \wedge \theta_{i_{\ell+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{i_k}) \otimes (\theta_{i_\ell} \vee \theta_{j_1} \vee \cdots \vee \theta_{j_n}). \end{aligned}$$

One just “transfers” a θ_i from the antisymmetric part to the symmetric one.

Consider now the \mathbb{Z} -graded commutative algebra obtained as a tensor product

$$A^\bullet = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{p|q}) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p) \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet V,$$

where, as before, V is a vector space of dimension q . This algebra describes a particular case of a supermanifold ([30]). As in the previous example, $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = A^\bullet$.

Denote by $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1,\dots,q}$ a basis of V . Then any element $f \in A^\bullet$ can be decomposed as

$$f(x) = \sum_{I \subset \{1,\dots,q\}} f_I(x_1, \dots, x_p) \theta^I.$$

where (x_i) is the canonical coordinate system of \mathbb{R}^p , I is an ordered subset of $\{1, \dots, q\}$ and $\theta^I = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \theta_i$.

One can then show that any ε -derivation on A^\bullet can be decomposed into two parts: one part acts as a derivation on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p)$ with values in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p) \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet V$, and another part is a smooth function with values in the ε -derivations on $\bigwedge^\bullet V$:

$$\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = [\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^p) \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet V] \oplus [C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet+1} V \otimes V^*],$$

where $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^p)$ is the usual Lie algebra of vector fields on \mathbb{R}^p and where we have explicitly used the structure of the space of ε -derivations on $\bigwedge^\bullet V$.

As a $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ -module, $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ is generated by the two disjoint (ε -)Lie algebras $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^p)$ and V^* , so that

$$\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(A) = \Omega_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^p) \otimes \Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}(\bigwedge^\bullet V)$$

as graded commutative differential algebras.

3.2. ε -graded matrix algebras with elementary grading. Let Γ be an abelian group and let $A = \mathcal{M}_D$ be the algebra of $D \times D$ complex matrices such that A is a Γ -graded algebra:

$$A^\bullet = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A^\alpha. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $(E_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq D}$ be the canonical basis of A^\bullet , whose product is as usual

$$E_{ij} \cdot E_{kl} = \delta_{jk} E_{il}. \quad (3.2)$$

Definition 3.1 (Elementary grading, [2]). The grading (3.1) is called elementary if there exists a map $\varphi: \{1, \dots, D\} \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that E_{ij} is homogeneous of degree $|E_{ij}| = \varphi(i) - \varphi(j)$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, D\}$.

From now on, we suppose that the grading (3.1) is elementary. Then one can check that the usual conjugation is an involution for A^\bullet . Furthermore, A^\bullet can be characterized by the following result:

Proposition 3.2 ([2]). *The matrix algebra $A^\bullet = \mathcal{M}_D$, with an elementary Γ -grading, is isomorphic, as a Γ -graded algebra, to the endomorphism algebra of some D -dimensional Γ -graded vector space.*

More general gradings on A were classified in [2].

3.2.1. Properties. Let $\varepsilon: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a commutation factor which turns the elementary Γ -graded involutive algebra A^\bullet into an ε -graded algebra.

Proposition 3.3. *The ε -center of A^\bullet is trivial:*

$$\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^0(A) = \mathbb{C}1.$$

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A^\bullet)$ written as $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^D a_{ij} E_{ij}$. Then, due to (3.2), we immediately get, for all $k, l \in \{1, \dots, D\}$,

$$0 = [A, E_{kl}]_\varepsilon = \sum_{i,j=1}^D (a_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \varepsilon(\varphi(l) - \varphi(j), \varphi(k) - \varphi(l)) a_{lj} \delta_{ik}) E_{ij}.$$

Therefore, for all i, j, k, l ,

$$a_{ik} \delta_{jl} = \varepsilon(\varphi(l) - \varphi(j), \varphi(k) - \varphi(l)) a_{lj} \delta_{ik}.$$

For $i \neq k$ and $j = l$, we get $a_{ik} = 0$, and $a_{ii} = a_{jj}$ for $i = k$ and $j = l$. This means that $A \in \mathbb{C}1$. □

Proposition 3.4 (ε -traces). *For any $A = (a_{ij}) \in A^\bullet$, the expression*

$$\text{Tr}_\varepsilon(A) = \sum_{i=1}^D \varepsilon(\varphi(i), \varphi(i)) a_{ii} \tag{3.3}$$

defines a real ε -trace on A^\bullet . Moreover, the space of ε -traces on A^\bullet is one-dimensional.

Proof. Let T be an ε -trace on A^\bullet . From (2.6), we get $T(E_{ij} \cdot E_{kl}) = \varepsilon(\varphi(i) - \varphi(j), \varphi(k) - \varphi(l)) T(E_{kl} \cdot E_{ij})$ for all i, j, k, l . Using (3.2), one obtains

$$\delta_{jk} T(E_{il}) = \varepsilon(\varphi(i) - \varphi(j), \varphi(k) - \varphi(l)) \delta_{il} T(E_{kj}).$$

Then, with $i \neq l$ and $j = k$, one gets $T(E_{il}) = 0$. On the other hand, with $i = l$ and $j = k$, one has $T(E_{ii}) = \varepsilon(\varphi(i) - \varphi(j), \varphi(j) - \varphi(i)) T(E_{jj}) = \varepsilon(\varphi(i), \varphi(i)) \varepsilon(\varphi(j), \varphi(j)) T(E_{jj})$. So Tr_ε is an ε -trace on A^\bullet and there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T = \lambda \text{Tr}_\varepsilon$. □

In the following we will denote by $\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(D)$ the ε -Lie subalgebra of A^\bullet of ε -traceless elements.

Proposition 3.5. *All the ε -derivations of A^\bullet are inner:*

$$\text{Out}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = 0.$$

Moreover, the space of real ε -derivations of A^\bullet is isomorphic to the space of antihermitian matrices.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ homogeneous, decomposed on the basis as $\mathfrak{X}(E_{ij}) = \sum_{k,l=1}^D \mathfrak{X}_{ij}^{kl} E_{kl}$, with $\mathfrak{X}_{ij}^{kl} = 0$ if $\varphi(k) - \varphi(l) - \varphi(i) + \varphi(j) \neq |\mathfrak{X}|$ where $|\mathfrak{X}|$ is the degree of \mathfrak{X} . Then, due to (2.7), we have

$$\mathfrak{X}(E_{ij} \cdot E_{kl}) = \mathfrak{X}(E_{ij}) \cdot E_{kl} + \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, \varphi(i) - \varphi(j)) E_{ij} \cdot \mathfrak{X}(E_{kl})$$

for all i, j, k, l . Using (3.2), this can be written as

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^D \delta_{jk} \mathfrak{X}_{il}^{ab} E_{ab} = \sum_{a,b=1}^D (\delta_l^b \mathfrak{X}_{ij}^{ak} + \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, \varphi(i) - \varphi(j)) \delta_i^a \mathfrak{X}_{kl}^{jb}) E_{ab}.$$

For $b = l$, and by changing some indices, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{X}_{ij}^{kl} = \delta_{jl} \mathfrak{X}_{ia}^{ka} - \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, \varphi(i) - \varphi(j)) \delta_i^k \mathfrak{X}_{la}^{ja}. \quad (3.4)$$

for all i, j, k, l, a .

On the other hand, let us define $M_{\mathfrak{X}} = \sum_{k=1}^D \mathfrak{X}(E_{ka}) \cdot E_{ak} = \sum_{k,l=1}^D \mathfrak{X}_{ka}^{la} E_{lk} \in A^\bullet$ for an arbitrary a . Then, using (3.2), we find

$$[M_{\mathfrak{X}}, E_{ij}]_\varepsilon = \sum_{k,l=1}^D (\delta_j^l \mathfrak{X}_{ia}^{ka} - \varepsilon(\varphi(j) - \varphi(l), \varphi(i) - \varphi(j)) \delta_i^k \mathfrak{X}_{la}^{ja}) E_{kl}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{X}_{la}^{ja} = 0$ for $\varphi(j) - \varphi(l) \neq |\mathfrak{X}|$, equation (3.4) implies that $[M_{\mathfrak{X}}, E_{ij}]_\varepsilon = \sum_{k,l=1}^D \mathfrak{X}_{ij}^{kl} E_{kl} = \mathfrak{X}(E_{ij})$, and \mathfrak{X} is an inner derivation generated by $M_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

The statement about reality can be checked easily. \square

3.2.2. Differential calculus and ε -connections. In this section, we describe the differential calculus based on ε -derivations for a certain class of ε -graded matrix algebras with elementary grading. In order to do that, we introduce the following algebra, which is the equivalent of the exterior algebra in the present framework.

Definition 3.6 (ε -exterior algebra). Let V^\bullet be a Γ -graded vector space and ε a commutation factor on Γ . One defines the ε -exterior algebra on V^\bullet , denoted by $\bigwedge_\varepsilon^\bullet V^\bullet$, to be the tensor algebra of V^\bullet quotiented by the ideal generated by

$$\{\mathfrak{X} \otimes \mathfrak{Y} + \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|) \mathfrak{Y} \otimes \mathfrak{X} \mid \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in V^\bullet \text{ homogeneous}\}.$$

Proposition 3.7 (Structure of $\bigwedge_\varepsilon^\bullet V^\bullet$). *The $(\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma)$ -graded algebra $\bigwedge_\varepsilon^\bullet V^\bullet$ is $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ -graded commutative for the commutation factor $\tilde{\varepsilon}((m, i), (n, j)) = (-1)^{mn} \varepsilon(i, j)$.*

One has the factor decomposition as tensor products of $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ -graded commutative algebras,

$$\bigwedge_\varepsilon^\bullet V^\bullet = \left(\bigotimes_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma \\ \varepsilon(\alpha, \alpha) = 1}} \bigwedge^\bullet V^\alpha \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma \\ \varepsilon(\alpha, \alpha) = -1}} \mathbf{S}^\bullet V^\alpha \right),$$

where $\bigwedge^\bullet V^\alpha$ is the (usual) exterior algebra of the vector space V^α and $\mathbf{S}^\bullet V^\alpha$ is the (usual) symmetric algebra.

The proof is just a straightforward adaptation of the one for the usual exterior algebra. Nevertheless, notice that the factors are of two types: an exterior algebra or a symmetric algebra.

Let ε be a commutation factor on an abelian group Γ , let \mathfrak{g}^\bullet be an ε -Lie algebra for this commutation factor and V^\bullet a Γ -graded vector space of representation of \mathfrak{g}^\bullet . Let us introduce the $(\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma)$ -graded vector space $\Omega_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V) = V^\bullet \otimes \bigwedge_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^\bullet)$.

Proposition 3.8. *The space $\Omega_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is a $(\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma)$ -graded differential complex for the differential of degree $(1, 0)$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1}) &= \sum_{m=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{m+1} g_1 \mathfrak{X}_m \omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \overset{m}{\underset{\vee}{\dots}}, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq p+1} (-1)^{m+n} g_2 \omega([\mathfrak{X}_m, \mathfrak{X}_n]_\varepsilon, \dots, \overset{m}{\underset{\vee}{\dots}} \dots \overset{n}{\underset{\vee}{\dots}}, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega_\varepsilon^{p, |\omega|}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1} \in \mathfrak{g}^\bullet$ homogeneous, where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \varepsilon(|\omega|, |\mathfrak{X}_m|) \prod_{a=1}^{m-1} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_a|, |\mathfrak{X}_m|) \\ g_2 &= \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_n|, |\mathfrak{X}_m|) \prod_{a=1}^{m-1} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_a|, |\mathfrak{X}_m|) \prod_{a=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_a|, |\mathfrak{X}_n|). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, in the case where V^\bullet is an ε -graded algebra and $\mathfrak{g}^\bullet = V_{\text{Lie}, \varepsilon}^\bullet$ is its associated ε -Lie algebra, acting by the adjoint representation on V^\bullet , $\Omega_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is a $(\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma)$ -graded differential algebra for the product

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega \cdot \eta)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q}) &= \frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{p+q}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} g_3 \omega(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p)}) \cdot \eta(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+q)}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega_\varepsilon^{p, |\omega|}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, $\eta \in \Omega_\varepsilon^{q, |\eta|}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, and $\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q} \in \mathfrak{g}^\bullet$ homogeneous, where

$$g_3 = \prod_{\substack{m < n \\ \sigma(m) > \sigma(n)}} \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(n)}|, |\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(m)}|) \prod_{m \leq p} \varepsilon(|\eta|, |\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(m)}|).$$

Proof. The product (3.6) and the differential (3.5) are formally the same as the product (2.13) and the differential (2.14). \square

This permits one to show the following theorem, which gives the structure of the ε -derivation-based differential calculus on a certain class of ε -graded matrix algebras for elementary gradings.

Theorem 3.9 (The ε -derivation-based differential calculus). *Let $\mathbf{A}^\bullet = \mathcal{M}_D$ be an ε -graded matrix algebra with elementary grading, and suppose that*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\varepsilon(\mathbb{1}) = \sum_{i=1}^D \varepsilon(\varphi(i), \varphi(i)) \neq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Then:

- (1) *The adjoint representation $\mathrm{ad}: \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$ is an isomorphism of ε -Lie algebras.*
- (2) *Let $\mathrm{ad}_*: \Omega_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon(D), \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathbf{A})$ be the push-forward of ad and let (E_i) be a basis of \mathbf{A}^\bullet . Then, for any $\omega \in \Omega_\varepsilon^{k,\bullet}(\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon(D), \mathbf{A})$, one has the relation*

$$\mathrm{ad}_*(\omega)(\mathrm{ad}_{E_{i_1}}, \dots, \mathrm{ad}_{E_{i_k}}) = \omega(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k}). \quad (3.8)$$

- (3) *ad_* is an isomorphism of $(\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma)$ -graded differential algebras.*

Proof. (1) Since $\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon(D) \cap \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{A}) = 0$, by Proposition 3.3 and using the condition (3.7), the kernel of the surjective map $\mathrm{ad}: \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$ is trivial, so that it is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Due to the ε -Jacobi identity (2.5), one has $\mathrm{ad}_{[a,b]_\varepsilon} = [\mathrm{ad}_a, \mathrm{ad}_b]_\varepsilon$ for all $a, b \in \mathbf{A}^\bullet$, which proves that $\mathrm{ad}: \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$ is an isomorphism of ε -Lie algebras.

(2) Equation (3.8) is then a trivial consequence of this isomorphism.

(3) Let us stress that $\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon(D)$ is only a subalgebra of the associated ε -Lie algebra of \mathbf{A}^\bullet , but the result of Proposition 3.8 generalizes to this case, so that $\Omega_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon(D), \mathbf{A})$ is a $(\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma)$ -graded differential algebra. It is straightforward to see that ad_* is a morphism of $(\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma)$ -graded differential algebras. Indeed, the products and the differentials have the same formal definitions, (2.13) and (3.6), as well as (2.14) and (3.5), for the two complexes $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\Omega_\varepsilon^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon(D), \mathbf{A})$. Equation (3.8) shows that ad_* is injective. For (E_i) a basis of \mathbf{A} , adapted to the decomposition $\mathbf{A}^\bullet = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(D)$ (with $E_0 = \mathbb{1}$), (θ^i) its dual basis, and $\eta \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{k,\bullet}(\mathbf{A})$, we set $\eta(\mathrm{ad}_{E_{i_1}}, \dots, \mathrm{ad}_{E_{i_k}}) = \eta_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^j E_j \in \mathbf{A}^\bullet$. Then $\eta = \mathrm{ad}_*(\eta_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^j E_j \theta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_k})$, with $\eta_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^j = 0$ if one of i_1, \dots, i_k is zero. Therefore, ad_* is surjective and an isomorphism of bigraded differential algebras. \square

Let us notice that there exist some examples of ε -graded matrix algebras for which condition (3.7) is not satisfied (see Example 3.12 below with $m = n$).

Let us now describe explicitly the space of ε -connections.

Proposition 3.10. *The space of ε -connections on A^\bullet , considered as a module over itself, is an affine space modeled on the vector space*

$$\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{1,0}(A) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A^\alpha \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\alpha(D)^*$$

and contains the (trivial) ε -connection d .

Moreover, ad^{-1} can be seen as a 1-form, and $d + \text{ad}^{-1}$ defines a gauge-invariant ε -connection.

Proof. Let ∇ be an ε -connection. Define $\omega(\mathfrak{X}) = \nabla(\mathbb{1})(\mathfrak{X})$ for all $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$. Then $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{1,0}(A)$ and $\nabla(a) = da + \omega \cdot a$ for all $a \in A^\bullet$.

On the other hand, $\text{ad}^{-1} : \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(D) \subset A^\bullet$ is a 1-form of degree 0. Since all ε -derivations of A^\bullet are inner, one has $\mathfrak{X}(a) = [\text{ad}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}), a]_\varepsilon$ for all $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$. It is then straightforward to prove the gauge invariance of the connection $d + \text{ad}^{-1}$. \square

The noncommutative 1-form ad^{-1} defined here is the exact analog of the noncommutative 1-form $i\theta$ defined in the context of the derivation-based differential calculus on the matrix algebra. It also gives rise to a gauge-invariant connection (see [12], [15], [17], [33] for details). This shows that some of the results obtained in the non-graded case remain valid in this new context. But one has to be careful that condition (3.7) is fulfilled.

3.2.3. Concrete examples. In this part we give concrete example of ε -graded matrix algebras in the case when the abelian group Γ is freely generated by a finite number of generators $\{e_r\}_{r \in I}$, and when ε is a commutation factor on Γ over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

We first recall the definitions given in [38] of color algebras and superalgebras. If $\varepsilon(e_r, e_r) = 1$ for all $r \in I$, then the ε -graded algebra A^\bullet is called a color algebra. Otherwise, it is a color superalgebra.

In the following examples, we will consider three gradings: the trivial case $\Gamma = 0$, the usual case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$, and a third case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Proposition 2.5 determines the possible commutation factors for these groups. They were already given in Example 2.6 for the two latter groups.

Example 3.11 ($\Gamma = 0$). We consider here the trivial grading $\Gamma = 0$ on the matrix algebra $A = \mathcal{M}(n) = \mathcal{M}_n$, so that the commutation factor is also trivial: $\varepsilon(i, j) = 1$. The ε -commutator $[-, -]_\varepsilon$ and the trace Tr_ε are the usual non-graded ones for matrices. The ε -center and the ε -derivations of this ε -graded algebra are given by $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon(A) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$ and $\text{Der}_\varepsilon(A) = \text{Inn}_\varepsilon(A) = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, the usual Lie algebra of traceless matrices. The ε -derivation-based differential calculus coincides with the (usual) derivation-based differential calculus studied in [12], [15], [31]:

$$\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathcal{M}(n)) = \underline{\Omega}_{\text{Der}}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}(n)) \approx \mathcal{M}(n) \otimes (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{sl}_n^*).$$

It is finite-dimensional and its cohomology is

$$H^\bullet(\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}(n)), d) = H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}_n) = \mathcal{I}(\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{sl}_n^*),$$

the algebra of invariant elements for the natural Lie derivative.

Example 3.12 ($\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$). Consider now the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$, with the usual commutation factor $\varepsilon(i, j) = (-1)^{ij}$, and the matrix superalgebra $A^\bullet = \mathcal{M}(m, n)$. This superalgebra is represented by $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ -matrices,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in A^\bullet,$$

where M_{11} , M_{12} , M_{21} and M_{22} are respectively $(m \times m)$, $(m \times n)$, $(n \times m)$ and $(n \times n)$ (complex) matrices. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading is defined such that M_{11} and M_{22} correspond to degree $0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, whereas M_{12} and M_{21} are of degree $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, so that this grading is elementary. Using Proposition 3.4, we find that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\varepsilon(M) = \mathrm{Tr}(M_{11}) - \mathrm{Tr}(M_{22})$$

is an ε -trace, $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$ and $\mathrm{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \mathrm{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$.

Notice that when $m = n$, one has $\mathrm{Tr}_\varepsilon(\mathbb{1}) = 0$, so that condition (3.7) is not satisfied.

If $m \neq n$, one can suppose, by convention, that $m > n$. In that case, $\mathrm{Tr}_\varepsilon(\mathbb{1}) \neq 0$, and using Theorem 3.9, one gets

$$\mathrm{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(m, n) = \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^0(m, n) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^1(m, n),$$

and the associated differential calculus based on these superderivations is given by

$$\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{M}(m, n)) \approx \mathcal{M}^\bullet(m, n) \otimes (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^0(m, n)^*) \otimes (S^\bullet \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^1(m, n)^*). \quad (3.9)$$

In this decomposition, the second tensor product is the one of $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ -graded algebras as in Proposition 3.7. Note that this expression involves the symmetric algebra of the odd part of $\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^1(m, n)^*$, which means that $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{M}(m, n))$ is infinite-dimensional as soon as $n > 0$ (remember that $m > n$), even if $\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^0(m, n)$ is finite-dimensional. This is a key difference to the non-graded case (see Example 3.11). The cohomology of (3.9) was computed in [22] and is given by

$$H^{\bullet\bullet}(\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}(m, n)), d) = H^{\bullet,0}(\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}(m, n)), d) = H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}_m).$$

This is exactly the cohomology of the (non-graded) Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_m .

Example 3.13 ($\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$). Let us now consider the case of $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ gradings, with the commutation factor $\varepsilon(i, j) = (-1)^{i_1 j_2 + i_2 j_1}$. Let $A^\bullet = \mathcal{M}(m, n, r, s)$ be the

ε -graded algebra of $(m + n + r + s) \times (m + n + r + s)$ matrices defined as follows: any element in A^\bullet is written as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} & M_{14} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} & M_{24} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} & M_{34} \\ M_{41} & M_{42} & M_{43} & M_{44} \end{pmatrix} \in A^\bullet, \tag{3.10}$$

where M_{ij} are rectangular matrices. The grading is such that M_{11}, M_{22}, M_{33} and M_{44} correspond to degree $(0, 0) \in \Gamma$; M_{12}, M_{21}, M_{34} and M_{43} correspond to degree $(1, 0)$; M_{13}, M_{24}, M_{31} and M_{42} correspond to degree $(0, 1)$; M_{14}, M_{23}, M_{32} and M_{41} correspond to degree $(1, 1)$. This is an elementary grading, A^\bullet is a color algebra in the sense of [38], and is therefore a less trivial example of ε -graded algebra than the usual matrix algebra or the super matrix algebra described in Examples 3.11 and 3.12. Moreover,

$$\text{Tr}_\varepsilon(M) = \text{Tr}(M_{11}) + \text{Tr}(M_{22}) + \text{Tr}(M_{33}) + \text{Tr}(M_{44}) = \text{Tr}(M)$$

is an ε -trace, $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$ and $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \text{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(m, n, r, s)$. Furthermore, the differential calculus based on ε -derivations is

$$\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{M}(m, n, r, s)) = \mathcal{M}(m, n, r, s) \otimes \left(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^\bullet \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon(m, n, r, s)^* \right) \tag{3.11}$$

Example 3.14 ($\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$). Consider the same grading group Γ on the same algebra A^\bullet as in Example 3.13, but with a different commutation factor: $\varepsilon(i, j) = (-1)^{i_1 j_1 + i_2 j_2}$. A^\bullet is then a color superalgebra but not a color algebra (here $\varepsilon((1, 0), (1, 0)) = -1$). General results lead us to the ε -trace

$$\text{Tr}_\varepsilon(M) = \text{Tr}(M_{11}) - \text{Tr}(M_{22}) - \text{Tr}(M_{33}) + \text{Tr}(M_{44}),$$

and one has $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$, $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \text{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$. If $m + s \neq n + r$, one gets $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(m, n, r, s)$. The differential calculus is also described by equation (3.11), but $\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(m, n, r, s)$ is different in this example from Example 3.13.

The explicit computation of the commutators $[-, -]_\varepsilon$ for the ε -Lie algebras of ε -derivations is not given here because they give rise to cumbersome expressions. Nevertheless, let us mention that they are different for the four above cases, and therefore the ε -Lie algebras of ε -derivations, $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$, are different for these four examples.

3.3. ε -graded matrix algebras with fine grading. In this section we study the case of a fine-grading for the matrix algebra A^\bullet .

Definition 3.15 (Fine grading). Let $A^\bullet = \mathcal{M}_D$ be the complex matrix algebra, graded by an abelian group Γ .

The grading (3.1) of A^\bullet is called fine if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(A^\alpha) \leq 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then we define the support of the grading:

$$\text{Supp}(A^\bullet) = \{\alpha \in \Gamma \mid A^\alpha \neq 0\}.$$

Let Γ be an abelian group and $A = \mathcal{M}_D$ the algebra of $D \times D$ complex matrices such that A^\bullet is a fine Γ -graded algebra. Let $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)}$ be a homogeneous basis of A^\bullet .

Proposition 3.16. *With the above hypotheses on A^\bullet , A^\bullet is a graded division algebra, namely all non-zero homogeneous elements of A^\bullet are invertible. Moreover, $\text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$ is a subgroup of Γ .*

The fine grading of A^\bullet is determined by the choice of the basis (e_α) and the factor set $\sigma: \text{Supp}(A^\bullet) \times \text{Supp}(A^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^$ defined by*

$$e_\alpha \cdot e_\beta = \sigma(\alpha, \beta)e_{\alpha+\beta}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$. Furthermore, A^\bullet is a fine ε_σ -graded commutative algebra, where the commutation factor ε_σ is defined by (2.4).

Proof. The proof of the first property is in [2]. It uses the fact that A^\bullet , as an associative algebra, does not contain any proper ideal.

For all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$, $e_\alpha \cdot e_\beta$ is proportional to $e_{\alpha+\beta}$ and is different from 0 because of the above property. This defines $\sigma(\alpha, \beta)$. Then σ is a factor set (see Definition 2.7) since A^\bullet is associative. For $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$, one has

$$e_\alpha \cdot e_\beta = \sigma(\alpha, \beta)e_{\alpha+\beta} = \varepsilon_\sigma(\alpha, \beta)e_\beta \cdot e_\alpha,$$

with $\varepsilon_\sigma(\alpha, \beta) = \sigma(\alpha, \beta)\sigma(\beta, \alpha)^{-1}$ (see (2.4)). □

Note that $e_0 = \sigma(0, 0)\mathbb{1}$.

Let us give a typical example of a fine-graded matrix algebra: the Clifford algebra.

Definition 3.17. Let Γ be an abelian group, and σ a factor set of Γ . We define here $S = \mathbb{C} \rtimes_\sigma \Gamma$, the *crossed-product* of \mathbb{C} by Γ relatively to σ . S is the algebra of functions $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which vanishes outside of a finite number of elements of Γ , with product: for all $f, g \in S$ and all $k \in \Gamma$,

$$(f \cdot g)(k) = \sum_{i+j=k} \sigma(i, j)f(i)g(j).$$

Let $(e_k)_{k \in \Gamma}$ be its canonical basis, given by $e_k(i) = \delta_{ik}$ for all $i, k \in \Gamma$. It satisfies $e_i \cdot e_j = \sigma(i, j)e_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \Gamma$. With the natural fine Γ -grading given by $S^k = \mathbb{C}e_k$, S^\bullet is an ε_σ -graded commutative algebra.

Example 3.18. Let $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and σ the factor set of Γ defined by

$$\sigma(i, j) = (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} i_p j_q},$$

for all $i, j \in \Gamma$. Then $\mathbb{C} \rtimes_{\sigma} (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ is isomorphic to the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}l(n, \mathbb{C})$. This is still true for any factor set equivalent to σ .

3.3.1. Properties. The algebra $A^{\bullet} = \mathcal{M}_D$ has therefore a natural commutation factor ε_{σ} , and one can ask about the properties of A^{\bullet} if it is endowed with another general commutation factor ε on Γ . We have to “compare” ε with ε_{σ} . In this section, ε will denote a commutation factor on Γ over \mathbb{C} (potentially different from ε_{σ}) satisfying the hermitian condition (2.9).

Proposition 3.19. Let ε_1 and ε_2 be two commutation factors on Γ over \mathbb{C} . We denote

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} = \{i \in \Gamma \mid \varepsilon_1(i, j) = \varepsilon_2(i, j) \text{ for all } j \in \Gamma\}.$$

$\Gamma_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}$ is a subgroup of Γ compatible with the signature decomposition, that is,

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} \cap \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1}^i = \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} \cap \Gamma_{\varepsilon_2}^i$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proposition 3.20 (ε -center). The ε -center of A^{\bullet} , whose fine grading is associated to the factor set σ , is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\bullet}(A) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{\sigma}}} A^{\alpha}.$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists $\alpha \in \text{Supp}(A^{\bullet})$ such that $e_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{\bullet}(A)$. Then

$$[e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}]_{\varepsilon} = \sigma(\alpha, \beta)(1 - (\varepsilon \varepsilon_{\sigma}^{-1})(\alpha, \beta))e_{\alpha+\beta}$$

for all $\beta \in \text{Supp}(A^{\bullet})$. Moreover, $[e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}]_{\varepsilon} = 0$ if and only if $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = \varepsilon_{\sigma}(\alpha, \beta)$ for all β , that is, $\alpha \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{\sigma}}$. \square

For two commutation factors ε_1 and ε_2 on Γ over \mathbb{C} , let us also define the (potentially empty) set

$$R_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} = \{i \in \Gamma \mid \varepsilon_1(i - j, j) = \varepsilon_2(i - j, j) \text{ for all } j \in \Gamma\}.$$

Proposition 3.21. This set satisfies

$$-i \in R_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}, \quad i + j \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}$$

for all $i, j \in R_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}$. Moreover, if $\psi_{\varepsilon_1} = \psi_{\varepsilon_2}$, then $R_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} = \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}$; otherwise, $R_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} \cap \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} = \emptyset$. Here ψ_{ε} is the signature function of ε defined in Section 2.1.

Proposition 3.22 (ε -traces). *The ε -traces on A^\bullet are the linear maps $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ vanishing outside of*

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon\sigma}} A^\alpha.$$

Then, from the proof of Proposition 3.20, one obtains

Proof. Suppose that $T: A^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an ε -trace. For all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$, we have that $T([e_\alpha, e_\beta]_\varepsilon) = 0$. Then, from the proof of Proposition 3.20, one obtains $(1 - \varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1}(\alpha, \beta))T(e_{\alpha+\beta}) = 0$. After a change of variables $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha - \beta$,

$$(1 - \varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1}(\alpha - \beta, \beta))T(e_\alpha) = 0$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$. Then $(T(e_\alpha) = 0 \text{ or } \alpha \in R_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon\sigma})$ for all $\alpha \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$. \square

Let us define the coordinates $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)}$ of a homogeneous ε -derivation \mathfrak{X} of A^\bullet by

$$\mathfrak{X}(e_\alpha) = \sigma(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha) x_\alpha e_{\alpha+|\mathfrak{X}|}$$

for all $\alpha \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$.

Theorem 3.23 (ε -derivations). *The coordinates of a homogeneous ε -derivation \mathfrak{X} of A^\bullet satisfy*

$$x_{\alpha+\beta} = x_\alpha + (\varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1})(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha)x_\beta$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$. Moreover, \mathfrak{X} is inner if and only if x_α is proportional (independently of α) to $1 - (\varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1})(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha)$.

The exact sequence of ε -Lie algebras and $\mathbb{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ -modules is canonically split,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \text{Out}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) \rightarrow 0,$$

and there are only two possibilities for \mathfrak{X} :

- (1) if $|\mathfrak{X}| \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon\sigma}$, then \mathfrak{X} is outer and given by a group morphism of $\text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$ into \mathbb{C} ;
- (2) otherwise, \mathfrak{X} is inner.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{X} be a homogeneous ε -derivation of A^\bullet . The defining relation (2.7) of ε -derivations,

$$\mathfrak{X}(e_\alpha \cdot e_\beta) = \mathfrak{X}(e_\alpha) \cdot e_\beta + \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha)e_\alpha \cdot \mathfrak{X}(e_\beta) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet),$$

can be reexpressed in terms of the coordinates of \mathfrak{X} :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha + \beta)x_{\alpha+\beta}\sigma(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= \sigma(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha)x_\alpha\sigma(\alpha + |\mathfrak{X}|, \beta) + \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha)\sigma(|\mathfrak{X}|, \beta)x_\beta\sigma(\alpha, \beta + |\mathfrak{X}|). \end{aligned}$$

Due to (2.3) and (2.4), one obtains

$$x_{\alpha+\beta} = x_\alpha + (\varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1})(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha)x_\beta.$$

If \mathfrak{X} is inner, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{X}(e_\alpha) = \lambda[e_{|\mathfrak{X}|}, e_\alpha]_\varepsilon = \lambda\sigma(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha)(1 - \varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1}(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha))e_{|\mathfrak{X}|+\alpha}$$

for all $\alpha \in \text{Supp}(\mathbf{A}^\bullet)$. Due to the definition of the coordinates (x_α) of \mathfrak{X} , one has

$$x_\alpha = \lambda(1 - \varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1}(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha)).$$

The converse is straightforward.

(1) If $|\mathfrak{X}| \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_\sigma}$, then $x_{\alpha+\beta} = x_\alpha + x_\beta$ and $x: \text{Supp}(\mathbf{A}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a group morphism. Moreover, the only possible inner derivation is 0. Consequently, \mathfrak{X} can be seen as an outer ε -derivation.

(2) If $|\mathfrak{X}| \notin \Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_\sigma}$, there exists $\alpha \in \text{Supp}(\mathbf{A}^\bullet)$ such that $\varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1}(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha) \neq 1$. Since

$$x_\alpha + \varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1}(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha)x_\beta = x_{\alpha+\beta} = x_\beta + \varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1}(|\mathfrak{X}|, \beta)x_\alpha$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp}(\mathbf{A}^\bullet)$, one concludes that

$$x_\beta = \frac{1 - \varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1}(|\mathfrak{X}|, \beta)}{1 - \varepsilon\varepsilon_\sigma^{-1}(|\mathfrak{X}|, \alpha)}x_\alpha$$

for all $\beta \in \text{Supp}(\mathbf{A}^\bullet)$. By the above property of inner ε -derivations, \mathfrak{X} is inner. The grading, and more precisely the belonging of the grading to $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_\sigma}$ or not, provides a splitting of the ε -derivations exact sequence. \square

Corollary 3.24. *We define $\mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$ to be the space of traceless matrices (see Proposition 3.22) and the $\mathfrak{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$ -module*

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \notin \Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_\sigma}} \mathbf{A}^\alpha.$$

Then

- (1) $\mathbf{A}^\bullet = \mathfrak{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}) \oplus \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$,
- (2) $\text{ad}: \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \text{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$ -module, and
- (3) if ε is a proper commutation factor, then $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}) = \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$, and ad restricted to this ε -Lie algebra is an isomorphism of ε -Lie algebra.

Proof. Indeed, $\ker(\text{ad}) = \mathfrak{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$. If ε is proper, $R_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_\sigma} = \Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_\sigma}$ due to Proposition 3.21. Then Proposition 3.22 gives the result: $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}) = \mathfrak{sl}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A})$. \square

Corollary 3.25. *In the case $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\sigma$, one has*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\varepsilon_\sigma}^\bullet(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}^\bullet, \quad \text{Der}_{\varepsilon_\sigma}^\bullet(\mathbf{A}) = \text{Out}_{\varepsilon_\sigma}^\bullet(\mathbf{A}).$$

Moreover, the ε_σ -traces of \mathbf{A}^\bullet are the linear maps $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Indeed, $\Gamma_{\varepsilon\sigma, \varepsilon\sigma} = R_{\varepsilon\sigma, \varepsilon\sigma} = \Gamma$. Note that $[e_\alpha, e_\beta]_{\varepsilon\sigma} = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$. \square

We notice that the results of Corollary 3.25 are very different from those obtained in Section 3.2, namely Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.5, which are close to the results on the non-graded case of matrices. This shows that the extension to the ε -graded case of the framework of derivations is non-trivial and can provide interesting examples.

Note that the dimension has not been used for the moment in this section, so that the latter results remain true for any fine-graded division algebra. If we take into account that A^\bullet is finite-dimensional, one obtains:

Corollary 3.26. *For $A^\bullet = \mathcal{M}_D$ with fine grading, and $D < \infty$,*

$$\text{Out}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A) = 0.$$

Proof. If $\text{Supp}(A^\bullet)$ is finite, the only group morphism $\text{Supp}(A^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is trivial. \square

3.3.2. Differential calculus and ε -connections. To describe the differential calculus of $A^\bullet = \mathcal{M}_D$ based on ε -derivations, we need to introduce the notation

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon, \mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(A), A) = A^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\varepsilon(A)} \bigwedge_{\varepsilon, \mathbb{Z}_\varepsilon(A)}^\bullet (\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(A)^*)^\bullet,$$

which is inspired by Section 3.2.2, and where the ε -exterior algebra of $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ is made of tensor products on $\mathbb{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$. As for Proposition 3.8, it is easy to show that $\Omega_{\varepsilon, \mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(A), A)$ is a $(\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma)$ -graded algebra, and a graded differential algebra if $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A)$ is an ε -Lie algebra.

Lemma 3.27. *One can define the coordinates of any element $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{n, |\omega|}(A)$ of the differential calculus:*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\text{ad}_{e_{\alpha_1}}, \dots, \text{ad}_{e_{\alpha_n}}) &= \omega_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} e_{|\omega|} \cdot e_{\alpha_1} \cdots e_{\alpha_n} \\ &= \sigma(|\omega|, \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n) \sigma(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n) \\ &\quad \dots \sigma(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n) \omega_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} e_{|\omega| + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n} \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha_i \in \text{Supp}(A^\bullet) \setminus \Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon\sigma}$. Then these coordinates satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n} &= -(\varepsilon \varepsilon_\sigma^{-1})(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) \omega_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_n}, \\ \omega_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i + \beta, \dots, \alpha_n} &= \omega_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_n} \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon\sigma} \cap \text{Supp}(A^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward by using the axioms of the differential calculus in equation (2.12). \square

Theorem 3.28. *Let $A^\bullet = \mathcal{M}_D$ be an ε -graded matrix algebra with fine grading ($D < \infty$). Then:*

(1) $\text{ad}_* : \underline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon, \mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathbf{A})$ is an isomorphism of $(\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma)$ -graded algebras.

(2) The space of ε -connections on \mathbf{A}^\bullet is an affine space modeled on the vector space

$$\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{1,0}(\mathbf{A}) \approx \bigoplus_{\alpha \notin \Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon\sigma}} \mathbf{A}^\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{A})} (\mathbf{A}^\alpha)^*.$$

(3) Moreover, if ε is proper, the above map ad_* is an isomorphism of graded differential algebras.

Proof. Since all ε -derivations are inner, one can adapt the proof of Theorem 3.9. \square

3.3.3. Concrete examples. Let us apply the latter results on simple examples of fine-graded matrix algebras.

Example 3.29. For $\Gamma = 0$, the only possibility of a fine-graded complex matrix algebra is $\mathbf{A}^\bullet = \mathbb{C}$.

For $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$, there is no fine-graded matrix algebra \mathbf{A}^\bullet such that $\text{Supp}(\mathbf{A}^\bullet) = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Example 3.30. For $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, the only possibility of a fine-graded complex matrix algebra \mathbf{A}^\bullet such that $\text{Supp}(\mathbf{A}^\bullet) = \Gamma$ is $\mathbf{A}^\bullet = \mathcal{M}_2$, the (2×2) complex matrix algebra.

- $\mathbf{A}^{(0,0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$, and, up to a permutation, $\mathbf{A}^{(1,0)} = \mathbb{C}\tau_1$, $\mathbf{A}^{(0,1)} = \mathbb{C}\tau_2$ and $\mathbf{A}^{(1,1)} = \mathbb{C}\tau_3$, where the τ_i are the Pauli matrices:

$$\tau_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The factor set σ associated to the algebra \mathbf{A}^\bullet is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma((1, 0), (0, 1)) &= -\sigma((0, 1), (1, 0)) = -\sigma((1, 0), (1, 1)) \\ &= \sigma((1, 1), (1, 0)) = \sigma((0, 1), (1, 1)) = -\sigma((1, 1), (0, 1)) = i \end{aligned}$$

for the non-trivial terms. The associated proper commutation factor is then

$$\varepsilon_\sigma(j, k) = (-1)^{j_1 k_2 + j_2 k_1}$$

for all $j, k \in \Gamma$.

- Since Γ is a product of cyclic groups, and \mathbb{C} is a field of characteristic zero, there is no non-zero group morphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- If \mathbf{A}^\bullet is endowed with its associated commutation factor ε_σ , it is a color algebra. Then, by Corollary 3.25, one obtains

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\varepsilon_\sigma}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_2) = \mathcal{M}_2, \quad \text{Der}_{\varepsilon_\sigma}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_2) = \text{Out}_{\varepsilon_\sigma}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_2) = 0,$$

and the ε_σ -traces are the linear maps $\mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, the differential calculus is trivial:

$$\underline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_\sigma}^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{M}_2) = \underline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_\sigma}^{0, \bullet}(\mathcal{M}_2) = \mathcal{M}_2.$$

- If \mathcal{A}^\bullet is endowed with the commutation factor $\varepsilon(j, k) = (-1)^{j_1 k_1 + j_2 k_2}$, it is a color superalgebra. Since $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_\sigma} = \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$ and $R_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_\sigma} = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$, one has

$$\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_2) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\tau_3, \quad \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_2) = \text{Inn}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_2) = \mathbb{C}\text{ad}_{\tau_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\text{ad}_{\tau_2},$$

and the ε -traces are the linear maps $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. One can also notice that $\mathbb{C}\tau_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}\tau_2 = \mathfrak{sl}^1(1, 1)$ in the notations of Example 3.12, so that the differential calculus writes

$$\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{M}_2) = \mathcal{M}_2 \otimes_{\mathfrak{sl}^0(1,1)} (\mathcal{S}^\bullet \mathfrak{sl}^1(1, 1)^\bullet).$$

Note that it was proved in [2] that every graded matrix algebra can be decomposed into the tensor product of an elementary graded matrix algebra and a fine graded matrix algebra, which are the two typical cases of graded matrix algebras (studied in Sections 3.2 and 3.3). In this section, we have seen that fine graded matrix algebras are naturally related to commutation factors and that the theory of comparison of commutation factors permits to characterize properties of such algebras.

3.4. Application to the Moyal algebra. The Moyal algebra \mathcal{M}_θ is a deformation quantization of \mathbb{R}^D . Expanded on the matrix basis, the Moyal algebra can be seen as a generalization of finite-dimensional matrix algebras. Without considering all the possible gradings and commutation factors, we will construct a superalgebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\bullet$ from \mathcal{M}_θ , study its properties, and apply it to explain mathematically a noncommutative gauge theory used in mathematical physics ([10]).

3.4.1. General properties of the Moyal algebra. Here we collect the main properties of the Moyal algebra which will be used in the subsequent discussion. For more details, see e.g. [21], [41]. Let $\mathcal{S} \equiv \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^D)$ with even dimension D and \mathcal{S}' be respectively the space of complex-valued Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R}^D and its topological dual, the space of tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^D . Consider a symplectic structure on \mathbb{R}^D , represented by an invertible skew-symmetric $D \times D$ matrix Σ , and $\Theta = \theta \Sigma$, where the positive parameter θ has mass dimension -2 . The Moyal product associated to Θ can be conveniently defined on $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ by

$$(a \star b)(x) = \frac{1}{(\pi\theta)^D |\det \Sigma|} \int d^D y d^D z a(x+y)b(x+z)e^{-2iy\Theta^{-1}z} \quad (3.12)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{S}$, such that $(a \star b) \in \mathcal{S}$, where¹ $\Theta.k \equiv \Theta_{\mu\nu} k_\nu$. The \star product (3.12) can be further extended to $\mathcal{S}' \times \mathcal{S}$ by using the duality of linear spaces: $\langle T \star a, b \rangle =$

¹We use in this section the implicit summation convention.

$\langle T, a \star b \rangle$ for all $T \in \mathcal{S}'$, $a, b \in \mathcal{S}$. In a similar way, (3.12) can be extended to $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}'$. Owing to the smoothening properties of (3.12) together with the tracial identity $\int d^D x (a \star b)(x) = \int d^D x a(x).b(x)$, where the symbol “.” denotes the (commutative) usual pointwise product, it can be shown that $T \star a$ and $a \star T$ are smooth functions ([21], [41]). The Moyal algebra \mathcal{M}_θ is then defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R},$$

where \mathcal{L} (resp. \mathcal{R}) is the subspace of \mathcal{S}' whose multiplication from right (resp. left) by any Schwartz function is a subspace of \mathcal{S} .

By denoting \dagger the complex conjugation, $(\mathcal{M}_\theta, \star, \dagger)$ is a unital involutive topological algebra which involves in particular the “coordinate” functions x_μ satisfying the relation

$$[x_\mu, x_\nu]_\star = i \Theta_{\mu\nu},$$

defined on \mathcal{M}_θ , where we set $[a, b]_\star = a \star b - b \star a$ and $\{a, b\}_\star = a \star b + b \star a$. Defining $\tilde{x}_\mu = 2\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}x_\nu$, other relevant properties of the \star -product that hold on \mathcal{M}_θ and that will be used in the following are:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu(a \star b) &= \partial_\mu a \star b + a \star \partial_\mu b, \\ [\tilde{x}_\mu, a]_\star &= 2i \partial_\mu a, \\ \{\tilde{x}_\mu, a\}_\star &= 2\tilde{x}_\mu a, \\ [\tilde{x}_\mu \tilde{x}_\nu, a]_\star &= \frac{i}{2} \tilde{x}_\mu \partial_\nu a + \frac{i}{2} \tilde{x}_\nu \partial_\mu a \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{M}_\theta$.

By defining the straightforward generalization of the ϕ^4 -theory on the Euclidean Moyal space,

$$S(\phi) = \int d^D x \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + \lambda \phi \star \phi \star \phi \star \phi \right),$$

one finds a new divergence, called ultraviolet-infrared (UV/IR) mixing [36], which spoils the renormalizability of the theory. A solution to this problem has been carried out by Grosse and Wulkenhaar by adding a harmonic term to the action,

$$S = \int d^D x \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{2} (\tilde{x}_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^{\star 4} \right), \tag{3.14}$$

so that the theory becomes renormalizable to all orders in perturbation ([24]). A new gauge theory on the Moyal space was exhibited in [10], [23] from a one-loop effective action of the model (3.14),

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int d^D x \left(F_{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu} + \Omega'^2 \{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu\}_\star^2 + \kappa \mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu \right), \tag{3.15}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i[A_\mu, A_\nu]_\star$ and $\mathcal{A}_\mu = A_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{x}_\mu$. This gauge action is indeed a good candidate for a renormalizable gauge theory on the Moyal space.

3.4.2. An ε -graded algebra constructed from Moyal space. We construct an ε -graded algebra A_θ^\bullet (here a superalgebra) from the Moyal algebra \mathcal{M}_θ . We also study its ε -center and exhibit a particular ε -Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{g}^\bullet of its inner derivations. From these considerations, we find as a classical scalar action the quadratic part of the renormalizable Grosse–Wulkenhaar model (3.14).

Definition 3.31. Let A_θ^\bullet be the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded complex vector space defined by $A_\theta^0 = \mathcal{M}_\theta$ and $A_\theta^1 = \mathcal{M}_\theta$. Let us also introduce the following product on $A_\theta^\bullet = A_\theta^0 \oplus A_\theta^1$:

$$(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (a \star c + \alpha b \star d, a \star d + b \star c) \quad (3.16)$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{M}_\theta$, where α is a real parameter. Finally, for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, define the usual commutation factor: $\varepsilon(i, j) = (-1)^{ij}$.

Proposition 3.32. *The vector space A_θ^\bullet , endowed with the product (3.16) and the commutation factor ε , is an ε -graded algebra. The bracket of its associated ε -Lie algebra (which is a superalgebra) has the following expression: for $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in A_\theta^\bullet$ and $\psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1) \in A_\theta^\bullet$,*

$$[\phi, \psi]_\varepsilon = ([\phi_0, \psi_0]_\star + \alpha\{\phi_1, \psi_1\}_\star, [\phi_0, \psi_1]_\star + [\phi_1, \psi_0]_\star).$$

Moreover, $(\phi_0, \phi_1)^* = (\phi_0^\dagger, \phi_1^\dagger)$ is an involution for A_θ^\bullet ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$).

Proof. For example, let us check the associativity of the product:

$$\begin{aligned} & ((a, b) \cdot (c, d)) \cdot (e, f) \\ &= (a \star c \star e + \alpha(b \star d \star e + a \star d \star f + b \star c \star f), \\ & \quad a \star c \star f + a \star d \star e + b \star c \star e + \alpha b \star d \star f) \\ &= (a, b) \cdot ((c, d) \cdot (e, f)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathcal{M}_\theta$. In the same way, distributivity and the other axioms are verified. Notice that $\mathbb{1} = (1, 0)$ is the unit element of this algebra. \square

Since the center of the Moyal algebra is trivial ($\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}_\theta) = \mathbb{C}$), we find the ε -center of A_θ^\bullet :

$$\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A_\theta) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{C} \oplus 0.$$

Furthermore, a (non-graded) trace of a subclass of this algebra is given by

$$\text{Tr}(\phi) = \int d^D x \phi_0(x).$$

for all $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in A_\theta^\bullet$.

Let us now define the objects $\gamma = 1$, $\xi_\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_\mu$ and $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_\mu\tilde{x}_\nu = 2\xi_\mu\xi_\nu$, and give some calculation rules deduced from (3.13):

$$\begin{aligned} [i\gamma, \phi]_\star &= 0, \\ \{i\gamma, \phi\}_\star &= 2i\gamma\phi, \\ [i\xi_\mu, \phi]_\star &= \partial_\mu\phi, \\ \{i\xi_\mu, \phi\}_\star &= 2i\xi_\mu\cdot\phi, \\ [i\eta_{\mu\nu}, \phi]_\star &= \frac{1}{2}\xi_\mu\partial_\nu\phi + \frac{1}{2}\xi_\nu\partial_\mu\phi \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_\theta$. Consequently, the usual derivations of the Moyal algebra ∂_μ are inner and can be expressed in terms of ξ_μ .

Proposition 3.33. *Then $\text{ad}_{(0,i\gamma)}$, $\text{ad}_{(i\xi_\mu,0)}$, $\text{ad}_{(0,i\xi_\mu)}$ and $\text{ad}_{(i\eta_{\mu\nu},0)}$ are real ε -derivations of $\mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$ of degrees 1, 0, 1 and 0, respectively. Moreover, the vector space \mathfrak{g}^\bullet generated by these ε -derivations is an ε -Lie subalgebra of $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$ and a right $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$ -module.*

Proof. The following relations, computed from (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned} [(0, i\gamma), (0, i\gamma)]_\varepsilon &= (-2\alpha, 0) \\ [(i\xi_\mu, 0), (0, i\gamma)]_\varepsilon &= (0, 0) \\ [(0, i\xi_\mu), (0, i\gamma)]_\varepsilon &= (-2\alpha\xi_\mu, 0) \\ [(i\eta_{\mu\nu}), (0, i\gamma)]_\varepsilon &= (0, 0) \\ [(i\xi_\mu, 0), (i\xi_\nu, 0)]_\varepsilon &= (i\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}, 0) \\ [(i\xi_\mu, 0), (0, i\xi_\nu)]_\varepsilon &= (0, i\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}\gamma) \\ [(0, i\xi_\mu), (0, i\xi_\nu)]_\varepsilon &= (-\alpha\eta_{\mu\nu}, 0) \\ [(i\eta_{\mu\nu}, 0), (i\xi_\rho, 0)]_\varepsilon &= (\frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu\Theta_{\nu\rho}^{-1} + \frac{i}{2}\xi_\nu\Theta_{\mu\rho}^{-1}, 0) \\ [(i\eta_{\mu\nu}, 0), (0, i\xi_\rho)]_\varepsilon &= (0, \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu\Theta_{\nu\rho}^{-1} + \frac{i}{2}\xi_\nu\Theta_{\mu\rho}^{-1}) \\ [(i\eta_{\mu\nu}, 0), (i\eta_{\rho\sigma}, 0)]_\varepsilon &= (\frac{i}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\Theta_{\nu\sigma}^{-1} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_{\mu\sigma}\Theta_{\nu\rho}^{-1} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_{\nu\rho}\Theta_{\mu\sigma}^{-1} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Theta_{\mu\rho}^{-1}, 0), \end{aligned}$$

combined with $[\text{ad}_a, \text{ad}_b]_\varepsilon = \text{ad}_{[a,b]_\varepsilon}$ for all $a, b \in \mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$, show that \mathfrak{g}^\bullet is an ε -Lie algebra. □

Notice that the vector space generated only by $\text{ad}_{(i\xi_\mu,0)}$ and $\text{ad}_{(0,i\xi_\mu)}$ is not an ε -Lie subalgebra. Indeed, \mathfrak{g}^\bullet is the smallest subalgebra of $\text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$ involving $\text{ad}_{(i\xi_\mu,0)}$ and $\text{ad}_{(0,i\xi_\mu)}$.

We now make use of the previous construction of the ε -graded algebra $\mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$ to give a mathematical interpretation to the theory (3.14). In the notations of Propositions 3.32

and 3.33, one can compute: for all $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_\theta$ real,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} (|[i\xi_\mu, 0], (\phi, \phi)]_\varepsilon|^2 + |[0, i\xi_\mu], (\phi, \phi)]_\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{\theta} |[0, i\gamma], (\phi, \phi)]_\varepsilon|^2) \\ &= \int d^D x ((1 + 2\alpha)(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \alpha^2(\tilde{x}_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{4\alpha^2}{\theta} \phi^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $|a|^2 = a^* \cdot a \in \mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$ and we impose $\phi_0 = \phi_1 = \phi$. Setting $\Omega^2 = \frac{\alpha^2}{1+2\alpha}$ and $m^2 = \frac{4\alpha^2}{\theta(1+2\alpha)}$, we find that the latter expression is the quadratic part of the Grosse–Wulkenhaar action with harmonic term (3.14), which therefore seems to be related to $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathbf{A}_\theta|\mathfrak{g})$.

Remark 3.34. This stems from the fact that the graduation of the “graded Moyal algebra” $\mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$ mimics the Langmann–Szabo duality [27], which seems to play an important role in the renormalizability of this model. Indeed, the Langmann–Szabo duality ($\partial_\mu \rightleftharpoons \tilde{x}_\mu$) can be related to ($i[\xi_\mu, \cdot]_\star \rightleftharpoons \{\xi_\mu, \cdot\}_\star$) or to ($(i\xi_\mu, 0) \rightleftharpoons (0, i\xi_\mu)$) due to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} ([i\xi_\mu, 0], (\phi_0, \phi_1)]_\varepsilon &= ([i\xi_\mu, \phi_0]_\star, [i\xi_\mu, \phi_1]_\star), \\ ([0, i\xi_\mu], (\phi_0, \phi_1)]_\varepsilon &= (i\alpha\{\xi_\mu, \phi_1\}_\star, [i\xi_\mu, \phi_0]_\star), \end{aligned}$$

and further assuming $\phi_0 = \phi_1 = \phi$. In fact, an exact correspondence between Langmann–Szabo duality and this grading exchange was proved in [9]. Moreover, the previous formalism, contrary to the usual ($\partial_\mu \rightleftharpoons \tilde{x}_\mu$) symmetry, can also be applied to gauge theory, as we will see in the next part.

3.4.3. ε -connections for this ε -graded algebra. Let us consider the differential calculus $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathbf{A}_\theta|\mathfrak{g})$ and apply the considerations of Section 2.4 for the module $\mathbf{M}^\bullet = \mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$, with the hermitian structure $\langle a, b \rangle = a^* \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in \mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$. We describe here the ε -connections and their curvatures in terms of the gauge potentials and the covariant coordinates we introduce. We also look at the action of gauge transformations on such objects. Finally, we recover the recently constructed candidate (3.15) for a renormalizable noncommutative gauge theory as an action built from the curvature presented in this section.

Proposition 3.35. *Let ∇ be a hermitian ε -connection on $\mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$. For $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$, define the gauge potential associated to ∇ : $-iA_\mathfrak{X} = \nabla(\mathbb{1})(\mathfrak{X})$: $A: \mathfrak{X} \mapsto A_\mathfrak{X}$ is in $\underline{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{1,0}(\mathbf{A}_\theta|\mathfrak{g})$ and if \mathfrak{X} is real, then $A_\mathfrak{X}$ is real too.*

Then the ε -connection ∇ takes the form

$$\nabla_\mathfrak{X} a = \mathfrak{X}(a) - iA_\mathfrak{X} \cdot a$$

for all $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$, $a \in \mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$, where $\nabla_\mathfrak{X} a = \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |a|)\nabla(a)(\mathfrak{X})$. Defining $F_{\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}} \cdot a = i\varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}| + |\mathfrak{Y}|, |a|)R(a)(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$, we obtain the curvature

$$F_{\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}} = \mathfrak{X}(A_\mathfrak{Y}) - \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|)\mathfrak{Y}(A_\mathfrak{X}) - i[A_\mathfrak{X}, A_\mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon - A_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon}$$

for all $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$.

Proof. Indeed, for $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(A_\theta)$ and $a \in A_\theta^\bullet$,

$$\nabla(a)(\mathfrak{X}) = \nabla(\mathbb{1} \cdot a)(\mathfrak{X}) = \nabla(\mathbb{1})(\mathfrak{X}) + \mathbb{1} \cdot da(\mathfrak{X}).$$

The curvature simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} R(a)(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) &= \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|) \nabla(\nabla(a)(\mathfrak{Y}))(\mathfrak{X}) - \nabla(\nabla(a)(\mathfrak{X}))(\mathfrak{Y}) - \nabla(a)([\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon) \\ &= \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|) d(da(\mathfrak{Y}))(\mathfrak{X}) - d(da(\mathfrak{X}))(\mathfrak{Y}) - da([\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad - i\varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}| + |a|, |\mathfrak{Y}|) d(A_{\mathfrak{Y}} \cdot a)(\mathfrak{X}) - i\varepsilon(|a|, |\mathfrak{X}|) A_{\mathfrak{X}} \cdot da(\mathfrak{Y}) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon(|a|, |\mathfrak{X}| + |\mathfrak{Y}|) A_{\mathfrak{X}} \cdot A_{\mathfrak{Y}} \cdot a + i\varepsilon(|a|, |\mathfrak{X}|) d(A_{\mathfrak{X}} \cdot a)(\mathfrak{Y}) \\ &\quad + i\varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}| + |a|, |\mathfrak{Y}|) A_{\mathfrak{Y}} \cdot da(\mathfrak{X}) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|) \varepsilon(|a|, |\mathfrak{X}| + |\mathfrak{Y}|) A_{\mathfrak{Y}} \cdot A_{\mathfrak{X}} \cdot a \\ &\quad + i\varepsilon(|a|, |\mathfrak{X}| + |\mathfrak{Y}|) A_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon} \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

By using

$$0 = d^2a(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = \varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|) d(da(\mathfrak{Y}))(\mathfrak{X}) - d(da(\mathfrak{X}))(\mathfrak{Y}) - da([\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon),$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} R(a)(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) &= \varepsilon(|a|, |\mathfrak{X}| + |\mathfrak{Y}|) (-i\mathfrak{X}(A_{\mathfrak{Y}}) + i\varepsilon(|\mathfrak{X}|, |\mathfrak{Y}|) \mathfrak{Y}(A_{\mathfrak{X}}) - [A_{\mathfrak{X}}, A_{\mathfrak{Y}}]_\varepsilon + iA_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_\varepsilon}) \cdot a. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Therefore, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(\mathbb{1})(\text{ad}_{(i\xi_\mu, 0)}) &= (-iA_\mu^0, 0), & \nabla(\mathbb{1})(\text{ad}_{(0, i\xi_\mu)}) &= (0, -iA_\mu^1), \\ \nabla(\mathbb{1})(\text{ad}_{(0, i\gamma)}) &= (0, -i\varphi), & \nabla(\mathbb{1})(\text{ad}_{(i\eta_{\mu\nu}, 0)}) &= (-iG_{\mu\nu}, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

The associated curvature can then be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(0, i\gamma), (0, i\gamma)} &= (2i\alpha\varphi - 2i\alpha\varphi \star \varphi, 0), \\ F_{(i\xi_\mu, 0), (0, i\gamma)} &= (0, \partial_\mu\varphi - i[A_\mu^0, \varphi]_\star), \\ F_{(0, i\xi_\mu), (0, i\gamma)} &= (-i\alpha\tilde{x}_\mu\varphi - i\alpha\{A_\mu^1, \varphi\}_\star + 2i\alpha(A_\mu^1 - A_\mu^0), 0), \\ F_{(i\eta_{\mu\nu}, 0), (0, i\gamma)} &= (0, -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{x}_\mu\partial_\nu\varphi - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{x}_\nu\partial_\mu\varphi - i[G_{\mu\nu}, \varphi]_\star), \\ F_{(i\xi_\mu, 0), (i\xi_\nu, 0)} &= (\partial_\mu A_\nu^0 - \partial_\nu A_\mu^0 - i[A_\mu^0, A_\nu^0]_\star, 0), \\ F_{(i\xi_\mu, 0), (0, i\xi_\nu)} &= (0, \partial_\mu A_\nu^1 - \partial_\nu A_\mu^0 - i[A_\mu^0, A_\nu^1]_\star - \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}\varphi), \\ F_{(0, i\xi_\mu), (0, i\xi_\nu)} &= (-i\alpha\tilde{x}_\mu A_\nu^1 - i\alpha\tilde{x}_\nu A_\mu^1 - i\alpha\{A_\mu^1, A_\nu^1\}_\star - i\alpha G_{\mu\nu}, 0), \\ F_{(i\xi_\mu, 0), (i\eta_{\nu\rho}, 0)} &= (\partial_\mu G_{\nu\rho} + \tilde{x}_\nu\partial_\rho A_\mu^0 + \tilde{x}_\rho\partial_\nu A_\mu^0 - i[A_\mu^0, G_{\nu\rho}]_\star \\ &\quad + 2\Theta_{\nu\mu}^{-1}A_\rho^0 + 2\Theta_{\rho\mu}^{-1}A_\nu^0, 0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{(0,i\xi_\mu),(i\eta_{\nu\rho},0)} &= (0, \partial_\mu G_{\nu\rho} + \tilde{x}_\nu \partial_\rho A_\mu^1 + \tilde{x}_\rho \partial_\nu A_\mu^1 - i[A_\mu^1, G_{\nu\rho}]_\star \\
&\quad + 2\Theta_{\nu\mu}^{-1} A_\rho^1 + 2\Theta_{\rho\mu}^{-1} A_\nu^1), \\
F_{(i\eta_{\mu\nu},0),(i\eta_{\rho\sigma},0)} &= (-\tilde{x}_\mu \partial_\nu G_{\rho\sigma} - \tilde{x}_\nu \partial_\mu G_{\rho\sigma} + \tilde{x}_\rho \partial_\sigma G_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{x}_\sigma \partial_\rho G_{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad - i[G_{\mu\nu}, G_{\rho\sigma}]_\star - 2\Theta_{\mu\rho}^{-1} G_{\nu\sigma} - 2\Theta_{\nu\rho}^{-1} G_{\mu\sigma} \\
&\quad - 2\Theta_{\mu\sigma}^{-1} G_{\nu\rho} - 2\Theta_{\nu\sigma}^{-1} G_{\mu\rho}, 0). \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have suppressed the ad's in the indices of F to simplify the notations.

Proposition 3.36. *The unitary gauge transformations Φ of $\mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$ are completely determined by $g = \Phi(\mathbb{1})$: $\Phi(a) = g \cdot a$ for all $a \in \mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$, and g is a unitary element of $\mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$ of degree 0: $g^* \cdot g = \mathbb{1}$. Then this gauge transformation acts on the gauge potential and the curvature by*

$$A_{\mathfrak{X}}^g = g \cdot A_{\mathfrak{X}} \cdot g^* + i g \cdot \mathfrak{X}(g^*), \tag{3.20}$$

$$F_{\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{Y}}^g = g \cdot F_{\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{Y}} \cdot g^*. \tag{3.21}$$

for all $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$.

Proof. For all $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}_\varepsilon^\bullet(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$ and $a \in \mathbf{A}_\theta^\bullet$,

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{X}}^g a = g \cdot (\mathfrak{X}(g^* \cdot a) - i A_{\mathfrak{X}} \cdot g^* \cdot a) = \mathfrak{X}(a) + g \cdot \mathfrak{X}(g^*) \cdot a - i g \cdot A_{\mathfrak{X}} \cdot g^* \cdot a.$$

Since $\nabla_{\mathfrak{X}}^g a = \mathfrak{X}(a) - i A_{\mathfrak{X}}^g \cdot a$, we obtain the result (3.20). The proof is similar for (3.21). \square

In the following, we will denote the gauge transformations (of degree 0) by $(g, 0)$, where $g \in \mathcal{M}_\theta$ and $g^\dagger \star g = 1$. Then the gauge potentials (3.18) transform into

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_\mu^0, 0)^g &= (g \star A_\mu^0 \star g^\dagger + i g \star \partial_\mu g^\dagger, 0), \\
(0, A_\mu^1)^g &= (0, g \star A_\mu^1 \star g^\dagger + i g \star \partial_\mu g^\dagger), \\
(0, \varphi)^g &= (0, g \star \varphi \star g^\dagger), \\
(G_{\mu\nu}, 0)^g &= (g \star G_{\mu\nu} \star g^\dagger - \frac{i}{4} g \star (\tilde{x}_\mu \partial_\nu g^\dagger) - \frac{i}{4} g \star (\tilde{x}_\nu \partial_\mu g^\dagger), 0). \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the covariant coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_\mu^0 &= A_\mu^0 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{x}_\mu, & \mathcal{A}_\mu^1 &= A_\mu^1 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{x}_\mu, \\
\Phi &= \varphi - 1, & \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} &= G_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{x}_\mu \tilde{x}_\nu,
\end{aligned}$$

so that the curvature takes the new form

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{(0,i\gamma),(0,i\gamma)} &= (2i\alpha - 2i\alpha\Phi \star \Phi, 0), \\
 F_{(i\xi_\mu,0),(0,i\gamma)} &= (0, -i[\mathcal{A}_\mu^0, \Phi]_\star), \\
 F_{(0,i\xi_\mu),(0,i\gamma)} &= (-i\alpha\{\mathcal{A}_\mu^1, \Phi\}_\star - 2i\alpha\mathcal{A}_\mu^0, 0), \\
 F_{(i\eta_{\mu\nu},0),(0,i\gamma)} &= (0, -i[\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}, \Phi]_\star), \\
 F_{(i\xi_\mu,0),(i\xi_\nu,0)} &= (\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1} - i[\mathcal{A}_\mu^0, \mathcal{A}_\nu^0]_\star, 0), \\
 F_{(i\xi_\mu,0),(0,i\xi_\nu)} &= (0, -i[\mathcal{A}_\mu^0, \mathcal{A}_\nu^1]_\star - \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}\Phi), \\
 F_{(0,i\xi_\mu),(0,i\xi_\nu)} &= (-i\alpha\{\mathcal{A}_\mu^1, \mathcal{A}_\nu^1\}_\star - i\alpha\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}, 0), \\
 F_{(i\xi_\mu,0),(i\eta_{\nu\rho},0)} &= (-i[\mathcal{A}_\mu^0, \mathcal{G}_{\nu\rho}]_\star + 2\Theta_{\nu\mu}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\rho^0 + 2\Theta_{\rho\mu}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\nu^0, 0), \\
 F_{(0,i\xi_\mu),(i\eta_{\nu\rho},0)} &= (0, -i[\mathcal{A}_\mu^1, \mathcal{G}_{\nu\rho}]_\star + 2\Theta_{\nu\mu}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\rho^1 + 2\Theta_{\rho\mu}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\nu^1), \\
 F_{(i\eta_{\mu\nu},0),(i\eta_{\rho\sigma},0)} &= (-i[\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{G}_{\rho\sigma}]_\star - 2\Theta_{\mu\rho}^{-1}\mathcal{G}_{\nu\sigma} \\
 &\quad - 2\Theta_{\nu\rho}^{-1}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\sigma} - 2\Theta_{\mu\sigma}^{-1}\mathcal{G}_{\nu\rho} - 2\Theta_{\nu\sigma}^{-1}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\rho}, 0).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

In the notations of (3.19) and (3.23), we will construct a gauge-invariant action by taking the trace of the square of a (graded) curvature. Note that due to (3.22), the field φ can be interpreted as a scalar field (in the adjoint representation), while A_μ^0 and A_μ^1 are usual gauge potentials on the Moyal space. Let us identify $A_\mu^0 = A_\mu^1 = A_\mu$ for the computation of the action. Note also that for dimensional consistency one has to consider $i\sqrt{\theta}\eta_{\mu\nu}$ rather than $i\eta_{\mu\nu}$ in the action, $\frac{i}{\sqrt{\theta}}\gamma$ rather than $i\gamma$, and to rescale conveniently the fields φ and $G_{\mu\nu}$. Taking for the moment $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $\Phi = 0$, which can be done because these fields transform covariantly, we obtain the following action, up to constant terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Tr}(|F_{\text{ad}_a, \text{ad}_b}|^2) &= \int d^D x ((1 + 2\alpha)F_{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu} + \alpha^2\{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu\}_\star^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{8}{\theta}(2(D + 1)(1 + \alpha) + \alpha^2)\mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Here an implicit summation is on $a, b \in \{(0, \frac{i}{\sqrt{\theta}}\gamma), (i\xi_\mu, 0), (0, i\xi_\mu), (i\sqrt{\theta}\eta_{\mu\nu}, 0)\}$. We easily recognize the action (3.15). When taking² $\Phi \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = 0$, we obtain an additional real scalar field action coupled to gauge fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(\varphi) &= \int d^D x (2\alpha|\partial_\mu\varphi - i[A_\mu, \varphi]_\star|^2 + 2\alpha^2|\tilde{x}_\mu\varphi + \{A_\mu, \varphi\}_\star|^2 - 4\alpha\sqrt{\theta}\varphi\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}F_{\mu\nu} \\
 &\quad + \frac{2\alpha(D+2\alpha)}{\theta}\varphi^2 - \frac{8\alpha^2}{\sqrt{\theta}}\varphi \star \varphi \star \varphi + 4\alpha^2\varphi \star \varphi \star \varphi \star \varphi).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

A part of this action can be interpreted as a Higgs action coupled to gauge fields, with harmonic term (3.14) and a more general positive potential term built from the \star -polynomial part involving φ . Moreover, this action is not Langmann–Szabo covariant (cubic term) but related to the generalization of this duality discussed below.

²We recall that $\Phi = \varphi - 1$.

Notice that the gauge fields A_μ are already massive (see the last term in (3.24)). Furthermore, the action (3.25) involves a BF-like ([3], [42]) term $\int \varphi \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1} F_{\mu\nu}$ similar to the one introduced by Slavnov [40] in the simplest noncommutative extension of the Yang–Mills theory on Moyal space.

3.4.4. Discussion on the gauge theory. We have therefore interpreted both actions (3.14) and (3.15) within the formalism introduced in this section for the superalgebra A_θ^\bullet . Some comments concerning the classical action (3.15) are now in order.

First, it is not surprising that we obtain a Higgs field in this theory as a part of a connection. This was already the case for, e.g., spectral triples approach of the Standard Model [6] and for the models stemming from the derivation-based differential calculus in [16]. Notice that a somewhat similar interpretation of covariant coordinates as Higgs fields in the context of gauge theory models on Moyal algebras has also been given in [5]. Moreover, an additional BF-like term in (3.25) appears in the present situation. Such a Slavnov term was shown in [40] to improve the IR (and UV) behavior of the action

$$\int d^D x ((1 + 2\alpha) F_{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu} - 4\alpha \sqrt{\theta} \varphi \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1} F_{\mu\nu}), \quad (3.26)$$

when the field φ is not dynamical ([40]). The corresponding impact on the UV/IR mixing of the full action in the present situation remains to be analyzed.

In view of the discussion given in Section 3.4.2, the grading of the ε -associative algebra A^\bullet mimics the Langmann–Szabo duality in the scalar case. As far as the gauge theory built from the square of the curvature is concerned, this is reflected in particular into the action (3.24) (the $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = \Phi = 0$ part), which has been shown to be an effective action ([10], [23]). As a consequence, one can view this grading as a generalization of the Langmann–Szabo duality to the scalar and gauge case. Observe that in (3.24), the part $(\{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu\}_\star - \frac{1}{4}\{\tilde{x}_\mu, \tilde{x}_\nu\}_\star)$ might be viewed as the symmetric counterpart of the usual antisymmetric curvature $F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4}[\tilde{x}_\mu, \tilde{x}_\nu]_\star - i[\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu]_\star$. Then the general action $\text{Tr}(|F_{\text{ad}_a, \text{ad}_b}|^2)$ involves the terms

$$\int d^D x (\alpha^2 (\{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu\}_\star - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_\mu \tilde{x}_\nu)^2 + 2\alpha^2 G_{\mu\nu} (\{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu\}_\star - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_\mu \tilde{x}_\nu)),$$

which can also be seen as the symmetric counterpart of the BF action (3.26), if we interpret $G_{\mu\nu}$ as the “symmetric” analog of the BF multiplier $\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}\varphi$.

One can further observe that the gauge action built from the square of the curvature has a trivial vacuum (and in particular $A_\mu = 0$), which therefore avoids the difficult problem ([8]) to deal with the non-trivial vacuum configurations of (3.24) that were determined in [11].

Due to the formalism of derivation-based differential calculus and ε -connections developed in Section 2, we have thus given a mathematical interpretation of the theory (3.15) as constructed from a graded curvature.

References

- [1] M. Artin and J. J. Zhang, Noncommutative projective schemes. *Adv. Math.* **109** (1994), 228–287. Zbl 0833.14002 MR 1304753
- [2] Yu. A. Bahturin and M. V. Zaicev, Group gradings on matrix algebras. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **45** (2002), 499–508. Zbl 1022.16032 MR 1941224
- [3] D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski, and G. Thompson, Topological field theory. *Phys. Rep.* **209** (1991), 129–340. MR 1137489
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de Mathématiques, Algèbre, Chapitres 1 à 3*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2007. Zbl 1111.00001 MR 0274237
- [5] E. Cagnache, T. Masson, and J.-C. Wallet, Noncommutative Yang–Mills–Higgs actions from derivation-based differential calculus. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **5** (2011), 39–67. Zbl 1226.81279 MR 2746650
- [6] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and M. Marcolli, Gravity and the standard model with neutrino mixing. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **11** (2007), 991–1089. Zbl 1140.81022 MR 2368941
- [7] A. Connes, Non-commutative differential geometry. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **62** (1985), 41–144. Zbl 0592.46056 MR 0823176
- [8] A. de Goursac, On the effective action of noncommutative Yang–Mills theory. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **103** (2008), 012010.
- [9] A. de Goursac, On the origin of the harmonic term in noncommutative quantum field theory. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **6** (2010), 048. Zbl 1217.81137 MR 2725035
- [10] A. de Goursac, J.-C. Wallet, and R. Wulkenhaar, Noncommutative induced gauge theory. *Eur. Phys. J. C Part. Fields* **51** (2007), 977–987. Zbl 1189.81215 MR 2336186
- [11] A. de Goursac, J.-C. Wallet, and R. Wulkenhaar, On the vacuum states for noncommutative gauge theory. *Eur. Phys. J. C Part. Fields* **56** (2008), 293–304. Zbl 1189.81214 MR 2439583
- [12] M. Dubois-Violette, Dérivations et calcul différentiel non commutatif. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **307** (1988), 403–408. Zbl 0661.17012 MR 965807
- [13] M. Dubois-Violette, Noncommutative differential geometry, quantum mechanics and gauge theory. In *Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics* (Rapallo, 1990), Lecture Notes in Phys. 375, Springer, Berlin 1991, 13–24. Zbl 0744.53042 MR 1134141
- [14] M. Dubois-Violette, Lectures on graded differential algebras and noncommutative geometry. In *Noncommutative differential geometry and its applications to physics*, Math. Phys. Stud. 23, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 2001, 245–306. Zbl 1038.58004 MR 1910544
- [15] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner, and J. Madore, Noncommutative differential geometry of matrix algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990), 316–322. Zbl 0704.53081 MR 1034167
- [16] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner, and J. Madore, Noncommutative differential geometry and new models of gauge theory. *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990), 323–330. Zbl 0704.53082 MR 1034168
- [17] M. Dubois-Violette and T. Masson, $SU(n)$ -connections and noncommutative differential geometry. *J. Geom. Phys.* **25** (1998), 104–118. Zbl 0933.58007 MR 1611957

- [18] M. Dubois-Violette and P. W. Michor, Dérivations et calcul différentiel non commutatif II. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **319** (1994), 927–931. Zbl 0829.16028 MR 1302791
- [19] M. Dubois-Violette and P. W. Michor, Connections on central bimodules in noncommutative differential geometry. *J. Geom. Phys.* **20** (1996), 218–232. Zbl 0867.53023 MR 1412695
- [20] D. B. Fuks, *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Contemporary Soviet Mathematics, Consultants Bureau, New York 1986. Zbl 0667.17005 MR 0874337
- [21] J. M. Gracia-Bondía and J. C. Várilly, Algebras of distributions suitable for phase-space quantum mechanics. I. *J. Math. Phys.* **29** (1988), 869–879. Zbl 0652.46026 MR 940351
- [22] H. Grosse and G. Reiter, Graded differential geometry of graded matrix algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999), 6609–6625. Zbl 0958.58004 MR 1725876
- [23] H. Grosse and M. Wohlgenannt, Induced gauge theory on a noncommutative space. *Eur. Phys. J. C Part. Fields* **52** (2007), 435–450. Zbl 1189.81217 MR 2346157
- [24] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, Renormalisation of ϕ^4 -theory on noncommutative \mathbb{R}^4 in the matrix base. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **256** (2005), 305–374. Zbl 1075.82005 MR 2160797
- [25] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, and S. D. Silvestrov, Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations. *J. Algebra* **295** (2006), 314–361. Zbl 1138.17012 MR 2194957
- [26] G. Landi, *An introduction to noncommutative spaces and their geometries*. Lecture Notes in Phys. New Ser. m Monogr. 51, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1997. Zbl 0909.46060 MR 1482228
- [27] E. Langmann and R. J. Szabo, Duality in scalar field theory on noncommutative phase spaces. *Phys. Lett. B* **533** (2002), 168–177. Zbl 0994.81116 MR 1911944
- [28] D. Larsson and S. D. Silvestrov, Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities. *J. Algebra* **288** (2005), 321–344. Zbl 1099.17015 MR 2146132
- [29] P. A. B. Lecomte, P. W. Michor, and H. Schicketanz, The multigraded Nijenhuis–Richardson algebra, its universal property and applications. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **77** (1992), 87–102. Zbl 0752.17019 MR 1148273
- [30] D. A. Leites, Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **35** (1980), no. 1, 3–57; English transl. *Russian Math. Surveys* **35** (1980), no. 1, 1–64. Zbl 0462.58002 MR 565567
- [31] T. Masson, *Géométrie non commutative et applications à la théorie des champs*. PhD thesis, Université Paris XI, Paris 1995. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~esiprpr/esi296.pdf>
- [32] T. Masson, Submanifolds and quotient manifolds in noncommutative geometry. *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996), 2484–2497. Zbl 0877.58006 MR 1385842
- [33] T. Masson, On the noncommutative geometry of the endomorphism algebra of a vector bundle. *J. Geom. Phys.* **31** (1999), 142–152. Zbl 0940.46046 MR 1706628
- [34] T. Masson, Noncommutative generalization of $SU(n)$ -principal fiber bundles: a review. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **103** (2008), 012003.
- [35] T. Masson, Examples of derivation-based differential calculi related to noncommutative gauge theories. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **5** (2008), 1315–1336. Zbl 1165.81384 MR 2484555

- [36] S. Minwalla, M. Van Raamsdonk, and N. Seiberg, Noncommutative perturbative dynamics. *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2000), 020. Zbl 0959.81108 MR 1748801
- [37] C. Năstăsescu and F. van Oystaeyen, *Graded ring theory*. North-Holland Math. Library 28, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam 1982. Zbl 0494.16001 MR 676974
- [38] V. Rittenberg and D. Wyler, Generalized superalgebras. *Nuclear Phys. B* **139** (1978), 189–202. MR 0491859
- [39] M. Scheunert, Generalized Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **20** (1979), no. 4, 712–720. Zbl 0423.17003 MR 529734
- [40] A. A. Slavnov, Consistent non-commutative quantum gauge theories? *Phys. Lett. B* **565** (2003), 246–252. Zbl 1072.81556 MR 1995258
- [41] J. C. Várilly and J. M. Gracia-Bondía, Algebras of distributions suitable for phase-space quantum mechanics. II. Topologies on the Moyal algebra. *J. Math. Phys.* **29** (1988), 880–887. Zbl 0652.46027 MR 940352
- [42] J. C. Wallet, Algebraic set-up for the gauge-fixing of BF and super BF systems. *Phys. Lett. B* **235** (1990), 71–78. MR 1037475
- [43] J.-C. Wallet, Noncommutative induced gauge theories on Moyal spaces. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **103** (2008), 012007.
- [44] J.-C. Wallet, Derivations of the Moyal algebra and noncommutative gauge theories. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **5** (2009), 013. Zbl 1160.81470 MR 2481479

Received October 26, 2009; revised July 1, 2010

A. de Goursac, Département de Mathématiques et Physique, Université Catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

E-mail: axelmg@melix.net

T. Masson, Centre de Physique Théorique, Campus de Luminy, Case 907, 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France

E-mail: thierry.masson@cpt.univ-mrs.fr

J.-C. Wallet, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Bât. 210, Université Paris XI, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

E-mail: jean-christophe.wallet@th.u-psud.fr