Differentiable absorption of Hilbert C*-modules, connections, and lifts of unbounded operators

Jens Kaad

Abstract. The Kasparov absorption (or stabilization) theorem states that any countably generated Hilbert C^* -module is isomorphic to a direct summand in the standard module of square summable sequences in the base C^* -algebra. In this paper, this result will be generalized by incorporating a densely defined derivation on the base C^* -algebra. This leads to a differentiable version of the Kasparov absorption theorem. The extra compatibility assumptions needed are minimal: It will only be required that there exists a sequence of generators with mutual inner products in the domain of the derivation. The differentiable absorption theorem is then applied to construct densely defined connections (or correspondences) on Hilbert C^* -modules. These connections can in turn be used to define selfadjoint and regular "lifts" of unbounded operators which act on an auxiliary Hilbert C^* -module.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 46L08, 46L87; 53C05, 47A05, 46L57, 58B34.

Keywords. Hilbert C^* -modules, derivations, differentiable absorption, Graßmann connections, regular unbounded operators.

1. Introduction

The famous Kasparov absorption theorem states that any countably generated Hilbert C^* -module X over any C^* -algebra A is a direct summand in a free Hilbert C^* -module [20,22,24]. One may thus think of Hilbert C^* -modules as a natural generalization of finitely generated projective modules over C^* -algebras.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove a version of the Kasparov absorption theorem which takes into account any differentiable structure which may exist on the base C^* -algebra A. Following the scheme of noncommutative geometry, this extra differentiable structure will be encoded in a densely defined derivation δ which is compatible with the adjoint operation, [8].

As an application of our differentiable absorption theorem we prove the existence of densely defined Hermitian connections on Hilbert C^* -modules under very weak differentiability assumptions. This provides a vast generalization of the classical existence result for Hermitian connections on smooth Hermitian vector bundles, see [13, Section 7.11, Proposition II].

As a further application we study the general question of "lifting" an unbounded selfadjoint operator D defined on an auxiliary Hilbert C^* -module to an unbounded operator $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D$ which acts on an interior tensor product. The "lift" $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D$ will have the *formal* expression $c(\nabla) + 1 \otimes D$, where c denotes the "Clifford action" and ∇ denotes our densely defined Hermitian connection. In a classical context this procedure amounts to twisting a first order symmetric differential operator by a smooth Hermitian vector bundle which comes equipped with a Hermitian connection, see [14, Example 1.9]. In a noncommutative setting this kind of lift appears in the work of A. Connes, but the focus is usually restricted to the case of *finitely* generated projective modules, see [9]. The applications to unbounded KK-theory do however require a thorough study of this lifting problem in the context of *infinitely* generated projective modules, [18,23]. To understand why the lifting problem is of interest in the infinitely generated projective case it suffices to consider a compact smooth fibre bundle equipped with a Riemannian metric in the fibre direction. Integration along the fibre then produces a Hilbert C^* -module (actually even a locally trivial bundle of Hilbert spaces) and the lifting problem then amounts to lifting a first order symmetric differential operator on the base manifold to a symmetric first order differential operator on the total space of the fibre bundle, see [1].

We are in this text particularly interested in the selfadjointness (and regularity) properties of the lift $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D$ and we will study this question under the differentiability conditions required for the differentiable absorption theorem. These conditions are motivated by the geometry of non-complete manifolds and are in particular much weaker than the smooth projectivity conditions applied in [18] and [23]. The lack of smooth projectivity implies that the lift $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D$ need *not* be selfadjoint (in fact we shall see in an example that it may even fail to have a selfadjoint extension). We are however able to prove that the lift $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D$ can be modified into a selfadjoint (and regular) operator where the modification procedure corresponds (at the commutative level) to making a conformal change of the underlying metric, see [10]. This "conformal change" turns out to be strongly linked to the differentiable structure of the Hilbert C^* -module X and the correct "conformal factor" is therefore already present in the formulation of the differentiable absorption theorem.

Before we proceed any further in explaining the main results of this paper, let us state the Kasparov absorption theorem. Let H_A denote the standard module consisting of square summable sequences in A.

Theorem 1.1 (Continuous absorption). *There exists a bounded adjointable isometry* $W: X \to H_A$.

Let $P := WW^* : H_A \to H_A$ denote the associated orthogonal projection and let us choose a dense *-subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq A$ which is included in the domain of the derivation δ . Suppose now that P is represented by an infinite matrix $\{P_{ij}\}$ of elements in \mathcal{A} . We are then interested in analyzing (the operator norm of) the derivative $\delta(P) := \{\delta(P_{ij})\}$. Our first remark is that it is known from examples

that $\delta(P)$ need *not* be a bounded operator, see [6, Proposition 6.18] for the concrete case of the (θ -deformed) Hopf fibration and [16] for a general discussion in the commutative case.

The main idea of the differentiable absorption theorem is to introduce an extra bounded operator which regularizes the growth of the derivative $\delta(P)$. We will accomplish this task under the following minimal assumption:

Assumption 1.2. There exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ of generators for X such that the inner product $\langle \xi_n, \xi_m \rangle$ lies in A for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In order to state our result, let us introduce the notation $\mathcal{K}(H_A)$ for the compact operators on the standard module H_A and $\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$ for the *differentiable* compact operators. The latter Banach *-algebra agrees with the completion of the finite matrices over \mathcal{A} with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\delta} := \|\cdot\| + \|\delta(\cdot)\|$.

Theorem 1.3 (Differentiable absorption). There exists a bounded adjointable isometry $W : X \to H_A$ and a positive selfadjoint bounded operator $K : H_A \to H_A$ such that

- (1) KP = PK
- (2) $W^*KW : X \to X$ has dense image.
- (3) $PK \in \mathcal{K}(H_A)$
- (4) $PK^2 \in \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$

where $P := WW^* : H_A \to H_A$ is the associated orthogonal projection.

Our first main application of the differentiable absorption theorem is to construct a densely defined Graßmann connection. To explain this result, let $\Omega_{\delta}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(Y)$ denote the smallest C^* -subalgebra which contains A and the image of the derivation $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L}(Y)$. We think of $\Omega_{\delta}(A)$ as an analogue of the continuous forms on a manifold. The Graßmann connection is then *formally* given by the formula $\nabla_{\delta} := P \,\delta P$. The main problem is however to show that this expression makes sense and yields a *densely defined* \mathbb{C} -linear map on the direct summand PH_A with values in the interior tensor product $PH_A \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A)$. This relies heavily on the differentiable absorption theorem. In order to state the properties of our Graßmann connection we introduce the following pairing:

$$(\cdot, \cdot): X \times X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A) \to \Omega_{\delta}(A) \qquad (\xi, \eta \otimes \omega) := \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \cdot \omega.$$

Theorem 1.4. There exists a dense A-submodule $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X$ and a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\nabla_{\delta} : \mathcal{X} \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A)$ which satisfies the Leibniz rule and is Hermitian, in the sense that

- (1) $\nabla_{\delta}(\xi \cdot a) = \nabla_{\delta}(\xi) \cdot a + \xi \otimes \delta(a)$
- (2) $\delta(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = (\xi, \nabla_{\delta}(\eta)) (\eta, \nabla_{\delta}(\xi))^*$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

We would like to emphasize that the notion of connection applied here is related to but different from previous notions of connections in noncommutative geometry, see [11, Section 8], [7, Part II, Definition 18] and [19, Definition 1.7]. One of the main differences is that the range of the connection, thus the Hilbert C^* -module $X \otimes_A \Omega_{\delta}(A)$ is not defined algebraically (we have passed to a completion of the algebraic tensor product $X \otimes_A \Omega_{\delta}(A)$). This is an important difference which allows us to deal with Hilbert C^* -modules which are not necessarily finitely generated projective. Notice also that the context of Hilbert C^* -modules makes it straightforward to formulate the second condition of Hermitianness for our connections.

With the Graßmann connection ∇_{δ} in hand we can make sense of the following operator at the *algebraic level*:

 $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{D}(D) \to X \widehat{\otimes}_{A} Y \qquad 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D : \xi \otimes \eta \mapsto \nabla_{\delta}(\xi)(\eta) + \xi \otimes D(\eta)$

thus $\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the tensor product of modules over \mathcal{A} , whereas $\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the interior tensor product of Hilbert C^* -modules. Let now Y^{∞} denote the Hilbert C^* -module of square-summable sequences in Y. In order to have a well-defined (and more manageable) unbounded operator we replace $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D$ with the contraction

$$Q \cdot \operatorname{diag}(D) \cdot Q : \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D)Q) \to QY^{\infty}$$

where $Q := P \otimes 1 : Y^{\infty} \to Y^{\infty}$ is an orthogonal projection induced by $P : H_A \to H_A$ and diag(D) : diag $(D) \to Y^{\infty}$ is the diagonal operator induced by $D : \mathcal{D}(D) \to Y$ We are interested in understanding the properties of the contraction $Q \cdot \text{diag}(D) \cdot Q$. More precisely, we investigate two fundamental questions:

(1) Is the closure of the contraction $Q \cdot \text{diag}(D) \cdot Q$ selfadjoint?

(2) Is the closure of the contraction $Q \cdot \text{diag}(D) \cdot Q$ regular?

In general, the contraction need not be essentially selfadjoint: Indeed, by analyzing our construction for the half-line, we see that $Q \cdot \text{diag}(D) \cdot Q$ provides a symmetric extension of the Dirac operator $i \frac{d}{dt} : C_c^{\infty}((0,\infty)) \rightarrow L^2((0,\infty))$. This Dirac operator has no selfadjoint extensions due to a mismatch of the deficiency indices. We do not have a counterexample to regularity but we strongly believe that such an example exists.

In order to solve this lack of selfadjointness (and possibly also of regularity) we modify the contraction $Q \cdot \text{diag}(D) \cdot Q$ by multiplying it from the left and from the right with the positive selfadjoint bounded operator with dense image, $\Delta := Q(K^2 \otimes 1)Q : QY^{\infty} \rightarrow QY^{\infty}.$ We then obtain our third main result:

Theorem 1.5. Suppose that $W : X \to H_A$ and $K : H_A \to H_A$ satisfy the properties stated in the differentiable absorption theorem. Then the closure of the unbounded operator

 $\Delta Q \cdot \operatorname{diag}(D) \cdot Q\Delta : \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D)Q\Delta) \to QY^{\infty}$

is selfadjoint and regular.

The plan of the present paper is as follows:

In Section 2 we provide a novel proof of the Kasparov absorption theorem. The usual proof consists of first stabilizing X with the standard module H_A and then construct a bounded adjointable operator $T : H_A \to X \oplus H_A$ such that both T and T^* have dense image. This yields a unitary isomorphism $H_A \cong X \oplus H_A$ by taking polar decompositions, see for example [27, Theorem 2.3] or [24, Theorem 1.4]. Another (and slightly more concrete) possibility is to apply a version of the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization procedure to the generators of the Hilbert C^* -module (after stabilizing with the standard module), see for example [20, Theorem 2]. With both of these methods, it seems impossible to obtain any control on the growth of the derivative of the associated orthogonal projection P. Our new proof is straightforward and basically consists of choosing better and better approximations to the inverse of the infinite matrix

$$G = \left\{ \langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle \right\} : H_A \to H_A$$

induced by the sequence of generators. With this procedure, we do not need to stabilize X by adding the standard module H_A .

In Section 3 we give a proof of the differentiable absorption theorem. As noted above, this is only possible because our construction of the bounded adjointable isometry $W : X \to H_A$ is more explicit than the usual construction. The extra bounded operator $K : H_A \to H_A$ also has a simple description in terms of the generators of the Hilbert C^* -module (it is basically nothing but the operator G).

In Section 4 we apply the differentiable absorption theorem to construct a densely defined Graßmann connection on the Hilbert C^* -module X, see Theorem 1.4.

In Section 5 we investigate the properties of the associated symmetric lift $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D$ and we show that it need not be selfadjoint in general.

In Section 6 we analyze the following general question: Given a selfadjoint and regular operator $D : \mathcal{D}(D) \to X$ and a bounded selfadjoint operator $x : X \to X$, what can we then say about the selfadjointness and regularity of the product xDx? This part relies on our earlier investigations with M. Lesch which led to a local-global principle for regular unbounded operators, see [17].

In Section 7 we provide a proof of Theorem 1.5 which relies on the achievements of the preceding sections.

Even though some of the concepts introduced in Section 3 and Section 4 are more naturally understood in the framework of operator modules we have decided to avoid this terminology in order to keep the exposition as simple as possible. We refer the reader who is interested in operator modules and their relation to Hilbert C^* -modules to the following two beautiful papers by D. Blecher [4,5].

Acknowledgements. I wanted to thank Ludwik Dabrowski for our discussions on the example concerning the half-line and for his general encouragement.

I would also like to thank the anonymous referee and Georges Skandalis whose comments helped me improve the exposition in the section on compositions of regular unbounded operators.

2. Continuous absorption

Throughout this section X will be a countably generated Hilbert C^* -module over an arbitrary C^* -algebra A.

Recall that the assumption "X is countably generated" means that there exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of elements in X such that the A-span

$$\operatorname{span}_{A}\left\{\xi_{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} := \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_{n} \cdot a_{n} \mid N \in \mathbb{N}, a_{n} \in A\right\}$$

is dense in X.

Let us fix such a sequence $\{\xi_n\}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the norm-estimate

$$\|\xi_n\| \le \frac{1}{n} \tag{2.1}$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us denote the standard module over A by H_A . Recall that H_A consists of the sequences $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in A such that the sequence $\{\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n^* a_n\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ converges in the norm on A. The inner product on H_A is given by $\{\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}\} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^* \cdot b_n$ and the right action is given by $\{a_n\} \cdot a := \{a_n \cdot a\}$.

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ define the compact operator

$$\Phi_N : X \to H_A, \quad \Phi_N : \eta \mapsto \{\langle \xi_n, \eta \rangle\}_{n=1}^N.$$

The adjoint is given by $\Phi_N^* : H_A \to X, \Phi_N^* : \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n \cdot a_n.$

Lemma 2.1. The sequence $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ converges in operator norm to a compact operator $\Phi: X \to H_A$. The adjoint $\Phi^*: H_A \to X$ coincides with the norm limit of the sequence $\{\Phi_N^*\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$.

Proof. It is enough to show that the sequence $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in operator norm. Thus, let $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ with $M \geq N$ be given. For each $\eta \in X$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Phi_M(\eta) - \Phi_N(\eta) \right\|^2 &= \left\| \{ \langle \xi_n, \eta \rangle \}_{n=N+1}^M \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=N+1}^M \langle \eta, \xi_n \rangle \cdot \langle \xi_n, \eta \rangle \right\| \le \|\eta\|^2 \cdot \sum_{n=N+1}^M \frac{1}{n^2} \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the norm estimate in (2.1). This computation shows that

$$\left\|\Phi_M - \Phi_N\right\| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{n=N+1}^M \frac{1}{n^2}}.$$

The sequence $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ is therefore a Cauchy sequence in operator norm.

Define the positive compact operator

$$G := \Phi \Phi^* : H_A \to H_A$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define the positive selfadjoint operator

$$G_n := (G+1/n)^{-1} : H_A \to H_A$$

To ease the notation later on, let also $G_0 := 0$.

Lemma 2.2. The sequence $\{\Phi^*G_n\Phi\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to the identity operator on *X*.

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $a \in A$. Apply the notation $e_k \cdot a \in H_A$ for the sequence with zeroes everywhere except for the element *a* in position *k*.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$(\Phi^* G_n \Phi)(\xi_k \cdot a) = (\Phi^* G_n) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_j \cdot \langle \xi_j, \xi_k \rangle \cdot a \right) = (\Phi^* G_n G)(e_k \cdot a)$$

= $(\Phi^* (G + 1/n)^{-1} G)(e_k \cdot a)$
= $\Phi^* (e_k \cdot a) - 1/n \cdot (\Phi^* (G + 1/n)^{-1})(e_k \cdot a)$
= $\xi_k \cdot a - 1/n \cdot (\Phi^* (G + 1/n)^{-1})(e_k \cdot a).$

Thus, in order to show that $(\Phi^*G_n\Phi)(\xi_k \cdot a) \to \xi_k \cdot a$ it suffices to show that

$$||1/n \cdot \Phi^* (G+1/n)^{-1}|| \to 0.$$

To this end, we simply notice that

$$\left\|1/n \cdot \Phi^*(G+1/n)^{-1}\right\|^2 \le \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \left\|(G+1/n)^{-1} \cdot G \cdot (G+1/n)^{-1}\right\| \le 1/n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have thus proved that $(\Phi^* G_n \Phi)(\eta) \to \eta$ for all $\eta \in \operatorname{span}_A \{\xi_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Therefore, since the *A*-span of the sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is dense in *X* it is enough to show that the sequence $\{\Phi^*G_n\Phi\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in operator norm. But this follows from the estimate

$$\left\|\Phi^* G_n \Phi\right\| = \left\|G_n^{1/2} \Phi \Phi^* G_n^{1/2}\right\| = \left\|G \cdot (1/n + G)^{-1}\right\| \le 1$$

which is valid for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define the compact operator $\Psi_n := (G_n - G_{n-1})^{1/2} \Phi : X \to H_A$. Remark that the difference $G_n - G_{n-1}$ is positive and invertible for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, indeed

$$G_n - G_{n-1} = (G + 1/n)^{-1} - (G + 1/(n-1))^{-1}$$

= $(G + 1/n)^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n \cdot (n-1)} \cdot (G + 1/(n-1))^{-1}$

for all $n \ge 2$. Notice also that the adjoint of $\Psi_n : X \to H_A$ is given by $\Psi_n^* =$ $\Phi^* \cdot (G_n - G_{n-1})^{1/2} : H_A \to X \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$

For each Hilbert C^* -module Y over a C^* -algebra B, let Y^{∞} denote the Hilbert C*-module over B which consists of all sequences $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of elements in Y such that the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \eta_n, \eta_n \rangle$ is convergent in *B*. The inner product on Y^{∞} is given by $\langle \{\eta_n\}, \{\zeta_n\} \rangle := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \eta_n, \zeta_n \rangle$. The right-module structure is given by $\{\eta_n\} \cdot b :=$ $\{\eta_n \cdot b\}$. For each $\eta \in Y$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the sequence in Y^{∞} with η in position *n* and zeroes elsewhere by $e_n \cdot \eta$.

Lemma 2.3. The sequence $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n \cdot \Psi_n(\eta)\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ converges in H_A^{∞} for all $\eta \in X$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in X$. We need to prove that the sequence $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n \cdot \Psi_n(\eta)\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in H_A^{∞} . Thus, let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $M \ge N$ be given. We may then compute as follows,

$$\left\|\sum_{n=N+1}^{M} e_n \cdot \Psi_n(\eta)\right\|^2 = \left\|\sum_{n=N+1}^{M} \langle \Psi_n(\eta), \Psi_n(\eta) \rangle\right\|$$
$$= \left\|\sum_{n=N+1}^{M} \langle \eta, \Phi^*(G_n - G_{n-1})\Phi(\eta) \rangle\right\|$$
$$= \left\|\langle \eta, \Phi^*(G_M - G_N)\Phi(\eta) \rangle\right\|.$$

The result of the present lemma now follows by an application of Lemma 2.2.

Define the A-linear map $\Psi: X \to H^{\infty}_A, \Psi: \eta \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \cdot \Psi_n(\eta)$. Remark that it follows from Lemma 2.3 that the sum in the definition of Ψ makes sense. **Proposition 2.4.**

$$\langle \Psi(\xi), \Psi(\eta) \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$$
 for all $\xi, \eta \in X$.

Proof. Let $\xi, \eta \in X$. By Lemma 2.2 we have that

This proves the proposition.

It follows from the above proposition that $\Psi : X \to H_A^\infty$ is bounded (it is in fact an isometry). To construct the adjoint, define the *A*-linear map $\Psi^* : \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_A \to X, \Psi^* : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \cdot x_n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi_n^*(x_n)$, where $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_A$ denotes the dense *A*-submodule in H_A^∞ consisting of all *finite* sequences in H_A . It then follows from the above proposition that

$$\left\| \langle \Psi^* \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \cdot x_n \right), \xi \rangle \right\| = \left\| \langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \cdot x_n, \Psi(\xi) \rangle \right\| \le \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \cdot x_n \right\| \cdot \|\xi\|$$

for all $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \cdot x_n \in \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_A$ and all $\xi \in X$. This implies that $\Psi^* : \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_A \to X$ extends to a bounded *A*-linear map $\Psi^* : H_A^{\infty} \to X$ and it is not hard to see that this operator is the adjoint of $\Psi : X \to H_A^{\infty}$.

The next proposition now follows immediately from Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 2.5.

$$\Psi^*\Psi = 1_X : X \to X$$

Let $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha(n) = (\alpha_1(n), \alpha_2(n))$ be a bijection. We then have an associated unitary isomorphism of Hilbert C^* -modules $U_\alpha : H_A \to H_A^\infty$ defined by

$$U_{\alpha}: e_n \cdot a \mapsto e_{\alpha_1(n)} \cdot (e_{\alpha_2(n)} \cdot a). \tag{2.2}$$

The continuous absorption theorem can now be stated and proved:

Theorem 2.6. There exists a bounded adjointable isometry $W : X \to H_A$.

Proof. Define the bounded adjointable operator $W := U_{\alpha}^* \Psi : X \to H_A$. The result of the theorem then follows immediately from Proposition 2.5.

Notice that $P := WW^* : H_A \to H_A$ is an orthogonal projection and that W induces a unitary isomorphism of Hilbert C^* -modules $W : X \to PH_A$ where $PH_A \subseteq H_A$ has inherited the structure of a Hilbert C^* -module from H_A .

The result of Theorem 2.6 can be strengthened slightly. Indeed, we have the following proposition (which is non-trivial since we are in a non-unital setting):

Proposition 2.7. There exists a sequence $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of elements in X such that

$$W(\eta) = \{\langle \zeta_k, \eta \rangle\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$$
 for all $\eta \in X$.

Proof. It suffices to fix an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and find a sequence $\{v_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ in X such that

$$\Psi_n(\eta) = \{ \langle \nu_m, \eta \rangle \}_{m=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{for all } \eta \in X.$$

To find the elements $v_m \in X$, let us also fix an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the bounded adjointable operator $P_m : H_A \to A$, $P_m : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k a_k \mapsto a_m$. We then have that

$$P_m \Psi_n = P_m \sqrt{G_n - G_{n-1}} \Phi.$$

Notice now that the bounded adjointable operator $P_m \sqrt{G_n - G_{n-1}} \Phi : X \to A$ is compact (since $\Phi : X \to H_A$ is compact). As a consequence, there exists an element $\nu_m \in X$ with

$$(P_m\sqrt{G_n-G_{n-1}}\Phi)(\eta) = \langle v_m, \eta \rangle$$
 for all $\eta \in X$.

This proves the proposition.

Remark 2.8. The sequence $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in *X* which implements $W : X \to H_A$ is a "standard normalized tight frame" in the terminology of M. Frank and D. R. Larson, see [12, Definition 2.1] (notice however that we never assume that *A* is unital).

Remark 2.9. It is possible to prove a more general absorption theorem in the following context: Consider two Hilbert C^* -modules X and Y over the same C^* -algebra A. These two Hilbert C^* -modules are then supposed to be linked by an unbounded regular operator $\Phi : \mathcal{D}(\Phi) \to Y$ such that the adjoint $\Phi^* : \mathcal{D}(\Phi^*) \to X$ has dense image. We recall that the regularity assumption means that both Φ and Φ^* are closed and densely defined and that the unbounded closed operators

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pm i & \Phi^* \\ \Phi & \pm i \end{pmatrix} \colon \mathcal{D}(\Phi) \oplus \mathcal{D}(\Phi^*) \to X \oplus Y$$

are *surjective*.

It can then be verified (using a similar argument to the one presented above) that there is an explicit bounded adjointable isometry

$$\Psi: X \to Y^{\infty}.$$

Indeed, Ψ can be defined as the strict limit of the sequence $\{\Psi^N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ given by

$$\Psi^{N}: X \to Y^{\infty}$$

$$\Psi^{N}: \eta \mapsto \sum_{n=2}^{N} e_{n} \cdot \Phi\left(\left(\Phi^{*}\Phi + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1} - \left(\Phi^{*}\Phi + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{-1}\right)^{1/2}(\eta)$$

$$+ e_{1} \cdot \Phi(\Phi^{*}\Phi + 1)^{-1/2}(\eta)$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Differentiable absorption

Let X be a countably generated Hilbert C^* -module over a C^* -algebra A. Furthermore, let B be a C^* -algebra and let $\rho : A \to B$ be an injective *-homomorphism.

The "differentiable structure" on *A* will come in the form of a dense *-subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq A$ and a linear map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to B$ such that

$$\delta(a_1 \cdot a_2) = \delta(a_1) \cdot \rho(a_2) + \rho(a_1) \cdot \delta(a_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(a^*) = -\delta(a)^*$$

for all $a, a_1, a_2 \in A$. The derivation $\delta : A \to B$ is required to be *closed*. Thus, whenever $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence in A such that $\delta(a_n) \to b$ and $a_n \to 0$ for some $b \in B$ we may conclude that b = 0.

We let A_{δ} denote the completion of \mathcal{A} with respect to the norm

 $\|\cdot\|_{\delta}: \mathcal{A} \to [0,\infty) \qquad \|a\|_{\delta}:=\|a\|+\|\delta(a)\|.$

It follows by closedness that $\delta : A \to B$ extends to a well-defined derivation $\delta : A_{\delta} \to B$. Remark that $||a^*||_{\delta} = ||a||_{\delta}$ for all $a \in A_{\delta}$, but that the *C**-identity does not hold for the norm $|| \cdot ||_{\delta}$.

The countably generated Hilbert C^* -module X is assumed to be compatible with the differentiable structure on A by the following condition: There exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in X such that

$$\langle \xi_n, \xi_m \rangle \in \mathcal{A}$$
 for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

and such that $\operatorname{span}_{A}\{\xi_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is dense in X.

Without loss of generality, we may then assume that

$$\|\langle \xi_n, \xi_m \rangle\|_{\delta} \le \frac{1}{n^2 \cdot m^2} \qquad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3.1)

The conditions stated above will remain in effect throughout this section.

Let $M_{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the *-algebra of all finite matrices over \mathcal{A} . We will think of $M_{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ as a dense *-subalgebra of the compact operators $\mathcal{K}(H_A)$ on the Hilbert C^* -module H_A . There is a unique injective *-homomorphism $\rho: \mathcal{K}(H_A) \to \mathcal{K}(H_B)$ such that $\rho(\{a_{ij}\}) = \{\rho(a_{ij})\}$ for all finite matrices $\{a_{ij}\} \in M_{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$. Likewise, we may extend $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to B$ to a closed derivation $\delta: M_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{K}(H_B)$.

We will apply the notation $\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$ for the Banach *-algebra obtained as the completion of $M_{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\delta} : a \mapsto \|a\| + \|\delta(a)\|$.

The unitalization of $\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$. This unital *-algebra becomes a unital Banach *-algebra when equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\delta} : \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta} \to [0,\infty), \|(a,\lambda)\|_{\delta} := \|a+\lambda\| + \|\delta(a)\|$. Here we are thinking of $a + \lambda$ as a bounded adjointable operator on the standard module H_A . Notice that our *-homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{K}(H_A) \to \mathcal{K}(H_B)$ can be extended uniquely to a unital *-homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{K}(H_A) \to \mathcal{K}(H_B)$ and that our derivation $\delta : M_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{K}(H_B)$ can be extended uniquely to a closed derivation $\delta : \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta} \to \mathcal{L}(H_B)$ such that $\delta((0,\lambda)) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

We are now ready to prove the first result of this section:

Lemma 3.1. The sequence of finite matrices $\{\{\langle \xi_n, \xi_m \rangle\}_{n,m=1}^N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ converges to an element $G \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)_\delta}$ with positive spectrum.

Proof. We first remark that $\{\langle \xi_n, \xi_m \rangle\}_{n,m=1}^N$ determines a positive element in the C^* -algebra $M_N(A)$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next, we notice that the spectrum of an element *a* in the unital Banach algebra $\widetilde{M_N(A_\delta)}$ agrees with the spectrum of *a* as an element in the unital *C**-algebra $\widetilde{M_N(A)}$. This is a consequence of spectral invariance, see [3, Proposition 3.12].

These observations imply that $\{\langle \xi_n, \xi_m \rangle\}_{n,m=1}^N \in \widetilde{M_N(A_\delta)}$ has positive spectrum for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. It is therefore enough to show that the sequence $\{\{\langle \xi_n, \xi_m \rangle\}_{n,m=1}^N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy in $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)_\delta}$.

To this end, let $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ with $M \ge N$ be given and notice that

$$\begin{split} \|\{\langle\xi_{n},\xi_{m}\rangle\}_{n,m=1}^{M} - \{\langle\xi_{n},\xi_{m}\rangle\}_{n,m=1}^{N}\|_{\delta} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \|\langle\xi_{n},\xi_{m}\rangle\|_{\delta} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=N+1}^{M} \|\langle\xi_{n},\xi_{m}\rangle\|_{\delta} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2}} \cdot \sum_{n=N+1}^{M} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows by (3.1). This shows that the sequence $\{\{\langle \xi_n, \xi_m \rangle\}_{n,m=1}^N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy in $\mathcal{K}(H_A)_\delta$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the element

$$H_n := (1/n + G)^{-1} - (1/(n - 1) + G)^{-1}$$

= (1 + n \cdot G)^{-1} \cdot (1 + (n - 1) \cdot G)^{-1}

in $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}}$, where $H_1 := (1+G)^{-1}$. Since the spectrum of H_n is strictly positive, it has a well-defined square root in $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}}$,

$$\sqrt{H_n} = (1 + n \cdot G)^{-1/2} \cdot (1 + (n-1) \cdot G)^{-1/2}$$

Lemma 3.2. We have the expression

$$\delta((1+nG)^{-1/2}) = -\frac{n}{\pi} \cdot \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} \cdot \rho((1+\lambda+nG)^{-1}) \cdot \delta(G) \cdot \rho((1+\lambda+n\cdot G)^{-1}) d\lambda$$

where the integral converges in the operator norm on $\mathcal{L}(H_B)$.

Proof. The element $(1 + nG)^{-1/2} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}}$ can be rewritten as the integral

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} \cdot (1 + \lambda + n \cdot G)^{-1} \, d\lambda$$

which converges absolutely in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\delta} : \widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}} \to [0, \infty)$. It is therefore enough to check that

$$\delta\big((1+\lambda+n\cdot G)^{-1}\big) = -\rho\big((1+\lambda+nG)^{-1}\big)\cdot n\cdot\delta(G)\cdot\rho\big((1+\lambda+n\cdot G)^{-1}\big).$$

But this follows from a standard computation, using that $\delta : \widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}} \to \mathcal{L}(H_B)$ is a derivation with respect to $\rho : \widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)} \to \mathcal{L}(H_B)$.

The estimate in the following lemma is of central importance for the differentiable absorption theorem.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$. There exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\left\|\delta(\sqrt{H_n}\cdot G^2)\right\| \leq C_{\varepsilon}\cdot \frac{1}{n^{1-\varepsilon}}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $n \ge 2$. Using that $\delta : \widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}} \to \mathscr{L}(H_B)$ is a derivation we obtain that

$$\delta(\sqrt{H_n} \cdot G^2) = \delta(G) \cdot \sqrt{H_n} \cdot G + G \cdot \sqrt{H_n} \cdot \delta(G) + G \cdot \delta((1+nG)^{-1/2}) \cdot (1+(n-1)G)^{-1/2} \cdot G + G \cdot (1+nG)^{-1/2} \cdot \delta((1+(n-1)G)^{-1/2}) \cdot G$$
(3.2)

where we have suppressed the unital *-homomorphism $\rho : \widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)} \to \mathcal{L}(H_B)$.

Now, since $G \in \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$ determines a positive element in the unital C^* -algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}(H_A)}$, we have that

$$||G \cdot (1 + \lambda + nG)^{-1}|| \le \frac{1}{n}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

Using the above estimate we obtain the following inequalities

$$\begin{split} \left\| \delta(G) \cdot \sqrt{H_n} \cdot G + G \cdot \sqrt{H_n} \cdot \delta(G) \right\| \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \left\| \delta(G) \right\| \cdot \left\| (1 + (n-1)G)^{-1/2} G^{1/2} \right\| \cdot \left\| (1 + nG)^{-1/2} G^{1/2} \right\| \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \left\| \delta(G) \right\| \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{n-1}}. \end{split}$$

To continue, we apply Lemma 3.2 to compute as follows,

$$G \cdot \delta ((1+nG)^{-1/2}) \cdot (1+(n-1)G)^{-1/2} \cdot G$$

= $-\frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} \cdot (nG) \cdot (1+\lambda+nG)^{-1} \cdot \delta(G)$
 $\cdot G^{1/2-\varepsilon} \cdot (1+\lambda+nG)^{-1} d\lambda$
 $\cdot G^{1/2+\varepsilon} \cdot (1+(n-1)G)^{-1/2}.$

As a consequence, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} & \|G \cdot \delta \left((1+nG)^{-1/2} \right) \cdot (1+(n-1)G)^{-1/2} \cdot G \| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} \cdot \|\delta(G)\| \cdot (1+\lambda)^{-1/2-\varepsilon} \cdot \|G^{1/2-\varepsilon} \cdot (1+\lambda+nG)^{-1/2+\varepsilon}\| \, d\lambda \\ & \quad \cdot \|G^\varepsilon\| \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \\ & \leq \|\delta(G)\| \cdot \|G^\varepsilon\| \cdot \frac{1}{(n-1)^{1/2} \cdot n^{1/2-\varepsilon} \cdot \pi} \cdot \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} (1+\lambda)^{-1/2-\varepsilon} \, d\lambda \end{split}$$

A similar computation shows that

$$\begin{split} \left\| G \cdot (1+nG)^{-1/2} \cdot \delta \left((1+(n-1)G)^{-1/2} \right) \cdot G \right\| \\ & \leq \| \delta(G) \| \cdot \| G^{\varepsilon} \| \cdot \frac{1}{(n-1)^{1/2-\varepsilon} \cdot n^{1/2} \cdot \pi} \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1/2} (1+\lambda)^{-1/2-\varepsilon} \, d\lambda. \end{split}$$

A combination of all the above estimates and the identity in (3.2) proves the claim of the proposition.

Recall from Section 2 that the compact operators Φ^* : $H_A \to X$ and $\Phi: X \to H_A$ are defined by $\Phi^* : \{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k \cdot a_k$ and $\Phi : \eta \mapsto \{\langle \xi_k, \eta \rangle\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Furthermore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the compact operators $\Psi_n := \sqrt{H_n} \Phi$:

Furthermore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the compact operators $\Psi_n := \sqrt{H_n}\Psi$: $X \to H_A$ and $\Psi_n^* := \Phi^* \sqrt{H_n} : H_A \to X$. Finally, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the compact operators $V_N : X \to H_A^\infty$ and $V_N^* : H_A^\infty \to X$ defined by $V_N : \eta \mapsto {\{\Psi_n(\eta)\}_{n=1}^N}$ and $V_N^* : {\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty} \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^N \Psi_n^*(x_n)$. It was proved in Section 2 that the sequence ${\{V_N\}_{N=1}^\infty}$ converges strongly to a bounded adjointable isometry $\Psi : X \to H_A^\infty$. The adjoint of Ψ is given by $\Psi^* : \sum_{n=1}^\infty e_n \cdot x_n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^\infty \Psi_n^*(x_n)$. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the compact operator

$$\delta(\operatorname{diag}(G)V_N\Phi^*) \in \mathcal{K}(H_B, H_B^\infty)$$

$$\delta(\operatorname{diag}(G)V_N\Phi^*) : x \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^N e_n \cdot \delta(G^2\sqrt{H_n})(x)$$

where diag(G) : $H_A^{\infty} \to H_A^{\infty}$ refers to the (non-compact) diagonal operator diag(G) : $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n x_n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n G(x_n)$ induced by the (compact operator) $G: H_A \to H_A$.

We note the following consequence of the above Lemma 3.3:

Lemma 3.4. The sequence of compact operators $\{\delta(\operatorname{diag}(G)V_N\Phi^*)\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{K}(H_B, H_B^{\infty})$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 we may choose a constant C > 0 such that

$$\begin{split} \|\delta(\operatorname{diag}(G)V_{N}\Phi^{*})(x) - \delta(\operatorname{diag}(G)V_{M}\Phi^{*})(x)\|^{2} \\ &= \left\|\sum_{n=N+1}^{M} e_{n}\delta(G^{2}\sqrt{H_{n}})(x)\right\|^{2} \\ &= \left\|\sum_{n=N+1}^{M} \langle\delta(G^{2}\sqrt{H_{n}})x, \delta(G^{2}\sqrt{H_{n}})x\rangle\right\| \leq C\sum_{n=N+1}^{M} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \|x\|^{2} \end{split}$$

for all $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ with $M \ge N$ and all $x \in H_B$. This proves the lemma.

The next lemma is a consequence of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 3.5. The sequence of compact operators $\{V_N \Phi^*\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ converges in operator norm to $\Psi \Phi^* : H_A \to H_A^{\infty}$.

Proof. This follows since $\Phi : X \to H_A$ (and hence $\Phi^* : H_A \to X$) is compact and since the bounded sequence $\{V_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to $\Psi : X \to H_A^{\infty}$. \Box

Proposition 3.6. The sequence $\{\operatorname{diag}(G)V_N V_N^*\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{K}(H_A^{\infty})$ converges in operator norm to $\operatorname{diag}(G)\Psi\Psi^*: H_A^{\infty} \to H_A^{\infty}$.

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and remark that

$$\left\{\operatorname{diag}(G)V_N V_N^*\right\}_{n,m} = G^2 \sqrt{H_m} \cdot \sqrt{H_n} = \sqrt{H_m} \Phi \Phi^* \Phi \Phi^* \sqrt{H_n}$$

for all $n, m \in \{1, ..., N\}$. It follows that diag $(G)V_N V_N^* = V_N \Phi^* \Phi V_N^*$. The result of the proposition is now a consequence of Lemma 3.5.

In order to formulate our next result we reiterate the construction of the Banach *-algebra $\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$. Indeed, we may consider the finite matrices $M_{\infty}(\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta})$ as a dense *-subalgebra of the compact operators $\mathcal{K}(H_A^{\infty})$ on the standard module H_A^{∞} . The *-homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{K}(H_A) \to \mathcal{K}(H_B)$ can then be extended uniquely to a *-homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{K}(H_A^{\infty}) \to \mathcal{K}(H_B^{\infty})$ such that $\rho\{x_{ij}\} = \{\rho(x_{ij})\}$ for all $\{x_{ij}\} \in M_{\infty}(\mathcal{K}(H_A))$. Likewise, we may extend δ uniquely to a closed derivation $\delta : M_{\infty}(\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}) \to \mathcal{K}(H_B^{\infty})$ such that $\delta\{x_{ij}\} := \{\delta(x_{ij})\}$. We denote the Banach *-algebra defined as the completion of $M_{\infty}(\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta})$ with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|_{\delta} : x \mapsto \|x\| + \|\delta(x)\|$ by $\mathcal{K}(H_A^{\infty})_{\delta}$.

We note that we have an isometric isomorphism of Banach *-algebras $\mathcal{K}(H_A^{\infty})_{\delta} \to \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$ defined by conjugation with the unitary operator $U_{\alpha}: H_A \to H_A^{\infty}$ introduced in (2.2).

Proposition 3.7. The sequence $\{\operatorname{diag}(G)^2 V_N V_N^*\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ in $M_{\infty}(\mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta})$ is Cauchy in $\mathcal{K}(H_A^{\infty})_{\delta}$.

Proof. We know from Proposition 3.6 that $\operatorname{diag}(G)^2 V_N V_N^*$ converges to $\operatorname{diag}(G)^2 \Psi \Psi^*$ in $\mathcal{K}(H_A^\infty)$. It is therefore enough to show that $\{\delta(\operatorname{diag}(G)^2 V_N V_N^*)\}_{N=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{K}(H_B^\infty)$.

Let now $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and notice that

$$(\operatorname{diag}(G)V_N\Phi^*)(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N e_n \cdot (G\sqrt{H_n}G)(x)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^N e_n \cdot (\sqrt{H_n}\Phi\Phi^*G)(x) = (V_N\Phi^*G)(x)$$

for all $x \in H_A$. We thus have that $diag(G)V_N\Phi^* = V_N\Phi^*G$.

We may therefore compute as follows,

$$\begin{split} \delta & \left(\operatorname{diag}(G)^2 V_N V_N^* \right) \\ &= \delta \left(\operatorname{diag}(G) V_N \Phi^* \Phi V_N^* \right) \\ &= \delta \left(\operatorname{diag}(G) V_N \Phi^* \right) \Phi V_N^* + \operatorname{diag}(G) V_N \Phi^* \delta(\Phi V_N^*) \\ &= \delta \left(\operatorname{diag}(G) V_N \Phi^* \right) \Phi V_N^* + V_N \Phi^* \delta(G \Phi V_N^*) - V_N \Phi^* \delta(G) \Phi V_N^* \\ &= \delta \left(\operatorname{diag}(G) V_N \Phi^* \right) \Phi V_N^* - V_N \Phi^* \delta \left(\operatorname{diag}(G) V_N \Phi^* \right)^* - V_N \Phi^* \delta(G) \Phi V_N^*. \end{split}$$

The result of the proposition now follows by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.8. The image of Ψ^* diag $(G)\Psi : X \to X$ is dense in X and diag $(G)\Psi\Psi^* = \Psi\Psi^*$ diag(G).

Proof. By Proposition 3.6 we know that $\operatorname{diag}(G)\Psi\Psi^* = \lim_{N\to\infty} \operatorname{diag}(G)V_N V_N^*$ and that $\Psi\Psi^*\operatorname{diag}(G) = \lim_{N\to\infty} V_N V_N^*\operatorname{diag}(G)$. To show that $\operatorname{diag}(G)\Psi\Psi^* = \Psi\Psi^*\operatorname{diag}(G)$ is therefore suffices to show that $V_N V_N^*\operatorname{diag}(G) = \operatorname{diag}(G)V_N V_N^*$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. But this follows by noting that

$$\left(V_N V_N^* \operatorname{diag}(G)\right)_{n,m} = \sqrt{H_n} G \sqrt{H_m} G = G \sqrt{H_n} G \sqrt{H_m} = \left(\operatorname{diag}(G) V_N V_N^*\right)_{n,m}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $n, m \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$.

In order to prove that the image of $\Psi^* \operatorname{diag}(G) \Psi : X \to X$ is dense we note that

$$span_{A} \{ \xi \in Im(\Phi^{*}G(G + 1/n)^{-1}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

$$\subseteq span_{A} \{ \xi \in Im(\Phi^{*}G\sqrt{H_{n}}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

$$\subseteq Im(\Psi^{*}diag(G)) = Im(\Psi^{*}diag(G)\Psi\Psi^{*}) \subseteq Im(\Psi^{*}diag(G)\Psi).$$

Since the image of Φ^* : $H_A \to X$ is dense by the standing conditions on our Hilbert C^* -module X it therefore suffices to show that the sequence $\{\Phi^*G(1/n+G)^{-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of bounded adjointable operators converges in operator norm to $\Phi^*: H_A \to X$. But this follows since

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\Phi^* (1/n + G)^{-1}\| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. See the proof of Lemma 2.2.

We are now ready to prove the differentiable absorption theorem. This is the first main result of the present paper.

Theorem 3.9. There exists a bounded adjointable isometry $W : X \to H_A$ and a positive selfadjoint bounded operator $K : H_A \to H_A$ such that

- (1) KP = PK;
- (2) $W^*KW : X \to X$ has dense image;
- (3) $PK \in \mathcal{K}(H_A)$;
- (4) $PK^2 \in \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$;

where $P := WW^* : H_A \to H_A$ is the associated orthogonal projection.

Proof. Let $U_{\alpha} : H_A \to H_A^{\infty}$ denote the unitary operator introduced in (2.2). The bounded adjointable operator $W := U_{\alpha}^* \Psi : X \to H_A$ is then an isometry. Furthermore, define the positive selfadjoint bounded operator $K := U_{\alpha}^* \operatorname{diag}(G)U_{\alpha} : H_A \to H_A$. The result of the theorem then follows by Lemma 3.8, Proposition 3.6, and Proposition 3.7.

Remark 3.10. As in Proposition 2.7, we may find a sequence $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of elements in *X* which implements the isometry $W : X \to H_A$ in the sense that

$$W(\eta) = \{ \langle \zeta_k, \eta \rangle \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{for all } \eta \in X.$$

4. Graßmann connections

Throughout this section we will work in the setting outlined in the beginning of Section 3. We will then let $W : X \to H_A$ and $K : H_A \to H_A$ be fixed bounded adjointable operators which satisfy the properties stated in Theorem 3.9. Furthermore, we let $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in X which implements W, see Remark 3.10.

We shall in this section see how to construct a dense A_{δ} -submodule of $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X$ together with a Hermitian δ -connection on \mathcal{X} .

In order to construct \mathcal{X} we recall the following, see [18, Definition 3.3] and [23, p. 119]:

Definition 4.1. The *standard module* over A_{δ} consists of all sequences $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of elements in A_{δ} such that

$$\{a_n\} \in H_A$$
 and $\{\delta(a_n)\} \in H_B$.

The standard module over A_{δ} is denoted by $H_{A_{\delta}}$.

The standard module $H_{A_{\delta}}$ is a dense A_{δ} -submodule of the standard module H_A . Furthermore, it was proved in [18, p. 505] that

$$\langle x, y \rangle \in A_{\delta}$$
 for all $x, y \in H_A$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_A \times H_A \to A$ denotes the inner product on H_A .

The standard module becomes a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{\delta}: \{a_n\} \mapsto \|\{a_n\}\| + \|\{\delta(a_n)\}\|.$$

Each element $T \in \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(H_A)$ restricts to a bounded operator $T : H_{A_{\delta}} \to H_{A_{\delta}}$. Indeed, the map

$$M_{\infty}(A_{\delta}) \times H_{A_{\delta}} \to H_{A_{\delta}} \qquad \left(\{a_{ij}\}, \{b_n\}\right) \mapsto \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{in} \cdot b_n\right\}$$

satisfies the inequality $||A \cdot b||_{\delta} \le ||A||_{\delta} \cdot ||b||_{\delta}$ for all $A \in M_{\infty}(A_{\delta})$ and $b \in H_{A_{\delta}}$. We may now define the A_{δ} -submodule $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X$ as the following image:

$$\mathcal{X} := \operatorname{Im} \left(W^* K^2 : H_{A_{\delta}} \to X \right) \tag{4.1}$$

The properties of $\mathcal X$ are summarized in the next lemma:

Lemma 4.2. The A_{δ} -submodule $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq X$ is dense. Furthermore, $W(\xi) \in H_{A_{\delta}}$ and $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \in A_{\delta}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Proof. To see that $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X$ is dense, recall from Theorem 3.9 that $W^*KW : X \to X$ has dense image. It follows that

$$W^*K^2W = W^*KWW^*KW : X \to X$$

has dense image as well. In particular, we obtain that $W^*K^2 : H_A \to X$ has dense image, thus the density of $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X$ follows since $H_{A_\delta} \subseteq H_A$ is dense.

Consider now $\xi = (W^*K^2)(x)$ with $x \in H_{A_\delta}$. Then $W(\xi) = (WW^*K^2)(x)$. But $WW^*K^2 \in \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$ by Theorem 3.9 and therefore $(WW^*K^2)(x) \in H_{A_\delta}$ by the observations preceding this lemma. This proves the second claim of the present lemma.

Finally, let $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $W : X \to H_A$ is an isometry, we obtain that $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle W\xi, W\eta \rangle$. But $\langle W\xi, W\eta \rangle \in A_\delta$ since $W\xi, W\eta \in H_{A_\delta}$.

In order to construct the Hermitian δ -connection we recall the following concepts:

Definition 4.3. The C^* -algebra of *continuous* δ -*forms* is the smallest C^* -subalgebra of B which contains $\rho(a_0)$ and $\delta(a_1)$ for all $a_0, a_1 \in A_{\delta}$. This C^* -algebra is denoted by $\Omega_{\delta}(A)$.

We remark that $\Omega_{\delta}(A)$ can be viewed as a Hilbert C^* -module over $\Omega_{\delta}(A)$ in the usual way (this holds for any C^* -algebra). Furthermore, we have an injective *-homomorphism $\rho : A \to \mathcal{X}(\Omega_{\delta}(A))$ given by $\rho(a)(\omega) = \rho(a) \cdot \omega$ for all $a \in A$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{\delta}(A)$.

Definition 4.4. The Hilbert C^* -module of *continuous X-valued* δ -forms is the interior tensor product $X \otimes_A \Omega_{\delta}(A)$.

Define the bounded operator $W \otimes 1 : X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A) \to H_{\Omega_{\delta}(A)}, \xi \widehat{\otimes} \omega \mapsto W(\xi) \cdot \omega$. Remark that it is non-obvious that $W \otimes 1$ is adjointable since we do not assume that the left action of A on $\Omega_{\delta}(A)$ is essential. This is nonetheless the case. Indeed, it suffices to recall that $W : X \to H_A$ is implemented by the sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of elements in X. We state the result as a lemma:

Lemma 4.5. The bounded operator $W \otimes 1 : X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A) \to H_{\Omega_{\delta}(A)}$ is adjointable with adjoint $W^* \otimes 1 : H_{\Omega_{\delta}(A)} \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A)$ induced by

$$W^* \otimes 1 : \sum_{k=1}^N e_k \cdot \omega_k \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^N \zeta_k \otimes \omega_k$$

for all finite sequences $\sum_{k=1}^{N} e_k \cdot \omega_k$ in $H_{\Omega_{\delta}(A)}$.

We are now in position to define our Hermitian δ -connection:

Definition 4.6. The *Graßmann* δ -connection on \mathcal{X} is defined by

$$\nabla_{\delta} : \mathcal{X} \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A) \qquad \nabla_{\delta} := (W^* \otimes 1) \delta W$$

where $\delta : H_{A_{\delta}} \to H_{\Omega_{\delta}(A)}$ is given by $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \mapsto \{\delta(a_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

The Graßmann δ -connection can also be expressed by the formula

$$\nabla_{\delta}: \eta \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta_k \otimes \delta(\langle \zeta_k, \eta \rangle) \qquad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{X}$$

where the sum converges in the norm on $X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A)$.

We shall soon see that the Graßmann δ -connection satisfies the Leibniz rule and is Hermitian. But we need a preliminary observation:

Observe that each element $\eta \in X$ defines a bounded adjointable operator $T_{\eta} : \Omega_{\delta}(A) \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A), T_{\eta} : \omega \mapsto \eta \otimes \omega$. The adjoint is given by $T_{\eta}^* : X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A) \to \Omega_{\delta}(A), T_{\eta}^* : \xi \otimes \omega \mapsto \langle \eta, \xi \rangle \cdot \omega$.

Theorem 4.7. The Graßmann δ -connection $\nabla_{\delta} : \mathfrak{X} \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(A)$ is Hermitian and satisfies the Leibniz rule. Thus,

- (1) $\delta(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = T_{\xi}^* \nabla_{\delta}(\eta) (T_{\eta}^* \nabla_{\delta}(\xi))^*$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{X}$.
- (2) $\nabla_{\delta}(\eta \cdot a) = \nabla_{\delta}(\eta) \cdot \rho(a) + \eta \otimes \delta(a)$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{X}$ and $a \in A_{\delta}$.

Proof. Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{X}$ with $W\xi = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $W\eta = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. To prove the first claim, we compute as follows:

$$\delta(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \delta\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^* b_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a_n^* \cdot \delta(b_n) - \delta(a_n)^* \cdot b_n\right)$$
$$= \langle W\xi, \delta(W\eta) \rangle - \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^* \cdot \delta(a_n)\right)^*$$
$$= T_{\xi}^* (W^* \otimes 1) \delta(W\eta) - \langle W\eta, \delta(W\xi) \rangle^*$$
$$= T_{\xi}^* \nabla_{\delta}(\eta) - \left(T_{\eta}^* \nabla_{\delta}(\xi)\right)^*.$$

Notice that we have suppressed the injective *-homomorphism $\rho : A \rightarrow B$ in the above computation.

Let now $\eta \in \mathcal{X}$ and $a \in A_{\delta}$. To prove the second claim, we compute as follows:

$$\nabla_{\delta}(\eta \cdot a) = (W^* \otimes 1)\delta W(\eta \cdot a)$$

= $(W^* \otimes 1)((\delta W)(\eta) \cdot a) + (W^* \otimes 1)(W(\eta) \cdot \delta(a))$
= $\nabla_{\delta}(\eta) \cdot a + \eta \otimes \delta(a).$

These two computations prove the theorem.

5. Symmetric lifts of unbounded operators

In this section we will work in the following more refined situation:

Let *Y* be a Hilbert C^* -module over a C^* -algebra *B* and let $D : \mathcal{D}(D) \to Y$ be an unbounded selfadjoint and regular operator. We recall that the conditions of selfadjointness and regularity are equivalent to the following two conditions:

- (1) The unbounded operator $D : \mathcal{D}(D) \to Y$ is symmetric.
- (2) The unbounded operators $D \pm i : \mathcal{D}(D) \to Y$ are surjective.

See [22, Proposition 10.6].

Let X be a Hilbert C^* -module over a C^* -algebra A and suppose that $\rho : A \to \mathcal{L}(Y)$ is an injective *-homomorphism. Suppose furthermore that we have a dense *-subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq A$ such that:

(1) $\rho(x)\xi \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ and $[D, \rho(x)] : \mathcal{D}(D) \to Y$ extends to a bounded adjointable operator $\delta(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

(2) There exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in X which generates X as a Hilbert C^{*}module and for which

$$\langle \xi_n, \xi_m \rangle \in \mathcal{A}$$
 for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark that $\delta(x^*) = -\delta(x)^*$ since $D : \mathcal{D}(D) \to Y$ is selfadjoint.

We let $W: X \to H_A$ and $K: H_A \to H_A$ be as in Theorem 3.9. Furthermore, we choose a sequence $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in X such that

$$W(\eta) = \{ \langle \zeta_k, \eta \rangle \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{for all } \eta \in X.$$

Let $X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$ denote the interior tensor product of X and Y over A. Define the bounded adjointable operator $W \otimes 1 : X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y \to Y^{\infty}, W \otimes 1 : \xi \otimes \eta \mapsto$ $\{\rho(\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle)(\eta)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. The adjoint of $W \otimes 1$ is given by $W^* \otimes 1 : Y^{\infty} \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$, $W^* \otimes 1 : \{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta_k \otimes \eta_k$, where the sum converges in the norm-topology on $X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$, see Lemma 4.5. We remark that $W \otimes 1 : X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y \to Y^\infty$ is an isometry in the sense that $(W^* \otimes 1)(W \otimes 1) = 1_{X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y}$. Define the unbounded operator diag(D) : $\mathcal{D}(\text{diag}(D)) \to Y^{\infty}$ by diag(D) :

 $\{\eta_k\} \mapsto \{D\eta_k\}$, where the domain is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D)) := \{ \{\eta_k\} \in Y^{\infty} \mid \eta_k \in \mathcal{D}(D) \text{ and } \{D\eta_k\} \in Y^{\infty} \}.$$

The unbounded operator diag(D) is then again selfadjoint and regular, indeed we have that $(\operatorname{diag}(D) \pm i)^{-1} : \{\eta_k\} \mapsto \{(D \pm i)^{-1}\eta_k\}$ for all $\{\eta_k\} \in Y^{\infty}$.

Define the right *B*-submodule $\mathcal{D}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D) \subseteq X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$ by

$$\mathcal{D}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D) := \{ \sigma \in X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y \mid (W \otimes 1)(\sigma) \in \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D)) \}.$$

Lemma 5.1. $\mathcal{D}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)$ is dense in $X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq X$ be as in (4.1) and let $\mathfrak{Z} \subseteq X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$ denote the image of the algebraic tensor product $\mathfrak{X} \otimes_{A_{\delta}} \mathfrak{D}(D)$ in $X \widehat{\otimes}_{A} Y$. Remark that $\mathfrak{Z} \subseteq X \widehat{\otimes}_{A} Y$ is dense since $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X$ is dense and $\mathcal{D}(D) \subseteq Y$ is dense. It is therefore enough to show that $(W \otimes 1)(\xi \otimes \eta) \in \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D))$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(D)$.

Let thus $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(D)$. We first remark that $\rho(\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle)(\eta) \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ since $\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle \in A_{\delta}$. It thus suffices to prove that $\{D(\rho(\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle)\eta)\} \in Y^{\infty}$. However, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ D\left(\rho(\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle)\eta\right) \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} &= \left\{ \delta(\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle)\eta \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} + \left\{\rho(\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle)D\eta \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \\ &= \left\{ \delta(\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle)\eta \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} + (W \otimes 1)(\xi \otimes D\eta) \\ &= \delta(W\xi)(\eta) + (W \otimes 1)(\xi \otimes D\eta). \end{aligned}$$

We therefore only need to show that $\delta(W\xi)(\eta) \in Y^{\infty}$.

However, by Lemma 4.2 we have that $\delta(W\xi) \in \mathcal{L}(Y)^{\infty}$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$. This implies the result of the lemma since each $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{L}(Y)^{\infty}$ yields a bounded adjointable operator $Y \to Y^{\infty}$, $\eta \mapsto \{T_k\eta\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. \Box

The above lemma allows us to define the following unbounded operator

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla} D := (W^* \otimes 1) \operatorname{diag}(D)(W \otimes 1) : \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D) \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$$

which we refer to as the symmetric lift of D with respect to the Graßmann δ -connection ∇ .

Proposition 5.2. The unbounded operator

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla} D := (W^* \otimes 1) \operatorname{diag}(D)(W \otimes 1) : \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D) \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$$

is symmetric.

Proof. This follows since diag(D) : $\mathcal{D}(\text{diag}(D)) \to Y^{\infty}$ is selfadjoint. Indeed,

for all $\sigma, \theta \in \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)$.

We remark that the symmetric lift only depends on $D : \mathcal{D}(D) \to Y$ and the bounded adjointable isometry $W : X \to H_A$. It does not depend on the right A_{δ} -submodule $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X$ defined in (4.1). The existence of \mathcal{X} is however crucial for proving that the symmetric lift is densely defined.

The final result of this section relates the symmetric lifts to the Graßmann δ connection. Thus, let $\nabla_{\delta} : \mathcal{X} \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A \Omega_{\delta}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the Graßmann connection, see Definition 4.6.

Lemma 5.3. Let $\sigma = \xi \otimes \eta \in \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{A_{\delta}} \mathfrak{D}(D)$. Then $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)$ and

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)(\sigma) = \nabla_{\delta}(\xi)(\eta) + \xi \otimes D\eta.$$

Remark that we have tacitly identitifed σ with its image in $X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$.

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 5.1 we have that $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)$ and that

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)(\sigma) = (W^* \otimes 1) \operatorname{diag}(D)(W \otimes 1)(\sigma)$$
$$= (W^* \otimes 1) \left(\left\{ \delta(\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle) \eta \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} + (W \otimes 1)(\xi \otimes D\eta) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta_k \otimes \delta(\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle)(\eta) + \xi \otimes D\eta.$$

But this proves the lemma since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta_k \otimes \delta(\langle \zeta_k, \xi \rangle)(\eta) = \nabla_{\delta}(\xi)(\eta)$.

In order to give the reader some feeling for what might be expected from symmetric lifts, we end this section by giving a basic example.

5.1. Example: The half-line. Let us consider the case where $X = C_0((0, \infty))$ consists of continuous functions on the half-line which vanish at 0 and at ∞ . We may then give X the structure of a Hilbert C^* -module over the C^* -algebra $A = C_0(\mathbb{R})$ of continuous functions on the real line which vanish at $\pm \infty$. On top of this, we let $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the Hilbert space of (equivalence classes of) square integrable functions on the real line. This Hilbert space comes equipped with an injective *-homomorphism $\rho : C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ given by point-wise multiplication $\rho(f)(\xi) := f \cdot \xi$. Furthermore, we let $D : \mathcal{D}(D) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ denote the unbounded selfadjoint operator obtained as the closure of the Dirac operator

$$i\frac{d}{dt}: C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

where $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the smooth compactly supported functions defined on \mathbb{R} . We define the dense *-subalgebra $A_{\delta} \subseteq A$, by

$$A_{\delta} := \{ f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \mid f \text{ is differentiable with } \frac{df}{dt} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \}.$$

The Hilbert C^* -module $X = C_0((0, \infty))$ is then generated by a single element. Indeed, we may choose a nowhere-vanishing differentiable function $\xi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\xi, \frac{d\xi}{dt} \in X$. We then have that

$$X = \operatorname{cl}\{\xi \cdot f \mid f \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \xi, \xi \rangle = \xi^2 \in A_\delta$$

where $cl(\cdot)$ refers to the closure in supremum-norm. We may finally arrange that

$$\|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle\|_{\delta} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\xi^2(t)| + 2\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |(\xi \cdot \frac{d\xi}{dt})(t)| \le 1.$$

The bounded adjointable isometry $W: X \to H_A$ is then given by

$$W: g \mapsto \left\{\sqrt{H_n} \cdot \langle \xi, g \rangle\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{(1+n\xi^2)^{-1/2}(1+(n-1)\xi^2)^{-1/2}\xi \cdot g\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

and the bounded adjointable positive operator $K: H_A \to H_A$ is given by

$$K: \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \mapsto \{\xi^2 \cdot f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

The dense A_{δ} -submodule $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X$ is defined as the image $\mathcal{X} := \operatorname{Im}(W^* K^2 : H_{A_{\delta}} \to X)$. It is then not hard to see that we have the inclusion

$$C_c^{\infty}((0,\infty)) \subseteq \mathcal{X}.$$

The interior tensor product $X \widehat{\otimes}_A L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is unitarily isomorphic to the Hilbert space $L^2((0,\infty))$ of square integrable functions on the half-line. Under this isomorphism the isometry $W \otimes 1 : L^2((0,\infty)) \to H_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ is given by

$$W \otimes 1: g \mapsto \left\{ (1+n\xi^2)^{-1/2} (1+(n-1)\xi^2)^{-1/2} \xi \cdot g \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

We are interested in obtaining a better understanding of the symmetric lift

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla} D := (W^* \otimes 1) \operatorname{diag}(D)(W \otimes 1) : \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D) \to L^2((0, \infty)).$$

We first note that it follows by the proof of Lemma 5.3 and the inclusion $C_c^{\infty}((0,\infty)) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ that

$$C_c^{\infty}((0,\infty)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D).$$

Now, for each $g \in C_c^{\infty}((0, \infty))$ we may compute as follows:

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)(g) = i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi \sqrt{H_n} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\xi \sqrt{H_n} g \right)$$
$$= i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\xi^2 \cdot H_n \cdot \frac{dg}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot g \cdot \frac{d(\xi^2 \cdot H_n)}{dt} \right)$$
$$= i \frac{dg}{dt} + \frac{i}{2} \cdot \lim_{N \to \infty} \left(g \cdot \frac{d(\xi^2 \cdot (\xi^2 + \frac{1}{N})^{-1})}{dt} \right)$$
$$= i \frac{dg}{dt} - \frac{i}{2} \cdot \lim_{N \to \infty} \left(g/N \cdot \frac{d((\xi^2 + \frac{1}{N})^{-1})}{dt} \right) = i \frac{dg}{dt}$$

where the limit is taken in the norm on $L^2((0,\infty))$.

Thus, we obtain that $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D$ is a symmetric extension of the Dirac operator

$$\mathcal{P} := i \frac{d}{dt} : C_c^{\infty} \big((0, \infty) \big) \to L^2 \big((0, \infty) \big).$$

Now, it is easily verified that $\operatorname{Ker}(i + /\mathcal{D}^*) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \exp(-t)$ and that $\operatorname{Ker}(i - \mathcal{P}^*) = \{0\}$. It thus follows by [28, Chapter X.1, Corollary] that $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D$ is *not* essentially selfadjoint, since $\mathcal{P} : C_c^{\infty}((0,\infty)) \to L^2((0,\infty))$ has no selfadjoint extensions.

6. Compositions of regular unbounded operators

Throughout this section, X will be a Hilbert C^{*}-module over a C^{*}-algebra A, D: $\mathcal{D}(D) \rightarrow X$ will be a selfadjoint, regular operator on X, and $x \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ will be a bounded selfadjoint operator on X such that:

$$x\xi \in \mathcal{D}(D)$$
 for all $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ and $Dx - xD : \mathcal{D}(D) \to X$ is bounded.

The bounded extension of $Dx - xD : \mathcal{D}(D) \to X$ *will be denoted by* $\delta(x)$ *.*

We remark that $\delta(x) : X \to X$ is automatically adjointable with $\delta(x)^* = -\delta(x)$.

The aim of this section is to study the regularity of the compositions Dx, cl(xD), and cl(xDx), where $cl(\mathcal{P})$ refers to the closure of an unbounded closable operator $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}) \to X$. This regularity issue has been studied in detail by S. L. Woronowicz under the assumption that x is invertible, see [29, Section 2, Example 2 and 3].

The general investigations of this section will allow us to obtain a better understanding of the symmetric lift introduced in Section 5.

Our main tool is the local-global principle for regular operators, see [17,26, Theorem 4.2]. For the readers convenience we now recall the statement of this result: Let $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}) \to X$ be a closed unbounded operator with a densely defined adjoint \mathcal{P}^* . For each state $\rho : A \to \mathbb{C}$ we have the *localization* X_ρ of X. This is the Hilbert space obtained as the completion of X/N_ρ with respect to the inner product $\langle [\xi], [\eta] \rangle_\rho := \rho(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)$, where $N_\rho := \{\xi \in X \mid \rho(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) = 0\}$. The unbounded operator \mathcal{P} then induces an unbounded operator on X_ρ ,

$$\mathscr{D}_{\rho}: \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{D}_{\rho}) \to X_{\rho} \qquad [\xi] \mapsto [\mathscr{D}\xi]$$

with domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}_{\rho})$ defined as the image of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D})$ in X_{ρ} . The *localization* of \mathcal{D} at the state ρ is the unbounded operator $cl(\mathcal{D}_{\rho})$ defined as the closure of \mathcal{D}_{ρ} .

Theorem 6.1 (Local-global principle). *The closed unbounded operator* \mathcal{D} : $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}) \to X$ *with densely defined adjoint* \mathcal{D}^* : $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}^*) \to X$ *is regular if and only if*

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\rho})^* = \operatorname{cl}((\mathcal{D}^*)_{\rho})$$

for all states $\rho : A \to \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 6.2. A stronger version of the local-global principle was obtained in [26]. Indeed, in order to conclude that regularity holds, it suffices to verify that

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\rho})^* = \mathrm{cl}((\mathcal{D}^*)_{\rho})$$

for all *pure* states $\rho : A \to \mathbb{C}$.

We now study the regularity of the unbounded operator $Dx : \mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(Dx) := \{\xi \in X \mid x\xi \in \mathcal{D}(D)\}$. We remark that Dx is already closed. **Lemma 6.3.** Let \mathcal{E} be a core for $D : \mathcal{D}(D) \to X$ (thus $\operatorname{cl}(D|_{\mathcal{E}}) = D$). Then \mathcal{E} is also a core for $Dx : \mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X$.

Proof. We first show that $\mathcal{D}(D)$ is a core for Dx. We clearly have that $cl(Dx|_{\mathcal{D}(D)}) \subseteq Dx$. Thus, let $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(Dx)$ be given. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$\xi_n := i(i+D/n)^{-1}(\xi) \in \mathcal{D}(D).$$

It then suffices to show that $\xi_n \to \xi$ and $Dx\xi_n \to Dx\xi$ in the norm-topology on *X*. It is clear that $\xi_n \to \xi$. Moreover, we compute that

$$Dx\xi_n = iDx(i + D/n)^{-1}\xi$$

= $iD(i + D/n)^{-1}x\xi + iD[x, (i + D/n)^{-1}]\xi$
= $i(i + D/n)^{-1}Dx\xi + iD(i + D/n)^{-1}\delta(x)/n(i + D/n)^{-1}\xi$

Since $i(i + D/n)^{-1}Dx\xi \rightarrow Dx\xi$ we only need to prove that

$$iD(i + D/n)^{-1}\delta(x)/n(i + D/n)^{-1}\xi \to 0.$$

But this follows easily since

$$iD/n \cdot (i + D/n)^{-1} \delta(x)(i + D/n)^{-1} \eta$$

= $\delta(x)i(i + D/n)^{-1} \eta + (i + D/n)^{-1} \delta(x)(i + D/n)^{-1} \eta$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We thus have that $Dx = \operatorname{cl}(Dx|_{\mathcal{D}(D)})$.

To end the proof of the lemma we notice that $cl(Dx|_{\mathcal{D}(D)}) = cl(Dx|_{\mathcal{E}})$.

Lemma 6.4. $Dx - \delta(x) = (Dx)^*$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.3 we have that

$$(Dx)^* = (Dx|_{\mathcal{D}(D)})^* = (xD + \delta(x))^* = Dx - \delta(x)$$

where we have used that $(xD)^* = Dx$ and that $\delta(x) : X \to X$ is a bounded adjointable operator with adjoint $-\delta(x)$.

We want to apply the local-global principle for regular operators to show that $Dx : \mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X$ is regular. Thus, we need to compute the localization $cl((Dx)_{\rho})$ and its adjoint $((Dx)_{\rho})^*$ for an arbitrary state $\rho : A \to \mathbb{C}$. This is the content of the next lemma.

To ease the notation, let $y \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{L}(X_{\rho})$ denote the closure of y_{ρ} for a bounded adjointable operator $y : X \to X$.

Lemma 6.5. Let $\rho : A \to \mathbb{C}$ be a state. Then we have the identities

 $\operatorname{cl}((Dx)_{\rho}) = \operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1)$ and $((Dx)_{\rho})^* = \operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1) - \delta(x) \otimes 1.$

Proof. Since *D* is selfadjoint and regular we know that $cl(D_{\rho})$ is selfadjoint. Moreover, it can be verified that $x \otimes 1 : X_{\rho} \to X_{\rho}$ and $cl(D_{\rho})$ satisfy the conditions:

$$(x \otimes 1)\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{cl}(D_{\rho}))$$
 for all $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{cl}(D_{\rho}))$

and

$$\operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1) - (x \otimes 1)\operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho}) : \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})) \to X_{\rho} \text{ is bounded}$$

The bounded extension of $cl(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1) - (x \otimes 1)cl(D_{\rho})$ is the bounded operator $\delta(x) \otimes 1 : X_{\rho} \to X_{\rho}$. In particular, we have from Lemma 6.3 that $\mathcal{D}(D_{\rho})$ is a core for $cl(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1)$ and from Lemma 6.4 that

$$\left(\operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})(x\otimes 1)\right)^{*} = \operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})(x\otimes 1) - \delta(x) \otimes 1.$$

The remaining identity, $cl((Dx)_{\rho}) = cl(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1)$, now follows since

$$\operatorname{cl}((Dx)_{\rho}) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1) = \operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho}(x \otimes 1)|_{\mathcal{D}(D_{\rho})}) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}((Dx)_{\rho}).$$

This proves the lemma.

We are now ready to prove the first main result of this section:

Proposition 6.6. The closed unbounded operator $Dx : \mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X$ is regular and the adjoint is given by $(Dx)^* = Dx - \delta(x) : \mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X$.

Proof. The formula for the adjoint $(Dx)^*$ is contained in Lemma 6.4.

Let now $\rho : A \to \mathbb{C}$ be a state. By Theorem 6.1 we need only show that

$$((Dx)_{\rho})^* = cl(((Dx)^*)_{\rho}).$$
 (6.1)

Applying Lemma 6.5 we obtain that

$$((Dx)_{\rho})^* = \operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1) - \delta(x) \otimes 1.$$

By another application of Lemma 6.5 we get that

$$\operatorname{cl}(((Dx)^*)_{\rho}) = \operatorname{cl}((Dx)_{\rho} - \delta(x)_{\rho}) = \operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1) - \delta(x) \otimes 1.$$

This proves the identity in (6.1) and thereby also the result of the proposition. \Box

We may now treat the regularity problem for the composition $xD : \mathcal{D}(D) \to X$. This is carried out in the next proposition. We recall that $(xD)^* = Dx : \mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X$. This does however not imply the regularity of cl(xD). Indeed, it is possible to construct a closed unbounded, *non-regular* operator $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}) \to X$ with a *regular* adjoint $\mathcal{P}^* : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}^*) \to X$, see [25, Proposition 2.3] and [17, Proposition 6.3]. Thus, the result in [22, Corollary 9.6] is incorrect. Nonetheless we have the following:

Proposition 6.7. The closure cl(xD) is regular and given by $cl(xD) = Dx - \delta(x)$: $\mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X$.

Proof. Let $\rho : A \to \mathbb{C}$ be a state. By the local-global principle in Theorem 6.1, the regularity of cl(xD) will follow from the identity

$$\left(\left(\operatorname{cl}(xD)\right)_{\rho}\right)^{*} = \operatorname{cl}\left(\left((xD)^{*}\right)_{\rho}\right). \tag{6.2}$$

The left hand side of (6.2) can be rewritten as

$$\left(\left(\operatorname{cl}(xD)\right)_{\rho}\right)^{*} = \left((x \otimes 1)\operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})\right)^{*} = \operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1)$$

where the first identity follows since $(cl(xD))_{\rho}$ and $(x \otimes 1)cl(D_{\rho})$ agrees on the subspace $\mathcal{D}(D_{\rho}) \subseteq X_{\rho}$ and the second identity follows from the regularity and selfadjointness of $D : \mathcal{D}(D) \to X$.

The right hand side of (6.2) can be computed using Lemma 6.5. We obtain that

$$\operatorname{cl}(((xD)^*)_{\rho}) = \operatorname{cl}((Dx)_{\rho}) = \operatorname{cl}(D_{\rho})(x \otimes 1).$$

This proves the identity in (6.2) and thus that cl(xD) is regular.

Now, since cl(xD) is regular we have that $cl(xD) = (xD)^{**} = (Dx)^* = Dx - \delta(x)$, see [22, Corollary 9.4]. This proves the last part of the proposition. \Box

We conclude this section by showing that $xDx : \mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X$ is essentially selfadjoint and regular, thus the closure cl(xDx) is selfadjoint and regular.

Proposition 6.8. The closure cl(xDx) is selfadjoint and regular and given by $cl(xDx) = Dx^2 - \delta(x)x : \mathcal{D}(Dx^2) \to X.$

Proof. By Proposition 6.6, $Dx : \mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X$ is regular with $(Dx)^* = Dx - \delta(x) : \mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X$. This fact is equivalent to the selfadjointness and regularity of the anti-diagonal unbounded operator

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Dx - \delta(x) \\ Dx & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{D}(Dx) \oplus \mathcal{D}(Dx) \to X \oplus X$$

see [17, Lemma 2.3]. It therefore follows by Proposition 6.7 that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \operatorname{cl}(xDx) - x\delta(x) \\ \operatorname{cl}(xDx) & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{cl}(xDx)) \oplus \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{cl}(xDx)) \to X \oplus X$$

is regular. Furthermore, we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \operatorname{cl}(xDx) - x\delta(x) \\ \operatorname{cl}(xDx) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Dx^2 - \delta(x)x \\ Dx^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x\delta(x) \\ \delta(x)x & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We may thus conclude that $cl(xDx) = Dx^2 - \delta(x)x : \mathcal{D}(Dx^2) \to X$. It then follows by Proposition 6.6 that cl(xDx) is regular. Furthermore, the adjoint is given by

 $(xDx)^* = (Dx^2)^* + x\delta(x) = Dx^2 - \delta(x^2) + x\delta(x) = Dx^2 - \delta(x)x.$

This shows that cl(xDx) is also selfadjoint and the proposition is proved.

7. Selfadjointness and regularity of lifts

We will now return to the setting described in the beginning of Section 5. Furthermore, we let $W : X \to H_A$ and $K : H_A \to H_A$ be as in Theorem 3.9, and as in Remark 3.10 we let $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in X such that $W(\eta) = \{\langle\zeta_k,\eta\rangle\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ for all $\eta \in X$.

We recall that $W^*KW: X \to X$ has dense image and it thus follows that

$$\Delta := (W^* K W)^2 \otimes 1 = (W^* K^2 W) \otimes 1 : X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$$

has dense image as well.

We are interested in proving that the composition

$$\Delta(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)\Delta : \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D)(W \otimes 1)\Delta) \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$$

is an essentially selfadjoint and regular unbounded operator.

We first notice that the map $\iota: M_{\infty}(\mathcal{L}(Y)) \to \mathcal{L}(Y^{\infty})$ given by

$$\iota(\{T_{ij}\})(\{\eta_n\}) := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{ij}(\eta_j) \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \qquad \{T_{ij}\} \in M_{\infty}(\mathcal{L}(Y)) \ , \ \{\eta_n\} \in Y^{\infty}$$

induces an injective *-homomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{K}(H_{\mathcal{L}(Y)}) \to \mathcal{L}(Y^{\infty})$. In particular, we have that $\|\iota(T)\| = \|T\|$ for all $T \in \mathcal{K}(H_{\mathcal{L}(Y)})$. This enables us to prove the following:

Lemma 7.1. Let $T \in \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$. Then $\iota(\rho(T)) \in \mathcal{L}(Y^{\infty})$ preserves the domain of diag(D) and $\iota(\delta(T)) \in \mathcal{L}(Y^{\infty})$ is an extension of the commutator

 $\left[\operatorname{diag}(D),\iota(\rho(T))\right]:\mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D))\to Y^{\infty}.$

Proof. Let $\eta = {\eta_n} \in \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D))$.

Suppose first that $T \in M_{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$. Then clearly $\iota(\rho(T))(\eta) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho(x_{ij})\eta_j \right\} \in \mathcal{D}(\text{diag}(D))$ and furthermore

$$\left[\operatorname{diag}(D),\iota(\rho(T))\right](\eta) = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [D,\rho(x_{ij})](\eta_j)\right\} = \iota(\delta(T))(\eta).$$

This proves the claim of the lemma in this case.

For a general $T \in \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$, we may choose a sequence $\{T_m\}$ in $M_{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $T_m \to T$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\delta} : \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta} \to [0,\infty)$. We then use the fact that $\operatorname{diag}(D) : \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D)) \to Y^{\infty}$ is closed to conclude that $\iota(\rho(T))(\eta) \in \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D))$ with

$$\mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D))\big(\iota(\rho(T))(\eta)\big) = \iota(\rho(T))\big(\operatorname{diag}(D)(\eta)\big) + \iota(\delta(T))(\eta).$$

This proves the lemma.

Let us consider the bounded positive selfadjoint operator

$$\Delta_W := (W \otimes 1) \Delta(W^* \otimes 1) : (P \otimes 1) Y^{\infty} \to (P \otimes 1) Y^{\infty}$$

where $P \otimes 1 := (W \otimes 1)(W^* \otimes 1) : Y^{\infty} \to Y^{\infty}$ is the orthogonal projection associated with the isometry $(W \otimes 1) : X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y \to Y^{\infty}$, see Section 5.

We then remark that $\Delta(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)\Delta : \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D)(W \otimes 1)\Delta) \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$ and

$$\Delta_W \operatorname{diag}(D) \Delta_W : \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D) \Delta_W) \to (P \otimes 1) Y^{\infty}$$

are unitarily equivalent unbounded operators. Furthermore, we have that

$$\Delta_W = (W \otimes 1)(W^* K^2 W \otimes 1)(W^* \otimes 1)$$

= $\iota(\rho(PK^2))|_{(P \otimes 1)Y^{\infty}} : (P \otimes 1)Y^{\infty} \to (P \otimes 1)Y^{\infty}.$

Proposition 7.2. The unbounded operator $\Delta_W \operatorname{diag}(D) \Delta_W : \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D) \Delta_W) \to (P \otimes 1) Y^{\infty}$ is essentially selfadjoint and regular.

Proof. It is enough to show that

 $\iota(PK^2)\operatorname{diag}(D)\iota(PK^2): \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D)\Delta_W) + ((1-P)\otimes 1)Y^{\infty} \to Y^{\infty}$

is essentially selfadjoint and regular. Now, by the differentiable absorption theorem (Theorem 3.9), we have that $PK^2 \in \mathcal{K}(H_A)_{\delta}$. By Lemma 7.1, the pair consisting of the unbounded selfadjoint regular operator $\operatorname{diag}(D) : \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{diag}(D)) \to Y^{\infty}$ and the bounded selfadjoint operator $\iota(\rho(PK^2)) : Y^{\infty} \to Y^{\infty}$ therefore satisfies the assumptions applied in Section 6. This proves the current lemma by an application of Proposition 6.8.

The main result of this section now follows immediately:

Theorem 7.3. The unbounded operator $\Delta(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)\Delta : \mathcal{D}((1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)\Delta) \to X \widehat{\otimes}_A Y$ is essentially selfadjoint and regular.

References

- N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 298, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. Zbl 0744.58001 MR 1215720
- [2] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, second edition, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. Zbl 0913.46054 MR 1656031
- [3] B. Blackadar and J. Cuntz, Differential Banach algebra norms and smooth subalgebras of C*-algebras, J. Operator Theory, 26 (1991), no. 2, 255–282. Zbl 0813.46036 MR 1225517
- [4] D. P. Blecher, A generalization of Hilbert modules, J. Funct. Anal., 136 (1996), no. 2, 365–421. Zbl 0951.46033 MR 1380659
- [5] D. P. Blecher, A new approach to Hilbert C*-modules, Math. Ann., 307 (1997), no. 2, 253–290. Zbl 0874.46037 MR 1428873
- [6] S. Brain, B. Mesland, and W. D. V. Suijlekom, Gauge theory for spectral triples and the unbounded Kasparov product, *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, **10** (2016), no. 1, 135–206. Zbl 1341.58007 MR 3500818
- [7] A. Connes, Noncommutative differential geometry, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (1985), no. 62, 257–360. Zbl 0592.46056 MR 823176
- [8] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994. Zbl 0818.46076 MR 1303779
- [9] A. Connes, Gravity coupled with matter and the foundation of non-commutative geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **182** (1996), no. 1, 155–176. Zbl 0881.58009 MR 1441908

- [10] A. Connes and H. Moscovici, Type III and spectral triples, in *Traces in number theory, geometry and quantum fields*, 57–71, Aspects Math., E38, Friedr. Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2008. Zbl 1159.46041 MR 2427588
- [11] J. Cuntz and D. Quillen, Algebra extensions and nonsingularity, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 8 (1995), no. 2, 251–289. Zbl 0838.19001 MR 1303029
- [12] M. Frank and D. R. Larson, Frames in Hilbert C*-modules and C*-algebras, J. Operator Theory, 48 (2002), no. 2, 273–314. Zbl 1029.46087 MR 1938798
- [13] W. Greub, S. Halperin, and R. Vanstone, *Connections, curvature, and cohomology. Vol. II: Lie groups, principal bundles, and characteristic classes*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 47-II, Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1973. Zbl 0335.57001 MR 0336651
- [14] M. Gromov and H. B. Lawson, Jr., Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (1983), no. 58, 83–196 (1984). Zbl 0538.53047 MR 720933
- [15] K. K. Jensen and K. Thomsen, *Elements of KK-theory*, Mathematics: Theory & Applications, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1991. Zbl 1155.19300 MR 1124848
- [16] J. Kaad, A Serre–Swan theorem for bundles of bounded geometry, J. Funct. Anal., 265 (2013), no. 10, 2465–2499. Zbl 1288.46042 MR 3091822
- [17] J. Kaad and M. Lesch, A local global principle for regular operators in Hilbert C*-modules, J. Funct. Anal., 262 (2012), no. 10, 4540–4569. Zbl 1251.46030 MR 2900477
- [18] J. Kaad and M. Lesch, Spectral flow and the unbounded Kasparov product, *Adv. Math.*, 248 (2013), 495–530. Zbl 1294.19001 MR 3107519
- [19] M. Karoubi, Homologie cyclique et K-théorie, Astérisque, (1987), no. 149, 147pp.
 Zbl 0648.18008 MR 913964
- [20] G. G. Kasparov, Hilbert C*-modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu, J. Operator Theory, 4 (1980), no. 1, 133–150. Zbl 0456.46059 MR 587371
- [21] G. G. Kasparov, The operator *K*-functor and extensions of *C**-algebras, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **44** (1980), no. 3, 571–636, 719. Zbl 0448.46051 MR 582160
- [22] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C*-modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Zbl 0822.46080 MR 1325694
- [23] B. Mesland, Unbounded bivariant K-theory and correspondences in noncommutative geometry, J. Reine Angew. Math., 691 (2014), 101–172. Zbl 1293.58010 MR 3213549
- [24] J. A. Mingo and W. J. Phillips, Equivariant triviality theorems for Hilbert C*-modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 91 (1984), no. 2, 225–230. Zbl 0546.46049 MR 740176
- [25] A. Pal, Regular operators on Hilbert C*-modules, J. Operator Theory, 42 (1999), no. 2, 331–350. Zbl 0991.46030 MR 1716957
- [26] F. Pierrot, Opérateurs réguliers dans les C*-modules et structure des C*-algèbres de groupes de Lie semisimples complexes simplement connexes, J. Lie Theory, 16 (2006), no. 4, 651–689. Zbl 1152.22006 MR 2270655

- [27] I. Raeburn and S. J. Thompson, Countably generated Hilbert modules, the Kasparov stabilisation theorem, and frames with Hilbert modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131 (2003), no. 5, 1557–1564 (electronic). Zbl 1015.46034 MR 1949886
- [28] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics. II. Fourier analysis, selfadjointness, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975. Zbl 0308.47002 MR 0493420
- [29] S. L. Woronowicz, Unbounded elements affiliated with C*-algebras and noncompact quantum groups, Comm. Math. Phys., 136 (1991), no. 2, 399–432. Zbl 0743.46080 MR 1096123

Received 25 November, 2015

J. Kaad, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, The University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark E-mail: jenskaad@hotmail.com