J. Noncommut. Geom. 7 (2013), 457–498 DOI 10.4171/JNCG/123

**Journal of Noncommutative Geometry** © European Mathematical Society

# **Classification of traces and hypertraces on spaces of classical pseudodifferential operators**

Matthias Lesch and Carolina Neira Jiménez-

Abstract. Let M be a closed manifold and let  $CL<sup>o</sup>(M)$  be the algebra of classical pseudodifferential operators. The aim of this note is to classify trace functionals on the subspaces  $CL^{a}(M) \subset CL^{\bullet}(M)$  of operators of order a.  $CL^{a}(M)$  is a  $CL^{0}(M)$ -module for any real a; it<br>is an algebra only if a is a non-positive integer. Therefore, it turns out to be useful to introduce is an algebra only if  $a$  is a non-positive integer. Therefore, it turns out to be useful to introduce the notions of pretrace and hypertrace. Our main result gives a complete classification of preand hypertraces on CL<sup>a</sup>(M) for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , as well as the traces on CL<sup>a</sup>(M) for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \le 0$ . We also extend these results to classical pseudodifferential operators acting on sections of a vector bundle.

As a by-product we give a new proof of the well-known uniqueness results for the Guillemin–Wodzicki residue trace and for the Kontsevich–Vishik canonical trace. The novelty of our approach lies in the calculation of the cohomology groups of homogeneous and log-polyhomogeneous differential forms on a symplectic cone. This allows to give an extremely simple proof of a generalization of a theorem of Guillemin about the representation of homogeneous functions as sums of Poisson brackets.

### *Mathematics Subject Classification* (2010)*.* 58J42; 58J40, 53D05.

*Keywords.* Classical pseudodifferential operator, trace functional, canonical trace, nonco[mmu](#page-7-0)tative residue, homogeneous differential form, symplectic cone, symplectic residue, regular[ized](#page-19-0) integral.

# **Contents**



The first author was partially supported by the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics and the second author was supported by the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik.

#### **1. Introduction and formulation of the result**

Let M be a smooth closed connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n > 1$ . We denote by  $CL^a(M)$  the space of classical pseudodifferential operators of order  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  on M[. There](#page-40-0) is a little subt[lety here](#page-41-0) which we need to clarify to avoid possible confusions: by definition (cf. eq.  $(2.12)$ ,  $(2.13)$ ) and Section 3.1) a classical pseud[odifferen](#page-41-0)tial operator of order a is [also a cl](#page-39-0)assical pseudodifferential operator of order  $a + k$  [for](#page-39-0) any no[n-negati](#page-40-0)ve integer k; this convention ensures, e.g., that  $CL^a(M)$  is a vector space and that  $CL^a(M)$  is a subspace of  $CL^{a+1}(M)$ . [Howeve](#page-40-0)r, for non-integral  $r \ge 0$  the space  $CL^a(M)$  is *not* contained in  $CL^{a+r}(M)$ . In fact, it is not hard to see that for such r one has  $CL^a(M) \cap CL^{a+r}(M) = CL^{-\infty}(M)$  $CL^a(M) \cap CL^{a+r}(M) = CL^{-\infty}(M)$ , the latter being the space of smoothing operators.

It is well known that the residue trace Res, which was discovered independently by Guil[lemin](#page-22-0) [Gui85] and Wodzicki [Wod87b], is up to [normaliz](#page-40-0)ation the unique trace on the algebra  $CL^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$  of integer order classical pseudodifferential operators ([Wod87b], Brylinski and Getzler [BrGe87], Fedosov, Golse, Leichtnam, and Schrohe [FGLS96], Lesch [Les99], for a complete account of traces and determinants of pseudodifferential operators see the recent monograph by Scott [Sco10]). Res is non-trivial only on  $CL^k(M)$  for integers  $k \ge -n$ , and it is complemented by the canonical trace, TR, of Kontsevich and Vishik [KoVi95]. The latter is defined on operators of real order  $a \neq -n, -n + 1, \dots$  $a \neq -n, -n + 1, \dots$  $a \neq -n, -n + 1, \dots$ , it extends the Hilbert space trace on smoothing operators and it vanishes on commutators (for the precise statement see eq. (3.11) below). By Maniccia, Schrohe, and Seiler [MSS08] it is the unique functional which is linear on its domain, has the trace property and coincides with the  $L^2$ -operator trace on tra[ce-c](#page-20-0)lass operators.

A natural problem which arises is to characterize the traces on the spaces  $CL<sup>a</sup>(M)$ . First, one has to note that  $CL^a(M)$  [is alw](#page-40-0)ays a  $CL^0(M)$ -module; it is an algebra if and only if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} = \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}$ . Let us cal[l a functi](#page-40-0)onal  $\tau$  on  $CL^a(M)$ <br>a hypertrace (resp. pretrace) if  $\tau(A, R) = 0$  for  $A \in CL^0(M)$ ,  $R \in CL^a(M)$ a *hypertrace* (resp. *pretrace*) if  $\tau([A, B]) = 0$  for  $A \in CL^0(M)$ ,  $B \in CL^a(M)$ <br>(resp.  $A, B \in CL^{a/2}(M)$ ) see Definition 3.1 [\(resp.](#page-40-0) A,  $B \in CL^{a/2}(M)$ [\), se](#page-40-0)e Definition 3.1.

The above mentioned uniqueness results for Res and TR cannot extend to  $CL^a(M)$ for a simple reason: let T be a distribution on the cosphere bundle  $S^*M$  and denote by  $\sigma_a$ :  $CL^a(M) \to C^{\infty}(S^*M)$  the leading symbol. Due to the mult[iplic](#page-15-0)ativity<br>of the leading symbol (eq. (3.3)) the map  $T \circ \sigma$  is a pretrace and a hypertrace on of the leading symbol (eq. (3.3)) the map  $T \circ \sigma_a$  is a pretrace and a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M)$ , and for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  it is a trace on  $CL^a(M)$ .  $T \circ \sigma_a$  is called a leading symbol trace by Paycha and Rosenberg [PaRo04].

For  $CL^0(M)$  it was already proved by Wodzicki [Wod87a] that any trace is a linear combination of Res and a leading symbol trace, see also Lescure and Paycha [LePa07], and Ponge [Pon10].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The case  $n = 1$  has some peculiarities due to the non-connectedness of the cosphere bundle of  $S<sup>1</sup>$ . As a consequence many results need to be slightly modified in the case  $n = 1$  (see Remark 2.11). These modifications are more annoying than difficult and for the sake of a clean exposition they are left to the reader.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Before stating our generalization of this result we need to introduce some more notation: Firstly, for  $a \leq 0$  we will always consider  $CL^{a}(M)$  as a subspace of  $B(L^2(M))$ , the bounded linear operators acting on the Hilbert space  $L^2(M)$  of square integrable functions with respect to the volume measure induced by the Riemannian metric. The symbol Tr will be reserved for the operator trace on the Schatten ideal  $\mathcal{B}^1(L^2(M))$  of trace class operators on  $L^2(M)$ .

Secondly, for a linear functional  $\tau: CL^b(M) \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \leq b$  with  $b - a \in \mathbb{Z}$ <br>will use the abbreviation  $\tau := \tau \upharpoonright CI^a(M)$ we will use the abbreviation  $\tau_a := \tau \upharpoonright CL^a(M)$ .<br>Thirdly we introduce a convenient notation wh

Thirdly, we introduce a convenient notation which combines TR and Res. Namely, fix a *linear* functional  $\text{Tr} : \text{CL}^0(M) \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$
\widetilde{\text{Tr}}_a = \widetilde{\text{Tr}} \upharpoonright \text{CL}^a(M) = \text{Tr} \upharpoonright \text{CL}^a(M) = \text{Tr}_a
$$

for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -n} = \{-n-1, -n-2, ...\}$  and put

$$
\overline{\text{TR}}_a := \begin{cases} \text{TR}_a & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -n}, \\ \widetilde{\text{Tr}}_a & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Z}, \ -n \le a < \frac{-n+1}{2}, \\ \text{Res}_a & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \frac{-n+1}{2} \le a. \end{cases}
$$

In this note we will prove:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let* M *be a closed connected Riemannian manifold of dimension*  $n>1$ .

(1) Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\tau$  be a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M)$ . Then there are uniquely<br>expand  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and a distribution  $T \in (C^\infty(S^*M))^*$  such that  $determined \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and a distribution  $T \in (\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(S^*M))^*$  such that

$$
\tau = T \circ \sigma_a + \begin{cases} \lambda \overline{\text{TR}}_a & \text{if } a \notin \mathbb{Z}_{>-n}, \\ \lambda \operatorname{Res}_a & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>-n}. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}
$$

(2) Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  and denote by

$$
\pi_a\colon CL^a(M)\to CL^a(M)/\operatorname{CL}^{2a-1}(M)
$$

*the quotient map. Let*  $\tau: CL^a(M) \to \mathbb{C}$  *be a trace. Then there are uniquely deter-*<br>mined  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in (Cl^a(M)/Cl^{2a-1}(M))^*$  such that *mined*  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  *and*  $T \in (CL^a(M) / CL^{2a-1}(M))^*$  *such that* 

$$
\tau = \lambda \overline{\text{TR}}_a + T \circ \pi_a.
$$

This theorem is a summary of Theorem 4.10, Theorem 4.12 and Corollary 4.13 in the text. It extends to the vector bundle case. This requires even more notation and is therefore not reproduced here in the introduction. The interested reader is referred to Theorem 5.7 in Section 5.

Let us briefly describe the main steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1:

In order to classify (pre-, hyper-)traces on  $CL^a(M)$  it is natural to ask for a representation of an operator  $A \in CL^{\alpha}(M)$  as a sum of commutators. Indeed, the

uniqueness of the residue trace Res as the unique trace on the algebra  $CL^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$  (see the first paragraph of this section) essentially follows from the fact that there exist  $P_1,\ldots,P_N \in CL^1(M), Q \in CL^{-n}(M)$  such that for any  $A \in CL^a(M)$  there exist  $Q_1,\ldots,Q_N \in CL^a(M)$  and  $R \in CL^{-\infty}(M)$  such that

$$
A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P_j, Q_j] + \text{Res}(A)Q + R.
$$
 (1.2)

This is due to Wodzicki [Wod84]; see also [Les99], Propositions 4.7 and 4.9.

Since the operators  $P_1, \ldots, P_N$  are of order 1, they do not belong to  $CL^a(M)$ except if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Hence to classify pre- and hypertraces on CL<sup>a</sup>(M) we need to generalize ([1](#page-40-0).2) such that the order of the  $P_1,\ldots,P_N$  can be chosen to be an arbitrary real number m.

Indeed we will prove in Theor[em](#page-2-0) 4.6 below t[hat fo](#page-29-0)r real numbers  $m, a$  there exist  $P_1,\ldots,P_N \in CL^m(M)$ , s[uch t](#page-2-0)hat for any  $A \in CL^a(M)$  $A \in CL^a(M)$  there exist  $Q_1,\ldots,Q_N \in$  $CL^{a-m+1}(M)$  and  $R \in CL^{-\infty}(M)$  such that

$$
A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P_j, Q_j] + \text{Res}(A)Q + R.
$$
 (1.3)

From this representation and the well-known fact that the Hilbert space trace is the unique trace on  $CL^{-\infty}(M)$  (Guillemin [Gui93], Thm. A.1, see Theorem 4.1 below) one now deduces the first line of (1.1) (Theor[em](#page-25-0) 4.10).

For the secon[d lin](#page-25-0)e of  $(1.1)$  (Theorem 4.12) o[n](#page-31-0)e still applies  $(1.3)$  but then [in](#page-31-0) addition one needs to show that if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -n}$  a[nd if](#page-25-0)  $\tau$  is a hype[rtrac](#page-31-0)e on  $CL^a(M)$ <br>then  $\tau \upharpoonright CI^{-\infty}(M) = 0$ . This follows from a result of Ponge ([Pon10]. Prop. 4.2. then  $\tau \upharpoonright CL^{-\infty}(M) = 0$ . This follows from a result of Ponge ([Pon10], [Prop. 4.2,](#page-29-0) see Proposition 4.2 below) for which we present an alternative proof (Lemma 4.3) see [Prop](#page-38-0)osition 4.2 below), for which we present an alternative proof (Lemma 4.3).

In Section 4.4 we present an alternative approach which is independent of Ponge's result. For this alternative approach we received considerable help from Sylvie Paycha.

For proving Theorem 1.1 (2) as well as for showing that every pretrace is a hypertrace we use a nice algebraic lemma (Lemma 4.5) due to Sylvie Paycha. Section 4.4 as well as Lemma 4.5 are included here with her kind permission; her generosity is greatly appreciated. We emphasize that Lemma 4.5 and Section 4.4 are not needed to prove the classification results about hypertraces contained in Theorems 4.10, 4.12 and 5.7.

As expected,  $(1.3)$  is proved using the symbol calculus for pseudodifferential operators. Recall that the leading symbol,  $\sigma_a(A)$ , of  $A \in CL^a(M)$  is a smooth function on  $T^*M \setminus M$  which is homogeneous of degree a. Now suppose that we<br>have  $P \in \text{CI } m(M)$ ,  $Q \in \text{CI } a^{-m+1}(M)$ . Then there is the well-known but crucial have  $P \in CL^m(M)$ ,  $Q \in CL^{a-m+1}(M)$ . Then there is the well-known but crucial identity

$$
\sigma_a([P, Q]) = \frac{1}{i} \{ \sigma_m(P), \, \sigma_{a-m+1}(Q) \}.
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Here  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  denotes the Poisson bracket of functions on  $T^*M \setminus M$  with respect to the standard symplectic structure. So Poisson brackets are the symplectic counternart of standard symplectic structure. So Poisson brackets are the symbolic counterpart of commutators and therefore [to so](#page-14-0)lve the original problem one has to analyze the space spanned by Poisson brackets of homogeneous functions. This leads naturally to the *symplectic residue* which is the symbolic analogue of the residue trace. The theory of the symplectic residue was developed independently by Wodzicki [Wod87b], Sec. 1, and Guillemin [Gui85], Sec. 6.

As in loc. cit. we work in the language of symplectic cones:  $Y := T^*M \setminus M$ <br>ries a natural free  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action with quotient  $S^*M$ , the cosphere bundle. For an carries a natural free  $\mathbb{R}^*_{+}$ -action with quotient  $S^*M$ , the cosphere bundle. For an explicit connected symplectic cone Y denote by  $\mathcal{P}^a$  the space of smooth functions arbitrary connected symplectic cone Y denote by  $\mathcal{P}^a$  [the](#page-9-0) space o[f](#page-13-0) [smoo](#page-13-0)th functions which are homogeneou[s](#page-40-0)[of](#page-40-0) [deg](#page-40-0)ree a. If Y is of di[mension](#page-41-0)  $2n > 2$  with compact base, we prove in Theorem 2.9 below th[at](#page-40-0)

$$
\{\mathcal{P}^l, \mathcal{P}^m\} = \ker(\text{res}_Y) \cap \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1}
$$
  
= 
$$
\begin{cases} \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1} & \text{if } l+m \neq -n+1, \\ \ker(\text{res}_Y) \cap \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1} & \text{if } l+m = -n+1. \end{cases}
$$
 (1.4)

Here res<sub>Y</sub> denotes the symplectic residue (Definition 2.3, Section 2.3.1).

For  $m = 1$  this is [Gui85], Thm. 6.2, cf. also [Wod87b], 1.20. The m here corresponds to the *m* in  $(1.3)$ . Hence, proving  $(1.4)$  for arbitrary *m* is cr[ucial. On](#page-41-0)e could hope that the original method of  $[Gui85]$  can be adapted to all m. As shown in Neira Jiménez [NJ10], Sec. 1.4, this indeed works for  $(l,m) \neq (0,0)$ , but the metho[d](#page-14-0) fails for the case  $l = m = 0$ . This was pointed out to the second [au](#page-14-0)thor by Jean-Marie Lescure.

We therefore offer a completely new approach to the proof of  $(1.4)$ , which is even more elementary than the proof in [Gui85], Sec. 6; the latter uses the elliptic regularity theorem.

Let us explain the basic idea of our approach. Denote by  $\omega$  the symplectic form on Y. Then  $\omega^n$  is a volume form. Furthermore, one has the formula (1.2 in [Wod87b])

$$
\{f, g\}\omega^n = d(g \iota_{X_f} \omega^n). \tag{1.5}
$$

Using this formula, an elementary calculation (see the proof of Theorem 2.9) shows that  $f \in \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1}$  $f \in \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1}$  $f \in \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1}$  is in  $\{\mathcal{P}^l, \mathcal{P}^m\}$  if and only if there is a *homogeneous* differential form  $\beta$  (of homogeneity  $n + l + m - 1$ ) such that  $f \omega^n - d\beta$ form  $\beta$  (of homogeneity  $n + l + m - 1$ ) such that  $f\omega^n = d\beta$ .

Thus the problem of proving  $(1.4)$  is reduced to the calculation of the  $2n$ -th de Rham cohomology of homogeneous differential forms. It is no additional effort to calculate the whole homogeneous de Rham cohomology of a cone: So let Z be a smooth paracompact manifold and let  $\pi: Y \to Z$  be a  $\mathbb{R}^*$  principal bundle over Z (a<br>cone). Denote by  $\Omega^p \mathcal{P}^a(Y)$  the smooth *n*-forms which are homogeneous of degree cone). Denote by  $\Omega^p \mathcal{P}^a(Y)$  the smooth p-forms which are homogeneous of degree a (see Section 2). Then it is easy to see that the exterior derivative preserves the homogeneity and hence we can form the *homogeneous de Rham cohomology groups*  $H^p \mathcal{P}^a(Y)$ . In Theorem 2.1 we show that  $H^p \mathcal{P}^a(Y)$  vanishes for  $a \neq 0$  and that for

 $a = 0$  it is canonically isomorphic to  $H^{p-1}(Z) \oplus H^p(Z)$ . In particular, for compact oriented Z we find that  $H^{\dim \hat{Y}} \mathcal{P}^0(Y)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ . The choice of a homogeneous volume form for Y (e.g.  $\omega^n$  if  $\omega$  i[s the sy](#page-40-0)mplectic form of a symplectic cone Y of dimension 2n) [lead](#page-26-0)s then [to a](#page-3-0) concrete isomorphism res<sub>Y</sub> :  $H^{\dim Y} \mathcal{P}^0(Y) \to \mathbb{C}$  $H^{\dim Y} \mathcal{P}^0(Y) \to \mathbb{C}$ . This is called the residue of the cone.

To finish the outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1, let us explain the connection between the residue of the cone  $T^*M \setminus M$  (aka the symplectic residue) and the residue<br>trace. So let M be compact connected of dimension  $n > 1$  and let  $\omega$  be the standard trace. So let M be compact connected of dimension  $n>1$  and let  $\omega$  be the standard symple[ctic f](#page-31-0)orm on  $T^*M \setminus M$ . For  $A \in CL^a(M)$  the leading symbol  $\sigma_a(A)$  is then<br>an element of  $\mathcal{P}^a(T^*M \setminus M)$ . Furthermore, if  $a \neq -n$  then the symplectic residue an element of  $\mathcal{P}^a(T^*M \setminus M)$ . Furthermore[, if](#page-40-0)  $a \neq -n$  then the symplectic residue<br>res  $(a \ (A))$  vanishes and if  $a = -n$  then res  $(a \ (A))$  is up to a normalization equal res<sub> $\omega$ </sub>( $\sigma_a(A)$ ) vanishes and if  $a = -n$  then res $\omega(\sigma_a(A))$  is up to a normalization equal to the residue trace  $Res(A)$  (cf., e.g., [Les99], Prop. 4.[5\). T](#page-15-0)his fact is used in the proof of Theorem 4.6 where  $(1.3)$  is deduced inductivel[y fro](#page-19-0)m  $(1.4)$  using the symbol calculus.

There is another aspect which we would like to comment on. Namely, it is interesting to note that Res and TR as well as the leading symbol traces have precise analogues on the symbolic level. This analogy is not only formal but is used in Section 4.4.

The basic idea is easy to explain, cf. also [Les10], Sec. 4: Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set and let  $A \in \text{CI}^q(I)$  with complete symbol  $\sigma \in \text{CS}^q(I) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ) (CS<sup>q</sup> denotes subset and let  $A \in CL^a(U)$  with complete symbol  $\sigma \in CS^a(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  (CS<sup>a</sup> denotes the space of classical symbols of order  $a$ , see Section 2.4.1). Then the Schwartz kernel of A is given by the oscillatory integral (cf. eq.  $(3.1)$ )

$$
K_A(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y,\xi)} \sigma(x,\xi) \, d\xi, \quad d\xi := (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi.
$$

To obtain a trace on  $CL^a(U)$  one hence has to regularize the integral

$$
\int_U K_A(x,x) dx = \int_U \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x,\xi) d\xi dx.
$$

Only the inner integral is problematic and there are two natural regularizations of the inner integral, the residue and the cut-off integral, which then lead to Res and TR (cf. Section  $2.4.2$ ). Let us ignore the x-dependence and consider the Hörma[nder](#page-10-0) symbols  $CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n) (= CS^a(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n))$ . This is the space of smooth functions f on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $f \sim \sum_{\alpha}^{\infty} f$  with  $f \circ f(\xi)$  positively homogeneous of order  $a = i$  for  $\xi$ that  $f \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_{a-j}$  with  $f_{a-j}(\xi)$  positively homogeneous of order  $a - j$  for  $\xi$ large enough.

In view of the fact that the symbolic analogue of commutators are Poisson brackets and in view of the explanations after eq.  $(1.5)$  the analogue of a hypertrace is then a linear functional  $\tau: \text{CS}^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\tau(f) = 0$  if the *n*-form  $\alpha = fd\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\xi$  is exact within forms whose coefficients lie in  $\text{CS}^{a+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now for  $\alpha$  to  $\cdots \wedge d\xi_n$  is exact within forms whose coefficients lie in CS<sup>a+1</sup>( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Now for  $\alpha$  to be exact in this sense it is equivalent that  $f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{\xi_j} \sigma_j$  with  $\sigma_j \in \text{CS}^{a+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .<br>This follows from an elementary calculation, cf. the proof of Corollary 2.4.

In sum the analogue of a hypertrace is a linear function  $\tau$  on  $CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\tau(\partial_{\xi_j} f) = 0$  for  $j = 1, ..., n$ . Such functionals have been investigated by Paycha

[Pay07] and were partially classified (up to functionals on smoothin[g](#page-7-0) symbols). As explained in e.g. [Sco10], Sec. 4.6.3, study[ing th](#page-16-0)ese functionals is one way to prove the existence of the residue trace; there is another approach which makes more heavy use of heat trace a[symp](#page-18-0)totics, cf., e.g., [Les99], Sec. 4.

Functionals with the "Stokes property",  $\tau(\partial_{\xi_j} f) = 0$ , can most naturally be estined by looking at a certain variant of de Rham cohomology. Namely, putting classified by looking at a certain variant of de Rham cohomology. [Namely](#page-40-0), putting  $T(f d \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d \xi_n) := \tau(f)$  one obtains a linear function on the top degree de Rham<br>cohomology of forms in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose coefficients lie in  $\mathbb{C}S^d(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . While the calculation cohomology of forms in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose coeffic[ie](#page-7-0)nts lie in  $CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . While the calculation of this cohomology is possible, it will be postponed to a subsequent paper. Rather it turns out that the homogeneous cohomology developed in Section 2 plus a simple lemma about Schwartz functions (Lemma 2.12) suffice to classify the functionals with the Stoke[s p](#page-19-0)roperty.

In Proposition 2.13 we completely characterize the functionals on  $CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the Stokes property or [eq](#page-23-0)uivalently when a function in  $CS^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  $CS^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  $CS^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be written as a sum of partial derivatives of functions in  $CS<sup>a</sup>(\mathbb{R}<sup>n</sup>)$ . This generalizes [Pay07], Prop. 2, Thm. 2.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we study homogeneous differential forms on cones and c[alculate](#page-40-0) their de Rham cohomology. As applications we [prov](#page-31-0)e the aforementioned gener[ali](#page-33-0)zation of Guillemin's Theorem on homogeneous functions and a characterization of functionals with the Stokes property.

In Se[ction](#page-38-0) 3 we first review some basic facts about pseudodifferential operators and trace functionals. We introduce pretraces and hypertraces and we give some examples. In Section 4 we apply the results of Section 2 and provide a result about the represent[ation](#page-40-0) [o](#page-40-0)f a classical pseudodifferential operator as a sum of commutators. We use this result to give the classification of hypertraces and traces on  $CL^a(M)$  for different values of  $a$ . For the case of integral  $a$  we give two proofs, one relying on a result due to Ponge [Pon10] and a completely self-contained one in Section 4.4.

Finally, in Section 5 we extend the results a[bout](#page-25-0) tracial funct[iona](#page-31-0)ls to operators acting on sections of vector bundles over the manifold. The main result then is Theorem 5.7.

**Acknowledgments.** This paper exposes and extends some of the results of the Ph.D. thesis [NJ10] of the second author. She would like to thank her adviser Matthias Lesch and her co-adviser Sylvie Paycha for their guidance during this project, as well as the Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik and the University of Bonn for their support and hospitality. We acknowledge with gratitude the substantial help received from Sylvie Paycha, in particular with Lemma 4.5 and Section 4.4, which are included in this paper with her kind permission. Furthermore, we would like to thank Jean-Marie Lescure for pointing out an error in an earlier draft. In fact this led us to develop the new approach via homogeneous cohomology. Finally we thank the two anonymous referees for their detailed suggestions for improvements. We think the paper has benefited considerably from those remarks.

#### **2. Cohomolo[gy of hom](#page-39-0)o[geneou](#page-40-0)s differential forms**

In this section we calculate the [de](#page-40-0) [Rha](#page-40-0)m cohomology of homogeneous differential forms on cones. The theory is stunningly simple. Nevertheless as corollaries we obtain generalizations of the results of Guillemin [Gui85] on the representation of homogeneous functions on *symplectic* cones as sums of Poisson brackets. Also our appr[oach g](#page-9-0)[eneral](#page-12-0)izes the theory of homogeneous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  in a straightforward way. Therefore, we also obtain as a corollary the precise criterion when a homogeneous function can be written as a sum of [part](#page-12-0)ial derivatives of homogeneous functions, cf. [FGLS96], [Les99]. Finally, this criterion is generalized to classical symbol functions, generalizing [Pay07], Prop. 2, Thm. 2.

**2.1. Homogeneous differential forms on cones.** A *cone* over a manifold B is a principal bundle  $\pi: Y \to B$  with structure group  $\mathbb{R}^*_+$ , the multiplicative group of positive real numbers. Basic examples we have in mind are  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  (cf. Examples positive real numbers. Basic examples we have in mind are  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  (cf. Examples 2.1.1, 2.2.1 below) and the cotangent bundle with the zero section removed,  $T^*M \setminus M$ , of a compact connected manifold  $M$ ; the latter is even a symplectic cone<br>and such cones are discussed in detail in Section 2.3. In both cases the  $\mathbb{R}^*$  action is and such cones are discussed in detail in Section 2.3. In both cases the  $\mathbb{R}^*$  action is given by multiplication given by multiplication.

Denote by  $\rho_{\lambda}: Y \to Y$ , the action of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ . Via  $\Phi_t := \rho_{e^t}$  we obtain a one ameter group of diffeomorphisms of  $Y$ . Let  $\Upsilon \in C^{\infty}(TY)$  be the infinitesimal parameter group of diffeomorphisms of Y. Let  $\mathcal{X} \in C^{\infty}(TY)$  be the infinitesimal generator of this group, which is sometimes called the *Liouville vector field*.

A differential form  $\omega \in \Omega^p(Y)$  is called *homogeneous* of degree a if  $\varrho^*_\lambda \omega = \lambda^a \omega$ <br>all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ . The space of differential forms of form degree *n* and homogeneity for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ . The space of differential forms of form degree p and homogeneity<br>a is denoted by  $\Omega^p P^a(Y)$ ,  $P^a(Y) := \Omega^0 P^a(Y)$  are the smooth functions on Y a is denoted by  $\Omega^p \mathcal{P}^a(Y)$ .  $\mathcal{P}^a(Y) := \Omega^0 \mathcal{P}^a(Y)$  are the smooth functions on Y which are homogeneous of degree a.

We choose a function  $r \in \mathcal{P}^1(Y)$  which is everywhere positive and put Z :=  ${y \in Y \mid r(y) = 1}$ .  $\pi_{|Z}$  is a diffeomorphism from Z onto B and r induces a trivialization of  $Y$  as follows:

$$
\Phi\colon Y\to \mathbb{R}_+^*\times Z, \quad y\mapsto (r(y),\varrho_{r(y)^{-1}}y).
$$

Note that

$$
\Phi(\varrho_\lambda(y)) = (r(\varrho_\lambda(y)), \varrho_{r(\varrho_\lambda(y))^{-1}}\varrho_\lambda(y)) = (\lambda r(y), \varrho_{r(y)^{-1}}y).
$$

Hence  $\Phi$  intertwines the  $\mathbb{R}^*$  action on Y and the natural  $\mathbb{R}^*$  action on the product  $\mathbb{R}^* \times Z$ . For convenience we will from now on work with the trivialized bundle  $\mathbb{R}^+$  × Z. For convenience we will from now on work with the trivialized bundle<br> $\mathbb{R}^*$  × Z. The first coordinate will be called x, so the Liquille vector field is then  $\mathbb{R}^*_{+} \times Z$ . The first coordinate will be called r, so the Liouville vector field is then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = a_n$ given by  $\mathcal{X} = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ .<br>With the project

With the projection  $\pi: \mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z \to Z$ , a differential form  $\omega \in \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^a(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z)$ <br>be written can be written

$$
\omega = r^{a-1} dr \wedge \pi^* \tau + r^a \pi^* \eta \tag{2.1}
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

<span id="page-8-0"></span>with

$$
\eta = i_Z^* \omega \in \Omega^p(Z), \quad \tau = i_Z^* (\iota_X \omega) \in \Omega^{p-1}(Z), \tag{2.2}
$$

where  $i_Z : Z \hookrightarrow Y$  is the inclusion map and  $i_X$  denotes interior multiplication by the Liouville vector field  $X$ . We have furthermore

$$
d\omega = r^{a-1}dr \wedge (a\pi^*\eta - \pi^*dz\tau) + r^a\pi^*dz\eta \in \Omega^{p+1}\mathcal{P}^a(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z), \quad (2.3)
$$

so exterior derivation preserves the homogeneity degree. Hence we can form the *homogeneous de Rham cohomology groups*

$$
H^p \mathcal{P}^a(Y) := \frac{\ker(d : \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^a(Y) \to \Omega^{p+1} \mathcal{P}^a(Y))}{\operatorname{im}(d : \Omega^{p-1} \mathcal{P}^a(Y) \to \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^a(Y))}.
$$
 (2.4)

These cohomology groups can easily be calculated:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let Z be a smooth paracompact manifold, let*  $\pi: Y \to Z$  *be a*  $\mathbb{R}^*$  *nrincinal hundle over Z* C *principal bundle over* Z*.*

- (1) If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $H^p \mathcal{P}^a(Y) = \{0\}.$
- (2) If  $a = 0$ , then the map

$$
\Psi \colon \Omega^{\bullet} \mathcal{P}^0(Y) \to \Omega^{\bullet-1}(Z) \oplus \Omega^{\bullet}(Z), \quad \omega \mapsto (\tau, \eta) = (i_Z^*(\iota_X \omega), i_Z^* \omega),
$$

*is an isomorphism of cochain complexes, hence it induces an isomorphism*

$$
H^p \mathcal{P}^0(Y) \cong H^{p-1}(Z) \oplus H^p(Z). \tag{2.5}
$$

*In terms of the everywhere positive function*  $r \in \mathcal{P}^1(Y)$  *the inverse of*  $\Psi$  *is* given by  $(\tau, \eta) \mapsto r^{-1}dr \wedge \pi^* \tau + \pi^* \eta$ .

*Proof.* (1) As before we work with the trivialized bundle  $\mathbb{R}^*$  × Z. If  $\omega$  is closed, then (2.3) implies that then  $(2.3)$  implies that

$$
d_Z \tau = a\eta, \quad d_Z \eta = 0,
$$

and hence we obtain a form analogue of *Euler's identity* (see eq. (2.8) below)

$$
d(i\chi\omega) = d(r^a\pi^*\tau) = ar^{a-1}dr \wedge \pi^*\tau + r^a\pi^*d_Z\tau = a\omega.
$$
 (2.6)

Thus  $\omega$  is exact if  $a \neq 0$ , explicitly

$$
\omega = \frac{1}{a}d(i\mathbf{x}\omega).
$$

(2) Now let  $a = 0$  and consider  $\omega \in \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^0(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z)$ . Since  $\varrho_{e^t}^* \omega = \omega$ , we see the Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(y)$  vanishes that the Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{X}}\omega$  vanishes,

$$
\mathcal{L}\chi\omega = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \varrho_{e^t}^* \omega = 0,
$$

and Cartan's magic formula  $d \iota_x + \iota_x d = \mathcal{L}_x$  implies [that](#page-12-0)  $d \iota_x \omega = -\iota_x d\omega$ . Thus

$$
d(i_Z^*(\iota_X\omega), i_Z^*\omega) = (-i_Z^*(\iota_Xd\omega), i_Z^*d\omega),
$$

and hence the exterior derivative on  $\Omega^{\bullet-1}(Z) \oplus \Omega^{\bullet}(Z)$  can be modified by a sign<br>such that  $d\Psi = \Psi d$ . From (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that  $\Psi$  is bijective and that its such that  $d\Psi = \Psi d$ . From (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that  $\Psi$  is bijective and that its inverse is given by  $(\tau, \eta) \mapsto r^{-1} dr \wedge \pi^* \tau + \pi^* \eta$ . inverse is given by  $(\tau, \eta) \mapsto r^{-1} dr \wedge \pi^* \tau + \pi^* \eta$ .

**Remark 2.2.** We comment on a special case of Theorem 2.1 which combines the constructions of the residue of a homogeneous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  (see the next section) and of Guillemin's symplectic residue (Section 2.3).

Let dim  $Y = n$  and suppose that  $\omega \in \Omega^n \mathcal{P}^a(Y)$  is a homogeneous volume form. Then  $i^*_{\mathcal{Z}}(\ell \chi \omega)$  is a volume form on Z. In particular Z is orientable and we choose<br>the orientation such that  $i^*(\chi \omega)$  is positively oriented. If additionally Z is compact the orientation such that  $i^*_{\mathcal{Z}}(\iota_{\mathcal{X}}\omega)$  is positively oriented. If additionally Z is compact, then integration vields an isomorphism  $H^{n-1}(\mathcal{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ then integration yields an isomorphism  $H^{n-1}(Z) \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

For  $f \in \mathcal{P}^{-a}(Y)$  the closed form  $f \omega \in \Omega^n \mathcal{P}^0(Y)$  defines a class  $[f \omega] \in$  $H^n \mathcal{P}^0(Y)$  which under the isomorphism  $\Psi$  of Theorem 2.1 corresponds to the class  $[i^*_{Z}(f \iota_{X} \omega)] \in H^{n-1}(Z).$ 

**Definition 2.3.** For  $f \in \mathcal{P}^{-a}(Y)$  we define the *residue* with respect to the fixed volume form  $\omega \in \Omega^n \mathcal{P}^a(Y)$  to be the complex number c[orres](#page-8-0)ponding to the class  $[f\omega] \in H^n \mathcal{P}^0(Y)$  under the composition of the isomorphisms  $H^n \mathcal{P}^0(Y) \cong$  $H^{n-1}(Z) \cong \mathbb{C}$ :

$$
\operatorname{res}_{\omega}(f) := \int_{Z} i_Z^*(f \iota_X \omega).
$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{P}^b(Y)$ ,  $b \neq -a$ , we put  $\operatorname{res}_{\omega}(f) = 0$ .

We emphasize that the definition of  $res_{\omega}$  depends on the choice of the homogeneous volume form  $\omega$ . The significance of Theorem 2.1 lies in the fact that  $res_{\omega}(f) = 0$  if and only if there is a *homogeneous* differential form  $\beta$  such that  $d\beta = f\omega$ .

**2.1.1. Example.**  $Y = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathbb{R}_+^* \times S^{n-1}$  $Y = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathbb{R}_+^* \times S^{n-1}$  $Y = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathbb{R}_+^* \times S^{n-1}$ ,  $B = Z = S^{n-1}$ . We elaborate on this interesting special case. Denote by  $(\xi, \xi)$  the coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and this interesting special case. Denote by  $(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)$  the coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and put  $\omega := d\xi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d\xi_n \in \Omega^n P^n(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Then put  $\omega := d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n \in \Omega^n \mathcal{P}^n(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Then

$$
\mathcal{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}, \quad \iota_{\mathcal{X}} \omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} \xi_i \, d \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{d \xi_i} \wedge \dots \wedge d \xi_n. \tag{2.7}
$$

The form  $\iota_X \omega$  is in  $\Omega^{n-1} \mathcal{P}^n(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , and  $i_{S^{n-1}}^* (\iota_X \omega)$  is the standard volume form<br>on  $S^{n-1}$ . Moreover, by (2.6) we have on  $S^{n-1}$ . Moreover, by (2.6) we have

$$
d(f \iota \mathbf{x} \omega) = (a+n) f \omega,
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

<span id="page-10-0"></span> $f \in \mathcal{P}^a(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . On the other hand by (2.7),

$$
d(f \iota_{\mathbf{X}} \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{\xi_i} (f \xi_i) d \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d \xi_n = \big( \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{\xi_i} f) \xi_i + n f \big) \omega,
$$

and thus we arrive at Euler's identity for homogeneous functions:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial_{\xi_i} f) \xi_i = af. \tag{2.8}
$$

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $res_{\omega}$  be the residue associated to  $\omega = d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n \in$  $\Omega^n \mathcal{P}^n(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  according to Definition 2.3. Then for a homogeneous function  $f \in \mathcal{P}^a(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  the following holds:

- (1)  $\operatorname{res}_{\omega}(\partial_{\xi_j} f) = 0.$
- (2) *There exist*  $\sigma_j \in \mathcal{P}^{a+1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  *such that*  $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \sigma_j$  *if and only if*  $\sigma_j \in \{f\}$  *res*  $(f) 0$  *Note that* res  $(f) \neq 0$  *only if*  $a = -n$  $res_{\omega}(f) = 0$ *. Note that*  $res_{\omega}(f) \neq 0$  *only if*  $a = -n$ *.*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.1 (cf. the remarks before Definition 2.3) that for a function  $g \in \mathcal{P}^a(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  the residue vanishes if and only if the class  $[g\omega] \in$  $H^n \mathcal{P}^{a+n}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  vanishes.

To prove (1) we note that  $(\partial_{\xi_j} f) d \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_n = d\eta$  with the form

$$
\eta = (-1)^{j-1} f d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\xi_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n \in \Omega^{n-1} \mathcal{P}^{n+n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})
$$

and hence  $res_{\omega}(\partial_{\xi_j} f) = 0.$ <br>(1) shows that for the c

(1) shows that for the  $\sigma_i$  in (2) to exist it is necessary that  $res_{\omega}(f) = 0$ . To prove sufficiency consider  $f \in \mathcal{P}^a(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  with res<sub> $\omega(f) = 0$ . Then there is</sub>  $\eta \in \Omega^{n-1} \mathcal{P}^{a+n}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  with  $d\eta = f\omega$ . We write

$$
\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} \sigma_j d\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{d\xi_j} \wedge \dots \wedge d\xi_n \tag{2.9}
$$

with  $\sigma_j \in \mathcal{P}^{a+1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Then  $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \sigma_j$ .  $\Box$ 

**2.2. Extension to log-polyhomogeneous forms.** We generalize our previous considerations to log-polyhomogeneous forms.

A *p*-form  $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z)$  is called log-*polyhomogeneous* of degree  $(a, k)$  if

$$
\omega = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \omega_j \log^j r,
$$

with  $\omega_j \in \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^a(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z)$ , cf. [Les99]. The set of all such forms is denoted by<br> $\Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{a,k}(\mathbb{R}^* \times Z)$  $\Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{a,k}(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z).$ 

The exterior derivative preserves the  $(a, k)$ -degree. More explicitly,

$$
d((r^{a-1}dr \wedge \pi^* \tau + r^a \pi^* \eta) \log^j r)
$$
  
= 
$$
(r^{a-1}dr \wedge (a\pi^* \eta - \pi^* d_Z \tau) + r^a \pi^* d_Z \eta) \log^j r + j r^{a-1} dr \wedge \pi^* \eta \log^{j-1} r.
$$

Hence analogously to eq. (2.4) we define the log*-homogeneous de Rham cohomology groups*

$$
H^p \mathcal{P}^{a,k}(Y) := \frac{\ker(d: \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{a,k}(Y) \to \Omega^{p+1} \mathcal{P}^{a,k}(Y))}{\text{im}(d: \Omega^{p-1} \mathcal{P}^{a,k}(Y) \to \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{a,k}(Y))},
$$

for which we can prove the following analogue of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let Z be a smooth paracompact manifold, let  $\pi: Y \to Z$  be a  $\mathbb{R}^*$ <br>principal bundle over Z, Let  $\mathcal{P}^{-1}(Y)$  be everywhere positive C *principal bundle over* Z. Let  $r \in \mathcal{P}^1(Y)$  *be everywhere positive.* 

- (1) If  $a \neq 0$  then  $H^p \mathcal{P}^{a,k}(Y) = \{0\}.$
- (2) If  $a = 0$  then the map

$$
\Phi^k : \Omega^{\bullet-1}(Z) \oplus \Omega^{\bullet}(Z) \to \Omega^{\bullet} \mathcal{P}^{0,k}(Y),
$$
  

$$
(\tau, \eta) \mapsto r^{-1} dr \wedge (\pi^* \tau) \log^k r + \pi^* \eta,
$$

*induces an isomorphism*

$$
H^p(\Phi^k): H^{p-1}(Z) \oplus H^p(Z) \cong H^p \mathcal{P}^{0,k}(Y).
$$

*Let*  $I^{p,k}: H^p \mathcal{P}^{0,k}(Y) \to H^{p-1}(Z) \oplus H^p(Z)$  *be the inverse of*  $H^p(\Phi^k)$ *.*<br>Then for a closed form  $\omega = \sum_{k=0}^{k} \log \log \varphi(k)}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{0,k}(Y)$ *Then for a closed form*  $\omega = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \omega_j \log^j r \in \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{0,k}(Y), \omega_j \in \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{0}(Y),$ <br>
and leg *UPK*(ful),  $(|\mathcal{F}^*(y)|)$  is  $|\mathcal{F}^*(y)|$ *one has*  $I^{p,k}([\omega]) = ([i_Z^*(i_X \omega_k)], [i_Z^* \omega_0]).$ 

*Proof.* We consider a *closed* form  $\omega \in \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{a,k}(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z)$  and write

$$
\omega = \omega_k \log^k r + \chi
$$

with  $\chi \in \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{a,k-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z)$ . Then

$$
0 = d\omega = (d\omega_k) \log^k r + \text{ lower log degree},
$$

thus  $\omega_k$  is closed and Euler's identity (2.6) gives

$$
d(\iota_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega) = d(\iota_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega_k \log^k r) + \text{ lower log degree}
$$
  
=  $a\omega_k \log^k r + \text{ lower log degree}$   
=  $a\omega + \text{ lower log degree.}$ 

If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $\omega$  is cohomologous to  $\omega - \frac{1}{a}d(i_{\mathcal{X}}\omega) \in \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{a,k-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z)$ . By induction and Theorem 2.1 one then shows that  $\omega$  is exact.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Next let  $a = 0$  and consider a form  $\omega \in \Omega^p \mathcal{P}^{0,k}(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times Z)$ :

$$
\omega = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (r^{-1} dr \wedge \pi^* \tau_j + \pi^* \eta_j) \log^j r,
$$
  
\n
$$
d\omega = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-r^{-1} dr \wedge \pi^* d_Z \tau_j + \pi^* d_Z \eta_j) \log^j r + j r^{-1} dr \wedge \pi^* \eta_j \log^{j-1} r
$$
  
\n
$$
= (-r^{-1} dr \wedge \pi^* d_Z \tau_k + \pi^* d_Z \eta_k) \log^k r
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (r^{-1} dr \wedge ((j+1)\pi^* \eta_{j+1} - \pi^* d_Z \tau_j) + \pi^* d_Z \eta_j) \log^j r.
$$

Thus  $d\omega = 0$  if and only if

$$
d_Z \tau_k = 0, \quad d_Z \eta_k = 0, \nd_Z \eta_j = 0, \quad d_Z \tau_j = (j+1)\eta_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, k-1.
$$

This implies that  $H^p(\Phi^k)$  and  $I^{p,k}$  are well defined and it is a routine matter to check that they are inverses of each other.  $\Box$ 

**2.2.1. Example.**  $Y = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  $Y = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  $Y = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $B = Z = S^{n-1}$ . As in the homogeneous case we put:

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{P}^{-n,k}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . We define the *residue* of f to be the integral

$$
\operatorname{res}_{\omega,k}(f) := \operatorname{res}_{\omega}(f_k) = \int_{S^{n-1}} i_{S^{n-1}}^*(f_k \iota_{\mathfrak{X}} \omega), \quad \omega = d\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\xi_n.
$$

Note that by Theorem 2.5,  $H^n \mathcal{P}^{0,k}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \cong H^{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ , and that res<sub> $\omega$ ,k(f)</sub> is the image in  $\mathbb C$  of the class  $[f\omega]$  under this isomorphism. Therefore exactly as Corollary 2.4 one now proves:

**Corollary 2.7.** For a log-poly[homoge](#page-40-0)neous function  $f \in \mathcal{P}^{a,k}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  the fol*lowing holds:*

- (1)  $res_{\omega,k}(\partial_{\xi_j} f) = 0.$
- (2) *There exist*  $\sigma_j \in \mathcal{P}^{a+1,k}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  *such that*  $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \sigma_j$  *if and only if*  $\sigma_j$  res<sub>pla</sub>  $(f) = 0$ . Note that respectively  $f \in \{0, \pm 0\}$  *only if*  $\sigma_j = -n$  $res_{\omega,k}(f) = 0$ *. Note that*  $res_{\omega,k}(f) \neq 0$  *only if*  $a = -n$ *.*

**2.3. Homogeneous functions on symplectic cones.** In this section we give an explicit expression of a homogeneous function in terms of Poisson brackets. This generalizes work of Guillemin [Gui85], Thm. 6.2.

To fix some notation and to fix some (sign) conventions let us briefly collect some basic facts from symplectic geometry.

Let Y be a symplectic manifold with symplectic form  $\omega$ . The Hamiltonian vector field  $X_f$  associated to  $f \in C^{\infty}(Y)$  is characterized by  $\iota_{X_f} \omega = -df$ . The Poisson bracket of two functions  $f, g \in C^{\infty}(Y)$  is defined by

$$
\{f,g\}:=\omega(X_f,X_g).
$$

If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are Hamiltonian vector fields, then  $[X_1, X_2]$  is also a Hamiltonian vector field with [Hamiltoni](#page-41-0)an function  $\omega(X_1, X_2)$  (see Def. 18.5 in Cannas da Silva [**CdS01**]),

$$
\iota_{[X_1,X_2]}\omega=\iota_{X_{\omega(X_1,X_2)}}\omega,
$$

hence

$$
X_{\{f,g\}} = X_{\omega(X_f, X_g)} = [X_f, X_g],
$$

and  $(C^{\infty}(Y), \{,\})$  is a Poisson algebra.

**Proposition 2.8** ([Wod87b], 1.2). *The Poisson bracket of two functions*  $f, g \in C^{\infty}(Y)$ . *satisfies*

$$
\{f, g\}\omega^n = ndf \wedge dg \wedge \omega^{n-1} = d(g \iota_{X_f} \omega^n). \tag{2.10}
$$

Let Y be a *symplectic cone*, i.e., a cone  $\pi: Y \to Z$  with a symplectic form  $\omega \in \Omega^2 \mathcal{P}^1(Y)$ . We assume furthermore that Z is com[pact](#page-9-0) and connected; of course, Y is then connected, too. The main example we have in mind is the cotangent bundle with the zero section removed,  $T^*M \setminus M$ , of a compact connected manifold M of dimension dim  $M > 1$  with its standard symplectic structure. The base manifold Z dimension dim  $M > 1$ , with its standard symplectic structure. The base manifold Z is then the cosphere bundle  $S^*M$ . In the case  $M = S^1$  (the only compact connected<br>one-dimensional manifold), each of the two connected components of  $T^*S^1 \setminus S^1$  is one-dimensional manifold!), each of the two connected components of  $T^*S^1 \setminus S^1$  is a symplectic cone over  $S^1$ a symplectic cone over  $S^1$ .

**2.3.1. The symplectic residue.** Let dim  $Y = 2n$  $Y = 2n$ , so  $\omega^n \in \Omega^{2n} \mathcal{P}^n(Y)$  is a homogeneous volume form on Y . We can apply Definition 2.3 and define the *symplectic residue* of a function  $f \in \mathcal{P}^a(Y)$  to be the residue with respect to the volume form  $\omega^n$ . That is

$$
\operatorname{res}_Y(f) := \operatorname{res}_{\omega^n}(f) = \begin{cases} \int_Z i_Z^*(f \iota_X \omega^n) & \text{if } a = -n, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \neq -n. \end{cases}
$$

Recall that the definition of res<sub>Y</sub> depends on the choice of the homogeneous volume form  $\omega^n$ . Furthermore, recall that, by Theorem 2.1, res<sub>Y</sub>  $(f) = 0$  if and only if there is a form  $\beta \in \Omega^{2n-1} \mathcal{P}^{a+n}(Y)$  such that  $d\beta = f\omega^n$ .

We note in passing that the form  $\alpha := \iota_{\mathfrak{X}} \omega$  is in  $\Omega^1 \mathcal{P}^1(Y)$  and by Euler's identity for forms, eq. (2.6), it satisfies  $\omega = d\alpha$ . Our definition of the symplectic residue differs from the original one by Guillemin [Gui85] by a factor.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**2.3.2. Homogeneous functions in terms of Poisson brackets.** Now we prove the following generalization of [Gui85], Thm. 6.2.

In the following [we](#page-7-0) [w](#page-7-0)ill for brevity write  $\mathcal{P}^a$  [ins](#page-8-0)tead of  $\mathcal{P}^a(Y)$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** Let Y be a connected symplectic cone of dimension  $2n > 2$  with *[compac](#page-40-0)t base. Then for any real numbers* l*,* m *the following holds:*

$$
\{\mathcal{P}^l, \mathcal{P}^m\} = \ker(\text{res}_Y) \cap \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1} & \text{if } l+m \neq -n+1, \\ \ker(\text{res}_Y) \cap \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1} & \text{if } l+m = -n+1. \end{cases}
$$

**Remark 2.10.** The proof we present is based on the homogeneous cohomology developed in Section 2.1, in particular Theorem 2.1. While [Gui85] uses the elliptic regularity theorem, our Theorem 2.1 is completely elementary. More importantly our [resul](#page-8-0)t is more general tha[n lo](#page-9-0)c. cit. where  $m = 1$  is assumed. The technique of [Gui85], Sec. 6, can be applied to prove Theorem 2.9 for  $(l, m) \neq (0, 0)$ , but the method fails<sup>2</sup> for the case  $l = m = 0$ ; for details see [NJ10], Sec. 1.4.

*Proof.* We first note that Proposition 2.8 implies that  $\{\mathcal{P}^l, \mathcal{P}^m\} \subset$ <br>thermore by loc cit we have  $\{f, g\}_{\Omega}^{l} = d(g_{l}y, g_{l}^{n})$  and if  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ *Proof.* We first note that Proposition 2.8 implies that  $\{\mathcal{P}^l, \mathcal{P}^m\} \subset \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1}$ . Furthermore, by loc. cit. we have  $\{f, g\}\omega^n = d(g \iota_{X_f} \omega^n)$ , and if  $f \in \mathcal{P}^l, g \in \mathcal{P}^m$ , then<br> $g \in \mathcal{P}^l, g \in \mathcal{P}^m$ , then  $g_{lX_f}\omega^n \in \Omega^{2n-1} \mathcal{P}^{l+m+n-1}$ . Thus the homogeneous cohomology class of  $\{f, g\}\omega^n$ vanishes and hence  $\operatorname{res}_Y(\{f, g\}) = 0$ . So  $\{\mathcal{P}^l, \mathcal{P}^m\} \subset \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{res}_Y)$ .<br>Conversely let  $f \in \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1}$  be given with  $\operatorname{res}_Y(f) = 0$ .  $\{\mathcal{P}^{m}\}\subset$  with resp

Conversely, let  $f \in \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1}$  be given with res $\gamma(f) = 0$ . Then by Theo-<br>1.2.1 (see also Definition 2.3), the homogeneous cohomology class of  $f \circ \theta^n \in$ rem 2.1 (see also Definition 2.3), the homogeneous cohomology class of  $f\omega^n$   $\in$  $\Omega^{2n} \mathcal{P}^{n+l+m-1}$  vanishes and hence there is a  $\beta \in \Omega^{2n-1} \mathcal{P}^{n+l+m-1}$  such that

$$
f\omega^n = d\beta.
$$

**1.**  $l \neq 0$  or  $m \neq 0$ . Since the claim is symmetric in l and m, we may, without loss of generality, assume that  $l \neq 0$ .

Choose functions  $g_1, \ldots, g_N \in \mathcal{P}^l$  such that at every point y of Y their differentials  $dg_1|_y, \ldots, dg_N|_y$  span the cotangent space  $T_y^*Y$ . Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  be the Hamiltonian vector fields of  $g_1, \ldots, g_N$ . Since  $g_1^n$  is a volume form also the Hamiltonian vector fields of  $g_1, \ldots, g_N$ . Since  $\omega^n$  is a volume form, also  $\iota_{X_1} \omega^n|_{y_1}, \dots, \iota_{X_N} \omega^n|_{y}$  span  $\Lambda^{2n-1} T^*_y Y$ .<br>Consequently there are functions f.

Consequently, there are functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_N \in C^\infty(Y)$  such that

$$
\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j \iota_{X_j} \omega^n.
$$

Since  $\beta$ ,  $X_j$ ,  $\omega^n$  are homogeneous, it is clear that also  $f_j$  can be chosen to be homogeneous. Counting degrees then shows  $f_j \in \mathcal{P}^m$ . Thus by Proposition 2.8,

$$
f\omega^n = d\beta = \sum_{j=1}^N d(f_j \iota_{X_j} \omega^n) = n \sum_{j=1}^N dg_j \wedge df_j \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \sum_{j=1}^N \{g_j, f_j\} \omega^n,
$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The second author would like to thank Jean-Marie Lescure for pointing this out to her.

and hence  $f = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \{g_j, f_j\} \in \{\mathcal{P}^l, \mathcal{P}^m\}.$ 

**2.**  $l = m = 0$ . In this case  $f \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}$ . By assumption,  $n > 1$  and thus by eq. (2.6),

$$
f\omega^n = \frac{1}{n-1}d(f\iota_X\omega^n) = \frac{n}{n-1}d(f\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-1}), \quad \alpha = \iota_X\omega. \tag{2.11}
$$

The 1-form  $f\alpha$  is homogeneous of degree 0 and since  $\alpha = \iota_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega$ , it is the pullback of a 1-form on Z.

We now choose  $g_1,\ldots,g_N \in \mathcal{P}^0$  s[uch t](#page-13-0)hat at every point z of Z, their differentials span the cotangent space  $T_z^* Z$ . Of course it is impossible to find homogeneous<br>functions of degree 0 such that their differentials span  $T_y^* Y$  at every  $y \in Y$ .<br>Therefore there are functions  $f_x = \int_{Y}^{\infty} f(y) g(y)$  such t

Therefore there are functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_N \in C^{\infty}(Y)$  such that

$$
f\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i dg_i.
$$

As before, we see that  $f_i$  can be chosen such that  $f_i \in \mathcal{P}^0$ . Moreover, continuing eq. (2.11) and again using Proposition 2.8,

$$
f\omega^n = \frac{n}{n-1}d(f\alpha) \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \frac{n}{n-1}d\left(\sum_{i=1}^N f_i dg_i\right) \wedge \omega^{n-1}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^N \{f_i, g_i\}\omega^n,
$$

and we reach the conclusion  $f = \frac{1}{n-1}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \{f_i, g_i\} \in \{\mathcal{P}^0, \mathcal{P}^0\}.$ 

 $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.11.** If  $n = 1$ , then  $\{\mathcal{P}^0, \mathcal{P}^0\} = 0$ . Indeed, by eq. (2.10) with  $n = 1$ ,  $\{f, g\}_{\omega} = df \wedge dg$  so if  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}^0$  we have  $\{f, g\} = 0$ . In this one-dimensional  ${f, g \omega = df \wedge dg}$ , so if  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}^0$  we have  ${f, g} = 0$ . In this one-dimensional case, there are two different symplectic residues (res<sup>+</sup> res<sup>-</sup>), corresponding to each case, there are two different symplectic residues ( $res<sup>+</sup>$ , res<sup>-</sup>), corresponding to each connected component of  $T^*S^1 \setminus S^1$ ; then, when  $l \neq 0$  or  $m \neq 0$ , we can argue as in the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 2.9 to conclude that the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 2.9 to conclude that

$$
\{\mathcal{P}^l, \mathcal{P}^m\} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1} & \text{if } l+m \neq 0, \\ \ker(\text{res}^+) \cap \ker(\text{res}^-) \cap \mathcal{P}^{l+m-1} & \text{if } l+m = 0. \end{cases}
$$

**2.4. The residue of a classical symbol function.** As an application of homogeneous cohomology we give a precise criterion when a classical symbol function is a sum of partial derivatives. A more thorough discussion of de Rham cohomology of forms whose coefficients are symbol functions will be given in a subsequent publication.

**2.4.1. Classes of symbols.** Suppose that  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open subset. We denote by  $S^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$   $m \in \mathbb{R}$  the space of symbols of Hörmander type (1.0) (Hör711) by  $S^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , the space of symbols of Hörmander type  $(1, 0)$  ([Hör71],

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

<span id="page-16-0"></span>[Shu01]) and order at most m. More precisely,  $S^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  consists of those  $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that for multi-indices  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  and compact subsets  $C^{\infty}(U \times \mathbb{R}^{N})$  such that for multi-indices  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}$  and compact subsets  $K \subset U$  we have an estimate  $K \subset U$  we have an estimate

$$
|\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} a(x,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha,\gamma,K} (1+|\xi|)^{m-|\gamma|}, \quad x \in K, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.12}
$$

The best constants in (2.12) provide a set of semi-norms which endow  $S^{\infty}(U \times$  $\mathbb{R}^N$  :  $= \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  with the structure of a Fréchet algebra. A symbol  $a \in S^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  is called classical if there are  $a \in C^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $a \in S^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  is called *classical* if there are  $a_{m-j} \in C^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  with

$$
a_{m-j}(x, r\xi) = r^{m-j} a_{m-j}(x, \xi), \quad r \ge 1, |\xi| \ge 1,
$$
 (2.13)

such that

$$
a - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{m-j} \in \mathcal{S}^{m-N}(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)
$$
 (2.14)

for  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . The latter property is usually abbreviated to  $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{m-j}$ .<br>Homogeneity and smoothness at 0 contradict each other except for homogeneity.

Homogeneity and smoothness at 0 contradict each other except for homogeneous polynomials. Our convention is that symbols should always be smooth functions, thus the  $a_{m-j}$  are smooth everywhere but homogeneous only in the restricted sense of eq. (2.13). The homogeneous extension of  $a_{m-j}$  to  $U \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  will also be needed: we put

$$
a_{m-j}^h(x,\xi) := a_{m-j}(x,\xi/|\xi|) |\xi|^{m-j}, \quad (x,\xi) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.
$$
 (2.15)

Furthermore, we denote by  $S^{-\infty}(U \times \mathbb{R}^n) := \bigcap_{a \in \mathbb{R}} S^a(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of *smoothing symbols ing symbols*.

 $\text{CS}^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^N) \subset \text{S}^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  denotes the sp[ace o](#page-10-0)f classical symbols of order<br>Let us repeat the warning from the first paragraph of the introduction: in view of m. Let us repeat the warning from the first paragraph of the introduction: in view of  $(2.12)$  and  $(2.13)$  one has  $\text{CS}^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^N) \subset \text{CS}^{m+r}(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  if and only if r is a non-<br>negative integer. For non-integral  $r > 0$  one has  $\text{CS}^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap \text{CS}^{m+r}(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ negative integer. For non-integral  $r \geq 0$  one has  $CS^{m}(U \times \mathbb{R}^{N}) \cap CS^{m+r}(U \times \mathbb{R}^{N}) =$  $S^{-\infty}(U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Note that  $S^{-\infty}(U \times \mathbb{R}^N) = CS^{-\infty}(U \times \mathbb{R}^N) = \bigcap_{a \in \mathbb{R}} CS^a(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ .<br>For brevity we write  $CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $S^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) instead of  $CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $S^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) ( $S^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$ )

For brevity we write  $CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $S^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) instead of  $CS^a(\{pt\}\times\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $S^a(\{pt\}\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ ). Note that  $S^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is nothing but the Schwartz space of rapidly decaying functions.

We will now discuss the analogue of Corollary 2.4 for the space  $CS<sup>a</sup>(\mathbb{R}<sup>n</sup>)$ . We start with smoothing symbols.

**Lemma 2.12.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a Schwartz function. Then there are functions  $\sigma_j \in \text{CS}^{-n+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \sigma_j$ .<br>One can choose the  $\sigma_j$  to be Schwartz functions.

*One can choose the*  $\sigma_j$  *to be Schwartz functions if and only if*  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = 0$ *.* 

*Proof.* We start with the first claim and note that if  $n = 1$  then the function  $\sigma(\xi) =$  $\int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t) dt$  is in CS<sup>0</sup>(R) and  $\partial_{\xi} \sigma = f$ .

For general *n* we infer from the standard proof of the Poincaré Lemma in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ applied to the closed form  $f \, d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n$ , that we can put

$$
\sigma_j(\xi) = \int_0^1 f(t\xi) \xi_j t^{n-1} dt.
$$

Indeed,

$$
\partial_{\xi_j}\sigma_j(\xi) = \int_0^1 f(t\xi)t^{n-1}dt + \int_0^1 \partial_{\xi_j}(f)(t\xi)\xi_jt^n dt,
$$

thus

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{\xi_j} \sigma_j(\xi) = \int_0^1 f(t\xi)nt^{n-1}dt + \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{\xi_j}(f)(t\xi)\xi_j t^n dt
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_0^1 \partial_t(f(t\xi)t^n) dt = f(\xi).
$$

It remains to show that  $\sigma_i \in CS^{-n+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The function  $\sigma_i$  is certainly smooth. For  $|\xi| \ge 1$  we have by change of variables  $r = t |\xi|$ :

$$
\sigma_j(\xi) = \int_0^{|\xi|} f\left(r \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right) r^{n-1} dr |\xi|^{-n} \xi_j
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_0^{\infty} f\left(r \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right) r^{n-1} dr |\xi|^{-n} \xi_j - \int_{|\xi|}^{\infty} f\left(r \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right) r^{n-1} dr |\xi|^{-n} \xi_j.
$$

The first summand is homogeneous of degree  $-n + 1$  while the second summand satisfies the estimates of a Schwartz function at  $\infty$  (it is [not a Sc](#page-39-0)hwartz function since it is not smooth at 0). Thus  $\sigma_j \in \text{CS}^{-n+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and its homogeneous expansion consists only of one term of homogeneity  $-n + 1$ ,

$$
\sigma_j(\xi) \sim \int_0^\infty f\left(r\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right) r^{n-1} dr |\xi|^{-n} \xi_j,
$$

proving the first cl[aim.](#page-9-0)

For the second claim the necessity of  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = 0$  is clear. In fact the proof of Poincaré I emma with compact supports (Bott and Tu [BoTu82], Sec. I.4) works the Poincaré Lemma with compact supports (Bott and Tu [BoTu82], Sec. I.4) works verbatim for the forms  $\Omega^{\bullet} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus the closed *n*-form  $fd\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n$  is exact in  $\Omega^{\bullet} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = 0$ . If this is the case<br>then  $fd\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n = dn$  with an  $(n-1)$ -form  $n \in \Omega^{n-1} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Expanding n as then  $fd\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n = d\eta$  with an  $(n-1)$ -form  $\eta \in \Omega^{n-1}\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Expanding  $\eta$  as in (2.9) we see that  $f = \sum_{n=0}^n a_n \cdot x$  with Schwartz functions  $\sigma$ . in (2.9) we see that  $f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{\xi_j} \sigma_j$  with Schwartz functions  $\sigma_j$ .

**2.4.2. The residue and the regularized (cut-off) integral.** We now extend the residue (Definition 2.3) from homogeneous functions to  $CS<sup>a</sup>(\mathbb{R}<sup>n</sup>)$ .

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

<span id="page-18-0"></span>Let  $\sigma \in \text{CS}^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  have asymptotic expansion  $\sigma \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{a-j}$ , cf. eq. (2.13) and (2.15). Th[en](#page-40-0)  $\sigma_{a-j}^h \in \mathcal{P}^{a-j}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Put

$$
res(\sigma) := res_{\omega}(\sigma_{-n}^h) = \int_{S^{n-1}} i_{S^{n-1}}^*(\sigma_{-n}^h) d \operatorname{vol}_{S^{n-1}} = \int_{S^{n-1}} i_{S^{n-1}}^*(\sigma_{-n}^h \iota_X \omega),
$$

where  $\omega = d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n$ . In other words the residue of  $\sigma$  equals the residue of its homogeneous component of homogeneity degree  $-n$ . Thus res $(\sigma) \neq 0$  only if a is an integer  $\ge -n$ . The functional res was studied in [Pay07].

We also recall the *regularized integral* or cut-off integral  $f: CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{C}$ <br>
e.g. H es<sup>101</sup>, Sec. 4.2): If  $f \in CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then the asymptotic expansion  $f \sim$ (cf., e.g., [Les10], Sec. 4.2): If  $f \in CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then the asymptotic expansion  $f \sim$ (cf., e.g., [Les10], Sec. 4.2): If  $f \in CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then the asymptotic expansion  $f \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{a-i}$  implies that as  $R \to \infty$  one has an asymptotic expansion  $j=0$   $f_{a-j}$  implies that as  $R \to \infty$  [one ha](#page-40-0)s an asymptotic expansion

$$
\int_{|\xi| \le R} f(\xi) d\xi \sum_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ a-j+n \ne 0}}^{\infty} c_{a-j} R^{a-j+n} + \tilde{c} R^0 + \text{res}(f) \log R.
$$

The regularized integral  $f_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\xi) d\xi$  is, by definition, the constant term  $\tilde{c}$  in this asymptotic expansion. It has the property that  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $f \neq 0$  only if a is an integer asymptotic expansion. It has the property that  $f_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\xi_j} f \neq 0$  only if a is an integer  $\geq -n+1$ .

The following result generalizes [Pay07], Prop. 2 and Thm. 2, where it was proved mo[dulo s](#page-16-0)moothing symbols.

**Proposition 2.13.** (1) Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For a symbol  $f \in CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there exist symbols  $\sigma_j \in \mathbb{C}S^{r(a)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $r(a) := \max(a, -n) + 1$ , such that  $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \sigma_j$  if and only *if* res( $f$ ) = 0*.* 

(2) Let  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ *. For a symbol*  $f \in CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there exist symbols  $\sigma_i \in CS^{a+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that  $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \sigma_j$  *if and only if*  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = 0$ *.* 

*Proof.* (1) We will repeatedly use that, by construction[, th](#page-10-0)e asymptotic relation eq. (2.14) may be differentiated, i.e., if  $g \in CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $g \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} g_{a-l}$ , then

$$
\partial_{\xi_j} g \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \partial_{\xi_j} g_{a-l}.
$$

Now let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f \in \text{CS}^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $f \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_{a-l}$ . If  $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \tau_j$  with  $\tau_j \in \text{CS}^{r(a)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then certainly  $f_{-n}^h = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \tau_{j,-n+1}^h$  and hence  $\text{res}(f) = (gh)_{-n} = 0.1$ . res $(f_{-n}^h) = 0$  by Corollary 2.4.<br>Conversely, if res(f) = 0

Conversely, if  $res(f) = 0$  then again by Corollary 2.4 there are  $\tau_{j,a-l+1}^h \in$ <br> $\tau_{j,a-l+1}^h$  (0) with the  $\zeta_h^h$   $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{j,a}$  $\mathcal{P}^{a-l+1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  such that  $f_{a-l}^h = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \tau_{j,a-l+1}^h$ .<br>We fix a surface function  $\mathcal{P}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and that

We fix a cut-off function  $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$
\chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\xi| \ge 1/2, \\ 0 & \text{if } |\xi| \le 1/4. \end{cases}
$$
 (2.16)

Now asymptotic [summ](#page-16-0)ation [Shu01], Prop. 3.5, guarantees the existence of  $\tau$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $CS^{a+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\tau_j \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \chi \tau_{j,a-l+1}^h$  and hen[ce](#page-18-0)

$$
\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \tau_j \sim \sum_{l=0}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^n \chi \partial_{\xi_j} \tau_{j,a-l+1}^h \sim \sum_{l=0}^\infty f_{a-l} \sim f,
$$

thus

$$
f - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{\xi_j} \tau_j =: g \in S^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = S(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.17}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.12 to g the case  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is settled.

(2) Let  $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . It was remarked before Proposition 2.13 that the condition  $f_{\mathbb{R}^n} f =$ <br>necessary. To prove sufficiency consider  $f \in \mathbb{C}S^d(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $f = 0$ . Since 0 is necessary. To prove sufficiency consider  $f \in CS^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $f_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = 0$ . Since  $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$  we have res( f) = 0 trivially. Therefore, as before we arrive at (2.17) (this is  $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , we have res $(f) = 0$  trivially. Therefore, as before we arrive at (2.17) (this is the content of  $\left[\text{Pay}(0, 7)\right]$ , Prop. 2). Still we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\xi_j} \tau_j = 0$ . Now apply the second part of Lemma 2.12 to  $g$ , and the proof is complete.

# **3. Pseudodifferential operators and tracial functionals**

**S[tandin](#page-40-0)g [assump](#page-40-0)tions.** Unless otherwise said, in the rest of the paper M will denote a smooth closed connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ . The Riemannian metric is chosen for convenience only to have an  $L^2$ -structure at our disposal. One could avoid choosing a metric by working with densities.

Given  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we use the notation  $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq b} := \mathbb{Z} \cap (-\infty, b], \mathbb{Z}_{>b} := \mathbb{Z} \cap (b, +\infty)$ .

**3.1. Classical pseudodifferential operators.** We denote by  $L^{\bullet}(M)$  the algebra of pseudodifferential operators with complete symbols of Hörmander type  $(1, 0)$ ([Hör71], [Shu01]), see Section 2.4.1. The subalgebra of classical pseudodifferential operators is denoted by  $CL<sup>o</sup>(M)$ .

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open subset. Recall that for a symbol  $\sigma \in S^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ , the onical pseudodifferential operator associated to  $\sigma$  is defined by canonical pseudodifferential operator associated to  $\sigma$  is defined by

$$
\text{Op}(\sigma)u(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle} \sigma(x,\xi)\hat{u}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_U e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle} \sigma(x,\xi)u(y) dy d\xi,
$$
\n(3.1)

where  $d\xi := (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi$ . For a manifold M, elements of  $L^{\bullet}(M)$  (resp.  $CL^{\bullet}(M)$ ) can<br>locally be written as  $On(\sigma)$  with  $\sigma \in S^{\bullet}(U \times \mathbb{R}^{n})$  (resp.  $CS^{\bullet}(U \times \mathbb{R}^{n})$ ) locally be written as  $Op(\sigma)$  with  $\sigma \in S^{\bullet}(U \times \mathbb{R}^{n})$  (resp.  $CS^{\bullet}(U \times \mathbb{R}^{n})$ ).<br>Recall that there is an exact sequence

Recall that there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathrm{CL}^{m-1}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{CL}^m(M) \xrightarrow{\sigma_m} \mathcal{P}^m(T^*M \setminus M) \to 0,
$$
 (3.2)

where  $\sigma_m(A)$  is the homogeneous leading symbol of  $A \in CL^m(M)$ . It has a (noncanonical) global right inverse Op which is obtained by patching together the locally

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

<span id="page-20-0"></span>defined maps in eq. (3.1).  $\sigma_m(A)$  is a homogeneous function on the symplectic cone  $T^*M \setminus M$  (cf. Section 2.3). We will tacitly identify  $\mathcal{P}^m(T^*M \setminus M)$  by restriction<br>with  $C^\infty(S^*M)$ . Here  $S^*M$  is the cosphere bundle, i.e., the unit sphere bundle with  $C^{\infty}(S^*M)$ . Here  $S^*M$  is the cosphere bundle, i.e., the unit sphere bundle  $\subset T^*M$ .<br>Recal

Recall that the [leading](#page-40-0) symbol map is multiplicative in the sense that

$$
\sigma_{a+b}(A \circ B) = \sigma_a(A)\,\sigma_b(B) \tag{3.3}
$$

for  $A \in CL^a(M)$ ,  $B \in CL^b(M)$ . Furthermore, we record the important formula

$$
\sigma_{a+b-1}([A, B]) = \frac{1}{i} \{ \sigma_a(A), \sigma_b(B) \},\tag{3.4}
$$

which is a consequence of the asymptotic formula for the *complete* symbol of a product, cf., e.g., [Shu01], Thm. 3.4.

**3.2. Tracial functionals on subspaces of**  $CL^{\bullet}(M)$ **.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $CL^{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$  is an algebra if and only if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  as In this case a linear functional  $\tau : Cl^{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \to \mathbb{C}$  is algebra if and only if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ . In this case a linear functional  $\tau : CL^a(M) \to \mathbb{C}$  is<br>a trace if and only if a trace if and only i[f](#page-33-0)

$$
\tau([A, B]) = 0 \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \text{CL}^a(M). \tag{3.5}
$$

Therefore, in order to characterize traces on  $CL^{a}(M)$ , one has to understand the space of commutators  $[CL^a(M), CL^a(M)]$ . Note that the commutator  $[A, B] \in$  $CL^{2a}(M)$ . Here, in the situation of operators with scalar coefficients, one even has  $[A, B] \in CL^{2a-1}(M)$ . However, AB and BA are only in  $CL^{2a}(M)$  and that  $[A, B] \in CL^{2a-1}(M)$  is only due to the fact that the leading symbols of A and B commute. If A, B are pseudodifferential operators acting on sections of a vector bundle (see Section 5) then one can only conclude that  $[A, B]$  is of order 2a.

Conversely, if  $\tau: CL^{2a}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a linear functional satisfying eq. (3.5) then<br>linear extension  $\tilde{\tau}$  of  $\tau$  to CI  $^a(M)$  is a trace on CI  $^a(M)$ any linear extension  $\tilde{\tau}$  of  $\tau$  to  $CL^a(M)$  is a trace on  $CL^a(M)$ .<br>CL<sub>a</sub> $\tau$  (M) is a subspace of CL<sub>a</sub> $(M)$  if and only if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

 $CL^{2a}(M)$  is a subspace of  $CL^{a}(M)$  if and only if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ . However, for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  it makes sense to consider linear functionals on  $CL^{2a}(\overline{M})$  satisfying (3.5):

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\tau: CL^b(M) \to \mathbb{C}$  be a linear functional.

(1)  $\tau$  is called a *pretrace* if  $\tau([A, B]) = 0$  for all  $A, B \in CL^{b/2}(M)$ .

(2)  $\tau$  is called a *hypertrace* if  $\tau([A, B]) = 0$  for all  $A \in CL^0(M)$ ,  $B \in CL^b(M)$ .

If  $CL^a(M) \subset CL^b(M)$  we sometimes use the abbreviation  $\tau_a := \tau \upharpoonright CL^a(M)$ .

**Remark 3.2.** If  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ , then any hypertrace on  $CL^b(M)$  is a trace on  $CL^b(M)$ since  $CL^b(M) \subset CL^0(M)$ . The restriction of a trace on  $CL^b(M)$  to  $CL^{2b}(M)$  is obviously a pretrace obviously a pretrace.

Next we discuss the canonical (pre-, hyper-)traces which exist on  $CL^a(M)$  for various a.

**3.2.1. The L<sup>2</sup>-trace.** A pseudodifferential operator A of order ord $(A) < -n$  $-dim M$  is a trace-class operator. The standard Hilbert space trace on operators acting on  $L^2(M)$  is denoted by Tr. Note that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(A) = \int_M K_A(x, x) \, d \operatorname{vol}(x),
$$

where  $K_A$  is the Schwartz kernel of the operator A. If  $K_A$  is supported in a coordinate chart U, where A is given by  $Op(\sigma)$  with  $\sigma \in CS^a(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ , then by, eq. (3.1),

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(A) = \int_{U} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sigma(x, \xi) d\xi dx.
$$
 (3.6)

Since for any trace-class operator K in the Hilbert space  $L^2(M)$  and any bounded operator T in  $L^2(M)$  one has Tr(KT) = Tr(TK), it follows that Tr is a hypertrace on CL<sup>a</sup>(M) for any real  $a < -n$ . Furthermore, if  $p, q \ge 1$  are real numbers such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  and if  $A \in \mathcal{L}^p(L^2(M))$ , the p-th Schatten ideal of operators in  $L^2(M)$ , and  $B \in \mathcal{L}^q(L^2(M))$ , then also  $Tr(AB) = Tr(BA)$ . From  $CL^a(M) \subset \mathcal{L}^p(L^2(M))$  for  $a < -n/p$  $a < -n/p$  $a < -n/p$  it then follows that

$$
Tr([A, B]) = 0 \text{ for } A \in CL^{a}(M), \quad B \in CL^{b}(M) \text{ if } a + b < -n. \tag{3.7}
$$

In particular,  $Tr_a = Tr \int CL^a(M)$  is a pretrace for any  $a < -n$ . In fact, eq. (3.7)<br>can be improved slightly: can be improved slightly:

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let*  $A \in CL^a(M)$ ,  $B \in CL^b(M)$  *with*  $a + b < -n + 1$ . *Then* [A, B] *is of trace-class and*  $Tr([A, B]) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We follow Section 4 of [Les99]. Let  $P \in CL^1(M)$  be an elliptic pseudodifferential operator whose leading symbol is positive and let  $A \in CL^a(M)$ ,  $B \in CL^b(M)$ . We put

$$
\nabla_P^0(B) := B, \quad \nabla_P^{j+1}B := [P, \nabla_P^j B],
$$

and by induction, for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$
\nabla_P^j B \in \mathrm{CL}^b(M).
$$

Then for  $N$  large enough one has

$$
e^{-tP}B = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-t)^j}{j!} (\nabla_P^j B)e^{-tP} + R_N(t),
$$

where  $R_N(t)$  is a smoothing operator such that  $\text{Tr}(AR_N(t)) = \text{Tr}(R_N(t)A) = O(t)$ as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ ; therefore

$$
\text{Tr}([A, B]e^{-tP}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{(-t)^j}{j!} \text{Tr}(A(\nabla_P^j B)e^{-tP}) + O(t), \quad t \to 0^+.
$$
 (3.8)

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

<span id="page-22-0"></span>Invoking t[he sh](#page-21-0)ort time heat kernel asymptotics, cf., e.g., Grubb and Seeley [GrSe95],

$$
\text{Tr}(A(\nabla_P^j B)e^{-tP}) \sim_{t \to 0^+} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (c_k + d_k \log t)t^{k-a-b-n} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k t^k \tag{3.9}
$$

we see that for  $j > 1$ , thanks to  $j - a - b - n > 0$ ,

$$
\lim_{t \to 0^+} t^j \operatorname{Tr}(A(\nabla_P^j B)e^{-tP}) = 0. \tag{3.10}
$$

Since  $[A, B] \in CL^{a+b-1}(M)$  and  $a+b-1 < -n$ , the operator  $[A, B]$  is of trace-class and from  $(3.8)$ ,  $(3.9)$ , and  $(3.10)$  we thus infer

$$
Tr([A, B]) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} Tr([A, B]e^{-tP}) = 0.
$$

**3.2.2. The Kontsevich–Vishik canonical trace.** For non-integer a there is a regularization procedure which allows to extend the  $L^2$ -trace in a canonical way to  $CL^a(M)$ (see [KoVi95], [Les99], [Les10], Sec. 4.3). In brief for  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -n}$  there is a canon[ical](#page-21-0) linear functional, *the Kontsevich–Vishik canonical trace*, TR:  $CL^a(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
TR_a = TR \upharpoonright CL^a(M) = Tr \upharpoonright CL^a(M) = Tr_a \quad \text{if } a < -n,
$$
  

$$
TR([A, B]) = 0 \quad \text{if } A \in CL^a(M), B \in CL^b(M), a + b \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -n+1}.
$$
 (3.11)

Usually the second property is stated only for  $a + b \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . However, if  $a + b < -n$ then AB is of trace-class and  $TR(AB) = Tr(AB) = Tr(BA) = TR(BA)$  follows from the theory of the trace in Schatten ideals (see an analogous discussion in the previous section). If only  $a + b - 1 < -n$  then [A, B] is still of trace-class and  $TR([A, B]) = Tr([A, B]) = 0$  follows from Lemma 3.3.

The properties  $(3.11)$  immediately imply that the canonical trace TR is a hypertrace and a pretra[ce on](#page-13-0)  $CL^a(M)$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -n}$ .

**3.2.3. The residue trace.** The *[resid](#page-41-0)u[e](#page-39-0) [trace](#page-39-0)*, call[ed](#page-39-0) [by](#page-39-0) [som](#page-39-0)e [authors](#page-40-0) the noncommutative residue, somehow complements the canonical trace. In terms of the complete symbol, the residue trace of an operator  $A \in CL^{\bullet}(M)$  is given by (see [Wod87b])

$$
\operatorname{Res}(A) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \operatorname{res}(\sigma(A)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_M \int_{S_X^*M} \sigma_{-n}(A)(x,\xi) \nu(\xi) \wedge dx,
$$

where  $v(\xi)$  is a volume form on  $S_x^*M$  and res is the symplectic residue on  $T^*M \setminus M$ <br>(cf. Section 2.3.1 and Section 2.4.2). Bes is the unique trace on the whole algebra (cf. Section 2.3.1 and Section 2.4.2). Res is the unique trace on the whole algebra  $CL<sup>*</sup>(M)$  whenever  $n > 1$  ([Wod87b], [BrGe87], [FGLS96], [Les99]). By definition, this trace vanishes on trace-class pseudodifferential operators and non-integer order pseudodifferential operators.

The residue trace Res is a pretrace and a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is non-trivial, however, only if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -n}$ .

# **4. Operators as sums of commutators**

In order to classify traces and (pre-, hyper-)traces on  $CL^a(M)$  we first study the representation of an operator as a sum of commutators.

**4.1. Smoothing operators.** The closure of the algebra  $CL^{-\infty}(M)$  of smoothing operators in  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(M))$  is the algebra of compact operators. The latter is known to be simple. Indeed one has the following, which is in a sense an analogue of the second part of Lemma 2.12:

**Theorem 4.1** ([Gui93], Thm. A.1). *Let* M *be a closed manifold. Then for any*  $J \in CL^{-\infty}(M)$  with  $\text{Tr}(J) = 1$  *the following holds: for*  $R \in CL^{-\infty}(M)$  *there exist smoothing operators*  $S_1, \ldots, S_N, T_1, \ldots, T_N \in CL^{-\infty}(M)$  *such that* 

$$
R = \text{Tr}(R)J + \sum_{j=1}^{N} [S_j, T_j].
$$

*Briefly, we have a[n](#page-40-0) [exact](#page-40-0) sequence*

$$
0 \to [CL^{-\infty}(M), CL^{-\infty}(M)] \to CL^{-\infty}(M) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} \mathbb{C} \to 0.
$$

Can we write J as a sum of commutators of general pseudodifferential operators? Since Res is up to constants the only trace on  $CL<sup>o</sup>(M)$  (for M compact and connected of dimension  $> 1$ ), the answer is yes. A more precise answer is the following.

**Proposition 4.2** ([Pon10], Prop. 4.2 ). *Let* M *be a compact Riemannian manifold of* dimension  $n > 1$ . Then  $CL^{-\infty}(M) \subset [CL^{0}(M), CL^{-n+1}(M)]$ .

We present here a brief variant of the proof of Ponge; our proof is based on

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let*  $n > 2$ *.* 

(1) The operator  $Q_j$  of convolution by the function

$$
f_j(y) := \frac{y_j}{|y|^2} = \partial_{y_j} (\log |y|)
$$

*is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order*  $-n + 1$  *on*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .<br>(2) For any smoothing operator  $R \in \mathbb{C}$   $I^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there exist *i* 

(2) *For any smoothing operator*  $R \in CL^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *there exist*  $B_j \in CL^{-n+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\vdots$   $\vdots$  $j = 1, ..., n$ , such that  $R = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [Op(x_j), B_j]$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Op $(x_i)$  is the pseudodifferential operator associated to the symbol function  $(x, \xi) \mapsto x_i$ . Of course, this is nothing but the operator of multiplication by the coordinate  $x_i$ . Therefore,  $Op(x_i)$  commutes with multiplication operators, a fact that will often be used below.

<span id="page-23-0"></span>

*Proof.* (1) We have  $f_j \nightharpoonup \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Since  $f_j$  is locally integrable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  it defines a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which is homogeneous of degree  $-1$ . Then on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it defines a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which is homogeneous of degree  $-1$ . Then<br>by UEs-021, Thm, 7,1,18, and 7,1,16, f,  $\in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and its Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is by [Hör03], Thm. 7.1.18 and 7.1.16,  $f_j \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and its Fourier transform  $f_j$  is<br>a homogeneous distribution of degree  $-n+1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is smooth in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . a homogeneous distribution of degree  $-n + 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is smooth in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . With the cut-off function  $\chi$  of eq. (2.16) we therefore have  $\chi f_j \in \text{CS}^{-n+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .<br>Eurthermore, (1, x) is compactly supported and thus (1, x) =  $\hat{\psi}$  with  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Furthermore,  $(1 - \chi)$  is compactly supported and thus  $(1 - \chi) = \hat{\psi}$  with  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the space of compactly supported smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we now have

$$
Q_j u = f_j * u = \operatorname{Op}(\chi \widehat{f}_j) u + (\psi * f_j) * u.
$$

Convolution by the Schwartz function  $\psi * f_i$  is smoothing and thus  $Q_i \in CL^{-n+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(2) A smoothing operator R has a smooth kernel  $K_R(x, y)$ , and therefore,  $(x, y) \mapsto$  $K_R(x, y) - K_R(x, x)$  is smooth and vanishes on the diagonal. It follows that there are smooth functions  $K_1, \ldots, K_n$  such that

$$
K_R(x, y) = K_R(x, x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - y_j) K_j(x, y).
$$

Let Q be the operator defined by the kernel  $K_Q(x, y) = K_R(x, x)$ , and let  $R_i$  be the smoothing operators defined by the kernels  $K_i(x, y)$ , then

$$
R = Q + \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\text{Op}(x_j), R_j].
$$

Let  $H_j$  be the operator with kernel  $(x, y) \mapsto f_j(x - y)K_R(x, x)$ .  $H_j$  is  $Q_j$  followed by multiplication by the smooth function  $x \mapsto K_R(x, x)$  and is therefore, by the proved part 1., a clas[sical](#page-23-0) pseudodifferential operator of order  $-n + 1$ . Since

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - y_j) f_j(x - y) K_R(x, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(x_j - y_j)^2}{|x - y|^2} K_R(x, x)
$$

$$
= K_R(x, x) = K_Q(x, y),
$$

it follows that  $Q = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [Op(x_j), H_j]$ . The result of the lemma follows with  $B_j := P_{n+1} I_{(p,n)}$  $R_j + H_j \in CL^{-n+1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$ 

*Proof of Proposition* 4.2. Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set and let  $R \in CL_{\text{comp}}^{-\infty}(U)$  be a composition operator with composity supported Schwartz kernal  $K_2 \subset C^{\infty}(U) \times U$ smoothing operator with compactly supported Schwartz kernel  $K_R \in C_c^{\infty}(U \times U)$ .<br>Let  $\psi \in C^{\infty}(U)$  be such that  $\psi(x)\psi(y) = 1$  in a neighborhood of the support of the Let  $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$  be such that  $\psi(x)\psi(y) = 1$  in a neighborhood of the support of the kernel of R then  $\psi R\psi = R$ kernel of R, then  $\psi R \psi = R$ .

By Lemma 4.3 there exist  $P_i \in CL^{-n+1}(U)$  such that  $R = \sum_{i=1}^n [Op(x_i), P_i]$ .<br> $x \in C^{\infty}(U)$  be such that  $x - 1$  in a neighborhood of sunp(i). Then we have Let  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$  be such that  $\chi = 1$  in a neighborhood of supp $(\psi)$ . Then we have

$$
\psi[\text{Op}(x_i), P_i]\psi = \text{Op}(x_i)\chi\psi P_i\psi - \psi P_i\psi \text{Op}(x_i)\chi = [\text{Op}(x_i)\chi, \psi P_i\psi],
$$

$$
R = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\text{Op}(x_i \chi), \psi P_i \psi]. \tag{4.1}
$$

Note that  $x_i \chi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$  and  $\psi P_i \psi \in CL_{comp}^{-n+1}(U)$ .<br>Now let  $(e_i) \subset C_c^{\infty}(M)$  be a partition of un

Now let  $\{\varphi_j\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M)$  be a partition of unity subordinate to a finite open<br>ering  $\{U_i\}$  of M by coordinate charts. Eurthermore, choose  $\psi_i \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(U_i)$  such covering  $\{U_j\}$  of M by coordinate charts. Furthermore, [choo](#page-23-0)se  $\psi_j \in C_c^{\infty}(U_j)$  such that  $\psi_i - 1$  in a neighborhood of supp $(a_i)$ . Then for any  $R \in C^1$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M)$  we have that  $\psi_j = 1$  in a neighborhood of supp $(\varphi_j)$ . Then for any  $R \in CL^{-\infty}(M)$  we have

$$
R = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_j R \psi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_j R (1 - \psi_j).
$$

For e[ach](#page-23-0) index *j* the operator  $\varphi_j R \psi_j$  belongs to  $CL_{comp}^{-\infty}(U_j)$ , so by the previous exergency it can be written as a sum of commutators of the form (4.1). Moreover, the argument it can be written as a sum of commutators of the form (4.1). Moreover, the operator  $S := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_j R(1 - \psi_j)$  is smoothing and its Schwartz kernel vanishes on the diagonal, so its trace vanishes and by Theorem 4.1 it can be written as a sum of commutators in  $[CL^{-\infty}(M), CL^{-\infty}(M)]$ . Hence R belongs to the space  $[CL^0(M), CL^{-n+1}(M)],$  as claimed. П

The degrees 0 and  $-n + 1$  in the commutator  $[CL^0(M), CL^{-n+1}(M)]$  in Proposition 4.2 can be traded against each other as the following simple but very useful lemma due to Sylvie Paycha shows. This lemma is included with her kind permission.

**Lemma 4.5.** *For any*  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$
[\text{CL}^0(M), \text{CL}^{\alpha+\beta}(M)] \subset [\text{CL}^{\alpha}(M), \text{CL}^{\beta}(M)],
$$

*meaning that any commutator in*  $[CL^0(M), CL^{\alpha+\beta}(M)]$  *can be written as a sum of commutators in*  $[CL^{\alpha}(M), CL^{\beta}(M)]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \in CL^0(M)$ ,  $B \in CL^{\alpha+\beta}(M)$ . Fix a first order positive definite elliptic operator  $\Lambda \in CL^{1}(M)$ . Then  $A\Lambda^{\alpha}, \Lambda^{\alpha}A, \Lambda^{\alpha} \in CL^{\alpha}(M)$ ,  $B\Lambda^{-\alpha}, \Lambda^{-\alpha}B,$  $AB\Lambda^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\Lambda^{-\alpha}BA \in CL^{\beta}(M)$ . Moreover,

$$
[A\Lambda^{\alpha}, \Lambda^{-\alpha}B] = AB - \Lambda^{-\alpha}BA\Lambda^{\alpha}, \tag{4.2}
$$

$$
[\Lambda^{\alpha} A, B\Lambda^{-\alpha}] = \Lambda^{\alpha} A B \Lambda^{-\alpha} - B A, \tag{4.3}
$$

$$
[AB\Lambda^{-\alpha}, \Lambda^{\alpha}] = AB - \Lambda^{\alpha}AB\Lambda^{-\alpha}, \tag{4.4}
$$

$$
[\Lambda^{-\alpha} BA, \Lambda^{\alpha}] = \Lambda^{-\alpha} BA \Lambda^{\alpha} - BA. \tag{4.5}
$$

Adding up  $(4.2)$ – $(4.5)$  yields twice the commutator  $[A, B]$ , therefore we obtain  $[A, B] \in \left[ CL^{\alpha}(M), CL^{\beta}(M) \right].$  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-25-0"></span>

thus

<span id="page-26-0"></span>**4.2. General classical pseudodifferential operators.** We now combine the main result of Section 2.3, Theorem 2.9, and the results of the previous Section to obtain statements about general pseudodifferential operators as sums of commutators. This improves, for classical pseudodifferential operators, [Les99], Propositions 4.7 and 4.9; for such operators these results in fact go back to [Wod84]. In [Les99] the more general class of pseudodifferential operators with log-polyhomogeneous symbol expansions was considered.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let* M *be a compact connected Riemannian manifold of dimension*  $n > 1$ *. Fix*  $Q \in CL^{-n}(M)$  *with* Res( $Q$ ) = 1*. Then for any real numbers m,* a there exist  $P_1$ , ...,  $P_N \in CL^m(M)$  such that for any  $A \in CL^a(M)$  there exist  $Q_i$ ,  $Q_N \in CL^{a-m+1}(M)$  and  $R \in CL^{-\infty}(M)$  with  $Q_1,\ldots,Q_N \in CL^{a-m+1}(M)$  and  $R \in CL^{-\infty}(M)$  with

$$
A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P_j, Q_j] + \text{Res}(A) Q + R.
$$

*Proof.* We follow the proof of [Les<sup>99]</sup>, Prop. 4.7, where the case  $m = 1$  is discussed, [with a f](#page-40-0)ew modifications and improvements.

First, replacing A [by](#page-14-0)  $A-{\rm Res}(A)Q$  if necessary, we may without loss of generality assume that  $Res(A) = 0$ .

We choose  $p_1, \ldots, p_N \in \mathcal{P}^m(T^*M \setminus M)$  such that their differentials span the angent bundle of  $T^*M \setminus M$  at every point if  $m \neq 0$ ; if  $m = 0$  we choose the cotangent bundle of  $T^*M \setminus M$  $T^*M \setminus M$  $T^*M \setminus M$  at every point if  $m \neq 0$ ; if  $m = 0$  we choose the n such that their differentials restricted to  $S^*M$  span the cotangent bundle of  $S^*M$  $p_j$  such that their differentials restricted to  $S^*M$  span the cotangent bundle of  $S^*M$ <br>(of the greaf of Theorem 2.0). Chases  $R \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{R}^m(M)]$  with leading symbols  $\mathbb{R}$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.9). Choose  $P_i \in CL^m(M)$  with leading symbols  $p_i$ . Consider the leading symbol  $\sigma_a(A) \in \mathcal{P}^a(T^*M \setminus M)$  of A. Its symplectic residue<br>is 0 if  $a \neq -n$  and if  $a = -n$  it is up to a pormalization equal to Res(A) (cf. e.g. is 0 if  $a \neq -n$ , and if  $a = -n$  it is up to a normalization equal to Res(A) (cf., e.g., [Les99], Prop. 4.5), hence it is also 0 in that case.

Then by Theorem 2.9 and its proof there are  $q_j^{(1)} \in \mathcal{P}^{a-m+1}(T^*M \setminus M)$  such  $(1)$ that  $\sigma_a(A) = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \{p_j, q_j^{(1)}\}$ . Thus choosing  $Q_j^{(1)} \in CL^{a-m+1}(M)$  with leading symbol  $q_j^{(1)}$  we find, see eq. (3.4),

$$
A^{(1)} = A - \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P_j, Q_j^{(1)}] \in CL^{a-1}(M).
$$

We iterate the procedure inductively and assume that we have operators  $Q_i^{(l)}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $CL^{a-m+1}(M), 1 \leq l \leq l_0$ , such that

> $A^{(l)} = A - \sum_{j=1} [P_j, Q_j^{(l)}] \in CL^{a-l}(M)$ j<br>D

and

$$
Q_j^{(l)} - Q_j^{(l+1)} \in CL^{a-m+1-l}(M), \quad 1 \le l \le l_0 - 1. \tag{4.6}
$$

As for A we then choose  $B_i \in CL^{a-m-l_0+1}(M)$  such that

$$
A^{(l_0+1)} = A^{(l_0)} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P_j, B_j] \in CL^{a-l_0-1}(M).
$$

Now put  $Q_j^{(l_0+1)} = Q_j^{(l_0)} + B_j$ . Then (4.6) hol[ds fo](#page-26-0)r all l and we can invoke the asymptotic summation principle [Shu01], Prop. 3.5, and choose  $Q_j \in CL^{a-m+1}(M)$ <br>and that for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $Q_l = Q_l^{(l)} \in GL^{s-m+1-l}(M)$ . Then such that for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Q_j - Q_j^{(l)} \in CL^{s-m+1-l}(M)$ . Then

$$
A - \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P_j, Q_j] \in \mathrm{CL}^{-\infty}(M).
$$

Combining Theorem 4.6 and Lemma 4.5 we find

**Theorem 4.7.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.6 let*  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $-n \le a < 0$ *. Then* 

$$
CLa(M) = [CL(a+1)/2(M), CL(a+1)/2(M)] \oplus C \cdot Q,
$$
 (4.7)

$$
CLa(M) = [CL0(M), CLa+1(M)] \oplus C \cdot Q.
$$
 (4.8)

*In other words for*  $A \in CL^a(M)$  *there exist operators*  $P_1, \ldots, P_N, Q_1, \ldots, Q_N \in$  $CL^{(a+1)/2}(M)$  *resp.*  $P_1, \ldots, P_N \in CL^0(M), Q_1, \ldots, Q_N \in CL^{a+1}(M)$  *such that* 

$$
A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P_j, Q_j] + \text{Res}(A) Q.
$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 4.6 with  $m = (a + 1)/2$  (resp.  $m = 0$ ). This yields  $P_1,\ldots,P_{N'}$  in CL<sup>(a+1)/2</sup>(M) (resp. CL<sup>0</sup>(M)),  $Q_1,\ldots,Q_{N'} \in CL^{(a+1)/2}(M)$ (resp. CL<sup>a+1</sup> $(M)$ ) and  $R \in CL^{-\infty}(M)$  such that

$$
A = \sum_{j=1}^{N'} [P_j, Q_j] + \text{Res}(A) Q + R.
$$

By Proposition 4.2 we have

$$
\operatorname{CL}^{-\infty}(M) \subset [\operatorname{CL}^0(M), \operatorname{CL}^{-n+1}(M)] \subset [\operatorname{CL}^0(M), \operatorname{CL}^{a+1}(M)]
$$

and hence there are  $P_{N'+1},...,P_N \in CL^0(M)$  and  $Q_{N'+1},...,Q_N \in CL^{a+1}(M)$ <br>such that  $P = \sum_{i=1}^N [P_i, Q_i]$  require as  $(4,8)$ . such that  $R = \sum_{j=N'+1}^{N} [P_j, Q_j]$  proving eq. (4.8).<br>To prove eq. (4.7) we apply I emma 4.5 with  $\alpha$ .

To prove eq. (4.7), we apply Lemma 4.5 with  $\alpha = (a+1)/2$ ,  $\beta = -n+1-\alpha$ .<br>So  $\alpha - \beta = a + n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a hence CI  $^{\beta}(M) \subset$  CI  $^{\alpha}(M)$  and we find Then  $\alpha - \beta = a + n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , hence  $CL^{\beta}(M) \subset CL^{\alpha}(M)$  and we find

$$
R \in \mathrm{CL}^{-\infty}(M) \subset [\mathrm{CL}^{0}(M), \mathrm{CL}^{-n+1}(M)]
$$
  

$$
\subset [\mathrm{CL}^{\alpha}(M), \mathrm{CL}^{\beta}(M)] \subset [\mathrm{CL}^{(a+1)/2}(M), \mathrm{CL}^{(a+1)/2}(M)]. \square
$$

<span id="page-28-0"></span>**4.3. Classifi[catio](#page-20-0)n of traces on**  $CL^a(M)$ **.** We are now going to classify the pretraces and the hypertraces on  $CL^a(M)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , as well as the traces on  $CL^a(M)$ for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ . The following definition will be convenient.

**Definition 4.8.** Recall that for a linear functional  $\tau: CL^b(M) \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $CL^a(M) \subset CL^b(M)$  we abbreviate  $\tau: \tau \uparrow \text{CI}^a(M)$  $CL^{b}(M)$  we abbreviate  $\tau_a := \tau \upharpoonright CL^{a}(M)$ .<br>We fix once and for all a *linear* function

We fix once and for all a *linear* functional  $\text{Tr} : \text{CL}^0(M) \to \mathbb{C}$  such that for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{<-n}$ 

$$
\operatorname{Tr} \upharpoonright \operatorname{CL}^a(M) = \operatorname{Tr} \upharpoonright \operatorname{CL}^a(M),
$$

cf. Definition 3.1. Furthermore put

$$
\overline{\text{TR}}_a := \begin{cases}\n\text{TR}_a & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -n}, \\
\widetilde{\text{Tr}}_a & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Z}, \ -n \le a < \frac{-n+1}{2}, \\
\text{Res}_a & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \frac{-n+1}{2} \le a.\n\end{cases} \tag{4.9}
$$

 $\overline{\text{TR}}_a$  conveniently combines the Kontsevich–Vishik trace and the residue trace. The notation is slightly abusive since for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a < (-n + 1)/2 \le b$ , one has  $TR_b \restriction CL^{2a-1}(M) = \text{Res} \restriction CL^{2a-1}(M) = 0 \neq \text{Tr} \restriction CL^{2a-1}(M) = \text{TR}_{2a-1}$ .<br>The disadvantages of this notational conflict are outweighed by the convenience of The disadvantages of this notational conflict are outweighed by the convenience of having a common notation for the Kontsevich–Vishik trace and the residue trace. This will free us from repetitively having to make a distinction between the cases  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -n}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -n}$ .

We also emphasize that the choice of Tr is not canonical but certainly possible.

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let*  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ *.* 

(1) Any pretrace on  $CL^a(M)$  [is a](#page-25-0) hypertrace on  $CL^a(M)$ .

(2) If  $\tau$  is a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M)$  then there is a unique constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such  $t \tau \upharpoonright CI^{-\infty}(M) - \lambda$  Tr *that*  $\tau$   $\uparrow$  $\mathcal{L}$  CL<sup>- $\infty$ </sup>(*M*) =  $\lambda$  Tr.

(3) If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  and  $\tau$  is a trace on  $CL^a(M)$  then  $\tau \upharpoonright CL^{2a}(M)$  is a pretrace (and<br>ce a hypertrace). Conversely, given a pretrace on  $Cl^{2a}(M)$ , any linear extension *hence a hypertrace*). Conversely, given a pretrace on  $CL^{2a}(M)$ , any linear extension  $\tilde{\tau}$  *of*  $\tau$  *to*  $CL^a(M)$  $CL^a(M)$  *is a trace.*<br>(A) *For*  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  *is* **TR** *is.* 

(4) *For*  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ ,  $\overline{\text{TR}}_a$  *is a trace on*  $CL^a(M)$ *. For*  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathbb{Z} \cap [-n+1, -n/2])$ *it is a pretrace (and hence a hypertrace).*

*Proof.* (1) follows from Lemma 4.5. (2) follows from Theorem 4.1. (3) is obvious. (4) For  $\frac{-n+1}{2} \le a$  the claim follows from the properties of the residue trace.

Except for  $a = -n$  the fact that  $\overline{\text{TR}}_a$  is a pretrace follows since Res<sub>a</sub> and TR<sub>a</sub> are pretraces.

Next consider  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < \frac{-n+1}{2}$ . Then for  $A, B \in CL^a(M)$  it follows from Lemma 3.3 that  $[A, B] \in CL^{2a-1}(M)$  is of trace-class and that

$$
\overline{\text{TR}}_{2a-1}([A, B]) = \text{Tr}([A, B]) = 0.
$$

This proves the remaining claims under (4).

Thus to classify traces on  $CL^a(M)$  (for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ ), it suffices to classify pretraces on CL<sup>2a</sup>(M). And to classify pretraces on CL<sup>b</sup>(M) (for any  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ !) it suffices to classify hypertraces on  $CL^b(M)$ .

The following considerably improves a uniqueness result by Maniccia, Schrohe, and Seiler [MSS08].

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let* M *be a closed connected [Riem](#page-20-0)annian manifold of dimension*  $n > 1$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{> -n}$ [, an](#page-40-0)d let  $\tau$  be a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M)$ . Then there are<br>uniquely determined  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and a distribution  $T \in (C^{\infty}(S^*M))^*$  such that  $\tau =$ *uniquely determined*  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  *and a distribution*  $T \in (C^{\infty}(S^*M))^*$  *such that*  $\tau$  $\lambda \overline{\text{TR}}_a + T \circ \sigma_a$ .

*Consequently, a linear functional on*  $CL<sup>a</sup>(M)$  *is a hypertrace if and only if it is a pretrace.*

**Remark 4.11.** Recall from eq. (3.2) that  $\sigma_a$  denotes the leading symbol map. Since the leading symbol is multiplicative (see eq.  $(3.3)$ ), it follows that for any  $T \in$  $(C^{\infty}(S^*M))^*$  the functional  $T \circ \sigma_a$  is a pretrace and a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M)$ .<br>Some authors (see  $[PaRo(M)]$  call such traces *leading symbol traces* Some authors (see [PaRo04]) call such traces *leading symbol traces*.

*Proof.* We note that if  $\tau$  is a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M)$  then by Proposition 4.9 (2), there is a unique  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\tau \upharpoonright CL^{-\infty}(M) = \lambda \operatorname{Tr}$ .<br>We apply Theorem 4.6 with  $m = 0$ . Then for 4.4

We apply [Theo](#page-19-0)rem 4.6 with  $m = 0$ . Then for  $A \in CL^{a-1}(M)$  we find

$$
A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P_j, Q_j] + R,
$$
\n(4.10)

with  $P_j \in CL^0$  $P_j \in CL^0$ ,  $Q_j \in CL^a(M)$ . Note that  $Res(A) = 0$  since  $a - 1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -n}$ . From eq. (4.10) we infer  $\tau(A) = \tau(R) = \lambda \operatorname{Tr}(R) = \lambda \operatorname{TR}(R) = \lambda \operatorname{TR}(A)$ .<br>Thus we have  $\tau \upharpoonright \operatorname{CI}^{a-1}(M) = \lambda \operatorname{TR} \upharpoonright \operatorname{CI}^{a-1}(M) = \lambda \operatorname{TR} \downarrow = \lambda$ 

Thus we have  $\tau \upharpoonright CL^{a-1}(M) = \lambda \text{ TR } \upharpoonright CL^{a-1}(M) = \lambda \text{ TR}_{a-1} = \lambda \text{ TR}_{a-1}.$ <br>  $\tilde{\tau} := \tau - \lambda \text{ TR }$  Then  $\tilde{\tau}$  vanishes on  $\text{CI }^{a-1}(M)$  and thus in view of the exact Put  $\tilde{\tau} := \tau - \lambda TR_a$ . Then  $\tilde{\tau}$  vanishes on  $CL^{a-1}(M)$  and thus in view of the exact sequence eq. (3.2) there is indeed a unique linear functional  $T \in (C^{\infty}(S^*M))^*$  such sequence eq. (3.2) there is indeed a unique linear functional  $T \in (\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(S^*M))^*$  such that  $\tilde{\tau} = T \circ \sigma$ . that  $\tilde{\tau} = T \circ \sigma_a$ .<br>For the last

For the last statement we note that by Proposition  $4.9(1)$  every pretrace is a hypertrace. For the converse note that  $\tau = \lambda TR_a + T \circ \sigma_a$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -n}$ , is indeed a<br>pretrace. For  $\overline{TR}$ , this follows from Proposition 4.9.(4). For  $T \circ \sigma$ , it is a consequence pretrace. For  $\overline{\text{TR}}_a$  this follows from Proposition 4.9 (4). For  $T \circ \sigma_a$  it is a consequence of (3.3).

The remaining cases of integral values are dealt with in the following.

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let* M *be a closed connected Riemannian manifold of dimension*  $n>1, a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>n}$ , and let  $\tau$  be a hypertrace on  $CL^{a}(M)$ . Then there are uniquely determined  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and a distribution  $T \in (C^{\infty}(S^*M))^{*}$  such that  $\tau \to \lambda$  Res.  $+T \circ \sigma$ *termined*  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and a distribution  $T \in (\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(S^*M))^*$  such that  $\tau = \lambda \operatorname{Res}_a + T \circ \sigma_a$ .<br>Consequently, a linear functional on  $\mathbb{C}^{\Gamma}$  a(*M*) is a hypertrace if and only if it is a

*Consequently, a linear functional on*  $CL<sup>a</sup>(M)$  *is a hypertrace if and only if it is a pretrace.*

<span id="page-29-0"></span>

<span id="page-30-0"></span>*Proof.* We apply Theorem [4.7](#page-29-0) and find f[or](#page-29-0)  $A \in CL^{a-1}(M)$ .

$$
A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P_j, Q_j] + \text{Res}(A) Q, \qquad (4.11)
$$

with  $P_j \in CL^0(M)$ ,  $Q_j \in CL^a(M)$ . Thus  $\tau(A) = \tau(Q)$  Res(A). As in the proof of Theorem A 10 one now concludes that  $\tau = \tau(Q)$  Res.  $+T \circ \sigma$ . Theorem 4.10 one now concludes that  $\tau = \tau(Q) \text{Res}_a + T \circ \sigma_a$ .<br>The last statement follows from Proposition 4.9 and the fact to

The last statement follows from Proposition 4.9 and the fact that Res<sub>a</sub> and  $T \circ \sigma_a$  pretraces on CL<sup>a</sup>(M) are pretraces on  $CL^a(M)$ .

Combining Theorem 4.10, Theorem 4.12 and Proposition 4.9 we now obtain a complete classification of traces on the algebras  $CL^a(M)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ .

**Corollary 4.13.** *Let*  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ *, and denote by* 

$$
\pi_a\colon CL^a(M)\to CL^a(M)/CL^{2a-1}(M)
$$

*the quotient map. Let*  $\tau$ :  $CL^a(M) \to \mathbb{C}$  *be a tr[ace.](#page-40-0) [The](#page-40-0)n there are [uniquely](#page-40-0) deter-*<br>mined  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in (Cl^a(M)/Cl^{2a-1}(M))^*$  such that *mined*  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  *and*  $T \in (CL^a(M) / CL^{2a-1}(M))^*$  *such that* 

$$
\tau = \lambda \overline{\text{TR}}_a + T \circ \pi_a.
$$

**Remark 4.14.** Note that for  $a = 1$ , the space  $CL^1(M)$  is not an algebra but it is a Lie algebra and it makes sense to talk about traces; in this case, the quotient map  $\pi_1$ is trivial and the proof below shows that Res is up to normalization the unique trace on  $CL^1(M)$ .

In the case  $a = 0$  this result was known, see [LePa07] (and also [Wod87a]).

If  $2a \le -n \le a$ , Res<sub>a</sub> is a non-trivial trace on  $CL^a(M)$ . However, since<br>Res  $\upharpoonright CL^{2a-1}(M) = 0$  (since  $2a-1 < -n$ ), there is  $\lambda \in (CL^a(M)/CL^{2a-1}(M))^*$ <br>such that Res  $- \lambda \circ \pi$ such that Res<sub>a</sub> =  $\lambda \circ \pi_a$ .<br>By choosing right inv

By choosing right i[nver](#page-28-0)ses  $\theta_a: C^{\infty}(S^*M) \to CL^a(M)$  to the symbol map one atively obtains an isomorphism iterativel[y obta](#page-29-0)ins an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{CL}^{a}(M)/\operatorname{CL}^{2a-1}(M) \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{|a|} \operatorname{CL}^{a-k}(M)/\operatorname{CL}^{a-k-1}(M) \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{|a|} \operatorname{C}^{\infty}(S^{*}M).
$$

Under this (non-canonical) isomorphism  $T \in (CL^a(M)/CL^{2a-1}(M))^*$  corresponds<br>to a (|a| + 1) tuple  $(T)^{|a|}$  of distributions  $T \in (C^\infty(S^*M))^*$ to a  $(|a| + 1)$ -tuple  $(T_j)_{j=0}^{|a|}$  of distributions  $T_j \in (\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(S^*M))^*$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.9,  $\tau_{2a} = \tau \upharpoonright CL^{2a}(M)$  is a hypertrace on  $CL^{2a}(M)$ . By Theorem 4.10 (if  $2a < -n + 1$ ) resp. Theorem 4.12 (if  $-n + 1 < 2a < 0$ ) there is a Theorem 4.10 (if  $2a < -n + 1$ ) resp. Theorem 4.12 (if  $-n + 1 \le 2a \le 0$ ) there is a unique  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$
\tau_{2a-1} = \begin{cases} \lambda \operatorname{Tr}_{2a-1} & \text{if } 2a < -n+1, \\ \lambda \operatorname{Res}_{2a-1} & \text{if } -n+1 \le 2a \le 0. \end{cases}
$$

<span id="page-31-0"></span>Putting

$$
\tilde{\tau} = \tau - \lambda \overline{\text{TR}}_a
$$

it follows that  $\tilde{\tau}$  vanishes on  $CL^{2a-1}(M)$  and hence is of the form  $T \circ \pi_a$  for a u[niqu](#page-23-0)e  $T \in (Cl^{a}(M)/Cl^{2a-1}(M))^{*}$  $T \in (CL^{a}(M)/CL^{2a-1}(M))^{*}.$ 

**4.4. Alternative approach to Theorem 4.12.** For this subsection we received considerable help from Sylvie Paycha which is acknowledged with gratitude.

The proof of the uniqueness of the canonical trace TR (Theorem 4.10) relied solely on the results of Section 2 and Theorem 4.1. The proof of the uniqueness of the residue trace (Theorem 4.12), howev[er, re](#page-30-0)lied additionally on Theorem 4.7 and thus on Proposition 4.2 due to Ponge. We will give here an alternative completely self-contained proof of Theorem 4.12 which does not make use of Proposition 4.2.

Given a hypertrace  $\tau$  on  $CL^a(M)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $-n < a \le 0$ , apply Theorem 4.6 with  $-0$ . Then for  $A \in CI^{a-1}(M)$  $m = 0$ . Then for  $A \in CL^{a-1}(M)$ ,

$$
A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P_j, Q_j] + \text{Res}(A) Q + R,
$$

with  $P_i \in CL^0(M)$ ,  $Q_i \in CL^a(M)$  and  $R \in CL^{-\infty}(M)$ . If one can conclude that  $\tau(R) = 0$ , then one can proceed as after (4.11). So we have to prove

**Proposition 4.15.** Let M be a closed Riemannian manifold and for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $-n+1 \leq$  $a \leq 0$ , let  $\tau$  be a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M)$ . Then  $\tau \upharpoonright CL^{-\infty}(M) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(U, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a local coordinate chart of M. Recall that we d[enote](#page-16-0) by  $\text{CS}^a_{\text{comp}}(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  the set of classical symbols of order a on U with U-compact support, and  $\text{CL}^a_{\text{comp}}(U)$  denotes the space of classical pseudodifferential operators of order a on U whose Schwartz kernel has compact support in  $U \times U$ . Any operator in  $CL_{\text{comp}}^{a}(U)$  can be extended by zero to an operator in  $CL^{a}(M)$ , and we have the natural inclusion  $CL_{comp}^{a}(U) \subset CL^{a}(M)$ .<br>Note however that although for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$ 

Note, however, that although for  $\sigma \in CS_{\text{comp}}^a(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  the operator  $Op(\sigma)$  maps  $C_c^{\infty}(U) \to C_c^{\infty}(U)$ , it does not necessarily lie in  $CL_{\text{comp}}^a(U)$ . Below we will take care of this fact by multiplying by some cut-off function from the right.

Let  $\tau \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a Schwartz function with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau(\xi) d\xi = 1$ . By Lemma 2.12 there exist  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \in \text{CS}^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$
\tau = \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{\xi_k} \tau_k.
$$

We note in passing that since the function  $\tau$  has non-vanishing integral, at least one of the functions  $\tau_k$  does not lie in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .<br>Now we also as  $f \in C^\infty(U)$  with f

Next we choose  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$  with  $\int_U f(x)dx = 1$ . Then  $\sigma := f \otimes \tau$ , defined by<br>  $\Rightarrow$   $f(x) = f(x)\tau(\xi)$  is a smoothing symbol with *U*-compact support. Furthermore  $\sigma(x, \xi) := f(x)\tau(\xi)$ , is a smoothing symbol with U-compact support. Furthermore,

$$
\sigma = f \otimes \tau = f \otimes \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{\xi_k} \tau_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{\xi_k} (f \otimes \tau_k), \quad \int_{U \times \mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x, \xi) d\xi dx = 1.
$$

Integration by parts shows that (cf.  $[H\ddot{\text{o}}r03]$ , Thm. 18.1.6, (3.4))

$$
\text{Op}(\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{Op}(\partial_{\xi_k}(f \otimes \tau_k)) = -i \sum_{k=1}^{n} [\text{Op}(x_k), \text{Op}(f \otimes \tau_k)]. \tag{4.12}
$$

Let  $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$  be a function with  $\psi = 1$  in a neighborhood of supp $(f)$ ; then  $- f$  Moreover for all  $k - 1$  n  $\psi f = f$ . Moreover, for all  $k = 1, ..., n$ ,

$$
[Op(x_k), Op(f \otimes \tau_k)] Op(\psi) = [Op(x_k), Op(f \otimes \tau_k) Op(\psi)]
$$
  
= [Op(\psi x\_k), Op(f \otimes \tau\_k) Op(\psi)] + A\_k, (4.13)

with

$$
A_k := \text{Op}(f \otimes \tau_k) \text{Op}(\psi) \text{Op}(x_k) \text{Op}(\psi) - \text{Op}(\psi) \text{Op}(f \otimes \tau_k) \text{Op}(\psi) \text{Op}(x_k)
$$
  
= 
$$
\text{Op}(f \otimes \tau_k) \text{Op}(\psi) \text{Op}(x_k) (\text{Op}(\psi) - 1).
$$
 (4.14)

Here we used that the operator  $Op(x_k)$  commutes with the operator of multiplication by  $\psi$ , Op( $\psi$ ), c[f. Re](#page-28-0)mark 4.4, and that  $\psi f = f$ .

Since  $f \otimes \tau_k \in \text{CS}_{\text{comp}}^a(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ , the operator  $\text{Op}(f \otimes \tau_k) \text{Op}(\psi)$  lies in  $CL_{\text{comp}}^a(U)$ ; similarly,  $\psi x_k \in CS_{\text{comp}}^0(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  and the operator of multiplication by  $\psi x_k$ , Op $(\psi x_k)$ , lies in CL<sub>omp</sub> $(U)$ .

Let  $\tau$  be a hypertrace on CL<sup>a</sup>(M). Then  $\tau$  vanishes on [CL<sub>comp</sub>(U), CL<sub>comp</sub>(U)]. In particular, for all  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ ,

$$
\tau([Op(\psi x_k), Op(f \otimes \tau_k) Op(\psi)]) = 0.
$$

By Proposition 4.9(2), we have  $\tau \upharpoonright CL^{-\infty}(M) = \lambda$  Tr for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Now, since  $u = 1$  pear the support of f, by (4.14) the operator 4, is smoothing and its since  $\Psi = 1$  near the support of f, by (4.14) the operator  $A_k$  is smoothing and its Schwartz kernel vanishes on the diagonal. Hence, its  $L^2$ -trace vanishes and thus also  $\tau(A_k) = \lambda \operatorname{Tr}(A_k) = 0.$ <br>Thus for  $\Omega_{\mathbf{D}}(\sigma) \Omega_{\mathbf{D}}(0)$ 

Thus, for  $Op(\sigma)Op(\psi) \in CL_{comp}^{-\infty}(U)$ , f[rom](#page-28-0) (4.12) and (4.13) we conclude that

$$
\tau(\text{Op}(\sigma)\text{Op}(\psi)) = -i \sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau([\text{Op}(x_k), \text{Op}(f \otimes \tau_k)] \text{Op}(\psi))
$$
  
= 
$$
-i \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\tau([\text{Op}(\psi x_k), \text{Op}(f \otimes \tau_k) \text{Op}(\psi)]) + \tau(A_k))
$$
  
= 0. (4.15)

On the other hand, by  $(3.6)$  and Proposition 4.9 (2),

$$
\tau(\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)\mathrm{Op}(\psi)) = \lambda \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)\mathrm{Op}(\psi)) = \lambda \int_{U \times \mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x,\xi) \, d\xi \, dx = \lambda.
$$

Therefore, by (4.15) we obtain  $\lambda = 0$ .

# **5. Extension to vector bundles**

In this final section we extend the classification of traces and hypertraces to the spaces  $CL^{a}(M, E)$  of pseudodifferential operators acting on sections of the vector bundle E over M.

**5.1. Preliminaries.** Unless otherwise said, in the whol[e sec](#page-23-0)tion M denotes a smooth closed connected Riemannian manifold of d[imensio](#page-40-0)n n. Let  $E \to M$  be a smooth hermitian vector bundle over M. We denote by  $CL^{a}(M, E)$  the space of classical pseudodifferential operators of o[rder](#page-28-0) a acting on the sections of E. CL<sup>a</sup> $(M, E)$  acts naturally as (unbounded) operators on the Hilbert space  $L^2(M, E)$  of square integrable sections of E. The elementary discussion of traces, pretraces and hypertraces in Section 3.2 extends verbatim to  $CL^a(M, E)$ . However, as noted there, we now only have  $[CL^a(M, E), CL^b(M, E)] \subset CL^{a+b}(M, E)$  as opposed to  $[CL^a(M), CL^b(M)] \subset CL^{a+b-1}(M)$  in the scalar case  $F = M \times \mathbb{C}$ . Lemma 4.5 holds with the same proof  $CL^{a+b-1}(M)$  in the scalar case  $E = M \times \mathbb{C}$ . Lemma 4.5 holds with the same proof for CL<sup>•</sup>(*M*, *E*) instead of CL<sup>•</sup>(*M*). Finally, Theorem 4.1 holds for CL<sup>-∞</sup>(*M*, *E*) too; this follows directly from Thm. A.1 of [Gui93], which is stated in a Hilbert space context and is therefore flexible enough.

In sum, also Proposition  $4.9(1)$ –(3) holds accordingly:

# **Proposition 5.1.** *Let*  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ *.*

(1) Any pretrace on  $CL^a(M, E)$  is a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M, E)$ .

(2) If  $\tau$  is a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M, E)$  then there is a unique constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such  $t \tau \upharpoonright CI^{-\infty}(M, E) = \lambda Tr$ *that*  $\tau$   $\uparrow$  $\mathcal{C}L^{-\infty}(M, E) = \lambda \text{ Tr}.$ <br>If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is and  $\tau$  is a

(3) If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  and  $\tau$  is a trace on  $CL^a(M, E)$  then  $\tau \upharpoonright CL^{2a}(M, E)$  is a<br>trace (and hence a hypertrace). Conversely, given a pretrace on  $CL^{2a}(M, E)$ *pretrace* (*and hence a hypertrace*). *Conversely, given a pretrace on*  $CL^{2a}(M, E)$ then any linear extension  $\tilde{\tau}$  of  $\tau$  to  $CL^a(M, E)$  is a trace.

For the analogue of Proposition 4.9 (4) see Proposition 5.5. The main task now is to classify the hypertraces on  $CL^a(M, E)$ .

**5.2. Trivial vector bundles.** Let  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$  be the space of  $(N \times N)$ -matrices with coefficients in  $\mathbb C$ . For all  $i, j = 1, ..., N$ , we denote by  $E_{ij}$  the elementary matrix in  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$  with 1 in the  $(i, j)$ -position and 0 everywhere else. The matrices  $E_{ij}$  form a basis of  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$  and we have

$$
E_{ij}E_{kl}=\delta_{jk}E_{il}.
$$

Let us denote by  $tr_N$  the unique trace on the algebra  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $tr_N(E_{ii}) = 1$ for all  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ .

For a complex vector space V we will tacitly identify  $M_N(V)$  with  $V \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C})$ via

$$
x := (x_{ij})_{i,j} \mapsto \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_{ij} \otimes E_{ij}.
$$

<span id="page-33-0"></span>

<span id="page-34-0"></span>Obviously, we have  $CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N) = M_N (CL^a(M)) \cong CL^a(M) \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C})$ . Here,<br>by slight abuse of notation  $Cl^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$  denotes the space of classical negularities by slight abuse of notation  $CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$  denotes the space of classical pseudodifferential operators acting on the trivial vector bundle  $M \times \mathbb{C}^N$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\tau$  be a linear functional on  $CL^a(M)$ . Then we put

$$
\tau \otimes \operatorname{tr}_N : \operatorname{CL}^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N) \to \mathbb{C},
$$
  

$$
A := (A_{ij})_{i,j} \mapsto \sum_{i,j=1}^N (\tau \otimes \operatorname{tr}_N)(A_{ij} \otimes E_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(A_{ii}).
$$

It is straightforward to check that if  $\tau$  is a hypertrace (pretrace, trace) on  $CL^a(M)$ then  $\tau \otimes \text{tr}_N$  is a hypertrace (pretrace, trace) on  $CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let*  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ *. Then every hypertrace on*  $CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$  *is of the form*  $\tau \otimes \text{tr}_N$  *with a unique hypertrace*  $\tau$  *on*  $CL^a(M)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let T be a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$ . For i,  $j = 1, ..., N$  we put

$$
T_{ij}: \mathrm{CL}^a(M) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad T_{ij}(A) := T(A \otimes E_{ij}).
$$

Since Id  $\in CL^{0}(M, \mathbb{C}^{N})$ , we infer from the hypertrace property

$$
T_{ij}(A) = T(A \otimes E_{ij})
$$
  
=  $T((A \otimes E_{i1})(id \otimes E_{1j}))$   
=  $T((id \otimes E_{1j}) (A \otimes E_{i1}))$   
=  $\delta_{ij} T_{11}(A),$ 

thus  $T_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $T_{11} = T_{22} = \cdots = T_{NN} =: \tau$ .<br>  $\tau$  is a hypertrace on CI  $^a(M)$ . Namely, for  $A \in \text{CI}^a(M)$  $\tau$  is a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M)$ . Namely, for  $A \in CL^a(M)$ ,  $B \in CL^0(M)$  we have

$$
\tau(AB) = T((AB) \otimes E_{11})
$$
  
=  $T((A \otimes E_{11})(B \otimes E_{11}))$   
=  $T((B \otimes E_{11})(A \otimes E_{11}))$   
=  $\tau(BA)$ .

Certainly, we have  $T = \tau \otimes \text{tr}_N$ .<br>For the uniqueness we only

For the uniqueness we only have to note that if  $T = \tau \otimes \text{tr}_N$ , then  $\tau(A) =$ <br> $A \otimes F_{AA}$  $T(A \otimes E_{11}).$ 

**5.3. General vector bundles.** Let E be a vector bundle over M. By Swan's Theorem there is a positive integer N, such that E is a direct summand of  $M \times \mathbb{C}^N$ ; let  $e \in M_N(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M)) = \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M, M_N(\mathbb{C}))$  be a smooth projection onto E. Then the  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -module of smooth sections of E is given by

$$
\Gamma^{\infty}(M, E) \cong e(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M)^{N}).
$$
\n(5.1)

Note that since we assumed  $M$  to be connected (cf. Section 5.1), the idempotent valued function e has constant rank.

The following lemma is well known. Since we could not find a place where it is stated as needed we provide, for convenience, a quick proof:

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let*  $A := C^{\infty}(M, M_N(\mathbb{C}))$ *. Then*  $A e A = A$ *. Equivalently there exist*  $p_j, q_j \in C^\infty(M, M_N(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, r$ , *such that* 

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{r} p_j e q_j = 1_M \otimes I_N,
$$
\n(5.2)

*where*  $1_M$  *denotes the function which is constant* 1 *on* M *and*  $I_N$  *is the*  $N \times N$  *identity matrix.*

*Proof.* It obviously suffices to prove eq.  $(5.2)$ . Choose a finite partition of unity  $\psi_j$ ,  $j = 1, ..., s$ , smooth functions  $\chi_j \in C^{\infty}(M)$  such that  $\chi_j = 1$  in a neighborhood of supp $(\psi_j)$  and such that in a neighborhood  $U_j$  of supp $(\chi_j)$  there is a smooth map  $v: U_j \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$
v e v^{-1} = e_k := \begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Choose  $(N \times N)$ -matrices  $a_l, b_l, l = 1, \ldots, t$ , with

$$
\sum_{l=1}^t a_l e_k b_l = I_N.
$$

We tacitly view  $a_l$ ,  $b_l$  also as constant matrix valued functions on M. Slightly abusing notation we now find the decomposition

$$
1_M \otimes I_N = \sum_{j=1}^s \psi_j \chi_j \otimes I_N
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{l=1}^t \psi_j v^{-1} a_l e_k b_l v \chi_j
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{l=1}^t (\psi_j v^{-1} a_l v) e(v^{-1} b_l v \chi_j).
$$

For a linear functional  $\tau$  on  $CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$  we now put

$$
\tau_E(A) := \tau(eAe). \tag{5.3}
$$

This definition depends on the choice of the idempotent  $e$  and is therefore not canonical. As in the scalar case if  $CL^a(M, E) \subset CL^b(M, E)$  we write  $\tau_{E,a} := \tau_E \upharpoonright CL^a(M, E)$  $CL^a(M, E).$ 

<span id="page-35-0"></span>

<span id="page-36-0"></span>Both the canonical trace TR and the residue trace Res are naturally defined on  $CL<sup>*</sup>(M, E)$  for any vector bundle E (cf. [Les99]). To distinguish them let us for the moment denote by TR<sup>(N)</sup>, Res<sup>(N)</sup> the corresponding functionals on  $CL^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{C}^{N})$ and by TR<sup> $(E)$ </sup>, Res<sup> $(E)$ </sup> the corresponding [func](#page-20-0)tionals on CL<sup> $\bullet$ </sup>(*M*, *E*).

Then one immediately checks that

$$
TR^{(N)} = TR \otimes tr_N, \quad TR^{(E)} = (TR \otimes tr_N)_E,
$$
  

$$
Res^{(N)} = Res \otimes tr_N, \quad Res^{(E)} = (Res \otimes tr_N)_E,
$$

hence TR and Res are compatible with the operations  $\tau \mapsto \tau \otimes \text{tr}_N$  and  $\tau \mapsto \tau_E$  $\tau \mapsto \tau_E$  in the most natural way. the most natural way.

From now on we will write TR<sub>E</sub> for TR<sup>(E)</sup>, and Res<sub>E</sub> for Res<sup>(E)</sup>. A confusion with the notation introduced in Definition 3.1 should not arise.

We also extend the linear functional Tr of Definition  $4.8$  to  $CL^{0}(M, E)$  by defining

$$
\widetilde{\rm Tr}_E := (\widetilde{\rm Tr} \otimes {\rm tr}_N)_E.
$$

Since Tr is not a trace, this definition may depend on the choice of the idempotent  $e$ , hence is not canonical; but Tr already depended on a choice.

Finally we put  $\overline{\text{TR}}_{E,a} := (\overline{\text{TR}}_a \otimes \text{tr}_N)_E$  on  $CL^a(M, E)$ . From Section 4.3 we see

$$
\overline{\text{TR}}_{E,a} := \begin{cases}\n\text{TR}_{E,a} & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -n}, \\
\widetilde{\text{Tr}}_{E,a} & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Z}, \ -n \le a < \frac{-n+1}{2}, \\
\text{Res}_{E,a} & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \frac{-n+1}{2} \le a.\n\end{cases} \tag{5.4}
$$

**Proposition 5.5.** (1) Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\tau$  be a hypertrace (resp. pretrace, trace)<br>on  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{R}}$  a(M  $\mathbb{C}^N$ ) Then  $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$ ;  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{R}}$  a(M  $\mathbb{R}$ )  $\to \mathbb{C}$  a( $\to \tau(eAe)$ ) is a hypertrace *on*  $CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$ *. Then*  $\tau_E$ :  $CL^a(M, E) \to \mathbb{C}$ *, A*  $\mapsto \tau(eAe)$ *, is a hypertrace* (*resp. pretrace trace*) on  $CH^a(M, E)$ (*resp. pretrace, trace*) *on*  $CL^a(M, E)$ *.* 

(2) *Any hypertrace on*  $CL^a(M, E)$  *is of the form*  $(\tau \otimes \text{tr}_N)_E$  *for a unique hyper-*<br> $ce \tau$  on  $CL^a(M)$ *trace*  $\tau$  on  $CL^a(M)$ *.* 

3. *For*  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ ,  $\overline{\text{TR}}_{E,a}$  *is a trace on*  $CL^a(M, E)$ *. For*  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathbb{Z} \cap [-n+1, -n/2])$ *it is a pretrace* (*and hence a hypertrace*)*.*

*Proof.* (1) To prove that the [line](#page-35-0)ar functional  $\tau_E$  is a hypertrace consider  $A \in$ <br>CI<sup>q</sup>(M E)  $B \in$  CI<sup>q</sup>(M E) Then  $CL^a(M, E), B \in CL^0(M, E).$  Then

$$
\tau_E(AB) = \tau(eABe) = \tau((eAe)(eBe)) = \tau((eBe)(eAe)) = \tau(eBAe) = \tau_E(BA).
$$

Note that  $eAe \in CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N), eBe \in CL^0(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$ . Repeating the argument with  $A, B \in CL^{a/2}(M, E)$  shows that if  $\tau$  is a pretrace then so is  $\tau_E$ . Similarly if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ <br>and  $\tau$  is a trace, then  $\tau_E$  is a trace and  $\tau$  is a trace, then  $\tau_E$  is a trace.<br>(2) Conversely, let T be a hyper-

(2) Conversely, let T be a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M, E)$ . We choose  $p_j, q_j, j =$  $1, \ldots, r$ , according to Lemma 5.4. We will repeatedly use that multiplication by  $p_j$ ,  $q_j$  is in CL<sup>0</sup>(*M*,  $\mathbb{C}^N$ ) resp. that multiplication by *ep<sub>j</sub>e*, *eq<sub>j</sub>e* is in CL<sup>0</sup>(*M*, *E*).

Suppose we had a hypertrace  $\overline{T}$  on  $CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$  such that  $T_E = T$ . Then, for  $A \in CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N),$ 

$$
\tilde{T}(A) = \tilde{T}((1_M \otimes I_N)A)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{r} \tilde{T}(p_j e q_j A)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{r} \tilde{T}(p_j e^2 q_j A)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{r} \tilde{T}(e q_j A p_j e)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{r} \tilde{T}(e^2 q_j A p_j e^2)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{r} T(e q_j A p_j e).
$$
\n(5.5)

Thus there is at most such a  $\overline{T}$ . We now *define*  $\overline{T}$  by the right-hand side of eq. (5.5). We have  $T_E = T$ . Indeed, [for](#page-35-0)  $A \in CL^a(M, E)$ ,

$$
\widetilde{T}_E(A) = \widetilde{T}(eAe)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^r T((eq_jeAe)(ep_je))
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^r T(ep_jeq_jeAe) = T(eAe) = T(A).
$$

In the last line we used eq.  $(5.2)$ .

Next we show that T is a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$ . For  $A \in CL^a(M, \mathbb{C}^N)$ ,<br>E CL<sup>0</sup>(M  $\mathbb{C}^N$ ) we find using eq. (5.2)  $B \in CL^{0}(M, \mathbb{C}^{N})$  we find using eq. (5.2),

$$
\widetilde{T}(AB) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} T(eq_jA(1_M \otimes I_N)Bp_je)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j,k=1}^{r} T(eq_jAp_keq_kBp_je)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j,k=1}^{r} T(eq_kBp_jeq_jAp_ke)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{r} T(eq_kBAp_ke) = \widetilde{T}(BA).
$$

By Proposition 5.3 there is now a unique hypertrace  $\tau$  on CL<sup>a</sup>(*M*) such that  $T = \tau \otimes \text{tr}_N$ . Then we conclude that  $T = \widetilde{T}_E = (\tau \otimes \text{tr}_N)_E$ . Recall that  $\widetilde{T}$  is uniquely

<span id="page-38-0"></span>determined by T and  $\tau$  is uniquely determined by  $\overline{T}$ , whence  $\tau$  is uniquely determined by  $T$ .

(3) follows from  $(1)$ , eq.  $(5.4)$  and Proposition 4.9.  $\Box$ 

Before stating the final result, we have to clarify what leading symbol traces on  $CL^{a}(M, E)$  look like. For the moment consider a closed manifold X with a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$ . We can construct traces on the noncommutative algebra  $\Gamma^{\infty}(X, \text{End } E)$  as follows: first the fiberwise trace induces a linear map

$$
\operatorname{tr}_E: \Gamma^\infty(X, \operatorname{End} E) \to \operatorname{C}^\infty(X), \quad \operatorname{tr}_E(s)(x) = \operatorname{tr}_{E_x}(s(x)).
$$

The trace tr<sub>E</sub> vanishes on commutators[.](#page-29-0) Thus for any  $T \in (C^{\infty}(X))^*$  the co[mposi](#page-30-0)tion  $T \circ \text{tr } F$  is a trace on  $\Gamma^{\infty}(Y \text{ End } F)$  $T \circ \text{tr}_E$  is a [trace](#page-33-0) [on](#page-36-0)  $\Gamma^\infty(X, \text{End } E)$ .

It is straightforward to see that indeed all traces on  $\Gamma^{\infty}(X; \text{End } E)$  are of this form. Since we will not use this fact, we leave the details of proof to the reader:

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let* X *be a closed manifold and let* E *be a vector bundle over* X*. Then for any trace*  $\tau$  *on*  $\Gamma^{\infty}(X, \text{End } E)$  $\Gamma^{\infty}(X, \text{End } E)$  $\Gamma^{\infty}(X, \text{End } E)$  *there is a unique distribution*  $T \in (\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(X))^*$ <br>such that  $\tau = T \circ \text{tr } \tau$ . such that  $\tau = T \circ \text{tr}_E$ .

The final result is now a consequence of Theorems 4.10, 4.12, Corollary 4.13, and Propositions 5.1, 5.5.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let* M *be a closed connected Riemannian manifold of dimension*  $n>1$  and let E be a complex vector bundle over M. Denote by  $\Pi: E \to M$  the *projection map, by*  $\sigma_a$ :  $CL^a(M, E) \to \Gamma^\infty(S^*M, \Pi^* \text{End } E)$  *the leading symbol* map, and by true the fiberwise trace  $\Gamma^\infty(S^*M, \Pi^* \text{End } F) \to \Gamma^\infty(S^*M)$ . Fix N *map, and by*  $\text{tr}_E$  *the fiberwise trace*  $\Gamma^\infty(S^*M, \Pi^* \text{End } E) \to \Gamma^\infty(S^*M)$ *. Fix* N and an idempotent e as in eq. (5.1) and let  $\overline{\text{TP}}$   $\Gamma$ , be as defined in eq. (5.1) *and an idempotent e as in eq.* (5.1) *and let*  $\overline{\text{TR}}_{E,a}$  *be as defined in eq.* (5.4)*.* 

(1) Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\tau$  be a hypertrace on  $CL^a(M, E)$ . Then there are uniquely<br>expand  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and a distribution  $T \in (C^\infty(S^*M))^*$  such that determined  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and a distribution  $T \in (C^{\infty}(S^*M))^*$  such that

$$
\tau = T \circ \text{tr}_E \circ \sigma_a + \begin{cases} \lambda \overline{\text{TR}}_{E,a} & \text{if } a \notin \mathbb{Z}_{>-n}, \\ \lambda \operatorname{Res}_{E,a} & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>-n}. \end{cases} \tag{5.6}
$$

(2) Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  and denote by

$$
\pi_a\colon CL^a(M, E) \to CL^a(M, E)/CL^{2a}(M, E)
$$

*the quotient map. Furthermore, let*

$$
\theta_a
$$
: CL<sup>*a*</sup>(*M*, *E*)/ CL<sup>2*a*</sup>(*M*, *E*)  $\rightarrow$  CL<sup>*a*</sup>(*M*, *E*)

*be a right inverse to*  $\pi_a$ .

Let  $\tau: CL^a(M, E) \to \mathbb{C}$  be a trace. Then there are uniquely determined  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,<br> $\tau: (C^\infty(S^*M))^*$  and  $\Phi \in (CI^a(M, E)/CI^{2a}(M, E))^*$  such that  $T \in (\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(S^*M))^*$  and  $\Phi \in (\mathbb{C}L^a(M, E)/\mathbb{C}L^{2a}(M, E))^*$  such that

$$
\tau = \lambda \text{TR}_{E,a} + T \circ \text{tr}_E \circ \sigma_{2a}(\text{id} - \theta_a \circ \pi_a) + \Phi \circ \pi_a.
$$

In the first line of eq. (5.6) the case  $a = -n$  is included, thus we write  $\overline{\text{TR}}_{E,a}$ instead of TR $_{E,a}$  there.

*Proof.* The right inverse  $\theta_a$  can be constructed successively from the map Op, cf. Remark 4.14.

(1) By Proposition 5.5 [ther](#page-36-0)e is a unique hypertrace  $\tilde{\tau}$  on  $CL^a(M)$  such that  $\tau$ <br> $\tilde{\tau}$  try  $\tilde{\tau}$ . The claim now follows from Theorem 4.10 and Theorem 4.12 appl  $(\tilde{\tau} \otimes \text{tr}_N)_E$ . The claim now follows from Theorem 4.10 and Theorem 4.12 applied<br>to  $\tilde{\tau}$ . Note that  $T \circ \text{tr}_{\tilde{\tau}} \circ \sigma = ((T \circ \sigma) \otimes \text{tr}_N)_F$  of eq. (5.3) and Definition 5.2 to  $\tilde{\tau}$ . Note that  $T \circ \text{tr}_E \circ \sigma_a = ((T \circ \sigma_a) \otimes \text{tr}_N)_E$ , cf. eq. (5.3) and Definition 5.2.<br>(2) Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  as By Proposition 5.1,  $\tau \upharpoonright C1^{2a}(M, E)$  is a hypertrace. Thus by

(2) Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ . By Proposition 5.1,  $\tau \upharpoonright CL^{2a}(M, E)$  is a hypertrace. Thus, by we have (1) we have

$$
\tau \upharpoonright CL^{2a}(M, E) = T \circ \text{tr}_E \circ \sigma_{2a} + \begin{cases} \lambda \overline{\text{TR}}_{E, 2a} & \text{if } 2a \le -n, \\ \lambda \operatorname{Res}_{E, 2a} & \text{if } 2a > -n. \end{cases} \tag{5.7}
$$

We emphasize that by eq.  $(5.4)$ 

$$
\overline{\text{TR}}_{E,a} \upharpoonright \text{CL}^{2a}(M,E) = \begin{cases} \lambda \overline{\text{TR}}_{E,2a} & \text{if } 2a \le -n, \\ \lambda \text{ Res}_{E,2a} & \text{if } 2a > -n. \end{cases} \tag{5.8}
$$

Consider, for  $A \in CL^a(M, E)$ ,

$$
\tilde{\tau}(A) := \tau(A) - \lambda \overline{\text{TR}}_{E,a}(A) - T \circ \text{tr}_E \circ \sigma_{2a}(A - \theta_a \circ \pi_a(A)).
$$

Then due to eq. (5.8) and eq. (5.7) the functi[on](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=658304)al  $\tilde{\tau}$  [vanishes](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0496.55001) on  $CL^{2a}(M, E)$  $CL^{2a}(M, E)$  and thus<br>is of the form  $\Phi \circ \pi$ , with  $\Phi \in (Cl^{a}(M, E)/Cl^{2a}(M, E))^*$ . Then  $\tau = \Phi \circ \pi + \tilde{\tau}$ is of the form  $\Phi \circ \pi_a$  with  $\Phi \in (CL^a(M, E)/CL^{2a}(M, E))^*$ . Then  $\tau = \Phi \circ \pi_a + \tilde{\tau}$ and the th[eorem](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0646.58026) [is](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0646.58026) [prove](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0646.58026)[d.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=920951)

# **References**

- [BoTu82] [R. Bott and L.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1419415) W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*. Gra[d.](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0877.58005) [Texts](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0877.58005) [in](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0877.58005) [Math.](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0877.58005) 82, Springer-Verlag, New York 1982. Zbl 0496.55001 MR 658304
- [BrGe87] J.-L. Brylinski and E. Getzler, The homology of algebras of pseudo-differential [symbols and t](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0851.58043)[he noncomm](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1353307)utative residue. K*-Theory* **1** (1987), 385–403. Zbl 0646.58026 MR 920951
- [CdS01] A. Cannas da Silva, *Lectures on symplectic geometry*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1764, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2001. Zbl 1016.53001 MR 1853077,
- [FGLS96] B.V. Fedosov, F. Golse, E. Leichtnam, and E. Schrohe,The noncommutative residue for manifolds with boundary. *J. Funct. Anal.* **142** (1996), 1–31. Zbl 0877.58005 MR 1419415
- [GrSe95] G. Grubb and R. T. Seeley, Weakly parametric pseudodifferential operators and Atiyah-Patodi-Singer boundary problems. *Invent. Math.* **121** (1995), 481–529. Zbl 0851.58043 MR 1353307

<span id="page-39-0"></span>

- <span id="page-40-0"></span>[Gui85] V. Guillemin, A new proof of Weyl's formula on the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues. *Adv. in Math.* **55** (198[5\), 131–160.](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0920.58061) Zbl [0559.58025 M](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1373003)R 772612
- [Gui93] V. Guillemin, Residue traces for certain algebras of Fourier integral operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* **115** (1993), 391–417. Zbl 0791.35162 MR 1234397
- [Hör71] [L. Hörmander,](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0920.58047) [Fourier integr](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1675408)al operators. I. *Acta Math.* **127** (1971), 79–183. Zbl 0212.46601 MR 0388463
- [Hör03] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I*. Classics Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2003. [Zbl 1028.3500](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1218.58018)[1 MR 199677](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2762524)3
- [KoVi95] M. Kontsevich and S. Vishik, Geometry of determinants of elliptic operators. In *Functional analysis on the eve of the 21st century*, Vol. 1, Progr. Math. 131, [Birkhäuser, Bost](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1193.58018)[on 1995, 173–](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2325320)197. Zbl 0920.58061 MR 1373003
- [Les99] M. Lesch, On the noncommutative residue for pseudodifferential operators with log-polyhomogeneous symbols. *Ann. Global [Anal. Geom.](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1132.58018)* **17** [\(1999\), 151–](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2358517)187. Zbl 0920.58047 MR 1675408
- [Les10] M. Lesch, Pseudodifferential operators and regularized traces. In *Motives, quantum field theory, and pseudodifferential operators*, Clay Math. Proc. [12, Amer. Math.](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1246.58001) [Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, 37–72.](http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/2010/2214/2214.htm) Zbl 1218.58018 MR 2762524
- [LePa07] J.-M. Lescure and S. Paycha, Uniqueness of multiplicative determinants on elliptic pseudodifferential operators. *Proc. [London Math. S](http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.2552)oc.* (3) **94** (2007), 772–812. Zbl 1193.58018 MR 2325320
- [MSS08] L. Maniccia, E. Schrohe, and J. Seiler, Uniqueness of the Kontsevich-Vishik trace. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (20[08\), 747–752.](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1064.58023) Z[bl 1132.58018](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2104357) MR 2358517
- [NJ10] C. Neira Jiménez, Cohomology of classes of symbols and classification of traces on corresp[onding classes](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1194.58029) [of operators](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2753289) with non positive order. Doctoral dissertation, Universität Bonn, Bonn 2010. Zbl 1246.58001 http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/2010/2214/2214.htm
- [Pay07] S. Paycha, The noncommutative residue and can[onical](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1216.35192) [trace](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1216.35192) [in](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1216.35192) [the](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1216.35192) [light](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2683288) [of](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2683288) [Stoke](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2683288)s' and continuity prop[erties. Preprint,](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0980.35180) a[rXiv:0706.255](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1852334)2 [math.OA].
- [PaRo04] S. Paycha and S. Rosenberg, Traces and characteristic classes on loop spaces. In *Infinite dimensional groups and manifolds*, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys. 5, de Gruyter, [Berlin](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0538.58038) [2004,](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0538.58038) [185–](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0538.58038)212. [Zbl](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=728144) [106](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=728144)4.58023 MR 2104357
- [Pon10] R. Ponge, Traces on pseudodifferential operators and sums of commutators. *J. Anal. Math.* **110** (2010), 1–30. Zbl 1194.58029 MR 2753289
- [Sco10] S. Scott, *[Traces](http://math.berkeley.edu/~wodzicki/Publications.html) [and](http://math.berkeley.edu/~wodzicki/Publications.html) [determinants](http://math.berkeley.edu/~wodzicki/Publications.html) [of](http://math.berkeley.edu/~wodzicki/Publications.html) [pseudodifferentia](http://math.berkeley.edu/~wodzicki/Publications.html)l operators*. Oxford Math. Monogr., Oxford University Press, Oxford 2010. Zbl 1216.35192 MR 2683288
- [Shu01] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*. 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2001. Zbl 0980.35180 MR 1852334
- [Wod84] M. Wodzicki, Local invariants of spectral asymmetry. *Invent. Math.* **75** (1984), 143–177. Zbl 0538.58038 MR 728144
- [Wod87a] M. Wodzicki, Report on the cyclic homology of symbols. Manuscript, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton 1987. http://math.berkeley.edu/~wodzicki/Publications.html



[Wod87b] M. Wodzicki, Noncommutative residue. I. Fundamentals. In *K-theory, arithmetic and geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1289, Springer, Berlin 1987, 320–399. Zbl 0649.58033 MR 923140

Received December 9, 2010; revised March 23, 2011

M. Lesch, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany

E-mail: lesch@math.uni-bonn.de

C. Neira Jiménez, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, Universitätsstraße 31

31, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

E-mail: Carolina.Neira-Jimenez@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

<span id="page-41-0"></span>