

# Induced map on $K$ -theory for certain $\Gamma$ -equivariant maps between Hilbert spaces

Tsuyoshi Kato

**Abstract.** Higson–Kasparov–Trout introduced an infinite-dimensional Clifford algebra of a Hilbert space, and verified Bott periodicity on  $K$ -theory. To develop an algebraic topology of maps between Hilbert spaces, in this paper we introduce an induced Hilbert Clifford algebra and construct an induced map between  $K$ -theory of the Higson–Kasparov–Trout Clifford algebra and the induced Clifford algebra. We also compute its  $K$ -group for some concrete case.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and  $H, H'$  two Hilbert spaces on which  $\Gamma$  acts linearly and isometrically. Let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant map whose linear part is  $l$ , which is also  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. We want to construct an “induced map” of  $K$ -theory of these infinite-dimensional spaces. Of course we cannot obtain such a map in the usual sense because these spaces are locally noncompact. Thus, we introduce the infinite-dimensional Clifford  $C^*$ -algebras by Higson, Kasparov, and Trout [4].

Let  $E$  be a finite-dimensional Euclidean space, and let  $\text{Cl}(E)$  be the complex Clifford algebra. There is a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\beta : C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}) \widehat{\otimes} C_0(E, \text{Cl}(E))$  called the Bott map, given by the functional calculus

$$f \rightarrow f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C),$$

where  $X$  is an unbounded multiplier of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  by  $X(f)(x) = xf(x)$ , and  $C$ , which is called the Clifford operator, is also an unbounded multiplier of  $C_0(E, \text{Cl}(E))$  by  $C(v) = v$ . It turns out that  $\beta$  induces an isomorphism on  $K$ -theory as follows:

$$\beta_* : K_*(C_0(\mathbb{R})) \cong K_*(C_0(\mathbb{R}) \widehat{\otimes} C_0(E, \text{Cl}(E))).$$

Higson–Kasparov–Trout (HKT) generalized its construction to obtain the Clifford algebra  $S\mathcal{C}(H)$  for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space  $H$ , and verified the isomorphism

$$\beta_* : K_*(C_0(\mathbb{R})) \cong K_*(S\mathcal{C}(H)).$$

The idea is to use finite-dimensional approximation of the Hilbert space and inductively apply the Bott map.

$K$ -theory plays an important role in non-commutative geometry [3]. To develop an algebraic topology of maps between Hilbert spaces, our first step is to construct an induced map in  $K$ -theory. Let  $F = l + c : E' \rightarrow E$  be a proper map such that  $l : E \cong E'$  gives a linear isomorphism, where  $l$  is its linear part and  $c$  is the nonlinear part between finite-dimensional Euclidean spaces. Then  $F$  induces a map

$$F^* : C_0(E, Cl(E)) \rightarrow C_0(E', Cl(E'))$$

given by

$$u \mapsto (v' \mapsto \bar{l}^*(u(F(v'))))$$

where  $\bar{l}$  is the unitary operator of its polar decomposition. Notice that the image  $F^*(C_0(E, Cl(E))) \subset C_0(E', Cl(E'))$  is a  $C^*$  subalgebra.

It becomes clear why we use  $\bar{l}$  rather than  $l$  to construct the infinite-dimensional version of this map. Let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be a map between two Hilbert spaces. To extend the above “pull-back” construction to the infinite-dimensional setting we have to impose extra conditions on  $F$ . We call such special maps finitely approximable. See Definition 3.1 for more details. We then obtain the following result.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Suppose  $F : H' \rightarrow H$  is finitely approximable. Then there is an induced Clifford  $C^*$ -algebra  $S\mathcal{C}_F(H')$ .*

This  $C^*$ -algebra coincides with  $F^*(C_0(E, Cl(E)))$  above, in the finite-dimensional case. If a discrete group  $\Gamma$  acts linearly and isometrically, then it also acts on  $S\mathcal{C}_F(H)$ .

The following is our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose  $F : H' \rightarrow H$  is finitely approximable. Then it induces a  $*$ -homomorphism*

$$F^* : S\mathcal{C}(H) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}_F(H').$$

*In particular, it induces a homomorphism between  $K$ -groups*

$$F^* : K_*(S\mathcal{C}(H)) \rightarrow K_*(S\mathcal{C}_F(H')).$$

If a discrete group  $\Gamma$  acts on both  $H'$  and  $H$  linearly and isometrically and  $F$  is  $\Gamma$ -finitely approximable, then  $F^*$  is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant  $*$ -homomorphism that induces a homomorphism between  $K$ -groups

$$F^* : K_*(S\mathcal{C}(H) \rtimes \Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(S\mathcal{C}_F(H') \rtimes \Gamma),$$

where the crossed product is full.

Suppose  $F : H' \rightarrow H$  is strongly finitely approximable. Then by approximating these Hilbert spaces by finite-dimensional linear subspaces, we can obtain its degree  $\deg(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the above  $F^*$  is given by

$$F^* : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

which sends 1 to  $\deg(F)$  by choosing a suitable orientation.

We also compute the group  $K(S\mathcal{C}_F(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$  for some concrete cases in Section 6.

In a successive paper [5], we will apply our construction of the  $K$  theoretic induced map to a monopole map that appears in gauge theory. Over a compact oriented four manifold, it turns out that the monopole map is strongly finitely approximable, and its degree coincides with the Bauer–Furuta degree when  $b^1 = 0$  (see [2]). We will verify that the covering-monopole map on the universal covering space is  $\Gamma$ -finitely approximable, when its linear part gives a linear isomorphism. This produces a higher degree map of Bauer–Furuta type. The idea of the degree goes back to an old result by A. Schwarz [6].

## 2. Infinite-dimensional Bott periodicity

### 2.1. Quick review of HKT construction

We review the construction of the Hilbert space Clifford  $C^*$ -algebras by Higson, Kasparov, and Trout [4].

Let  $E$  be a finite-dimensional Euclidean space, and let  $\text{Cl}(E)$  be the complex Clifford algebras, where we choose a positive sign on the multiplication  $e^2 = |e|^2 1$  for every  $e \in E$ .

This admits a natural  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading. The embedding  $C : E \rightarrow \text{Cl}(E)$  gives a map which is called the Clifford operator. Let us denote  $\mathcal{C}(E) = C_0(E, \text{Cl}(E))$ . Let  $X : C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R})$  be given by  $X(f)(x) = xf(x)$ . Then  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  also admits a natural  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading by even or odd functions. Both operators  $C$  and  $X$  are degree one and essentially self-adjoint unbounded multipliers on  $\mathcal{C}(E)$  and  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , respectively. In particular,  $X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C$  is a degree one and essentially self-adjoint unbounded multiplier on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(E)$ .

Let us introduce a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\beta : C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}(E) := C_0(\mathbb{R}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(E)$$

defined by

$$\beta : f \rightarrow f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C)$$

through functional calculus. Let  $E$  be a separable real Hilbert space, and let  $E_a \subset E_b \subset E$  be a pair of finite-dimensional linear subspaces. We denote the orthogonal complement by  $E_{ba} := E_b \cap E_a^\perp$ . Then we have the canonical isomorphism  $S\mathcal{C}(E_b) \cong S\mathcal{C}(E_{ba}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(E_a)$  of  $C^*$ -algebras. Let us introduce a  $*$ -homomorphism passing through this isomorphism

$$\beta_{ba} = \beta \widehat{\otimes} 1 : S\mathcal{C}(E_a) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}(E_{ba}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(E_a) = S\mathcal{C}(E_b).$$

**Lemma 2.1** ([4, Proposition 3.2]). *Let  $E_a \subset E_b \subset E_c$ . Then the composition*

$$S\mathcal{C}(E_a) \xrightarrow{\beta_{ba}} S\mathcal{C}(E_b) \xrightarrow{\beta_{cb}} S\mathcal{C}(E_c)$$

*coincides with the  $*$ -homomorphism*

$$\beta_{ca} : S\mathcal{C}(E_a) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}(E_c).$$

**Definition 2.1.** We denote the direct limit  $C^*$ -algebras by

$$S\mathfrak{C}(E) = \varinjlim_a S\mathfrak{C}(E_a),$$

where the direct limit is taken over all finite-dimensional linear subspaces of  $E$ .

It follows from the above construction that we can obtain a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\beta : C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}(E).$$

Suppose a discrete group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $E$  linearly and isometrically. It induces the action on  $S\mathfrak{C}(E)$  by

$$\gamma(f \hat{\otimes} u)(v) = f \hat{\otimes} \gamma(u(\gamma^{-1}(v))).$$

Thus, the Bott map is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. For a  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , let us denote

$$K^\Gamma(A) := K(A \rtimes \Gamma),$$

where the right-hand side  $C^*$ -algebra is given by the full crossed product of  $A$  with  $\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 2.2** ([4, Theorem 3.5]).  *$\beta$  gives an equivariant asymptotic equivalence from  $S$  to  $S\mathfrak{C}(E)$ . In particular, it induces an isomorphism*

$$\beta_* : K_*^\Gamma(C_0(\mathbb{R})) \cong K_*^\Gamma(S\mathfrak{C}(E)).$$

**2.2. Direct limit  $C^*$ -algebras**

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space on which  $\Gamma$  acts linearly and isometrically. Choose exhaustion by finite-dimensional linear subspaces  $V_j \subset V_{j+1}$  with dense union  $\bigcup_j V_j \subset H$ . Let  $0 < r_1 < \dots < r_i < r_{i+1} < \dots \rightarrow \infty$  be a divergent positive sequence with  $r_{i+1} > \sqrt{2}r_i$ , and let  $D_{r_i}^j \subset V_j$  be the open disc with diameter  $r_i$ .

Consider the diagram of the embeddings

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ \dots & \subset & D_{r_i}^j & \subset & D_{r_{i+1}}^j & \subset & \dots \subset V_j \\ & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ \dots & \subset & D_{r_i}^{j+1} & \subset & D_{r_{i+1}}^{j+1} & \subset & \dots \subset V_{j+1} \\ & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \dots & \subset & D_{r_i} & \subset & D_{r_{i+1}} & \subset & \dots \subset H \end{array}$$

Let  $V_j^\perp \subset H$  be the orthogonal complement, and for  $j' \geq j$  denote

$$V_{j,j'}^\perp := V_j^\perp \cap V_{j'}, \quad E_{r_i}^{j,j'} := D_{r_i}^j \times V_{j,j'} \subset V_{j'}, \quad E_{r_i}^j := D_{r_i}^j \times V_j^\perp \subset H.$$

Let us set

$$S\mathfrak{C}(D_{r_i}^j) \equiv C_0(\mathbb{R}) \widehat{\otimes} C_0(D_{r_i}^j, \text{Cl}(V_j)).$$

Recall the Bott map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : C_0(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}(V) \\ f &\rightarrow f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C) \end{aligned}$$

for a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ . Then we have  $*$ -homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{j,j'} = \beta \widehat{\otimes} 1 : S\mathfrak{C}(D_{r_i}^j) &\rightarrow SC_0(V_{j,j'}, \text{Cl}(V_{j,j'})) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}(D_{r_i}^j) \cong S\mathfrak{C}(E_{r_i}^{j,j'}) \\ &\hookrightarrow S\mathfrak{C}(V_{j'}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last embedding is the open inclusion.

**Remark 2.3.** Trout developed a Thom isomorphism on infinite-dimensional Euclidean bundles. One may regard  $C_0(D_{r_i}^j, \text{Cl}(V_j))$  as the set of continuous sections on the Clifford algebra of the tangent bundle  $\text{Cl}(TD_{r_i}^j)$  vanishing at infinity. Then  $\beta_{j,j'}$  can be described as a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\beta_{j,j'} = (1 \widehat{\otimes} i_*) \circ (\beta_{E_{r_i}^{j,j'}} \widehat{\otimes}_{D_{r_i}^j} \text{id}_{D_{r_i}^j}),$$

where  $i : E_{r_i}^{j,j'} \hookrightarrow V_{j'}$  is the open inclusion; see [7, Section 2].

Let  $S_r := C_0(-r, r) \subset C_0(\mathbb{R})$  and set

$$S_r\mathfrak{C}(D_r^j) \equiv C_0(-r, r) \widehat{\otimes} C_0(D_r^j, \text{Cl}(V_j)).$$

Then the above Bott map transforms as

$$\beta_{j,j'} = \beta \widehat{\otimes} 1 : S_{r_i}\mathfrak{C}(D_{r_i}^j) \rightarrow S_{r_{i+1}}\mathfrak{C}(D_{r_{i+1}}^{j'}).$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *The direct limit  $C^*$ -algebra*

$$\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} S_{r_i}\mathfrak{C}(D_{r_i}^j) = S\mathfrak{C}(H)$$

*coincides with the Clifford  $C^*$ -algebra of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* The proof consists of two steps.

*Step 1.* We claim that the commutativity

$$\beta_{j,j''} = \beta_{j',j''} \circ \beta_{j,j'}$$

holds. To make the notations clearer, let us denote by  $\bar{\beta}_{j,j'} : S\mathfrak{C}(V_j) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}(V_{j'})$  the standard Bott map. Then the commutativity  $\bar{\beta}_{j,j''} = \bar{\beta}_{j',j''} \circ \bar{\beta}_{j,j'}$  holds.

For  $a_{i,j} \in S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{r_i}^j)$ ,  $\bar{\beta}_{j,j''}(a_{i,j}) = \beta_{j,j''}(a_{i,j})$  holds in  $S\mathfrak{C}(V_{j''})$ , passing through the isometric embedding  $S_{r_{i+2}} \mathfrak{C}(D_{r_{i+2}}^{j''}) \hookrightarrow S\mathfrak{C}(V_{j''})$ . This implies the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{j,j''}(a_{i,j}) &= \bar{\beta}_{j,j''}(a_{i,j}) = \bar{\beta}_{j',j''} \circ \bar{\beta}_{j,j'}(a_{i,j}) \\ &= \bar{\beta}_{j',j''} \circ \beta_{j,j'}(a_{i,j}) = \beta_{j',j''} \circ \beta_{j,j'}(a_{i,j}). \end{aligned}$$

This commutativity allows us to construct the direct limit  $C^*$ -algebra

$$\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{r_i}^j).$$

There is a canonical isometric embedding

$$I : \lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{r_i}^j) \hookrightarrow S\mathfrak{C}(H).$$

*Step 2.* It remains to verify that the image of  $I$  is dense. For a linear inclusion  $V \hookrightarrow H$ , let  $\beta : S\mathfrak{C}(V) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}(H)$  be the Bott  $*$ -homomorphism into the Clifford  $C^*$ -algebra. An element  $a \in S\mathfrak{C}(H)$  is given as  $\lim_j \beta(a_j)$  for some  $a_j \in S\mathfrak{C}(V_j)$ . Let  $\chi_i \in C_c((-r_i, r_i); [0, 1])$  and  $\varphi_{i,j} \in C_c(D_{r_i}^j; [0, 1])$  be cutoff functions with

$$\chi_i|_{(-r_{i-1}, r_{i-1})} \equiv 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{i,j}|_{D_{r_{i-1}}^j} \equiv 1.$$

Let us set  $\psi_{i,j} = \chi_i \hat{\otimes} \varphi_{i,j}$ . We claim that  $b_{i,j} := \psi_{i,j} a_j \in S\mathfrak{C}(D_{r_i}^j)$  converges to the same element:

$$\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \beta(b_{i,j}) = a \in S\mathfrak{C}(H).$$

Choose any  $j_0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $r > 0$  such that  $a_{j_0}$  satisfies the estimate  $\|a_{j_0}\|_{C_0((D_r)^c)} < \varepsilon$ . For each  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , there is some  $r > 0$  such that  $\beta(f) \in S\mathfrak{C}(H)$  satisfies the estimate  $\|\beta(f)\|_{C_0((D_r)^c)} < \varepsilon$ . Thus, any  $a_j \in S\mathfrak{C}(V_j)$  with  $j > j_0$  also satisfies the estimate

$$\|a_j\|_{C_0((D_{\sqrt{2}r})^c)} < 2\varepsilon$$

for all large  $r \gg 1$ . This verifies the claim. ■

### 2.3. Asymptotic unitary operators

Let  $H'$  be a Hilbert space. For two finite-dimensional linear subspaces, let us set

$$d'(V'_1, V'_2) = \sup_{v_2} \inf_{v_1} \{ \|v_1 - v_2\| : \|v_1\| = \|v_2\| = 1, v_i \in V'_i \}.$$

$d'(V'_1; V'_2) = 0$  holds if and only if  $V'_1$  contains  $V'_2$ . Then we introduce the distance between these planes by

$$d(V'_1, V'_2) = \min \{ d'(V'_1; V'_2), d'(V'_2; V'_1) \}.$$

Let  $l : H' \rightarrow H$  be a linear isomorphism between Hilbert spaces, and let

$$\bar{l} = l \circ \sqrt{l^* \circ l}^{-1} : H' \rightarrow H$$

be the unitary corresponding to the polar decomposition of  $l$ . For any finite-dimensional linear subspace  $V \subset H$ , let us compare two linear subspaces

$$V' \equiv l^{-1}(V), \quad \bar{V}' \equiv \bar{l}^{-1}(V) \subset H'.$$

The following lemma will not be used later, but may be useful to understand how  $V'$  and  $\bar{V}'$  differ from each other.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $W'_i$  be a family of finite-dimensional linear subspaces with  $W'_i \subset W'_{i+1}$  so that the union  $\bigcup_i W'_i \subset H'$  is dense. For any finite-dimensional linear subspace  $V' \subset H'$  and any small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $i_0$  such that for all  $i \geq i_0$ ,*

$$\|(1 - \bar{p}r_i)|\bar{V}'\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, where  $\bar{p}r_i : H' \rightarrow \bar{W}'_i$  is the orthogonal projection and  $\bar{W}'_i := \bar{l}^{-1}(l(W'_i))$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to verify that for any finite-dimensional linear subspace  $V' \subset H'$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the estimate

$$d(\bar{V}', \bar{W}'_i) < \varepsilon$$

holds for all large  $i \gg 1$ . Actually  $\bar{W}' = H'$  holds when  $W' = H'$  since the polar decomposition gives the unitary. Thus, for any finite-dimensional linear exhaustion  $W'_i$  such that  $\bigcup_i W'_i \subset H'$  is dense,  $\bigcup_i \bar{W}'_i \subset H'$  is also dense. Therefore the estimate holds. ■

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $H'$  and  $H$  be two Hilbert spaces and let  $l : H' \rightarrow H$  be a linear isomorphism.  $l$  is asymptotically unitary if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a finite-dimensional linear subspace  $V \subset H'$  such that the restriction

$$l : V^\perp \cong l(V^\perp)$$

satisfies the estimate on its operator norm

$$\|(l - \bar{l})|V^\perp\| < \varepsilon,$$

where  $\bar{l}$  is the unitary of the polar decomposition of  $l : H' \rightarrow H$ .

**Remark 2.6.** In a subsequent paper, we will verify that a self-adjoint elliptic operator on a compact manifold is asymptotically unitary between Sobolev spaces.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $l : H' \cong H$  be asymptotically unitary. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a finite-dimensional vector subspace  $V'_0 \subset H'$  such that the estimate*

$$d(V', (\bar{l}^* \circ l)(V')) < \varepsilon$$

holds for any  $V' \supset V'_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V' \subset H'$  be a closed linear subspace, and let  $(V')^\perp$  be its orthogonal complement. Let  $\text{pr} : H' \rightarrow V'$  be the orthogonal projection.

*Step 1.* Take a finite-dimensional subspace  $V'_0 \subset H'$  so that  $l$  satisfies the estimate  $\|(l - \bar{l})|(V')^\perp\| < \varepsilon$  for any  $V' \supset V'_0$ . Then the operator norm of the restriction satisfies the estimate

$$\|(\bar{l}^*l - \text{pr} \circ \bar{l}^*l)|V'\| < \varepsilon.$$

Decompose the operator  $\bar{l}^*l$  with respect to  $V' \oplus (V')^\perp$ , and express  $\bar{l}^*l$  by a matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where both estimates  $\|D - \text{id}\|$  and  $\|B\| < \varepsilon$  hold.

*Step 2.*  $C = B^*$  holds since  $\bar{l}^*l$  is self-adjoint. Hence the estimate  $\|C\| < \varepsilon$  also holds. Then the conclusion holds because the estimate

$$d(V', (\bar{l}^* \circ l)(V')) \leq \|C\|$$

holds. ■

### 2.4. A variant of Clifford $C^*$ -algebra

Let us introduce a variant of the HKT construction. Ultimately, the result of the  $C^*$ -algebra turns out to be  $*$ -isomorphic to the original one given by HKT. This variant naturally appears when one considers the induced Clifford  $C^*$ -algebra we introduce later.

Let  $l : H' \cong H$  be an asymptotically unitary isomorphism. Let  $E \subset H$  be a finite-dimensional Euclidean space, and denote

$$E' = l^{-1}(E), \quad \bar{E}' = \bar{l}^{-1}(E).$$

The map

$$C_l \equiv \bar{l}^* \circ l : E' \rightarrow \bar{E}' \hookrightarrow \text{Cl}(\bar{E}')$$

is called the *induced Clifford operator*. Let us denote

$$\mathfrak{C}_l(E') = C_0(E', \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'))$$

and introduce a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\beta_l : C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}_l(E') \equiv C_0(\mathbb{R}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}_l(E')$$

defined by  $\beta_l : f \rightarrow f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C_l)$  by functional calculus.

Let  $E'_a \subset E'_b \subset H'$  be a pair of finite-dimensional linear subspaces, and denote the orthogonal complement as  $E'_{ba} = E'_b \cap (E'_a)^\perp$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $l : H' \cong H$  be asymptotically unitary. Then there is a finite-dimensional vector space  $V' \subset H'$  such that there is a canonical  $*$ -isomorphism*

$$I_{ba} : S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_{ba}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}_l(E'_a) \cong S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_b)$$

if the inclusion  $V' \subset E'_a$  holds.

*Proof.* Let  $V'$  be the vector subspace given by Lemma 2.7. Let  $\hat{E}'_{ba} \subset \bar{E}'_b$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\bar{E}'_a$ , and consider the orthogonal projection

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{r} : \bar{E}'_{ba} \rightarrow \hat{E}'_{ba}.$$

By the assumption,  $l^* \circ l$  is almost unitary on  $E'_{ba}$  so that the operator norm satisfies the estimate  $\|(l^* \circ l) - \text{id}|_{E'_{ba}}\| < \varepsilon$ . The estimate  $d(E'_a, \bar{E}'_a) < \varepsilon$  also holds from Lemma 2.7. Thus, the operator norm of the above projection satisfies the estimate

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{r} - \text{id}|_{\bar{E}'_{ba}}\| < 2\varepsilon.$$

In particular, the projection gives an isomorphism. Let  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{r} : \bar{E}'_{ba} \rightarrow \hat{E}'_{ba}$  be the unitary of the polar decomposition. It also satisfies the estimate  $\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{r} - \text{id}|_{\bar{E}'_{ba}}\| < 4\varepsilon$ , which induces a  $*$ -isomorphism

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{r} : \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_{ba}) \cong \text{Cl}(\hat{E}'_{ba}).$$

It extends to the  $*$ -isomorphism

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{r} \hat{\otimes} 1 : \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_{ba}) \hat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_a) \cong \text{Cl}(\hat{E}'_{ba}) \hat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_a) \cong \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_b)$$

which induces the desired  $*$ -isomorphism

$$S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_{ba}) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}_l(E'_a) \cong S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_b). \quad \blacksquare$$

It follows from Lemma 2.8 that there is a canonical  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\beta_{ba} = \beta_l \hat{\otimes} 1 : S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_a) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_{ba}) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}_l(E'_a) \cong S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_b).$$

**Remark 2.9.** Surely  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{r}$  induces a linear map

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{r} : \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_{ba}) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\hat{E}'_{ba})$$

by setting  $u = u_1 + u_2 \in \text{Cl}(\hat{E}'_{ba}) \oplus \text{Cl}^0(\bar{E}'_a)$  to  $u_1 \in \text{Cl}(\hat{E}'_{ba})$ , where  $\text{Cl}^0(E)$  is the scalarless part of  $\text{Cl}(E)$ . However it cannot be “almost”  $*$ -isomorphic in general, as  $\dim E'_{ba}$  grows. To see this, let us take any  $u' \in \bar{E}'_{ba}$  and set  $u''' = u' - \hat{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{r}(u') := u' - u''$ . For any orthonormal basis  $\{u'_1, u'_2, \dots\}$  of  $\bar{E}'_{ba}$ , consider their product  $u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_m \in \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_{ba})$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} u'_1 u'_2 \cdots &= (u''_1 + u'''_1)(u''_2 + u'''_2)(u''_3 + u'''_3) \cdots (u''_m + u'''_m) \\ &= u''_1 u''_2 \cdots u''_m + \text{other terms.} \end{aligned}$$

Each norm  $\|u''_i\| < 1$  is strictly less than 1 and, hence, the norm of their product in the first term above may degenerate to zero.

Let  $A_a$  be a family of  $C^*$ -algebras, and let  $\beta_{ba} : A_a \rightarrow A_b$  be a family of  $*$ -homomorphisms, where  $\{a\}$  is a semi-ordered set. The family  $\{\beta_{ba}\}_{b,a}$  is *asymptotically commutative* if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $a_0$  such that for any triplet  $c \geq b \geq a \geq a_0$ , the estimate

$$\|\beta_{ca} - \beta_{cb} \circ \beta_{ba}\| < \varepsilon$$

holds.

For  $v_a \in A_a$ , introduce the set of equivalent classes

$$\bar{v}_a := \{\beta_{ba}(v_a)\}_{b \geq a}$$

divided by all elements  $\bar{v}'_a$  with

$$\lim_b \|\beta_{ba}(v'_a)\| = 0.$$

Consider the algebra generated by elements of the form  $\bar{v}_a$ , where the sum is given by

$$\bar{v}_a + \bar{v}'_{a'} = \{\beta_{ba}(v_a) + \beta_{ba'}(v'_{a'})\}_{b \geq a, a'}$$

and the multiplication is given by

$$\bar{v}_a \cdot \bar{v}'_{a'} = \{\beta_{ba}(v_a) \cdot \beta_{ba'}(v'_{a'})\}_{b \geq a, a'}.$$

The direct limit  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with respect to the family  $\{\beta_{ba} : A_a \rightarrow A_b\}_{a,b}$  is defined by the closure of the above algebra with the norm

$$\|\bar{v}_a\| := \lim_b \|\beta_{ba}(v_a)\|. \tag{2.1}$$

We also denote it by

$$A := \lim_a A_a.$$

Let us set

$$\beta_{ba} := I_{ba} \circ \beta_l : S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_a) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_{ba}) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}_l(E'_a) \cong S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_b),$$

where  $E'_a$  run over all finite-dimensional subspaces, and  $b \geq a$  if and only if  $E'_b \supset E'_a$  holds.

It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.8 that the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 2.10.** *The family  $\{\beta_{ba} : S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_a) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_b)\}_{b,a}$  is asymptotically commutative.*

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $l : H' \cong H$  be asymptotically unitary. The direct limit  $C^*$ -algebra is given by

$$S\mathfrak{C}_l(H') = \lim_a S\mathfrak{C}_l(E'_a),$$

where the norm is given in (2.1) above.

**Proposition 2.11.** *Assume  $l$  is asymptotically unitary. Then there is a canonical  $*$ -isomorphism*

$$S\mathfrak{C}_l(H') \rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}(H')$$

between the two Clifford  $C^*$ -algebras.

*If a group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $H'$  linearly and isometrically and  $l$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant, then this  $*$ -isomorphism is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant.*

*Proof.* The proof consists of four steps.

*Step 1.* It follows from Lemma 2.7 and the assumption that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a finite-dimensional vector space  $V'_0 \subset H'$  such that, for all  $E'_a \supset V'_0$ , the following two estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} d(E'_a, \bar{l}^* \circ l(E'_a)) &< \varepsilon, \\ d((E'_a)^\perp, \bar{l}^* \circ l((E'_a)^\perp)) &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Take another  $E'_b \supset E'_a$  with  $E'_{ba}$ , and let  $\text{pr}_1 : \bar{E}'_a \cong E'_a$  and  $\text{pr}_2 : \bar{E}'_{ba} \cong E'_{ba}$  be the orthogonal projections. Their corresponding unitaries  $\mathbf{pr}_i$  satisfy the bounds

$$\|\mathbf{pr}_i - \text{id}\| < 2\varepsilon.$$

They extend to  $*$ -isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pr}_1 &: \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_a) \cong \text{Cl}(E'_a), \\ \mathbf{pr}_2 &: \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_{ba}) \cong \text{Cl}(E'_{ba}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, they induce the  $*$ -isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pr}_1 &: C_0(E'_a, \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_a)) \cong C_0(E'_a, \text{Cl}(E'_a)), \\ \mathbf{pr}_2 &: C_0(E'_{ba}, \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_{ba})) \cong C_0(E'_{ba}, \text{Cl}(E'_{ba})). \end{aligned}$$

*Step 2.* Let us consider two Bott maps

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &: C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}_l(W'), & \beta_1(f) &= f(X \hat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \hat{\otimes} C_l), \\ \beta_2 &: C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}(W'), & \beta_2(f) &= f(X \hat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \hat{\otimes} C) \end{aligned}$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S\mathcal{C}_l(E'_a) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & S\mathcal{C}_l(E'_{ba}) \hat{\otimes} C_0(E'_a, \text{Cl}(\bar{E}'_a)) \\ 1 \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{pr}_1 \downarrow & & 1 \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{pr}_2 \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{pr}_1 \downarrow \\ S\mathcal{C}(E'_a) & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & S\mathcal{C}(E'_{ba}) \hat{\otimes} C_0(E'_a, \text{Cl}(E'_a)) \end{array}$$

Write  $\mathbf{pr}_{21} := \mathbf{pr}_2 \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{pr}_1$ . Then this diagram satisfies the estimate

$$\|1 \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{pr}_{21} \circ \beta_1 - \beta_2 \circ 1 \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{pr}_1\| < 4\varepsilon.$$

$\varepsilon$  can be arbitrarily small by choosing large  $E'_a$ .

*Step 3.* Let us take  $x \in S\mathcal{C}_l(H')$  and choose  $x_a \in S\mathcal{C}_l(E'_a)$  with  $\lim_a \|\beta_1(x_a) - x\| = 0$ , where  $\beta_1(x_a) \in S\mathcal{C}_l(H')$ . It follows from the above estimate on the diagram that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pr} &: S\mathcal{C}_l(H') \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}(H'), \\ \mathbf{pr}(x) &= \lim_a \beta_2(1 \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{pr}_1(x_a)) \end{aligned}$$

is uniquely defined and independent of the choice of  $x_a$ .

It is easy to check that this assignment gives a  $*$ -homomorphism. To see that it is isomorphic, we consider a converse projection, from  $\bar{\text{pr}}' : E'_a \cong \bar{E}'_a$ . A parallel argument gives another  $*$ -homomorphism  $\text{pr}' : S\mathcal{C}(H') \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}_l(H')$ , and their compositions give the required identities.

*Step 4.* Let us consider  $\Gamma$ -equivariance. Suppose  $\Gamma$  acts on  $H'$  linearly and isometrically. We claim that  $\text{pr}_1 : \bar{E}'_a \rightarrow E'_a$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant.

To see this, notice that  $\bar{l}$  and hence  $\bar{l}^* \circ l$  are both  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. Then we have the equalities

$$\gamma(\bar{E}'_a) = \gamma(\bar{l}^* \circ l(E'_a)) = \bar{l}^* \circ l(\gamma E'_a) = \overline{\gamma E'_a}.$$

Therefore

$$\text{pr}_1(\gamma(\bar{E}'_a)) = \text{pr}_1(\overline{\gamma E'_a}) = \gamma(E'_a) = \gamma(\text{pr}_1(\bar{E}'_a)).$$

As the Bott map is also  $\Gamma$ -equivariant, the process from Step 1 to Step 3 works equivariantly. ■

### 3. Finite-dimensional approximation

Let  $F : H' \rightarrow H$  be a metrically proper map between Hilbert spaces. Then there is a proper and increasing function  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that the lower bound

$$g(\|F(m)\|) \geq \|m\|$$

holds for all  $m \in H'$ . Later we analyze a family of maps of the form  $F_i : B'_i \rightarrow W_i$ , where  $W_i \subset H$  is a finite-dimensional linear subspace and  $B'_i \subset W'_i \subset H'$  is a closed and bounded set in a finite-dimensional linear space.

Let  $D_t \subset H$  be a  $t$  ball. We say that the family of maps  $\{F_i\}_i$  is *proper* if there are positive and increasing numbers  $r_i, s_i \rightarrow \infty$  such that the inclusion holds:

$$F_i^{-1}(D_{s_i} \cap W_i) \subset D_{r_i} \cap W'_i.$$

Denote  $F = l + c$ , where  $l$  is its linear part and  $c$  is a nonlinear term.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be a metrically proper map. Suppose  $l$  is surjective and  $c$  is compact on each bounded set. Then there is a proper and increasing function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that the following holds: for any  $r > 0$  and  $1 \geq \delta_0 > 0$ , there is a finite-dimensional linear subspace  $W'_0 \subset H'$  such that for any linear subspace  $W'_0 \subset W' \subset H'$ , the composed map*

$$\text{pr} \circ F : D_r \cap W' \rightarrow W$$

*also satisfies the bound*

$$f(\|\text{pr} \circ F(m)\|) \geq \|m\|$$

*for any  $m \in D_r \cap W'$ , where  $W = l(W')$  and  $\text{pr}$  is the orthogonal projection to  $W$ .*

Moreover the estimate holds

$$\sup_{m \in D_r \cap W'} \|F(m) - \text{pr} \circ F(m)\| \leq \delta_0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $C \subset H$  be the closure of the image  $c(D_r)$ , which is compact. Hence there are finitely many points  $w_1, \dots, w_k \in c(D_r)$  such that their  $\delta_0$  neighborhoods cover  $C$ .

Choose  $w'_i \in H'$  with  $l(w'_i) = w_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and let  $W'_0$  be the linear span of these  $w'_i$ .

The restriction  $\text{pr} \circ F : D_r \cap W'_0 \rightarrow W_0$  satisfies the equality

$$\text{pr} \circ F = l + \text{pr} \circ c,$$

where  $W_0 = l(W'_0)$ . Then for any  $m \in D_r \cap W'_0$ , there is some  $w'_i$  with  $\|c(m) - c(w'_i)\| \leq \delta_0$ , and the estimate  $\|F(m) - \text{pr} \circ F(m)\| \leq \delta_0$  holds.

Since  $g$  is increasing, we obtain the estimates

$$g(\|\text{pr} \circ F(m)\| + \delta_0) \geq g(\|F(m)\|) \geq \|m\|.$$

The function  $f(x) = g(x + 1)$  satisfies the desired property.

For any other linear subspace  $W'_0 \subset W' \subset H'$ , the same property holds for  $\text{pr} \circ F : D_r \cap W' \rightarrow W$  with  $W = l(W')$ . ■

Let  $W'_i \subset H'$  and  $W_i \subset H$  be two families of finite-dimensional linear subspaces. Let us say that a family of linear isomorphisms

$$l_i : W'_i \cong W_i$$

is an *asymptotic unitary family* if the following conditions hold:

- (1) there exists an asymptotically unitary map  $l : H' \cong H$ ,
- (2) for each  $i_0$ ,  $\lim_i \|l - l_i\|_{W'_{i_0}} = 0$  holds, where  $l, l_i : W'_{i_0} \rightarrow H$ , and
- (3) uniform bounds  $C^{-1}\|l\| \leq \|l_i\| \leq C\|l\|$  hold on their norms, where  $C$  is independent of  $i$ .

Let us introduce an approximation of  $F$  as a family of maps on finite-dimensional linear subspaces. Let  $D'_{r_i} \subset H'$  and  $D_{s_i} \subset H$  be  $r_i$  and  $s_i$  balls, respectively.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be a metrically proper map, where  $l$  is its linear part and  $c$  is a nonlinear term. Let us say that  $F$  is finitely approximable if there is an increasing family of finite-dimensional linear subspaces

$$W'_0 \subset W'_1 \subset \dots \subset W'_i \subset \dots \subset H'$$

and a family of maps  $F_i = l_i + c_i : W'_i \rightarrow W_i$ , where  $W_i = l_i(W'_i)$ , such that

- (1) the union  $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} W'_i \subset H'$  is dense,

- (2) there are two sequences  $s_0 < s_1 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r_0 < r_1 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$  with  $r_i \geq s_i$  such that the embedding

$$F_i^{-1}(D_{s_i} \cap W_i) \subset D'_{r_i} \cap W'_i$$

holds for all  $i$ ,

- (3) for each  $i_0$ ,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \in D'_{r_{i_0}} \cap W'_{i_0}} \|F(m) - F_i(m)\| = 0,$$

- (4)  $l_i : W'_i \cong W_i$  is an asymptotic unitary family with respect to  $l$ .

Let us also say that  $F$  is *strongly finitely approximable* if it is finitely approximable,  $l_i = l|_{W'_i}$ , and  $c_i = \text{pr}_i \circ c$ , such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|(1 - \text{pr}_i) \circ c|_{D'_{r_i}}\| = 0,$$

where  $\text{pr}_i : H \rightarrow W_i$  is the orthogonal projection.

The following restates Lemma 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be a metrically proper map such that  $l$  is asymptotically unitary and  $c$  is compact on each bounded set. Then  $F$  is strongly finitely approximable.*

Suppose both  $H'$  and  $H$  admit linear isometric actions by a group  $\Gamma$  and assume that both  $F$  and  $l$  are  $\Gamma$ -equivariant where  $F = l + c$ . Then we say that  $F$  is  $\Gamma$ -finitely approximable if, moreover, the above family  $\{W'_i\}_i$  satisfies that the union

$$\bigcup_i \{\gamma(W'_i) \cap W'_i\} \subset H'$$

is dense for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Note that the above family  $\{F_i\}_i$  satisfies convergence for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_m \|\gamma F_i(m) - F_i(\gamma m)\| = 0,$$

where  $m \in D'_{r_{i_0}} \cap W'_{r_{i_0}} \cap \gamma^{-1}(W'_{r_{i_0}})$  because the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma F_i(m) - F_i(\gamma m)\| &\leq \|\gamma F(m) - \gamma F_i(m)\| + \|\gamma F(m) - F_i(\gamma m)\| \\ &= \|F(m) - F_i(m)\| + \|F(\gamma m) - F_i(\gamma m)\| \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Let us take  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and consider the  $\gamma$  shift of the finite approximation data

$$\gamma(W'_i), \quad \gamma^*(F_i), \quad \gamma^*(l_i).$$

It is clear that the above shift gives another finite approximation of  $F$ .

### 4. Induced Clifford $C^*$ -algebra

Let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be a map. We aim here to construct an “induced” Clifford  $C^*$ -algebra  $S\mathfrak{C}_F(H')$ .

#### 4.1. Model case

Let us start with a model case that consists of a proper and nonlinear map

$$F = l + c : E' \rightarrow E$$

between finite-dimensional Euclidean spaces, where  $l$  is a linear isomorphism. Consider a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$F^* : S\mathfrak{C}(E) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}(E') = C_0(\mathbb{R}) \widehat{\otimes} C_0(E', Cl(E'))$$

defined by  $F^*(f \widehat{\otimes} u)(v') := f \widehat{\otimes} \bar{l}^{-1}(u(F(v')))$ , and denote its image by

$$S\mathfrak{C}_F(E') = F^*(S\mathfrak{C}(E))$$

which is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra in  $S\mathfrak{C}(E')$ , whose norm is denoted by  $\| \cdot \|_{S\mathfrak{C}_F}$ .

The induced map

$$C_F \equiv \bar{l}^{-1} \circ F : E' \rightarrow E' \hookrightarrow Cl(E')$$

is called the *induced Clifford operator*. We use it to introduce a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\beta_F : C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{C}_F(E')$$

defined by  $\beta_F : f \rightarrow f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C_F)$  by functional calculus.

Now suppose a Hilbert space  $H'$  is spanned by an infinite family of finite-dimensional Euclidean planes as

$$E'_1 \oplus E'_2 \oplus \dots$$

and assume there is a family of proper maps

$$F_i = l_i + c_i : E'_i \rightarrow E_i$$

which extends to a map

$$F = (F_1, F_2, \dots) = l + c : H' \rightarrow H,$$

where  $H$  is spanned by  $E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \dots$ . Assume  $l = (l_1, l_2, \dots) : H' \cong H$  is asymptotically unitary.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $F = (F_1, F_2)$  be diagonal as above. Then*

$$\mathfrak{C}_F(E'_1 \oplus E'_2) \cong \mathfrak{C}_{F_1}(E'_1) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}_{F_2}(E'_2).$$

*Proof.* By definition  $\mathbb{C}_{F_i}(E'_i) = F_i^*(\mathbb{C}(E'_i))$  holds for  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_F(E'_1 \oplus E'_2) &\cong F^*(\mathbb{C}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)) \cong (F_1 \widehat{\otimes} F_2)^* \mathbb{C}(E'_1) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}(E'_2) \\ &\cong F_1^*(\mathbb{C}(E'_1)) \widehat{\otimes} F_2^*(\mathbb{C}(E'_2)) \\ &\cong \mathbb{C}_{F_1}(E'_1) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_{F_2}(E'_2). \end{aligned}$$

■

Then the induced Bott map is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{F_{i+1}} : S\mathbb{C}_F(E'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E'_i) \\ \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}_F(E'_{i+1}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_F(E'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E'_i) \cong S\mathbb{C}_F(E'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E'_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

by the use of  $C_{F_{i+1}}$ .

More generally, one can induce

$$\beta_{i,j} : S\mathbb{C}_F(E'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E'_i) \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}_F(E'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E'_j)$$

by the use of a canonical extension

$$C_{(F_{i+1}, \dots, F_j)} : E'_{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E'_j \rightarrow E'_{i+1} \cdots \oplus E'_j \subset \text{Cl}(E'_{i+1} \cdots \oplus E'_j).$$

Let  $u \in S\mathbb{C}_F(E'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E'_i)$  for some  $i$ . Then the limit

$$\|u\| \equiv \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\beta_{i,j}(u)\|_{S\mathbb{C}_F}$$

exists, which gives a norm on  $S\mathbb{C}_F(E'_1 \oplus E'_2 \oplus \cdots)$ . Then the direct limit  $C^*$ -algebra is given by

$$S\mathbb{C}_F(H') = \lim_j S\mathbb{C}_F(E'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E'_j)$$

whose norm is given as above.

Notice that  $S\mathbb{C}_F(H')$  is no longer a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $S\mathbb{C}(H')$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *In the case when  $c_i \equiv 0$  and hence  $F_i = l_i$  for all  $i$ , the induced Clifford  $C^*$ -algebra admits a canonical  $*$ -isomorphism*

$$S\mathbb{C}_F(H') \cong S\mathbb{C}(H').$$

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 2.11 with the coincidence

$$S\mathbb{C}_F(H') = S\mathbb{C}_l(H'),$$

where the right-hand side is given in Definition 2.3. ■

**4.2. Induced Clifford  $C^*$ -algebra**

Assume that  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  is finitely approximable as in Definition 3.1 with respect to the data  $W'_0 \subset \dots \subset W'_i \subset \dots \subset H'$  with open disks  $D'_{r_i} \subset W'_i$  and  $D_{s_i} \subset W_i$ , and  $F_i = l_i + c_i : W'_i \rightarrow W_i$ .

Let  $S_r = C_0(-r, r) \subset S$  be the set of continuous functions on  $(-r, r)$  vanishing at infinity, and consider the  $C^*$ -subalgebras

$$S_{r_i} \widehat{\otimes} C_0(D'_{r_i}, \text{Cl}(W'_i)) \equiv S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}(D'_{r_i}).$$

Since the inclusion  $F_i^{-1}(D_{s_i}) \subset D'_{r_i}$  holds, it induces a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$F_i^* : S_{s_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{s_i}) \rightarrow S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}(D'_{r_i})$$

given by  $F_i^*(h)(v') := \bar{l}_i^{-1}(h(F_i(v')))$ . Denote its image by

$$S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i}) := F_i^*(S_{s_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{s_i}))$$

which is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra with the norm  $\| \cdot \|_{S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i}}$ .

Let us consider a family of elements

$$\alpha_i \in S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i}), \quad i \geq i_0$$

for some  $i_0$ . Let us say that the family is  $F$ -compatible if there is an element  $u_{i_0} \in S_{s_{i_0}} \mathfrak{C}(D_{s_{i_0}})$  such that

$$\alpha_i = F_i^*(u_i) \in S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i})$$

holds for any  $i \geq i_0$ , where  $u_i = \beta(u_{i_0}) \in S_{s_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{s_i})$  with the standard Bott map  $\beta$ .

**Remark 4.3.** Consider the induced Clifford operator

$$C_{F_i} \equiv \bar{l}_i^{-1} \circ F_i : D'_{r_i} \rightarrow W_i \hookrightarrow \text{Cl}(W'_i)$$

and introduce a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\beta_{F_i} : S_{r_i} \rightarrow S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}(D'_{r_i})$$

defined by  $\beta_{F_i} : f \rightarrow f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C_{F_i})$  by functional calculus.

Then  $F_i^*(\beta(f)) = \beta_{F_i}(f)$ , for all  $f \in S_{r_i}$ .

For an element  $\alpha_i \in S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i})$  and for  $i_0 \leq i$ , we denote its restriction by

$$\alpha_i|_{D'_{r_{i_0}}} \in S_{r_i} \widehat{\otimes} C_b(D'_{r_{i_0}}) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(W'_i).$$

Note that the norms satisfy the inequality

$$\| \alpha_i \|_{S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i})} \geq \| \alpha_i|_{D'_{r_{i_0}}} \|,$$

where the right-hand side is the restriction norm.

For an  $F$ -compatible sequence  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \geq i_0}$ , the limit

$$\|\{\alpha_i\}_i\| := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_i|_{D'_{r_j}}\|$$

exists because both  $F_i$  and  $l_i$  converge weakly (see Definition 3.1). Moreover both  $F_i^*$  and  $\beta$  are  $*$ -homomorphisms between  $C^*$ -algebras and so are both norm-decreasing.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $F$  be finitely approximable. The induced Clifford  $C^*$ -algebra is given by

$$S\mathbb{C}_F(H') = \overline{\{\{\alpha_i\}_i; F\text{-compatible sequences}\}}$$

which is obtained by the norm closure of all  $F$ -compatible sequences, where the norm is the above one.

**Lemma 4.4.** (1) *In the model case,  $S\mathbb{C}_F(H')$  coincides with  $S\mathbb{C}_F(H')$  given in Section 4.1.*

(2) *When  $F = l$  is asymptotically unitary, there is a natural  $*$ -isomorphism*

$$\Phi : S\mathbb{C}_F(H') \cong S\mathbb{C}_l(H'),$$

*where the right-hand side is given in Definition 2.3.*

(3) *Suppose  $F$  is  $\Gamma$ -finitely approximable. Then  $S\mathbb{C}_F(H')$  is independent of the choice of  $\Gamma$ -finite approximations.*

*Proof.* One can choose  $W'_i = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E'_i$ . Then (1) follows from the equality

$$F_i^* \circ \beta = \beta_{F_i} : S_{s_i} \rightarrow S_{r_i} \mathbb{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i})$$

by Remark 4.3, with Lemma 2.4.

Let us consider (2), and set  $F = l$ . Recall the Bott map given in Section 2.4, and denote it by

$$\beta_l : S\mathbb{C}_l(W'_i) \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}_l(H').$$

Let  $\{l_i\}_i$  be asymptotically unitary, and denote  $l_i(W_i) = \tilde{W}_i$  and  $l(W_i) = W_i$ . For each  $i_0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $i'_0 \gg i_0$  such that

$$\|\text{pr}_{i_0,i} - \text{id}\| < \varepsilon$$

holds for any  $i \geq i'_0$ , where  $\text{pr}_{i_0,i} : W_{i_0} \rightarrow l_i(W_{i_0})$  is the orthogonal projection. Let  $\mathbf{pr}_{i_0,i} : W_{i_0} \cong l_i(W_{i_0})$  be the unitary of the polar decomposition.

Take an element  $\{\alpha_i\}_i \in S\mathbb{C}_F(H')$ , with  $\alpha_i = l_i^*(u_i)$  and  $u_i = \beta(u_{i_0}) \in S\mathbb{C}(\tilde{W}_i)$ . Note that the restriction  $\beta(u_{i_0})|_{W_{i_0}} = u_{i_0}$  holds. Then by the condition of asymptotic unitarity, the restriction of their difference satisfies the estimate

$$\|l^*(\beta(\mathbf{pr}_{i_0,i}^*(u_{i_0}))) - \alpha_i\|_{W'_{i_0}} < \varepsilon,$$

where  $\beta(\mathbf{pr}_{i_0,i}^*(u_{i_0})) \in S\mathfrak{C}(W_i)$ . Then we set

$$\Phi(\{\alpha_i\}_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \beta_l(l^*(\beta(\mathbf{pr}_{i_0,i}^*(u_{i_0}))))$$

$\Phi$  is norm-preserving, so it extends to an injective  $*$ -homomorphism from  $S\mathfrak{C}_F(H')$ .

Let us verify that it is surjective. One can follow in a converse way to the above. Take an element  $\delta = \beta_l(\delta_{i_0}) \in S\mathfrak{C}_l(H')$  with  $\delta_{i_0} \in S\mathfrak{C}_l(W'_{i_0})$ , and set  $\delta_i = l^*(\beta(\delta_{i_0}))$ . Let us set  $w_{i_0} = (l^*)^{-1}(\delta_{i_0}) \in S\mathfrak{C}(W_{i_0})$  by  $v \rightarrow \bar{l}(\delta_{i_0}(l^{-1}(v)))$ . Then we set

$$u_{i_0} = (\mathbf{pr}_{i_0,i}^{-1})^*(w_{i_0}) \in S\mathfrak{C}(\tilde{W}_{i_0})$$

and  $\alpha_i = l_i^*(\beta(u_{i_0})) \in S\mathfrak{C}_{l_i}(W'_i)$ . The restriction of their difference satisfies the estimate

$$\|l^*(\beta(w_{i_0})) - \alpha_i\|_{W'_{i_0}} < \varepsilon,$$

where  $\beta(w_{i_0}) \in S\mathfrak{C}(W_{i_0})$ . The estimate  $\|l^*(\beta(w_{i_0})) - \delta_i\|_{W'_{i_0}} < \varepsilon$  is satisfied because  $\|l^*(w_{i_0}) - \delta_{i_0}\| < \varepsilon$  holds. This implies that  $\Phi(\{\alpha_i\}_i) = \delta \in S\mathfrak{C}_l(H')$ .

Hence  $\Phi$  is an isometric  $*$ -homomorphism with dense image. This implies that it is surjective.

Let us verify the last property (3). Choose any subindices  $j_i \geq i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and consider the sub-approximation given by the data  $\{F_{j_i}\}_i$ . If we replace the original data  $\{F_i\}_i$  by this subdata, still we obtain the same  $C^*$ -algebra  $S\mathfrak{C}_F(H')$  as their norms coincide as follows:

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_i|_{D'_{r_{j_i}}} \| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_{j_i}|_{D'_{r_{j_i}}} \|.$$

Let us take two  $\Gamma$ -finite approximations and denote them by  $F_i^l : (D'_i)^l \rightarrow W_{i,l}$  for  $l = 1, 2$ .

Take an  $F$ -compatible sequence  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \geq i_0}$  with respect to  $F_i^1 : (D'_i)^1 \rightarrow W_{i,1}$ , where  $\alpha_i = (F_i^1)^*(u_i)$  and  $u_i = \beta(u_{i_0}) \in S_{S_i}\mathfrak{C}(D_{S_i}^1)$ . Let us take subindices  $j_i \geq i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  so that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d'(W_{j_i,1}, W_{i,2}) = 0$  holds (see Section 2.3).

Let us set  $\alpha'_i = (F_i^2)^*(u_i)$ . Then it follows from the definition of  $F$ -compatible sequence that the convergence

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_{j_i}|_{D'_{r_{j_i}}} - \alpha'_i|_{D'_{r_{j_i}}}\| = 0$$

holds. Combining this result with the above, we obtain the desired conclusion. ■

**Lemma 4.5.** *If  $F$  is  $\Gamma$ -finitely approximable, then there is a canonical  $\Gamma$ -action on  $S\mathfrak{C}_F(H')$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that if  $\{W'_i, F_i, l_i\}_i$  is a finite approximation data, then so is  $\{\gamma(W'_i), \gamma^*(F_i), \gamma^*(l_i)\}_i$  (see the last sentence in Section 3).

Take an  $F$ -compatible sequence  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \geq i_0}$  with respect to  $F_i : D'_{r_i} \rightarrow W_i$ , where  $\alpha_i = (F_i)^*(u_i)$  and  $u_i = \beta(u_{i_0}) \in S_{s_i} \mathbb{C}(D_{s_i})$ . Then  $\{\gamma^* \alpha_i\}_i$  is an  $\gamma^*(F)$ -compatible sequence as, for  $m' \in \gamma(D'_{r_i})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^*(\alpha_i)(m') &= \gamma^*((F_i)^*(u_i))(m') = \gamma u_i(F_i(\gamma^{-1}(m'))) \\ &= \gamma \beta(u_{i_0})(F_i(\gamma^{-1}(m'))) = \beta(\gamma u_{i_0})(F_i(\gamma^{-1}(m'))) \\ &= \beta(\gamma u_{i_0} \gamma^{-1})(\gamma F_i(\gamma^{-1}(m'))) = (\gamma^* F_i)^*(\gamma^*(u_i))(m'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\gamma^*(\alpha_i) = (\gamma^* F_i)^*(\beta(\gamma^*(u_{i_0})))$  holds. ■

### 5. Higher degree $*$ -homomorphism

Let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant nonlinear map, whose linear part  $l$  gives an isomorphism. For a finite-dimensional linear subspace  $V \subset H$ , denote the orthogonal projection by  $\text{pr}_V : H \rightarrow V$ . For  $V' = l^{-1}(V)$ , we have the modified map

$$F_V = l + \text{pr}_V \circ c : V' \rightarrow V.$$

The restriction map  $F_V \rightarrow F_U$  satisfies the formula  $F_U = \text{pr}_U \circ F_V|_U$ , for a linear subspace  $U \subset V$ .

Our initial idea was to pull back  $W_i = l(W'_i)$  by  $F_{W_i}$  and combine them all. For  $F_i = \text{pr}_i \circ F : W'_i \rightarrow W_i$ , consider the induced  $*$ -homomorphism  $F_i^* : S\mathbb{C}(W_i) \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}(W'_i)$ . Let us explain how difficulty arises if one tries to obtain a  $*$ -homomorphism in this way. For simplicity, assume  $l$  is unitary and the image of  $c$  is contained in a finite-dimensional linear subspace  $V \subset H$ . This will be the simplest situation but already some difficulty appears when we try to construct the induced  $*$ -homomorphism by  $F$ .

Assume that  $F$  is metrically proper. This is equivalent to saying that the restriction  $F : V' \rightarrow V$  is proper in this particular situation, where  $V' = l^{-1}(V)$  is the finite-dimensional linear subspace. Let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S\mathbb{C}(W_i) & \xrightarrow{F_i^*} & S\mathbb{C}(W'_i) \\ \beta \downarrow & & \beta_i \downarrow \\ S\mathbb{C}(W_{i+1}) & \xrightarrow{F_{i+1}^*} & S\mathbb{C}(W'_{i+1}). \end{array}$$

This diagram is far from commutative as the map

$$c : (W'_i)^\perp \cap W'_{i+1} \rightarrow V$$

can affect to control the behavior of  $F$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the induced maps by  $F_i^*$  will not converge in  $S\mathbb{C}(H')$  in general. This is a point where we have account for the nonlinearity of  $F$  to construct the target  $C^*$ -algebra, and is the reason we have to use  $S\mathbb{C}_F(H')$  instead of  $S\mathbb{C}(H')$  below.

**5.1. Degree of proper maps**

Let  $E', E$  be two finite-dimensional vector spaces, and let  $F = l + c : E' \rightarrow E$  be a proper smooth map whose linear part  $l : E' \cong E$  gives an isomorphism.

Let us reconstruct the degree of  $F \in \mathbb{Z}$  by the use of  $l$ . Let  $\bar{l} : E' \rightarrow E$  be the unitary corresponding to the polar decomposition. Then  $\bar{l}$  induces the algebra isomorphism  $\bar{l} : Cl(E') \cong Cl(E)$ , and we have the induced  $*$ -homomorphism

$$F^* : S\mathcal{C}(E) = C_0(E, Cl(E)) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}(E')$$

$$F^*(h)(v) = \bar{l}^{-1}(h(F(v))).$$

Recall  $S\mathcal{C}_F(E') = F^*(S\mathcal{C}(E))$ . Then  $F^*$  can be described as a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$F^* : S\mathcal{C}(E) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}_F(E').$$

Let us consider the induced homomorphisms between  $K$ -groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_1(S\mathcal{C}(E)) & \xrightarrow{F^*} & K_1(S\mathcal{C}_F(E')) & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_*} & K_1(S\mathcal{C}(E')), \\
 \beta \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \beta \\
 K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})) & & & & K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}))
 \end{array}$$

where both  $\beta$  give the isomorphisms by Proposition 2.2.

Let  $\tilde{F}^* : K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}))$  be the homomorphism determined uniquely so that the diagram commutes. Let us equip orientations on both  $E'$  and  $E$  so that  $l$  preserves them.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Passing through the isomorphism  $K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\tilde{F}^* : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

*is given by multiplication by the degree of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* The proof consists of three steps.

*Step 1.* Let us consider the composition of  $*$ -homomorphisms

$$C_0(E, Cl(E)) \rightarrow C_0(E', Cl(E')) \cong C_0(E, Cl(E)),$$

where the first map is  $F^*$  and the second map is given by

$$(\bar{l}^{-1})^*(h')(v) \equiv \bar{l}(h'(\bar{l}^{-1}(v))).$$

The latter gives an isomorphism since  $l$  is isomorphic. Thus it is sufficient to see the conclusion for the composition. The composition is given by

$$h \rightarrow \{v \mapsto h(F \circ \bar{l}^{-1}(v))\}.$$

Step 2. Let  $l_t : E' \cong E$  be another family of linear isomorphisms with  $l_0 = \bar{l}$  and  $l_1 = l$ . It induces a family of  $*$ -homomorphisms

$$F_t^* : C_0(E, \text{Cl}(E)) \rightarrow C_0(E, \text{Cl}(E))$$

$$h \rightarrow \{v \rightarrow h(F \circ (l_t)^{-1}(v))\}.$$

Since homotopic  $*$ -homomorphisms induce the same maps between their  $K$ -groups, it is sufficient to see the conclusion for  $F_1^*$ . Noting the equality  $F \circ l^{-1} = 1 + c \circ l^{-1}$ , it is enough to assume that  $l$  is the identity.

Step 3. When  $l$  is the identity,  $F^* : S\mathcal{C}(E) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}(E)$  is given by

$$\text{id} \times F^* : S\mathcal{C}(E) \cong (S \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(E)) \otimes C_0(E) \rightarrow (S \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(E)) \otimes C_0(E)$$

whose induced homomorphism on a  $K$ -group is given by degree  $F$ , passing through the isomorphism

$$K_1(S \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(E) \otimes C_0(E)) \cong K_1(S) \cong K_*(C_0(E)) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $*$  is 0 or 1 with respect to whether  $\dim E$  is even or odd. The first isomorphism comes from Proposition 2.2, and the second is the classical Bott periodicity (see [1]). ■

**5.2. Induced map for a strongly finitely approximable map**

Let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be a strongly finitely approximable map. There are finite-dimensional linear subspaces  $W'_i \subset W'_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset H'$  whose union is dense, such that the compositions with the projections  $\text{pr}_i \circ F : W'_i \rightarrow W_i = l(W_i)$  consist of a finitely approximable data with the constants  $r_i, s_i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let us consider the restriction

$$F_{i+1} : D'_{r_i} \cap W'_{i+1} \rightarrow W_{i+1}.$$

Decompose  $W'_i \oplus U'_i = W'_{i+1}$  and define  $F_{i+1}^0 : W'_{i+1} \rightarrow W_{i+1}$  by

$$F_{i+1}^0(w' + u') = F_i(w') + l(u').$$

Then by definition, the estimate

$$\sup_{m \in D'_{r_i} \cap W'_{i+1}} \|F_{i+1}(m) - F_{i+1}^0(m)\| < \delta_i$$

holds, where  $0 < \delta_i \rightarrow 0$ .

**Sublemma 5.2.** *Suppose  $l : H' \cong H$  is unitary. Let  $\beta : S\mathcal{C}(W'_i) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}(W'_{i+1})$  be the Bott map. Then the equality*

$$\beta \circ F_i^* = (F_{i+1}^0)^* \circ \beta : S\mathcal{C}(W_i) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}(W'_{i+1})$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* Take  $f \widehat{\otimes} h \in S\mathbb{C}(W_i)$  with  $(\beta \circ F_i^*)(f \widehat{\otimes} h) = \beta(f) \widehat{\otimes} F_i^*(h)$ . By contrast,  $\beta(f \widehat{\otimes} h) = \beta(f) \widehat{\otimes} h$  and, hence,

$$(F_{i+1}^0)^* \circ \beta(f \widehat{\otimes} h) = (l \oplus F_i)^* \circ \beta(f) \widehat{\otimes} h = l^*(\beta(f)) \widehat{\otimes} F_i^*(h),$$

where  $l^* : S\mathbb{C}(U_i) \cong S\mathbb{C}(U'_i)$  with  $U_i = l(U'_i)$ . Since  $l$  is unitary, the equality

$$l^*(\beta(f)) = \beta(f) \in S\mathbb{C}(U'_i)$$

holds. ■

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be a strongly finitely approximable map. Then the family  $\{F_i^*\}_i$  induces a  $*$ -homomorphism*

$$F^* : S\mathbb{C}(H) \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}(H').$$

*Proof.* The proof consists of two steps.

*Step 1.* Let us take an element  $\alpha \in S\mathbb{C}(H)$  and its approximation  $\alpha_i \in S_{r_i}\mathbb{C}(D_{r_i})$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\alpha_i) = \alpha \in S\mathbb{C}(H)$  by Lemma 2.4.

Assume  $l : H' \cong H$  is unitary, and consider the following two elements:

$$\beta(F_i^*(\alpha_i)), F_{i+1}^*(\alpha_{i+1}) \in S\mathbb{C}(W'_{i+1}).$$

Then by Sublemma 5.2 we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\beta(F_i^*(\alpha_i)) - F_{i+1}^*(\alpha_{i+1})\| = \|(F_{i+1}^0)^*(\beta(\alpha_i)) - F_{i+1}^*(\alpha_{i+1})\|, \\ & \|(F_{i+1}^0)^*(\beta(\alpha_i)) - F_{i+1}^*(\beta(\alpha_i))\| + \|F_{i+1}^*(\beta(\alpha_i)) - F_{i+1}^*(\alpha_{i+1})\| \\ & \leq \delta_i \|\beta(\alpha_i)\| + \|\beta(\alpha_i) - \alpha_{i+1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side converges to zero since  $\|\beta(\alpha_i)\|$  are uniformly bounded with  $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$ . The second term also converges to zero. Thus the  $*$ -homomorphisms asymptotically commute with the Bott map. Hence the sequence  $\beta(F_i^*(\alpha_i)) \in S\mathbb{C}(H')$  converges and gives a  $*$ -homomorphism  $F^* : \alpha \rightarrow F^*(\alpha) := \lim_i \beta(F_i^*(\alpha_i))$ . Clearly this assignment is independent of the choice of approximations of  $\alpha$ .

*Step 2.* Let us consider the case when  $l$  is not necessarily unitary but asymptotically unitary.

Let  $\beta_l : S \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}_l(U'_i)$  be the variant of the Bott map in Section 2.4. Then the same argument as in Sublemma 5.2 verifies the equality

$$\beta_l \circ F_i^* = (F_{i+1}^0)^* \circ \beta : S\mathbb{C}(W_i) \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}_l(W'_{i+1}).$$

Hence the parallel estimate to Step 1 above verifies that the sequence converges

$$\beta_l(F_i^*(\alpha_i)) \in S\mathbb{C}_l(H').$$

This also gives a  $*$ -homomorphism  $F^* : \alpha \rightarrow F^*(\alpha) := \lim_i \beta_l(F_i^*(\alpha_i))$ . As  $S\mathbb{C}_l(H') \cong S\mathbb{C}(H')$  are  $*$ -isomorphic by Proposition 2.11, we obtain the desired  $*$ -homomorphism. ■

**Remark 5.4.** Suppose that  $F = l + c$  satisfies the conditions to be strongly finitely approximable, except that  $l$  is not necessarily isomorphic, but the Fredholm index is zero.

We can still construct the induced  $*$ -homomorphism  $F^* : S\mathbb{C}(H) \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}(H')$  as below.

There are finite-dimensional linear subspaces  $V' \subset H'$  and  $V \subset H$  such that the restriction gives an isomorphism  $l : (V')^\perp \cong V^\perp$ , where  $V^\perp \subset H$  is the orthogonal complement. Choose any unitary  $l' : V' \cong V$  and take their sum

$$L \equiv l \oplus l' : (V')^\perp \oplus V' \cong V^\perp \oplus V.$$

Let us use  $L$  to pull back the Clifford algebras and use  $F$  itself to pull back the functions. Then we can follow from Step 1 and Step 2 in the same way.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $F : H' \rightarrow H$  be a strongly finitely approximable map. Then the induced map

$$F^* : K_1(S\mathbb{C}(H)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_1(S\mathbb{C}(H')) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

is given by multiplication by an integer degree  $F \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We call it the  $K$ -theoretic degree of  $F$ .

**5.3. Induced map for  $\Gamma$ -finitely approximable map**

Let us start from a general property, and let  $H$  be a Hilbert space with exhaustion  $W_0 \subset \dots \subset W_i \subset \dots \subset H$  by finite-dimensional linear subspaces. Choose divergent numbers  $r_i < r_{i+1} < \dots \rightarrow \infty$ , and denote  $r_i$  balls by  $D_{r_i} \subset W_i$ . Let  $S_r = C_c(-r, r) \subset S$  be the set of compactly supported continuous functions on  $(-r, r)$ .

The following restates Lemma 2.4.

**Lemma 5.5.** *For any  $\alpha \in S\mathbb{C}(H)$ , there is a family*

$$\alpha_i \in S_{r_i} \widehat{\otimes} C_0(D_{r_i}, Cl(W_i)) := S_{r_i} \mathbb{C}(D_{r_i})$$

*such that their images by the Bott map converge to  $\alpha$ :*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\alpha_i) = \alpha \in S\mathbb{C}(H).$$

**5.3.1. Induced  $*$ -homomorphism.** Let  $H', H$  be Hilbert spaces on which  $\Gamma$  act linearly and isometrically, and let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant map such that  $l : H' \cong H$  is a linear isomorphism.

Assume that  $F$  is  $\Gamma$ -finitely approximable so that there is a family of finite-dimensional linear subspaces

$$W'_0 \subset W'_1 \subset \dots \subset W'_i \subset \dots \subset H'$$

with dense union, and a family of maps  $F_i : W'_i \rightarrow W_i = l_i(W'_i)$  with the inclusions  $F_i^{-1}(D_{s_i}) \subset D'_{r_i}$ . Moreover the following convergences hold for each  $i_0$ :

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \in D'_{r_{i_0}}} \|F(m) - F_i(m)\| = 0,$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|(l - l_i)|_{W'_{i_0}}\| = 0.$$

Recall the induced  $*$ -homomorphism

$$F_i^* : S\mathcal{C}(D_{s_i}) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i})$$

and the induced Clifford  $C^*$ -algebra  $S\mathcal{C}_F(H')$  in Definition 4.1.

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $F = l + c : H' \rightarrow H$  be  $\Gamma$ -finitely approximable. Then it induces the equivariant  $*$ -homomorphism*

$$F^* : S\mathcal{C}(H) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}_F(H').$$

*Proof.* Let us take an element  $v \in S\mathcal{C}(H)$  and its approximation  $v = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_i$  with  $v_i \in S_{s_i}\mathcal{C}(D_{s_i}) = C_0(-s_i, s_i) \widehat{\otimes} C_0(D_{s_i}, \text{Cl}(W_i))$ .

Let us recall the  $*$ -homomorphism in Section 4.2:

$$F_i^* : S_{s_i}\mathcal{C}(D_{s_i}) \rightarrow S_{r_i}\mathcal{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i}).$$

Let us fix  $i_0$  and let  $u_i = \beta(v_{i_0}) \in S_{s_i}\mathcal{C}(D_{s_i})$  be the image of the standard Bott map. Then the family

$$\{F_i^*(u_i)\}_{i \geq i_0}$$

determines an element in  $S\mathcal{C}_F(H')$ , which gives a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$F^* : S_{s_{i_0}}\mathcal{C}(D_{s_{i_0}}) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}_F(H')$$

since both  $F_i^*$  and  $\beta$  are  $*$ -homomorphisms. Note that the composition of two  $*$ -homomorphisms

$$S_{s_{i_0}}\mathcal{C}(D_{s_{i_0}}) \xrightarrow{\beta} S_{s'_{i_0}}\mathcal{C}(D_{s'_{i_0}}) \xrightarrow{F^*} S\mathcal{C}_F(H')$$

coincides with  $F^* : S_{s_{i_0}}\mathcal{C}(D_{s_{i_0}}) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}_F(H')$ .

For a small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take two sufficiently large  $i'_0 \geq i_0 \gg 1$  such that the estimate  $\|\beta(v_{i_0}) - v_{i'_0}\| < \varepsilon$  holds, and set  $u'_i = \beta(v_{i'_0}) \in S_{s_i}\mathcal{C}(D_{s_i})$  for  $i \geq i'_0$ . Since  $F^*$  is norm-decreasing, the estimate  $\|F_i^*(u_i) - F_i^*(u'_i)\| < \varepsilon$  holds for all  $i \geq i'_0$ . Hence the estimate

$$\|F^*(v_{i_0}) - F^*(v_{i'_0})\| < \varepsilon$$

holds.

Thus we obtain the assignment  $v \rightarrow \lim_{i_0 \rightarrow \infty} F^*(v_{i_0})$ , which gives a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant  $*$ -homomorphism

$$F^* : S\mathcal{C}(H) \rightarrow S\mathcal{C}_F(H'),$$

where  $\{v_i\}_i$  is any approximation of  $v$ . ■

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $F : H' \rightarrow H$  be a  $\Gamma$ -finitely approximable map. Then the higher degree of  $F$  is given by the induced homomorphism

$$F^* : K_{*+1}(C^*(\Gamma)) \rightarrow K_*(S\mathcal{C}_F(H') \rtimes \Gamma).$$

## 6. Computation of $K$ -group of induced Clifford $C^*$ -algebras

We compute the equivariant  $K$ -group of induced Clifford  $C^*$ -algebras for some particular cases. This can be a simple model case for further computation of the groups.

### 6.1. Basics

Let us collect some of basics which we will need. We start from some analytic aspects of Sobolev spaces. We denote by  $W^{k,2}$  the Sobolev  $k$ -norm which is a linear subspace of  $L^2$ . It is a Hilbert space and, hence, complete by the norm which involves derivatives up to the  $k$ th order, and incomplete with respect to the  $L^2$  inner product for  $k \geq 1$ . The following is well known.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Suppose  $k \geq 1$ . Then*

(1) *the multiplication*

$$W^{k,2}(S^1) \otimes W^{k,2}(S^1) \rightarrow W^{k,2}(S^1)$$

*is compact on each bounded set;*

(2) *the continuous embedding  $W^{k,2}(S^1) \hookrightarrow C^0(S^1)$  holds.*

In particular, an element in  $W^{k,2}(S^1)$  can be regarded as a continuous function.

Later we will consider the nonlinear map

$$F : W^{k,2}(S^1) \rightarrow W^{k,2}(S^1)$$

by  $F(a) = a + a^3$ .

**Remark 6.2.** (1) Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra on which a finite cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_l$  acts. Then the crossed product is defined as  $A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_l = \{(a_g)_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_l}\}$  with their product by  $(a_g)(b_g) = (\sum_{g_1 g_2 = g \in \mathbb{Z}_l} a_{g_1} g_1(b_{g_2}))$ . It induces the action by  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $A$  by using the natural projection  $\pi_l : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l$ . In such situation, there exists a six-term exact sequence between  $K_*(A \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$  and  $K_*(A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_l)$ . However this does not seem to contain enough information to apply to our situation. We proceed in a direct way. Recall that an element  $a \in A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$  can be approximated by  $a' \in C_c(\mathbb{Z}, A)$ .

(2) Let us take an element  $u \in K(A \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$  and represent it by  $u = [p] - [\pi(p)]$ , where  $\pi : \bar{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the projection. Recall that  $[p] - [\pi(p)] = [q] - [\pi(q)]$  if and only if there is some  $v \in M_{n,m}(A \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$p \oplus 1_a = v^* v, \quad v v^* = q \oplus 1_b$$

for some  $a, b \geq 0$ .

**6.2. Computation of equivariant  $K$ -group for a toy model**

**6.2.1. Finite cyclic and finite-dimensional case.** Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant map

$$F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a + b^3 \\ b + a^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the involution acts by the coordinate change.

We claim that this is proper of non-zero degree. In fact, if  $a + b^3 = 0$ , then the equality  $b + a^3 = b - b^3$  implies properness.

Consider a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant perturbation

$$F_t \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ta + b^3 \\ tb + a^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1]$ . If  $ta + b^3 = 0$ , then  $tb + a^3 = tb - t^{-3}b^3$ . Thus this is a family of proper maps. At  $t = 0$ ,  $F_0 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a proper map of degree  $-1$  since it is again  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariantly properly homotopic to the involution

$$I : \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that it becomes degree zero if we replace the exponent 3 by 2.

Next we generalize slightly as follows. Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -equivariant map

$$F : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_l \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + a_l^3 \\ a_2 + a_1^3 \\ \dots \\ a_l + a_{l-1}^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the action is given by cyclic permutation of the coordinates. By the parallel argument as above, this turns out to be a proper map. To compute its degree, consider a perturbation

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_l \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} ta_1 + a_l^3 \\ ta_2 + a_1^3 \\ \dots \\ ta_l + a_{l-1}^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1]$ . This is a family of  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -equivariant proper maps, and, at  $t = 0$ ,  $F_0 : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$  is a proper map of degree  $\pm 1$ , determined by the parity of  $l$ . In fact there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -equivariant proper homotopy  $F_t^l$  to the cyclic permutation

$$T_l \equiv F_0^l \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \dots \\ a_l \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_l \\ a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_{l-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Corollary 6.3.** *F induces a  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_l}(S\mathbb{C}_F(\mathbb{R}^l)) \cong K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_l}(S\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}^l)) \cong R(\mathbb{Z}_l)$$

on the equivariant *K*-theory.

*Proof.* *F* is  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -equivariantly properly homotopic to  $T_l$  above, and so the isomorphism  $K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_l}(S\mathbb{C}_F(\mathbb{R}^l)) \cong K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_l}(S\mathbb{C}_{T_l}(\mathbb{R}^l))$  holds.

$T_l$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -equivariant linear isomorphism because  $\mathbb{Z}_l$  is commutative. It follows from Definition 2.2 that a linear isomorphism between finite-dimensional vector spaces is asymptotically unitary. Then, by Proposition 2.11,  $S\mathbb{C}_{T_l}(H^l)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -equivariantly  $*$ -isomorphic to  $S\mathbb{C}(H^l)$ . In particular, we have the isomorphism  $K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_l}(S\mathbb{C}_{T_l}(\mathbb{R}^l)) \cong K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_l}(S\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}^l))$ .

The last isomorphism comes from HKT–Bott periodicity for Euclidean space. ■

**6.2.2. Infinite cyclic case.** Let  $H' = H$  be the closure of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  with the standard metric. It admits an isometric action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by the shift  $T : H' \cong H'$ :

$$T : (\dots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots) \cong (\dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, \dots).$$

Then we consider the map  $F : H' \rightarrow H$  by

$$F : \begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ a_{-1} \\ a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ a_{-1} + a_{-2}^3 \\ a_0 + a_{-1}^3 \\ a_1 + a_0^3 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we restrict on  $\mathbb{R}^{2l+1} \subset H'$  by  $(a_{-l}, \dots, a_l) \rightarrow (\dots, a_{-l}, \dots, a_l, 0, \dots)$ , then its image is in  $\mathbb{R}^{2l+2} \subset H$ . In fact

$$F : \begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ 0 \\ a_{-l} \\ a_{-l+1} \\ \dots \\ a_l \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ 0 \\ a_{-l} \\ a_{-l+1} + a_{-l}^3 \\ \dots \\ a_l + a_{l-1}^3 \\ a_l^3 \\ 0 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us consider the map  $F^l : \mathbb{R}^{2l+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$  by

$$F^l : \begin{pmatrix} a_{-l} \\ a_{-l+1} \\ \dots \\ a_l \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_{-l} + a_l^3 \\ a_{-l+1} + a_{-l}^3 \\ \dots \\ a_l + a_{l-1}^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

which moves the last component to the first one. In fact  $F^l$  is still a proper map as presented in Section 6.2.1.

Let  $W'_l = \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$  be as above. Then the data  $(F^l, W'_l)$  gives the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -finite approximation in the sense of Definition 3.1.

First, as in the finite cyclic case, we obtain the isomorphism

$$K_1^{\mathbb{Z}^{2l+1}}(S\mathbb{C}_{F^l}(W'_l)) \cong K_1^{\mathbb{Z}^{2l+1}}(S\mathbb{C}(W'_l))$$

on the equivariant  $K$ -theory. Notice that this isomorphism heavily depends on the degree being equal to  $\pm 1$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** *The induced  $*$ -homomorphism*

$$(F^l)^* : S\mathbb{C}(W_l) \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}_{F^l}(W'_l)$$

is, in fact, an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Injectivity follows from surjectivity of  $F^l$ , because it has a non-zero degree. It has a closed range since it is isometric embedding. Then the conclusion follows since it has a dense range. ■

Second, we obtain the inductive system

$$\Phi_l \equiv (F^{l+1})^* \circ \beta \circ ((F^l)^*)^{-1} : S\mathbb{C}_{F^l}(W'_l) \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}_{F^{l+1}}(W'_{l+1}).$$

By definition, the equality

$$S\mathbb{C}_F(H') = \lim_l S\mathbb{C}_{F^l}(W'_l)$$

holds. Forgetting the group action, we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} K(S\mathbb{C}_F(H')) &= \lim_l K(S\mathbb{C}_{F^l}(W'_l)) \cong \lim_l K(S\mathbb{C}(W'_l)) \\ &= K(S\mathbb{C}(H')) \cong K(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the HKT–Bott periodicity.

Now consider the group action by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $F_t^l$  be the homotopy in Section 6.2.1, where  $F_1^l = F^l$  and  $F_0^l = T_l$ .

**Lemma 6.5.** *There is a  $*$ -isomorphism*

$$I_l : S\mathbb{C}_{F_0^l}(W'_l) \cong S\mathbb{C}_{F_1^l}(W'_l).$$

*Proof.* In fact an element  $u \in S\mathbb{C}_{F_0^l}(W'_l)$  is expressed as  $u = (F_0^l)^*(v_0)$  for some  $v_0 \in S\mathbb{C}(W_l)$ . Because  $F_1^l$  has a non-zero degree, it follows that  $v_0$  is uniquely determined by  $u$ . Then assign  $v_1 = (F_1^l)^*(v_0)$  and denote its map by

$$I_l : S\mathbb{C}_{F_0^l}(W'_l) \cong S\mathbb{C}_{F_1^l}(W'_l).$$

This is a  $*$ -homomorphism and, in fact, is an isomorphism since if we do the same thing, replacing the role of  $F_0^l$  and  $F_1^l$ , then we can recover  $u$  again. ■

**Proposition 6.6.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$K_0(S\mathbb{C}_F(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $\bar{A}$  the unitization of  $A$ . Take an element  $u \in K_0(A \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$  and represent it by  $u = [p] - [\pi(p)]$ . Approximate  $p \in \text{Mat}(\overline{S\mathbb{C}_F(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}})$  by an element  $p' = (p'_g)_{g \in B} \in \text{Mat}(\overline{C_c(\mathbb{Z}, S\mathbb{C}_F(H'))})$ , where  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is a finite set. There is some  $l$  such that each  $p'_g$  can be approximated by  $p'_{g,l} \in \overline{S\mathbb{C}_{F^l}(W'_l)}$ . Therefore  $p$  can be approximated by an element

$$p'' = (p'_{g,l}) \in C(\{-l, \dots, l\}, \text{Mat}(\overline{S\mathbb{C}_{F^l}(W'_l)})).$$

Let us put

$$I_l^{-1}(p'') \in C(\{-l, \dots, l\}, \text{Mat}(\overline{S\mathbb{C}_{T_l}(W'_l)})),$$

where  $S_l = F_l^l$  is the cyclic permutation and  $I_l$  is in Lemma 6.5.

$$\tilde{p}'' \equiv \frac{I_l^{-1}(p'') + (I_l^{-1}(p''))^*}{2} \in \text{Mat}(\overline{S\mathbb{C}_T(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}})$$

is an “almost” projection, in the sense that

$$\|(\tilde{p}'')^2 - \tilde{p}''\| < \varepsilon$$

for a small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is a projection  $\tilde{p} \in \text{Mat}(\overline{S\mathbb{C}_T(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}})$  with the estimate

$$\|\tilde{p} - \tilde{p}''\| < \varepsilon'$$

for a small  $\varepsilon' > 0$ .

Now take another representative  $u = [p] - [\pi(p)] = [q] - [\pi(q)]$ . In the same way, we obtain a projection  $\tilde{q} \in \text{Mat}(\overline{S\mathbb{C}_T(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}})$ . Let us recall that there is some  $v \in M_{n,m}(S\mathbb{C}_F(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$p \oplus 1_a = v^*v, \quad vv^* = q \oplus 1_b$$

for some  $a, b \geq 0$ .

Let  $v' \in C(\{-l, \dots, l\}, \text{Mat}(\overline{S\mathbb{C}_{F^l}(W'_l)}))$  be another approximation and take  $\tilde{v}'' \equiv I_l^{-1}(v') \in C(\{-l, \dots, l\}, \text{Mat}(\overline{S\mathbb{C}_{T_l}(W'_l)}))$ . Then we have the estimates

$$\|(\tilde{v}'')^* \tilde{v}'' - \tilde{p} \oplus 1_a\|, \quad \|\tilde{v}''(\tilde{v}'')^* - \tilde{q} \oplus 1_b\| < \varepsilon''$$

for a small  $\varepsilon'' > 0$ . This implies the equality

$$[\tilde{p}] - [\pi(\tilde{p})] = [\tilde{q}] - [\pi(\tilde{q})] \in K_0(S\mathbb{C}_T(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}).$$

Therefore, we obtain a well-defined group homomorphism

$$K_0(S\mathbb{C}_F(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(S\mathbb{C}_T(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}).$$

If we replace the role of  $F$  and  $T$  and proceed in the same way as above, we obtain another map in a converse direction. By construction, their compositions are both the identities. Therefore, this is an isomorphism on the  $K$ -groups.

Since the translation shift  $T : H' \cong H'$  is unitary and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is commutative, there is a  $*$ -isomorphism

$$S\mathcal{C}_T(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \cong S\mathcal{C}(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}.$$

Passing through this isomorphism, we obtain the isomorphism

$$K_0(S\mathcal{C}_F(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(S\mathcal{C}(H') \rtimes \mathbb{Z}).$$

The right-hand side is isomorphic to

$$K_1(C^*\mathbb{Z}) \cong K^1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

by HKT. ■

### 6.3. Nonlinear maps between Sobolev spaces over the circle

**6.3.1. Involution.** Consider the space

$$S_2^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z} = [0, 2]/\{0 \sim 2\}$$

and  $W^{k,2}(S_2^1)$  which is generated by  $\sin(\pi ks)$  and  $\cos(\pi ks)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Consider the Sobolev spaces

$$W^{k,2}(S_2^1)_0, \quad W^{k,2}(S_2^1)_1 \subset W^{k,2}(S_2^1)$$

which are generated by  $W^{k,2}(0, 1)_0$  and  $W^{k,2}(1, 2)_0$ , respectively. Here,  $W^{k,2}(S_2^1)_i$  is naturally isometric to  $W^{k,2}(S_2^1)_{i-1}$  by the shift operator

$$T : u_1 \rightarrow u_0, \quad u_0(s) = u_1(s + 1)$$

mod 2. Note that  $T^2$  is the identity. Therefore, we can identify both Hilbert spaces by the same symbol  $H$  and, hence, the following inclusion holds:

$$H \oplus H \subset W^{k,2}(S_2^1).$$

We again consider the nonlinear map with  $H' = H$

$$F : H' \oplus H' \rightarrow H \oplus H$$

by  $F(a) = a + T(a)^3$ , where the power is taken pointwisely. Then the map can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a + b^3 \\ b + a^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As we have seen, this is metrically proper.

Let  $k = 1$  for simplicity of notation, consider an element  $a \in W^{1,2}(S^1)$ ,

$$a = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \sin(2\pi ks) + b_k \cos(2\pi ks),$$

and denote

$$a^3 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \sin(2\pi ks) + d_k \cos(2\pi ks).$$

**Lemma 6.7.** *Suppose  $\|a\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq r$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $n = n(r, \varepsilon) \geq 0$  such that the estimate*

$$\left\| \sum_{|k| \geq n+1} c_k \sin(2\pi ks) + d_k \cos(2\pi ks) \right\|_{W^{1,2}} < \varepsilon$$

holds.

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 6.1 that  $W^{1,2}(S^1) \rightarrow W^{1,2}(S^1)$  by  $a \rightarrow a^3$  is compact on each bounded set. ■

Choose divergent numbers as  $\lim_i n_i = \infty$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $V'_i \subset W^{1,2}(0, 1)_0$  be the finite-dimensional linear subspace spanned by  $\sin(2\pi ks)$  and  $\cos(2\pi ks)$  for  $|k| \leq n_i$ , and set

$$W'_i = V'_i \oplus T(V'_i) \subset H' \oplus H'.$$

Denote  $\text{pr}_i : H \oplus H = H' \oplus H' \rightarrow W_i = W'_i$  as the orthogonal projection. Then the composition

$$F_i \equiv \text{pr}_i \circ F : W'_i \rightarrow W_i$$

gives a strongly finitely approximable data with some  $s_i, r_i$ .

**Proposition 6.8.** *There is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant  $*$ -isomorphism*

$$K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S\mathbb{C}_F(H \oplus H)) \cong K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S\mathbb{C}(H \oplus H)).$$

*Proof.* The proof consists of four steps.

*Step 1.* By the same argument as the toy case,  $F$  is metrically proper and it is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariantly properly homotopic to the involution  $I : H \oplus H \cong H \oplus H$  by  $F^t$ .

**Sublemma 6.9.** *There is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant  $*$ -isomorphism*

$$S\mathbb{C}_I(H \oplus H) \cong S\mathbb{C}(H \oplus H).$$

*Proof.* By construction,

$$S\mathbb{C}_I(H \oplus H) = \{\tilde{u}; u \in S\mathbb{C}(H \oplus H)\},$$

where  $\tilde{u}(a, b) = I^*(u(a, b))$  with  $a, b \in H$ . ■

*Step 2.* It follows from Lemma 6.7 that  $F_i^{-1}(D_{s_i} \cap W_i) \subset D'_{r_i} \cap W'_i$  holds. As in the toy case, one may assume the same property

$$(F'_i)^{-1}(D_{s_i} \cap W_i) \subset D_{r_i} \cap W'_i \equiv D'_{r_i}$$

where  $F'_i = \text{pr}_i \circ F^t$ .

$K$ -theory is stable under these continuous deformations so that the isomorphism

$$K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i^0}(D'_{r_i})) \cong K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i^1}(D'_{r_i}))$$

holds.

*Step 3.* Recall the induced Clifford  $C^*$ -algebra  $S\mathfrak{C}_F(H)$  whose element  $\{\alpha_i\}_i$  satisfies the equality  $\alpha_i = F_i^* \beta(u_{i_0})$  for some  $u_i = \beta(u_{i_0}) \in S_{s_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{s_i} \cap W_i)$  and all  $i \geq i_0$ . Here,  $S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i})$  is defined as the image of  $F_i^* : S_{s_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{s_i} \cap W_i) \rightarrow S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{l_i}(D'_{r_i}) = S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}(D'_{r_i})$  (and  $l_i$  is the identity in this particular case).

Note that  $F_i|_{D'_{r_i}}$  has non-zero degree. We claim that there is a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Phi_i : S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i}) \rightarrow S_{r_{i+1}} \mathfrak{C}_{F_{i+1}}(D'_{r_{i+1}})$$

which sends  $\alpha_i$  to  $\alpha_{i+1}$ . In fact  $\alpha_i$  uniquely determines  $u_i$ . Suppose the contrary, and choose two elements  $u_i, u'_i \in S_{s_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{s_i} \cap W_i)$  with  $F_i^*(u_i) = F_i^*(u'_i)$ . If  $u_i \neq u'_i$  could hold, then there exists  $m \in D_{s_i} \cap W_i$  with  $u_i(m) \neq u'_i(m)$ . However, since  $F_i$  has non-zero degree and is hence surjective, there exists  $x \in D_{r_i}$  with  $F_i(x) = m$ . Then we have the equality  $u_i(m) = F_i^*(u_i)(x) = F_i^*(u'_i)(x) = u'_i(m)$ , which contradicts to the assumption.

Now since  $F_i^* : S_{s_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{s_i} \cap W_i) \rightarrow S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i}) \subset S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{l_i}(D'_{r_i})$  is an isometric  $*$ -embedding, it follows that the inverse

$$(F_i^*)^{-1} : S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i}(D'_{r_i}) \rightarrow S_{s_i} \mathfrak{C}(D_{s_i} \cap W_i)$$

is  $*$ -isomorphic. Then  $\Phi_i$  is given by the compositions  $F_{i+1}^* \circ \beta \circ (F_i^*)^{-1}$ .

*Step 4.* Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S\mathfrak{C}_F(H \oplus H)) &\cong \lim_i K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i^1}(D_{r_i})) \\ &\cong \lim_i K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S_{r_i} \mathfrak{C}_{F_i^0}(D_{r_i})) \\ &\cong K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S\mathfrak{C}_I(H \oplus H)). \end{aligned}$$

By Sublemma 6.9, we have the desired isomorphism. ■

**6.3.2. Finite cyclic case.** Consider the space

$$S_l^1 = \mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z} = [0, l]/\{0 \sim l\}$$

and  $W^{k,2}(S_l^1)$  which is generated by  $\sin(2\pi \frac{k}{l}s)$  and  $\cos(2\pi \frac{k}{l}s)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Consider the Sobolev spaces

$$W^{k,2}(S_l^1)_0, W^{k,2}(S_l^1)_1, \dots, W^{k,2}(S_l^1)_{l-1} \subset W^{k,2}(S_l^1)$$

which are, respectively, generated by  $W^{k,2}(i, i + 1)_0$ . Then  $W^{k,2}(S_l^1)_i$  is naturally isometric to  $W^{k,2}(S_l^1)_{i+1}$  by the shift  $T : u_i \rightarrow u_{i+1}$  by  $u_{i+1}(s) := u_i(s - 1)$ , of order  $l$ . Thus we can identify these Hilbert spaces by the same symbol  $H$  and so the inclusion  $H \oplus H \oplus \dots \oplus H \subset W^{k,2}(S_l^1)$  holds.

We again consider the nonlinear map

$$F : H^l = H \oplus H \oplus \dots \oplus H \rightarrow H \oplus H \oplus \dots \oplus H, \\ F(a_1, \dots, a_l) = (a_1 + T(a_l)^3, a_2 + T(a_1)^3, \dots, a_l + T(a_{l-1})^3).$$

Then the map can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \dots \\ a_l \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + a_l^3 \\ a_2 + a_1^3 \\ \dots \\ a_l + a_{l-1}^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the same argument as the toy case, this is metrically proper, and its nonlinear part is compact on each bounded set.

By the use of  $F^t$  as above,  $F$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -equivariantly properly homotopic to the cyclic shift  $T$ . By a similar argument, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.10.** *There is a  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -equivariant  $*$ -isomorphism*

$$K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_l}(S\mathcal{C}_F(H^l)) \cong K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_l}(S\mathcal{C}(H^l)) \cong K_1^{\mathbb{Z}_l}(S),$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_l$  acts on  $H^l$  by the cyclic permutation of the components.

The above computation is applicable to more general situations of  $F$  and is not restricted to such a specified form of the nonlinear term.

**6.3.3. Infinite cyclic case.** It is not so immediate to extend the above finite cyclic case to the infinite case, following the same approach. For example, the map  $l^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  by  $\{a_i\}_i \rightarrow \{a_{i+1}^3\}_i$  is not proper.

Therefore we use a very specific approach to compute the  $\mathbb{Z}$  case. Let  $H$  be the Hilbert space identified as  $H = W^{k,2}(0, 1)_0 \subset W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $\mathbf{H}$  be the closure of the sum  $\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H_i$ , where  $H_i$  are the copies of the same  $H$ . Then, the  $T$  orbit of  $H$ ,  $\{T^n(H)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , generates  $\mathbf{H} \subset W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $T : W^{k,2}(i, i + 1)_0 \cong W^{k,2}(i + 1, i + 2)_0$  is the shift as before.

Consider the map  $F : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  by

$$F : \begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ a_{-1} \\ a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ a_{-1} + a_{-2}^3 \\ a_0 + a_{-1}^3 \\ a_1 + a_0^3 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $H'_l$  be spanned by the vectors  $(a_{-l}, \dots, a_l)$ . As in the toy model case, consider the approximation  $F^l : H'_l \rightarrow H_l$  by shifting the last component

$$F^l : \begin{pmatrix} a_{-l} \\ a_{-l+1} \\ \dots \\ a_l \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_{-l} + a_l^3 \\ a_{-l+1} + a_{l-1}^3 \\ \dots \\ a_l + a_{l-1}^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is a finite-dimensional linear subspace  $W'_i \subset H'_i$  with  $r_i, s_i > 0$  such that  $(F^i, W'_i, D'_{r_i})$  gives a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -finitely approximable data with  $l_i = \text{id}$ .

An element  $u \in K_0(S\mathbb{C}_F(\mathbf{H}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$  has a representative as  $u = [p] - [\pi(p)]$ , where

$$p \in \text{Mat}(\overline{S\mathbb{C}_F(\mathbf{H}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}}).$$

Here,  $p$  can be approximated as

$$p' \in \text{Mat}(C(\{-l, \dots, l\}, \overline{S\mathbb{C}_{F^l}(W'_l)})).$$

The rest of the process is parallel to the toy model case, and so one can proceed in the same way and then obtain an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(S\mathbb{C}_F(\mathbf{H}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) &\cong K_0(S\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{H}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong K_1(C^*(\mathbb{Z})) = K^1(S^1) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, *K-Theory. Lecture Notes by D. W. Anderson*. W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1967  
Zbl [0159.53302](#) MR [0224083](#)
- [2] S. Bauer and M. Furuta, A stable cohomotopy refinement of Seiberg-Witten invariants. I. *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 1, 1–19 Zbl [1050.57024](#) MR [2025298](#)
- [3] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994  
Zbl [0818.46076](#) MR [1303779](#)
- [4] N. Higson, G. Kasparov, and J. Trout, A Bott periodicity theorem for infinite-dimensional Euclidean space. *Adv. Math.* **135** (1998), no. 1, 1–40 Zbl [0911.46040](#) MR [1617411](#)
- [5] T. Kato, Covering monopole map and higher degree in non-commutative geometry. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, to appear. DOI [10.4171/JNCG/430](#)
- [6] A. Schwarz, On the homotopic topology of Banach spaces. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **154** (1964), 61–63; translation in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **5** (1964), 57–59 Zbl [0181.39904](#) MR [0160095](#)
- [7] J. Trout, A Thom isomorphism for infinite rank Euclidean bundles. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **5** (2003), no. 1, 121–159 Zbl [1024.19004](#) MR [1989619](#)

Received 26 January 2018.

**Tsuyoshi Kato**

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan; [tkato@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:tkato@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp)