Corrigendum to "The variety of polar simplices"

Kristian Ranestad and Frank-Olaf Schreyer

Abstract. We point out an important error in [Doc. Math. 18 (2013), 469–505] and provide the necessary corrections.

In [3], we tried to describe the compactification of the variety of polar simplices to a quadric. Unfortunately, we wrongly asserted in [3, Corollary 2.2] that being apolar to a quadric is a closed condition in the Hilbert scheme.

Joachim Jelisiejew gave an example of a scheme of length 4 on a line that is not apolar to a quadric Q in \mathbf{P}^3 , but is a limit of polar simplices for Q, see [2, Example 1]. Consequently the locus of apolar schemes of length n to a nonsingular quadric Q in \mathbf{P}^{n-1} is not closed for any $n \ge 4$. This means that several of the statements of the global properties of VPS(Q, n) in [3] are wrong. An account of alternative compactifications in the multigraded Hilbert scheme and in the Grassmannian of spaces of quadrics of ideals of polar simplices is given in [2]. Here we explain the errors in [3] and the corrected statements whose proofs can be found in [2].

Let $S = k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ be a polynomial ring which we view as a homogeneous coordinate ring of the $\mathbf{P}^{n-1} = \mathbf{P}(S_1^*)$. Let $q \in S_2^*$ be a quadric of rank n, then $Q = \{q = 0\} \subset \check{\mathbf{P}}^{n-1}$. A finite subscheme $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ of length n is *apolar* to Q if $I_{\Gamma} \subseteq q^{\perp}$, the ideal of forms in S that annihilates q by differentiation. When $\Gamma = \{[\ell_1], \ldots, [\ell_n]\}$ is smooth, it is a polar simplex, i.e. $q = \lambda_1 \ell_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_n \ell_n^2$ for suitable nonzero scalars λ_i . This condition may be formulated for ideals in general; an ideal $I \subset S$ is *apolar* to Q if $I \subseteq q^{\perp}$.

While the condition $I_{\Gamma} \subset q^{\perp}$ is *not closed* in the usual Hilbert scheme, the apolarity condition is a *closed* condition in the multigraded Hilbert scheme Hilb^H of ideals I with a fixed Hilbert function H for S/I. Hence, it is more natural to work in the multigraded Hilbert scheme. If $I \subset S$ is a limit of ideals I_{Γ} of apolar schemes $\Gamma \in \text{Hilb}^n$, then $I \subset I_{\Gamma_0}$, for some $\Gamma_0 \in \text{Hilb}^n$. If Γ_0 is not apolar to q, then the limit ideal $I \neq I_{\Gamma_0}$ and is an unsaturated apolar ideal to q.

We consider the Hilbert function H := (1, n, n, ...) and a quadric Q of rank n. The locus of saturated ideals in Hilb^H is open by [1, Theorem 2.6], so we consider VPS^{sbl} $(Q, H) \subset$ Hilb^H, the closure of the locus of saturated ideals apolar to Q.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14M27; Secondary 14J45.

Keywords. Apolar ideal, Fano n-folds, quadric, polar simplex, syzygies.

Associating to each ideal $I \in VPS^{sbl}(Q, H)$ the space I_2 of quadrics in the ideal defines a forgetful map

$$\pi_G: \operatorname{VPS}^{\operatorname{sbl}}(Q, H) \to \mathbb{G}\left(\binom{n}{2}, q_2^{\perp}\right),$$

into the Grassmannian of $\binom{n}{2}$ -dimensional subspaces in q_2^{\perp} . Let

$$\operatorname{VPS}^{\operatorname{sbl}}(Q, H)_G := \pi_G (\operatorname{VPS}^{\operatorname{sbl}}(Q, H)).$$

Of course, there is also a natural map π_{Hilb} : $\text{Hilb}^H \to \text{Hilb}^n$; $I \mapsto V(I)$, since all ideals with Hilbert function H = (1, n, n, ...) defines a scheme of length n. For comparison with the schemes VPS(Q, n) and VAPS(Q, n) in [3];

$$\operatorname{VAPS}(Q, n) = \pi_{\operatorname{Hilb}}(\operatorname{VPS}^{\operatorname{sbl}}(Q, H)),$$

while VPS(Q, n) is the component of VAPS(Q, n) that contains the polar simplices.

When $n \ge 4$, the map π_G has positive dimensional fibers, while its restriction to the saturated part of VPS^{sbl}(Q, H) is bijective, even an isomorphism when n = 4, 5 (see [2, Remark 4.9]). In particular, the Hilbert scheme compactification and the Grassmannian compactification do not coincide, so [3, Corollary 2.2] is wrong.

The first part of Theorem 1.1 claims that for $2 \le n \le 5$ the variety VPS(Q, n) is smooth of Picard rank 1 and is Fano of index 2. For n = 2, 3 we have π_G is an isomorphism, in particular VPS^{sbl}(Q, H) and VPS^{sbl}(Q, H)_G are both isomorphic to VPS(Q, n) and the argument of [3] is correct. For n = 4, 5, by [2, Theorem 1.3], VPS^{sbl}(Q, H) is smooth and admits a nontrivial contraction onto the smooth VPS^{sbl}(Q, H)_G, hence the Picard rank of VPS^{sbl}(Q, H) is at least two. For VPS(Q, n) we do not know whether it is smooth, but if it were its Picard rank would also be at least two. When replacing VPS^{sbl}(Q, H) by the Grassmannian model VPS^{sbl}(Q, H)_G, however, we salvage the first part of [3, Theorem 1.1].

Salvaged Theorem 1.1 ([2, Corollary 4.10]). For $2 \le n \le 5$ the variety VPS^{sbl} $(Q, H)_G$ is a smooth rational $\binom{n}{2}$ -dimensional Fano variety of index 2 and Picard number 1.

The second part of [3, Theorem 1.1] remains correct, it is not effected by the compactification.

Theorem 1.2 in [3] concerns VPS^{sbl} $(Q, H)_G$, the Grassmannian model. It is correct for n = 4 after correcting the degree, using a more nuanced machinery of excess intersections. The case n = 5 remains open.

Salvaged Theorem 1.2 ([2, Proposition 4.15]). The variety VPS^{sbl} $(Q, H)_G$ contains the image TQ^{-1} of the Gauss map. When n = 4, the restriction of the Plücker line bundle generates the Picard group of VPS^{sbl} $(Q, H)_G$ and the degree is 362.

Theorem 1.3 in [3] concerns the linear span of the Grassmannian model VPS^{sbl} $(Q, H)_G$, and is wrong. The image of the unsaturated ideals in VPS^{sbl}(Q, H) does not lie in the

span of TQ^{-1} . Whether VPS^{sbl} $(Q, H)_G$ is a linear section of the Grassmannian therefore remains an open problem. It is true for n = 3, and a computational proof for n = 4 is given in [2].

The remaining results of [3, Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5] are correct. Remark 2.5 in [3] is valid for saturated ideals.

The degree computation [3, Theorem 6.3] is effected by mistakes concerning the compactifications. The degree formula of [3, Theorem 6.3] gives a contribution to the degree of VPS^{sbl}(Q, H)_G. The remaining contribution can be computed in case n = 4 using excess intersection, see [2, Proposition 4.15 and Remark 4.16].

References

- [1] J. Jelisiejew and T. Mańdziuk, Limits of saturated ideals. 2022, arXiv:2210.13579
- [2] J. Jelisiejew, K. Ranestad, and F.-O. Schreyer, The variety of polar simplices II. 2023, arXiv:2304.00533
- [3] K. Ranestad and F.-O. Schreyer, The variety of polar simplices. Doc. Math. 18 (2013), 469–505
 Zbl 1281.14035 MR 3084557

Communicated by Gavril Farkas

Received 9 October 2023.

Kristian Ranestad

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1053, Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway; ranestad@math.uio.no

Frank-Olaf Schreyer

Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, Universität des Saarlandes, Campus E2 4, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany; schreyer@math.uni-sb.de