

Compact quantum group structures on type-I C^* -algebras

Alexandru Chirvasitu, Jacek Krajczok, and Piotr M. Sołtan

Abstract. We prove a number of results having to do with equipping type-I C^* -algebras with compact quantum group structures, the two main ones being that such a compact quantum group is necessarily co-amenable, and that if the C^* -algebra in question is an extension of a non-zero finite direct sum of elementary C^* -algebras by a commutative unital C^* -algebra then it must be finite-dimensional.

Introduction

The theory of locally compact quantum groups is inextricably connected to the theory of operator algebras. In fact, paraphrasing S. L. Woronowicz [42, Section 0], any theorem on locally compact quantum groups is one on C^* -algebras. In the present paper we will focus on some of the interplay between the theory of compact quantum groups and operator algebras. Examples of such an interplay are motivated by results such as the well-known equivalence between amenability of a discrete group Γ and nuclearity of the C^* -algebra $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ ([23, Theorem 4.2]). This particular fact has been generalized to compact quantum groups (i.e., duals of discrete quantum groups, see [28, Section 3]) of Kac type by Tomatsu in [38, Corollary 1.2] and in a weakened form to all compact quantum groups in [38, Theorem 3.9] (see also [5, Theorem 3.3]). Some of these topics were pursued further, e.g., in [6, 14] in the language of quantum group actions as well as in [6, 9, 35] in the locally compact case.

The moral of the above-mentioned research activity is that one can learn about certain “group-theoretical” properties of a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} by studying purely operator theoretic properties of the C^* -algebra $C(\mathbb{G})$. Furthermore, one can often show that certain C^* -algebras do not admit a compact quantum group structure solely on the basis of some of their properties as C^* -algebras. Examples of such results are given in [32, 33] and also [34] and most recently [20]. In this last paper, the second and third author show that the C^* -algebra known as the *Toeplitz algebra* (the C^* -algebra generated by an isometry) does not admit a structure of a compact quantum group. The main tools are built out of certain direct integral decompositions available for so called *type-I* quantum groups, i.e., locally compact quantum groups whose universal quantum group C^* -algebra is of

type I with particular emphasis on C^* -algebras of type I with *discrete CCR ideal* (see Section 1).

In the present paper, the techniques of [20] are vastly generalized and applied to a number of problems. Moreover, the direct integral decompositions of representations (and other objects) are avoided. In the preliminary Section 1, we introduce our basic tools, recall certain objects such as the CCR ideal of a C^* -algebra and prove a number of lemmas concerning implementation of automorphisms on C^* -algebras with discrete CCR ideals. The main result of Section 2 is Theorem 2.8 which says that a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} with $C(\mathbb{G})$ of type I must be co-amenable ([3, Section 1]). Along the way we prove a number of results about the scaling group of a compact quantum group which allow to reprove the result of Daws ([11]) about automatic admissibility of finite-dimensional representations of any discrete quantum group (cf. [31, Section 2.2]).

In the final Section 3, we discuss compact quantum group structures on C^* -algebras which are extensions of a finite direct sum of algebras of compact operators by a commutative C^* -algebra. Examples of such C^* -algebras occur quite frequently in non-commutative geometry and include the Podleś spheres ([15, 27]), the quantum real projective plane ([19, Section 3.2]) and some weighted quantum projective space ([7, Section 3]) and many others (see Section 3). We show that such C^* -algebras do not admit any compact quantum group structure which answers several questions left open in [32, 33] and provides a number of fresh examples of naturally occurring quantum spaces with this property.

Our exposition is based on a number of standard references. Thus we refer to classic texts such as [1, 13] for all necessary background on C^* -algebras and to [26, 43] for the theory of compact quantum groups. We have tried to keep the terminology and notation consistent with recent trends and as self-explanatory as possible. In particular, for a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} we denote by $C(\mathbb{G})$ the (usually non-commutative) C^* -algebra playing the role of the algebra of continuous functions on \mathbb{G} . The symbol $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ will denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of \mathbb{G} and for a class $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ the dimension of α will be denoted by n_α . Since in the theory of compact quantum groups we allow the C^* -algebras $C(\mathbb{G})$ to be sitting strictly between the reduced and universal versions (see [3]), we will write $C_r(\mathbb{G})$ and $C_u(\mathbb{G})$ for these two distinguished completions of the canonical Hopf $*$ -algebra $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$ inside $C(\mathbb{G})$.

1. Preliminaries

All C^* -algebras are unital except when we specify otherwise, or with obvious exceptions such as the algebra of compact operators on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.

We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ the *spectrum* of the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , i.e., the set of equivalence classes of irreducible non-zero representations ([13, §2.2.1 & §2.3.2]).

For a Hilbert space H we denote by $\mathcal{K}(H)$ the algebra of compact operators on H . Furthermore, for a family $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of Hilbert spaces we set

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) := c_0 - \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{K}(H_\lambda) \tag{1.1}$$

the algebra of compact operators in

$$H = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$$

preserving that direct sum decomposition. In general, for non-unital C*-algebras \mathcal{A} , we write \mathcal{A}^+ for the minimal unitization of \mathcal{A} .

1.1. Type-I C*-algebras

We will work with *type-I* C*-algebras in the sense of [16], which provides numerous equivalent characterizations. Textbook sources are [13, Chapter 9] and [1, §1.5 and Chapters 2 and 4].

Recall, e.g., from [1, discussion preceding Definition 1.5.3] the following definition.

Definition 1.1. For a C*-algebra \mathcal{A} , the *CCR ideal* $CCR(\mathcal{A})$ is the intersection over all irreducible representations

$$\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

of the pre-images $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(H))$ of the ideal $\mathcal{K}(H)$ of compact operators on H .

In other words, $CCR(\mathcal{A})$ consists of those elements that are compact in every irreducible representation.

Definition 1.2. A (typically type-I) C*-algebra \mathcal{A} is said to have *discrete CCR ideal* if its CCR ideal $CCR(\mathcal{A})$ is of the form $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ as in (1.1) for some family $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of Hilbert spaces.

We occasionally also say \mathcal{A} is *discrete-CCR* or *CCR-discrete* for brevity, though note that this does *not* mean it is CCR!

Now let \mathcal{A} be a type-I discrete-CCR C*-algebra, with

$$CCR(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \mathcal{H} = \{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

and set

$$H := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda. \tag{1.2}$$

The ideal $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ is represented in the obvious fashion on H with each component $\mathcal{K}(H_\lambda)$ acting naturally on H_λ . This representation $\rho_0: \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ extends uniquely to a representation

$$\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

by, e.g., [1, Theorem 1.3.4].

Lemma 1.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a type-I C^* -algebra with $\text{CCR}(\mathcal{A})$ of the form (1.1). Then the representation ρ is faithful and every automorphism of \mathcal{A} is given by conjugation by some unitary $U \in \text{U}(H)$. Furthermore, if the family \mathcal{H} is a singleton, then this unitary is unique up to scaling by \mathbb{T}^1 .*

Proof. Recall from [1, pp. 14–15] that the representation ρ is constructed from

$$\rho_0: \text{CCR}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

as follows: an element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is mapped to the unique element $\rho(a)$ of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\rho(a)\rho_0(x) = \rho_0(ax)$ for all $x \in \text{CCR}(\mathcal{A})$. By construction $\rho = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \rho^\lambda$, where ρ^λ is constructed analogously from $\rho_0^\lambda: \mathcal{K}(H_\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_\lambda)$. Since each ρ_0^λ is irreducible, so is each ρ^λ ([1, Theorem 1.3.4]).

We now note that the CCR ideal $\text{CCR}(\mathcal{A})$ is essential. Indeed, $\text{CCR}(\mathcal{A})$ is the largest CCR ideal in \mathcal{A} (cf. [1, p. 24]). But every ideal in \mathcal{A} is of type I and every type-I C^* -algebra contains a non-zero CCR ideal, so any non-zero ideal of \mathcal{A} must have a non-zero intersection with $\text{CCR}(\mathcal{A})$. It follows that ρ is faithful.

Now for any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ the representation $\rho_0^\lambda \circ \alpha$ is equivalent to ρ_0^λ , so by [1, Thm. 1.3.4] ρ^λ is equivalent to $\rho^\lambda \circ \alpha$ (because for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in \text{CCR}(\mathcal{A})$ we have $(\rho^\lambda \circ \alpha)(a)(\rho_0^\lambda \circ \alpha)(x) = (\rho_0^\lambda \circ \alpha)(ax)$). For each λ let U_λ be a unitary implementing the equivalence. Then $U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$ implements equivalence between $\rho \circ \alpha$ and ρ .

As for uniqueness, it follows from the fact that when $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a singleton the representation ρ is irreducible, and hence the only self-intertwiners of ρ are the scalars. ■

Of more interest to us, however, will be one-parameter automorphism groups (where we can also recover some measure of uniqueness).

Lemma 1.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a type-I C^* -algebra with $\text{CCR}(\mathcal{A})$ of the form (1.1). A one-parameter automorphism group $(\alpha_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ of \mathcal{A} is given by conjugation by a one-parameter unitary group*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni s \mapsto U_s \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{U}(H_\lambda) \subset \text{U}(H),$$

preserving the decomposition (1.2), unique up to scaling by an individual character $\chi_\lambda: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ on each H_λ .

Proof. Every automorphism of \mathcal{A} will permute the summands $\mathcal{K}(H_\lambda)$ of $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$, so a one-parameter group will preserve each summand by continuity. But this means that on each H_λ the automorphisms $(\alpha_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ are given by conjugation by a *projective* unitary representation ([40, Chapter VII, Section 2]) of \mathbb{R} on H_λ . Since projective representations of \mathbb{R} lift to plain unitary representations, for each s we have

$$\alpha_s|_{\mathcal{B}(H_\lambda)} = \text{conjugation by } b^{is}$$

for a possibly-unbounded positive self-adjoint non-singular operator b on H_λ . This lift is moreover unique up to multiplication by a character $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{U}(H_\lambda)$ because $\mathcal{K}(H_\lambda)$ acts irreducibly on H_λ . ■

1.2. Scaling groups

We recall the following well-known observation.

Lemma 1.5. *Let \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{H} be compact quantum groups. Any Hopf $*$ -homomorphism*

$$\phi: C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{H})$$

(i.e., a unital $*$ -homomorphism satisfying $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \circ \phi = (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta_{\mathbb{G}}$) *intertwines scaling groups, in the sense that*

$$\phi \circ \tau_s^{\mathbb{G}}(a) = \tau_s^{\mathbb{H}} \circ \phi(a), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}).$$

Proof. Assume first that $C(\mathbb{G}) = C_u(\mathbb{G})$, $C(\mathbb{H}) = C_u(\mathbb{H})$ are universal versions of the algebras of continuous functions. In this case our lemma is simply a reformulation of [25, Proposition 3.10, equation (20)].

Consider now the general case. Observe that ϕ restricts to a map $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{H})$, hence by the universal property of $C_u(\mathbb{G})$ we can extend $\phi|_{\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})}$ to a $*$ -homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: C_u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C_u(\mathbb{H})$. Clearly $\tilde{\phi}$ is a Hopf $*$ -homomorphism, hence by the above argument $\tilde{\phi}$ intertwines scaling groups. As ϕ and $\tilde{\phi}$ are equal on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$ and the canonical morphisms $C_u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{G})$, $C_u(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{H})$ intertwine scaling groups, we arrive at the claim. ■

2. Admissibility and co-amenability

Throughout the discussion we denote by \mathbb{G} a compact quantum group and by $\Gamma = \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ its discrete quantum dual. The following observation will be put to use repeatedly; it is [8, Lemma 2.3], and it follows from Lemma 1.5 upon noting that finite-dimensional representations factor through Kac quotients.

Proposition 2.1. *Every finite-dimensional representation $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_n$ of the CQG algebra $\mathcal{A} = C(\mathbb{G})$ is invariant under the scaling group $(\tau_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ of \mathbb{G} , in the sense that*

$$\rho \circ \tau_s(a) = \rho(a), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}).$$

Proposition 2.1 has a number of consequences. First, note the following generalization.

Corollary 2.2. *Let \mathcal{B} be a C^* -algebra all of whose irreducible representations are finite-dimensional and $\mathcal{A} = C(\mathbb{G})$ for a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} . Then, every morphism $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is invariant under the scaling group $(\tau_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ of \mathbb{G} .*

Proof. Indeed, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that for every irreducible representation $\pi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow M_n$ the composition $\pi \circ \rho$ is invariant under τ . The conclusion follows from the fact that the direct sum of all π is faithful on \mathcal{B} (i.e., every C^* -algebra embeds into the direct sum of its irreducible representations). ■

Secondly, we obtain the following alternative proof of [11, Corollary 6.6] or [41, Proposition 3.3] which concerns admissibility of finite-dimensional representations of discrete quantum groups. The relevant terminology is explained in [10, 11, 31]. In particular, a finite-dimensional representation U of a locally compact quantum group \mathbb{H} is *admissible* if the transpose of U (understood as a matrix of elements of the multiplier algebra of $C_0(\mathbb{H})$) is invertible.

Proposition 2.3. *Every finite-dimensional unitary representation of a discrete quantum group is admissible.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{G} be a compact quantum group and denote by Γ the dual of \mathbb{G} . Furthermore, put $\mathcal{A} := C_u(\mathbb{G})$. As explained in [28, Theorem 3.4] (cf. [22, Proposition 5.3], [36, Section 5]), a unitary representation of Γ on C^n is defined by a morphism $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_n$.

Proposition 2.1 ensures that ρ is invariant under the scaling group of \mathbb{G} . But then, by [10, Proposition 3.2 and Remark 3.4], the representation of Γ associated to ρ will be admissible. ■

Next, we have the following sufficient criterion for the co-amenability of a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} . It appears as [8, Proposition 2.5], and we include a slightly different proof here.

Proposition 2.4. *A compact quantum group \mathbb{G} is co-amenable if and only if the reduced algebra $C_r(\mathbb{G})$ admits a morphism $\rho: C_r(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow M_n$ to a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra.*

Proof. Co-amenability means the counit is bounded on $C_r(\mathbb{G})$, so only the backwards implication “ \Leftarrow ” is interesting. We know from Proposition 2.1 that ρ is invariant under the scaling group $\tau_s, s \in \mathbb{R}$, so by analytic continuation its restriction to the dense Hopf $*$ -subalgebra $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \subset C_r(\mathbb{G})$ is invariant under the squared antipode

$$S^2 = \tau_{-i}$$

(see [26, p. 32]). Once we have S^2 -invariance, co-amenability follows from [4, Theorem 4.4]. ■

Remark 2.5. Proposition 2.4 generalizes [3, Theorem 2.8], which requires the existence of a bounded *character*, and strengthens [4, Theorem 4.4] by removing the S^2 -invariance hypothesis (which is automatic).

For future reference, we also record the following description of the Kac quotient of a CQG algebra.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{A} = C(\mathbb{G})$ and $(\tau_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ the corresponding scaling group. The Kac quotient \mathcal{A}_{Kac} is precisely the largest quotient of \mathcal{A} on which τ_s acts trivially, i.e., the quotient by the ideal generated by the elements*

$$\tau_s(a) - a, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. On the one hand, since $(\tau_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a one-parameter group of CQG automorphisms (i.e., each τ_s preserves both the multiplication and the comultiplication), the quotient

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \tag{2.2}$$

by the ideal generated by (2.1) is indeed a CQG algebra. Since furthermore (2.2) intertwines scaling groups (Lemma 1.5) the scaling group of \mathcal{B} is trivial by construction and hence \mathcal{B} is Kac; this means that (2.2) factors as

$$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{Kac}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}.$$

On the other hand, the morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{Kac}}$ also intertwines scaling groups. Since its codomain has trivial scaling group, it must vanish on all elements of the form (2.1) and hence factors through \mathcal{B} . In short, the kernels of $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{Kac}}$ and (2.2) coincide. ■

Next, the goal will be to prove that compact quantum groups described by type-I C^* -algebras are co-amenable. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *A unital type-I C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} has at least one non-zero finite-dimensional irreducible representation.*

Proof. Choose a proper maximal ideal \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{A} and note that then \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} is a type-I simple unital C^* -algebra. Thus any representation of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} is faithful, and there exists an irreducible one, say $\phi: \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$. The range of ϕ contains $\mathcal{K}(H)$, and hence it must be equal to $\mathcal{K}(H)$ (otherwise $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(H))$ would be a proper ideal in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} , but \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} is unital and ϕ is faithful, so H must be finite-dimensional. ■

Theorem 2.8. *Let \mathbb{G} be a compact quantum group such that $\mathcal{A} = C(\mathbb{G})$ is type-I. Then \mathbb{G} is co-amenable.*

Proof. Let h be the Haar measure of \mathbb{G} and \mathcal{J} the ideal

$$\{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid h(x^*x) = 0\} \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

The quotient \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} will then be the reduced version $C_r(\mathbb{G})$ and again of type I. Since it has a finite-dimensional representation by Lemma 2.7, co-amenable follows from Proposition 2.4. ■

3. Extensions of $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ by $C(X)$

Throughout the present section, \mathcal{A} denotes a C^* -algebra fitting into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}$$

where

- $\mathcal{C} = C(X)$ for a (non-empty and for us always Hausdorff) compact space X ,
- the ideal $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is as in (1.1), where

$$\mathcal{H} = \{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \quad \dim H_\lambda \geq 2, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda \tag{3.2}$$

is a finite, non-empty family of Hilbert spaces.

Note that such \mathcal{A} is automatically of type I and $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is its CCR ideal. We denote

$$H := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda.$$

We list some examples of interest.

Example 3.1. For any finite family (3.2), the unitization $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})^+$ satisfies the hypotheses.

Example 3.2. The Toeplitz C^* -algebra $\mathcal{T}(\partial D)$ ([18, Definition 2.8.4]) associated to a strongly (or strictly) pseudoconvex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ([21, §3.2] or [39, Definition 1.2.18]) is of the form above, with \mathcal{H} a singleton.

This applies in particular to the case when D is the open unit disk in \mathbb{C} . The algebra $\mathcal{T}(\partial D)$ is then the universal C^* -algebra generated by an isometry, and Theorem 3.9 below specializes to the main result of [20].

Example 3.3. The non-quotient *Podleś spheres* introduced in [27] and surveyed for instance in [15, §2.5, point 5]. According to [29, Proposition 1.2] those algebras (denoted here collectively by \mathcal{A}) are all isomorphic to the pullback of two copies of the symbol map $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow C(S^1)$. It follows that the C^* -algebra in question fits into an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\ell^2) \oplus \mathcal{K}(\ell^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow C(S^1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

i.e., of the form (3.1) for a two-element family $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of Hilbert spaces.

Example 3.4. As recalled in [24, Example, p. 123], the algebra $CZ(M)$ of Calderón–Zygmund operators (i.e., pseudo-differential operators of order zero; cf., e.g., [37, §VI.1]) on a smooth compact manifold M fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(L^2(M)) \longrightarrow CZ(M) \longrightarrow C(S^*M) \longrightarrow 0$$

where S^*M denotes the unit sphere bundle attached to the cotangent bundle of M .

The next proposition and two lemmas are the final preparatory steps for the main result of this section (Theorem 3.9). The first step concerns faithfulness of the Haar measure.

Proposition 3.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be an extension of $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ by $C(X)$ as in (3.1) and suppose $\mathcal{A} = C(\mathbb{G})$ for some compact quantum group \mathbb{G} . Then \mathbb{G} is co-amenable. In particular, $\mathcal{A} = C(\mathbb{G})$ is reduced.*

Proof. This is a direct application of Theorem 2.8, since our C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} satisfies the hypotheses of that earlier result. ■

We henceforth write $\mathcal{A} = C_r(\mathbb{G})$ to emphasize the faithfulness of the Haar measure, as allowed by Proposition 3.5.

Recall from Section 1 that for each λ we have the irreducible representation $\rho^\lambda: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_\lambda)$ obtained via the canonical extension of the embedding $\mathcal{K}(H_\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_\lambda)$. Now in the present case, $\{\rho^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is precisely the subset of those irreducible representations of \mathcal{A} which are of dimension strictly greater than one. It follows that the subset $\{\rho^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ of the spectrum is invariant under every automorphism of \mathcal{A} .¹ On the other hand, because that set is discrete in our case, each individual ρ^λ is invariant under every one-parameter automorphism group of \mathcal{A} . In other words, every one-parameter automorphism group of \mathcal{A} (e.g., the modular group $(\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ or the scaling group $(\tau_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ coming from the CQG structure, for instance)

- restricts to a one-parameter automorphism group of each ideal $\mathcal{K}(H_\lambda)$ of \mathcal{A} , and also
- induces a one-parameter automorphism group of the image \mathcal{A}_λ of ρ^λ .

In this context, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *On each $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{B}(H_\lambda)$, the modular automorphism σ_t of the Haar measure on \mathcal{A} acts as conjugation by a_λ^{it} for some non-singular, positive, trace-class operator a_λ .*

Proof. The restriction of the Haar measure h to $\mathcal{K}(H_\lambda)$ is of the form $\text{Tr}(d^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot d^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for some positive, trace-class operator d on H_λ . It follows that

$$\sigma_t|_{\mathcal{K}(H_\lambda)} = \text{conjugation by } d^{it}.$$

On the other hand, we know from Lemma 1.4 that

$$\sigma_t|_{\mathcal{A}_\lambda} = \text{conjugation by } a_\lambda^{it}$$

for a possibly-unbounded non-singular, positive, self-adjoint operator a_λ on H_λ . Since conjugation by a_λ^{it} and d^{it} agree on $\mathcal{K}(H_\lambda)$, the operators a_λ and d must be mutual scalar multiples. Finally, since d is trace-class, so is a_λ . ■

The last remaining lemma is of more technical nature.

Lemma 3.7. *On a Hilbert space H , let*

- *a and b be strongly commuting positive self-adjoint non-singular operators with a bounded,*
- *x be a bounded operator with finite-dimensional kernel, commuting with b^{is} for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and such that*

$$a^{it} x a^{-it} = \rho^{it} x, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.3}$$

for some $\rho > 1$.

Then, b has finite spectrum.

¹This also follows from a reasoning similar to the one in the proof of Lemma 1.3.

Proof. Naturally, it suffices to assume H is infinite-dimensional (otherwise there is nothing to prove). Let us denote by

$$\text{Borel subsets of } \mathbb{R} \ni \Omega \mapsto E_\Omega \in \text{Projections on } H$$

the spectral resolution of b . If the latter has infinite spectrum, we could partition \mathbb{R} into infinitely many $\Omega_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $E_n := E_{\Omega_n}$ non-zero.

Because a and b strongly commute, a preserves the subspaces $H_n := \text{Im}(E_n)$ and thus admits a spectral resolution

$$\Omega \mapsto P_{n,\Omega}$$

thereon. By (3.3) and the fact that x and b strongly commute, x maps each range $\text{Im}(P_{n,\Omega})$ to $P_{n,\rho\Omega}$. The boundedness of a means that we cannot scale by $\rho > 1$ indefinitely, so the kernel of $x|_{H_n}$ is non-zero for all n . Since there are infinitely many summands H_n , we are contradicting the assumption on the finite-dimensionality of $\ker x$. ■

Remark 3.8. Due to the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.9 showing that A^{it} and B^{is} commute, Lemma 3.7 in fact goes through under the formally weaker assumption that the conjugation actions by a^{it} and b^{is} commute on the algebra of compact operators.

With all of this in place, the main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.9. *If \mathbb{G} is a compact quantum group such that a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A} = C(\mathbb{G})$ fits into an exact sequence (3.1) as above, then \mathcal{A} is finite-dimensional.*

Remark 3.10. The discreteness hypothesis on the ideal $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ in Theorem 3.9 is crucial: according to [44, Appendix 2], for deformation parameters μ of absolute value < 1 the function algebra $C(\text{SU}_\mu(2))$ fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell^2) \longrightarrow C(\text{SU}_\mu(2)) \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Remark 3.11. Let us also note that the fact that we are dealing with a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is essential for Theorem 3.9 as well. Indeed, the C^* -algebras associated with the non-compact quantum “ $az + b$ ” groups ([30,45]) are extensions of $\mathcal{K}(H)$ by \mathbb{C} for an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space H .

Proof of Theorem 3.9. Recall that by Lemma 1.4, on each H_λ

$$\tau_s|_{\mathcal{A}_\lambda} = \text{conjugation by } b_\lambda^{is}$$

for a possibly unbounded positive self-adjoint non-singular operator b_λ on H_λ . Moreover, because for each $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ the automorphisms τ_s and σ_t commute, conjugation by b_λ^{is} and a_λ^{it} do, too (with a_λ as in Lemma 3.6).

If at least one of the spaces H_λ is finite-dimensional then \mathcal{A} is finite-dimensional. Indeed, assume that $\dim(H_\lambda) < +\infty$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and let $p \in \mathcal{A}$ be the central projection corresponding to the unit of $\mathcal{K}(H_\lambda)$. Then $p\mathcal{A}$ is a finite-dimensional ideal in

\mathcal{A} isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}(H_\lambda) = \mathcal{B}(H_\lambda)$. It follows that it is also a weakly closed ideal in $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$, hence the claim is a consequence of [12, Theorem 3.4].

Due to the above observation, we assume all H_λ are infinite-dimensional throughout the rest of the proof, and derive a contradiction. Observe that \mathbb{G} cannot be of Kac type as $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ has no faithful bounded traces.

Claim. The operator $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} b_\lambda$ implementing the scaling group has finite spectrum.

Assuming the claim for now, we can conclude by noting that since

$$\rho(\tau_s(z)) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} b_\lambda^{is} \rho_\lambda(z) b_\lambda^{-is}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and operators $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} b_\lambda, \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} b_\lambda^{-1}$ are bounded, the analytic generator τ_{-i} has bounded extension to all of \mathcal{A} . It follows that \mathbb{G} is of Kac type (see [26, discussion following Example 1.7.10]), hence we arrive at a contradiction.

It thus remains to prove the claim. We will do this with an argument similar to the one used in the proof of [20, Theorem 13]. Let us for each $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ choose a unitary representation $U^\alpha \in \alpha$ together with an orthonormal basis in the corresponding Hilbert space in which the positive operator ρ_α is diagonal with entries

$$\rho_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \rho_{\alpha,n_\alpha}$$

(cf. [26, Section 1.4]). Moreover, let $U_{u,v}^\alpha$ ($u, v \in \{1, \dots, n_\alpha\}$) be the corresponding matrix elements of U^α . Recall that we have a quotient map

$$\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} / \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Clearly it factors through the canonical Kac quotient \mathcal{A}_{Kac} ([31, Appendix]), hence thanks to Lemma 1.5 we have $\pi \circ \tau_s = \pi$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, τ_s scales $U_{u,v}^\alpha$ by $\rho_{\alpha,u}^{-is} \rho_{\alpha,v}^{is}$, and hence non-trivially whenever $\rho_{\alpha,u} \neq \rho_{\alpha,v}$. Consequently,

$$\pi(U_{u,v}^\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } \rho_{\alpha,u} \neq \rho_{\alpha,v}.$$

This means that upon applying $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, the matrix

$$U^\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} U_{1,1}^\alpha & \dots & U_{1,n_\alpha}^\alpha \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{n_\alpha,1}^\alpha & \dots & U_{n_\alpha,n_\alpha}^\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

becomes block-diagonal, with one block for each distinct value in the spectrum of ρ_α . Having relabeled that spectrum, we can assume that

$$\rho_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \rho_{\alpha,d}$$

are all of the instances of a specific eigenvalue $\rho > 1$ in that spectrum. Now, define

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} U_{1,1}^\alpha & \cdots & U_{1,d}^\alpha \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{d,1}^\alpha & \cdots & U_{d,d}^\alpha \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes H) \tag{3.4}$$

to be the block of U^α corresponding to ρ .

The fact that the original matrix U^α was unitary and the above remark that off-diagonal $U_{u,v}^\alpha$ are annihilated by π now imply that (3.4) is unitary mod $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes H)$. In particular, the operator x has finite-dimensional kernel by Atkinson’s theorem (e.g., [2, Theorem 3.3.2]).

Consider the operators

$$A = \mathbb{1} \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \right) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \mathbb{1} \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} b_\lambda \right)$$

acting on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes H$. Clearly they are positive, self-adjoint and non-singular, A is bounded and x and B^{is} commute for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, A^{it}, B^{is} commute for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, it suffices to argue that $a_\lambda^{it}, b_\lambda^{is}$ commute for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. As conjugation by these unitary operators implements the modular and the scaling group on \mathcal{A}_λ , we have

$$a_\lambda^{it} b_\lambda^{is} a_\lambda^{-it} b_\lambda^{-is} = e^{i \frac{\hbar st}{2}}, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

for some fixed $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}$ (see, e.g., [17, p. 5 and Definition 14.2]). If $\hbar \neq 0$ then according to the Stone–von Neumann theorem ([17, Theorem 14.8]) there is a unitary operator from H_λ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes H_0$ (for some non-zero Hilbert space H_0) and identifying

$$\begin{aligned} a_\lambda &\mapsto \exp\left(-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}\right) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{H_0}, \\ b_\lambda &\mapsto (\text{multiplication by } e^x) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{H_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Neither of these operators is bounded, hence we get a contradiction. It follows that \hbar must vanish, so we can henceforth assume that A and B strongly commute and the proof ends by Lemma 3.7. ■

Funding. The first author is grateful for funding through NSF grants DMS-1801011 and DMS-2001128. The second and third authors were partially supported by the Polish National Agency for the Academic Exchange, Polonium grant PPN/BIL/2018/1/00197 as well as by the FWO–PAS project VS02619N: von Neumann algebras arising from quantum symmetries.

References

[1] W. Arveson, *An invitation to C^* -algebras*. Grad. Texts in Math. 39, Springer, New York, 1976 Zbl 0344.46123 MR 0512360

- [2] W. Arveson, *A short course on spectral theory*. Grad. Texts in Math. 209, Springer, New York, 2002 Zbl 0997.47001 MR 1865513
- [3] E. Bédos, G. J. Murphy, and L. Tuset, Co-amenability of compact quantum groups. *J. Geom. Phys.* **40** (2001), no. 2, 130–153 Zbl 1011.46056 MR 1862084
- [4] E. Bédos, G. J. Murphy, and L. Tuset, Amenability and coamenability of algebraic quantum groups. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **31** (2002), no. 10, 577–601 Zbl 1022.46043 MR 1931751
- [5] E. Bédos and L. Tuset, Amenability and co-amenability for locally compact quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.* **14** (2003), no. 8, 865–884 Zbl 1051.46047 MR 2013149
- [6] F. P. Boca, Ergodic actions of compact matrix pseudogroups on C^* -algebras. *Astérisque* **232** (1995), 93–109 Zbl 0842.46039 MR 1372527
- [7] T. Brzeziński and W. Szymański, The C^* -algebras of quantum lens and weighted projective spaces. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **12** (2018), no. 1, 195–215 Zbl 1491.46067 MR 3782057
- [8] M. Caspers and A. Skalski, On C^* -completions of discrete quantum group rings. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **51** (2019), no. 4, 691–704 Zbl 1447.46052 MR 3990385
- [9] J. Crann and M. Neufang, Amenability and covariant injectivity of locally compact quantum groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), no. 1, 495–513 Zbl 1330.22013 MR 3413871
- [10] B. Das, M. Daws, and P. Salmi, Admissibility conjecture and Kazhdan’s property (T) for quantum groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **276** (2019), no. 11, 3484–3510 Zbl 1412.22014 MR 3944302
- [11] M. Daws, Remarks on the quantum Bohr compactification. *Illinois J. Math.* **57** (2013), no. 4, 1131–1171 Zbl 1305.43006 MR 3285870
- [12] K. De Commer, P. Kasprzak, A. Skalski, and P. M. Sołtan, Quantum actions on discrete quantum spaces and a generalization of Clifford’s theory of representations. *Israel J. Math.* **226** (2018), no. 1, 475–503 Zbl 1409.46045 MR 3819700
- [13] J. Dixmier, *C^* -algebras*. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 15, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1977 MR 0458185
- [14] S. Doplicher, R. Longo, J. E. Roberts, and L. Zsidó, A remark on quantum group actions and nuclearity. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **14** (2002), no. 7-8, 787–796 Zbl 1030.46091 MR 1932666
- [15] L. Dąbrowski, The garden of quantum spheres. In *Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups (Warsaw, 2001)*, pp. 37–48, Banach Center Publ. 61, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2003 Zbl 1069.81538 MR 2024420
- [16] J. Glimm, Type I C^* -algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **73** (1961), 572–612 Zbl 0152.33002 MR 124756
- [17] B. C. Hall, *Quantum theory for mathematicians*. Grad. Texts in Math. 267, Springer, New York, 2013 Zbl 1273.81001 MR 3112817
- [18] N. Higson and J. Roe, *Analytic K-homology*. Oxford Math. Monogr., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000 MR 1817560
- [19] J. H. Hong and W. Szymański, Quantum spheres and projective spaces as graph algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **232** (2002), no. 1, 157–188 Zbl 1015.81029 MR 1942860
- [20] J. Krajczok and P. M. Sołtan, The quantum disk is not a quantum group. *J. Topol. Anal.* **15** (2023), no. 2, 401–411 MR 4585233
- [21] S. G. Krantz, *Function theory of several complex variables*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001 Zbl 1087.32001 MR 1846625
- [22] J. Kustermans, Locally compact quantum groups in the universal setting. *Internat. J. Math.* **12** (2001), no. 3, 289–338 Zbl 1111.46311 MR 1841517
- [23] C. Lance, On nuclear C^* -algebras. *J. Functional Analysis* **12** (1973), 157–176 Zbl 0252.46065 MR 0344901

- [24] M. Lesch, *K-theory and Toeplitz C^* -algebras—a survey*. In *Séminaire de Théorie Spectrale et Géométrie, No. 9, Année 1990–1991*, pp. 119–132, Sémin. Théor. Spectr. Géom. 9, Univ. Grenoble I, Saint-Martin-d’Hères, 1991 Zbl [0752.46039](#) MR [1715935](#)
- [25] R. Meyer, S. Roy, and S. L. Woronowicz, Homomorphisms of quantum groups. *Münster J. Math.* **5** (2012), 1–24 Zbl [1297.46050](#) MR [3047623](#)
- [26] S. Neshveyev and L. Tuset, *Compact quantum groups and their representation categories*. Cours Spéc. 20, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2013 Zbl [1316.46003](#) MR [3204665](#)
- [27] P. Podleś, *Quantum spheres*. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **14** (1987), no. 3, 193–202 Zbl [0634.46054](#) MR [919322](#)
- [28] P. Podleś and S. L. Woronowicz, *Quantum deformation of Lorentz group*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **130** (1990), no. 2, 381–431 Zbl [0703.22018](#) MR [1059324](#)
- [29] A. J.-L. Sheu, *Quantization of the Poisson $SU(2)$ and its Poisson homogeneous space—the 2-sphere*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **135** (1991), no. 2, 217–232 Zbl [0719.58042](#) MR [1087382](#)
- [30] P. M. Sołtan, *New quantum “ $az + b$ ” groups*. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **17** (2005), no. 3, 313–364 Zbl [1088.46041](#) MR [2144675](#)
- [31] P. M. Sołtan, *Quantum Bohr compactification*. *Illinois J. Math.* **49** (2005), no. 4, 1245–1270 Zbl [1099.46048](#) MR [2210362](#)
- [32] P. M. Sołtan, *Quantum spaces without group structure*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (2010), no. 6, 2079–2086 Zbl [1194.46109](#) MR [2596045](#)
- [33] P. M. Sołtan, *When is a quantum space not a group?* In *Banach algebras 2009*, pp. 353–364, Banach Center Publ. 91, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2010 Zbl [1216.46062](#) MR [2777489](#)
- [34] P. M. Sołtan, *On quantum maps into quantum semigroups*. *Houston J. Math.* **40** (2014), no. 3, 779–790 Zbl [1318.46051](#) MR [3275623](#)
- [35] P. M. Sołtan and A. Viselter, *A note on amenability of locally compact quantum groups*. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **57** (2014), no. 2, 424–430 Zbl [1304.46070](#) MR [3194189](#)
- [36] P. M. Sołtan and S. L. Woronowicz, *From multiplicative unitaries to quantum groups. II*. *J. Funct. Anal.* **252** (2007), no. 1, 42–67 Zbl [1134.46044](#) MR [2357350](#)
- [37] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*. Princeton Math. Ser. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993 Zbl [0821.42001](#) MR [1232192](#)
- [38] R. Tomatsu, *Amenable discrete quantum groups*. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **58** (2006), no. 4, 949–964 Zbl [1129.46061](#) MR [2276175](#)
- [39] H. Upmeyer, *Toeplitz operators and index theory in several complex variables*. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 81, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996 Zbl [0957.47023](#) MR [1384981](#)
- [40] V. S. Varadarajan, *Geometry of quantum theory*. Second edn., Springer, New York, 1985 Zbl [0581.46061](#) MR [805158](#)
- [41] A. Viselter, *Weak mixing for locally compact quantum groups*. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **37** (2017), no. 5, 1657–1680 Zbl [1377.37009](#) MR [3668004](#)
- [42] S. L. Woronowicz, *Pseudospaces, pseudogroups and Pontriagin duality*. In *Mathematical problems in theoretical physics (Proc. Internat. Conf. Math. Phys., Lausanne, 1979)*, pp. 407–412, Lecture Notes in Phys. 116, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1980 MR [582650](#)
- [43] S. L. Woronowicz, *Compact matrix pseudogroups*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), no. 4, 613–665 Zbl [0627.58034](#) MR [901157](#)
- [44] S. L. Woronowicz, *Twisted $SU(2)$ group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus*. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **23** (1987), no. 1, 117–181 Zbl [0676.46050](#) MR [890482](#)

- [45] S. L. Woronowicz, Quantum “ $az + b$ ” group on complex plane. *Internat. J. Math.* **12** (2001), no. 4, 461–503 Zbl 1060.46515 MR 1841400

Received 23 September 2021.

Alexandru Chirvasitu

Department of Mathematics, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA; achirvas@buffalo.edu

Jacek Krajczok

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK;
jacek.krajczok@glasgow.ac.uk

Piotr M. Soltan

Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland; piotr.soltan@fuw.edu.pl