

A note on the Lichnerowicz vanishing theorem for proper actions

Weiping Zhang

Abstract. We prove a Lichnerowicz type vanishing theorem for non-compact spin manifolds admitting proper cocompact actions. This extends a previous result of Ziran Liu who proves it for the case where the acting group is unimodular.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 58J20; 58J05.

Keywords. Positive scalar curvature, proper actions, vanishing theorem.

1. Introduction

A classical theorem of Lichnerowicz [3] states that if an even dimensional closed smooth spin manifold admits a Riemannian metric of positive scalar curvature, then the index of the associated Dirac operator vanishes. In this note we prove an extension of this vanishing theorem to the case where a (possibly non-compact) spin manifold M admitting a proper cocompact action by a locally compact group G .

To be more precise, recall that for such an action, a so called G -invariant index has been defined by Mathai–Zhang in [5]. Thus it is natural to ask whether this index vanishes if M carries a G -invariant Riemannian metric of positive scalar curvature. Such a result has indeed been proved by Liu in [4] for the case of unimodular G . In this note we extend Liu’s result to the case of general G .

We will recall the definition of the Mathai–Zhang index [5] and state the main result as Theorem 2.2 in Section 2; and then prove Theorem 2.2 in Section 3.

2. A vanishing theorem for the Mathai–Zhang index

Let M be an even dimensional spin manifold. Let G be a locally compact group which acts on M properly and cocompactly, where by proper we mean that the map

$$G \times M \rightarrow M \times M, \quad (g, x) \mapsto (x, gx),$$

is proper (the pre-image of a compact subset is compact), while by cocompact we mean that the quotient M/G is compact. We also assume that G preserves the spin structure on M .

Given a G -invariant Riemannian metric g^{TM} (cf. [5, (2.3)]), we can construct canonically a G -equivariant Dirac operator $D : \Gamma(S(TM)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S(TM))$ (cf. [2] and [5]), acting on the Hermitian spinor bundle $S(TM) = S_+(TM) \oplus S_-(TM)$. Let $D_{\pm} : \Gamma(S_{\pm}(TM)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_{\mp}(TM))$ be the obvious restrictions.

Let $\|\cdot\|_0$ be the standard L^2 -norm on $\Gamma(S(TM))$, let $\|\cdot\|_1$ be a (fixed) G -invariant Sobolev 1-norm. Let $\mathbf{H}^0(M, S(TM))$ be the completion of $\Gamma(S(TM))$ under $\|\cdot\|_0$. Let $\Gamma(S(TM))^G$ denote the space of G -invariant smooth sections of $S(TM)$.

Recall that by the compactness of M/G , there exists a compact subset Y of M such that $G(Y) = M$ (cf. [6, Lemma 2.3]). Let U, U' be two open subsets of M such that $Y \subset U$ and that the closures \bar{U} and \bar{U}' are both compact in M , and that $\bar{U} \subset U'$. Following [5], let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ be a nonnegative function such that $f|_U = 1$ and $\text{Supp}(f) \subset U'$.

Let $\mathbf{H}_f^0(M, S(TM))^G$ and $\mathbf{H}_f^1(M, S(TM))^G$ be the completions of

$$\{fs : s \in \Gamma(S(TM))^G\}$$

under $\|\cdot\|_0$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ respectively. Let P_f denote the orthogonal projection from $\mathbf{H}^0(M, S(TM))$ to $\mathbf{H}_f^0(M, S(TM))^G$. Clearly, $P_f D$ maps $\mathbf{H}_f^1(M, S(TM))^G$ into $\mathbf{H}_f^0(M, S(TM))^G$.

We recall a basic result from [5, Proposition 2.1].

Proposition 2.1. *The operator $P_f D : \mathbf{H}_f^1(M, S(TM))^G \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_f^0(M, S(TM))^G$ is a Fredholm operator.*

It has been shown in [5] that $\text{ind}(P_f D_+)$ is independent of the choice of the cut-off function f , as well as the G -invariant metric involved. Following [5, Definition 2.4], we denote $\text{ind}(P_f D_+)$ by $\text{ind}_G(D_+)$.

The main result of this note can be stated as follows.

Theorem 2.2. *If there is a G -invariant metric g^{TM} on TM such that its scalar curvature k^{TM} is positive over M , then $\text{ind}_G(D_+) = 0$.*

Remark 2.3. If G is unimodular, then Theorem 2.2 has been proved in [4]. Our proof of Theorem 2.2 combines the method in [4] with a simple observation that in order to prove the vanishing of the index, one need not restrict to self-adjoint operators.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Following [5, (2.16)], let $\tilde{D}_{f,\pm} : \mathbf{H}_f^1(M, S_{\pm}(TM))^G \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_f^0(M, S_{\mp}(TM))^G$ be defined by that for any $s \in \Gamma(S_{\pm}(TM))^G$,

$$\tilde{D}_{f,\pm}(fs) = f D_{\pm}s. \quad (3.1)$$

Since one verifies easily that (cf. [5, (4.2)])

$$\widetilde{D}_{f,\pm}(fs) - P_f D_{\pm}(fs) = -P_f (c(df)s), \tag{3.2}$$

one sees that $\widetilde{D}_{f,\pm}$ is a compact perturbation of $P_f D_{\pm}$. Thus, one has

$$\text{ind}(\widetilde{D}_{f,+}) = \text{ind}(P_f D_+). \tag{3.3}$$

Now by (3.1), if $fs \in \ker(\widetilde{D}_{f,+})$, then $s \in \ker(D_+)$. Thus, by the standard Lichnerowicz formula [3], one has (cf. [1, p. 112] and [4, (3.6)])

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta(|s|^2) = \left| \nabla^{S_+(TM)} s \right|^2 + \frac{k^{TM}}{4}|s|^2 \geq \frac{k^{TM}}{4}|s|^2, \tag{3.4}$$

where Δ is the negative Laplace operator on M and $\nabla^{S_+(TM)}$ is the canonical Hermitian connection on $S_+(TM)$ induced by g^{TM} .

As has been observed in [4], since the G -action on M is cocompact and $|s|$ is clearly G -invariant, there exists $x \in M$ such that

$$|s(x)| = \max\{|s(y)| : y \in M\}. \tag{3.5}$$

By the standard maximum principle, one has at x that

$$\Delta(|s|^2) \leq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Combining (3.6) with (3.4), one sees that if $k^{TM} > 0$ over M , one has

$$s(x) = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

which implies that $s \equiv 0$ on M . Thus, one has $\ker(\widetilde{D}_{f,+}) = \{0\}$, and, consequently,

$$\text{ind}(\widetilde{D}_{f,+}) \leq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, for any $s, s' \in \Gamma(S(TM))$, one verifies that

$$\langle fDs, fs' \rangle = \langle s, D(f^2s') \rangle = \langle fs, D(fs') + c(df)s' \rangle. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $\widehat{D}_{f,\pm} : \mathbf{H}_f^1(M, S_{\pm}(TM))^G \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_f^0(M, S_{\mp}(TM))^G$ be defined by that for any $s \in \Gamma(S_{\pm}(TM))^G$,

$$\widehat{D}_{f,\pm}(fs) = P_f (D_{\pm}(fs) + c(df)s). \tag{3.10}$$

Clearly, $\widehat{D}_{f,+}$ is a compact perturbation of $P_f D_+$. Thus one has

$$\text{ind}(\widehat{D}_{f,+}) = \text{ind}(P_f D_+). \tag{3.11}$$

Now by (3.9), one sees that the formal adjoint of $\widehat{D}_{f,+}$ is $\widetilde{D}_{f,-}$, while by proceeding as in (3.4)–(3.7), one finds that $\ker(\widetilde{D}_{f,-}) = \{0\}$. Thus, one has

$$\operatorname{ind}(\widehat{D}_{f,+}) \geq 0. \quad (3.12)$$

From (3.3), (3.8), (3.11) and (3.12), one gets $\operatorname{ind}(P_f D_+) = 0$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Acknowledgements. The author is indebted to Mathai Varghese for sharing his ideas in the joint work [5] and to Ziran Liu for helpful discussions. This work was partially supported by MOEC and NNSFC.

References

- [1] M. Gromov and H. B. Lawson, Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete manifolds, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, **58** (1983), 83–196 (1984). [Zbl 0538.53047](#) [MR 720933](#)
- [2] H. B. Lawson and M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. [Zbl 0688.57001](#) [MR 1031992](#)
- [3] A. Lichnerowicz, Spineurs harmoniques, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Série A*, **257** (1963), 7–9. [Zbl 0136.18401](#) [MR 0156292](#)
- [4] Z. Liu, A Lichnerowicz vanishing theorem for proper cocompact actions, 2013. [arXiv:1310.4903](#)
- [5] V. Mathai and W. Zhang, Geometric quantization for proper actions (with an Appendix by U. Bunke), *Adv. in Math.*, **225** (2010), 1224–1247. [Zbl 1211.53101](#) [MR 2673729](#)
- [6] N. C. Phillips, *Equivariant K-Theory for Proper Actions*, Longman Scientific & Technical, 1989. [Zbl 0684.55018](#) [MR 991566](#)

Received 05 June, 2015

W. Zhang, Chern Institute of Mathematics & LPMC, Nankai University,
Tianjin 300071, China
E-mail: weiping@nankai.edu.cn