# Explicit Rieffel induction module for quantum groups

Damien Rivet

Abstract. For  $\mathbb G$  an algebraic (or more generally, a bornological) quantum group and  $\mathbb B$  a closed quantum subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}$ , we build in this paper an induction module by explicitly defining, on the convolution algebra of  $\mathbb{G}$ , an inner product which takes its value in the convolution algebra of  $\mathbb{B}$ , as in the original approach of Rieffel. In this context, we study the link with the induction functor defined by Vaes. In the last part, we illustrate our result with parabolic induction of complex semisimple quantum groups. We first show that our induction functor coincides with the one already defined in the case of parabolic induction. Then we use the tools developed in this paper to give a geometric interpretation to the parabolic induction functor, following the approach suggested by Clare in the classical case.

# 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $B$  a closed subgroup of  $G$ . One can build unitary representations of G from those of B with the unitary induction procedure due to Mackey [\[13\]](#page-32-0), who also developed the concept of imprimitivity. Rieffel [\[19\]](#page-33-0) gave an alternative and more general formulation in the  $C^*$ -algebraic setting by using  $C^*$ -Hilbert modules. In short, there exists a Hilbert  $C^*_{u}(B)$ -module  $\mathcal{E}(G)$ , with a left representation of  $C^*_{u}(G)$ , such that for a unitary representation of B on any Hilbert module V,  $\mathcal{E}(G) \otimes_{C_u^*(B)} V$ corresponds to the induced unitary representation of G.

In the case where  $\mathbb G$  is a locally compact quantum group and  $\mathbb B$  a closed quantum subgroup, induction procedures have been developed by Kustermans [\[8\]](#page-32-1) and Vaes [\[21\]](#page-33-1). Vaes was able to formulate this in a wide framework and to state imprimitivity theorems. In this paper, we develop an approach closer to the original one of Rieffel, by directly defining the induction module  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})$ .

The main difficulty is that, unless  $\mathbb B$  is also an open subgroup of  $\mathbb G$  (the case treated in [\[7\]](#page-32-2)), we do not have an inclusion of  $C^*_{u}(\mathbb{B})$  into  $C^*_{u}(\mathbb{G})$  and so it is not possible to define a conditional expectation from  $C^*_{u}(\mathbb{G})$  to  $C^*_{u}(\mathbb{B})$ . In his original paper, Rieffel avoided this issue by considering the convolution algebra  $C_c(G)$  of compactly supported functions on G, instead of the full space  $C^*(G)$ . Then he defined a weak conditional expectation  $C_c(G) \rightarrow C_c(B).$ 

*<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G42; Secondary 16T05, 46L65, 46L51.

*Keywords.* Induction, quantum groups, bornological algebras, algebraic quantum groups, locally compact quantum groups, semisimple quantum groups.

The bornological setting for quantum groups developed by C. Voigt [\[23\]](#page-33-2) allows us to consider algebras with similar properties and then to define an analogue of the weak conditional expectation. One of the main goals of this paper is to show that, in this particular case, the induction functor we obtain is the same as the one defined by Vaes. We thus get a more direct way to compute induced representations for regular bornological quantum groups and to apply the powerful imprimitivity theorems. We remark that the class of bornological quantum groups is a large subclass of locally compact quantum groups. The only known obstruction to being bornological is non-regularity and regularity is already a necessary condition in Vaes imprimitivity theorem. The class of bornological quantum groups includes compact quantum groups, algebraic quantum groups [\[22\]](#page-33-3) (and in particular complex semi-simple quantum groups) and classical locally compact groups. We remark that our results are new even in the case of algebraic quantum groups.

In the last part, we illustrate the general construction with the example of principal series representations of a semi-simple complex quantum group  $G_q$  [\[25\]](#page-33-4). First, we show that our induction functor coincides with the one already defined in the case of parabolic induction  $[1,25]$  $[1,25]$ . After that, in analogy to the classical case in  $[3]$ , we build a module using a  $G_q$ -space  $G_q/N_q$ , which implements the parabolic induction. The notation  $G_q/N_q$  is meant to suggest a homogeneous space with respect to a quantum analogue of the classical unipotent subgroup although we do not actually use any such subgroup in its definition. As well as giving a noncommutative geometry perspective on the parabolic induction functor for  $G_q$  (similar to the approach of [\[18\]](#page-33-5) in the case  $G_q = SL_q(2,\mathbb{C})$ ), we can thus provide a new description of the structure of the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*_r(G_q)$  following the results of [\[16,](#page-33-6) [24\]](#page-33-7).

In our proofs, we will make certain assumptions on the bornological quantum groups we consider. To begin with, we will assume that the scaling constants of  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  are 1, as well as the scaling constant associated to the restriction  $\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})$  of the modular element of G to B, see Remark [3.2.](#page-7-0) These hypotheses are almost certainly unnecessary, but they greatly simplify the constructions and in any case, at present, we do not know any examples of bornological quantum groups for which they do not hold. More significantly, we will suppose that the closed quantum subgroup is amenable, so that  $C_u^*(\mathbb{B}) =$  $C_r^*(\mathbb{B})$ . This hypothesis is made for a technical reason, namely to prove positivity of a the  $C^*(\mathbb{B})$ -valued inner product on the Rieffel induction module. Again, we suspect this is not necessary, but we do not currently have a proof of positivity in the general case.

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>2. Bornological quantum groups

Bornological quantum groups, defined by Voigt [\[23\]](#page-33-2), are a generalization of algebraic quantum groups introduced by Van Daele [\[22\]](#page-33-3) where most of the interesting properties stay valid. In this section, we recall the definition of a bornological quantum group and state some of the important properties. Many of the properties which we shall need in this paper, particularly concerning the relationship between Voigt's theory of bornological quantum groups [\[23\]](#page-33-2) and Kustermans and Vaes' theory of locally compact quantum groups [\[10\]](#page-32-5), are analogues of well-known properties of algebraic quantum groups which had not previously been proven in the bornological context. This void is filled by the article [\[20\]](#page-33-8). We will make much use of that article as well as Voigt's original article. But in order to keep this article self-contained, we will summarize the necessary results here.

For the reader interested only in algebraic quantum groups, it is possible to read this entire article replacing "bornological quantum groups" with "algebraic quantum groups." Then bounded maps become arbitrary maps, bornological tensor products become algebraic tensor products, and so on; see Example [2.1.](#page-3-0) In this case, the prerequisite results are all well known from the works of Van Daele, Kustermans, and De Commer [\[5,](#page-32-6) [9,](#page-32-7) [11,](#page-32-8) [22\]](#page-33-3).

We begin with the basic definitions of bornological vector spaces. For more details see [\[6,](#page-32-9) [15\]](#page-33-9).

A bornology on a vector space V is a covering family  $\mathcal B$  of subsets of V, called bounded sets, which is stable under taking subsets and finite unions, and such that the vector space operations map bounded sets to bounded sets. The guiding example is the set of bounded subsets of a topological vector space. We will always impose the convexity condition that  $\mathcal B$  is stable under taking balanced convex hulls, often called disks. Each bounded disk D gives rise to a seminorm on its linear span  $V_D = \text{span}(D)$  for which  $\overline{D}$  is the unit ball. Then  $V$  is called complete if every bounded set is contained in some bounded disk D for which  $V_D$  is a Banach space.

A map between bornological vector spaces is called bounded if it maps bounded sets to bounded sets. If  $V$  and  $W$  are complete bornological vector spaces, then there exists a bornological tensor product  $V \hat{\otimes} W$  which is a universal target for bounded bilinear maps from  $V \times W$ . One of the nice features of bornological vector spaces is the Hom-tensor adjunction

$$
Hom(V \hat{\otimes} W, X) \cong Hom(V, Hom(W, X)),
$$

where Hom denotes the bounded linear maps. In order to avoid pathologies, one should add the *approximation property*, which says that the identity map of V can be approximated uniformly on compact subsets by finite-rank operators; see [\[14\]](#page-32-10). This technical condition will be true of all our examples, and we will not make mention of it.

A bornological algebra is a complete bornological vector space A equipped with a bounded algebra product  $A \times A \rightarrow A$ . It therefore extends to the bornological tensor product  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ . It is called essential if the product induces a bornological isomorphism  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}$ .

The space of two-sided multipliers of a bornological algebra A is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ . See [\[23,](#page-33-2) Section 3] for the precise definition. A bounded algebra morphism  $f: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ is called essential if it induces bornological isomorphisms  $A \hat{\otimes}_A B \cong B \cong B \hat{\otimes}_A A$ . In this case,  $f$  extends uniquely to the multiplier algebra of  $A$ .

We modify Voigt's original definition of a bornological quantum group by adding a \*-structure. A \*-structure on a bornological algebra is a bounded involutive anti-automorphism on  $A$ . For more details, see [\[20\]](#page-33-8).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Example 2.1.** Any vector space V can be equipped with the fine bornology, for which the bounded subsets are precisely the bounded subsets (in the usual sense) of finite dimensional subspaces of V. Any linear map from V to a bornological vector space  $W$  is bounded with respect to the fine bornology, and the bornological tensor product  $V \otimes V$  is just the algebraic tensor product.

Thus any essential  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is an essential bornological algebra with the fine bornology. The bornological multiplier algebra is just the algebraic multiplier algebra.

Let  $\Delta : A \to M(A \hat{\otimes} A)$  be a  $*$ -homomorphism. The maps  $A \hat{\otimes} A \to M(A \hat{\otimes} A)$ 

 $\gamma_l : f \otimes g \mapsto \Delta(f)(g \otimes 1), \quad \gamma_r : f \otimes g \mapsto \Delta(f)(1 \otimes g)$ 

are called left Galois maps associated to  $\Delta$  and

$$
\rho_l : f \otimes g \mapsto (f \otimes 1) \Delta(g), \quad \rho_r : f \otimes g \mapsto (1 \otimes f) \Delta(g)
$$

the right Galois maps.

**Remark 2.2.** Since in our case we consider  $*$ -algebras, note that right Galois maps can be recovered from left ones by composing with the involution  $*$ .

If we suppose that  $\Delta : A \to M(A \hat{\otimes} A)$  is essential, then one can define  $(\Delta \hat{\otimes} id) \circ \Delta$ and (id  $\hat{\otimes} \Delta$ )  $\circ \Delta$  as maps from A to  $M(A \hat{\otimes} A \hat{\otimes} A)$ . If these maps coincide, then we say that the homomorphism  $\Delta$  is coassociative.

**Definition 2.3.** An essential  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Delta : A \rightarrow M(A \hat{\otimes} A)$  is called a comultiplication if it is coassociative.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\Delta : A \to M(A \hat{\otimes} A)$  be a comultiplication such that all Galois maps associated to  $\Delta$  define bounded linear maps from  $A \otimes A$  into itself. A bounded linear functional  $\phi : A \to \mathbb{C}$  is called left invariant if for all  $a \in A$ ,  $(\iota \hat{\otimes} \phi)(\Delta(a)) = \phi(a)1$ . Similarly, a bounded linear functional  $\phi : A \to \mathbb{C}$  is called right invariant if for all  $a \in A$ ,  $(\phi \hat{\otimes} t)(\Delta(a)) = \phi(a)1.$ 

**Definition 2.5.** A bornological quantum group is an essential bornological  $*$ -algebra  $A(\mathbb{G})$  satisfying the approximation property, together with a  $*$ -preserving comultiplication  $\Delta : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \to M(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}))$ , such that all Galois maps associated to  $\Delta$  are isomorphisms, and a faithful left invariant positive functional  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}}$ .

According to [\[23,](#page-33-2) Theorem 4.8], the hypothesis on Galois maps ensures that there exists a uniquely determined bounded homomorphism  $\epsilon : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathbb{C}$  and a linear isomorphism  $S : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  which is both an algebra and coalgebra antihomomorphism such that

$$
(\epsilon \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} \iota) \circ \Delta = \iota = (\iota \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} \epsilon) \circ \Delta
$$

and

$$
\mu(S\widehat{\otimes} t)\circ \gamma_r = \epsilon \widehat{\otimes} t \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\iota \widehat{\otimes} S) \circ \gamma_l = \iota \widehat{\otimes} \epsilon,
$$

where  $\mu : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  designates the multiplication of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ . The functional  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}}$  is called a left Haar integral.

We will often use Sweedler notation  $\Delta(f) = f_{(1)} \otimes f_{(2)}$  to denote the coproduct of  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . For bornological quantum groups, this is a purely formal notation to designate the position of the multiplier  $\Delta(a)$  in the legs of a tensor product expression.

**Example 2.6.** If G is a Lie group, then  $\mathcal{A}(G) = C_c^{\infty}(G)$  is a bornological quantum group when equipped with the bornology of its usual  $LF$ -topology, the pointwise product, the coproduct given by pullback along the group law  $G \times G \rightarrow G$ , and where  $\phi_G$  is integration with respect to left Haar measure.

Example 2.7. An algebraic quantum group is a bornological quantum group with the fine bornology (where the bounded sets are the compact subsets of finite dimensional subspaces).

**Proposition 2.8.** *There is a unique bounded algebra automorphism*  $\sigma : A \rightarrow A$  *such that*  $\phi(ab) = \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(b\sigma(a))$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ *.* 

See [\[23,](#page-33-2) Proposition 5.3] for details on this automorphism. We also give two properties that will be used later in this paper.

**Proposition 2.9.** We have  $\Delta \circ \sigma = (S^2 \otimes \sigma) \circ \Delta$  and  $\sigma(\bar{a}) = \overline{\sigma^{-1}(a)}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ *.* 

The modular element.

**Proposition 2.10.** *There exists an invertible self-adjoint element*  $\delta_{\mathbb{G}} \in M(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}))$ *, called the modular element associated with the Haar state*  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}}$ *, defined by the property* 

$$
(\phi_{\mathbb{G}}\widehat{\otimes}\iota)(\Delta(f))=\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(f)\delta_{\mathbb{G}}\in M(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})),\quad\forall f\in\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}).
$$

*We also mention the notable property*

$$
\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(S(f)) = \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(f \delta_{\mathbb{G}}).
$$

**Theorem 2.11.** For all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exists a unique bounded multiplier of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  denoted by  $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{z}$  such that

- (1) *for any*  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\overline{\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^z} = \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\overline{z}}$ ,
- (2) *for any*  $y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\delta_{\mathbb{C}}^y$  $\int_{\mathbb{G}}^y \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^z = \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{y+z}$ G *;*
- (3) *for any*  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it}$  *is unitary in*  $M(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}))$ ;
- (4) for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^t$  is a positive element, in the sense that  $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^t = \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{t/2}$  $\int_\mathbb{G}^{t/2} \delta_\mathbb{G}^{t/2}$  $^{\frac{t}{2}}$  and  $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{t/2}$ G *is a self adjoint element.*

This result is Theorem 3.27 in [\[20\]](#page-33-8). In the present paper, we will only use the element  $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{1/2}$  $\mathbb{G}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

**Remark 2.12.** We recall that in [\[20\]](#page-33-8), we have made the hypothesis that  $\sigma_{\mathbb{G}}(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}) = \delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ ; that is the scaling constant equals 1. This assumption is also made in this paper.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Proposition 2.13.** *There exists an automorphism*  $|S|$  *of*  $A(\mathbb{G})$  *such that*  $|S|^2 = S^2$ *,*  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}} \circ |S| = \phi_{\mathbb{G}}$ *, and*  $|S|(\bar{f}) = |\overline{S|^{-1}(f)}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{\mathbb{G}})$ *.* 

**Pontryagin duality.** We Recall that we define the space  $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  as a subspace of bounded linear functionals on  $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ :

$$
\mathcal{A}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \big\{\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(f), \ f \in \mathcal{A}(\widehat{G})\big\}.
$$

**Proposition 2.14.** *The bornological space*  $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  *is a bornological quantum group when it is equipped with the multiplier Hopf structure defined by duality: let*  $x, y \in A(\hat{G})$ ,  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ *. We have that* 

$$
(xy, f) = (x \otimes y, \Delta(f)),
$$
  

$$
(\hat{\Delta}(x), f \otimes g) = (x, gf),
$$
  

$$
\hat{\epsilon}(x) = (x, 1),
$$
  

$$
(\hat{S}(x), f) = (x, S^{-1}(f)),
$$
  

$$
x^*(f) = \overline{x(S(f))^*}.
$$

*Moreover, it admits a left invariant integral defined by*  $\phi_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}(\mathcal{F}(f)) = \epsilon(f)$ *.* 

The following result is Theorem 2.7 from [\[23,](#page-33-2) Section 7].

**Theorem 2.15.** *The double dual quantum group of*  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  *is canonically isomorphic to*  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ .

In order to do calculations similar to the classical case when one considers the convolution algebra of a locally compact group  $G$ , we introduce the following notations.

**Definition 2.16.** We consider the \*-bornological algebra  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$  with  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  as a bornological vector space, and with product and involution given by

$$
f * g = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi_{\mathbb{G}}) [ (1 \otimes S^{-1}(g)) (\Delta(f)) ], \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}),
$$
  

$$
f^* = \overline{S(f)} \delta_{\mathbb{G}}.
$$

In what follows, we will use  $f \mapsto \overline{f}$  to denote the \*-involution of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  to avoid confusion with the  $\ast$ -involution of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ .

**Proposition 2.17.** *The map*  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathcal{A}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  *is an isomorphism of \*-bornological algebras.*

Remark 2.18. The reader should be careful that in the whole paper we juggle with both  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$  using everywhere both algebra structures, which can be confusing.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Proposition 2.19.** *For any*  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  *we have*  $\epsilon(f^* * g) = \phi(\bar{f}g)$ *.* 

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Lemma 2.20.** *For any*  $f, g \in A(\mathbb{G})$  *we have the formal equalities* 

$$
\Delta(f * g) = f_{(1)} \otimes (f_{(2)} * g) = (f * g_{(1)}) \otimes g_{(2)}.
$$

*More precisely, for any*  $a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  *we have* 

$$
(a \otimes 1)\Delta(f * g) = af_{(1)} \otimes (f_{(2)} * g), \quad \Delta(f * g)(a \otimes 1) = f_{(1)}a \otimes (f_{(2)} * g),
$$
  

$$
(1 \otimes a)\Delta(f * g) = (f * g_{(1)}) \otimes ag_{(2)}, \quad \Delta(f * g)(1 \otimes a) = (f * g_{(1)}) \otimes g_{(2)}a,
$$

*where the right-hand side of the first equation is understood by first applying a Galois map to*  $a \otimes f$  *and then taking the convolution with* g *in the second leg, and similarly for the others.*

**Modules over a bornological quantum group.** If  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  is a unital bornological quantum group, then an essential left corepresentation of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  (also called a left coaction of  $\mathbb{G}$  or an essential left  $A(\mathbb{G})$ -comodule) is a bounded linear map

$$
\alpha: V \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes V
$$

which satisfies the coassociativity and essentiality conditions

$$
(\mathrm{id}\otimes\alpha)\alpha=(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\alpha,
$$
  

$$
(\epsilon\otimes\mathrm{id})\alpha=\mathrm{id}.
$$

If  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  is not unital, this definition needs to be adjusted. A corepresentation is then defined as a linear map

$$
\alpha: V \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})} (\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}), \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes V),
$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes V$  are given the natural left  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ -actions. The required coassociativity relation on  $\alpha$  is given as a pentagonal equation as follows. Using the Hom-tensor adjunction, we can view  $\alpha$  as an element of Hom( $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes V$ ;  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes V$ ). Then we require

$$
\alpha_{23} \alpha_{13} (\rho_l)_{12} = (\rho_l)_{12} \alpha_{23},
$$

where  $\rho_l$  is the Galois map from above, and we are using the standard leg-numbering notation for maps on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes V$ . Essentiality is the requirement that  $\alpha$  define a linear isomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes V$  to itself.

Associated locally compact quantum group. Before moving to the next section, we briefly summarize results of [\[20\]](#page-33-8) that establish the link between a bornological quantum group and its associated  $C^*$ -algebraic quantum group.

There exists a Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{G})$  and a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_0^r(\mathbb{G}) \subset B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$  together with a linear map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \to L^2(\mathbb{G})$  and a bounded algebra homomorphism  $m : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \to$  $C_0^r(\mathbb{G})$  both with dense images such that  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ :

• 
$$
\langle \Lambda(f), \Lambda(g) \rangle = \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(\bar{f}g),
$$

•  $m(f)\Lambda(g) = \Lambda(fg)$ .

Furthermore,  $C_0^r(\mathbb{G})$  is equipped with a comultiplication  $\Delta$  and a left Haar weight which extend the comultiplication and Haar integral of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ .

In [\[20\]](#page-33-8), it is shown that this defines a locally compact quantum group in the sense of Kustermans and Vaes [\[10\]](#page-32-5). That article requires an additional technical hypothesis, namely that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  admits an approximate unit  $(e_n)$  such that both  $(e_n)$  and  $\sigma_{i/2}(e_n)$  converge to 1 in the bornology of the multiplier algebra, and we shall impose this assumption here as well. We do not know whether this hypothesis is necessary, although it is easily checked in the natural examples, including all examples to be discussed here. If ultimately, as we expect, this condition is shown to be unnecessary for obtaining the locally compact quantum group  $C_r^u(\mathbb{G})$ , then it can be removed from the present article as well. All we require is that the C<sup>\*</sup>-completion  $C_r^0(\mathbb{G})$  of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  is a locally compact quantum group.

The dual reduced quantum group is denoted by  $C_r^*$  (G), and  $C_0^u$  (G) and  $C_u^*$  (G) refer to the associated universal locally compact quantum groups. Further,  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G})$ . refer to the associated von Neumann algebraic quantum groups.

## 3. Closed quantum subgroups

**Definition 3.1.** A bornological quantum group  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$ , equipped with a bounded surjective  $\ast$ -morphism of bornological quantum groups  $\pi : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$  is called a closed quantum subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$  be such a quantum subgroup with a left Haar integral  $\phi_{\mathbb{B}}$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Remark 3.2.** In general, we have  $\sigma_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})) = \mu \pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})$  for some complex number  $\mu$ with modulus 1. As for the scaling constant, we make the hypothesis here that this constant equals 1. We thus have  $\sigma_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}})) = \pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ .

The convolution algebras  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$  are, by definition, identified as linear spaces with the spaces  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$ . Therefore, the map  $\pi : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$  can also be seen as a map from  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$  to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ . However, as it stands, this map does not have the properties of what we will call a *generalized conditional expectation*. Instead, we first define

$$
\gamma = \pi (\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \in M(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})),
$$

which is a group-like element. Then we modify the map  $\pi$  to

$$
E: \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B}), \quad E(f) = \pi(f)\gamma.
$$

In order to describe the relevant properties of  $E$ , we must start with some preliminaries concerning the action of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$  on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ . We consider the dual morphism  $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B}) \to$  $M(D(\mathbb{G}))$  and set for all f in  $D(\mathbb{G})$  and for all  $h \in D(\mathbb{B})$ ,

$$
f \cdot h = f * \hat{\pi}(h\gamma).
$$

 $\blacksquare$ 

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Proposition 3.3.** *The map*  $f \mapsto f \cdot h$  *defines a right action of the algebra*  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$  *on the space*  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G})$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $h, k \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ . Since  $\gamma$  is group-like, we have  $(h * k)\gamma = h\gamma * k\gamma$  and thus for  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$  we have

$$
f \cdot (h * k) = f * \hat{\pi}(h\gamma) * \hat{\pi}(k\gamma) = (f \cdot h) \cdot k.
$$

We are going to prove that  $E$  preserves the  $\ast$ -involution and has a "conditional expectation" property with respect to this action.

**Lemma 3.4.** *The two multipliers*  $\delta_{\mathbb{B}}$  *and*  $\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})$  *commute.* 

*Proof.* We know that we have

$$
\phi_{\mathbb{B}}(S(h)) = \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(h\delta_{\mathbb{B}}),
$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$ . By our hypothesis in Remark [3.2](#page-7-0) we also have that  $\sigma_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-1})) =$  $\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-1})$ . Let then  $h \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$ . We have  $\phi_{\mathbb{B}}(S(\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})h)) = \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(S(h\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})))$ . On the one hand, this gives

$$
\phi_{\mathbb{B}}(S(\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})h)) = \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})h\delta_{\mathbb{B}}) = \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(h\delta_{\mathbb{B}}\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})),
$$

and on the other

$$
\phi_{\mathbb{B}}(S(h\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}))) = \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(h\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})\delta_{\mathbb{B}}).
$$

Therefore,  $\delta_{\mathbb{R}} \pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}) = \pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}) \delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H})$ . The convolution multiplier  $\hat{\pi}(h) \in M(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}))$  is given by

$$
\hat{\pi}(h) * f = \phi_{\mathbb{H}}(S^{-1}(\pi(f_{(1)}))h) f_{(2)},
$$
  

$$
f * \hat{\pi}(h) = f_{(1)} \phi_{\mathbb{H}}(\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}S(f_{(2)}))h) = f_{(1)} \phi_{\mathbb{H}}(S^{-1}(h)\pi(f_{(2)})\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-1})\delta_{\mathbb{H}}),
$$

*for all*  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ , and  $a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ . Using the duality between  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G})$  and taking into account the left invariance of  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}}$  and the definition of  $\hat{\pi}$ , we get

$$
(\hat{\pi}(h) * f, a) = (\hat{\pi}(h), a_{(1)})(f, a_{(2)})
$$
  
=  $(h, \pi(a_{(1)}))(f, a_{(2)})$   
=  $\phi_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(a_{(1)})h)\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(a_{(2)}f)$   
=  $\phi_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(S^{-1}(f_{(1)}))h)\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(af_{(2)})$   
=  $(\phi_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(S^{-1}(f_{(1)}))h)f_{(2)}, a).$ 

Г

Similarly, using this time the right relative invariance, we get

$$
(f * \hat{\pi}(h), a) = (f, a_{(1)}) (\hat{\pi}(h), a_{(2)})
$$
  
=  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(a_{(1)} f) \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(a_{(2)})h)$   
=  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(af_{(1)}) \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}} S(f_{(2)}))h)$   
=  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(af_{(1)}) \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(S(S^{-1}(h)\pi(f_{(2)}\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-1})))$   
=  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(af_{(1)}) \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(S^{-1}(h)\pi(f_{(2)}\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-1})\delta_{\mathbb{B}})$   
=  $(\phi_{\mathbb{B}}(S^{-1}(h)\pi(f_{(2)})\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-1})\delta_{\mathbb{B}})f_{(1)}, a).$ 

**Remark 3.6.** Note that since  $\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})$  and  $\delta_{\mathbb{B}}$  commute, we have  $\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-1})\delta_{\mathbb{B}} = \gamma^2$ .

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Proposition 3.7.** *The map*  $E : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ ,  $E(f) = \pi(f)\gamma$ , has the two following *properties :*

- (1)  $E(f^*) = E(f)^*$ *, for all*  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ *,*
- (2)  $E(f \cdot h) = E(f) * h$ , for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ *.*

*The map* E *is the generalized conditional expectation we were looking to build.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ . We have

$$
E(f^*) = E(\overline{S(f)}\delta_{\mathbb{G}})
$$
  
=  $\overline{S(\pi(f))}\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}})\gamma$   
=  $\overline{S(\pi(f))}\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}})\delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$   
=  $\overline{S(\pi(f)\gamma)}\delta_{\mathbb{B}} = E(f)^*.$ 

Now let  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ . Using that  $\sigma(\gamma^{-1}) = \gamma^{-1}$ , we get

$$
E(f \cdot h) = E(f * \hat{\pi}(h\gamma))
$$
  
= (id  $\hat{\otimes} \phi_{\mathbb{B}}((1 \otimes \gamma^{-1}S^{-1}(h))(\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi)(\Delta(f))(1 \otimes \gamma^{2}))\gamma$   
= (id  $\hat{\otimes} \phi_{\mathbb{B}})((1 \otimes S^{-1}(h))(\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi)(\Delta(f))(\gamma \otimes \gamma))$   
=  $E(f) * h$ .

# 4. The induction module  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})$

We now make the assumption that the quantum subgroup  $\mathbb B$  is amenable; that is,  $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb B)$  =  $C_r^*(\mathbb{B})$ . The goal of this section is to define a Hilbert  $C^*(\mathbb{B})$ -module with a left  $C_u^*(\mathbb{G})$ action by completing  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G})$ . We equip the space  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G})$  with the right action of  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})$ defined as in Proposition [3.3.](#page-8-0)

**Definition 4.1.** Let V be a right  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ -module. A  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ -valued inner product on V will mean a sesquilinear map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$  such that for all  $v, w \in V$  and  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ we have that

- (1)  $\langle v, w \cdot h \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle * h$ ,
- (2)  $\langle v, w \rangle^* = \langle w, v \rangle$ .
- (3)  $\lambda_{\mathbb{B}}(\langle v, v \rangle)$  is a positive element of  $C^*(\mathbb{B})$  and  $\langle v, v \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow v = 0$ .

Such a module V endowed with a  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ -valued inner product will be called a  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ *-inner product space*.

**Remark 4.2.** The fact that we have to call on the regular representation  $\lambda_{\text{B}}$  is not very aesthetic but this is because the notion of positivity in the bornological quantum group  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})$  cannot be defined intrinsically. Below, we will prove positivity in the reduced  $C^*$ algebra, but in fact we would want to prove positivity in the universal  $C^*$ -algebra. In the classical case, Rieffel [\[19\]](#page-33-0) uses the existence of a Bruhat section to prove positivity of the scalar product in the universal  $C^*$ -algebra. Because we do not have a suitable analogue of this in the quantum world we will content ourselves with the case of amenable quantum subgroups. Nonetheless, we expect that the construction could be extended to any quantum subgroups.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Proposition 4.3.** *The sesquilinear map*  $\langle , \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})}$  *defined for*  $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G})$  *by* 

$$
\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})} = E(f^* * g)
$$

*defines a* D.B/*-valued inner product.*

To prove the previous proposition, we note first that the  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ -linearity and compatibility with the involution of the above sesquilinear map follow immediately from Proposition [3.7.](#page-9-0) It only remains to check the strict positivity, which will be a consequence of Proposition [4.6](#page-10-0) below.

<span id="page-10-2"></span>**Remark 4.4.** Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ . We have

$$
E(f^**g) = \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(S^{-1}(g_{(1)})\overline{S(f)}\delta_{\mathbb{G}})\pi(g_{(2)})\gamma = \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(\overline{f}g_{(1)})\pi(g_{(2)})\gamma.
$$

**Remark 4.5.** In what follows, we will often use the maps  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{G}} : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \to L^2(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{G}}$ :  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G}) \to B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$  and the analogous maps  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\lambda_{\mathbb{B}}$ , but we will only write  $\Lambda$  and  $\lambda$ . Their relation to  $\mathbb B$  or  $\mathbb G$  will depend on the context.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Proposition 4.6.** The linear map  $\rho_{\bullet}: \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \to B(L^2(\mathbb{B}), L^2(\mathbb{G}))$  defined by  $f \mapsto \rho_f$ , *where*

$$
\rho_f\big(\Lambda(\eta)\big)=\Lambda(f\cdot\eta),\quad\forall f\in\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G}),\ \forall\eta\in\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B}),
$$

*satisfies*

$$
\lambda_{\mathbb{B}}\big(\langle f,g\rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})}\big)=\rho_f^*\rho_g.
$$

*Proof.* First we claim that, as an operator from  $L^2(\mathbb{G})$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{B})$ ,  $(\rho_f)^*$  acts on elements of  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}))$  as

$$
(\rho_f)^* : \Lambda(g) \mapsto \Lambda\big(\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})}\big).
$$

For this, note that using  $\epsilon_{\mathbb{B}}(E(x)) = \epsilon_{\mathbb{G}}(x)$ , for any  $x \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ , we obtain  $\epsilon_{\mathbb{B}}(E(x * y)) =$  $\epsilon_{\mathbb{G}}(x^* * y) = \langle x, y \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ . Therefore, for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ we have

$$
\langle \rho_f \Lambda(\eta), \Lambda(\xi) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} = \langle \Lambda(f \cdot \eta), \Lambda(\xi) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}
$$
  
=  $\epsilon_{\mathbb{B}} (\langle f \cdot \eta, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})})$   
=  $\epsilon_{\mathbb{B}} (\eta^* * \langle f, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})})$   
=  $\langle \Lambda(\eta), \Lambda(\langle f, \xi \rangle) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{B})}.$ 

We, therefore, have

$$
\Lambda\big(\langle f,g\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})}*\eta\big)=\Lambda\big(\langle f,g\cdot\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})}\big)=\rho_f^*\rho_g\Lambda(\eta).
$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition [4.3.](#page-10-1) We also record the explicit formula

$$
\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})} = (\phi_{\mathbb{G}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) \big( (\bar{f} \otimes 1) \Delta(g) (1 \otimes \gamma) \big).
$$

**Definition 4.7.** The Hilbert  $C^*(\mathbb{B})$ -module obtained by completing  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$  with respect to the inner product above is denoted by  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})$  and we call it the induction module (associated to B).

See [\[12\]](#page-32-11) for details about the completion. The space  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})$  is innately equipped with a left  $C^*_{u}(\mathbb{G})$ -action, which commutes with the right  $C^*(\mathbb{B})$ -action. We then get our induction bi-module

$$
_{C^*_u(\mathbb{G})}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})_{C^*(\mathbb{B})}.
$$

Now, for  $\alpha$  a representation of  $C^*(\mathbb{B})$  on an A-Hilbert module K (where A is any  $C^*$ algebra) we consider, following Rieffel's definition for induced representations in [\[19\]](#page-33-0), the A-Hilbert module

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^{\mathbb{G}} V = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G}) \widehat{\otimes}_{C^*(\mathbb{B})} V,
$$

where the tensor product is completed with respect to the interior inner product [\[12,](#page-32-11) Proposition 4.5].

# 5. Link with Vaes' approach to induction

We consider in this section our bornological quantum groups  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  as locally compact quantum groups, as described at the end of Section [2,](#page-1-0) and we assume that  $\mathbb B$  is a closed quantum subgroup of G in the sense of the following definition.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\pi$  :  $C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \to M(C_0^u(\mathbb{B}))$  be a homomorphism. We say that  $\pi$  identifies B as a *closed quantum subgroup of* G *in the sense of Vaes* if there exists a faithful, normal, unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\hat{\pi}$ :  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G})$  such that the following diagram commutes:



where the vertical maps are the regular representations.

Remark 5.2. This definition is the notion used by Vaes in this work on induction for locally compact quantum groups [\[21\]](#page-33-1). There is another potentially weaker definition of closed quantum group that can be found in [\[4\]](#page-32-12).

Let us summarize the induction procedure of [\[21\]](#page-33-1) for locally compact quantum groups. We begin with some definitions and results from [\[21,](#page-33-1) Section 3]. We consider  $(A, \Delta)$  a locally compact quantum group with von Neumann algebra  $M$  and GNS Hilbert space  $H$ . We also fix a  $C^*$ -algebra B. If V is a  $C^*$ -B-module, we write  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$  for the  $*$ -algebra of adjointable B-linear operators.

**Definition 5.3.** Let N be a von Neumann algebra and V a  $C^*$ -B-module. A unital  $*$ homomorphism  $\beta : N \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$  is said to be strict (or normal) if it is strong\* continuous on the unit ball of N.

**Definition 5.4.** Let M and N be von Neumann algebras. We say that a  $C^*$ -B-module V is a  $B$ -correspondence from  $N$  to  $M$  if we have

- a strict  $*$ -homomorphism  $\beta_l : M \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}),$
- a strict  $*$ -antihomomorphism  $\beta_r : N \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ , such that  $\beta_l(M)$  and  $\beta_r(N)$  commute.

**Remark 5.5.** In [\[21\]](#page-33-1), the notation  $\pi$  is used instead of  $\beta$ . Here we keep  $\pi$  to designate the morphism from  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  to  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$ .

We will denote  $x \cdot v = \beta_l(x)v$  and  $v \cdot y = \beta_r(y)v$  for all  $x \in M$ ,  $y \in N$ , and  $v \in V$ and this correspondence will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{V}]_N$ .

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Proposition 5.6** ([\[21,](#page-33-1) Proposition 3.4]). *Let*  $X \in \mathcal{L}(A \hat{\otimes} V)$  *be a unitary corepresentation on a*  $C^*$ -*B*-module V. There is a *B*-correspondence  $\frac{\widehat{M}}{M}$   $\widehat{\otimes}$  V $\frac{\widehat{M}}{M}$  given by

 $x \cdot v = X(x \otimes 1)X^*v$  and  $v \cdot y = (\hat{J}_\mathbb{G}y^*\hat{J}_\mathbb{G} \otimes 1)v$  for  $x, y \in \hat{M}$ ,  $v \in H \hat{\otimes} V$ .

**Definition 5.7** ([\[21,](#page-33-1) Definition 3.5]). Let  $\hat{M} \circ \hat{M}$  be a *B*-correspondence from  $\hat{M}$  to  $\hat{M}$ and suppose that  $\beta : M' \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  is a strict  $*$ -homomorphism. We say that  $\beta$  is bicovariant when

$$
(\beta_l \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{id})(\hat{\Delta}(x)) = (\beta \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{id})(\hat{V})(\beta_l(x) \otimes 1)(\beta \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{id})(\hat{V}^*),
$$
  

$$
(\beta_r \hat{\otimes} \hat{R})(\hat{\Delta}(x)) = (\beta \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{id})(\hat{V})(\beta_l(x) \otimes 1)(\beta \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{id})(\hat{V}^*),
$$

where  $\hat{V} = (J \hat{\otimes} J)W (J \hat{\otimes} J)$  and  $\hat{R}$  denotes the unitary antipode of  $\hat{M}$ ; see [\[21,](#page-33-1) Preliminaries]. In this case, we call  $\mathcal F$  a bicovariant B-correspondence and we write

$$
\widehat{M}\frac{\frac{M'}{\mathcal{F}}}{\widehat{M}}.
$$

**Remark 5.8.** We give this definition because we will need to deal with bicovariant  $B$ -correspondences. However, its technical aspect does not concern us directly. The core of this section is to show the equivalence between two different bicovariant B-correspondences, where their structure is already provided by the results of [\[21\]](#page-33-1). Showing such an equivalence is simply a matter of showing that the morphisms satisfy the right commutation relations.

According to [\[21,](#page-33-1) Remark 3.6], we have a structure of bicovariant B-correspondence

$$
\stackrel{M'}{\hat{M}}\stackrel{H'\hat{\otimes} \mathcal{V}}{\stackrel{\frown}{M}}
$$

where the *B*-correspondence is given by Proposition [5.6](#page-12-0) and  $\beta : M' \to \mathcal{L}(H \hat{\otimes} V)$  is given by  $\beta(x) = x \otimes 1$ .

Remark 5.9. It should be noted that there is a slight difference in conventions between the current work and the article of Vaes. Namely, the skew-pairing between  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  is such that the coproduct on  $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  is reversed in our conventions, while it is the multiplication which is reversed in Vaes' conventions. Given that the modules discussed here are defined primarily in terms of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ -actions, this means that the action of the function algebra  $M' = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})'$  in the bicovariant modules we define below will be intertwined by the unitary antipode  $R$ . This forces us to slightly modify the definition of the morphism  $\beta$  so that  $\beta(x) = R(Jx^*J) \otimes 1$ .

In practice, this means the following. If  $a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ , then the action of  $m'(a) \in M'$  on the GNS space  $H = L^2(\mathbb{G})$  in our conventions needs to be defined as

$$
m'(a) \cdot \Lambda(\xi) = \Lambda(R(a)\xi),
$$

where  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  and R designates the unitary antipode of M. The fact that R stabilizes the bornological algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  is a consequence of [\[20\]](#page-33-8).

The following proposition is crucial to Vaes' induction procedure. It will be the key result that we use to establish the equivalence between our approach to induction and Vaes'.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Proposition 5.10 ([\[21,](#page-33-1) Proposition 3.7]). *If*

 $M'$  $\hat{M} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \hat{M}}$ 

*is a bicovariant* B*-correspondence, there exists a canonically determined* C *-*B*-module*

E and a corepresentation  $X \in \mathcal{L}(A \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E})$ , unique up to equivalence, such that

$$
\stackrel{M'}{\hat{M}\mathcal F}_{\hat{M}} \cong \stackrel{M'}{\hat{M}\boxtimes\mathcal E}_{\hat{M}}
$$

*as bicovariant correspondences. So, we get a bijective relation between unitary corepresentations on* C *-*B*-module and bicovariant* B*-correspondences.*

Note that from the corepresentation  $X \in \mathcal{L}(A \hat{\otimes} V)$ , we obtain a  $*$ -morphism  $\alpha$ :  $\hat{A}^u \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$  which verifies

$$
(\mathrm{id}\mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}}\alpha)(W^u)=X,
$$

where  $W^u$  designates the universal multiplicative unitary of the quantum group  $(A, \Delta)$ .

We now set  $A = C_0^r(\mathbb{G})$  and thus we have  $H = L^2(\mathbb{G}), M = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G}),$  and  $\hat{M} = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G}).$ Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}(C_0^r(\mathbb{G}) \hat{\otimes} V)$  be a corepresentation of  $\mathbb{G}$  on a Hilbert B-module V. We still denote by  $\alpha$  the corresponding  $\ast$ -morphism  $\alpha$  :  $C^*_{u}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ , as well as its bornological version,  $\alpha : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ , which can be defined by restriction of the original  $\alpha$  to  $\lambda^u(\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G})).$ 

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Remark 5.11.** One can describe explicitly the structure of the bicovariant  $B$ -correspondence

$$
\frac{L^\infty(\mathbb{G})'}{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{G})\mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} \mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{G})}.
$$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ , and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . We have

- $\lambda(f) \cdot (\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v) = (\lambda \otimes \alpha)(\hat{\Delta}(f))(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v),$
- $(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v) \cdot \lambda'(f) = \Lambda(\xi * f) \otimes v,$
- $\beta(m'(f))(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v) = \Lambda(R(f)\xi) \otimes v.$

Let us remark that if our conventions were coherent with those of Vaes, we would have a flipped coproduct  $\widehat{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$  in first point. This is because in Proposition [5.6,](#page-12-0) the left action is defined by  $x \cdot v = X(x \otimes 1)X^*v$ , for  $x \in \hat{M}$ ,  $v \in H \hat{\otimes} V$  and we have  $W(x \otimes 1)W^* = \hat{\Delta}^{\text{op}}(x)$ .

From now we consider  $X \in \mathcal{L}(C_0^r(\mathbb{B}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{V})$  a corepresentation of  $\mathbb B$  on a Hilbert Bmodule V, accompanied by the  $\ast$ -morphism  $\alpha : C^*(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{L}(V)$ . The aim of the next paragraphs is to build the induced corepresentation of  $V$  with Vaes' technique. Following [\[21,](#page-33-1) Lemma 4.5] we consider the B-correspondence  $x(\mathbb{B})\overline{L^2(\mathbb{G})\otimes \mathcal{V}}|_{\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{G})}$ .

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Remark 5.12. The morphisms in this structure of B-correspondence can be made explicit as is the previous remark. Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ , and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . We have

- $\lambda(h) \cdot (\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v) = (\lambda \circ \hat{\pi} \otimes \alpha)(\hat{\Delta}(h))(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v),$
- $(\xi \otimes v) \cdot \lambda'(f) = (\xi * f) \otimes v.$

The second point does not differ from the formula in Remark [5.11.](#page-14-0) The first point requires justification. It is claimed in  $[21, \text{Lemma } 4.5]$  $[21, \text{Lemma } 4.5]$  that the morphism

$$
\beta_l:\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})\to\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{G})\mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}}\mathcal{V})
$$

is characterized by the property

$$
\beta_l(a)(u\otimes 1)\xi = (u\otimes 1)X(a\otimes 1)X^*\xi,
$$

for every  $a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B}), \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{B}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$ , and  $u \in B(L^2(\mathbb{B}), L^2(\mathbb{G}))$  satisfying  $ux = \widehat{\pi}(x)u$ for all  $x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})$ . Let then  $u \in B(L^2(\mathbb{B}), L^2(\mathbb{G}))$  satisfying  $ux = \hat{\pi}(x)u$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})$ and let  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ ,  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$ , and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . According to Remark [5.11,](#page-14-0) we have

$$
X(a\otimes 1)X^*(\Lambda_{\mathbb{B}}(\eta)\otimes v)=(\lambda_{\mathbb{B}}\otimes \alpha)(\widehat{\Delta}(h))(\Lambda_{\mathbb{B}}(\xi)\otimes v).
$$

Therefore, since  $\lambda(h) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})$ , we have

$$
[(u \otimes 1)X(\lambda(h) \otimes 1)X^*](\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v) = (u \otimes 1)((\lambda \otimes \alpha)(\hat{\Delta}(h)))(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v)
$$
  

$$
= (\hat{\pi} \circ \lambda \otimes \alpha)(\hat{\Delta}(h))(u \otimes 1)(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v)
$$
  

$$
= (\lambda \circ \hat{\pi} \otimes \alpha)(\hat{\Delta}(h))(u \otimes 1)(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v)
$$
  

$$
= (\lambda \circ \hat{\pi} \otimes \alpha)(\hat{\Delta}(h))[(u \otimes 1)(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v)]
$$

and thus it coincides with what we claimed. Finally, we note that we also have a  $*$ -morphism  $\beta_{L^2(\mathbb{G})\hat{\otimes} \mathcal{V}} : L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})' \to \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{G})\hat{\otimes} \mathcal{V})$  given by  $\beta_{L^2(\mathbb{G})\hat{\otimes} \mathcal{V}}(m'(f))(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes v) =$  $\Lambda(R(f)\xi) \otimes v.$ 

We introduce the space  $\mathcal I$  from [\[21,](#page-33-1) Definition 4.2]:

$$
\mathcal{I} = \{ u \in B(L^2(\mathbb{B}), L^2(\mathbb{G})), \ u \mathbf{x} = \hat{\pi}'(\mathbf{x})u \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})' \},
$$

where  $\hat{\pi}'$  refers to the natural action of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})'$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{G})$  given by

$$
\widehat{\pi}^{\prime}(x) = \widehat{J}_{\mathbb{G}} \widehat{\pi} (\widehat{J}_{\mathbb{B}} x \widehat{J}_{\mathbb{B}}) \widehat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}.
$$

The space  $\bar{I}$  is endowed with

- its natural  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G})$  left action by composition,
- its natural  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})$  right action by composition,
- an  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})$ -inner product given by  $\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})} = u^*v$ , for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- a \*-morphism  $\beta_{\mathcal{I}}: L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})' \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I})$  given by  $\beta_{\mathcal{I}}(m'(f))u = m(R(f))u$ , for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $u \in \mathcal{I}$ .

With this structure the space  $\mathcal I$  is a bicovariant  $W^*$ -bimodule (see [\[21,](#page-33-1) Section 3.2]).

Let K be a B-Hilbert module endowed with a left  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})$ -action. One can consider the space  $\mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{B})} K$ , which is a B-Hilbert module when it is endowed with the *interior inner product* [\[21,](#page-33-1) Section 12.3] as follows. Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $x, y \in K$ . The interior tensor product is given by

$$
\langle u \otimes x, v \otimes y \rangle_B = \langle x, \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})} \cdot y \rangle_B.
$$

Now, following Vaes' induction procedure, we set  $K = L^2(\mathbb{G}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$ , as in Remark [5.12.](#page-14-1) Vaes builds a bicovariant B-correspondence

$$
\frac{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})'}{\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{G})\left(\overline{L}\otimes\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{B})\left(\overline{L^2(\mathbb{G})}\overset{\frown}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}\right)\right)\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{G})}.
$$

**Remark 5.13.** On this balanced tensor product, the left action of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G})$  is done via the left action of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G})$  on *I*. The right action of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G})$  is done via its right action on  $(L^2(\mathbb{G}) \hat{\otimes} V)$ , as specified in Remark [5.12.](#page-14-1) Finally, the morphism  $\beta : L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})' \to$  $\mathcal{L}(I \otimes_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})} (L^2(\mathbb{G}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{V}))$  is given by  $\beta = (\beta_I \widehat{\otimes} \beta_{L^2(\mathbb{G}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{V}}) \circ \Delta$ . Specifically, let  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ , and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . We have

- $\lambda(g) \cdot (\iota(f) \otimes \Lambda(\xi) \otimes v) = \iota(g * f) \otimes \Lambda(\xi) \otimes v,$
- $(\iota(f) \otimes \Lambda(\xi) \otimes v) \cdot \lambda'(g) = \iota(f) \otimes \Lambda(\xi * g) \otimes v,$
- $\beta(m'(g))(\iota(f) \otimes \Lambda(\xi) \otimes v) = \iota(R(g_{(2)})f) \otimes \Lambda(R(g_{(1)})\xi) \otimes v.$

Then, using Proposition [5.10,](#page-13-0) we have the existence of a corepresentation of  $C_0^r(\mathbb{G})$ on a  $B$ -Hilbert module Ind  $V$  such that there is an isomorphism of  $B$ -correspondences

$$
\frac{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})'}{\mathcal{I}(\widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B}) \left( L^{2}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes V \right)} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G}) \cong \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G}) \frac{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})'}{L^{2}(\mathbb{G}) \widehat{\otimes} \text{ Ind } V} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G}) .
$$

The aim of this section is therefore to establish an equivalence of corepresentations

$$
\text{Ind }\mathcal{V}\cong \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{B})}\mathcal{V}.
$$

According to Proposition [5.6,](#page-12-0) there exists a structure of bicovariant B-correspondence

$$
\frac{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})'}{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{G})\otimes \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})\otimes_{\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})}\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{G})}.
$$

Specifically, let  $g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $\xi, f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ , and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . We have

- $\lambda(g) \cdot (\Lambda(\xi) \otimes f \otimes v) = (\Lambda \otimes id)(\hat{\Delta}(g) * (\xi \otimes f)) \otimes v$ , where  $*$  refers to the product of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})\hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ .
- $(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes f \otimes v) \cdot \lambda'(g) = \Lambda(\xi * g) \otimes f \otimes v,$
- $\beta(m'(g))(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes f \otimes v) = \Lambda(R(g)\xi) \otimes f \otimes v.$

<span id="page-16-1"></span>Proposition 5.14. *We have an equivalence of bicovariant* B*-correspondences*

$$
\frac{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})'}{\mathcal{I}(\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})}\left(L^{2}(\mathbb{G})\ \widehat{\otimes}\ \mathcal{V}\right)_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{G})}}\cong \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{G})\boxed{L^{2}(\mathbb{G})\ \widehat{\otimes}\ \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})\ \widehat{\otimes}\ \mathcal{C}^{*}(\mathbb{B})\ \mathcal{V}}}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{G})}.
$$

To prove this we need several results.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Lemma 5.15.** Let  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ . We have that  $\hat{\pi}(h)\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\mathbb{G}}$  is a well defined element of  $M(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}))$ and we have  $\hat{\pi}$  (h)  $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \hat{\pi}$  (h $\pi$  ( $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ )).

*Proof.* First, observe that, since  $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is group-like,  $f \mapsto f \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a bijective homomorphism of the algebra  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ . As a consequence, this map extends to a map  $M(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow$  $M(D(\mathbb{G}))$  defined for  $m \in M(D(\mathbb{G}))$  and  $f \in D(\mathbb{G})$  by

$$
(m\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}})*f = (m * (f\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}))\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Then, setting  $m = \hat{\pi}(h)$ , we get

$$
(\hat{\pi}(h)\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}}) * f = (\hat{\pi}(h) * (f\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}))\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(S^{-1}(f_{(2)}\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}))h) f_{(1)}\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(S^{-1}(f_{(2)}))h\sigma_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}}))) f_{(1)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \hat{\pi}(h\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}})) * f,
$$

where the last equality follows from the hypothesis we made in Remark [3.2](#page-7-0) which gives  $\sigma_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}})) = \pi(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}}).$  $\blacksquare$ 

**Lemma 5.16.** Let  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ . We have that  $\hat{\pi}'(\lambda'(h))\Lambda(\xi) = \Lambda(\xi) \cdot h$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ . We recall that we have the polar decomposition of the operator  $\widehat{T}_{\mathbb{B}} : \Lambda(f) \mapsto \Lambda(f^*)$  as  $\widehat{T}_{\mathbb{B}} = \widehat{J}_{\mathbb{B}} \widehat{\nabla}_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \widehat{\nabla}_{\mathbb{B}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{J}_{\mathbb{B}}$ , so

$$
\widehat{J}_{\mathbb{B}}\lambda'(h)\widehat{J}_{\mathbb{B}} = \widehat{\nabla}_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda'(h^*)\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathbb{B}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Recall also that  $\hat{\nabla}_{\mathbb{B}} \Lambda(\eta) = \Lambda(S^2(\eta) \delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{-1})$ . We thus have

$$
\widehat{J}_{\mathbb{B}}\lambda'(h)\widehat{J}_{\mathbb{B}} = \lambda(|S|(h)^*\delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}}),
$$

where  $|S|$  is the automorphism introduced in Proposition [2.13.](#page-5-0) Of course the same result stays true if we replace  $\mathbb B$  by  $\mathbb G$ . We use in the next calculation that the automorphisms  $|S|$ are intertwined by  $\hat{\pi}$  and that  $|S|(\delta_{\mathbb{B}}) = \delta_{\mathbb{B}}$ . We also have

$$
(h\delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}})^* = \overline{S(h\delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}})}\delta_{\mathbb{B}} = h^*\delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

One can now calculate

$$
\hat{\pi}'(\lambda'(h)) = \hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}\hat{\pi}\left(\lambda(|S|(h)^*\delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{-\frac{1}{2}})\right)\hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}
$$
\n
$$
= \hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}\hat{\pi}\left(\lambda(|S|(h\delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}})^*)\right)\hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}
$$
\n
$$
= \hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}\lambda\left(|S|\left(\hat{\pi}(h\delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}})\right)^*)\hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}
$$
\n
$$
= \hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}\lambda\left(|S|\left(\hat{\pi}(h\delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}})\right)^*\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}
$$
\n
$$
= \hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}\lambda\left(|S|\left(\hat{\pi}(h\delta_{\mathbb{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}})\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^*\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}
$$
\n
$$
\stackrel{(*)}{=} \hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}\lambda\left(|S|\left(\hat{\pi}(h\gamma)^*\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)\hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}
$$
\n
$$
= \hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}\hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}\lambda'\left(\hat{\pi}(h\gamma)\right)\hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}\hat{J}_{\mathbb{G}}
$$
\n
$$
= \lambda'\left(\hat{\pi}(h\gamma^{-1})\right),
$$

where for  $(*)$  we used Lemma [5.15.](#page-16-0) The result follows from the definition of the right action.Ē <span id="page-18-0"></span>Lemma 5.17. *The map from Proposition* [4.6](#page-10-0) *defines an injection with dense image*  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathcal{I}$  (with respect to the weak topology of  $B(L^2(\mathbb{B}), L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ ). Its image is denoted  $by I_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ , and  $n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ . We have

$$
\rho_f(\lambda'(h)\Lambda(\eta)) = \Lambda(f \cdot (\eta * h)) = \Lambda((f \cdot \eta) \cdot h) = \hat{\pi}'(\lambda'(h))\rho_f(\Lambda(\eta)).
$$

Thus the operator  $\rho_f$  belongs to *I*. It remains to show that the image of  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})$  in *I* is dense. Let  $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$  and  $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{B})$ . Suppose we have

$$
\big\langle \Lambda(\xi), \rho_f\big(\Lambda(\eta)\big)\big\rangle = 0
$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})$ . Let then  $u \in \mathcal{I}$ ; we want to obtain that  $\langle \xi, u(\Lambda(\eta)) \rangle = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; there exist

- (1)  $a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$  s.t.  $\|\Lambda(\eta a)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} \leq \varepsilon$  (density of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$ ),
- (2)  $b \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B})$  s.t.  $\|\Lambda(b*a-a)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} \leq \varepsilon$  (essentialness),
- (3)  $c \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$  s.t.  $||u(\Lambda(b)) \Lambda(c)||_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \leq \varepsilon$  (density of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ ).

Now, there exist  $k_1, k_2, k_3 > 0$  (depending only on the norms of  $\Lambda(\xi)$ ,  $\Lambda(\eta)$ , and u such that

(1)  $|\langle \Lambda(\xi), u(\Lambda(\eta)) \rangle - \langle \Lambda(\xi), u(\Lambda(a)) \rangle| \leq k_1 \varepsilon$ , (2)  $|\langle \Lambda(\xi), u(\Lambda(a)) \rangle - \langle \Lambda(\xi), u(\Lambda(b*a)) \rangle| \leq k_2 \varepsilon$ , and we note that

$$
u(\Lambda(b*a)) = \lambda'(a)u(\Lambda(b)),
$$

(3)  $|\langle \Lambda(\xi), u(\Lambda(b)) \cdot \lambda(a) \rangle - \langle \Lambda(\xi), \Lambda(c \cdot \eta) \rangle| \le k_3 \varepsilon$ ,

Finally, since  $\langle \xi, c \cdot \eta \rangle = 0$ , we have

$$
|\langle \xi, u(\eta) \rangle| \le (k_1 + k_2 + k_3)\varepsilon,
$$

So  $\langle \xi, u(\eta) \rangle = 0$  and we are done.

**Lemma 5.18.** Let  $V$  be a representation of  $C^*_{u}(\mathbb{G})$  on any Hilbert module. One can endow V *with its von Neumann bornology and consider the bornological space*

$$
\mathcal{V}^{\infty} = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})} \mathcal{V},
$$

*equipped with the left convolution action of*  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G})$  *is a bornological*  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G})$ *-module. Moreover, it is dense subspace of* V*.*

*Proof.* First, from the associativity of the bornological tensor product we have that

$$
\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})}\big(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})}\,\mathcal{V}\big) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})}\,\mathcal{V},
$$

and thus  $V^{\infty}$  is a bornological  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ -module.

Now consider the linear map  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G})} \mathcal{V} \to C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}$  defined by  $f \otimes v \mapsto$  $\lambda(f) \otimes v$ . This map is bounded since bounded subspaces of V are precisely bounded subspaces of  $V$  with respect to its Hilbert topology. Furthermore, this map leads to an injective map  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{G})} \mathcal{V} \to C^*_{u}(\mathbb{G}) \hat{\otimes}_{C^*_{u}(\mathbb{G})} \mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{V}$  which has dense range.

Remark 5.19. Using the duality between modules and comodules at the bornological level, we obtain that  $\mathcal{V}^{\infty}$  is also a comodule.

**Lemma 5.20.** *Let*  $x, y \in A(\mathbb{G})$ *. We have* 

$$
xy = \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(xy^{(1)})y^{(2)},
$$

where the notation  $y^{(1)}$ ,  $y^{(2)}$  refers to the legs of the coproduct  $\hat{\Delta}(y)$ .

*Proof.* By duality, it is enough to show that  $f * g = \epsilon (f * g_{(1)})g_{(2)}$  for all  $f, g \in A(\mathbb{G})$ . We have

$$
\epsilon(f * g_{(1)})g_{(2)} = \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(S^{-1}(g_{(1)})f)g_{(2)} = f * g.
$$

<span id="page-19-0"></span>**Lemma 5.21.** Let  $V^{\infty}$  be a  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ *-module and let*  $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$ *,*  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ *<i>, and*  $w \in V^{\infty}$ *. We have*

$$
\lambda(h) \cdot (\Lambda(\eta) \otimes w) = \Lambda(\eta_{(2)}) \hat{\otimes} \pi(S^{-1}(\eta_{(1)}))h \cdot w,
$$

*where "*  $\cdot$  " *on the left-hand side stands for the diagonal action of*  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})$  *on*  $V^{\infty}$ *.* 

*Proof.* We use  $\alpha$  to denote the action of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$  on  $V^{\infty}$ . We have

$$
\lambda(h) \cdot (\Lambda(\eta) \otimes w) = \lambda(h) \cdot (\Lambda(\eta) \otimes w)
$$
  
=  $(\lambda \circ \hat{\pi} \otimes \alpha)(\hat{\Delta}(h))(\Lambda(\eta) \otimes w)$   
=  $\lambda(\hat{\pi}(h^{(1)}))\Lambda(\eta) \otimes h^{(2)} \cdot w$   
=  $\phi_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi(S^{-1}(\eta_{(1)}))h^{(1)})\Lambda(\eta_{(2)}) \otimes h^{(2)} \cdot w$   
=  $\Lambda(\eta_{(2)}) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(\eta_{(1)}))h \cdot w.$ 

*Proof of Proposition* [5.14](#page-16-1)*.* Lemma [5.17](#page-18-0) allows us to consider the linear map

$$
\Psi: \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{I} \otimes (L^{2}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V})
$$

$$
\xi \otimes f \otimes v \mapsto (\iota \otimes \Lambda)(\Delta(\xi)(f \otimes 1)) \otimes v,
$$

where  $\iota$  stands for the injection  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathcal{I}$  from Proposition [4.6.](#page-10-0)

Let  $\xi, \eta \in A(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ , and  $v, w \in \mathcal{V}^{\infty}$ . We consider elements

$$
[\iota(\xi_{(1)}f)\otimes\Lambda(\xi_{(2)})\otimes v]\text{ and }[\iota(\eta_{(1)}g)\otimes\Lambda(\eta_{(2)})\otimes w]\text{ of }J\otimes_{\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{B})}(L^2(\mathbb{G})\otimes\mathcal{V})
$$

(where we use the notation  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  to refer to a class of elements in the balanced tensor prod-uct). Using Lemma [5.21](#page-19-0) in the equality  $(*)$  below, and the definition of the interior inner product, we obtain

$$
\langle [i(\xi_{(1)} f) \otimes \Lambda(\xi_{(2)}) \otimes v], [i(\eta_{(1)} g) \otimes \Lambda(\eta_{(2)}) \otimes w] \rangle_{I \otimes_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})}(L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V})}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle \Lambda(\xi_{(2)}) \otimes v, \lambda(\langle \xi_{(1)} f, \eta_{(1)} g \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})}) \cdot (\Lambda(\eta_{(2)}) \otimes w) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle \Lambda(\xi_{(2)}) \otimes v, \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(\overline{\xi_{(1)} f \eta_{(1)} g_{(1)}}) \lambda(\pi(\eta_{(2)} g_{(2)} \gamma)) \cdot (\Lambda(\eta_{(3)}) \otimes w) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\stackrel{\text{(*)}}{=} \langle \Lambda(\xi_{(2)}) \otimes v, \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(\overline{\xi_{(1)} f \eta_{(1)} g_{(1)}}) \Lambda(\eta_{(4)}) \otimes (\pi(S^{-1}(\eta_{(3)})) \pi(\eta_{(2)} g_{(2)} \gamma)) \cdot w \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle \Lambda(\xi_{(2)}) \otimes v, \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(\overline{f \xi_{(1)} \eta_{(1)} g_{(1)}}) \Lambda(\eta_{(2)}) \otimes (\pi(g_{(2)} \gamma) \cdot w) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(\overline{\xi_{(2)}} \eta_{(2)}) \langle v, \phi_{\mathbb{G}}(\overline{f \xi_{(1)} \eta_{(1)} g_{(1)}}) (\pi(g_{(2)} \gamma) \cdot w) \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle \Lambda(\xi) \otimes v, \Lambda(\eta) \otimes \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{B})} \cdot w \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle \Lambda(\xi) \otimes [f \otimes v], \Lambda(\eta) \otimes [g \otimes w] \rangle_{
$$

In particular, this shows that elements in the kernel of the quotient

$$
\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B}) \mathcal{V}
$$

have null image in  $\mathcal{I}\otimes_{\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{B})} (L^2(\mathbb{G})\otimes \mathcal{V})$  so the map  $\Psi$  descends to a unitary map to the balanced tensor product.

Now we can consider the associated map

$$
\widetilde{\Psi}: L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes_{C^*(\mathbb{B})} \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{B})} (L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}).
$$

Let us check that  $\Psi$  intertwines the bicovariant B-correspondence structure. We start with the left action of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G})$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $\xi \otimes f \otimes v \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\infty}$ . We have

$$
\lambda(g) \cdot (\Lambda(\xi) \otimes [f \otimes v]) = ((\lambda \otimes id)(\widehat{\Delta}(g) \otimes id))(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes [f \otimes v])
$$
  
=  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(S^{-1}(\xi_{(1)}f_{(1)})g)\Lambda(\xi_{(2)}) \otimes [f_{(2)} \otimes v],$ 

and

$$
\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(S^{-1}(\xi_{(1)}f_{(1)})g)\widetilde{\Psi}(\Lambda(\xi_{(2)})\otimes [f_{(2)}\otimes v])
$$
\n
$$
=\phi_{\mathbb{G}}(S^{-1}(\xi_{(1)}f_{(1)})g)[\iota(\xi_{(2)}f_{(2)})\otimes \Lambda(\xi_{(3)})\otimes v]
$$
\n
$$
=[\iota(g*\xi_{(1)}f)\otimes \Lambda(\xi_{(2)})\otimes v]=\lambda(g)\cdot[\iota(\xi_{(1)}f)\otimes \Lambda(\xi_{(2)})\otimes v].
$$

For the right action of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G})'$  consider again

$$
g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})
$$
 and  $\xi \otimes f \otimes v \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\infty}$ .

We have

$$
\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Psi}(\Lambda(\xi * g) \otimes [f \otimes v]) \cdot \lambda(g) &= \left[ \iota(\xi_{(1)} f) \otimes \Lambda(\xi_{(2)} * g) \otimes v \right] \\ &= \Psi(\Lambda(\xi) \otimes [f \otimes v]) \cdot \lambda'(g), \end{aligned}
$$

where we use Lemma [2.20.](#page-6-0) Let now  $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})'$ . We have

$$
\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Psi}(\beta(g)\big(\Lambda(\xi)\otimes [f\otimes v]\big)) &= \widetilde{\Psi}\big(\Lambda\big(R(g)\xi\big)\otimes [f\otimes v]\big) \\ &= \iota\big(R(g_{(2)})\xi_{(1)}f\big)\otimes \Lambda\big(R(g_{(1)})\xi_{(2)}\big) \\ &= \beta(g)\widetilde{\Psi}\big(\Lambda(\xi)\otimes [f\otimes v]\big), \end{aligned}
$$

where we use that  $R$  is an anti coalgebra homomorphism.

We finish with the surjectivity of  $\tilde{\Psi}$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ , and  $v \in \mathcal{V}^{\infty}$  and consider the element  $\iota(f) \otimes [\Lambda(g) \otimes v]$  of  $\mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{B})} (L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{V})$ . We observe that the element  $[\Lambda(g_{(2)}) \otimes S^{-1}(g_{(1)}) f \otimes v]$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}^*(\mathbb{B})} \mathcal{V}$  is an antecedent of  $\iota(f) \otimes [\Lambda(g) \otimes v]$  for  $\tilde{\Psi}$ . We conclude with a density argument.  $\blacksquare$ 

**Theorem 5.22.** *The representations* Ind V *and*  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes_{C^*(\mathbb{B})} V$  *are equivalent.* 

*Proof.* From Propositions [5.14](#page-16-1) and [5.10,](#page-13-0) we obtain an isomorphism of bicovariant correspondence

$$
L^{2}(\mathbb{G})\otimes (\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})\otimes_{C^{*}(\mathbb{B})}\mathcal{V})\cong L^{2}(\mathbb{G})\otimes \text{Ind}\,\mathcal{V}.
$$

The result follows.

### 6. Parabolic induction

In this section, we give an explicit Rieffel induction module associated to the functor of parabolic induction for complex semi-simple quantum groups. In particular, we show that our induction functor coincides with the classical definition in the case of parabolic induction [\[1,](#page-32-3) [25\]](#page-33-4). Finally, we give a geometric presentation of this parabolic induction module, in a similar way to what Clare did in [\[2\]](#page-32-13) for classical semi-simple Lie groups.

#### 6.1. Preliminaries

We follow the notations and conventions of [\[25\]](#page-33-4). Let  $g$  be a complex semisimple Lie algebra and let  $G_q$  be the associated simply connected complex semisimple quantum group and  $K_q$  its maximal compact quantum subgroup, with its multiplicative unitary  $W \in M(\mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q}) \otimes \mathcal{A}(K_q))$ . We write  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  for the associated quantized enveloping algebra and  $\mathcal{U}_q^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{k})$  for the same algebra equipped with the involution  $*$ , seen as the complexification of the quantized enveloping algebra of the compact form  $K_q$ . We recall that the algebra of representative functions on the Drinfeld double  $G_q = K_q \bowtie \widehat{K_q}$  is defined by

$$
\mathcal{A}(G_q) = \mathcal{A}(K_q) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q}),
$$

with coproduct

$$
\Delta_{G_q}(a \otimes f) = W_{32}^{-1}(a_{(1)} \otimes f_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes f_{(2)})W_{32}.
$$

This idea is originally due to Podles and Woronowicz  $[17]$  $[17]$ . For a complete discussion, see [\[25,](#page-33-4) Definition 3.18].

Let  $(u_{ij}^{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{A}(K_q)$  denote the matrix coefficient associated to a weight basis of an irreducible representation  $\sigma$  of  $K_q$  and let  $(\omega_{ij}^{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q})$  denote the elements of the dual basis. We have

$$
W = \sum_{i,j,\sigma} u_{ij}^{\sigma} \otimes \omega_{ij}^{\sigma}, \quad W^{-1} = \sum_{i,j,\sigma} S(u_{ij}^{\sigma}) \otimes \omega_{ij}^{\sigma},
$$

where the sums run over all equivalent classes of irreducible representations. In practice, we only write  $W = u_{ij}^{\sigma} \otimes \omega_{ij}^{\sigma}$ .

The multiplier Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{A}(G_q)$  is equipped with a left Haar state  $\phi_{K_q} \otimes \psi_{\widehat{K}_q}$ , where  $\phi_{K_q}$  is the Haar state on  $\mathcal{A}(K_q)$  and  $\psi_{\widehat{K}_q}$  the right Haar state on  $\mathcal{A}(K_q)$ . Note that this is also a right Haar state so that  $\delta_{C_n} = 1$ this is also a right Haar state, so that  $\delta_{G_q} = 1$ .

Let **P** be the weight lattice associated to g and  $\mathcal{U}_q^{\mathbb{R}}(t) = \text{span}\{K_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbf{P}\}\$ . For each  $\mu \in \mathbf{P}$ , we define  $e^{\mu} \in \mathcal{U}_q^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathsf{t})'$  by

$$
e^{\mu}(K_{\lambda})=q^{(\lambda,\mu)}.
$$

In this way, we can identify the algebra of functions on the torus subgroup T of  $K_q$  as

$$
\mathcal{A}(T) = \text{span}\{e^{\mu}, \ \mu \in \mathbf{P}\} \subset \mathcal{U}_q^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathsf{t})',
$$

where **P** is the weight lattice.

**Remark 6.1.** The classical torus subgroup T is naturally identified with spec( $A(T)$ ) and we note that for any  $\lambda \in t^*$  we obtain a character of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(T)$  by

$$
(K_{\lambda}, e^{\mu}) = q^{i(\lambda, \mu)}.
$$

This yields an identification  $T \cong i(\mathfrak{t}^*/\frac{2\pi}{\log(q)}Q^\vee)$ , where  $Q^\vee = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbb{Z})$  is the coroot lattice; see [\[25,](#page-33-4) Section 5.11]. We will not use this identification in what follows.

We define the restriction map  $\pi : A(K_q) \to A(T)$  via

$$
\pi(a)=a|_{\mathcal{U}_q^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t})}.
$$

The Borel subgroup  $B_q = T \bowtie \widehat{K_q}$  is defined via  $\mathcal{A}(B_q) = \mathcal{A}(T) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q})$  (see [\[25,](#page-33-4) Section 4.7]) with coproduct

$$
\Delta(a \otimes f) = \widetilde{W}_{32}^{-1}(a_{(1)} \otimes f_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes f_{(2)})\widetilde{W}_{32},
$$

twisted by the bicharacter  $\widetilde{W} = (\pi \otimes id)(W)$ . It is a closed subgroup of  $G_q$  with restriction map

$$
\pi \otimes id : \mathcal{A}(G_q) \to \mathcal{A}(B_q).
$$

We denote by  $\phi_T$  the Haar functional on  $\mathcal{A}(T)$ . The functional  $\phi_T \otimes \psi_{\widehat{K}_q}$ , which will be denoted by  $\phi_{\mathbf{P}}$ , is left invariant on  $\mathcal{A}(B_q)$ . We have denoted by  $\phi_{B_q}$ , is left invariant on  $\mathcal{A}(B_q)$ . We have

$$
\delta_{B_q}=1\otimes K_{-4\rho},
$$

for the associated modular element (see the proof of [\[25,](#page-33-4) Proposition 4.19]). We thus obtain our conditional expectation  $E : \mathcal{D}(G_q) \to \mathcal{D}(B_q)$ ,  $E(a \otimes f) = \pi(a) \otimes fK_{-2\rho}$ , for all  $a \otimes f$  in  $\mathcal{D}(K_q) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\widehat{K_q})$ .

Let  $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{t}^*$ . We recall that the principal series representation associated to  $(\mu, \lambda)$  is defined to be the space

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda} = \{ \xi \in M(\mathcal{A}(G_q)) \mid (\text{id} \otimes \pi_{B_q}) \Delta_{G_q}(\xi) = \xi \otimes (e^{\mu} \otimes K_{2\rho + \lambda}) \},
$$

with a coaction induced by the comultiplication of  $G_q$ . The notation  $\text{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$  here is inspired by analogy with the classical induction procedure; see [\[25,](#page-33-4) Section 6.4.2]. Our goal here is to show that this coincides with the induction functor which we have introduced here.

Finally, we notice that  $B_q$  is amenable and that the condition  $\sigma_{B_q}(\pi(\delta_{G_q}))$  is trivially verified since  $\delta_{G_q} = 1$ .

#### 6.2. The quotient map

In the classical case, with  $G = KAN$ , principal series representations are induced from characters of the Borel subgroup  $B = MAN$ . Explicitly, we choose first a character  $\mu$  of M and  $\lambda$  of A and then the identification  $MA = B/N$  allows us to extend  $\mu \otimes \lambda$  to a character of  $B$ . In this way, we obtain the principal series representation

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \mu \otimes \lambda.
$$

In the quantum case, we do not have an analog for the subgroup  $N$ . But, as we now explain, we do have a "quotient" map

$$
\widehat{K_q} \twoheadrightarrow A_q.
$$

Let us make this explicit. There are two versions of the map  $\pi_T$ . Firstly, with the canonical identification of \*-algebras  $A(K_q) = \mathcal{D}(\widehat{K_q})$  and  $A(T) = \mathcal{D}(A_q)$ , one can consider

$$
\pi_T: \mathfrak{D}(\widehat{K_q}) \to \mathfrak{D}(A_q),
$$

which is a  $*$ -morphism and comes with its dual morphism  $\hat{\pi}_T : A(A_q) \to M(A(\widehat{K_q}))$ . Secondly, using the identifications of vector spaces  $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q}) \cong \mathcal{D}(\widehat{K_q})$  and  $\mathcal{A}(A_q) \cong \mathcal{D}(A_q)$ , the same map can be interpreted as a map

$$
\pi_T: \mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q}) \to \mathcal{A}(A_q).
$$

This is a conditional expectation in the sense of Proposition [3.7,](#page-9-0) observing that  $K_a$  and T are unimodular. In particular,  $\pi(fK_\lambda) = \pi(f)K_\lambda$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_a})$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$ . This is the map  $\pi_T : \mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q}) \to \mathcal{A}(A_q)$  that we call the *quotient map*. This map has also the notable property

$$
\phi_{\widehat{K}_q}(f) = \phi_{A_q}(\pi_T(f)).
$$

Indeed we have for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}(K_q)$ 

$$
\phi_{\widehat{K}_q}(\mathcal{F}_{K_q}(a)) = \epsilon_{K_q}(a) = \epsilon_T(\pi_T(a)) = \phi_{A_q}(\pi_T(\mathcal{F}_{K_q}(a))).
$$

Remark 6.2. In the rest of this paper, we extensively use Sweedler notation. Since one considers both  $A(K_a)$  and  $A(\widehat{K_a})$ , this can be confusing. The convention is as follows. If we write  $f \in \mathcal{A}(H_q)$  or  $f \in \mathcal{D}(H_q)$  (where  $H_q = G_q$ ,  $K_q$ ,  $\widehat{K_q}$ , T or  $A_q$ ) then  $f_{(1)} \otimes f_{(2)}$ always refers to the coproduct of  $\mathcal{A}(G_q)$ .

<span id="page-24-0"></span>**Lemma 6.3.** *Let*  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_g})$ *. We have* 

$$
\pi_T(f_{(2)}) \otimes f_{(1)} = \pi_T(f)_{(2)} \otimes \hat{\pi}_T(\pi_T(f)_{(1)}).
$$

*In particular, this means that the map*  $\mathcal{A}(A_q) \to M(\mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q}) \otimes \mathcal{A}(A_q))$  *given by*  $\pi_T(f) \mapsto$  $f_{(1)} \otimes \pi_T(f_{(2)})$  is well defined.

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{K}_q)$ . On the one hand, we have

$$
\pi_T(g * f) = \pi_T(f_{(2)})\phi_{\widehat{K}_q}(S^{-1}(f_{(1)})g) = \pi_T(f_{(2)})(g, S^{-1}(f_{(1)})).
$$

And, on the other hand,

$$
\pi_T(g) * \pi_T(f) = \pi_T(f)_{(2)} \phi_{A_q}(S^{-1}(\pi_T(f)_{(1)}) \pi_T(g))
$$
  
=  $\pi_T(f)_{(2)}(g, \hat{\pi}_T(S^{-1}(\pi_T(f)_{(1)})))$   
=  $\pi_T(f)_{(2)}(g, S^{-1}(\hat{\pi}_T(\pi_T(f)_{(1)}))).$ 

One can thus identify the legs and we obtain

$$
\pi_T(f_{(2)}) \otimes f_{(1)} = \pi_T(f)_{(2)} \otimes \hat{\pi}_T(\pi_T(f)_{(1)}).
$$

We denote by  $\alpha_{A_q}: A(A_q) \to M(A(\widehat{K_q})) \otimes A(A_q)$  the  $A(\widehat{K_q})$  coaction we obtain on  $\mathcal{A}(A_q)$ . That is, for  $h \in \mathcal{A}(A_q)$  we have

$$
\alpha_{A_q}(h) = \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)},
$$

and for  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q})$  one can also write

$$
\alpha_{A_q}(\pi_T(f)) = f_{(1)} \otimes \pi_T(f_{(2)}).
$$

#### 6.3. The parabolic induction module

The goal here is to build a Hilbert module which implements the parabolic induction functor. We define this module in this section as a balanced tensor product  $\mathcal{E}(G_q) \otimes_{C^*(B_q)}$  $C^*(L_q)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}(G_q)$  is the induction module built from the closed quantum subgroup  $B_q$  and where we note  $L_q = T \times A_q$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** *The linear map* (id  $\otimes \pi_T$ ) :  $\mathfrak{D}(B_q) \to \mathfrak{D}(L_q)$  *is a* \*-*Hopf homomorphism.* 

*Proof.* We first show that  $(id \otimes \hat{\pi}_T) : A(L_q) \to M(A(B_q))$  is a  $*$ -Hopf homomorphism, then we conclude with a duality argument. Before we start, we recall that

$$
\widehat{\pi}_T : \mathcal{A}(A_q) \to M(\mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q}))
$$

is a Hopf  $*$ -morphism. We have that

$$
\Delta_{B_q}(a\otimes f) = a_{(1)} \otimes \omega_{ii}^{\sigma} f_{(1)} \omega_{rr}^{\nu} \otimes \pi_T(u_{ii}^{\sigma} S(u_{rr}^{\nu})) a_{(2)} \otimes f_{(2)},
$$

for all  $a \otimes f \in \mathcal{A}(B_q)$ . Let  $a \otimes h \in \mathcal{A}(L_q)$ . We have, on the one hand,

$$
((\mathrm{id} \otimes \hat{\pi}_T) \otimes (\mathrm{id} \otimes \hat{\pi}_T))(\Delta_{L_q}(a \otimes h)) = a_{(1)} \otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(2)}).
$$

And, on the other hand,

$$
\Delta_{B_q}\big(a\otimes\widehat{\pi}_T(h)\big)=a_{(1)}\otimes\omega_{ii}^{\sigma}\widehat{\pi}_T(h_{(1)})\omega_{rr}^{\nu}\otimes\pi_T\big(u_{ii}^{\sigma}S(u_{rr}^{\nu})\big)a_{(2)}\otimes\widehat{\pi}_T(h_{(2)}),
$$

and since  $\hat{\pi}_T$  maps  $\mathcal{A}(A_q)$  into the set of diagonal elements of  $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q})$ , we obtain

$$
\Delta_{B_q}(a \otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h)) = a_{(1)} \otimes \omega_{ii}^{\sigma} \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(1)}) \otimes \pi_T(u_{ii}^{\sigma} S(u_{ii}^{\sigma})) a_{(2)} \otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(2)})
$$
  
=  $a_{(1)} \otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(2)}).$ 

Thus (id  $\otimes \hat{\pi}_T$ ) is compatible with the coproducts. The \*-algebra structure of  $\mathcal{A}(B_q)$  is not twisted so there is no difficulty to see that (id  $\otimes \hat{\pi}_T$ ) is a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism. To conclude, we just notice that since the pairing between  $\mathcal{D}(B_q)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(B_q)$  is defined leg by leg, it is clear that the dual morphism of id  $\otimes \hat{\pi}_T$  is id  $\otimes \pi_T$ .

Let  $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbf{P} \times t_q^*$ . One can build the one-dimensional representation of  $L_q$  on  $\mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda} = \mathbb{C}_{\mu} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$  via

$$
(\tau \otimes h) \cdot 1 = \phi_T(e^{-\mu} \tau) \phi_{A_q}(K_{-\lambda}h),
$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{D}(A_q)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}(L_q)$  is essential, we have  $\mathcal{D}(L_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(L_q)} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda} \cong$  $\mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$ . Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{D}(L_q)$  is a left  $\mathcal{D}(B_q)$ -module, one can consider the action of  $\mathcal{D}(B_q)$  on  $\mathcal{D}(L_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(L_q)} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$ , which happens to be exactly the character of  $B_q$ associated to  $(\mu, \lambda)$ , according to the previous lemma. In particular, this shows that such a character can be factorized through the morphism (id  $\otimes \pi_T$ ):  $\mathcal{D}(B_q) \to \mathcal{D}(L_q)$ .

We now confirm that the classical definition of a parabolically induced representation agrees with the general induction method we developed.

 $\blacksquare$ 

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let*  $a \otimes f \in \mathcal{A}(G_a)$ *. We have* 

$$
(a \otimes f)_{(1)} \otimes (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T)((a \otimes f)_{(2)}) = a_{(1)} \otimes f_{(1)} \otimes (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T)(a_{(2)} \otimes f_{(2)}),
$$

*where*  $(a \otimes f)_{(1)} \otimes (a \otimes f)_{(2)}$  *refers to the coproduct of*  $\mathcal{A}(G_q)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $a \otimes f \in \mathcal{A}(G_q)$ . We have

$$
(a \otimes f)_{(1)} \otimes (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T) ((a \otimes f)_{(2)})
$$
  
=  $a_{(1)} \otimes \omega_{ij}^{\sigma} f_{(1)} \omega_{rs}^{\nu} \otimes \pi_T (S(u_{ij}^{\sigma}) a_{(2)} u_{rs}^{\nu}) \otimes \pi_T (f_{(2)})$   
=  $a_{(1)} \otimes \omega_{ii}^{\sigma} f_{(1)} \omega_{rr}^{\nu} \otimes \pi_T (u_{ii}^{\sigma} S(u_{rr}^{\nu})) \pi_T (a_{(2)}) \otimes \pi_T (f_{(2)})$   

$$
\stackrel{\text{(*)}{=} a_{(1)} \otimes \omega_{ii}^{\sigma} \hat{\pi}_T (\pi_T (f_{(1)})) \omega_{rr}^{\nu} \otimes \pi_T (u_{ii}^{\sigma} S(u_{rr}^{\nu})) \pi_T (a_{(2)}) \otimes \pi_T (f_{(2)})
$$
  
=  $a_{(1)} \otimes f_{(1)} \otimes \pi_T (a_{(2)}) \otimes \pi_T (f_{(2)}),$ 

where at equality  $(*)$  we used Lemma [6.3.](#page-24-0)

We now consider the  $\mathcal{D}(B_q)$ -inner product on  $\mathcal{D}(G_q)$ , given by Proposition [4.3.](#page-10-1) According to [\[25,](#page-33-4) Lemma 4.17], we have  $\delta_{B_q} = 1 \otimes K_{-4\rho}$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let*  $a \otimes f$ ,  $b \otimes g \in \mathcal{D}(G_q)$ *. We have* 

$$
(\mathrm{id}\otimes \pi_T)\big(\langle a\otimes f, b\otimes g\rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(B_q)}\big)=\pi_T(a^**b)\otimes \pi_T(f^**g)K_{-2\rho}.
$$

*Proof.*  $a \otimes f$ ,  $b \otimes g \in \mathcal{D}(G_q)$ . Using Remark [4.4,](#page-10-2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}\n(\text{id}\otimes \pi_T)\big(\langle a\otimes f, b\otimes g\rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(B_q)}\big) \\
&= (\text{id}\otimes \pi_T)\big(\phi_{G_q}\big(\overline{(a\otimes f)}(b\otimes g)_{(1)}\big)\big(\pi_T\otimes \text{id}\big)\big((b\otimes g)_{(2)}\big)(1\otimes K_{-2\rho})\big) \\
&= \phi_{G_q}\big(\overline{(a\otimes f)}(b\otimes g)_{(1)}\big)\big(\pi_T\otimes \pi_T\big)\big((b\otimes g)_{(2)}\big)(1\otimes K_{-2\rho}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{(4)}}{=} \phi_{G_q}\big(\overline{(a\otimes f)}(b_{(1)}\otimes g_{(1)})\big)\pi_T(b_{(2)})\otimes \pi_T(g_{(2)})(1\otimes K_{-2\rho}) \\
&= \pi_T(a^*\ast b)\otimes \pi_T(f^*\ast g)K_{-2\rho},\n\end{aligned}
$$

where for the equality (\*) we use the previous lemma, and that the involution on  $\mathcal{A}(G_q)$  is leg-wise. For the last line, we simply use that  $\phi_{G_q} = \phi_{K_q} \otimes \psi_{\widehat{K_q}}$  and identify convolutions on each leg on each leg.

**Proposition 6.7.** *The unitary representations*  $\mathfrak{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}(B_q)} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$  and  $\text{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$  of  $\mathfrak{D}(G_q)$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.* We consider the map  $\Psi$  such that

$$
\Psi : \mathfrak{D}(G_q) \to \operatorname{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}
$$
  

$$
(a \otimes f) \mapsto a * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}) \otimes \phi_{\widehat{K}_q}(fK_{-\lambda-2\rho})K_{\lambda+2\rho}.
$$

We will show that this map is surjective, intertwines the  $\mathcal{A}(G_q)$  coactions, and descends to the balanced tensor product  $\mathcal{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(B_q)} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$ . Let  $a \otimes f \in \mathcal{D}(G_q)$ . We first show that  $a * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}) \otimes \phi_{\widehat{K}_q}(fK_{-\lambda+2\rho})K_{\lambda+2\rho}$  belongs to  $\text{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$ . It is enough to show<br>that  $(\text{id} \otimes \pi_T)(\Lambda_{K_q}(a * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}))) = (a * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu})) \otimes e^{\mu}$ . For this since  $e^{\mu}$  is group-like that  $(id \otimes \pi_T)(\Delta_{K_q}(a \ast \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}))) = (a \ast \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu})) \otimes e^{\mu}$ . For this, since  $e^{\mu}$  is group-like we have

$$
(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_T)(\Delta_{K_q}(a * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}))) = \phi_T(e^{-\mu} \pi_T(a_{(3)}))a_{(1)} \otimes \pi_T(a_{(2)})
$$
  
=  $\phi_T(e^{-\mu} \pi_T(a_{(2)}))a_{(1)} \otimes e^{\mu}$ .

Next, let  $a \otimes f$ ,  $b \otimes g$  be in  $\mathcal{D}(G_a)$  and consider the elements  $[a \otimes f \otimes 1]$ ,  $[b \otimes g \otimes 1]$ of  $\mathcal{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(B_q)} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$ . We have

$$
\langle [(a \otimes f) \otimes 1], [(b \otimes g) \otimes 1] \rangle = \langle a \otimes f, b \otimes g \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B_q)} \cdot 1
$$
  
=  $(id \otimes \pi_T) (\langle a \otimes f, b \otimes g \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B_q)}) \cdot 1$   
=  $(\pi_T(a^* * b) \otimes \pi_T(f^* * g)K_{-2\rho}) \cdot 1$   
=  $\phi_T (\pi_T(a^* * b)e^{-\mu}) \phi_{\widehat{K}_q} ((f^* * g)K_{-2\rho - \lambda})$   
=  $\phi_T (\pi_T(a^* * b)e^{-\mu}) \phi_{\widehat{K}_q} (f^*K_{-2\rho - \lambda}) \phi_{\widehat{K}_q} (gK_{-2\rho - \lambda}),$ 

where at the last line we used that  $\phi_{\widehat{K}_q}(x * y) = \phi_{\widehat{K}_q}(x)\phi_{\widehat{K}_q}(y)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{K}_q)$ . Note also that also that

$$
\phi_{\widehat{K}_q}(f^*K_{-2\rho-\lambda}) = (K_{-2\rho-\lambda}^*f^*)
$$

since  $K_{-2\rho-\lambda}$  is self-adjoint and  $\sigma_{\widehat{K}_q}(K_{-2\rho-\lambda}) = K_{-2\rho-\lambda}$ . For the calculation on the right-hand side, we will use that  $(\rho^{\mu})^* = \rho^{\mu}$  and that  $\rho^{\mu} * \rho^{\mu} = \rho^{\mu}$ . We also use Lemma right-hand side, we will use that  $(e^{\mu})^* = e^{\mu}$  and that  $e^{\mu} * e^{\mu} = e^{\mu}$ . We also use Lemma [2.19.](#page-5-1) We have

$$
\langle a * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}) \otimes K_{\lambda+2\rho}, b * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}) \otimes K_{\lambda+2\rho} \rangle = \langle a * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}), b * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}) \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= \epsilon_{K_q} ((a * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}))^* * b * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}))
$$
  
\n
$$
= \epsilon_T (\pi_T(\hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu})^* * a^*)) * (b * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}))
$$
  
\n
$$
= \epsilon_T(e^{\mu} * \pi_T(a^* * b) * e^{\mu})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \epsilon_T(\pi_T(a^* * b) * (e^{\mu})^*)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \phi_T(\pi_T(a^* * b)e^{-\mu})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \phi_T(\pi_T(a^* * b)e^{-\mu}).
$$

This then shows that  $\Psi$  descends to a unitary map on the balanced tensor product

$$
\mathfrak{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}(B_q)} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda} \to \mathrm{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}.
$$

To conclude, we show that  $\Psi$  is surjective. To this end, we first notice that  $\text{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$ is spanned by elements of type  $a \otimes K_{\lambda+2\rho}$  for  $a \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mu,\lambda})$ , where  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mu,\lambda})$  is defined in [\[25,](#page-33-4) Section 6.4.2]. This follows from the fact that the map ext :  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mu,\lambda}) \to \text{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$ from [\[25,](#page-33-4) Lemma 6.18] is an isomorphism and we have  $ext(a) = a \otimes K_{\lambda+2\rho}$  for all  $a \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mu,\lambda})$ . Let then  $a \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mu,\lambda})$ . We have that  $a * \hat{\pi}_T(e^{\mu}) = a$ ; thus the element  $a \otimes \epsilon_{K_q} \otimes 1$  of  $\mathcal{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(B_q)} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}$  is an antecedent of  $a \otimes K_{\lambda+2\rho}$ .

One can now consider the  $\mathcal{D}(L_q)$ -inner product module  $\mathcal{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(B_q)} \mathcal{D}(L_q)$  and we have

$$
\mathfrak{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}(B_q)} \mathfrak{D}(L_q) \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}(L_q)} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda} \cong \mathfrak{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}(B_q)} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}.
$$

As a consequence,  $\mathcal{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(B_q)} \mathcal{D}(L_q)$  is the parabolic induction module.

#### 6.4. Geometric presentation of the induction module

We consider the linear space

$$
\mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q) = \mathcal{A}(K_q) \otimes \mathcal{A}(A_q),
$$

equipped with its natural structure of untwisted  $*$ -algebra. We endow  $\mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)$  with a left  $\mathcal{A}(G_q)$ -coaction given, for  $a \otimes h \in \mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)$ , by

$$
\Delta_{G_q/N_q}(a\otimes h)=W_{32}^{-1}(\Delta_{K_q}(a)\otimes \alpha_{A_q}(h))W_{32}\in M(\mathcal{A}(G_q))\otimes \mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q),
$$

where the coaction  $\alpha_{A_q}$  is defined after Lemma [6.3.](#page-24-0) Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\widehat{K_q})$ . We have

$$
\Delta_{G_q/N_q}(a\otimes \pi_T(f))=W_{32}^{-1}(a_{(1)}\otimes f_{(1)}\otimes a_{(2)}\otimes \pi_T(f_{(2)}))W_{32}.
$$

From this we see that  $\Delta_{G_q/N_q}(a \otimes \pi_T(f)) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_T)(\Delta_{G_q}(a \otimes f))$  and it directly follows that the map  $\Delta_{G_q/N_q}$  is coassociative. This remark also implies the next proposition.

<span id="page-28-1"></span>**Proposition 6.8.** *The map* id  $\otimes \pi_T : \mathcal{A}(G_q) \to \mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)$  *intertwines the left-* $\mathcal{A}(G_q)$ *coactions where*  $A(G_q)$  *is considered with its natural comodule structure given by the coproduct.*

We now define a right  $\mathcal{A}(L_q)$ -coaction on  $\mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)$ , denoted by  $\Delta'_{G_q/N_q}$ . For  $a \otimes h \in$  $\mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)$ , we set

$$
\Delta'_{G_q/N_q}(a\otimes h)=a_{(1)}\otimes h_{(1)}\otimes \pi_T(a_{(2)})\otimes h_{(2)}\in \mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)\otimes \mathcal{A}(L_q).
$$

<span id="page-28-0"></span>**Proposition 6.9.** *The coactions*  $\Delta'_{G_q/N_q}$  *and*  $\Delta_{G_q/N_q}$  *commute.* 

*Proof.* We first claim that we have

(id  $\otimes \hat{\pi}_T \otimes \text{id} \otimes \hat{\pi}_T$ )( $\Delta'_c$  $G_{q/N_q}(a\otimes h)$  = (id  $\otimes$  id  $\otimes \pi_T \otimes$  id) $[\Delta_{G_q}(a\otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h))]$ . We calculate

$$
(\mathrm{id}\otimes \mathrm{id}\otimes \pi_T\otimes \mathrm{id})\big[\Delta_{G_q}\big(a\otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h)\big)\big]
$$
  
=  $a_{(1)}\otimes \omega_{ij}^\sigma \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(1)})\omega_{rs}^\nu\otimes \pi_T\big(S(u_{ij}^\sigma)a_{(2)}u_{rs}^\nu\big)\otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(2)})$   
=  $a_{(1)}\otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(1)})\otimes \pi_T(a_{(2)})\otimes \hat{\pi}_T(h_{(2)})$   
=  $(\mathrm{id}\otimes \hat{\pi}_T\otimes \mathrm{id}\otimes \hat{\pi}_T)\big(\Delta'_{G_q/N_q}(a\otimes h)\big).$ 

We have also that

$$
(\mathrm{id}\otimes \mathrm{id}\otimes \mathrm{id}\otimes\widehat{\pi})\big(\Delta_{G_q/N_q}(a\otimes h)\big)=\Delta_{G_q}\big(a\otimes \widehat{\pi}(h)\big).
$$

Now we can prove the proposition. First, we rewrite above equalities using the leg notation (we will write  $\pi$  and  $\hat{\pi}$  instead of  $\pi_T$  and  $\hat{\pi}_T$ )

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n(\widehat{\pi}\otimes\widehat{\pi})_{24}\circ\Delta'_{G_q/N_q}=\pi_3\circ\Delta_{G_q}\circ\widehat{\pi}_2,\\
\widehat{\pi}_4\circ\Delta_{G_q/N_q}=\Delta_{G_q}\circ\widehat{\pi}_2.\n\end{array}
$$

Now observe that we have, on the one hand,

$$
(\hat{\pi} \otimes \hat{\pi})_{46} \circ (\Delta'_{G_q/N_q})_{34} \circ \Delta_{G_q/N_q} = ((\hat{\pi} \otimes \hat{\pi})_{24} \circ \Delta'_{G_q/N_q})_{34} \circ \Delta_{G_q/N_q}
$$
  
=  $(\pi_3 \circ \Delta_{G_q} \circ \hat{\pi}_2)_{34} \circ \Delta_{G_q/N_q}$   
=  $\pi_5 \circ (\Delta_{G_q})_{34} \circ \Delta_{G_q} \circ \hat{\pi}_2$ 

and, on the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\pi} \otimes \widehat{\pi})_{46} \circ (\Delta_{G_q/N_q})_{12} \circ \Delta'_{G_q/N_q} &= (\Delta_{G_q})_{12} \circ (\widehat{\pi} \otimes \widehat{\pi})_{24} \circ \Delta'_{G_q/N_q} \\ &= (\Delta_{G_q})_{12} \circ \pi_3 \circ \Delta_{G_q} \circ \widehat{\pi}_2 \\ &= \pi_5 \circ (\Delta_{G_q})_{12} \circ \Delta_{G_q} \circ \widehat{\pi}_2 \end{aligned}
$$

and we conclude the proof using the coassociativity of  $\Delta_{G_q}$  and injectivity of  $\hat{\pi}_T$ .

Observe now  $\mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q) = \mathcal{D}(K_q) \otimes \mathcal{D}(A_q)$  as a linear space. On the one hand,  $\mathcal{D}(K_q)$  can be considered as a  $\mathcal{D}(T)$ -inner product module, since T is a closed quantum subgroup of  $K_q$ . On the other hand,  $K_{2\rho}$  is a self-adjoint and group-like element of  $M(A(A_q))$ ; thus  $\mathcal{D}(A_q)$  has a structure of  $\mathcal{D}(A_q)$ -inner product module with right action

$$
h \cdot l = h * (lK_{2\rho}),
$$

and the sesquilinear map defined by

$$
\langle h, k \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(A_q)} = (h^* * k) K_{-2\rho},
$$

for all  $h, k, l \in \mathcal{D}(A_q)$ . One can thus endow  $\mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q) = \mathcal{D}(K_q) \otimes \mathcal{D}(A_q)$  with the structure of a  $(\mathcal{D}(T) \otimes \mathcal{D}(A_q))$ -inner product module induced by the tensor product. Let  $a \otimes h, b \otimes k \in \mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)$ , and  $\tau \otimes l \in \mathcal{D}(L_q)$ . We have

$$
\langle a \otimes h, b \otimes k \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(L_q)} = \pi_T(a^* * b) \otimes (h^* * k)K_{-2\rho},
$$
  

$$
(a \otimes k) \cdot (\tau \otimes l) = a * \hat{\pi}_T(\tau) \otimes k * (lK_{2\rho}).
$$

**Lemma 6.10.** The left action of  $\mathfrak{D}(K_q)$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)$  induced by  $\Delta_{G_q/N_q}$  commutes *with the right*  $D(L_q)$  *action.* 

*Proof.* This is almost equivalent to Proposition [6.9.](#page-28-0) Observe that if one precomposes the right  $\mathcal{D}(L_q)$  action by the \*-algebra homomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}(L_q)$  given by  $x \mapsto x(1 \otimes K_{2q})$ , we obtain exactly the action induced by the coaction  $\Delta'_{G_q/N_q}$ . Е

Proposition 6.11. *The map defined by*

$$
\Phi: \mathcal{D}(G_q) \otimes \mathcal{D}(L_q) \to \mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)
$$
  
( $a \otimes f$ )  $\otimes (\tau \otimes h) \mapsto (a \otimes \pi_T(f)) \cdot (\tau \otimes h)$ 

*is a*  $\mathcal{D}(L_q)$ -linear map which intertwines the left action of  $\mathcal{D}(G_q)$  and descends to a *unitary isomorphism on the balanced tensor product*  $\mathcal{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(B_q)} \mathcal{D}(L_q)$ *.* 

*Proof.* The  $\mathcal{D}(L_q)$ -linearity of  $\Phi$  is immediate from the definition since the right  $\mathcal{D}(L_q)$ action on  $A(G_q/N_q)$  is associative. The intertwining property directly follows from Propo-sition [6.8](#page-28-1) and the previous proposition. Let  $(a \otimes f) \otimes (\tau \otimes h)$  and  $(b \otimes g) \otimes (\zeta \otimes k)$  be in  $\mathcal{D}(G_q) \otimes \mathcal{D}(L_q)$  and consider the elements  $[(a \otimes f) \otimes (\tau \otimes h)]$  and  $[(b \otimes g) \otimes (\zeta \otimes k)]$ of the balanced tensor product  $\mathcal{D}(G_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(B_q)} \mathcal{D}(L_q)$ . We have

$$
\langle [(a \otimes f) \otimes (\tau \otimes h)], [(b \otimes g) \otimes (\zeta \otimes k)] \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(L_q)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle (\tau \otimes h), (\overline{a} \otimes f, b \otimes g) \mathfrak{D}(B_q) \cdot (\zeta \otimes k) \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(L_q)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle (\tau \otimes h), (\pi_T(a^* * b) \otimes \pi_T(f^* * g)K_{-2\rho}) * (\zeta \otimes k) \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(L_q)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle (\tau \otimes h), (\pi_T(a^* * b) * \zeta) \otimes (\pi_T(f^* * g)K_{-2\rho}) * k \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(L_q)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= (\tau^* * \pi_T(a^* * b) * \zeta) \otimes (h^* * \pi_T((f^* * g)K_{-2\rho}) * k)
$$
  
\n
$$
= (\pi_T(a * \hat{\pi}_T(\tau))^* * b * \hat{\pi}_T(\zeta)) \otimes (h^* * \pi_T(f^* * g)K_{-2\rho} * k)
$$
  
\n
$$
= (\pi_T(a * \hat{\pi}_T(\tau))^* * b * \hat{\pi}_T(\zeta)) \otimes (h^* * \pi_T(f)^*K_{2\rho} * \pi_T(g)K_{2\rho} * k)K_{-2\rho}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle (a \otimes \pi_T(f)) \cdot (\tau \otimes h), (b \otimes \pi_T(g)) \cdot (\zeta \otimes k) \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(L_q)}.
$$

Thus the map  $\Psi$  descends to a unitary map on the balanced tensor product. With regard to the surjectivity, it is enough to observe that the right  $\mathcal{D}(L_q)$ -action on  $\mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)$  is essential.  $\blacksquare$ 

The following theorem is now immediate.

<span id="page-30-0"></span>**Theorem 6.12.** *The pre-Hilbert*  $\mathcal{D}(L_q)$ *-module*  $\mathcal{A}(G_q/N_q)$  *can be completed into a Hilbert*  $C^*(L_q)$ -module  $\mathcal{E}(G_q/N_q)$  and we have

$$
\mathcal{E}(G_q/N_q) \cong \mathcal{E}(G_q) \otimes_{C^*(B_q)} C^*(L_q)
$$

as  $G_q$ -representations. The tensor product  $\mathcal{E}(G_q/N_q) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^*(L_q)} -$  defines a functor from the category of unitary  $C^*(L_q)$ -representations to the category of unitary  $C^*_u(G_q)$ -repre*sentations which coincides with parabolic induction.*

By the Fourier transform, we have

$$
C^*(L_q) \cong C_0(\widehat{L_q}) = C_0(\mathbf{P} \times T),
$$

such that the characters of  $C^*(L_q)$  become the evaluation maps

$$
\mathrm{ev}_{(\mu,\lambda)}:C_0(\mathbf{P}\times T)\to\mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}.
$$

According to [\[25,](#page-33-4) Theorem 7.1] we have

$$
C_r^*(G_q) \cong C_0(\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{t}_q^*, \mathcal{K}(H))^{W},
$$

where  $H$  is a countable dimensional Hilbert space, and the action of the Weyl group  $W$  is a lifting of its action by reflections on  $P \times t_q^*$  to an action on the bundle of  $C^*$ -algebras. More precisely, the Hilbert space H at the parameter  $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbf{P} \times t_q^*$  is identified with the parabolically induced representation of  $G_q$ ,

$$
H = H_{\mu,\lambda} = \operatorname{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda} \cong H_{\mu} = \operatorname{Ind}_T^{K_q} \mathbb{C}_{\mu}
$$

$$
= \overline{\{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(K_q) \mid \Delta(\xi) = \xi \otimes e^{\mu}\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^2(K_q)}}.
$$

which is a trivial Hilbert bundle on each connected component  $\{\mu\} \times t_q^*$  of the parameter space. The action of  $W$  is via intertwiners of principal series representations. In this way, we have

$$
C_r^*(G_q) = \left(\Re\left(\bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathbf{P}} C_0(\mathsf{t}_q^*, H_\mu)\right)\right)^W,
$$

where  $\Re$  denotes compact operators on the right Hilbert  $C_0(\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{t}_q^*)$ -module.

By Theorem [6.12,](#page-30-0) we have

$$
H_{\mu,\lambda} \cong \mathcal{E}(G_q) \otimes_{C^*(B_q)} \mathbb{C}_{\mu,\lambda}
$$

as left  $C^*_{u}(G_q)$ -module. Therefore,

$$
C_0(\mathsf{t}_q^*, H_\mu) \cong \mathcal{E}(G_q/N_q) \otimes_{C^*(L_q)} C_0(\mathsf{t}_q^*)_\mu
$$

as left  $C_u^*(G_q)$ -module and right  $C_0(\mathfrak{t}_q^*)$ -Hilbert module, where  $C_0(\mathfrak{t}_q^*)_\mu$  denotes  $C_0(\mathfrak{t}_q^*)$ equipped with the left action of  $C^*(L_q) = C^*(T) \hat{\otimes} C^*(A_q) = C_0(\mathbf{P}) \hat{\otimes} C_0(\mathbf{t}_q^*)$  such that  $C_0(\mathfrak{t}_q^*)$  acts by pointwise multiplication and  $C_0(\mathbf{P})$  acts by evaluation at  $\mu$ . We thus obtain

$$
\bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathbf{P}} C_0(\mathsf{t}_q^*, H_\mu) = \mathcal{E}(G_q/N_q) \otimes_{C^*(L_q)} C_0(\mathbf{P} \times \mathsf{t}_q^*) = \mathcal{E}(G_q/N_q).
$$

We have therefore proven the following result.

Corollary 6.13. *Let* G<sup>q</sup> *be a complex semi-simple quantum group. Then*

$$
C_r^*(G_q) \cong \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E}(G_q/N_q))^{W},
$$

*where* K *indicates the algebra of compact operators in the sense of Hilbert modules.*

In the classical case, this result has been first obtained in [\[26\]](#page-33-11) and reformulated in [\[3\]](#page-32-4) with the Rieffel induction framework.

### **References**

- <span id="page-32-3"></span>[1] Y. Arano, Unitary spherical representations of Drinfeld doubles. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 742 (2018), 157–186 Zbl [1396.81117](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1396.81117&format=complete) MR [3849625](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3849625)
- <span id="page-32-13"></span>[2] P. Clare, Hilbert modules associated to parabolically induced representations. *J. Operator Theory* 69 (2013), no. 2, 483–509 Zbl [1289.46084](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1289.46084&format=complete) MR [3053351](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3053351)
- <span id="page-32-4"></span>[3] P. Clare, T. Crisp, and N. Higson, Parabolic induction and restriction via  $C^*$ -algebras and Hilbert C<sup>\*</sup>-modules. *Compos. Math.* **152** (2016), no. 6, 1286–1318 Zbl [1346.22005](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1346.22005&format=complete) MR [3518312](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3518312)
- <span id="page-32-12"></span>[4] M. Daws, P. Kasprzak, A. Skalski, and P. M. Sołtan, Closed quantum subgroups of locally compact quantum groups. *Adv. Math.* 231 (2012), no. 6, 3473–3501 Zbl [1275.46057](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1275.46057&format=complete) MR [2980506](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2980506)
- <span id="page-32-6"></span>[5] K. De Commer and A. Van Daele, Multiplier Hopf algebras imbedded in locally compact quantum groups. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 40 (2010), no. 4, 1149–1182 Zbl [1226.16023](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1226.16023&format=complete) MR [2718809](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2718809)
- <span id="page-32-9"></span>[6] H. Hogbe-Nlend, *Bornologies and Functional Analysis: Introductory Course on the Theory of Duality Topology-Bornology and its use in Functional Analysis*. North-Holland Math. Stud. 26, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977 Zbl [0359.46004](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0359.46004&format=complete) MR [0500064](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0500064)
- <span id="page-32-2"></span>[7] M. Kalantar, P. Kasprzak, A. Skalski, and P. M. Sołtan, Induction for locally compact quantum groups revisited. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 150 (2020), no. 2, 1071–1093 Zbl [1446.46050](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1446.46050&format=complete) MR [4080471](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4080471)
- <span id="page-32-1"></span>[8] J. Kustermans, Induced corepresentations of locally compact quantum groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* 194 (2002), no. 2, 410–459 Zbl [1038.46057](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1038.46057&format=complete) MR [1934609](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1934609)
- <span id="page-32-7"></span>[9] J. Kustermans, The analytic structure of algebraic quantum groups. *J. Algebra* 259 (2003), no. 2, 415–450 Zbl [1034.46064](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1034.46064&format=complete) MR [1955527](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1955527)
- <span id="page-32-5"></span>[10] J. Kustermans and S. Vaes, Locally compact quantum groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 33 (2000), no. 6, 837–934 Zbl [1034.46508](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1034.46508&format=complete) MR [1832993](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1832993)
- <span id="page-32-8"></span>[11] J. Kustermans and A. van Daele,  $C^*$ -algebraic quantum groups arising from algebraic quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.* 8 (1997), no. 8, 1067–1139 Zbl [1009.46038](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1009.46038&format=complete) MR [1484867](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1484867)
- <span id="page-32-11"></span>[12] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C*\*-Modules: A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995 Zbl [0822.46080](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0822.46080&format=complete) MR [1325694](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1325694)
- <span id="page-32-0"></span>[13] G. W. Mackey, Induced representations of locally compact groups. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 55 (1952), 101–139 Zbl [0046.11601](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0046.11601&format=complete) MR [44536](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=44536)
- <span id="page-32-10"></span>[14] R. Meyer, Bornological versus topological analysis in metrizable spaces. In *Banach Algebras and their Applications*, pp. 249–278, Contemp. Math. 363, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004 Zbl [1081.46004](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1081.46004&format=complete) MR [2097966](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2097966)
- <span id="page-33-9"></span>[15] R. Meyer, Smooth group representations on bornological vector spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 128 (2004), no. 2, 127–166 Zbl [1037.22011](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1037.22011&format=complete) MR [2039113](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2039113)
- <span id="page-33-6"></span>[16] A. Monk and C. Voigt, Complex quantum groups and a deformation of the Baum–Connes assembly map. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 371 (2019), no. 12, 8849–8877 Zbl [1472.46074](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1472.46074&format=complete) MR [3955567](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3955567)
- <span id="page-33-10"></span>[17] P. Podles and S. L. Woronowicz, Quantum deformation of Lorentz group. ´ *Comm. Math. Phys.* 130 (1990), no. 2, 381–431 Zbl [0703.22018](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0703.22018&format=complete) MR [1059324](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1059324)
- <span id="page-33-5"></span>[18] W. Pusz and S. L. Woronowicz, Representations of quantum Lorentz group on Gelfand spaces. *Rev. Math. Phys.* 12 (2000), no. 12, 1551–1625 Zbl [1079.81538](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1079.81538&format=complete) MR [1804864](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1804864)
- <span id="page-33-0"></span>[19] M. A. Rieffel, Induced representations of  $C^*$ -algebras. *Advances in Math.* **13** (1974), 176-257 Zbl [0284.46040](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0284.46040&format=complete) MR [353003](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=353003)
- <span id="page-33-8"></span>[20] D. Rivet and R. Yuncken, Bornological quantum groups as locally compact quantum groups. 2021, arXiv[:2106.02386](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.02386)
- <span id="page-33-1"></span>[21] S. Vaes, A new approach to induction and imprimitivity results. *J. Funct. Anal.* 229 (2005), no. 2, 317–374 Zbl [1087.22005](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1087.22005&format=complete) MR [2182592](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2182592)
- <span id="page-33-3"></span>[22] A. Van Daele, An algebraic framework for group duality. *Adv. Math.* 140 (1998), no. 2, 323– 366 Zbl [0933.16043](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0933.16043&format=complete) MR [1658585](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1658585)
- <span id="page-33-2"></span>[23] C. Voigt, Bornological quantum groups. *Pacific J. Math.* 235 (2008), no. 1, 93–135 Zbl [1157.46041](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1157.46041&format=complete) MR [2379773](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2379773)
- <span id="page-33-7"></span>[24] C. Voigt and R. Yuncken, The plancherel formula for complex semisimple quantum groups. 2019, arXiv[:1906.02672](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.02672)
- <span id="page-33-4"></span>[25] C. Voigt and R. Yuncken, *Complex Semisimple Quantum Groups and Representation Theory*. Lecture Notes in Math. 2264, Springer, Cham, 2020 Zbl [07243252](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:07243252&format=complete) MR [4162277](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4162277)
- <span id="page-33-11"></span>[26] A. Wassermann, Une démonstration de la conjecture de Connes–Kasparov pour les groupes de Lie linéaires connexes réductifs. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 304 (1987), no. 18, 559–562 Zbl [0615.22011](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0615.22011&format=complete) MR [894996](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=894996)

Received 7 November 2019; revised 7 February 2022.

#### Damien Rivet

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Blaise Pascal, Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, LMBP, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France; [damien.rvt@gmail.com](mailto:damien.rvt@gmail.com)