J. Noncommut. Geom. 8 (2014), 541–586 DOI 10.4171/JNCG/164

# The space of Penrose tilings and the noncommutative curve with homogeneous coordinate ring $k\langle x, y \rangle/(y^2)$

## S. Paul Smith\*

**Abstract.** It is shown that the noncommutative algebraic curve with homogeneous coordinate ring  $\mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle/(y^2)$  is a noncommutative algebraic-geometric analogue of the space of Penrose tilings of the plane. Individual tilings determine "points" on the noncommutative curve and the tilings coincide under isometry if and only if the skyscraper sheaves of the corresponding points are isomorphic. The category of quasi-coherent sheaves on the curve is equivalent to the category of modules over a von Neumann regular ring that is a direct limit of finite dimensional semisimple algebras. The norm closure of this von Neumann regular ring is the AF-algebra that Connes associates to the space of Penrose tilings. There is an algebraic analogue of the fact that every isometry-invariant subset of tilings is dense in the set of all Penrose tilings.

*Mathematics Subject Classification* (2010). 14A22, 16E20, 16E50, 16S38, 16W50, 19K14, 52C20, 52C22, 58B34.

*Keywords*. Homogeneous coordinate ring, noncommutative algebraic geometry, Penrose tiling, aperiodic tilings, AF-algebra, Grothendieck group.

## Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Some general results	7
3	The algebra $k\langle x, y \rangle / (y^{r+1})$	3
4	Proof of Theorem 1.1	7
5	Properties of S and consequences for QGr B	1
6	Multi-matrix algebras associated to partitioned sets	3
7	The Grothendieck group of $qgr B \dots 570$	0
8	The perspective of noncommutative algebraic geometry 57	9
Ap	pendix A: Ratio set	1
Ap	pendix B: Classification of all point modules for $\mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle / (y^2)$	3
References		4

<sup>\*</sup>The author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation, Award No. 0602347.

## 1. Introduction

Let k be a field and k(x, y) the free algebra on x and y.

Let  $B := k \langle x, y \rangle / (y^2)$  have the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading deg  $x = \deg y = 1$ .

The Fibonacci sequence  $(f_n)_n$  is defined by  $f_0 = f_1 = 1$  and  $f_{n+1} := f_n + f_{n-1}$  for  $n \ge 1$ .

**1.1.** This paper concerns the algebras  $k \langle x, y \rangle / (y^{r+1})$  for  $r \ge 1$ . For simplicity we will only discuss the case r = 1 in this introduction, that being the case related to Penrose tilings. However, all results in this introduction extend to  $r \ge 2$ . When  $r \ge 2$  the space of Penrose tilings can be replaced either by (a) the space of (0,1)-sequences having at most r consecutive 1's modulo the equivalence relation that two such sequences are equivalent if they are eventually the same or by (b) an associated space of aperiodic tilings in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . Many papers discuss the connection between (a) and (b) but [4] is a good reference for those interested in noncommutative algebraic geometry because it emphasizes the relationship with infinite paths in Bratteli diagrams and equality between the tails of such paths is related to equivalence of tilings under isometry and to isomorphisms between the images of point modules in the quotient category QGr *B* (this terminology and notation is explained in Sections 1.4 and 1.5).

**1.2.** Penrose tilings are defined in Appendix A. The appendix gives a precise description of the relation between Penrose tilings and (0, 1)-sequences.

**1.3.** The space of Penrose tilings of the plane is an instructive example showing that noncommutative algebras can capture important features of geometric objects that are not susceptible to the usual topological, analytic, and differential geometric, tools. A nice account of this example appears in Connes book [10], Sect. II.3. Using a general principle that we elaborate on in Section 6, Connes associates to the space of Penrose tilings the C\*-algebra

$$\overline{S} := \varinjlim_n (S_n, \phi_n),$$

the direct limit in the category of C\*-algebras of the finite dimensional C\*-algebras

$$S_n := M_{f_n}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{f_{n-1}}(\mathbb{C})$$

where the maps  $\phi_n : S_n \to S_{n+1}$  in the directed system are

$$\phi_n(a,b) := \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, a \right).$$

**1.4.** The main result. Noncommutative *algebraic* geometry concerns abelian categories that behave like categories of quasi-coherent sheaves on algebraic varieties. We

view *B* as a homogeneous coordinate ring of a "noncommutative scheme",  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$ . By fiat, the category of "quasi-coherent sheaves" on  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$  is

$$\operatorname{QGr} B := \frac{\operatorname{Gr} B}{\operatorname{Fdim} B},$$

the quotient of the category  $\operatorname{Gr} B$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded left *B*-modules modulo the Serre subcategory of modules that are unions of their finite dimensional submodules.

By definition, the objects in QGr B are the same as those in Gr B but there are more isomorphisms in QGr B. For example, every finite dimensional graded B-module is isomorphic to the zero object in QGr B.

We write  $\mathcal{O}$  for *B* as an object in QGr *B*. It is the "structure sheaf" for  $\operatorname{Proj}_{\operatorname{nc}} B$ . (If *R* is the commutative polynomial ring on *n* variables with its standard grading, then QGr *R* is equivalent to Qcoh  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on projective (n-1)-space, and *R* does correspond to the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ .)

To avoid confusion about which category an object belongs to we introduce notation for the canonical quotient functor, namely

$$\beta^*$$
: Gr  $B \to QGr B$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{O} = \beta^* B$ .

Since *B* is a coherent ring (Proposition 3.2), Gr *B* and QGr *B* are locally coherent categories. Let gr *B* be the full subcategory of Gr *B* consisting of finitely presented modules. It is an abelian subcategory and is closed under extensions in Gr *B*. Let fdim *B* be the full subcategory of Gr *B* consisting of finite dimensional modules. A finite dimensional graded module is finitely presented because all its composition factors are isomorphic to the finitely presented module  $B/B_{\geq 1}$ . Thus fdim  $B = \text{gr } B \cap \text{Fdim } B$ . We write

$$\operatorname{qgr} B := \frac{\operatorname{gr} B}{\operatorname{fdim} B}$$

and note that qgr B is equivalent to the full subcategory of QGr B consisting of finitely presented, in the categorical sense, objects. We think of qgr B as the category of "coherent sheaves" on  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let *S* be the direct limit in the category of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras of the directed system  $(S_n, \phi_n)$  in Section 1.3. (The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\overline{S}$  is the norm closure of *S*.) Let Mod *S* be the category of right *S*-modules and mod *S* its full subcategory of finitely presented right *S*-modules. There is an equivalence of categories

$$\operatorname{QGr}\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}\langle x, y\rangle}{(y^2)}\right) \equiv \operatorname{Mod} S$$

that restricts to an equivalence between  $qgr(\mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle/(y^2))$  and mod S. Under the equivalence  $\mathcal{O}$  corresponds to S.

The ring S is a simple von Neumann regular algebra. It is left (and right) coherent because it is a direct limit of coherent rings and the homomorphisms in the directed system make each ring flat as a right module over the earlier rings in the directed system. Thus, independently of the equivalence of categories, one knows that Mod S is a locally coherent category and mod S is an abelian category.

The field  $\mathbb{C}$  is of no importance in proving Theorem 1.1. There is a version of Theorem 1.1 for every field k. Given Proposition 8.2, it could be argued that  $k = \mathbb{F}_2$  is the most natural choice when considering the relation between  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$  and the space of Penrose tilings.

#### **Corollary 1.2.** *Every object in* qgr *B is injective and projective.*

The proof is straightforward: all modules over a von Neumann regular ring are flat [15], Cor. 1.13, so finitely presented S-modules are projective. But mod S is equivalent to qgr B so every object in qgr B is projective and every short exact sequence in qgr B splits.

Because S is a von Neumann regular ring of countable dimension every left ideal in it is countably generated and therefore projective [15], Cor. 2.15. This implies that all subobjects of  $\mathcal{O}$ , not just the finitely presented ones, are projective.

**1.5.** Point modules, "points" in  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$ , and Penrose tilings. As in algebraic geometry, certain simple objects in QGr *B* are thought of as "skyscraper sheaves" at "points" of  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$ .

In [1], a graded *B*-module *M* is called a *point module* if dim<sub>k</sub>  $M_n = 1$  for all  $n \ge 0$  and  $M = BM_0$ . Since *B* is generated as a *k*-algebra by  $B_1$ , every non-zero submodule of a point module *M* has finite codimension so *M* is simple as an object in QGr *B*.

A *Penrose sequence* is a sequence  $z = z_0 z_1 \dots$  of 0's and 1's having no consecutive 1's. We write **P** for the set of Penrose sequences. Each  $z \in \mathbf{P}$  determines a Penrose tiling  $\mathcal{T}_z$  and every tiling is obtained in this way (see Grünbaum and Shepard [16], 10.5.9, and Appendix A below). Penrose sequences z and z' are *equivalent*, denoted by  $z \sim z'$ , if there is an m such that  $z_n = z'_n$  for all  $n \ge m$ . We denote this by  $z_{\ge m} = z'_{\ge m}$ . Given a Penrose sequence z we define the point module

$$M_z := ke_0 \oplus ke_1 \oplus \cdots, \quad \deg e_i = i,$$

by

$$x \cdot e_i = (1 - z_i)e_{i+1}$$
 and  $y \cdot e_i = z_i e_{i+1}$ .

Since  $y^2 \cdot e_i = z_i z_{i+1} e_{i+2} = 0$  because  $z_i z_{i+1} \neq 11$ ,  $M_z$  is a graded *B*-module. We write  $\mathcal{O}_z$  for  $M_z$  viewed as an object in QGr *B*; i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}_z = \beta^* M_z$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** If z is a Penrose sequence, then  $\mathcal{O}_z$  is a simple object in QGr B and the following are equivalent:

The space of Penrose tilings and  $k\langle x, y \rangle / (y^2)$ 

- (1)  $\mathcal{O}_z \cong \mathcal{O}_{z'};$
- (2)  $z \sim z';$
- (3) there is an isometry  $\sigma$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\sigma(\mathcal{T}_z) = \mathcal{T}_{z'}$ .

The equivalence between (1) and (2) is routine: point modules M and M' are isomorphic as objects in QGr B if and only if  $M_{\geq n} \cong M'_{\geq n}$  for some n; but  $(M_z)_{\geq n} \cong (M_{z'})_{\geq n}$  if and only if  $z_{\geq n} = z'_{\geq n}$ . The equivalence between (2) and (3) can be found in [16], 10.5.9, for example.

**1.5.1. Degree shift and Serre twist.** If *M* is a graded *B*-module and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define the graded module M(n) to be *M* as a *B*-module but with the grading  $M(n)_i := M_{n+i}$ . Each (n) is an automorphism of Gr *B* and descends to an automorphism of QGr *B* that we denote by  $\mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}(n)$  and call a *Serre twist*.

There is an automorphism  $\sigma$  of **P** defined by  $\sigma(z_0 z_1 z_2 \dots) := z_1 z_2 \dots$  It is clear that  $M_z(1)_{\geq 0} = M_{\sigma(z)}$ , whence

$$\mathcal{O}_z(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\sigma(z)}.$$

The unique  $\mathcal{O}_z$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_z \cong \mathcal{O}_z(1)$  corresponds to the cart-wheel tiling [16], 10.5.14. This  $\mathcal{O}_z$  is the structure sheaf of the classical point  $\operatorname{Proj} B/(y)$  on  $\operatorname{Proj}_{\operatorname{nc}} B$ .

**1.6.** Topology of P and algebraic properties of QGr B. We establish a nice parallel between properties of  $Proj_{nc} B$  and the topological structure of P and  $P/\sim$ .

The usual topology on **P** is the subspace topology inherited from its being a subset of  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  endowed with the product topology. It is also the topology induced by the metric

$$d(z, z') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |z_n - z'_n|.$$

Motivated by Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, and the common view that certain C\*-algebras that are direct limits of semisimple  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras are the "function rings" for appropriate topological spaces of tilings, we prove several results that support the view that Proj<sub>nc</sub> *B* is a noncommutative algebraic-geometric version of the space of Penrose tilings.

**1.6.1. Dimension.** Since  $P/\sim$  has the coarse topology its topological dimension is zero. This might be seen as corresponding to the fact that every short exact sequence in qgr *B* splits (Corollary 1.2). (A reduced scheme *X* has this property if and only if it has dimension 0.)

**1.6.2. Density.** A striking feature of Penrose tilings is that every finite region in one tiling appears infinitely often in *every* tiling. A consequence of this is that every equivalence class of tilings, more precisely, every equivalence class of Penrose

sequences, is dense in  $\mathbf{P}$ . In Section 8.3 we explain why the following result is an algebraic analogue of this density result.

**Proposition 1.4.** If  $z, z' \in \mathbf{P}$ , then  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\operatorname{OGr} B}(\mathcal{O}_{z}, \mathcal{O}_{z'}) \neq 0$ .

Proposition 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.1 and Herbera's result that  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(U, V) \neq 0$  for all simple modules U and V over a simple non-artinian von Neumann regular algebra R having countable dimension over its center [17], Prop. 2.7.

**1.6.3.** Closed subspaces. Because every equivalence class in **P** is dense in **P**, the only closed sets in  $P/\sim$  with respect to the quotient topology are itself and the empty set.

There is a notion of a closed subspace in noncommutative algebraic geometry [31], p. 20, [29], Def. 2.4. When X is a noetherian scheme having an ample line bundle (for example, when X is quasi-projective over the spectrum of a field) closed subspaces of X in the noncommutative sense are the same things as closed subschemes in the usual sense of algebraic geometry [29], Thm. 4.1. Rosenberg has shown that the closed subspaces of an affine noncommutative scheme, i.e., one whose category of quasi-coherent sheaves is equivalent to a module category, say Mod R, are in natural bijection with the two-sided ideals of R. Since the ring S in Theorem 1.1 is simple the following result holds.

**Proposition 1.5.** The only closed subspaces of Proj<sub>nc</sub> B are itself and the empty set.

**1.7. Proj**<sub>nc</sub> *B* is a "smooth curve". Although *B* appears in the classification of 2-generator algebras subject to one quadratic relation it has not been studied much because it has infinite global homological dimension, is homologically nasty in other ways, is not noetherian, and has exponential rather than polynomial growth. In short, it is most unlike the rings that appear in classical algebraic geometry

The "nice" 2-generator algebras subject to one quadratic relation are  $R_J := k\langle x, y \rangle / (xy - yx + y^2)$  and  $R_q := k\langle x, y \rangle / (qxy - yx)$  where  $q \in k^{\times}$ . The categories QGr( $R_J$ ) and QGr( $R_q$ ) are equivalent to Qcoh  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Because the ring S in Theorem 1.1 is von Neumann regular it has global homological dimension one, and every subobject of  $\mathcal{O}$  is projective, both of which suggest that  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$  is some kind of "noncommutative smooth curve".

**1.8. The Grothendieck group.** We compute  $K_0(qgr(B))$  as an ordered abelian group in Section 7. First, we compute it as an abstract group without using the equivalence with mod S (Theorem 7.8). The first step towards doing this is to show that qgr(B) is equivalent to qgr(kQ) where kQ is the path algebra of the quiver



Using the ideas in [18] and [19] we show there is an injective degree-preserving algebra homomorphism  $f: B \to kQ$  with the property that the functor  $kQ \otimes_B -$ 

induces an equivalence of categories  $qgr(B) \xrightarrow{=} qgr(kQ)$  and hence an isomorphism  $K_0(qgr(B)) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0(qgr(kQ))$ .

The advantage of this is that kQ has finite global dimension (=1) so one can compute  $K_0(\operatorname{gr}(kQ))$  easily and then use the localization sequence  $K_0(\operatorname{fdim}(kQ)) \rightarrow K_0(\operatorname{ggr}(kQ)) \rightarrow 0$  to compute  $K_0(\operatorname{ggr}(kQ))$ . The result is that

$$K_0(\operatorname{qgr} B) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]}{(1-t-t^2)}$$

with  $[\mathcal{O}(n)] \leftrightarrow t^{-n}$ .

The ring homomorphism  $K_0(qgr(B)) \to \mathbb{R}$  given by sending  $t^{-1}$  to the Golden Ratio,  $\tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , is an isomorphism from  $K_0(qgr B)$  onto  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ . It sends  $[\mathcal{O}]$ to 1 and  $[\mathcal{O}(1)]$  to  $\tau$ . Theorem 7.10 shows that this isomorphism sends the positive cone in  $K_0(qgr B)$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cap (\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$ .

We use the work of Bratteli [7] and Elliott [12] on AF-algebras and refer to Effros's lecture notes [11] for all matters related to AF-algebras.

**1.8.1. The Grothendieck group for qgr**  $k\langle x, y \rangle / (y^{r+1})$ . The case r > 1 is more interesting. The Bratteli diagram for the directed system  $(S_n, \phi_n)$  and  $K_0(S_n, \phi_n)$  is constant, or stationary as is usually said (its shape is shown in Proposition 5.1). This simplifies the computations. The characteristic polynomial of the matrix (7.2) for this stationary system is  $t^{r+1} - t^r - \cdots - t - 1$ . This polynomial has a unique positive real root of multiplicity one,  $\alpha$  say, that is strictly larger than the absolute values of all other roots. We show that  $K_0 \pmod{S}$ , which is isomorphic to  $K_0(qgr B)$ , is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ . Under this isomorphism the positive cone of  $K_0(qgr B)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cap \mathbb{R}^+, [\mathcal{O}] \leftrightarrow 1, [\mathcal{O}(-n)] \leftrightarrow \alpha^n$ , and the twist  $[\mathcal{F}] \rightsquigarrow [\mathcal{F}(-1)]$  corresponds to multiplication by  $\alpha$ .

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank Ken Goodearl, Doug Lind, and Boris Solomyak, for many helpful conversations. I am particularly grateful to Gautam Sisodia for finding a fatal flaw in an earlier "proof" that  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr} B) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ ; he also suggested using the results in [18] to repair the proof. Sections 7.2, 7.3, and 7.4, were prompted by his comments.

I thank the US National Science Foundation for partial support under NSF grant 0602347.

### 2. Some general results

**2.1. Graded-coherent rings.** A ring is *left coherent* if all its finitely generated left ideals are finitely presented. There is a similar notion on the right.

In this section A and B are arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded k-algebras. We write Gr A for the category of graded left A-modules. We say that A is *left graded-coherent* if

every finitely generated graded left ideal of A is finitely presented. If A is left gradedcoherent we write gr A for the full subcategory of Gr A consisting of finitely presented modules. The category gr A is an abelian subcategory of Gr A and is closed under extensions. If  $M \in \text{gr } A$  and L is a finitely generated graded submodule of M, then  $L \in \text{gr } A$  also.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let A be a left graded-coherent ring with the property that every finite dimensional graded left A-module is finitely presented. Write  $\mathcal{O}$  for the image of A in QGr A. Then

- (1) QGr A is a locally coherent category;
- (2) the full subcategory of QGr A consisting of the finitely presented objects is equivalent to qgr A;
- (3)  $\mathcal{O}$  is coherent, i.e.,  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{QGr} A}(\mathcal{O}, -)$  commutes with direct limits;
- (4)  $\mathcal{O}$  is finitely generated in the sense that whenever  $\mathcal{O} = \sum_i \mathcal{M}_i$  for some directed family of subobjects  $\mathcal{M}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{M}_j$  for some j.

*Proof.* By definition, a Grothendieck category is locally coherent if its full subcategory of finitely presented objects is abelian and every object is a direct limit of finitely presented objects.

Lazard [22] proved that every module over every ring is a filtered direct limit of finitely presented modules (see, e.g., [25], Example E.1.20, or [23]). The proof for the ungraded case can be adapted to graded modules without difficulty. Thus every object in Gr A is a direct limit of objects in gr A.

It follows that every object in QGr A is a direct limit of objects in qgr A.

Every object in Fdim A is a direct limit of objects in the Serre subcategory fdim A of gr A so condition (2) of [20], Prop. A.4, p. 112, is satisfied. Therefore Fdim is a localizing subcategory of Gr A of finite type so [20], Prop. A.5, p. 113, completes the proof.

**Remark 2.2.** The hypothesis in Proposition 2.1 that finite dimensional graded left *A*-modules are finitely presented is satisfied if *A* is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded algebra such that  $\dim_k(A/A_{\geq 1}) < \infty$ . For example, the hypothesis holds if *A* is a graded quotient of a finitely generated free algebra whose generators have positive degree or, more generally, a graded quotient of a path algebra of a finite quiver in which every arrow has positive degree. In particular, the graded algebras in this paper satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 2.1.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let A be a locally coherent category and fpA its full subcategory of finitely presented objects. Let  $P \in \text{fpA}$ . If P is projective as an object in fpA, then it is is projective as an object in A.

*Proof.* Let  $g: M \to N$  be an epimorphism in A and suppose  $f: P \to N$ . We can write M as a filtered direct limit of subobjects  $M_i$  that belong to fpA. Let

 $N_i = g(M_i)$ . Then  $N = \sum N_i$ . It follows that  $fP = \sum (fP \cap N_i)$ . Since P is finitely generated so is fP; therefore  $fP = fP \cap N_j$  for some j. But that implies  $fP \subset N_j$ . Because P is projective in fpA, there is a morphism  $h: P \to M$  with its image in  $M_j$  such that gh = f. Hence P is projective in A.

It is well-known that a free algebra is left and right coherent. A general result, Lemma 2.4, then shows that B is left and right coherent.

The next result is probably "folklore".

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $A \subset B$  be compatibly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded k-algebras such that B is a finitely presented left A-module. Suppose that A is left graded-coherent. Let  $M \in \text{Gr } B$ . Then M is finitely presented as a B-module if and only if it is finitely presented as an A-module. In particular, B is left graded-coherent.

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  Let  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of finitely generated graded left *B*-modules. Since *B* is a finitely generated left *A*-module *K* and *L* are finitely generated *A*-modules. Hence *M* is a finitely presented *A*-module.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Since *M* is finitely generated as an *A*-module it is finitely generated as a *B*-module. Hence there is an integer *n* and an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow B^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  of graded left *B*-modules. By hypothesis, *B*, and therefore  $B^n$ , is a finitely presented left *A*-module. Since *A* is left graded-coherent, *K* is a finitely presented *A*-module. In particular, *K* is finitely generated as a *B*-module. Hence *M* is finitely presented as a *B*-module.

Now we prove the final sentence of the lemma. Let I be a finitely generated graded left ideal of B. Then I is a finitely generated left A-module and, since B is in gr A, I is also in gr A. Therefore I is finitely presented as a B-module. Hence B is left graded-coherent.

**Corollary 2.5.** If A and B are as in Lemma 2.4, then the induction functor  $B \otimes_A -$ : Gr  $A \rightarrow$  Gr B sends gr A to gr B and the restriction functor Gr  $B \rightarrow$  Gr A sends gr B to gr A.

**2.2. Graded coherent versus coherent.** This section, which plays no role in the other results in this paper, addresses a question raised by the referee: what is known about the relation between graded coherence and coherence?

**Proposition 2.6.** Let S = k[x, y] be the commutative polynomial ring in two variables and R = k + xS. Then R is not coherent but is graded coherent with respect to the grading deg(x) = 0 and deg(y) = 1.<sup>1</sup>

*Proof.* To prove *R* is graded coherent we use the following criterion of Piontkovski [24], Prop. 3.2: An  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring *A* is right graded coherent if it has a right noetherian quotient ring A/J such that *J* is a free graded left *A*-module.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Tom Marley informed the author that he already knew that R is not coherent.

Let k[t] be the polynomial ring generated by a degree-one indeterminate, t. Let  $\theta: R \to k[y]$  be the restriction to R of the homomorphism  $\tilde{\theta}: S \to k[y]$  defined by  $\tilde{\theta}(x) = 1$  and  $\tilde{\theta}(y) = y$ . The homogeneous components of S are  $S_0 = k[x]$  and  $S_n = k[x]xy^n$  for  $n \ge 1$ . Since  $\theta$  preserves degree ker( $\theta$ ) is the direct sum of its homogeneous components: these are ker( $\theta$ )<sub>0</sub> = (x - 1)k[x] and ker( $\theta$ )<sub>0</sub> =  $(x - 1)k[x]xy^n$  for  $n \ge 1$ . Therefore ker( $\theta$ ) = (x - 1)R which is a free graded R-module. The image of  $\theta$  is k[t] so Piontkovski's criterion tells us that R is graded coherent.

We will show that the ideal I = xR + xyR is not finitely presented. The proof uses the  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -grading on *R* that is inherited from that on *S* given by

$$deg(x) = (1, 0)$$
 and  $deg(y) = (0, 1)$ .

Thus  $S_{pq} = kx^p y^q$ .

The ideal *I* is homogeneous, generated by elements of degree (1,0) and (1,1). As usual, R(m,n) denotes the graded *R*-module that is *R* as an *R*-module with homogeneous components  $R(m,n)_{p,q} = R_{p+m,q+n}$ .

Define the surjective degree-preserving homomorphism

$$\theta \colon F := R(-1,0) \oplus R(-1,-1) \to I, \quad \theta(a,b) = ax - bxy.$$

The kernel,  $K = \text{ker}(\theta)$ , is a graded submodule of  $R(-1, 0) \oplus R(-1, -1)$ .

The elements  $(x^{p-1}y^q, 0)$  and  $(0, x^{p-1}y^{q-1})$  are a basis for  $F_{pq}$  if  $p \ge 2$  and  $q \ge 1$ . For example, (xy, 0) and (0, x) are a basis for  $F_{2,1}$ .

We note that  $(xy^j, xy^{j-1}) \in K_{2,j}$  for all  $j \ge 1$  and  $K_{p,q} = 0$  for all  $p \le 1$  and  $q \le 0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m} = R_{(>1,>0)}$ , it follows that the images in  $K/\mathfrak{m}K$  of the elements

$$(xy^j, xy^{j-1}), \quad j \ge 1,$$

are linearly independent. Thus,  $\dim_k(K/\mathfrak{m}K) = \infty$ . It follows that K is not a finitely generated *R*-module.

The homogeneous components of *R* for the grading deg(x) = 0 and deg(y) = 1 are not finite dimensional so, in some sense, the example in Proposition 2.6 is unsatisfying. It would be better to have an example of a non-coherent ring that is graded coherent with finite dimensional homogeneous components (if such an example exists!).

**2.3.** Properties of QGr *B*. Let A be an abelian category,  $\mathcal{O}$  an object in A, and (1) an automorphism of A denoted by  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(1)$  on objects. For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  has the obvious meaning.

Lemma 2.7. With the above notation, suppose that

$$\mathcal{O} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}(-r_i)$$

for some integers  $r_i > 0$ , and every  $\mathcal{F} \in A$  is a quotient of

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^q \mathcal{O}(m_i)$$

for some integers  $m_i$ . Then for every  $\mathcal{F} \in A$  there is an epimorphism

$$\mathcal{O}^n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

for some integer n that depends on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Proof. Let

$$\mathscr{G} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^p \mathscr{O}(-r_i).$$

In this proof the notation  $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$  means "there is an epimorphism from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$ ". By hypothesis,  $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}(-1)$ . An induction argument and repeated application of (-1) shows that  $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}(-m)$  for all  $m \ge 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}^p \to \mathcal{G}(1)$ . By hypothesis,  $\mathcal{O}(1) \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{G}(1)$  so  $\mathcal{O}^{p+1} \to \mathcal{O}(1)$ . An induction argument and repeated application of (1) shows that for all  $m \ge 0$ ,  $\mathcal{O}^q \to \mathcal{O}(m)$  for some q depending on m. Thus for every integer m,  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  is a quotient of a finite direct sum of copies of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Hence every  $\mathcal{F} \in A$  is a quotient of a finite direct sum of copies of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

We refer the reader to Section 1.4 for definitions and notation concerning QGr *B* and qgr *B*. In particular,  $\beta^*$ : Gr  $B \to$  QGr *B* denotes the quotient functor and  $\mathcal{O} = \beta^* B$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** Let *B* be a connected graded *k*-algebra that is left graded coherent. Suppose that *B* contains a left ideal of finite codimension that is isomorphic to  $B(-1) \oplus G$  for some  $G \in \text{gr } B$ . Then:

- (1) Every object in qgr B is a quotient of  $\mathcal{O}^n$  for  $n \gg 0$ .
- (2) Every object in QGr B is a quotient of a direct sum of copies of  $\mathcal{O}$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{O}$  is a generator in QGr B.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathscr{G} = \beta^* G$ . Since G is finitely generated,  $\mathscr{G}$  is a quotient of a finite direct sum of various  $\mathcal{O}(-i)$ s. The hypothesis implies that  $\mathcal{O} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{G}$ . Lemma 2.7 now implies that every  $\mathcal{O}(j)$  is a quotient of  $\mathcal{O}^n$  for a suitable n.

(1) and (2). Let  $M \in \text{Gr } B$  and let  $\mathcal{M} = \beta^* M \in \text{QGr } B$ . Since M is a quotient of a direct sum of various  $\mathcal{B}(m_i)$ s,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a quotient of a direct sum of various  $\mathcal{O}(m_i)$ s. But each  $\mathcal{O}(m_i)$  is a quotient of a direct sum of copies of  $\mathcal{O}$ . This completes the proof of (2). If M is in gr B, it is a quotient of a direct sum of finitely many  $\mathcal{B}(m_i)$ s so  $\mathcal{M}$  is a quotient of a finite direct sum of copies of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

(3) Let  $f: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$  be a non-zero morphism in QGr *B*. Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a quotient of a direct sum of copies of  $\mathcal{O}$  the restriction to one of the summands yields a non-zero composition  $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{N}$ .

Let B be a connected graded k-algebra. Let

 $F := \{\text{non-zero graded left ideals that are free and have finite codimension in } B\}$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** Let *B* be a connected graded *k*-algebra. Suppose that every graded left ideal of finite codimension in *B* contains some *I* belonging to F. Then O is a projective object in QGr *B*.

Proof. By [14], Cor. 1, p. 368, every short exact sequence in QGr B is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \beta^* L \xrightarrow{\beta^* f} \beta^* M \xrightarrow{\beta^* g} \beta^* N \to 0,$$

where  $0 \to L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \to 0$  is an exact sequence in Gr *B*. Let  $\eta: \mathcal{O} \to \beta^* N$ . By definition,

$$\eta \in \lim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{QGr} B}(B_{\geq i}, N/N')$$

where the direct limit is taken over all *i* and all submodules  $N' \subset N$  that are sums of finite dimensional submodules. The hypothesis implies that

$$\varinjlim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{QGr} B}(B_{\geq i}, N/N') = \varinjlim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{QGr} B}(I, N/N')$$

where the right-hand direct limit is taken over all  $I \in F$  and all submodules  $N' \subset N$  that are sums of finite dimensional submodules.

Hence  $\eta = \beta^* h$  for some  $h: I \to N/N'$  where  $I \in F$ . But *I* is free so *h* factors through *g*. Hence there is a morphism  $\gamma: \mathcal{O} \to \beta^* M$  such that  $\eta = (\beta^* g) \circ \gamma$ .  $\Box$ 

Let B be any N-graded k-algebra. If  $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n})$ , then  $f(B_{\geq n+1}) \subset B_{\geq n+1}$ , so there is a directed system

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n}) \xrightarrow{\theta_n} \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n+1}) \to \cdots$$
(2.1)

of k-algebras in which  $\theta_n(f) = f|_{B_{>n+1}}$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** Suppose that B satisfies the hypotheses in Propositions 2.8 and 2.9.

- (1)  $\mathcal{O}$  is a progenerator in QGr B.
- (2) The functor  $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, -)$  is an equivalence from the category QGr B to the category of right modules over the endomorphism ring  $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{QGr} B} \mathcal{O}$ .
- (3) If every  $B_{\geq n}$  contains a non-zero free right B-module, then  $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{QGr} B} \mathcal{O} \cong \lim \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n})$ , the direct limit of (2.1).

*Proof.* Part (1) is the content of Propositions 2.8 and 2.9 and part (2) is a standard consequence of (1).

(3) By the definition of morphisms in a quotient category,

$$\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{QGr} B} \mathcal{O} = \varinjlim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B', B/B'')$$
(2.2)

where B' runs over all graded left ideals in B such that  $\dim_k(B/B') < \infty$  and B" runs over all graded left ideals in B that are sums of finite dimensional left ideals.

Let B'' be a finite dimensional graded left ideal in B. Then  $B_{\geq n}B'' = 0$  for some n. The hypothesis in (3) implies that B'' = 0. Since the system of left ideals B' such that  $\dim_k(B/B') < \infty$  is cofinal with the system of left ideals  $B_{>i}$ ,

$$\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{QGr} B} \mathcal{O} = \varinjlim_{i} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq i}, B).$$

But the map  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq i}, B_{\geq i}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq i}, B)$  induced by the inclusion  $B_{\geq i} \to B$  is an isomorphism so

$$\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{QGr} B} \mathcal{O} = \varinjlim_{i} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq i}, B_{\geq i}).$$

This completes the proof.

## 3. The algebra $k\langle x, y \rangle / (y^{r+1})$

In this section r is a positive integer and

$$B := \frac{k\langle x, y \rangle}{(y^{r+1})}.$$

**3.1. The subalgebra** *A***.** Let *A* be the subalgebra of *B* generated by  $u_1, \ldots, u_{r+1}$  where

$$u_j := \sum_{i=1}^j y^{i-1} x y^{j-i}, \quad 1 \le j \le r+1.$$

Thus,  $u_1 = x$ ,  $u_2 = xy + yx$ ,  $u_3 = xy^2 + yxy + y^2x$ , and so on. The *Hilbert series* of *B* is  $H_B(t) = \sum_{i>0} b_i t^i$  where

$$b_i = \dim_k B_i$$
.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let A and B be as above.

- (1) A is the free k-algebra on  $u_1, \ldots, u_{r+1}$ .
- (2) *B* is free as a left, and as a right, *A*-module with basis  $\{1, y, \ldots, y^r\}$ .
- (3) The Hilbert series for B is

$$H_B(t) = \frac{1 + t + \dots + t^r}{1 - t - \dots - t^{r+1}}.$$

- (4)  $H_A(t) = (1 t \dots t^{r+1})^{-1}$ .
- (5)  $b_i = 2^i \text{ for } 0 \le i \le r, \text{ and }$

$$b_{n+1} = b_n + b_{n-1} + \dots + b_{n-r}$$

for  $n \geq r$ .

*Proof.* (3) and (6). A basis for  $B_n$ , the degree *n* component of *B*, is provided by

 $W_n := \{ \text{words of length } n \text{ in } x \text{ and } y \text{ not containing } y^{r+1} \text{ as a subword} \}.$ 

We introduce the following notation

$$W_{in} = \{ w \in W_n \mid w = w' x y^i \text{ for some } w' \in W_{n-i-1} \}, \quad 0 \le i \le r, \\ b_{in} = |W_{in}|.$$

Since  $W_n$  is the disjoint union of the  $W_{in}$ s,  $b_n = b_{0n} + \cdots + b_{rn}$ .

Since  $W_{i+1,n+1} = \{wy \mid w \in W_{in}\} = W_{in}y$ , we have  $b_{i+1,n+1} = b_{in}$ . Since  $W_{0n} = \{wx \mid w \in W_{n-1}\} = W_{n-1}x$ , we have  $b_{0n} = b_{n-1}$ . Therefore

$$b_{n+1} = b_n + b_{n-1} + \dots + b_{n-r}$$

for all  $n \ge r$ . Also  $b_i = 2^i$  for  $0 \le i \le r$ . (When r = 1 we have  $b_0 = 1, b_1 = 2$ , and  $b_{n+1} = b_n + b_{n-1}$ , so  $(b_n)_{n\ge 0}$  is the Fibonacci sequence beginning 1, 2, 3, 5, .... When r = 2, the sequence  $(b_n)_{n\ge 0}$  is called the Tribonacci sequence. The limit of the ratio of successive terms  $b_n/b_{n+1}$  of the Tribonacci sequence is a zero of the polynomial  $1 - x - x^2 - x^3$ . The Tribonacci sequence is related to the Rauzy fractal [26].) Multiplying both sides by  $t^{n+1}$  and summing over all  $n \ge r$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n=r+1}^{\infty} b_n t^n = \sum_{j=0}^{r} t^{j+1} \sum_{n=r-j}^{\infty} b_n t^n.$$

In other words,

$$H_B(t) - \sum_{i=0}^{r} (2t)^i = \sum_{j=0}^{r} t^{j+1} (H_B(t) - \sum_{i=0}^{r-j-1} (2t)^i),$$

so

$$(1-t-t^2-\cdots-t^{r+1})H_B(t) = \sum_{i=0}^r (2t)^i - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} t^{j+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r-j-1} (2t)^i.$$

By induction on r, the case r = 1 being clear, one can show that the right-hand side of this equation is equal to  $1 + t + \cdots + t^r$ . Hence the formula for  $H_B(t)$  in (3) is correct.

We now turn to the proof of parts (1), (2), and (4) of the proposition.

The first step is to show that  $M := A + Ay + \cdots + Ay^r$  is equal to B by showing it is a right ideal of B (that contains 1). Clearly,  $My \subset M$  so it remains to show  $Mx \subset M$ . The key calculation is

$$u_j - u_{j-1}y = \sum_{i=1}^j y^{i-1}xy^{j-i} - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} y^{i-1}xy^{j-1-i}y = y^{j-1}x$$

for  $2 \le j \le r + 1$ . This implies that  $Ay^{j-1}x \subset Au_j + Au_{j-1}y$ ; but  $u_j$  and  $u_{j-1}$  belong to A so

$$Ay^{j-1}x \subset A + Ay$$

if  $2 \le j \le r + 1$ . Since  $x \in A$ ,  $Ax \subset A$ , so we conclude that  $Mx \subset M$ . Hence

$$A + Ay + \dots + Ay^r = B$$

as claimed. A similar argument shows that  $A + yA + \dots + y^rA = B$ .

We now consider the free algebra  $F = k \langle v_1, \ldots, v_{r+1} \rangle$  with grading given by deg  $v_i = i$ . Since F is the free coproduct  $k[v_1] * k[v_2] * \cdots * k[v_{r+1}]$  of polynomial rings, the Hilbert series formula

$$H_{R*S}(t)^{-1} = H_R(t)^{-1} + H_S(t)^{-1} - 1$$

gives

$$H_F(t) = (1 - t - \dots - t^{r+1})^{-1}$$

The homomorphism  $\psi: F \to A$  given by  $\psi(v_i) = u_i$  allows us to view *B* as a graded *F*-module. We have just shown that  $F + Fy + \cdots + Fy^r = B$  so there is a surjective homomorphism of graded *F*-modules

$$\Psi \colon F \oplus F(-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus F(-r) \twoheadrightarrow B.$$

However, the Hilbert series of this free *F*-module is  $(1 + t + \dots + t^r)H_F(t)$  which is equal to  $H_B(t)$  so  $\Psi$  must be an isomorphism.

It follows at once that  $\psi: F \to A$  is an isomorphism, thus proving (1) and that *B* is a free *A*-module on the right and on the left with basis  $\{1, y, \dots, y^r\}$ , thus proving (2). The calculation of  $H_F(t)$  and the isomorphism  $F \cong A$  proves (4).

**Proposition 3.2.** The algebra  $B = k \langle x, y \rangle / (y^{r+1})$  is left and right coherent.

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 3.1.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $B = k\langle x, y \rangle / (y^{r+1})$  and let A be its subalgebra generated by  $u_1, \ldots, u_{r+1}$ . Then

(1)  $BA_{>1} \cong B(-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus B(-r-1);$ 

(2)  $BA_{\geq n}$  is a free left *B*-module for all  $n \geq 0$ ;

S. P. Smith

- (3)  $\dim_k(B/BA_{>n}) < \infty$  for all  $n \ge 0$ ;
- (4)  $BA_{>1} = BxB$  and  $B/BA_{>1} \cong k[y]/(y^{r+1})$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since A is a free algebra every left ideal in A is a free A-module. In particular,

$$A_{\geq 1} = Au_1 + \dots + Au_{r+1} \cong A(-1) \oplus \dots \oplus A(-r-1).$$

Since *B* is a free right *A*-module, applying the functor  $B \otimes_A -$  to the exact sequence  $0 \to A_{\geq 1} \to A \to A/A_{\geq 1} \to 0$  gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+1} B(-i) \to B \to B/BA_{\geq 1} \to 0.$$

This proves (1).

(2) This is proved in the same way as (1). Because A is a free algebra, for every  $n \ge 0$ , there is a graded vector space V, depending on n, and an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \otimes_k V \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/A_{\ge n} \rightarrow 0$ . After applying  $B \otimes_A -$  to this sequence we see that  $BA_{\ge n} \cong B \otimes_k V$ .

(3) Certainly  $A/A_{\geq n}$  has finite dimension. Since *B* is a finitely generated right *A*-module it follows that  $B \otimes_A (A/A_{\geq n})$  has finite dimension. But  $B \otimes_A (A/A_{\geq n}) \cong B/BA_{\geq n}$ .

(4) Set  $u_0 = 0$ . Then  $xy^{j-1} = u_j - yu_{j-1}$  for all  $1 \le j \le r+1$ . Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} Bxy^{j-1} \subset \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} Bu_j.$$
(3.1)

On the other hand,  $Bu_1 = Bx$  and, since  $u_j = xy^{j-1} + yu_{j-1}$ ,  $Bu_j \subset Bxy^{j-1} + Bu_{j-1}$  for all  $2 \le j \le r + 1$ . An induction argument shows that

$$Bu_1 + \cdots + Bu_i \subset Bx + Bxy + \cdots + Bxy^{j-1}$$

for all  $1 \le j \le r + 1$ . The case j = r + 1, together with (3.1) shows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} Bu_j = \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} Bxy^{j-1}.$$
(3.2)

The right-hand sum is closed under right multiplication by y; it is also closed under right-multiplication by x because the first term in the sum is Bx; it is therefore a two-sided ideal of B. The left-hand side of (3.2) is  $BA_{\geq 1}$  because  $A_{\geq 1} = Au_1 + \cdots + Au_{r+1}$ . Hence  $BA_{\geq 1}$  is a two-sided ideal of B.

Since  $x \in A$ ,  $BxB \subset BA_{\geq 1}$ . However, the right-hand side of (3.2) is contained in BxB so  $BA_{\geq 1} = BxB$ . It is obvious that  $B/(x) \cong k[y]/(y^{r+1})$ .

**3.2. Remark.** It follows from parts (1) and (3) of Lemma 3.3 that

$$\mathcal{O} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(-r-1)$$

in qgr *B*. This fact, which has no parallel in projective algebraic geometry or noetherian ring theory, tells us  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$  is a very unusual geometric object when compared to those that arise in classical algebraic geometry.

**3.3. Remark.** Michel Van den Bergh pointed out that when r = 1 there are isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2) \cong \cdots \cong \mathcal{O}(-n)^{f_n} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n-1)^{f_{n-1}}$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

**4.1.** In this section let  $B = k \langle x, y \rangle / (y^{r+1})$ .

Proposition 4.1. Let B be as above.

- (1)  $\mathcal{O}$  is a progenerator in QGr B.
- (2) The functor Hom(O, -) is an equivalence from QGr B to the category of right modules over the endomorphism ring End<sub>QGr B</sub> O.
- (3) End<sub>QGr B</sub>  $\mathcal{O} \cong \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim}$  End<sub>Gr B</sub>  $(B_{\geq n})$ , the direct limit of (2.1).

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3,  $BA_{\geq n}$  has finite codimension in *B* and is isomorphic to  $B(-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus B(-r-1)$ . Hence *B* satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 2.8.

If *I* is a graded left ideal of finite codimension in *B*, then *I* contains  $B_{\geq i}$  for some *i*. By Lemma 3.3,  $BA_{\geq i}$  is a free *B*-module contained in  $B_{\geq i}$  and has finite codimension in *B* so *B* satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 2.9. Thus, (1) and (2) follow from Theorem 2.10.

Since *B* is anti-isomorphic to itself, the fact that  $B_{\geq n}$  contains a non-zero free left *B*-module implies it contains a non-zero free right *B*-module. Hence *B* satisfies the hypothesis in part (3) of Theorem 2.10 and therefore its conclusion which is part (3) of the present proposition.

Our next task is to give a precise description of the directed system (2.1) and its direct limit. Lemma 4.2 shows that each term,  $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n})$ , in the directed system is a block lower triangular matrix algebra that is Morita equivalent to the ring of lower triangular  $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$  matrices over k. Lemma 4.3 shows that the diagonal, or semisimple, part of each  $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n})$  forms a directed system that has the same direct limit as (2.1). Thus  $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{QGr} B} \mathcal{O}$  is the direct limit of a directed system in which each term is a product of r + 1 matrix algebras.

Define  $B_n^i := y^i x B_{n-i-1}$  for  $0 \le i \le r$ . Then  $B_n = B_n^0 \oplus B_n^1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n^r$ .

Lemma 4.2. The restriction map

 $\Phi_n$ : End<sub>Gr</sub> B  $B_{\geq n} \to$  End<sub>k</sub>  $B_n$ ,  $\Phi_n(f) := f|_{B_n}$ ,

is an isomorphism from  $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B} B_{>n}$  onto the lower triangular subalgebra

$$T_{n} := \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(B_{n}^{0}, B_{n}^{0}) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(B_{n}^{0}, B_{n}^{1}) & \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(B_{n}^{1}, B_{n}^{1}) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(B_{n}^{0}, B_{n}^{r}) & \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(B_{n}^{1}, B_{n}^{r}) & \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(B_{n}^{2}, B_{n}^{r}) & \dots & \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(B_{n}^{r}, B_{n}^{r}) \end{pmatrix}$$

of  $\operatorname{End}_k B_n$ .

*Proof.* Because B is generated as an algebra by  $B_1$ ,  $B_{\geq n}$  is generated as a left ideal by  $B_n$ . Hence  $\Phi_n$  is injective.

Let  $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B} \check{B}_{\geq n}$ . Since  $y^{r-i+1}B_n^i = 0$ ,  $y^{r-i+1}f(B_n^i) = 0$ . Therefore  $f(B_n^i) \subset B_n^i \oplus B_n^{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n^r$ .

Hence  $\Phi_n(f)$  belongs to  $T_n$ .

Fix *i* and suppose  $g \in T_n$  is such that  $g(B_n^j) = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ . It is clear that  $B_{\geq n} = BB_n^0 \oplus BB_n^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus BB_n^r$ .

Let  $f: B_{\geq n} \to B_{\geq n}$  be the unique linear map that is zero on  $BB_n^j$  for all  $j \neq i$  and satisfies f(ab) = ag(b) if  $a \in B$  and  $b \in B_n^i$ . The fact that  $g(B_n^i) \subset B_n^i \oplus B_n^{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n^r$  ensures that f is a well-defined left B-module homomorphism, and the condition f(ab) = ag(b) ensures that  $\Phi_n(f) = g$ .

Now let g be an arbitrary element of  $T_n$ . Then  $g = g_0 + g_1 + \cdots + g_r$  where  $g_i$  is defined to be g on  $B_n^i$  and zero on  $B_n^j$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Let  $f_i : B_{\geq n} \rightarrow B_{\geq n}$  be the unique linear map that vanishes on  $BB_n^j$  for  $j \neq i$  and satisfies  $f_i(ab) = ag_i(b)$  if  $a \in B$  and  $b \in B_n^i$ . Now  $f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_r$  is in  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n}, B_{\geq n})$  and  $\Phi_n(f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_r) = g$ . This completes the proof that the image of  $\Phi_n$  is  $T_n$ .

Let

 $\theta_n \colon \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n}) \to \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n+1})$ 

be the restriction map. Let  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n}, B_{\geq n})$ . The bottom arrow in the diagram

The space of Penrose tilings and  $k\langle x, y \rangle / (y^2)$ 

is  $\psi_n(\Phi_n(f)) = \psi_n(f|_{B_n}) = f|_{B_{n+1}}$ .

**4.2.** The algebras  $S_n$ . Let  $S_n$  be the diagonal subalgebra of  $T_n$ , i.e.,

$$S_n := \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Hom}_k(B_n^0, B_n^0) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{Hom}_k(B_n^1, B_n^1) & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \operatorname{Hom}_k(B_n^r, B_n^r) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since dim<sub>k</sub>  $B_n^i = \dim_k y^i x B_{n-i-1} = \dim_k B_{n-i-1} = b_{n-i-1}$ ,  $S_n$  is a product of r + 1 matrix algebras of sizes  $b_{n-1}, b_{n-2}, \dots, b_{n-r-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $(b_0, \ldots, b_r) \in B^0_{n+1} \times \cdots \times B^r_{n+1}$  and

$$g = (g_0, \ldots, g_r) \in (\operatorname{End}_k B_n^0) \times \cdots \times (\operatorname{End}_k B_n^r) = S_n.$$

(1) There are unique elements  $c_j, d_j \in B_n^j$  such that

$$(b_0,\ldots,b_r) = (x\sum_{j=0}^r c_j, yd_0, yd_1,\ldots, yd_{r-1}).$$

(2) There is a well-defined map  $\phi_n \colon S_n \to S_{n+1}$  defined by

 $\phi_n(g_0,\ldots,g_r)(b_0,\ldots,b_r) := (x \sum_{j=0}^r g_j(c_j), yg_0(d_0), yg_1(d_1),\ldots, yg_{r-1}(d_{r-1}))$ 

where the  $c_i s$  and  $d_i s$  are as in (1).

(3) If  $\alpha_n \colon S_n \to T_n$  is the inclusion, then the diagram



commutes.

(4) There is a homomorphism of directed systems  $\alpha_{\bullet} \colon (S_n, \phi_n) \to (T_n, \psi_n)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Because  $b_0 \in B_{n+1}^0 = xB_n = x(B_n^0 \oplus B_n^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n^r)$  and x is not a zero divisor there are unique elements  $c_i \in B_n^j$  such that  $b_0 = x(c_0 + \cdots + c_r)$ .

zero divisor there are unique elements  $c_j \in B_n^j$  such that  $b_0 = x(c_0 + \dots + c_r)$ . Suppose that  $1 \le i \le r$ . Then  $b_i \in B_{n+1}^i = y^i x B_{n-i} = y B_n^{i-1}$  and because left multiplication by y is a bijective map  $B_n^{i-1} \to B_{n+1}^i$  there is a unique  $d_{i-1} \in B_n^{i-1}$  such that  $b_i = y d_{i-1}$ .

#### S. P. Smith

(2) Since  $c_j, d_j \in B_n^j, g_j(c_j)$  and  $g_j(d_j)$  belong to  $B_n^j$  too. Hence  $xg_j(c_j) \in B_{n+1}^0$  and  $yg_j(d_j) \in yB_n^j = B_{n+1}^{j+1}$ . Hence  $\phi_n(g_0, \ldots, g_n) \in S_{n+1}$  and  $\phi_n \colon S_n \to S_{n+1}$ .

(3) Since  $T_{n+1} \subset \operatorname{End}_k B_{n+1}$  and  $B_{n+1} = B_{n+1}^0 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{n+1}^r$  we must show that

$$\psi_n \alpha_n(g_0, \dots, g_r)(b_0 + \dots + b_r) = \alpha_{n+1} \phi_n(g_0, \dots, g_r)(b_0 + \dots + b_r)$$

for all  $(g_0, \ldots, g_r) \in S_n$  and  $b_i \in B_{n+1}^i, 0 \le i \le r$ . Define  $f_i \in \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\ge n})$  to be the unique linear map such that

(a)  $f_i$  is zero on  $BB_n^j$  for all  $j \neq i$ , and

(b)  $f_i(ab) = ag_i(b)$  if  $a \in B$  and  $b \in B_n^i$ .

The fact that  $g_i(B_n^i) \subset B_n^i$  ensures that  $f_i$  is a well-defined left *B*-module homomorphism and (b) ensures that  $\Phi_n(f_i) = g_i$ . Define  $f = f_0 + \cdots + f_r$ . Then  $\Phi_n(f) = \text{diag}(g_0, \ldots, g_r)$ . Therefore

$$\psi_n \alpha_n(g_0, \dots, g_r) = \Phi_{n+1} \theta_n(f) = f \big|_{B_{n+1}}$$

and

$$\psi_n \alpha_n(g_0, \dots, g_r)(b_0 + \dots + b_r) = f(b_0 + \dots + b_r)$$
  
=  $f(x \sum_{j=0}^r c_j + y(d_0 + \dots + d_{r-1}))$   
=  $x \sum_{j=0}^r g_j(c_j) + yg_0(d_0) + \dots + yg_{r-1}(d_{r-1}).$ 

On the other hand,

$$\alpha_{n+1}\phi_n(g_0, \dots, g_r)(b_0 + \dots + b_r)$$
  
= diag $(\phi_n(g_0, \dots, g_r))(b_0 + \dots + b_r)$   
=  $\sum_{i=0}^r \phi_n(g_0, \dots, g_r)(b_i)$   
=  $x \sum_{j=0}^r g_j(c_j) + yg_0(d_0) + \dots + yg_{r-1}(d_{r-1})$ 

where the last equality follows from part (2). This completes the proof that the diagram in (3) commutes and (4) is an immediate consequence.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.4.** The homomorphisms  $\alpha_n : (S_n, \phi_n) \to (T_n, \psi_n)$  in Lemma 4.3 induce an isomorphism

$$\alpha: \lim_{n \to \infty} (S_n, \phi_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{n \to \infty} (T_n, \psi_n).$$

*Proof.* Write *S* and *T* for the direct limits of the two systems. Lemma 4.3 showed that the diagonal inclusions  $\alpha_n \colon S_n \to T_n$  induce an algebra homomorphism  $\alpha \colon S \to T$ . Every  $\alpha_n$  is injective, as are the  $\phi_n$ s and  $\psi_n$ s, so  $\alpha$  is injective. It remains to show that  $\alpha$  is surjective.

Let  $t \in T_n$ . Then  $t = \Phi_n(f)$  for some  $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n})$  and

$$\psi_{n+r-1}\dots\psi_n(t)=\psi_{n+r-1}\dots\psi_n\Phi_n(f)=\Phi_{n+r}\theta_{n+r-1}\dots\theta_n(f)=\Phi_{n+r}(f')$$

where f' is the restriction of f to  $B_{\geq n+r}$ .

Let  $0 \le i \le r - 1$ . Then  $n + r - i - 1 \ge n$ , so

$$f(B_{n+r}^{i}) = f(y^{i} x B_{n+r-i-1}) \subset y^{i} x f(B_{n+r-i-1}) \subset y^{i} x B_{n+r-i-1} = B_{n+r}^{i}.$$

Also,

$$f(B_{n+r}^r) = f(y^r x B_{n-1}) = y^r f(x B_{n-1}) \subset y^r B_n = y^r x B_{n-1} = B_{n+r}^r.$$

This shows that  $\Phi_{n+r}(f')$  belongs to  $S_{n+r}$  or, more precisely,  $\psi_{n+r-1} \dots \psi_n(t)$  is in the image of  $\alpha_{n+r}$ . It follows that  $\alpha$  is surjective and therefore an isomorphism.

4.3. Definition of S. From now on we will write

$$S := \varinjlim_n (S_n, \phi_n)$$

where the direct limit is taken in the category of k-algebras. Recall that Mod S is the category of right S-modules.

**Theorem 4.5.** There is an equivalence of categories

$$\operatorname{QGr} B \equiv \operatorname{Mod} S.$$

Under the equivalence,  $\mathcal{O}$  corresponds to  $S_S$ .

*Proof.* Because (4.1) commutes the directed system  $(\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gr} B}(B_{\geq n}), \theta_n)$  is isomorphic to the directed system  $(T_n, \psi_n)$ . By Theorem 2.10, Lemma 4.2, and Theorem 4.4,

$$\operatorname{QGr} B \equiv \operatorname{Mod} \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{QGr} B} \mathcal{O} \equiv \operatorname{Mod} \varinjlim(T_n, \psi_n) = \operatorname{Mod} \varinjlim_n (S_n, \phi_n).$$

The equivalence is given by  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{QGr} B}(\mathcal{O}, -)$ , so sends  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $S_S$ .

### 5. Properties of *S* and consequences for QGr *B*

We refer the reader to [11] for information about Bratteli diagrams. We write  $S_n = S_n^0 \times \cdots \times S_n^r$  where  $S_n^i$  is the matrix algebra of size  $b_{n-i-1}$ . **Proposition 5.1.** The Bratteli diagram for S is repeated copies of



where  $b_i$  is dim<sub>k</sub>  $B_i$  and is given explicitly in Proposition 3.1 (5).

*Proof.* If we think of  $S_n$  abstractly as a product of r + 1 matrix algebras of sizes  $b_{n-1}, b_{n-2}, \ldots, b_{n-r-1}$ , and not concretely as endomorphisms of  $B_n^0 \times \cdots \times B_n^r$ , then the map  $\phi_n \colon S_n \to S_{n+1}$  described in Lemma 4.3 (2) is

$$\phi_n(g_0,\ldots,g_r) = (\operatorname{diag}(g_0,\ldots,g_r),g_0,g_1,\ldots,g_{r-1}).$$

The result follows.

#### **Proposition 5.2.** *S* is a simple von Neumann regular ring.

*Proof.* A ring R is von Neumann regular if for each  $x \in R$  the equation xyx = x has a solution  $y \in R$ . A matrix algebra over a field is von Neumann regular so a product of matrix algebras is von Neumann regular. It follows from the definition that a filtered direct limit of von Neumann regular rings is von Neumann regular. Hence S is von Neumann regular.

The simplicity of *S* can be read off from the shape of its Bratteli diagram. Let *x* be a non-zero element of *S*. Then  $x \in S_n$  for some *n*, so can be written as  $(x_0, \ldots, x_r)$  where  $x_i \in S_n^i$ . Some  $x_i$  is non-zero, so, as can be seen from the Bratteli diagram, the image of *x* in  $S_{n+r}$  has a non-zero component in  $S_{n+r}^j$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, r$ . Hence the ideal of  $S_{n+r}$  generated by the image of *x* is  $S_{n+r}$  itself. Hence SxS = S.

Other properties of *S* can be read off from its description as a filtered direct limit of products of matrix algebras. For example, *S* is unit regular [15], Ch. 4, hence directly finite [15], Ch. 5, and it satisfies the comparability axiom [15], Ch. 8.

**Proposition 5.3.** *The ring S is coherent on both the left and right.* 

*Proof.* Since matrix algebras are isomorphic to their opposite rings, S is isomorphic to its opposite and it therefore suffices to prove the result for just one side. We have already shown that QGr B, and hence Mod S, is a locally coherent category so S is right coherent.

Alternatively, *S* has global homological dimension one (see Section 8.2) and every ring of global dimension one is coherent; to see that let *I* be a finitely generated left ideal and *P* a module such that  $I \oplus P$  is isomorphic to a finitely generated free module, *F* say; then  $I \cong F/P$  and  $P \cong F/I$  so *P* is finitely generated and *I* is therefore finitely presented.

Translating standard properties of von Neumann regular rings into statements about QGr B and qgr B shows these categories are very different from the categories Qcoh X and coh X that appear in algebraic geometry.

**Proposition 5.4.** Every subobject of  $\mathcal{O}$  is projective.

*Proof.* Since *S* is a countable union of matrix algebras it has countable dimension. So every left ideal of *S* is countably generated and therefore projective by [15], Cor. 2.15.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 5.5.** Every object in qgr B is projective in QGr B and every short exact sequence in qgr B splits.

*Proof.* Every module over a von Neumann regular ring is flat [15], Cor. 1.13. Hence all finitely presented S-modules are projective. But the equivalence QGr  $B \equiv \text{Mod } S$  restricts to an equivalence qgr  $B \equiv \text{mod } S$  so all objects in qgr B are projective in QGr B.

Proposition 5.6. There are no noetherian objects in qgr B except 0.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that mod *S* does not contain a simple module. Suppose to the contrary that *L* is a finitely presented simple left *S*-module. There is a surjective homomorphism  $S \to L$  and its kernel, *K* say, is a finitely generated left ideal of *S*. Since *S* is von Neumann regular K = S(1 - e) for some idempotent *e* and  $L \cong Se$ . Since *L* is simple *e* is a primitive idempotent, but it follows from the Bratteli diagram that a primitive idempotent in  $S_n$  is no longer primitive in  $S_{n+2}$ . Hence *S* has no primitive idempotents.

## 6. Multi-matrix algebras associated to partitioned sets

A finite product of finite dimensional matrix algebras over a common field is called a *multi-matrix algebra*.

In [10], Sect. II.3, Connes associates to the space of Penrose tilings a C\*-algebra constructed as a direct limit, in the category of C\*-algebras, of multi-matrix algebras. In this section we generalize his construction (the r = 1 case is the algebra Connes constructs) and show the ring S in Section 4.3 is isomorphic to the ring obtained by this generalization.

**6.1.** An algebra homomorphism associated to a map between partitioned sets. Let *X* be a finite set endowed with a partition

$$X = X_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup X_m.$$

We write  $x \sim x'$  if x and x' belong to the same  $X_i$ .

Fix a field k. We associate to X a product of matrix algebras

$$A(X) = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_m,$$

each  $A_i$  being the ring of  $|X_i| \times |X_i|$  matrices over k with rows and columns indexed by the elements of  $X_i$ . Equivalently, A(X) consists of all functions  $f : X \times X \to k$ such that f(x, x') = 0 if  $x \not\sim x'$  with multiplication

$$(fg)(x, x'') = \sum_{x' \in X} f(x, x')g(x', x'').$$

In more suggestive notation, writing  $f_{xx'}$  for f(x, x'), the product is

$$(fg)_{x,x''} = \sum_{x' \in X} f_{x,x'}g_{x',x''}.$$

Equivalently, A(X) is the groupoid algebra associated to X where the objects are the elements of X and there is a single arrow  $x \to x'$  whenever  $x \sim x'$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** Let  $X = X_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup X_m$  and  $Y = Y_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup Y_n$  be finite partitioned sets. Let  $\tau : X \to Y$  be a function and define

$$X_i^j := X_i \cap \tau^{-1}(Y_j)$$

for all *i*, *j*. Suppose that the restriction  $\tau \colon X_i^j \to Y_j$  is bijective whenever  $X_i^j$  is non-empty. Then the linear map  $\theta \colon A(Y) \to A(X)$  defined by

$$\theta(f)_{x,x'} := \begin{cases} f_{\tau x,\tau x'} & \text{if } x \sim x', \\ 0 & \text{if } x \not\sim x', \end{cases}$$

for all  $f \in A(Y)$  and  $x, x' \in X$  is an algebra homomorphism.

*Proof.* First,  $\theta(f)$  belongs to A(X) because  $\theta(f)_{x,x'} = 0$  if  $x \not\sim x'$ . If  $x, z \in X$ , then

$$\theta(fg)_{xz} = \sum_{y \in Y} f_{\tau x, y} g_{y, \tau z},$$
  
$$(\theta(f)\theta(g))_{xz} = \sum_{\substack{w \in X \\ x \sim w \sim z}} \theta(f)_{xw} \theta(g)_{wz} = \sum_{\substack{w \in X \\ x \sim w \sim z}} f_{\tau x, \tau w} g_{\tau w, \tau z}$$

To show  $\theta$  is an algebra homomorphism we must show the two sums on the right are the same for all  $x, z \in X$ . If  $x \neq z$ , then  $\theta(fg)_{xz}$  and  $(\theta(f)\theta(g))_{xz}$  are zero because the functions belong to A(X). We therefore assume that  $x \sim z$ . Both right-hand sums are zero if  $\tau x \neq \tau z$  so we can, and do, assume  $\tau x \sim \tau z$ . Hence there exist *i* and *j* such that  $x, z \in X_i$  and  $\tau x, \tau z \in Y_j$ . Thus  $x, z \in X_i^j$ .

565

Comparing the two sums, one is over

$$\{w \in X \mid x \sim w \sim z\} \cap \{w \in X \mid \tau x \sim \tau w \sim \tau z\} = X_i \cap \tau^{-1}(Y_j) = X_i^J$$

and the other is over  $\{y \in Y \mid \tau x \sim y \sim \tau z\} = Y_j$ . Since  $X_i^j$  is not empty,  $\tau: X_i^j \to Y_j$  is a bijection so the two sums are the same, whence

$$\theta(fg) = \theta(f)\theta(g).$$

Finally we check that  $\theta$  sends the identity in A(Y) to the identity in A(X). The identity in A(Y) is the function  $e: Y \times Y \to k$  such that  $e_{yy} = 1$  for all  $y \in Y$  and  $e_{y,y'} = 0$  if  $y \neq y'$ . Hence

$$\theta(e)_{x,x'} := \begin{cases} e_{\tau x,\tau x'} & \text{if } x \sim x', \\ 0 & \text{if } x \not\sim x', \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \sim x' \text{ and } \tau x = \tau x', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If x = x', then  $x \sim x'$  and  $\tau x = \tau x'$  so  $\theta(e)_{xx} = 1$ . Suppose that  $x \neq x'$ . If  $x \not\sim x'$ , then  $\theta(e)_{xx'} = 0$ . If  $x \sim x'$ , then  $\tau x \neq \tau x'$  because of the injectivity of the maps  $\tau : X_i^j \to Y_j$  so  $\theta(e)_{xx'} = 0$ . Hence  $\theta(e)$  is the identity.

The next result is obvious.

**Proposition 6.2.** The Bratteli diagram [7] associated to the map  $\theta: A(Y) \to A(X)$  consists of two horizontal rows with n vertices on the top row labelled by the numbers  $|Y_1|, \ldots, |Y_n|$  and m vertices on the lower row labelled by the numbers  $|X_1|, \ldots, |X_m|$  and an edge from the top vertex  $|Y_j|$  to the lower vertex  $|X_i|$  whenever  $X_i^j \neq \emptyset$ .

**6.1.1. Example: Penrose sequences.** Let X(n) be the set of Penrose sequences  $z_0 ldots z_n$ , i.e., length-(n + 1) sequences of 0's and 1's in which the subsequence 11 does not appear. Let  $\tau: X(n + 1) \to X(n)$  be the map  $\tau(z_0 ldots z_{n+1}) = z_0 ldots z_n$ . Let  $X(n)_0$  be the set of sequences in X(n) ending in 0, and  $X(n)_1$  the set of sequences ending in 1. It is easy to verify that  $\tau$  satisfies the condition in Proposition 6.1. Since  $|X(n + 1)_0| = f_{n+2}$  and  $|X(n + 1)_1| = f_{n+1}$ , the Bratteli diagram is



meaning that the associated algebra homomorphism

 $\theta: M_{f_{n+1}}(k) \times M_{f_n}(k) \to M_{f_{n+2}}(k) \times M_{f_{n+1}}(k)$ 

is

$$\theta_n(a,b) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, a \right).$$

**6.1.2. Failure of functoriality.** Given partitioned sets and maps  $X \xrightarrow{\tau} Y \xrightarrow{\sigma} Z$  in which both  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  have the property in Proposition 6.1,  $\sigma \tau : X \to Z$  need not have the property in Proposition 6.1. An example of this is

$$X = X_1 = \{000, 100, 010, 110\}, Y_1 = \{00, 10\}, Y_2 = \{10, 11\}, Z = Z_1 = \{0, 1\}$$

with  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  both being the map *drop the final digit*.

**6.2.** (0,1)-sequences with at most *r* consecutive 1's. Fix  $r \ge 1$ . For all  $n \ge 0$ , and  $0 \le i, j \le r$  we define

$$X := \{ \text{sequences } z_0 z_1 \dots \text{ of } 0\text{'s and } 1\text{'s without } r+1 \text{ consecutive } 1\text{'s} \};$$
  

$$X(n) := \{ \text{sequences } z_0 z_1 \dots z_n \text{ of } 0\text{'s and } 1\text{'s without } r+1 \text{ consecutive } 1\text{'s} \};$$
  

$$X(n)_i := \{ z_0 z_1 \dots z_n \in X(n) \text{ that end } 01^i \} \text{ if } 0 \le i \le n;$$
  

$$X(n)_{n+1} := \{ 1 \dots 1 = 1^{n+1} \};$$
  

$$\tau \colon X(n+1) \to X(n), \quad \tau(z_0 \dots z_{n+1}) := z_0 \dots z_n;$$
  

$$X(n+1)_i^j := X(n+1)_i \cap \tau^{-1}(X(n)_j).$$

**Lemma 6.3.** The conditions in Proposition 6.1 hold for  $\tau : X(n + 1) \rightarrow X(n)$  with respect to the partition

$$X(n) = X(n)_0 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup X(n)_r$$

*Proof.* The set  $X(n + 1)_0^j = \{z_0 \dots z_{n-j-1} 0 | j 0 \in X(n + 1)\}$  is non-empty if and only if  $n \ge j$ , and in that case  $\tau$  maps it bijectively to  $X(n)_j$ .

Suppose that  $i \ge 1$ . Then  $X(n+1)_i^j$  is non-empty if and only if  $i = j+1 \le n+1$ and in that case it consists of all words  $z_0 \ldots z_{n-i} 01^i$  in X(n+1) and  $\tau$  maps it bijectively to  $X(n)_{i-1}$ .

It is convenient to make the following definitions:

 $\varepsilon := \text{the empty sequence of 0's and 1's,}$   $X(-1) := \{\varepsilon\},$   $X(-1)_0 := \{\varepsilon\},$  $X(n) := \emptyset \text{ for } n \le -2.$ 

**Lemma 6.4.** *Define*  $c_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by

 $c_i = 0$  for  $i \le -2$ ,  $c_{-1} = 1$ ,  $c_n = c_{n-1} + c_{n-2} + \dots + c_{n-r-1}$  for  $n \ge 0$ .

Thus  $c_n = 2^n$  for  $0 \le i \le r$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$|X(n)| = c_{n+1}.$$
 (6.1)

*Proof.* It is clear that (6.1) holds for  $n \leq -1$ .

The recurrence relation for  $c_n$  implies  $c_n = 2^n$  for  $0 \le n \le r$ . Furthermore, if  $-1 \le n \le r-1$ , X(n) consists of all length-(n + 1) sequences of 0's and 1's so  $|X(n)| = 2^{n+1}$ . Hence  $|X(n)| = c_{n+1}$  for  $-1 \le n \le r-1$ . It is also clear that  $|X(r)| = 2^{r+1} - 1 = c_{r+1}$ . Hence (6.1) holds for all  $n \le r$ .

We now assume  $n \ge r$  and (6.1) is true for *n*. We will prove (6.1) holds with n + 1 in place of *n*.

The sets  $X(n)_i$  and  $X(n)_i^j$  are only defined for  $0 \le i, j \le r$  so the qualifications  $j \le n$  and  $i \le n + 1$  in the proof of Lemma 6.3 are satisfied when  $n \ge r$ . Because  $n \ge r$ , the proof of Lemma 6.3 therefore tells us that

- (1)  $|X(n+1)_0^j| = |X(n)_j|$  for all j;
- (2)  $|X(n+1)_i^j| = \emptyset$  if  $i \ge 1$  and  $j \ne i 1$ ;
- (3)  $|X(n+1)_i^{i-1}| = |X(n)_{i-1}|$  if  $i \ge 1$ .

*Claim*: If  $n \ge r$  and  $0 \le i \le r$ , then

$$|\mathsf{X}(n)_i| = c_{n-i}.\tag{6.2}$$

*Proof.* Since  $X(r)_i$  consists of sequences  $z = z_0 \dots z_{r-i-1} 01^i$  where  $z_0 \dots z_{r-i-1}$  is an arbitrary sequence of length r - i,  $|X(r)_i| = 2^{r-i} = c_{r-i}$ . Hence (6.2) holds for n = r and all  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . Now assume that (6.2) holds for some  $n \ge r$  and all i. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathsf{X}(n+1)_0| &= |\mathsf{X}(n+1)_0^0 \sqcup \mathsf{X}(n+1)_0^1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathsf{X}(n+1)_0^r| \\ &= |\mathsf{X}(n+1)_0^0| + |\mathsf{X}(n+1)_0^1| + \dots + |\mathsf{X}(n+1)_0^r| \\ &= |\mathsf{X}(n)_0| + |\mathsf{X}(n)_1| + \dots + |\mathsf{X}(n)_r| \\ &= c_n + c_{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-r} \\ &= c_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $i \ge 1$ , then

$$|X(n+1)_i| = |X(n+1)_i^{i-1}| = |X(n)_{i-1}| = c_{n+1-i}.$$

Hence (6.2) holds with n + 1 in place of n and for all i = 0, ..., r. By induction, (6.2) holds for all  $n \ge r$  and all i = 0, ..., r.

It follows from the claim that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathsf{X}(n+1)| &= |\mathsf{X}(n+1)_0 \sqcup \mathsf{X}(n+1)_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathsf{X}(n+1)_r \\ &= c_{n+1} + c_n + \cdots + c_{n+1-r} \\ &= c_{n+2}, \end{aligned}$$

so (6.1) is true for all  $n \ge 0$ .

#### **6.3.** The algebras A(n) and their direct limit. For $n \ge 0$ we define

$$A(n) := A(X(n-1)).$$
 (6.3)

Thus A(0) = k.

The inverse limit of the directed system of sets  $\dots \rightarrow X(n + 1) \rightarrow X(n) \rightarrow \dots$  is X together with the maps  $\rho_n : X \rightarrow X(n)$  given by  $\rho_n(z_0z_1...) = z_0...z_n$ . Applying the procedure described in Proposition 6.1 to the inverse system

$$\mathsf{X} = \varprojlim_{n} \mathsf{X}(n) \to \cdots \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathsf{X}(2) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathsf{X}(1) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathsf{X}(0) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathsf{X}(-1)$$

produces a directed system of k-algebras and k-algebra homomorphisms

$$k = A(0) \xrightarrow{\theta} A(1) \xrightarrow{\theta} A(2) \xrightarrow{\theta} A(3) \xrightarrow{\theta} \dots \rightarrow A = \varinjlim_{n} A(n).$$

The following picture for r = 3 serves as a mnemonic to understand the construction of each A(n) and the maps  $\tau$  that induce the homomorphisms  $A(n) \rightarrow A(n + 1)$ :



The meaning of the solid arrows is that  $\tau (X(n)_i) \subset X(n-1)_{i-1}$  when  $i \ge 1$  (in fact  $\tau$  restricts to a bijection between  $X(n)_i$  and  $X(n-1)_{i-1}$ ). The dashed arrows from  $X(n)_0$  to  $X(n-1)_j$  mean that  $\tau (X(n)_0) \cap X(n-1)_j \ne \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, ..., \min\{r, n\}$ .

We now reinterpret this diagram, more precisely its generalization for an arbitrary  $r \ge 1$ , to obtain a description of the homomorphisms.

**Proposition 6.5.** Let  $c_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , be the numbers defined in Lemma 6.4. The Bratteli diagram for A is repeated copies of



*Proof.* Suppose that  $n \ge r$ . Then  $X(n-1) = X(n-1)_0 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup X(n-1)_r$  so A(n) = A(X(n-1)) is a product of r+1 matrix algebras of sizes  $|X(n-1)_0|, \ldots, |X(n-1)_r|$ . Similarly, A(n+1) is a product of r+1 matrix algebras of sizes  $|X(n)_0|, \ldots, |X(n-1)_r|$ . By Proposition 6.2, the Bratteli diagram for the map  $A(n) \to A(n+1)$  has an edge from the top vertex labelled by  $c_{n-j} = |X(n-1)_j|$  to the lower vertex labelled  $c_{n-i} = |X(n)_i|$  whenever  $X(n)_i^j \ne \emptyset$ .

By the proof of Lemma 6.3,  $X(n)_0^j$  is non-empty for all j so there is an edge from the top vertex labelled  $c_{n-j}$  to the bottom vertex labelled  $c_n$  for all j.

Suppose that  $i \ge 1$ . The proof of Lemma 6.3 shows that  $X(n)_i^{i-1}$  is non-empty and  $X(n)_i^j$  is empty if  $j \ne i-1$ ; i.e., there is an edge from the top vertex labelled  $c_{n-i} = |X(n-1)_{i-1}|$  to the lower vertex labelled  $c_{n-i} = |X(n)_i|$  and no other edges from the top row to the lower vertex labelled  $c_{n-i} = |X(n)_i|$ .

This completes the proof that the Bratteli diagram is as claimed for  $n \ge r$ .

Now suppose that  $0 \le n \le r-1$ . Then A(n) is a product of n + 1 matrix algebras of sizes  $|X(n-1)_0| = c_{n-1}, \ldots, |X(n-1)_n| = c_{-1}$ ; however,  $c_i = 0$  for  $i \le -2$  so we can, and will, think of A(n) as a product of r + 1 matrix algebras of sizes  $c_{n-1}, \ldots, c_{-1}, c_{-2}, \ldots, c_{n-r-1}$ . With this convention, and a generalization of the diagram just prior to the statement of this proposition one sees the Bratteli diagram is as claimed for  $0 \le n \le r-1$ .

# **Theorem 6.6.** The k-algebra S defined in Section 4.3 is isomorphic to $A = \lim_{n \to \infty} A(n)$ .

*Proof.* If  $b_n = c_n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ , the Bratteli diagram in Proposition 6.5 for the directed system of A(n)s is the same as the Bratteli diagram for the directed system of  $S_n$ s in Proposition 5.1 and therefore  $A \cong S$  by Elliott's Theorem [12].

By Proposition 3.1, the sequence  $b_n = \dim_k B_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , satisfies the same recurrence relation as the sequence  $c_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ . Since  $b_i = 2^i = c_i$  for  $0 \le i \le r$ ,  $c_n = b_n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .



The Bratteli diagrams for the cases r = 1, r = 2, and r = 3, are

The Fibonacci sequence appears in the left-most column of the left-most Bratteli diagram and the Tribonacci sequence occurs in the left-most column of the middle diagram.

**6.4. Infinite paths in the Bratteli diagram.** Fix r and consider the corresponding Bratteli diagram. For example, set r = 3 and consider the right-most of the three diagrams above. Relabel the vertices by placing 0 in each left-most vertex and label all other vertices with 1. Replace each edge by a downward pointing arrow. An infinite path in the Bratteli diagram is a sequence  $z_0z_1...$  in which  $z_i$  is a vertex at level i and there is a downward arrow  $z_i \rightarrow z_{i+1}$  (the 0<sup>b</sup> level is the top one). The set of all infinite paths is the set of all infinite sequences of 0's and 1's such that r + 1 consecutive 1's never occur. The infinite paths in the Bratteli diagram are in natural bijection with the points in X.

### 7. The Grothendieck group of qgr B

As before, let  $B = k \langle x, y \rangle / (y^{r+1})$ .

7.1. Let  $\alpha$  be the smallest positive real root of the irreducible polynomial  $t^{r+1} - t^r - \cdots - t - 1$  (we say more about  $\alpha$  in Section 7.5). We will prove  $K_0(qgr(B))$  is

isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  as an ordered abelian group with order unit  $[\mathcal{O}]$  corresponding to  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ ,  $[\mathcal{O}(-1)]$  corresponding to  $\alpha$ , and  $[\mathcal{F}(-1)] = \alpha[\mathcal{F}]$  for all  $\mathcal{F} \in qgr(kQ)$ . We will also describe the structure of  $K_0(qgr(B))$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -module.

The  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -module structure arises as follows.

Given a group G acting as automorphisms of an abelian category A,  $K_0(A)$  becomes a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module by  $g \cdot [\mathcal{F}] := [g \cdot \mathcal{F}]$ . Because B is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring the degree shift functor  $M \rightsquigarrow M(1)$  gives  $K_0(\operatorname{gr} B)$  the structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module with t acting as (-1). The Serre twist,  $\mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}(1)$ , on  $\operatorname{qgr} B$  gives  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr} B)$  the structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module with t acting as (-1).

**7.2.** One might try to compute the Grothendieck group of the category qgr(B) by using the localization sequence  $K_0(\text{fdim } B) \rightarrow K_0(\text{gr } B) \rightarrow K_0(\text{qgr } B) \rightarrow 0$ . However, the global dimension of *B* is infinite so we cannot conclude that the natural map  $K_0(P(\text{gr } B)) \rightarrow K_0(\text{gr } B)$  induced by the inclusion of the exact subcategory P(gr B) of projective objects in gr *B* is an isomorphism. It therefore seems a difficult task to compute  $K_0(\text{gr } B)$ .

However, there is an inclusion of *B* in the path algebra of a quiver  $Q, f : B \to kQ$ , with the property that  $kQ \otimes_B -$  induces an equivalence of categories  $qgr(B) \to qgr(kQ)$ . Since kQ has finite global dimension we can compute  $K_0(gr(kQ))$  easily then use the localization sequence

$$K_0(\mathsf{fdim}(kQ)) \to K_0(\mathsf{gr}(kQ)) \to K_0(\mathsf{qgr}(kQ)) \to 0$$

to compute  $K_0(qgr(kQ))$  and hence  $K_0(qgr B)$ .

The methods and results in Sections 7.3 and 7.4 parallel those in [18] and [19]. The results in those papers relate a monomial algebra to the path algebra of its Ufnarovskii graph but here Q is not the Ufnarovskii graph of B.

**7.3.** Fix an integer  $r \ge 1$  and let Q be the quiver



We write kQ for the path algebra of Q. We write  $Q_0$  for the set of vertices in Q and  $Q_1$  for the set of arrows. If a is an arrow we write s(a) for its start and h(a) for its head: visually,  $s(a) \xrightarrow{a} h(a)$ .

**7.3.1. Notation.** Let p and q be paths in Q such that p ends where q starts. We adopt the convention that pq denotes the path *traverse* p *then* q. We write  $e_i$  for the trivial path, i.e., the primitive idempotent, at vertex i.

**Proposition 7.1.** There is an injective algebra homomorphism  $f: B \to kQ$  such that

 $f(x) = x_1 + \dots + x_{r+1}, \quad f(y) = y_1 + \dots + y_r.$ 

*Proof.* Since  $(y_1 + \dots + y_r)^{r+1} = 0$ , f is a well-defined algebra homomorphism. A basis for B is provided by the set

 $W := \{ words in x and y not containing y^{r+1} as a subword \}.$ 

The function  $\psi$ : {paths in Q that begin at vertex 1}  $\rightarrow$  W defined by

$$\psi(p) := \begin{cases} \text{the word obtained by removing the subscripts} \\ \text{from the arrows } x_i \text{ and } y_j \text{ that appear in } p \end{cases}$$

is a bijection.

To show f is injective it suffices to show that  $\{f(w_{\lambda}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is linearly independent whenever  $\{w_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a finite subset of W. Indeed, it is enough to show that  $\{e_1 f(w_{\lambda}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is linearly independent. We will prove this by showing that  $\{e_1 f(w_{\lambda}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  consists of different paths.

We will do this by showing that

(a)  $e_1 f(w)$  is a path (necessarily beginning at vertex 1) and

(b)  $\psi(e_1 f(w)) = w$  for all  $w \in W$ .

(The linear independence of  $\{e_1 f(w_\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  follows from (b).) We argue by induction on deg w. It is clear that  $e_1 f(1) = 1$  and  $\psi(e_1 f(1)) = 1$  so (a) and (b) are true when deg w = 0.

Suppose that (a) and (b) are true for  $w \in W$ .

Then  $e_1 f(wx) = e_1 f(w) f(x) = e_1 f(w)(x_1 + \dots + x_{r+1})$ ; the fact that  $e_1 f(w)$  is a path implies  $e_1 f(w) f(x) = e_1 f(w) x_j$  for some j. Hence (a) holds for wx. Because  $\psi(e_1 f(w)) = w$ ,  $\psi(e_1 f(w) x_j) = \psi(e_1 f(w)) x = wx$ , i.e., (b) holds for wx.

Now consider  $e_1 f(wy) = e_1 f(w)(y_1 + \dots + y_r)$ . If the path  $e_1 f(w)$  ends at a vertex  $i \neq r + 1$ , then  $e_1 f(wy) = e_1 f(w)y_i \neq 0$ . The path  $e_1 f(w)$  begins at vertex 1 so if it were to end at r + 1 it would equal  $p'y_1 \dots y_r$  for some path p' which would imply  $w = \psi(e_1 f(w)) = \psi(p'y_1 \dots y_r) = \psi(p')y^r$  whence  $wy = \psi(p')y^{r+1} = 0$ , i.e.,  $wy \notin W$ . We conclude that  $e_1 f(w) f(y)$  is non-zero and therefore equal to  $e_1 f(w)y_i$  for some *i*. Hence (a) holds for wy. So does (b) because  $\psi(e_1 f(wy)) = \psi(e_1 f(w)y_i) = \psi(e_1 f(w))y = wy$ .

This completes the proof that (a) and (b) hold for all  $w \in W$ . Item (b) implies that  $\{e_1 f(w_\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  consists of different paths. The injectivity of f follows.  $\Box$ 

**7.4.** We will follow the strategy in [18] and [19] and use the following theorem of Artin and Zhang to show that the map  $f: B \to kQ$  induces an equivalence of categories  $QGr(B) \to QGr(kQ)$  via the functor  $kQ \otimes_B -$ .

The space of Penrose tilings and  $k\langle x, y \rangle / (y^2)$  573

**Proposition 7.2** ([3], Prop. 2.5). Let *B* and *D* be  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded *k*-algebras such that  $\dim_k B_i < \infty$  and  $\dim_k D_i < \infty$  for all *i*. Let  $f: B \to D$  be a homomorphism of graded *k*-algebras. If ker *f* and coker *f* belong to Fdim *B*, then  $D \otimes_B -$  induces an equivalence of categories

$$\operatorname{QGr} B \to \frac{\operatorname{Gr} D}{\operatorname{T}_B}$$
(7.1)

where

$$\mathsf{T}_B = \{ M \in \mathsf{Gr} \ D \mid M_B \in \mathsf{Fdim} \ B \}.$$

We now proceed to prove that the hypotheses of Proposition 7.2 hold for the map  $f: B \to kQ$  and that the category  $T_B$  in (7.1) is equal to Fdim(kQ).

**Lemma 7.3** (Cf. [19], Lemma 2.2). Let  $f: B \to kQ$  be the homomorphism in Proposition 7.1 and write  $(kQ)B_n$  for the left ideal of kQ generated by  $f(B_n)$ . For all  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$(kQ)B_n = kQ_{\geq n}.$$

*Proof.* Write D = kQ.

We will prove that  $D_0 B_n = D_n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . This is certainly true for n = 0. Since  $e_i f(x) = x_i$  and  $e_i f(y) = y_i$ ,  $D_0 B_1 = D_1$ . We now argue by induction on *n*. If  $D_0 B_{n-1} = D_{n-1}$ , then

$$D_0 B_n = D_0 (B_1)^n = D_0 (B_1)^{n-1} B_1$$
  
=  $D_{n-1} B_1 = D_{n-1} D_0 B_1 = D_{n-1} D_1 = D_n$ 

This completes the proof that  $D_0 B_n = D_n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Hence  $DB_n = D_{\ge n}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 7.4.** The cokernel of the homomorphism  $f: B \to kQ$  in Proposition 7.1 belongs to Fdim *B*.

*Proof.* Write D = kQ.

By [18], Lemma 2.2, coker(f) belongs to  $\mathsf{Fdim}(B)$  if  $f(B_m)D_0 \subset f(B_m)$  and  $f(B_m)D_1 \subset f(B_{m+1})$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will show that  $f(B_{r+1})D_0 \subset f(B_{r+1})$  and  $f(B_{r+1})D_1 \subset f(B_{r+2})$ .

Claim: If the letter x appears in  $w \in W$ , there is an arrow a such that f(w) = da for some  $d \in kQ$ .

*Proof.* If w = w''xw' for  $w', w'' \in W$ , then  $f(w) = f(w'')(x_1 + \cdots + x_{r+1})f(w') = f(w''x)e_1f(w')$  because all arrows  $x_i$  end at vertex 1. However,  $e_1f(w')$  is a path by the statement (a) in the proof of Proposition 7.1. In particular  $e_1f(w') = d'a$  for some arrow a and some path d'. Hence f(w) = f(w''x)d'a for some arrow a.

Suppose that  $w \in B_{r+1}$ . Since  $y^{r+1} = 0$ , at least one x appears in w. Therefore f(w) = da for some arrow a and some  $d \in kQ$ .

Hence  $f(w)e_i = dae_i$  is either 0 or da. In either case,  $f(w)e_i \in f(B_{r+1})$ . Therefore  $f(B_{r+1})D_0 \subset f(B_{r+1})$ .

Now consider  $f(w)D_1$ . If a ends at vertex r + 1, then  $f(w)Q_1 = \{0, dax_{r+1}\}$ and  $dax_{r+1} = daf(x) = f(wx) \in f(B_{r+2})$ . If a ends at vertex  $i \neq r + 1$ , then  $f(w)Q_1 = \{0, dax_i, day_i\}$  and  $dax_i = f(wx) \in f(B_{r+2})$  and  $vay_i = f(wy) \in f(B_{r+2})$ . This completes the proof that  $f(B_{r+1})D_1 \subset f(B_{r+2})$ .

Therefore [18], Lemma 2.2, implies that coker(f) belongs to Fdim(B)

The proof of the next result is essentially identical to that of [19], Prop. 2.3.

**Proposition 7.5.**  $T_B = Fdim(kQ)$ .

The next theorem now follows from Propositions 7.1, 7.2, 7.5, and Lemma 7.4.

**Theorem 7.6.** Let  $f: B \to kQ$  be the homomorphism in Proposition 7.1. The functor  $kQ \otimes_B -$  induces an equivalence of categories

$$F : \mathsf{QGr}(B) \xrightarrow{\equiv} \mathsf{QGr}(kQ).$$

Furthermore,

- (1) *F* restricts to an equivalence between qgr(B) and qgr(kQ);
- (2)  $F(\mathcal{F}(1)] \cong F(\mathcal{F})(1)$  for all  $\mathcal{F} \in QGr(B)$ ;
- (3) if  $\mathcal{O}$  is used to denote both the image of  $_{B}B$  in QGr(B) and  $_{kQ}kQ$  in QGr(kQ), then  $F(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}$ .

**7.5. The Pisot number**  $\alpha$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic integer belonging to  $\mathbb{R}$ . We call  $\alpha$  a *Pisot number* if  $\alpha > 1$  and all its Galois conjugates have modulus < 1. We refer the reader to [13] for basic information about Pisot numbers.

By [8], the polynomial  $f(x) := x^{r+1} - x^r - \dots - x - 1$  is irreducible for all  $r \ge 1$  and

 $\alpha$  := the unique positive real root of f(x)

is a Pisot number. As remarked in [9], the  $\alpha$ s are monotonically increasing and converge to 2 as *r* increases.

In [9], p. 3, the  $(r + 1)^{st}$  multinacci number  $\omega_{r+1}$  is defined to be the positive solution of the equation

$$1-t-t^2-\cdots-t^{r+1}.$$

Thus,  $\omega_{r+1} = \alpha^{-1}$ .

When r = 1,  $\alpha := \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , the Golden Ratio. When r = 2,  $\alpha$  is the Tribonacci constant, the limit of the ratio  $t_n/t_{n-1}$  of successive terms in the Tribonacci sequence defined by  $t_n = t_{n-1} + t_{n-2} + t_{n-3}$  and  $t_0 = 1$ ,  $t_1 = 2$ ,  $t_2 = 4$ . Recall,  $t_n$  is the dimension of the degree *n* component of the algebra  $k\langle x, y \rangle/(y^3)$ .

The following result, which concerns the growth of the coefficients of the power series expansion of the rational function  $H_B(t)$ , is probably implicit, if not explicit, in the literature.

The space of Penrose tilings and  $k\langle x, y \rangle / (y^2)$ 

575

**Proposition 7.7.** Let  $B = k \langle x, y \rangle / (y^{r+1})$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim B_n}{\alpha^n} = \frac{\alpha^{r+2}}{1 + 2\alpha + 3\alpha^2 + \dots + (r+1)\alpha^r}$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1,

$$H_B(t) = \frac{1 + t + \dots + t^r}{1 - t - \dots - t^{r+1}}$$

The denominator is  $t^{r+1} f(t^{-1})$ , which has a simple zero at  $\alpha^{-1}$ , so

$$H_B(t) = \frac{c\alpha}{1 - \alpha t} + \frac{h(t)}{g(t)}$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $(1 - \alpha t)g(t)$  is the denominator of  $H_B(t)$  and h(t) is some polynomial. It follows that

$$c\alpha = \lim_{t \to \alpha^{-1}} H_B(t)(1 - \alpha t).$$

Since  $H_B(t)(1-\alpha t)$  is a ratio of polynomials that vanish at  $\alpha^{-1}$ , l'Hôpital's rule tells us that

$$c\alpha = \lim_{t \to \alpha^{-1}} H_B(t)(1 - \alpha t) = \frac{\alpha^{r+2}}{1 + 2\alpha + 3\alpha^2 + \dots + (r+1)\alpha^r}$$

On the other hand,

$$H_B(t) = c\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha^i t^i + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i t^i,$$

where the second term is the formal power series expansion of h(t)/g(t). However, since  $\alpha$  is a Pisot number all the zeroes of g(t) lie outside the unit disk and therefore  $\lim_{i\to\infty} d_i = 0$ . But  $\frac{\dim B_n}{\alpha^n} = c\alpha + \frac{d_n}{\alpha^n}$ ,

so the result follows.

**7.6.** We are now ready to compute the Grothendieck group  $K_0(qgr(B))$ , first as a  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -module then as an ordered abelian group with order unit.

**Theorem 7.8.** Let Q be the quiver at the beginning of Section 7.3. There is an isomorphism

$$K_0(\operatorname{qgr}(B)) \cong K_0(\operatorname{qgr}(kQ)) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]}{(1 - t - \dots - t^{r+1})}$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -modules under which  $[\mathcal{O}] \mapsto 1$  and  $[\mathcal{F}(-1)] = t[\mathcal{F}]$  for all  $\mathcal{F} \in qgr(B)$ .

*Proof.* We will use the localization sequence  $K_0(\operatorname{fdim}(kQ)) \to K_0(\operatorname{gr}(kQ)) \to K_0(\operatorname{gr}(kQ)) \to 0$  to compute  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr}(kQ))$ .

Let P be the exact subcategory of projective objects in gr(kQ). The inclusion  $P \rightarrow gr(kQ)$  induces a group homomorphism  $K_0(P) \rightarrow K_0(gr(kQ))$ . Since kQ has finite global dimension (=1) this map is an isomorphism. The indecomposable projectives in gr(kQ) are the graded modules  $P_i := (kQ)e_i, i \in Q_0$ , and their twists  $P_i(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $p_i$  denote the class  $[P_i]$  in  $K_0(gr(kQ))$ . Thus  $K_0(gr(kQ))$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -module with basis  $p_1, \ldots, p_{r+1}$ .

Let  $V_i$ ,  $i \in Q_0$ , be the 1-dimensional simple graded left kQ-module supported at i and concentrated in degree 0. The  $V_i$ s belong to  $\mathsf{fdim}(kQ)$ . Every object in  $\mathsf{fdim}(kQ)$  has a composition series whose factors are isomorphic to twists of the  $V_i$ s. By dévissage  $K_0(\mathsf{fdim}(kQ))$  is the free  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -module with basis the classes  $[V_i]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r + 1$ . Let  $v_i$  be the image of  $[V_i]$  in  $K_0(\mathsf{gr}(kQ))$  under the natural map  $K_0(\mathsf{fdim}(kQ)) \to K_0(\mathsf{gr}(kQ))$ . The localization sequence tells us that  $K_0(\mathsf{qgr}(kQ))$ is isomorphic as a  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -module to  $K_0(\mathsf{gr}(kQ))$  modulo the submodule generated by  $v_1, \ldots, v_{r+1}$ .

The minimal projective resolution of  $V_i$  in gr(kQ) is

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{a \in h^{-1}(i)} P_{s(a)}(-1) \xrightarrow{(\cdot a)} P_i \to V_i \to 0$$

so

$$v_i = [P_i] - \sum_{a \in h^{-1}(i)} [P_{s(a)}(-1)] = p_i - t \sum_{a \in h^{-1}(i)} p_{s(a)}.$$

Hence  $K_0(qgr(kQ))$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+1} \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]p_i$  modulo the relations

$$p_i = t \sum_{a \in h^{-1}(i)} p_{s(a)}, \quad 1 \le i \le r+1.$$

These relations are  $p_1 = t(p_1 + \dots + p_{r+1})$  and  $p_i = tp_{i-1}$  for  $i = 2, \dots, r+1$ . The relations imply that  $p_i = t^{i-1}p_1$  for  $i = 2, \dots, r+1$  and  $p_1 = t(1+t+\dots+t^r)p_1$ .

Hence  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr}(kQ))$  is isomorphic to the rank one free module  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]p_1$  modulo the relation  $p_1 = t(1 + t + \dots + t^r)p_1$ . Since  $kQ = P_1 \oplus \dots \oplus P_{r+1}$ ,  $[\mathcal{O}] = (1 + t + \dots + t^r)p_1 = t^{-1}p_1$  so  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr}(kQ))$  is also generated by  $[\mathcal{O}]$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ module. Hence  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr}(kQ))$  is isomorphic to the rank one free module  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}] \cdot [\mathcal{O}]$ modulo the relation  $[\mathcal{O}] = t(1 + t + \dots + t^r)[\mathcal{O}]$ . By sending  $[\mathcal{O}]$  to 1 we obtain an isomorphism

$$K_0(\operatorname{qgr}(kQ)) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]}{(1 - t - \dots - t^{r+1})}$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -modules. It is a tautology that  $[\mathcal{F}(-1)] = t[\mathcal{F}]$ .

We need a special case of the following result of Frougny and Solomyak.

**Theorem 7.9** ([13], Thm. 2). Let  $f(x) = x^m - a_1 x^{m-1} - \dots - a_m$  where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \dots \ge a_m > 0$ . Then

The space of Penrose tilings and  $k\langle x, y \rangle / (y^2)$ 

- (1) f(x) has a unique positive root,  $\beta$ ;
- (2)  $\beta$  is a Pisot number;
- (3)

$$\mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = \bigcup_{s=0}^{\infty} \{ \sum_{i=-s}^{s} a_i \beta^i \mid a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\} \}.$$

To describe the order structure on  $K_0(qgr(B))$  we embed it in  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ .

**Theorem 7.10.** Let  $\alpha$  be the unique positive root of  $x^{r+1} - x^r - \cdots - x - 1$ .

- (1) There is an injective group homomorphism  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr} B) \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $[\mathcal{O}] \mapsto 1$ and  $[\mathcal{O}(-n)] \mapsto \alpha^n$  that maps  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr} B)$  isomorphically to  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ .
- (2) The image of the positive cone in  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr} B)$  under the homomorphism in (1) is  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cap \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{R}^+$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since the constant term in the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  is 1,  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}]$ . The minimal polynomial of  $\alpha^{-1}$  is  $1 - t - \cdots - t^{r+1}$  so there is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]}{(1-t-\cdots-t^{r+1})} \to \mathbb{Z}[\alpha], \quad t \mapsto \alpha^{-1}.$$

Combining this with the description of  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr} B)$  in Theorem 7.8 gives a  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ module isomorphism  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr} B) \to \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  where *t* acts on  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  as multiplication by  $\alpha^{-1}$ .

(2) If  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a_i \alpha^i \leftrightarrow [\mathcal{O}(-i)^{\oplus a_i}]$  under the isomorphism in (1) so Theorem 7.9 implies that given  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  there is an object  $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{qgr} B$  such that the isomorphism in (1) sends  $[\mathcal{F}]$  to  $\gamma$ .

It remains to show that if  $\mathscr{F} \in \operatorname{qgr} B$ , then  $[\mathscr{F}] \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cap \mathbb{R}^+$ .<sup>2</sup> Since qgr *B* is equivalent to mod *S*,  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr} B) \cong K_0(S) = \lim_{\longrightarrow} K_0(S_n)$ . We will write elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$  as column vectors.

From now on we consider the directed system  $K_0(S_r) \rightarrow K_0(S_{r+1}) \rightarrow \cdots$ . Hence in all that follows *n* is assumed to be  $\geq r$ .

The algebra  $S_n$  is a product of (r + 1) matrix algebras of sizes  $b_n, b_{n-1}, \ldots, b_{n-r}$  so we make the identification

$$K_0(S_n) = \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$$

with  $K_0(S_n)_{\geq 0} = \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  and order unit  $[S_n] = (b_n, \dots, b_{n-r})^\top$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>At present we are unable to prove this without using the equivalence qgr  $B \equiv \text{mod } S$  but we hope to find an alternative proof that relies only on arguments involving B and kQ.

#### S. P. Smith

It follows from the Bratteli diagram for the inclusion  $\phi_n \colon S_n \to S_{n+1}$  in Proposition 5.1 that the induced map  $K_0(S_n) \to K_0(S_{n+1})$  is left multiplication by

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (7.2)

(One can check that  $M \cdot [S_n] = M(b_n, \dots, b_{n-r})^\top = [S_{n+1}]$  because  $b_{n+1} = b_n + \dots + b_{n-r}$ .) The directed system  $K_0(S_r) \to K_0(S_{r+1}) \to \dots$  is therefore isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{M} \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{M} \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{M} \cdots$$

The vector  $\underline{v} = (v_0, \ldots, v_r)$  where

$$v_r = \alpha^r,$$
  

$$v_{r-1} = \alpha^r + \alpha^{r-1},$$
  

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$
  

$$v_1 = \alpha^r + \alpha^{r-1} + \dots + \alpha,$$
  

$$v_0 = \alpha^{r+1},$$

is a left  $\alpha$ -eigenvector for M. Since  $\underline{v}M = \alpha \underline{v}$ , the diagram

commutes. Since the entries of  $\underline{v}$  are positive, the vertical maps  $\alpha^{-n}\underline{v}$  send  $\mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  to  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Hence  $K_0(S)_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Since the entries of  $\alpha^{-n}\underline{v}$  belong to  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ , the vertical maps send  $\mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ . Hence  $K_0(S)_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ .

**7.6.1. Remark.** The order unit in  $K_0(S) = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  is the image of

$$[S_r] = (2^r, \dots, 2, 1)^\top$$

under the left-most vertical map in (7.3). One can replace  $\underline{v}$  by a non-zero scalar multiple of itself in the vertical maps of (7.3) to make the order unit 1.

**7.7.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{qgr} B$ . There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(-i)^{c_i} \to \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(-i)^{d_i} \to \mathcal{M} \to 0$$

for a suitable set of non-negative integers  $c_i$  and  $d_i$  only finitely many of which are non-zero. We define

$$p_{\mathcal{M}}(t) := \sum_{i} (d_i - c_i) t^i.$$

Under the isomorphism  $K_0(\operatorname{qgr} B) \to \mathbb{Z}[\alpha], [\mathcal{O}] \mapsto 1, [\mathcal{O}(-1)] \mapsto \alpha$ , the image of  $[\mathcal{M}]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  is  $p_{\mathcal{M}}(\alpha^{-1})$ .

**Corollary 7.11.** If  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  belong to qgr B and  $p_{\mathcal{M}}(\alpha^{-1}) \leq p_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha^{-1})$ , then  $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{F}$  for some  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{qgr } B$ .

*Proof.* The hypothesis implies that  $[\mathcal{N}] - [\mathcal{M}] \ge 0$  so Theorem 7.10 implies that  $[\mathcal{N}] - [\mathcal{M}] = [\mathcal{F}]$  for some  $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{qgr} B$ . Hence  $[\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{F}] = [\mathcal{N}]$  and therefore  $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{G}$  for some  $\mathcal{G} \in \operatorname{qgr} G$  by the definition of  $K_0$ . But multi-matrix algebras are unit regular rings so S, being a direct limit of such, is also unit-regular. By [15], Thm. 4.5, we can cancel  $\mathcal{G}$  to obtain the claimed result.

## 8. The perspective of noncommutative algebraic geometry

In the language of noncommutative algebraic geometry,  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$ , which is defined implicitly by declaring that  $\operatorname{Qcoh}(\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B) := \operatorname{QGr} B$ , is an *affine* nc-scheme because its category of "quasi-coherent sheaves" is equivalent to a category of modules over a ring.

**8.1.** Skyscraper sheaves associated to elements of X. As in Section 6.2, we write X for the set of sequences  $z_0z_1...$  of 0's and 1's having at most r consecutive 1's. Elements z and z' in X are equivalent, denoted by  $z \sim z'$ , if  $z_{\geq n} = z'_{>n}$  for some n.

Given  $z \in X$ , let  $M_z$  be the point module defined in Section 1.5 and let  $\mathcal{O}_z$  be  $M_z$  viewed as an object in QGr B; i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}_z = \beta^* M_z$ .

**Proposition 8.1.** Let  $z, z' \in X$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_z \cong \mathcal{O}_{z'}$  if and only if  $z \sim z'$ .

*Proof.* See Section 1.5.

**Proposition 8.2.** Suppose that  $k = \mathbb{F}_2$ . If M is a point module for B, then  $\beta^* M \cong \mathcal{O}_z$  for some  $z \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e_0, e_1, \ldots$  be a homogeneous basis for M with deg  $e_i = i$ . Define  $z_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$  by  $y \cdot e_i = z_i e_{i+1}$ . Then  $z_0 z_1 z_2 \ldots$  is a sequence of 0's and 1's and every 1 is followed by a 0 because  $y^2 = 0$ .

Proposition 8.1, sets up a bijection between the equivalence classes of the Penrose tilings and certain "points" on  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$ .

**Proposition 8.3.** If  $k \not\cong \mathbb{F}_2$  there is a point module M such that  $\beta^* M$  is not isomorphic to any  $\mathcal{O}_z$ ,  $z \in \mathbf{P}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in k - \{0, 1\}$ . Let  $\lambda = \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots$  be a sequence in which each  $\lambda_i$  belongs to  $\{0, 1, a\}$  with the restriction that if  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda_{i+1} = 0$ . Let M be the graded vector space with basis  $e_0, e_1, \dots$  and deg  $e_i = i$ . Make M a graded left B-module by declaring that

$$x \cdot e_i = e_{i+1}, \quad y \cdot e_i := \lambda_i e_{i+1}.$$

If *a* appears infinitely often in  $\lambda$ , then  $\beta^* M$  is not isomorphic to any  $\mathcal{O}_z$ .

**8.2.**  $\operatorname{Proj}_{\operatorname{nc}} B$  is a "smooth noncommutative curve". Let *X* be an irreducible algebraic variety. Then *X* is a smooth algebraic curve if and only if  $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\operatorname{Qcoh} X}$  is identically zero and  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\operatorname{Qcoh} X}$  is not.

Given that fact, the next result suggests that  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$  might be thought of as a smooth noncommutative curve, albeit of a strange kind.

**Proposition 8.4.**  $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\operatorname{QGr} B}$  is identically zero and  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\operatorname{QGr} B}$  is not.

*Proof.* Since *S* is a direct limit of semisimple *k*-algebras, the main result in [5] tells us that the global homological dimension of *S* is at most 1, i.e.,  $\text{Ext}_S^2$  is identically zero. Since *S* is not a semisimple ring it does not have global dimension 0.

**8.3.** "Dense points" in  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$ . The space of Penrose tilings modulo isometry is a coarse topological space, i.e., every point in it is dense.

In Section 1.6 (see Proposition 1.4), we observed that  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\operatorname{QGr} B}(\mathcal{O}_{z}, \mathcal{O}_{z'}) \neq 0$  for all  $z, z' \in X$ . We now explain why this can be interpreted as a "density" result.

First, we think of the isomorphism classes of the simple objects in QGr B as playing the role of "points" on the noncommutative curve  $\operatorname{Proj}_{nc} B$ .

Let *P* be a finite topological space satisfying the  $T_0$  condition. The category of such topological spaces is equivalent to the category of finite posets. We make *P* a poset by declaring that  $x \leq y$  if  $x \in \{\overline{y}\}$ .

Let I(P) be the incidence algebra of P. The isomorphism classes of simple left I(P)-modules are in bijection with the points of P. If  $V_x$  and  $V_y$  are simple left modules associated to distinct points  $x, y \in P$ , then  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{I(P)}(V_x, V_y) \neq 0$  if and only if y covers x which means that x < y and if  $x \le z \le y$ , then  $z \in \{x, y\}$ . (Ladkani's result [21], Lemma 2.7, that the category of sheaves of finite dimensional vector spaces on P is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional right I(P)-modules persuades the author that I(P) is a "coordinate ring" of the topological space P.)

In particular,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{I(P)}(V_{x}, V_{y}) \neq 0 \implies x \in \{\overline{y}\}.$$

Conversely, if  $x \in \{\overline{y}\}$ , there is a sequence of points  $x = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = y$  such that  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{I(P)}(V_{x_i}, V_{x_{i+1}}) \neq 0$  for all *i*.

Since  $\mathcal{O}_z$  depends only on the equivalence class [z] of z in X/ $\sim$ , this result about incidence algebras suggests we might interpret the fact that  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\operatorname{QGr} B}(\mathcal{O}_z, \mathcal{O}_{z'}) \neq 0$  for all  $z, z' \in X$  as saying that "every [z] is in the closure of every  $\{[z']\}$ ", i.e., every point in X/ $\sim$  is dense. As we said, this is indeed the case.

## **Appendix A: Ratio set**

We have used Grünbaum and Shepard's book [16] as our standard reference for tilings. We have also found the books by Senechal [28] and Sadun [27] helpful. This appendix follows [16], Ch. 10, quite closely.

A.1. Tilings of the plane. For our purposes the following definitions suffice. A *tiling*  $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, T_2, ...\}$  of the plane is a countable family of closed subsets  $T_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

- (1) the union of the  $T_i$ s is  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ;
- (2) each  $T_i$  is a polygon, not necessarily convex, and is the closure of its interior;
- (3) the interiors of the  $T_i$ s are pairwise disjoint;
- (4) if  $T_i \cap T_j \neq \emptyset$ , then  $T_i \cap T_j$  is an edge of  $T_i$  and an edge of  $T_j$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, T_2, ...\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}' = \{T'_1, T'_2, ...\}$  be tilings of the plane. We say  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'$  are *congruent* if there is an isometry  $\sigma$  of the plane such that  $\{\sigma T_1, \sigma T_2, ...\} = \{T'_1, T'_2, ...\}$ . We say  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'$  are *equal* or *the same* if there is a positive real number  $\lambda$  such that  $\{\lambda T_1, \lambda T_2, ...\}$  is congruent to  $\mathcal{T}'$ .

An isometry  $\sigma$  of the plane is called a *symmetry* of  $\mathcal{T}$  if

$$\{\sigma T_1, \sigma T_2, \dots\} = \{T_1, T_2, \dots\}.$$

**A.2. Prototiles.** A set of closed polygons  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  is called a *set of prototiles* for the tiling  $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, T_2, \ldots\}$  if every  $T_i$  is congruent, directly or by reflection, to some  $p_j$ .

A.3. Kites and darts. Let  $\tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  and  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{5}$ . A quadrangle with sides of length 1, 1,  $\tau$ ,  $\tau$  and interior angles  $2\theta$ ,  $2\theta$ ,  $2\theta$ ,  $4\theta$  is called a *kite*. A quadrangle with sides of length 1, 1,  $\tau$ ,  $\tau$  and interior angles  $\theta$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $2\theta$ ,  $6\theta$  is called a *dart*. We color the vertices of the kite and dart as follows: on the kite the vertex at the angle  $4\theta$  and the vertex opposite it are colored black and the other two vertices are colored white; on

the dart the vertex at the angle  $6\theta$  and the vertex opposite it are colored white and the other two vertices are colored black. See the picture on [16], p. 539.

A tiling  $\mathcal{T}$  of the plane is called a *Penrose tiling* if

- (1) the kite and dart form a set of prototiles for  $\mathcal{T}$ ;
- (2) whenever a point is a vertex of more than one tile it is the same color on each of those tiles.

Condition (2) is called a *matching rule*.

A.4. A-tiles. Cutting a kite along its axis of symmetry produces two congruent isoceles triangles that have sides of length 1,  $\tau$ ,  $\tau$  and acute interior angles. Cutting a dart along its axis of symmetry produces two congruent isoceles triangles having sides of length 1, 1,  $\tau$  and an obtuse interior angle. The area of a triangle coming from a kite is bigger than that of a triangle coming from a dart. We call the two triangles A-tiles and label the larger one with the letter A and the smaller with the letter a. The vertices of the A-tiles are colored by inheriting the colors of the vertices on the kite and dart.

Given a Penrose tiling  $\mathcal{T}$  we can cut each kite and dart in it along its axis to produce a new tiling of the plane by triangles. The triangles A and a form a set of prototiles for the new tiling. We call the new tiling an A-tiling.

We can reverse this process provided we impose a matching rule for the A-tiles that involves matching the color of common vertices and matching certain orientations of the sides of the triangles [16], pp. 538–540. A tiling  $\mathcal{T}'$  for which the two A-tiles form a set of prototiles is called an A-tiling if the matching rules are satisfied. Such an A-tiling  $\mathcal{T}'$  can be converted to a Penrose tiling by kites and darts if we delete all edges that join vertices of the same color or, equivalently, by "amalgamating the A-tiles in mirror image pairs" [16], p. 540.

**A.5.** *B*-tiles. We can form an isoceles triangle with sides of length  $\tau$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $1 + \tau$  by placing an *A*-triangle next to an *a*-triangle in such a way that coincident vertices have the same color. We label this new triangle with the letter *B*. The color of the vertices of *B* and the orientation of its sides are inherited from those of *A* and *a*.

Given an A-tiling  $\mathcal{T}$  we can delete certain edges so as to amalgamate adjacent A- and a-tiles in a unique way to form B-triangles. All the a-tiles disappear in this process so we obtain a new tiling having B and A as prototiles. We now replace the label A by the letter b so the new tiling has B and b as prototiles. The triangles B and b are called B-tiles and we call the new tiling a B-tiling. The area of B is bigger than that of b.

This process of passing from an A-tiling to a B-tiling is called *composition*.

A similar composition process may be applied to a *B*-tiling to produce an *A*-tiling: one deletes edges so as to amalgamate adjacent *B*- and *b*-tiles, subject to matching rules, to form a triangle congruent to  $\tau A$  by which we mean a copy of *A* scaled up by a factor of  $\tau$ . Every *b* tile disappears in this process and one now has a tiling with prototiles  $\tau A$  and  $B = \tau a$  We shrink the  $\tau A$ -tiling by a factor of  $\tau$  to get a genuine A-tiling. If we wish to we can then delete all edges that join vertices of the same color to produce a genuine Penrose tiling by kites and darts.

**A.6.** Penrose sequences. Following [16], p. 568, we now explain how to assign to each Penrose tiling a sequence  $z_0z_1...$  of 0's and 1's.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a Penrose tiling and  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$  a point that does not lie on the edge or axis of symmetry of any kite or dart. Cut each kite and dart along its axis of symmetry to form an A-tiling. We set  $z_0 = 0$  if p is in an A-tile and set  $z_0 = 1$  if it is in an a-tile. We now compose to obtain a B-tiling and set  $z_1 = 0$  if p is in a B-tile and set  $z_1 = 1$  if it is in a b-tile. We now apply the composition process to this B-tiling to get a  $\tau A$  tiling, shrink by a factor of  $\tau$ , and determine  $z_2$  in the same way we determined  $z_0$ . Notice that  $z_1 = 0$  if  $z_0 = 1$  because all a-tiles are absorbed into B-tiles when composing an A-tiling to get a B-tiling. Likewise,  $z_2 = 0$  if  $z_1 = 1$  because in composing a B-tiling to get an A-tiling all b-tiles are absorbed into  $\tau A$ -tiles.

We repeat this ad infinitum to produce a sequence  $z_0z_1...$  in which each 1 is followed by a 0. Every infinite sequence of 0's and 1's without adjacent 1's is obtained from some Penrose tiling  $\mathcal{T}$  and point p [16], Thm. 10.5.9. To prove this one must construct a Penrose tiling from each such sequence; the procedure is explained at [16], p. 568. Some sequences lead to a tiling that fills up only a half-plane or a sector with angle  $\pi/5$ ; one then fills the whole plane by reflection(s).

The sequence depends on the choice of p. However, if one picks a different point p', then after a certain number of compositions p and p' end up in the same tile whence the sequence for  $(\mathcal{T}, p)$  is eventually the same as the sequence for  $(\mathcal{T}, p')$ . In the vernacular, the sequences have the same *tail*. Conversely, two sequences that have the same tail correspond to two points in the same tiling.

If  $\sigma$  is a symmetry of  $\mathcal{T}$  the sequences associated to  $(\mathcal{T}, p)$  and  $(\mathcal{T}, \sigma p)$  are the same. Conversely, if the sequences for  $(\mathcal{T}, p)$  and  $(\mathcal{T}', p')$  are identical, then  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'$  are the same and p' is the image of p under some symmetry of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

## Appendix B: Classification of all point modules for $\mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle / (y^2)$

**B.1. Point module sequences.** A *point-module sequence* for  $\mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle/(y^2)$  is a sequence of points  $a = a_0, a_1, \ldots$  on the Riemann sphere with the property that if  $a_i \neq \infty$ , then  $a_{i+1} = \infty$ . Two such sequences a and b are *equivalent* if there is an integer n such that  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i \ge n$ .

**B.2.** Point modules. If  $M = \mathbb{C}e_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \ldots$  is a point module for  $\mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle / (y^2)$  with deg  $e_i = i$  we associate to M the point-module sequence  $a(M) = (a_0, a_1, \ldots)$ 

defined by

$$a_i = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } ye_i = 0, \\ xe_i = a_i ye_i & \text{if } ye_i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Conversely, if **b** is a point-module sequence there is a unique-up-to-isomorphism point module M such that a(M) = b.

**Proposition B.5.** If M and N are point modules, then  $\beta^*M \cong \beta^*N$  if and only if a(M) is equivalent to a(N).

**B.3. Semi-infinite paths.** There is a map  $\Psi$  from the set of point-module sequences to the set of semi-infinite paths  $p = p_0 p_1 \dots$  in the directed graph



that begin at the vertex labelled 0. If  $a = a_0 a_1 \dots$  is a point-module sequence, define  $\Psi(a) = p_0 p_1 \dots$  as follows: if  $a_i \neq \infty$ , then  $p_i$  is the arrow labelled  $\mathbb{C}$ ; there is then a unique way to assign arrows labelled  $\infty$  to the other  $a_j$ s so that  $p_0 p_1 \dots$  is an infinite path starting at 0.

The fiber of  $\Psi$  over a path  $p_0 p_1 \dots$  beginning at 0 is a product of copies of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\{\infty\}$ . For example, the fiber that contains  $\infty 1 \infty 2 \infty \infty 3 \infty \dots$  is

$$\{\infty\}\times\mathbb{C}\times\infty\times\mathbb{C}\times\{\infty\}\times\{\infty\}\times\mathbb{C}\times\{\infty\}\times\cdots.$$

#### References

- M. Artin, J. Tate, and M. Van den Bergh, Some algebras associated to automorphisms of elliptic curves. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, Vol. I, Progr. Math. 86, Birkhäuser, Boston 1990, 33–85. Zbl 0744.14024 MR 1086882
- M. Artin, J. Tate, and M. Van den Bergh, Modules over regular algebras of dimension 3. *Invent. Math.* 106 (1991), 335–388. Zbl 0763.14001 MR 1128218
- [3] M. Artin and J. J. Zhang, Noncommutative projective schemes. Adv. Math. 109 (1994), 228–287. Zbl 0833.14002 MR 1304753
- [4] J. Bellissard, A. Julien, and J. Savinien, Tiling groupoids and Bratteli diagrams. Ann. Henri Poincaré 11 (2010), 69–99. Zbl 1218.37024 MR 2658985
- [5] I. Berstein, On the dimension of modules and algebras. IX: Direct limits. *Nagoya Math. J.* 13 (1958), 83–84. Zbl 0084.26602 MR 0100618
- [6] V. Berthé and A. Siegel, Tilings associated with beta-numeration and substitutions. *Integers* 5 (2005), A2. Zbl 1139.37008 MR 2191748
- [7] O. Bratteli, Inductive limits of finite dimensional C\*-algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 195–234. Zbl 0264.46057 MR 0312282

- [8] A. Brauer, On algebraic equations with all but one root in the interior of the unit circle. *Math. Nachr.* 4 (1951), 250–257. Zbl 0042.01501 MR 0041975
- [9] D. Broomhead, J. Montaldi, and N. Sidorov, Golden gaskets: variations on the Sierpiński sieve. *Nonlinearity* 17 (2004), 1455–1480. Zbl 1054.28002 MR 2069714
- [10] A. Connes, Noncommutative geometry. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994. Zbl 0818.46076 MR 1303779
- [11] E. G. Effros, *Dimensions and C\*-algebras*. CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. 46, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, D.C., 1981. Zbl 0475.46050 MR 623762
- [12] G. A. Elliott, On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finitedimensional algebras. J. Algebra 38 (1976), 29–44. Zbl 0323.46063 MR 0397420
- [13] C. Frougny and B. Solomyak, Finite beta-expansions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 12 (1992), 713–723. Zbl 0814.68065 MR 1200339
- [14] P. Gabriel, Des catégories abéliennes. Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1962), 323–448.
   Zbl 0201.35602 MR 0232821
- [15] K. R. Goodearl, Von Neumann regular rings. Monogr. Stud. Math. 4, Pitman, Boston 1979. Zbl 0411.16007 MR 533669
- [16] B. Grünbaum and G. C. Shephard, *Tilings and patterns*. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York 1987. Zbl 0601.05001 MR 857454
- [17] D. Herbera, Simple modules over small rings. In *Rings, modules and representations*, Contemp. Math. 480, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, 189–205. Zbl 1197.16003 MR 2508152
- [18] C. Holdaway and S. P. Smith, An equivalence of categories for graded modules over monomial algebras and path algebras of quivers. J. Algebra 353 (2012), 249–260. Zbl 1261.16016 MR 2872446
- [19] C. Holdaway and S. Paul Smith, Corrigendum to "An equivalence of categories for graded modules over monomial algebras and path algebras of quivers". J. Algebra 357 (2012), 319–321. Zbl 1261.16016 MR 2905258
- [20] H. Krause, The spectrum of a module category. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 149 (2001), no. 707. Zbl 0981.16007 MR 1803703
- [21] S. Ladkani, On derived equivalences of categories of sheaves over finite posets. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008), 435–451. Zbl 1127.18005 MR 2357344
- [22] D. Lazard, Sur les modules plats. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 258 (1964), 6313–6316.
   Zbl 0135.07604 MR 0168625
- [23] M. S. Osborne, Basic homological algebra. Graduate Texts in Math. 196, Springer-Verlag, New York 2000. Zbl 0948.18001 MR 1757274
- [24] D. Piontkovski, Coherent algebras and noncommutative projective lines. J. Algebra 319 (2008), 3280–3290. Zbl 1193.16015 MR 2408318
- [25] M. Prest, Purity, spectra and localisation. Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 121, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2009. Zbl 1205.16002 MR 2530988
- [26] G. Rauzy, Nombres algébriques et substitutions. Bull. Soc. Math. France 110 (1982), 147–178. Zbl 0522.10032 MR 667748

#### S.P. Smith

- [27] L. Sadun, Topology of tiling spaces. Univ. Lecture Ser. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. 2008. Zbl 1166.52001 MR 2446623
- [28] M. Senechal, Quasicrystals and geometry. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995. Zbl 0828.52007 MR 1340198
- [29] S. P. Smith, Subspaces of non-commutative spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), 2131–2171. Zbl 0998.14003 MR 1885647
- [30] S. P. Smith, The non-commutative scheme having a free algebra as a homogeneous coordinate ring. Preprint 2011. arXiv:1104.3822
- [31] M. Van den Bergh, Blowing up of non-commutative smooth surfaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 154 (2001), no. 734. Zbl 0998.14002 MR 1846352

Received April 27, 2011; revised July 5, 2012

S. P. Smith, Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-4350, U.S.A.

E-mail: smith@math.washington.edu